Summary of Individual Representations made in Response to the Issues and Options Consultation Paper (Pursuant to Regulation 25).
Wealden made a complete hash in the consultation and adoption of the 2005 Non-Statutory Wealden Local Plan. Hopefully this next stage will be an improvement and bring with it sustainable development through to 2026.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Option 2a</th>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑️ option</th>
<th>Your View:</th>
<th>☑️ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th>All new development should at least meet current government targets.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Option 3c</th>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑️ option</th>
<th>Your View:</th>
<th>☑️ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th>Because of the poor road and rail network in the district it is unlikely that any large employer is going to locate themselves in Wealden. However we must make much effort to provide new business premises to attract small and medium sized 'Hi-tech' companies to the area.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Option 4a</th>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑️ option</th>
<th>Your View:</th>
<th>☑️ Disagree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Question One</th>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑️ option</th>
<th>Your View:</th>
<th>☑️ Disagree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Option 2a

Reason for comment:

Wealden should aim for an even higher target if possible - say 25-30%. Use and management of energy is a crucial factor in reducing global warming.

Option 2b

Reason for comment:

Maresfield Industrial Park is a prime example of why land allocated for employment purposes should not be left unused indefinitely but there needs to be strict control on alternative uses to prevent landowners and developers maximising profits at the expense of employment potential e.g. planning permission for affordable housing only if land not required for employment.
But only if there is documented evidence of a market need. Employment premises are required to support employment needs within the district - but it is pointless having empty premises if there are no jobs or people to use them.
Consultee ID:  

Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

If you have large scale housing development, it must be sustainable, and that includes providing local employment through new business development.

Consultee ID:  

Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  

Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

It would seem to be an obvious choice except for one major problem. The road network. The A27, and the A22 are the two primary routes in and out of the area. Both are below acceptable standards for major routes and need upgrading. In the case of the A27 an additional factor is the possibility that the boundary to the proposed South Downs National Park will include sections of the A27 making improvements and development adjacent to it in the Polgate area very difficult.
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

It would seem to be an obvious choice except for one major problem. The road network. The A27, and the A22 are the two primary routes in and out of the area. Both are below acceptable standards for major routes and need upgrading. In the case of the A27 an additional factor is the possibility that the boundary to the proposed South Downs National Park will include sections of the A27 making improvements and development adjacent to it in the Polgate area very difficult.

Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Option 4c

Reason for comment:
It would seem to be an obvious choice except for one major problem. The road network. The A27, and the A22 are the two primary routes in and out of the area. Both are below acceptable standards for major routes and need upgrading. In the case of the A27 an additional factor is the possibility that the boundary to the proposed South Downs National Park will include sections of the A27 making improvements and development adjacent to it in the Polgate area very difficult.

Option 6c

Reason for comment:
Although 70% would be preferable to 80% or 75% it still pre-judges the issue of where houses should go without reference to need and demand.

Option 4d

Reason for comment:
It would seem to be an obvious choice except for one major problem. The road network. The A27, and the A22 are the two primary routes in and out of the area. Both are below acceptable standards for major routes and need upgrading. Development should be limited to expanding existing industrial sites.
There is the potential for a small/medium sized increase to the Industrial Estate at Ridgewood, Uckfield, if land was made available.

Make your mind up. You can't establish settlement boundaries and then say you'll ignore them if the need arises. That will take away any control you are trying to enforce, and I can hear Developers laughing all the way to the Bank. Have you learnt nothing from the mess the Non-Stat Plan has got you in?
This policy would allow judgments to be made on a case by case basis without giving carte blanche to developers. The criteria should not be so strict as to make incremental growth impossible.

All boundaries should be reviewed, taking into account such factors as infrastructure, sustainability, size and shape of individual settlements and their proximity to other settlements.

settlement boundaries must be maintained very strict. Criteria must be applied if these boundaries have to be changed.
Reason for comment:

If there are to be restrictions on development inside the AONB this rules out quite a number of towns and villages. Any distribution of development is therefore not between urban and rural areas in general but between urban and rural areas outside the AONB. This would result in an even greater urban focus. There is both a need and a demand for housing in the villages which would not be met with this distribution. At the same time, focusing 80% of planned development in the few towns within the Low Weald would lead to large-scale growth that would change the nature of the existing communities.

Reason for comment:

This question needs to be viewed in tandem with question 6a, and in context of other policies. If there are to be restrictions on development inside the AONB this rules out quite a number of towns and villages. Any distribution of development is therefore not between urban and rural areas in general but between urban and rural areas outside the AONB. This would result in an even greater urban focus. There is both a need and a demand for housing in the villages which would not be met with this distribution. At the same time, focusing 75% of planned development in the few towns outside the AONB would lead to large-scale growth that would change the nature of the existing communities.
Reason for comment:
You seem to have ignored other alternatives to this % approach; e.g the development of a new settlement on greenfield land within the Low Weald area to take the majority of the planned growth (with all it's required infrastructure) and alloing only sustainable development for affordable housing in the villages and ANOB.
Consultee ID:                                          Agent ID:

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:                                          Agent ID:

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The principle might seem like a good idea, but not the criteria proposed. Classifying villages within 5km of towns as having more growth potential is inviting the suburbanisation of the countryside and the spread of ‘urban sprawl’ as towns and villages grow towards each other.

Consultee ID:                                          Agent ID:

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:                                          Agent ID:

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

We seem to have not learned from past mistakes here. Wealden has already discovered that planning housing development is easier said than done. Development must be sustainable and fit around local needs - not national or regional ‘targets’. These are likely to change over the next twenty years so planning according to a strict criteria is doomed to failure.
Consultee ID: Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Such services are vital to village life and should be protected as far as possible, and subsided if necessary. e.g. Post Offices, public transport, schools.

Consultee ID: Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
(a) Isfield should be included as a village suitable for Intermediate growth potential. (b) In view of the planning permission now granted as a result of the appeal that was upheld for development on the former Grampian site at Five Ash Down, bearing in mind that the ‘build out’ will probably be well underway by the time final allocations to villages is agreed I feel it should be removed from the Core Strategy as a village with growth potential. The development of that site is enough.
Consultee ID: Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Is not sustainable because of poor road links (A22)

Consultee ID: Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Only Polgate has the potential for growth. Willingdon, and the edge of Eastbourne could not sustain any more large development.

Consultee ID: Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Apart from a new settlement in the South (Berwick being the most sustainable option), this seems to the best option in this section.

Best option for South of district. A22 and A27 both major problems in any development in this area. A new settlement should attract new funding for road improvements.
Consultee ID:  

Agent ID:  

Part of document:  

☑️ option  

Option 9a  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  

☐ Agree  

☐ No opinion  

☑️ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Focusing all development in Uckfield is not sustainable.

Consultee ID:  

Agent ID:  

Part of document:  

☑️ option  

Option 9a  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  

☑️ Agree  

☐ No opinion  

☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Focusing all development on Uckfield an Croborough would mean large-scale rapid growth for each town which would change their character in an unacceptable way, and is not sustainable.

Consultee ID:  

Agent ID:  

Part of document:  

☑️ option  

Option 9b  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  

☑️ Agree  

☐ No opinion  

☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Focusing all development on Uckfield an Croborough would mean large-scale rapid growth for each town which would change their character in an unacceptable way, and is not sustainable.
Section of Document: Option 9c

Reason for comment:
Although growth in Heathfield is constrained by the AONB it should take an allocation to ease the burden on Uckfield and Crowborough.

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
The reason given for these thresholds is that ‘There is a risk of the examination into site allocations becoming bogged down with hundreds of small site omission objections.’ One suspects the real reason is that larger sites will generate higher infrastructure provision and affordable housing. There are also other reasons against such a policy. Smaller, more distributed sites may be preferable in terms of their impact on the landscape, infrastructure and character of a town or village. They also tend to be more deliverable. If sites are not included on the proposals map it should be made clear that the housing nevertheless counts towards any overall target for an area – and that pro-rata developer contributions to infrastructure development will be expected. But of course the whole thing hangs on the assumption that land will become available, and brought forward for development by land owners. If the economics don’t add up for Landowners and Developers, it ‘aint going to happen.
Consultee ID:  

Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option  

Option 11a  

Section of Document: 

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
All potential sites should be considered 

Consultee ID:  

Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option  

Option 11a  

Section of Document: 

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID:  

Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option  

Option 11b  

Section of Document: 

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
All potential sites should be considered 

Consultee ID:  

Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option  

Option 12b  

Section of Document: 

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>All potential sites should be considered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>All potential sites should be considered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

All potential sites should be considered

---

Consultee ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Option 12a

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

All potential sites should be considered

---

### Option 12b

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

All potential sites should be considered

---

### Option 12c

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

All potential sites should be considered
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>All potential sites should be considered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>All potential sites should be considered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No development within AONB which must be left untouched. Impact of more housing/industry on A 265 a major E-W route across East Sussex would be catastrophic.
Consultee ID:                                Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:                                Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:                                Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 12i

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Sort out the traffic congestion problem in Heathfield High Street.

Consultee ID:                                Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option

**Option 13a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☑ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option

**Option 14h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Housing development to the west of the A22 would breach the boundaries of the town allowing subsequent unchecked expansion. However, a tightly defined area for a well designed, well landscaped 'hi-tech' business park may be a possible option with access onto the A22 at this point could be considered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option

**Question 13b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Reason for comment:
Downlands Farm. This site was proposed as a potential allocation for development under the Wealden Non.Stat. Plan. Although rejected then, it has been subject to planning applications and is currently the subject of a judicial review brought by the major potential Developer for the site. What will happen to this site will depend on the judgement given from that review. Large scale development in this area is unacceptable for all the reasons put forward by the very vocal, local objectors. However housing development of around 70 to 100 dwellings with access from Snatts Road is sustainable.

Reason for comment:
Unless, and until, infrastructure development takes place, sites to the north of the town centre are preferable to those to the south. There would be good access off the A22 and moderately good access to the town centre. Nevertheless the scale of development would exacerbate current traffic congestion problems and town centre road improvements to alleviate these would be required. The extent of development should be confined to those areas closest to Ringles Cross so as to maintain the gap between Uckfield and Five Ash Down and Coopers Green.

Reason for comment:
Two sites to the North and South of Bird-in-Eye Hill were considered as suitable sites for development in the draft Non-Stat. Plan, though were rejected in the final adopted plan. One of those sites is currently under appeal by a Developer against a refusal to allow development. The result of that appeal will decide what happens to this area, and will occur long before any Core Strategy is finalised. All the current Goverment guideance and policies on development match the development potential for these sites, and are going to be 'nigh impossible for us to ignore. A little lateral thinking by ESCC Highways Department, and another road crossing of the Framfield Stream from a new access from the South would solve the problem of the Framfield Rd. bottleneck and open up these sites.
The siting of 1,000 to 1,780 dwellings in this area of Uckfield is not sustainable. Although there would be good access to southern road networks the scale of this development would exacerbate traffic congestion problems in the town centre even if road improvements to alleviate these would be forthcoming. There are also concerns that the ‘long term gradual extension of the town Southwards’ would lead to urban sprawl and change the current compact nature of the town. If the development linked through to Ridgewood Road, Ridgewood village, which is now on the fringe of Uckfield, would be completely swallowed up and lose its separate identity. Land north of Eastbourne Rd. is already allocated for major development, and any extension of that will close the gap between Ridgewood and Palehouse Common leading to even greater urban sprawl Horstedpond Farm and Ridgewood House are other potential sites in this grouping, but development there should be relatively small in order to protect the visual impact of the landscape through the valley formed by the Ridgewood stream. Very visible from the A22, it would require removal of hedgerows and mature woodland in what is a rural setting and a fine example of the rolling pasture land and small vallays typical of the Low Weald. Ridgewood House in particular should remain undeveloped as it is a Grade II listed building and an important feature within the old village of Uckfield which needs to be protected from any development and maintain it's unique rural aspect within the Uckfield town setting.

There is not ‘Good access via Ridgewood to Uckfield’ as claimed in the consultation paper. Ridgewood Road is already congested and access to the town via the High Street would cause increased congestion at the Framfield Road and Bell Lane traffic lights. Development of this site would change the nature of Ridgewood from that of a rural village to that of a suburb of Uckfield. This is the highest point above Uckfield, and the landscape of the north and south slopes is worthy of preservation.
Option 14f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Ideal infill site. Good access onto A22. No particular landscape issues, sustainable and quite capable of taking at least 1,000 houses.

Option 14g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The redevelopment of brown-field sites in the town centre to provide mixed retail, community and residential use is a good option. A regeneration and redevelopment of this area would provide services for any planned increase in houses and population, with the opportunity for road improvements to ease town centre traffic congestion. However, residential development on the floodplain could only take place if flood prevention measures are in place and/or appropriate building design is used. But these problems are not unsurmountable.

Question 14j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: |
Reason for comment:
The approx potential scale of development would overwhelm and destroy the existing village if it is sited around the 'Lavender Line' and east towards Little Horsted. However, worthy of consideration should the Uckfield/Lewes rail link be restored is development off the A26 in the Rose Hill, Clayhill area with a strategic green buffer zone between that development and the orginal village of Isfield. A working partnership between Wealden DC and Lewes DC as part of their combined housing requirements should be seriously considered.

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Of all the options along the Dicker for a new settlement this is the best one. The land around this area has very little visual interest, and is in need of a major regeneration. Quite capable of absorbing up to 2,700 houses with associated services and infrastructure.

Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View: ☐ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View: ☐ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I detect a strong sense of NIMBYism in a lot of the responses to this option. In fact phased, longterm expansion is a very sustainable option for this area. Improvements along the A27 will happen, there is a good main line rail link and good services, schools etc. in both Eastbourne and Lewes. However it’s close proximity to the new South Downs National Park and the fact it could be seen from the northern slopes of the Downs in that area will probably kill any development stone dead - so residents of Berwick you can rest easy in your beds.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 18k</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generally support the concept of a 'new settlement' approach as it may provide a quantum of housing and reduce pressure on other sensitive areas. If well planned and designed, perhaps conforming to the village concept it could be an asset to the particular area it is sited. My own concern is that the option of new settlements will be later dropped - I have considerable scepticism about any real commitment to this approach by Planners due to the sensitivity and volume of objections that will arise when these options become site specific.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All potential sites should be considered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All potential sites should be considered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All potential sites should be considered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID:  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

Option 19d  
Section of Document:  

Your View  
- ✔ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
All potential sites should be considered  

Consultee ID:  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

Option 19e  
Section of Document:  

Your View  
- ✔ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
All potential sites should be considered  

Consultee ID:  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

Option 20a  
Section of Document:  

Your View  
- ✔ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
All potential sites should be considered  

Consultee ID:  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

Option 20b  
Section of Document:  

Your View  
- ✔ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
All potential sites should be considered
Option 20c

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Option 21a

Reason for comment:
There is further potential for sustainable development over and above the already allocated Park Farm site brought forward under the Non-Stat. Plan

Option 21c

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Option 21d

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered. Framfield has strongly resisted development on the Bird-in-Eye sites in Uckfield because they fear it will 'overwhelm' their village, but should consider in conjunction with Blackboys a potential development of 100+ new dwellings
Consultee ID: 

Part of document:  

Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: 

Part of document:  

Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: 

Part of document:  

Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: 

Part of document:  

Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
All potential sites should be considered
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Consultee ID:  
Agent ID:

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option

Option 22c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
All potential sites should be considered

---

Consultee ID:  
Agent ID:

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option

Option 22d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
All potential sites should be considered

---

Consultee ID:  
Agent ID:

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option

Option 23a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
All potential sites should be considered

---

Consultee ID:  
Agent ID:

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option

Option 23b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
All potential sites should be considered

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

35 to 40 is now the expected norm on new development on greenfield sites.

Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

To high. 40 to 45 in development on brownfield sites in town areas can be accepted provided they are of good quality and design, with green open areas incorporated within any overall scheme. On new developments in greenfield sites 35 to 40 should be the max. We all need green spaces, and families need gardens.

Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

In the case of Wealden, first get a good intergrated public transport system in place. Then ask the question again. I would agree if I was living in Brighton and Hove - they have an excellant public transport system - but I live in Uckfield and we don't.
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This has to be balanced against need and should not be adopted as a general policy. Must be decided on a site by site appraisal. Developers prefer to build large numbers of flats and terraced housing because they can get a greater density of units on the available land. Acceptable if they are of good visual and internal design combined with integrated green spaces and landscaping, something we have yet to achieve within Wealden.

Option 25b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
All developments of 10+ units should have a 40% element of affordable housing. In addition to that there should a 'sliding scale' of affordable housing in developments of less than 10 units, e.g between 3 and 5 of which 1 unit is affordable, between 6 and 9 of which 2 units should be affordable.

Option 25c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
There are other rural areas outside of the AONB, where it would be appropiate to integrate affordable housing with other types of housing in small developments of 10 to 15 units. e.g. Palehouse Common, Blackboys, Isfield Village, Ringles Cross, Halland, Little Horstead to name but a few.

Reason for comment:
But the criteria and the current boundaries need reviewing and adjusting where appropiate.

Reason for comment:
There is a need for supporting elderly/disabled relatives in their own or relatives homes due to the increasing removal of support from Social Services and the NHS. However any development must be viewed on an individual basis and subject to very strict conditions and control.

Reason for comment:
But only if strict enforceable controls and conditions are in place, and Wealden are prepared to apply enforcement much more then they currently do.
Consultee ID:         Agent ID:

Part of document:   Option 26a
       □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
sites should be evenly spread between North and South of the District

Consultee ID:         Agent ID:

Part of document:   Option 26b
       □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Land adjacent to Hamilton Place is one suggested site that could be appropriate.

Consultee ID:         Agent ID:

Part of document:   Option 27a
       □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
You are asking the wrong question here. With every large development there should be a travel plan submitted as part of the application. The provision of bus services (subsidised if necessary) should be part of the development - not the other way around.
Section of Document:
Option 27b

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A sad fact but true that large scale development will take place anyway, regardless of any possible rail link. ESCC are committed to having integrated travel services in the County, and this link is part of that scheme. Development will help to fund it but it should not be dependent on prior development. In addition to that it should be an immediate not a long term priority, once the feasibility studies are completed and a route established.

Section of Document:
Option 27c

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Your View

Section of Document:
Option 27d

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The real question here is who carries most political weight with the Government and where is the funding coming from? It's perfectly clear the A27 needs up-grading, so let's stop all this argument, bite the bullet and get on with it.

Section of Document:
Option 27e

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Would ease town centre congestion.
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Unrealistic in light of the publics love affair with the motor car. A standard 2 spaces (includes garages) per. unit on any new development be it a five bedroomeed house or a 1 bedroomeed flat, either in a town or out. In addition to that a condition against converting garages into living space should be standard on all new development, with enforcable controled parking restrictions on estate roads.

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Everybody needs large and small open spaces

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

This already happens in S106 agreements. What needs to happen is to allow a much more flexiable, imaginative use of the money.
Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
However It is unclear how WDC would be in a better position to resolve these issues and secure funding to pay for major infrastructure improvements to enable new housing development to be even remotely viable. The government steadfastly refuses to pay and there are limits on what the private sector will fund, (Uckfield Town Center traffic management scheme being a prime example). Developers are already required to provide a percentage of affordable homes within their developments, which affects their profit margins and which they try to avoid having to comply with. Their only remit is to their shareholders, they have little concern regarding the environment or infrastructure unless forced to do so.

Question 31a
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Wealden had better get it right. There are bound to be 'winners' and 'losers' over the next 20 years, The Core Strategy is the foundation for the future. The decision makers (Planning Officers and Councillors) must not be guided by their own personal immediate prejudices or preferences, or bow down to Government pressures. This is still a green and pleasant land - let's not completely destroy it for future generations.
Consultee ID: Mr Turner

Part of document:  
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
There is a need for viable communities within the High Weald ANOB and in order to facilitate this some limited development may be necessary. Ideally this should strengthen existing communities - e.g. changing the use of existing buildings and developing within their environs - there must not be development in currently undeveloped areas.

Consultee ID: Mr Turner

Part of document:  
Section of Document: Option 3c

Reason for comment:
Aim to strengthen existing business areas and avoid spread onto green field sites

Consultee ID: Mr Turner

Part of document:  
Section of Document: Option 2a

Reason for comment:
There are many acres of un-managed woodland in Wealden district most of which was copiced in earlier times. Consideration should be given to bringing this back into production as a source of renewable fuel for power generation. Care will need to be taken to ensure that the production of energy takes place locally and does not involve transporting the fuel many miles to the nearest suitable power station. Serious consideration must also be given to harnessing the power of waves. Wind turbines within the High Weald should not be an option.
Option 2b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Only once a satisfactory solution has been found for the initial 10% the experience gained can then be used to increase the percentage of renewable energy produced.

Option 3a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 3b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Local employment is essential to maintain viable local communities.

Option 3d

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Essential to create/maintain viable local communities.
Option 5a

Reason for comment:
Development outside the boundary should only take place when all possible areas within the boundary have been developed fully and then only for affordable housing for local people both young and old.

Option 5b

Reason for comment:
Control must be strict and any development must be for local people and used solely to sustain the viability of the local community.

Option 6a

Reason for comment:
Expansion of towns is to be preferred to expansion of villages but wherever new development is sited it must be supported by an adequate development of the infrastructure required to support it.

Option 7a

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Turner

Part of document: Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

But only when all aspects of the local infrastructure have developed to support it.

Consultee ID: Mr Turner

Part of document: Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

This should not be considered until all other options have been fully exploited and the necessary upgrade of the infrastructure has taken place.

Consultee ID: Mr Turner

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Wadhurst may be the largest village in the High Weald ANOB but no development on the scale stated can begin to be considered until the whole infrastructure, and especially roads (traffic volume), parking, and train services, have been fully addressed.
Consultee ID: 102674
Mr Cox

Part of document: Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Isfield is an attractive, historical, rural community and would be totally destroyed by this enormous proposed settlement.

Consultee ID: 102674
Mr Cox

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 102677
Miss Norris

Part of document: Question 16j

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 102709
Mr Wallinger

Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

These areas are unique for their beauty and character- hence their special designation. It would be wrong to build houses on this land just for simplicity and convenience.
Consultee ID: Mr Wallinger
102709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This development is in an area of AONB. It is open landscape which is one of the first areas of greenery for Heathfield residents to enjoy when leaving the town centre and divides this area from neighbouring villages.

Consultee ID: Mrs Gasson
102712

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Gasson
102712

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Gasson
102712

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Gasson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102712</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Gasson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102712</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Gasson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102712</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Gasson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102712</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Gasson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>102712</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Polegate and local area need a leisure area for all age groups - this is very urgent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Gasson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>102712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Question 16h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Gasson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>102712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Question 16i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Gasson
Part of document:  
Section of Document:
Your View  
Reason for comment:
a site found for the leisure complex end of the Black Path that is central

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Part of document:  
Section of Document:
Your View  
Reason for comment:
Has growth potential because of accessibility to roads and services.

Consultee ID: Mrs Morgan
Part of document:  
Section of Document: Context
Your View  
Reason for comment:
Are these houses going to be needed?

Consultee ID: Mrs Morgan
Part of document:  
Section of Document: Option 9b
Your View  
Reason for comment:
There is a danger of one enormous sprawl
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morgan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102724</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morgan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102724</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morgan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102724</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morgan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102724</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102724</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18d
- Option 18e
- Option 18f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Morgan 102724

Part of document:  
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morgan 102724

Part of document:  
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morgan 102724

Part of document:  
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morgan 102724

Part of document:  
Part 7

Section of Document:  Making it Happen - Implementation

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

before building more houses you should consider the infrastructure-are there enough jobs, schools, hospitals etc?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Lowe</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102765</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 16a
- Option 16b
- Option 16e
- Option 16g

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Logical
- Infill to Bypass obvious choice
Consultee ID: Mr Lowe
Consultee ID: Miss Saunders
Consultee ID: Miss Saunders
Consultee ID: Miss Saunders

Part of document: Option 16i
Part of document: Question One
Part of document: Option 2a
Part of document: Option 2b

Section of Document:
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>102794</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>102794</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>102794</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>102794</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Saunders
102794

Part of document: Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Saunders
102794

Part of document: Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Saunders
102794

Part of document: Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Saunders
102794

Part of document: Question 4e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

A272/A27 too busy. Single carriageway dangerous. Don't build more houses that will make situation much worse.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102794</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102794</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 5b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102794</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 8a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102794</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 8b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Option 8c

- **Consultee ID:** Miss Saunders
- **Agent ID:** 102794
- **Part of document:** Option 8c
- **Section of Document:**
  - **Your View:** Disagree
- **Reason for comment:** Your View

### Option 8d

- **Consultee ID:** Miss Saunders
- **Agent ID:** 102794
- **Part of document:** Option 8d
- **Section of Document:**
  - **Your View:** Agree
- **Reason for comment:** Your View

### Option 16a

- **Consultee ID:** Miss Saunders
- **Agent ID:** 102794
- **Part of document:** Option 16a
- **Section of Document:**
  - **Your View:** Disagree
- **Reason for comment:** Your View

### Option 16b

- **Consultee ID:** Miss Saunders
- **Agent ID:** 102794
- **Part of document:** Option 16b
- **Section of Document:**
  - **Your View:** Disagree
- **Reason for comment:** Your View
Part of document: Option 16c
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 16d
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16g</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miss Saunders</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102794</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Saunders
102794
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 27c
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Saunders
102794
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 27e
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Saunders
102794
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 27f
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Dennison
102813 RSPB
Part of document: □ document ✔ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document: Part 2
Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Support Spatial Objective 3 to enhance sites designated for their biodiversity value. Supports the need for the Council to undertake and appropriate assessment of the LDF as required under the Habitats Directive 92/43EEC following the ECJ judgement in October 2005.
RSPB believes that climate change is the main threat to biodiversity in the long term, and reducing reliance on fossil fuels is necessary to combat it.

The RSPB has concerns regarding a new settlement near Arlington Reservoir SSSI - a nationally important ornithological site. Development nearby could range from a direct disturbance of wintering birds to a negative effect on water quality.

The RSPB has concerns regarding the potential increase in housing development within the district, especially near to Ashdown Forest, as the Lowland Heath is one of the South-East's most threatened habitats. Urban development and its ancillary infrastructure increased disturbance and predation from both domestic and wild animals. Where development is unavoidable, the RSPB would expect high standards of mitigation, such as open space provision.
Consultee ID: Miss Dennison
Agent ID: RSPB
Part of document: option 23c
Section of Document:
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:
Concerns for development potentially within a high flood risk area. Such as option 23c Westham, in the areas around Pevensey Levels Ramsar.

Consultee ID: Mrs Creed
Agent ID: 102819
Part of document: option 27a
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Locating new areas of development in areas accessible to good public transport links should help to reduce the emissions generated by private vehicles. A transport audit of the District may be necessary.

Consultee ID: Mrs Creed
Agent ID: 102819
Part of document: option 3c
Section of Document: Option 3c
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
There are too many empty industrial units at present.
Consultee ID: Mrs Creed
102819

Part of document: Option 4a
Section of Document:
Your View: 
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
A27 cannot take more traffic

Consultee ID: Mrs Creed
102819

Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document:
Your View: 
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
but only small development

Consultee ID: Mrs Creed
102819

Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View: 
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Abbots Woods and surrounding area too beautiful for building -

Consultee ID: Mrs Creed
102819

Part of document: Option 15f
Section of Document:
Your View: 
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
We need to protect and enhance the countryside
Consultee ID: Mrs Creed  
Agent ID: 102819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A27, A22 and roads between cannot withstand extra traffic

Consultee ID: Mrs Creed  
Agent ID: 102819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Surrounds roads cannot withstand this, and query roads to hospitals etc

Consultee ID: Mrs Creed  
Agent ID: 102819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Area of natural outstanding beauty

Consultee ID: Mrs Creed  
Agent ID: 102819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree to small settlement 15-20 houses, but area cannot withstand small town
Consultee ID: Mrs Creed
Agent ID: 102819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I agree to small settlements but the A27 and A22 cannot cope with more traffic. This is an area of outstanding beauty over development would ruin this forever. Would there be another reservoir, hospital, schools etc? What about drainage? Building more industrial units would not necessarily create enough work for such an influx of people.

Consultee ID: Mrs Creed
Agent ID: 102819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
Agent ID: 102856

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No area should be totally exempted from sensitively designed development so long as it is in keeping with its surroundings and in no way detracts from the natural beauty which may exist in many differing forms. There will always be areas that will benefit from a little improvement at least and these might be exploited to spread the burden of new housing across the whole District.
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall  
Agent ID: 102856

**Option 2a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

But each building and site will be more or less amenable to a specific target. The aim should be to maximise within the constraints of site, building and area.

---

**Option 2b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

“But each building and site will be more or less amenable to a specific target. The aim should be to maximise within the constraints of site, building and area.”

---

**Option 3a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

So long as it is a "brownfield site".
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall  
102856

Part of document:  
Option 3b

Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
But "development to modernise" should not be prevented

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall  
102856

Part of document:  
Option 3d

Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
To agree would merely "ratchet up" the demand for further development

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall  
102856

Part of document:  
Option 4a

Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
The area west of the A22 and north of the A27 is, for many reasons, unsuitable for development and should be removed from consideration.

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall  
102856

Part of document:  
Option 4b

Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
The area west of the A22 and north of the A27 is, for many reasons, unsuitable for development and should be removed from consideration.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Option 4c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Marshall</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Marshall</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>Question 4e</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Marshall</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall

Part of document: Option 5a
Part of document: Option 5b
Part of document: Question 5c

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:

The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!
Option 6a

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
This proportion is most likely to accord with (a) the demands of the growing population and (b) the least impact on new infrastructure requirements. Wealden should have the confidence to make its own plan for development and not quote endlessly from plans dreamt up by non statutory bodies with no knowledge of the area. Stand up for yourselves - plan and be damned.

Option 6b

Your View: Disagree

Option 6c

Your View: Disagree

Question 6d

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Aim to maximise within the constraints of infrastructure and local demand. Wealden should have the confidence to make its own plan for development and not quote endlessly from plans dreamt up by non statutory bodies with no knowledge of the area. Stand up for yourselves - plan and be damned.
Option 7a

Reason for comment:
You may have your own views on such classifications but individuals councils may well not agree. The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!

Option 7b

Reason for comment:
“You may have your own views on such classifications but individuals councils may well not agree. The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!”
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Strongly. The market will decide and should! After all the number of customers is set to rise.

Option 8a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!
Option 8d

Reason for comment:
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!

Option 9a

Reason for comment:
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!
Reason for comment:
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!

Reason for comment:
Very Strongly

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
102856
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 16a
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: Very Strongly
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
Agent ID: 102856
Part of document: Option 16c
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Very Strongly - flood plain, ribbon development, separation from Hailsham. This is part of a not very subtle developers' drive!

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
Agent ID: 102856
Part of document: Option 16d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
But only within the context of the following: The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!
Option 16e

Reason for comment:
“But only within the context of the following: The Council should be pursuing a policy of “burden sharing” whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the “ethos of the locality” but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce “nimbyism” and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!”

Option 16f

Reason for comment:
With a sensitive, low density scheme.

Option 16g

Reason for comment:
Polegate Town Centre needs a full makeover to increase its attraction as an important local town.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall  Agent ID: 102856

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 16h

Reason for comment:
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall  Agent ID: 102856

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 16i

Reason for comment:
You have not stated a list of "Priorities", merely some aims which could contradict one another. Let us have a clear list of priorities at the next iteration please. Polegate Town Centre needs a full makeover to increase its attraction as an important local town. This will require careful project planning using a number of your aims but containing development within a strict boundary that does not encroach on the adjoining rural amenities and attractions. Much could be achieved by some lateral thinking about the land upon which the railway sits. Multi storeying could provide an integrated hub of transport, shopping, community facilities etc. which would make the town thrive. The hint that Polegate might become a centre for new civic facilities (East Sussex County Council perhaps?) is of concern and any such proposal should be very strongly resisted on the grounds of inadequate infrastructure.
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!
Consultee ID:          Mr Marshall          Agent ID:          102856
Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✔ option
Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View           □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:          Mr Marshall          Agent ID:          102856
Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✔ option
Option 18b
Section of Document:
Your View           □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:          Mr Marshall          Agent ID:          102856
Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✔ option
Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View           □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:          Mr Marshall          Agent ID:          102856
Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✔ option
Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View           □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conseree ID: Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102856</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conseree ID: Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102856</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conseree ID: Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102856</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conseree ID: Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102856</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall  
102856

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall  
102856

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Very Strongly. Quite inappropriate on the boundary of an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, in a position to further overstretch unsatisfactory infrastructure (in particular the road system) and with thinly thought through implications for proposed commuting hub. All the comments you make about this proposal militate against it. And what is meant by "serving the needs of downland villages"? They have their well established services and a further connurbation would only add to local problems with infrastructure. As for providing a parkway for Polegate! Polegate has its station and every effort should be made to enhance it in order to cope with the perceived need.

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall  
102856

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Strongly. This would be in a position to further overstretch unsatisfactory infrastructure (in particular the road system) and with thinly thought through implications for proposed commuting hub. Most of the comments you make about this proposal militate against it. And what is meant by "serving the needs of downland villages"? They have their well established services and a further connurbation would only add to local problems with infrastructure. As to development into a "small town" - we have enough of them already! As for providing a parkway for Polegate! Polegate has its station and every effort should be made to enhance it in order to cope with the perceived need.
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!
Reason for comment:
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!
## Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 102856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:** Option 24a

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Densities should be appropriate to the locality, the nature of the housing (a condominium in a town will have a much higher density than an infill in an AONB for example). The council might set a range for guidance only.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 102856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:** Option 24b

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Densities should be appropriate to the locality, the nature of the housing (a condominium in a town will have a much higher density than an infill in an AONB for example). The council might set a range for guidance only.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 102856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:** Option 24c

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Densities should be appropriate to the locality, the nature of the housing (a condominium in a town will have a much higher density than an infill in an AONB for example). The council might set a range for guidance only.
Reason for comment:
This is a false consideration since the nature of housing should be commensurate to the dignity of the householder. Just because a bus runs past the front door should be no reason to live in an otherwise cramped environment. Densities should be appropriate to the locality, the nature of the housing (a condominium in a town will have a much higher density than an infill in an AONB for example). The council might set a range for guidance only.

Reason for comment:
Only where appropriate to the need for, say, affordable and "essential to need" housing in locations where people are required to support essential services.

Reason for comment:
Proportions must be demand led.

Reason for comment:
Proportions must be demand led.
Option 25d

Reason for comment:
Sites solely for affordable housing should be allocated in rural aras where real need is identified

Option 25e

Reason for comment:
But, sites solely for affordable housing should be allocated in rural aras where real need is identified

Option 25f

Reason for comment:

Option 25g

Reason for comment:
There is too much evidence to show that this potential loophole can be abused. For any established business, considerations of staff housing will have been addressed already. For new and developing businesses, housing can be considered within the totality of the application.
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 26a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 26b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
But not necessarily with the stong emphasis on towns - The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!
Option 27a

Reason for comment:
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!

Option 27b

Reason for comment:
Quite the WRONG approach. Sort Polegate station and the adjacent town centre out. Parkway for what? I suspect this is the beginning of a scheme to centre East Sussex County Council in the environs of Polegate with all the disruption and eyesore that that will bring without any benefit to the settled local community. A bonanza for developers and their adherents.
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

These towns must sort their own traffic problems out without burdening vulnerable settled communities outside their borders.

Option 27f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

A confusing question. Are you talking about the design of the house or the nature of the people living in it? Better to match, and this is far from perfect, the number of parking slots to a proportion of bedrooms perhaps?

Option 28a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This is a deceptive ploy which can only encourage underhand dealings in the planning process. Ultimately the house buyers will pay, either through their house prices or the rates. Far better to place responsibility where it lies - with the Council - so that costs are more transparent. It will also encourage a healthier debate across the District as to where facilities, and at what quality, should be sited.

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This is self evident in a well run district. Only genuine extenuating circumstances should become a reason to delay a development beyond the timescale imposed by the council. And lack of homework by developers would not be an admissible reason!
Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:
Clearly there is always a need to develop and improve the infrastructure but do not forget the chicken and egg dilemma. Should you pursue my proposal: "The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!" then the the need for large scale infrastructure projects will be reduced and the Council can make developments incrementally where services and facilities can cope and then complete the planned development as other authorities bring their services on line. Development must not race ahead of the necessary infrastructure so there will be a need to iterate between authorities early to establish the overall development project plan.

Question 31a

Reason for comment:
Almost in its entirety although it is a tall order which will require you to be strong minded, ignore most of the non statutory directives and obey the principle: "orders are for the guidance of wise men and the blind obedience of fools". Be imaginative and do what is best for Wealden and not for central government and the quangos. I would not support, however, the "Eastbourne Hailsham Triangle" being an area for investment and development. SPREAD THE LOAD.
Question 31b

Reason for comment:
The Council should be pursuing a policy of "burden sharing" whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!

Question 32a

Reason for comment:
It is impossible to agree to a statement which has a number of contradictory aspirations. Please analyse the rest of my responses to identify my view.
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall   Agent ID: 102856
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 32b
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The Council should be pursuing a policy of “burden sharing” whereby every single community/settlement has, however small, some housing added to its current numbers. Clearly, there will be some sites where greater numbers of houses can be easily absorbed without real detriment to the "ethos of the locality" but by spreading the load, these impacts will be significantly reduced. The added advantages of such a policy will do much to reduce the need for large new infrastructure projects, will reduce "nimbyism" and antagonism between communities and drive decision making down to the lower level of town and parish councils which will have less contentious decisions to make as a result. It will also reduce the currently perceived power of developers who so often appear to drive planning decisions! One small downside might that your planners will have rather more planning applications to deal with but they should be of a lower order of complexity and, after all, that is what we pay you to do!

Consultee ID: Mr King   Agent ID: 102886
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 19a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Whilst I agree with the comment in the LDF Issues and Options paper regarding Forest Row traffic problems, viz: "Roads. Lots of out commuting. Though commuting ambient road noise and pollution. Lack of Bypass. Lots of AONB used as excuse for not building relief roads. Villages are used as rat-runs. The Box A27 A23 does not help us in the north." As a resident of Wall Hill, I believe that the whole of Wall Hill should be subject to a speed restriction. For much of its length it has no pavement and there is a childrens playground near a sharp bend to the top (north) of the hill within the derestricted zone. Additionally I have often followed traffic which has turned into Wall hill from the South (village end) all the way up the hill, into Hammerwood Road and back onto the A22. A similar situation happens in reverse when the A22 is conjestued. Additionally, I also believe that the 30 MPH limit should be extended North on the A22 to slow traffic as it approaches the village and the the remaining stretch of the A22 should be restricted to 40 MPH (as in the West Sussex section). I have previously written to both Wealden and East Sussex concils but have had no response from East Sussex. Prior to any further development in the village the traffic issues should be addressed. Consider traffic calming measures to the South and North of the village with priority given to traffic leaving the village.
Consultee ID: 102897
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Question 15g
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 102902
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 102902
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 2a
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 102902
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 2b
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3a
- Option 3b
- Option 3c
- Option 3d

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Hunt</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Hunt</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Hunt</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Hunt</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**    
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:**
- Mr Hunt
- 102902

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**    
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:**
- Mr Hunt
- 102902

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**    
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:**
- Mr Hunt
- 102902

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**    
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Hunt
102902

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt
102902

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt
102902

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt
102902

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 7d

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 8a

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 8b

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 8c

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 9a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 9b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 9c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Hunt
102902
Agent ID:

Part of document:  option
Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt
102902
Agent ID:

Part of document:  option
Option 12a
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt
102902
Agent ID:

Part of document:  option
Option 12b
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt
102902
Agent ID:

Part of document:  option
Option 12c
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hunt

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hunt

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt

Part of document: Option 15a

Part of document: Option 15b

Part of document: Option 15c

Part of document: Option 15d

Section of Document:

Section of Document:

Section of Document:

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Your View: Agree

Your View: Disagree

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 15e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 15f**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Hunt
Agent ID: 102902

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 17a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt
Agent ID: 102902

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 17b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt
Agent ID: 102902

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hunt

Part of document: Option 18a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt

Part of document: Option 18c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt

Part of document: Option 18d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document, chapter, section</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document, chapter, section</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document, chapter, section</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document, chapter, section</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 102902

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18i</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 102902

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18j</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 102902

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 19a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 102902

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 19b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 102902
Mr Hunt

Option 19c
Section of Document:
Your View
Agree
No opinion
Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 102902
Mr Hunt

Option 19d
Section of Document:
Your View
Agree
No opinion
Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 102902
Mr Hunt

Option 19e
Section of Document:
Your View
Agree
No opinion
Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 102902
Mr Hunt

Option 20a
Section of Document:
Your View
Agree
No opinion
Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 20b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102902</td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 20c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102902</td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 22a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102902</td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 22b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102902</td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☑ option

**Option 22c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☑ option

**Option 22c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☑ option

**Option 22d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☑ option

**Option 23a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 102902
Mr Hunt
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 23b
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 102902
Mr Hunt
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 23c
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 102902
Mr Hunt
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 23d
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 102902
Mr Hunt
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 23e
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Hunt</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 25a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 25b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 25c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 25g</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr</td>
<td>Hunt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Hunt  102902  
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt  102902  
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt  102902  
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hunt  
102902  
Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Option 27d  
Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt  
102902  
Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Option 27e  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt  
102902  
Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Option 27f  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt  
102902  
Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Option 28a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hunt
Part of document: Option 28b
Section of Document: Your View  Yes Agree  No No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt
Part of document: Option 28c
Section of Document: Your View  Yes Agree  No No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hunt
Part of document: Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery Your View  Yes Agree  No No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Kember
Part of document: Option 7c
Section of Document: Agent ID:

Reason for comment:
Will lead to sprawl of villages and eventual join up into larger areas. Village identities (and therefore identifiable communities) are more likely to be lost. This option might be more palatable if "affordable housing" wasn't just a re-badged version of social housing which most people associate with anti-social behaviour (which breaks up communities).

Consultee ID: Mr Kember
Part of document: Option 8a
Section of Document: Agent ID:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Kember
Part of document: Option 8b
Section of Document: Agent ID:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Kember
Part of document: Option 8c
Section of Document: Agent ID:

Reason for comment:
Would eventually lead to Hailsham and Polegate merging.
Option 23b

Reason for comment:
Horsebridge is a small rural community. That level of additional housing is hugely excessive. In addition, the local primary school is nowhere near large enough to accommodate the additional intake.

Option 25d

Reason for comment:
Rural areas do need affordable housing and if it was made available for purchase for first time buyers (i.e. not second homes etc), then it would be acceptable. However, "affordable housing" is a pleasant name for what is largely social housing. The government’s own web site talks about social landlords being the main keeper of houses built for affordable housing. Most people associate social housing with anti-social behaviour. Who wants that brought to their area?

Option 27d

Reason for comment:
Dualing the A27 will only lead to even bigger housing developments (i.e. the area becomes more attractive for house building due to better transport links).
Part of document: Wealden’s Places

Your View
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
With regards to potential building across the bottom of my garden - my answer is a definite no and will always be. I have spent a very large sum on landscaping my garden to put it back as it used to be 40 years ago. I have just retired and enjoy my garden as it is now and would not change my mind for any reason. If I am stuffed with 65 shoe boxes to one side of my garden, I certainly don't want any more at the bottom of it.

Part of document: Option 9b

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If Wealden carried out a public survey in the town, and surely they represent the people of Crowborough, they would soon realise how strong public feelings are against this ridiculous housing boom especially in an area which is not structured to cope with it.

Part of document: Option 9c

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Comments similar to those for option 9b
Consultee ID: Mr Bligh
103011

Part of document: Option 11e
Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
The grounds on which the Frontages of High Broom Lane - representing eleven households - have opposed the commercial development at both Brook Farm and Rocks Farm, apply equally to any large scale residential development in the area described as "South of Whitehill and Alderbrook". Viz the unrestricted (speed) access roads, Alice Bright lane and High broom Road are simply NOT capable of accepting any more traffic, be it commercial or domestic. The presence of two old people's homes and the equestrian traffic from the stables at Brook Farm present exceptional risks.

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
103033

Part of document: Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View

Reason for comment:
Development should not be allowed on AONB.

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
103033

Part of document: Option 2a
Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
103033

Part of document: Option 2b
Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
The shift away from farming. Improvements would be obtained if the Ukfield to Lewes line were to be reopened, and re-connected to Tunbridge Wells.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 103033  Mr Jones  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103033  Mr Jones  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103033  Mr Jones  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103033  Mr Jones  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<p>| Reason for comment: |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  
Question 5c

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 6a

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 6b

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 6c

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Jones
103033
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
103033
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
103033
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
103033
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This would be an especially good idea if the Lewes-Uckfield rail link were re-opened and service on towards London improved. However, if the proposal also envisages Uckfield residents travelling to work in East Grinstead it would also require a more 'joined-up thinking' approach to that town's proposals for its own housing development and the town's relief road. Vetoing any suggestions by East Grinstead that would 'increase Forest Row's traffic flows by more than 5%' is unhelpful.
Consultee ID: Mr Jones
103033

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
103033

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
103033

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
This is the only option with a realistic chance of being supported by a viable (bus & train) public transport initiative. It has a better chance than the others too of attracting the sort of issues discussed in Section 3.4.

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
103033

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 8d**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 9b**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 9c**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Question Ten**

**Site Allocation Thresholds**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
The numbers are too high.
Reason for comment:
Agree to all parts on the basis that all amenities (other than a community centre) already exist and there is adequate road and rail infrastructure links (although the latter would benefit from improvements and an onward link beyond Uckfield to Lewes).
Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [X] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 13a**

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Mr Jones  
**Agent ID:**  
103033

**Part of document:** [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [X] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15a**

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Mr Jones  
**Agent ID:**  
103033

**Part of document:** [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [X] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 14a**

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Mr Jones  
**Agent ID:**  
103033

**Part of document:** [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [X] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 14b**

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [X] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
103033

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

As already stated, Uckfield's suitability as a development area would be enhanced were the Lewes-Uckfield (-London) rail service to be reinstated.

Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
103033

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 14i

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
103033

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
103033

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
Agent ID: 103033

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
Agent ID: 103033

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
Agent ID: 103033

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
Agent ID: 103033

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Jones
Agent ID: 103033
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Question 15h
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
Agent ID: 103033
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
Agent ID: 103033
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 16b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
Agent ID: 103033
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 16d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
103033

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
103033

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
103033

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 17a

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Agree to all Section 17.

Consultee ID: Mr Jones  
103033

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  ✔ section  □ option
Section of Document:  Potential for a New Settlement or Concentrated Growth
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Disagree to all. Given the total lack of supporting infrastructure for these substantial developments, I 
assume that this question is only being posed for the purposes of completeness. If funding on the 
scale necessary to meet these infrastructure needs were to become available, it would have far more 
impact being applied to options presented elsewhere where the facilities already exist.
Consultee ID: Mr Jones
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 19a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Wealden should work much more closely with East Grinstead to try to find a sensible, integrated solution to housing/ employment/ transport needs in this area.

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 19b
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Too remote from the necessary extra amenities.

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 19c
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Too remote from the necessary extra amenities.

Consultee ID: Mr Jones
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 19d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Jones

Part of document: Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Too remote from the necessary extra amenities.

Consultee ID: Mr Jones

Part of document: Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Hopeless road connections.

Consultee ID: Mr Jones

Part of document: Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View
☒ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree, but only if affordable housing is emphasised, otherwise Wadhurst's status as a 'handy commute to London' will be perpetuated and harm the housing needs of genuinely local residents.

Consultee ID: Mr Jones

Part of document: Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The village's topography is a serious obstacle to the additional traffic that would be created.
Option 21a

Reason for comment:
Disagree unless rail link developed beyond Uckfield to Lewes.

Option 21c

Reason for comment:
Disagree unless rail link developed beyond Uckfield to Lewes.

Option 21d

Reason for comment:
Inadequate local amenities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 21d
- Box checked for option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Inadequate local amenities.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 21e
- Box checked for option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Inadequate local amenities.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 21f
- Box checked for option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Inadequate local amenities.
Consultee ID: Mr Jones

Part of document: Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Access to the A265 is hazardous. Even without development this needs attention.

Consultee ID: Mr Jones

Part of document: Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Disagree to all Q23 (excl. Q23 section b)

Consultee ID: Mr Jones

Part of document: Part 6

Section of Document: Wealden's Communities

Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:
Transport needs, increased traffic.

Consultee ID: Mr Jones

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
### Option 24b

**Consultee ID:** Mr Jones  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 24b**  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 24c

**Consultee ID:** Mr Jones  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 24c**  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 24d

**Consultee ID:** Mr Jones  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 24d**  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 25a

**Consultee ID:** Mr Jones  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 25a**  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ✔ section  ☐ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Open Space and Leisure Needs

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Agree to all proposals, Q28 a-c.
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Reason for comment:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Yes to all. The advantages of all these road, sewage, education, social and- most of all- rail initiatives are self-evident.

Section of Document: Where We Want to get to

Reason for comment:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Last paragraph concerning ‘a safer and less congested’ A26 and A22 (although desirable) sits at odds with much that has gone before this document.

Section of Document: Possible Spatial Objectives for Wealden

Reason for comment:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Agree to all, excluding SO10.
The High Wealds historic dispersed settlement pattern, including historic farmsteads is a fundamental feature of the High Weald landscape and a component of natural beauty. Small scale development in these locations may provide a sustainable option to meet local needs and provide some key worker style housing for land based workers. This would assist in building the skills base to support traditional land management, a fundamental requirement of conserving and enhancing the AONB. If housing has to be allowed in the AONB due to regional requirements a dispersed policy focussing on this key feature of dispersed settlement may be development that can contribute to the character of the AONB as opposed to stock housing extensions to villages and service centres. Carefully controlled development to provide local housing particularly to support land based activities and to reconnect residents to the countryside, can be beneficial to the landscape, rather than just allowing stock housing developments, however carefully controlled the locations.

The spatial portrait identifies that wealden has a small scale industrial / employment base and experience such as the Ashdown business park suggest that large scale industrial estate are not viable in this area, and thus it make sense to focus on small scale business opportunities, which can often serve local areas more closely and provide local employment opportunites thus supporting sustainable objectives.
Reason for comment:
Targets for renewable energy generally supported, but need to consider what forms of generation are appropriate for the area. Are wind speeds suitable and regular enough for turbines, are they efficient and is the 'cost' of their development balanced by the return? Solar, ground heat pumps, district heating etc are all viable technology with few impacts on the environment. Woodfuel is supported especially for the AONB which has abundant sources of wood, in woodland that requires management to maintain its quality. Other biofuels are possible but need to be aware of the possible impacts on landscape and land use for instance changing the visual quality of the AONB by new and unfamiliar crops and farming/management techniques. The important consideration is determining what types of renewable sources are appropriate and efficient and provide a desired level of return on the investment and impacts on landscape. A calculation is required that judges the gain from the renewable source against the cost to landscape and the wider environment, in order to justify the development. All renewables have a cost and impact, the question is does the return justify that cost?

Reason for comment:
If viable and achievable then a higher aspiration target would give a strong positive signal to developers and could be associated with the eco-homes - eco-newtowns ideas. As noted in comments on 2A, the renewable source must be shown to provide sufficient benefit to outweigh the impact and cost of it on the environment and landscape - it is no good erecting wind turbines if the wind speeds aren't constant enough. All renewables have significant impacts on the environment and landscape and a higher target needs to be justified against the increased risks, costs and impacts of achieving a higher level, and possibly be part of a wider strategy to develop carbon neutral housing and ecohomes/villages.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

**Consultee ID:** 103076  
Mr Shaw  
High Weald AONB Unit,  
Woodlands Enterprise Centre

**Part of Document:** Option 3a

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for Comment:**
Such brownfield sites may help deliver the high housing figure requirements and reduce pressure on the AONB, however employment space is still a prime requirement. Given the small scale nature of employment in the district then some mixed use type development or a more scattered and small scale employment provision policy will help balanced the equation of jobs vs homes, i.e. release large brownfield sites for housing but encourage and promote more small scale sites for small employment and mixed use activity to provide more local employment. Such small local mixed use type developments could contribute to AONB objectives by delivering more sustainable patterns of rural live-work if focussed on the traditional dispersed settlement pattern of the High Weald.

---

**Consultee ID:** 103076  
Mr Shaw  
High Weald AONB Unit,  
Woodlands Enterprise Centre

**Part of Document:** Option 3b

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for Comment:**
Protection of existing employment uses should be a central plank of employment use policy.

---

**Consultee ID:** 103076  
Mr Shaw  
High Weald AONB Unit,  
Woodlands Enterprise Centre

**Part of Document:** Option 3d

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for Comment:**
Employment provision is vital and ideally popualtion growth should be balanced by employment opportunities. However careful consideration to where and how these jobs are provided is necessary. Not all areas can accommodate the required levels of growth and the type of employment necessary needs to be appropriate to the area. The High Weald AONB needs small scale local employment opportunities, with a proportion related to local land based commercial activites to support traditional land management of the High weald landscape. Such focussed small scale employment provision is also a key factor in developing sustainable communities.
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Settlement are a convenient planning tool but it is not clear on what basis the boundary has been drawn, and whether it reflects the historic character and previous growth and change in the settlement, all of which have grown organically over centuries. Boundaries that are too rigid, tight and do not reflect the historic patterns and character of the village will be as harmful to its character as removing them entirely. A rapid characterisation of the villages could inform the future growth and constraints within them and reflect how the settlements fit within the landscape, and how they can be allowed to develop in keeping with that character. That character may also inform how the settlement relates to and interacts with its rural hinterland. Villages do not exist in isolation and smaller hamlets and farmsteads have a functional relationship with the villages, particularly in the High Weald AONB. In turn villages have a relationship with the towns. Where a village is constrained by its character and landscape alternative development in the immediate neighbourhood may provide an alternative to support the local needs and sustainable needs of the smaller communities which are so important in Wealden.

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
As noted in response to 5A the dispersed settlement pattern surrounding the villages is a key feature of the High Weald AONB, and where development can support AONB objectives for traditional land management and sustainable rural communities these dispersed farmsteads and hamlets could provide locations for development that respects the character of the AONB. Such small communities also have a functional relationship with the villages, forming clusters with the village as a nucleus that provide a wide range of sustainable benefits, whilst also providing local housing. Any development in these locations would have to meet strict criteria and should not be completely free market housing but be controlled to provide local homes for local people and support local based and land based industry and overall demonstrate a contribution to the conservation and enhancement of the AONB.
Reason for comment:
The issues of split should relate both to local character and the attributes of each area - a single target for a diverse district such as Wealden is too crude. Both the AONB's have different demands and features that will probably require different approaches / ratios. Similarly the non AONB rural area and towns may require a different split. As noted 50% of the population is already rural and this should be reflected in the figures. Generally in the High Weald AONB a target for brownfield housing is going to be hard to achieve which will place a greater burden on other areas to achieve the same overall target figure. For the High Weald conversely because of its special qualities a higher proportion should be rural to prevent large scale or major housing development occuring simply to meet a target figure that doesn't reflect the sustainable nature of this area.

Reason for comment:
Village and settlement hierarchy should be based on a wider range of factors and respond to a local definition of sustainability that reflects local distinctiveness. For instance the commuting patterns in Wealden mean that most residents commute in certain patterns and any accessibility criteria should reflect this as well as the geography of the small towns. Wealden lacks a major central service centre and relies on large service centre's beyond the district boundaries. The hierarchy should reflect this. Additionally the hierarchy does not take account of the local character and landscape setting of the various settlements and how they contribute to the setting of the AONB. Some settlements may be constrained by the landscape and local character so that while they may score well on basic accessibility and services the immediate local conditions may mitigate these conditions.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shaw</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103076</td>
<td>High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- ☑️ option
- Option 7b

#### Section of Document:

**Your View** ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Agree in general but classification should take account of landscape and local character and the human geography of the settlement - what its area of influence is, what area/zone around it that it forms a cluster with, where people relate to and travel to for goods and service, TTW patterns etc. The landscape designation should also be reflected and perhaps rather than just a major restriction as indicated, an additional or more stricter criteria based approach to reflect, reinforce and respond to the local character could serve the areas better.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shaw</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103076</td>
<td>High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- ☑️ option
- Option 7c

#### Section of Document:

**Your View** ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Only where a complete and robust assessment of the potential - including landscape and local sustainability indicators - have been taken into account. The present analysis is statistically correct but fails to take a wider range of factors into account. The assessment of growth opportunities is therefore not sufficient to make these judgements on. Again, a blanket approach does not reflect local circumstances and character.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shaw</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103076</td>
<td>High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- ☑️ option
- Option 7d

#### Section of Document:

**Your View** ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Support the protection of existing commercial uses, but concern over the tests to show viability and how it can work in practice (ie not be overly restrictive)
**Consultee ID:** Mr Shaw
103076 High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre

**Part of document:** 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 8d

**Reason for comment:**
This should be fully explored as an option to relieve pressure on other areas, a properly planned new ecotown could be a positive asset to the district and assist in ameliorating the housing pressure on the rest of the countryside.

**Consultee ID:** Mr Shaw
103076 High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre

**Part of document:** 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 9c

**Reason for comment:**
A more dispersed pattern that reflects local character will reduce the overall impact on the urban areas that have already absorbed high levels of development in recent years.

**Consultee ID:** Mr Shaw
103076 High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre

**Part of document:** 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Question 11f

**Reason for comment:**
Development should respect the AONB boundary.
Consultee ID: Mr Shaw
103076 High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre

Option 12c
Reason for comment:
Object to this proposal for a major development within the AONB contrary to national planning policy

Consultee ID: Mr Shaw
103076 High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre

Option 12d
Reason for comment:
Object to major development within the AONB contrary to national policy, development of this size would not be complimentary to the local rural character

Consultee ID: Mr Shaw
103076 High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre

Option 12e
Reason for comment:
Object to this major development within the AONB contrary to national planning policy including the degradation and breaching of the AONB boundary
Consultee ID: Mr Shaw
103076 High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Object to this major development within the AONB contrary to national planning policy including the degradation and breaching of the AONB boundary.

Consultee ID: Mr Shaw
103076 High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 12g

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
AONB constraints are particularly severe on Heathfield and any development of the scales indicated will breach the AONB boundary and encroach upon the AONB or are totally within the AONB and thus in national policy terms unacceptable as they constitute major development. Alternative provision and locations for this scale of housing have to be considered and demonstrated to be unviable before these sites can be considered.

Consultee ID: Mr Shaw
103076 High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Levels of development indicated are high for an AONB settlement. Work to understand the character and landscape context would be required to demonstrate that any development of this scale could be accommodated without severe impact on the AONB. Development should also reflect and support AONB objectives and maintainance of traditional land management - stock urban style residential developments would probably not be acceptable.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shaw</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 18k**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

General support for the concept of a new settlement approach as it may provide a quantum of housing and reduce pressure on other sensitive areas. If well planned and designed, perhaps conforming to the eco home - village concept, it could be an asset to the district. No comments on individual locations as these are beyond the remit of the High Weald AONB (given that they are all sited outside of the AONB!) Concern that the concept may be pursued as a 'ghost' option and later dropped - their is some scepticism about the commitment to this approach due to its potential sensitivity on locations identified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shaw</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19a**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✔ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Levels of development indicated are very large for an AONB settlement. A lot of work to understand the town's character and landscape context would be required to demonstrate that any development of this scale could be accommodated without severe impact on the AONB. The role and relationship of the town with Ashdown Forest is also critical. Development would also have to reflect and support AONB objectives and maintenance of traditional land management - stock urban style residential developments would probably not be acceptable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shaw</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19b**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✔ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Levels of development indicated are high for an AONB settlement. Work to understand the character and landscape context would be required to demonstrate that any development of this scale could be accommodated without severe impact on the AONB. Development should also reflect and support AONB objectives and maintenance of traditional land management - stock urban style residential developments would probably not be acceptable.
Levels of development indicated are high for an AONB settlement. Work to understand the character and landscape context would be required to demonstrate that any development of this scale could be accommodated without severe impact on the AONB. Development should also reflect and support AONB objectives and maintenance of traditional land management - stock urban style residential developments would probably not be acceptable.

Development in or around Ashdown Forest should both reflect and support the objectives of the AONB Management Plan but also support and assist the Ashdown Forest Management Plan and objectives for the future of the forest.
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Levels of development indicated are high for an AONB settlement. Work to understand the character and landscape context would be required to demonstrate that any development of this scale could be accommodated without severe impact on the AONB. Development should also reflect and support AONB objectives and maintainance of traditional land management - stock urban style residential developments would probably not be acceptable.
Consultee ID: Mr Shaw
103076 High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 22a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Levels of development indicated are high for an AONB settlement. Work to understand the character and landscape context would be required to demonstrate that any development of this scale could be accommodated without severe impact on the AONB. Development should also reflect and support AONB objectives and maintenance of traditional land management - stock urban style residential developments would probably not be acceptable.

Consultee ID: Mr Shaw
103076 High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 22b
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Levels of development indicated are high for an AONB settlement. Work to understand the character and landscape context would be required to demonstrate that any development of this scale could be accommodated without severe impact on the AONB. Development should also reflect and support AONB objectives and maintenance of traditional land management - stock urban style residential developments would probably not be acceptable.

Consultee ID: Mr Shaw
103076 High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 22c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Levels of development indicated are high for an AONB settlement. Work to understand the character and landscape context would be required to demonstrate that any development of this scale could be accommodated without severe impact on the AONB. Development should also reflect and support AONB objectives and maintenance of traditional land management - stock urban style residential developments would probably not be acceptable.
Levels of development indicated are high for an AONB settlement. Work to understand the character and landscape context would be required to demonstrate that any development of this scale could be accommodated without severe impact on the AONB. Development should also reflect and support AONB objectives and maintainance of traditional land management - stock urban style residential developments would probably not be acceptable.

Support

Support
Consultee ID: Mr Shaw
103076
High Weald AONB Unit, Woodlands Enterprise Centre

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Support

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson
103089

Part of document: □ document ☑ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Location Plan

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I don't think any new housing should be built in areas other than brownfield sites until something is done about second homes, holiday homes, multiple buy to let ownership.

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson
103089

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I don't think any extra housing should be done until something is done about empty housing, second homes, holiday homes and multiple buy to let ownership.

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson
103089

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 2a

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Until someone publishes information on pay back time, in toher words publish how much energy is used in constructing the various projects and how long the project will take to pay back the energy used in construction Until then it would be far better to improve building regulations on insulation, draughts etc. It would also be useful if Wealden published its views on energy pricing; currently it gets cheaper the more you use; in a sustainable economy it should get more expensive the more you use,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pierson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Option 2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Until someone publishes information on pay back time, in other words publish how much energy is used in constructing the various projects and how long the project will take to pay back the energy used in construction. Until then it would be far better to improve building regulations on insulation, draughts etc. It would also be useful if Wealden published its views on energy pricing; currently it gets cheaper the more you use; in a sustainable economy it should get more expensive the more you use.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pierson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Option 3a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>unless it is a brownfield site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pierson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Option 3b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pierson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Option 3c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Pierson Agent ID: 103089

Part of document: Option 4b

Section of Document: 

Reason for comment:
I am worried that urban sprawl will be unstoppable into the rural countryside for any areas north of A27. I quote from the report: ‘An unspoiled landscape of the highest quality and diversity reflecting its historic evolution An historic and cultural heritage conserved for posterity as an essential component of local distinctiveness A serene and peaceful landscape with extensive dark night skies’ or are these put in just for reassurance and not to be acted on.

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson Agent ID: 103089

Part of document: Option 4c

Section of Document: 

Reason for comment:
would not create any new planning precedents

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson Agent ID: 103089

Part of document: Option 4d

Section of Document: 

Reason for comment:
again it would start urban sprawl into areas that have no natural I quote from the report: ‘An unspoiled landscape of the highest quality and diversity reflecting its historic evolution An historic and cultural heritage conserved for posterity as an essential component of local distinctiveness A serene and peaceful landscape with extensive dark night skies’ or are these put in just for reassurance and not to be acted on.
Mr Pierson

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The boundaries should be maintained. No qualification. I refer again to 'An unspoiled landscape of the highest quality and diversity reflecting its historic evolution. An historic and cultural heritage conserved for posterity as an essential component of local distinctiveness. A serene and peaceful landscape with extensive dark night skies' or are these put in just for reassurance and not to be acted on.

---

Mr Pierson

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Unless of course the existing planning procedures and green belt policies are weakened

---

Mr Pierson

Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All of Q6 is very poor and seems to be pushing developments rather than the plan stated aims of 'pure unpolluted air, soil and water to allow the landscape and wildlife of the South Downs to be maintained Sustainable Management of the Land Supported by the necessary Skills and Expertise A buoyant local economy supported by and directly contributing to the management of natural beauty and its enjoyment' These aims should be paramount rather than making up figures about splits. Wide ranging opportunities for countryside recreation and access respecting the natural beauty of the South Downs and avoiding conflicts with other uses.
Question 7e

Reason for comment:
I think all q 7 takes our focus away from the stated aims of An unspoiled landscape of the highest quality and diversity reflecting its historic evolution An historic and cultural heritage conserved for posterity as an essential component of local distinctiveness A serene and peaceful landscape with extensive dark night skies A landscape rich in wildlife with extensive swathes of interlinking habitat managed to maximise benefits for nature Pure unpolluted air, soil and water to allow the landscape and wildlife of the South Downs to be maintained Sustainable Management of the Land Supported by the necessary Skills and Expertise A buoyant local economy supported by and directly contributing to the management of natural beauty and its enjoyment Wide ranging opportunities for countryside recreation and access respecting the natural beauty of the South Downs and avoiding conflicts with other uses.

Option 8a

Reason for comment:
but only if there are very clear boundaries are established

Option 8b

Reason for comment:
but only if it is restricted to the existing A22 ribbon development
Consultee ID: Mr Pierson  
Agent ID:  
Part of document: Option 8c  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: would create ribbon development along the A22

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson  
Agent ID:  
Part of document: Option 8d  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: would create even more urban sprawl

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson  
Agent ID:  
Part of document: Question Ten  
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: this should not be done until empty houses, second homes and holiday homes issues have been addressed

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson  
Agent ID:  
Part of document: Option 11a  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Pierson
103089

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
ribbon development along burwash rd

---

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson
103089

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson
103089

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson
103089

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
aonb!
Consultee ID: 103089
Mr Pierson
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
ribbon development in aonb

Consultee ID: 103089
Mr Pierson
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
ribbon development in aonb

Consultee ID: 103089
Mr Pierson
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
only if a clear boundary is established

Consultee ID: 103089
Mr Pierson
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Pierson
103089

Part of document: Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson
103089

Part of document: Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson
103089

Part of document: Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson
103089

Part of document: Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Pierson  
Agent ID: 103089  
Part of document:  
Option 14f  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson  
Agent ID: 103089  
Part of document:  
Option 14g  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson  
Agent ID: 103089  
Part of document:  
Option 14h  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson  
Agent ID: 103089  
Part of document:  
Question 15g  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment: 
alot of Hailsham is below sea level and so unsuitable for development from a flooding issue. The table confirms that all development areas are unsuitable if Wealden is seri0us about preserving its special character. If development has to occur somewhere then 15c would seem the best option because it is infilling Haisham's boundaries and wouldn't extend urban sprawl into undeveloped countryside
Consultee ID: Mr Pierson
103089

Part of document:  
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
create urban sprawl in an AONB

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson
103089

Part of document:  
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Polegate, apart from 16g would seem unsuitable because all sites would contribute to urban ribbon development. 16 f would seem to be the best bet as there are natural barriers and provide infill. But what a shame to lose that lovely of green space

Consultee ID: Mr Pierson
103089

Part of document:  
Question 17d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Stone cross has already been developed horrendously and unsensitively so any future development can't make things much worse. I think it is important that the A27 is the boundary ie all development is south of it
Question 6d

Reason for comment:
Some new building should take place in the villages to enable them to remain viable and to provide housing for local young people, particularly affordable housing. There should be no fixed percentage because some villages have a greater need and greater ability to absorb more houses.

Option 18j

Reason for comment:
With transport links as they are in this area I believe the only option is to provide an entirely new settlement with easy access to the railway. Berwick is the only settlement which fits this criteria and could be used for a new town. Berwick is also not in an area subject to any degree of flooding. Berwick also has reasonable access to the A27 and A22.

Transport Needs

Reason for comment:
Our main problem in wealden is infrastucture with 4 extremely inadequate A roads. It is unlikely that the transport links will be improved and this discourages business from locating here, leading to even more commuting. Upgrading the A27 is vital, but unlikely to happen.
Consultee ID: 103121
Mr Wheeler
Field End Residents Association

Section of Document: Location Plan

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Whilst 10% is good to achieve why no try to reach a higher level if possible
### Option 3c

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

For precisley the reason stated. Close liason in communities involved is essential and a proper explanation of the benefits and also balancing side effects.

### Option 4b

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

### Option 5a

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

### Option 6a

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

It is clear that an urban location is a better in particular for Low Cost and affordable rentable homes. Although some new development in rural locations is highly desirable to maintain expansion for the economic feasability of local services and the maintenance of family links again in the affordable rentable, and low cost homes category.
Option 7a

Reason for comment:
Whilst villages may have the "local services" that are described it may be that those are badly operated or not being of sufficient standard that the community does not actually use them and I think that an additional criteria should be added to judge these to see if they are effective.

Option 7b

Option 7d

Reason for comment:
If those premises are not protected then villages will die. Of course we must accept that there might be some difficulty in finding operators for them and if it can be proven that sufficient effort has been made to find an operator then it may be it can no longer be protected.
Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler  
103121 Field End Residents Association

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8b

Section of Document: Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment: For the reasons stated

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler  
103121 Field End Residents Association

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9a

Section of Document: Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler  
103121 Field End Residents Association

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler  
103121 Field End Residents Association

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11a

Section of Document: Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment: 
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Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler  
103121 Field End Residents Association

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Question 11g

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler  
103121 Field End Residents Association

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Option 12a

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler  
103121 Field End Residents Association

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Question 12h

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler  
103121 Field End Residents Association

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Option 14a

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field End Residents Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field End Residents Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field End Residents Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The best option by far. This could lead to better shopping facilities being attracted to the Town. The opportunity to substantially increase the availability of Low Cost to buy and affordable rentable homes where they are most needed to serve the industrial and retail area and public services.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field End Residents Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler  
103121  Field End Residents Association

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 16b

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler  
103121  Field End Residents Association

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 16e

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler  
103121  Field End Residents Association

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 16g

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler  
103121  Field End Residents Association

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Question 16i

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler
103121 Field End Residents Association

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler
103121 Field End Residents Association

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler
103121 Field End Residents Association

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler
103121 Field End Residents Association

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Sustainability and may help with attracting a better local services ie Surgery, school improvements, bus service etc.
Option 21a

Reason for comment:
As already said the land is available and there is no other perceived use of it. It is derelict and unsightly. This would give the opportunity to create a development of exemplary design and complimentary to the village centre. There would also necessarily be the opportunity to design through traffic from the village. It would enable the Conservation Area to be expanded and improved. Some access could be off the A22 but no egress. Additional access and egress could be via modifications at the beginning of School Hill and direct access to the village centre via School Hill could be prevented making it unattractive for through traffic. A strict limit on development numbers and a new sustainable development boundary could be made and the development which emerged could be contained to blend into the Historic centre of the village and an extended recreation ground and being surrounded on three sides with accessible open space. Further comments: We agree with this. However we would want to emphasise that no more than about 300 homes of mixed dwellings including affordable for rent, buy and market units of various sizes. This 300 should include the 80 that already have outline planning on Park Farm. this should be amalgamated into a larger plan and we would like to encourage those developers to join into a working relationship with the landowners planners and the design consultants for a larger scheme beyond but including Park Farm. We feel that for this site a scheme should be produced that will take the whole of the land available to build a permeable development for pedestrian and cycle access but using an imaginative traffic scheme designing through traffic out of the village with only 40% developed and the remaining 60% as usable public space with access to and over it from several points in the village. The public land being held in a trust in perpetuity. We also think that the rentable homes in the Housing Association sector should be limited to a proven local need but that low cost or part share ownership should form a larger percentage than the norm to bring in younger residents and give them an opportunity to experience and make a contribution to rural life. Additionally this particular site we feel is an opportunity to create a development of exemplary design integrated sympathetically with an expanded Maresfield Conservation Area (currently too tightly drawn). To also include an extension to the recreation ground and a footbridge over School Hill giving direct access to the school and other footpaths from the development and planned open space.

Option 21c

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler  
103121  
Field End Residents Association  
Part of document: ☑ chapter  
Section of Document: Glossary  
Your View ☑ Agree  
Reason for comment:  
Respondent suggests that the villages of Ripe, Laughton, Chalvington and Eckington Corner be considered as a cluster suitable for housing development. The respondent is promoting land to the SE of Ripe for development.

Consultee ID: Mr Ide  
103128  
Batchellor Thacker  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document: Option 7c  
Your View ☑ No opinion  
Reason for comment:  
Laughton should be identified for some growth.

Consultee ID: Mr Ide  
103128  
Batchellor Thacker  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document: Question 7e  
Your View ☑ No opinion  
Reason for comment:  
Adverse impact on existing employment premises which are important for Heathfield. Suggest need for designating key office frontages.
Landowner is promoting land east of Station Road and south of the Waste Water Treatment plant.

An area of land is proposed for development as part of this area of search. It is argued that otherwise this area will become urban fringe.

Respondant owns some 8 acres of land within area 17a and wishes to promote development.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ide</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Batchellor Thacker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  
Question 22e

**Reason for comment:**  
respondent owns land west of Horam and is promoting it for development (possibly as part of a mixed use scheme linking to existing recreational activity centre). This would be less intrusive than South Horam and is outside of the AONB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ide</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Batchellor Thacker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  
Question 23f

**Reason for comment:**  
land to the north and south of Laughton Lodge hospital is suitable for development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ide</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Batchellor Thacker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  
Option 26b

**Reason for comment:**  
Landowner is promoting land east of Station Road and south of the Waste Water Treatment works, Hailsham as a possible site for travellers/gypsies.
Consultee ID: Mr Holmes-Siedle  
103136

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Part 1

Section of Document:  
Context

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Item 1.14. Sustainability. Am concerned about the slavish belief in the fragile science which claims that significant contributions to climate change are being made by human activities. These considerations must not be allowed to distort or restrain the economic development of Wealden with particular reference to the service industries and tourism.

Consultee ID: Mr Holmes-Siedle  
103136

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Part 1

Section of Document:  
Context

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Item 1.24 Support full compliance with National/Regional targets for housing provision, including overhangs from previously unfulfilled Plans but only if housing densities are substantially increased in both newbuild and redevelopment.

Consultee ID: Mr Holmes-Siedle  
103136

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question One

Section of Document:  
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Holmes-Siedle  
Agent ID: 103136

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Question One**

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The settlements that the Strategy must protect got there by a process of development. They are living organisms and will become as lifeless as any museum piece if preserved in some kind of planning amber. Obviously any development proposals will require tight development control procedures with special reference to location, scale, texture and all the rest, including the "genius loci".

---

Consultee ID: Mr Holmes-Siedle  
Agent ID: 103136

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Option 3c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Consultee ID: Mr Holmes-Siedle  
Agent ID: 103136

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Option 2a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

While 0% (yes, nought) would be preferable, the South East Plan is presumably enforceable. Significant human-generated carbon contribution to climate change is a myth. For as long as renewables are uneconomic, inefficient, unsightly and subversive of orthodox generating systems their use is profoundly harmful.

---

Consultee ID: Mr Holmes-Siedle  
Agent ID: 103136

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Option 3a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The first essential of any policy is that it should be realistic.
Consultee ID: Mr Holmes-Siedle 103136

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✓ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Assuming that the reference to "market need" in the question is to a continuing need for employment.

Consultee ID: Mr Holmes-Siedle 103136

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✓ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Provided that "appropriate" means avoiding the past miscalculations as to light industrial and business park demand to which your text refers. The whole work-life balance thing depends on maximising locally available work for residents to the extent that a locality can attract residents and work opportunities which are compatible.

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild 103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✓ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild 103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✓ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---
Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
103137

Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
103137

Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
103137

Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
103137

Part of document: Question 5c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree
Reason for comment:
Boundaries over the last forty years have negatively restricted progress in Upper Dicker.
Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
103137
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Many villages need expansion to survive.

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
103137
Part of document: ☑ option
Question 6d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
103137
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
103137
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
## Option 7c

### Section of Document:

#### Your View

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

## Option 9c

### Section of Document:

#### Your View

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

## Option 7d

### Section of Document:

#### Your View

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

## Option 8a

### Section of Document:

#### Your View

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---
Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Section of Document:

Option 8b

Your View [✓] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Section of Document:

Option 8c

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Section of Document:

Option 8d

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Section of Document:

Option 9a

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
Consultee ID: 103137

Part of document: Option 9b
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
Consultee ID: 103137

Part of document: Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
Consultee ID: 103137

Part of document: Option 15e
Section of Document: Option 15e
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
Consultee ID: 103137

Part of document: Option 15b
Section of Document: Option 15b
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 15h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild Agent ID:
103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild Agent ID:
103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild Agent ID:
103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild Agent ID:
103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Goodchild</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Goodchild</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Goodchild</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 17a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Goodchild</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 103137
Mrs Goodchild
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103137
Mrs Goodchild
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103137
Mrs Goodchild
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103137
Mrs Goodchild
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 19c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 19e

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 20a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
Consultee ID: 103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
Consultee ID: 103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 20b
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
Consultee ID: 103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 20c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
Consultee ID: 103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:
Your View   ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 21c

Section of Document:
Your View   ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:
Your View   ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:
Your View   ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild  Agent ID: 103137

Part of document:  Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild  Agent ID: 103137

Part of document:  Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild  Agent ID: 103137

Part of document:  Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild  Agent ID: 103137

Part of document:  Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document
- Chapter
- Section
- Option

**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document
- Chapter
- Section
- Option

**Option 24b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document
- Chapter
- Section
- Option

**Option 24c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document
- Chapter
- Section
- Option

**Option 24d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103137</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103137</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103137</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Goodchild</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103137</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
Agent ID: 103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
Agent ID: 103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
Agent ID: 103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild
Agent ID: 103137

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild  
103137  
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild  
103137  
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild  
103137  
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild  
103137  
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild  
Consultee ID: 103137  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 27d  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild  
Consultee ID: 103137  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 27e  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild  
Consultee ID: 103137  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 27f  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild  
Consultee ID: 103137  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 28a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Page 221 of 6217
Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild 103137

Part of document:  Option 28b

Section of Document:  Agent ID:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild 103137

Part of document:  Option 28c

Section of Document:  Agent ID:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild 103137

Part of document:  Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document:  Housing Delivery  Agent ID:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodchild 103137

Part of document:  Question Thirty

Section of Document:  Infrastructure Requirements  Agent ID:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Mrs Goodchild 103137

Question 31a

Agree

Villages need to expand in order to preserve heritage.

Mr Watkins 103140 Kitewood Estates Ltd

Question One

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Agree

Additional development is appropriate in view of infrastructure and highway capacity constraints. Support development in Crowborough, Heathfield and periphery of Tunbridge Wells. Limited development appropriate at Wadhurst and Forest Row.
Option 2b

Reason for comment:
Disagree with higher energy target of 20% in light of limitations on Wealden’s potential.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
Existing allocations should for business parks at Maresfield and Polegate should not be limited to B1a uses only, but should be capable of accommodating the full range of employment uses including B1, B2 and B8 uses and other employment uses such as motor dealership, and trade counter facilities.

Option 3b

Reason for comment:
Clear need for additional employment premises, but if sites are not coming forward they should not necessarily be protected but alternative sites should be found.

Option 3c

Reason for comment:
For new business development the focus should be securing the full range of business spaces but we can concur that there is significant shortage of premises suitable for small and medium size business in the County.
Mr Watkins
Kitewood Estates Ltd

Option 3d

New housing development should be balanced with the appropriate level of new business development across the whole district. It is not necessarily for the balance to be by town-by-town because some communities will be created by development in various parts of the district and indeed by adjoining towns and settlements.

Mr Watkins
Kitewood Estates Ltd

The Sussex Coast Economy

Disagree with development of north west of Polegate. Development of Polegate should take place towards Stone Cross (1968 plan). Concept of strategic gap between Polegate and Stone Cross is no longer a tenable proposition.

Mr Watkins
Kitewood Estates Ltd

Option 4a

Disagree

Mr Watkins
Kitewood Estates Ltd

Option 4b

Disagree
Option 4c

Settlement boundaries should be maintained but expanded around those settlements, which are seen to be suitable for further expansion. Should still permit development for affordable housing beyond settlement boundaries in those areas which have identified local housing need as per the existing local housing policy of the non-statutory plan.
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Extent of development already allocated for Uckfield, Hailsham and Polegate means a greater burden should be placed on other towns/villages in the district.

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Market towns of the High Weald AONB are severely constrained by the AONB policies. If scale of development proposed in the Local Plan is to be accommodated, some further growth of these settlements must be accepted. We would see Heathfield expanding and Crowborough.

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Do not believe that the planning policies can create the provision of village services. The viability of shops for example is outside of control of the local planning authority.
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Next range of development should be in Polegate, Willigdon and the edge of Eastbourne area. Opposed to development of west Polegate as it intrudes into area of South Downs. Demand for a new rail halt in Stone Cross.

Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Uckfield should be the focus particularly to the north of the town due to flooding reasons and the proximity of the secondary school. Significant constraints on large-scale development at Uckfield and therefore some additional growth should be accommodated also at Crowborough and Heathfield.

Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Area off 11d to the south east of Crowborough between Allbrook and Jarvis Brook is the most sustainable location. Most convenient area for access to railway station, is self enclosed and principally outside AONB.
Wherever development takes place in Heathfield area there will be an effect on AONB. Significantly self contained sites to east of the town and to the north of Heathfield Park. This will impinge on this strategic gap, but we do not believe the strategic gap can be contained in the context of the significant development that the District needs to accommodate.

Support a natural extension of the town outside of the AONB boundary- most sustainable location for development in the District.

Surface water retention concerns- future flooding. Development to north of town has good access to existing school and has land suitable for a new sixth form college. Ringles Cross area for further residential development. Park and Ride facility at Buxted could alleviate traffic in Uckfield town centre.
Option 15e

Reason for comment:
New link road between South Road and Ersham Road. May require an enlarged roundabout. We would envisage 1,500 dwellings needed to accommodate the infrastructure required.

Option 16a

Reason for comment:
Intrusion into open countryside- context of seeking a road link through this area, crossing the railway line together with a possible new railway station presents major difficulties and should not be entertained.

Option 16b

Reason for comment:
Intrusion into open countryside- context of seeking a road link through this area, crossing the railway line together with a possible new railway station presents major difficulties and should not be entertained.

Option 16d

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
103140 Kitewood Estates Ltd

Option 16e

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 17a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Propose a modified 17A, which incorporates the area around the junction of the Dittons Road A22 and A27.

Question 18k

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Peripheral development to exist in settlements is a much better alternative as it enables sequential growth to be undertaken over time which serve existing facilities as and when new houses are built in large estates all at once which causes significant problems of providing adequate facilities.

Question 19f

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Forest Row could accommodate some development- although would be an intrusion into adjoining AONB. Nutley and Dane Hill have few facilities and so would be inappropriate locations. Groombridge is significantly constrained, however it does have some facilities so could accommodate some affordable housing. Similarly Hartfield could accommodate some additional affordable housing.
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Wadhurst one of most appropriate villages with opportunities for future development. Due to nature of town, we would suggest the area between the village and the railway station where there is currently a gap- possible creation of pedestrian/cycle links from village to railway station.

Option 21g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Maresfield and Five Ash Down not sustainable locations for development. Buxted is on railway and has reasonable village facilities, so further development should be considered. In regard to surrounding villages, we do not support significant development, other than in the context of the affordable housing provision.

Option 23a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
We support Option 23.
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
103140 Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document:  
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It is appropriate that the development should try and be sympathetic in relationship to their surroundings and therefore we contend that density should be maintained in the district of between 30 and 35 dwellings per hectare on Greenfield extension sites.

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
103140 Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document:  
Question 23f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☒ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Most significant village is Herstmonceux and should accommodate further development- even though AONB will be intruded. Lower Horsebridge, Westerham, Ninfield, and Upper Dicker are all suitable for some affordable housing, but they could be undertaken by proposed extensions beyond the urban areas as exception sites.

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
103140 Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document:  
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
In more urban locations densities of 40 to 45 dwellings per hectare are more appropriate.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
103140 Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document: ☑ section
Section of Document: Housing Needs - Affordable Housing

Reason for comment:
Development should principally be a mix of housing and flats providing the full range of development from one to five bedroom properties. Market demand in Wealden is principally for 3 and 4 bedroom homes. Affordable housing should be 30% of the total development. Support the principle of larger exception sites on the periphery of towns to provide more affordable homes.

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
103140 Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The units should be of all types.

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
103140 Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Threshold for all units should be 24 units or more above which 30% should be in the form of affordable housing. In villages this could be reduced, but not on a one to one basis. Threshold in villages could be reduced to 10 dwellings or more requiring 30% affordable dwellings.

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
103140 Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
We believe that full exceptions sites for some of the towns should also be entertained as after all these are the principle sustainable locations for development.
Option 25e

Section of Document:
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document: Option 25e

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Sustainability of development should relate to the location of bus facilities and the railway facilities, we therefore support option 25e new development in many cases actually supports facilities which are marginal and increases the services which in turn increases their use.

Option 25f

Section of Document:
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document: Option 25f

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 27a

Section of Document:
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document: Option 27a

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Sustainability of development should relate to the location of bus facilities and the railway facilities, we therefore support option 27a new development in many cases actually supports facilities which are marginal and increases the services which in turn increases their use.

Option 27d

Section of Document:
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document: Option 27d

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins 103140 Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document: Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Suggest that this need not be in Polegate but should be at Stone Cross.

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins 103140 Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document: Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The park and ride facility should be provided in Wealden to serve Tunbridge Wells and Eastbourne. Tunbridge Wells in particular needs new additional park and ride facilities and there should be joined up thinking regarding the two Districts. Parking standards should be applied as per the Government current guidance seeking to reduce parking standards.

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins 103140 Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We do not believe it is appropriate for the LDF to seek to change the existing planning law with regards to the limitations on planning permissions. They should be maintained at the existing time consents and clearly we see no reason or justification how or why the Local Planning Authority should force development, which is often constrained by many other reasons than planning consents. We disagree strongly with the proposals therefore set out in Section 7.1 to 7.11.
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
103140  
Kitewood Estates Ltd

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☑ section  ☐ option

Section of Document:  
Possible Spatial Objectives for Wealden

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
Safeguard existing strategic gaps between settlements.

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  
103150

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Option 4a

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☒ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  
103150

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Question One

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  
103150

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Option 2a

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 3a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 3b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 3c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 3d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 103150
Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103150
Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103150
Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103150
Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View** | ✓ Agree | □ No opinion | □ Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View** | ✓ Agree | □ No opinion | □ Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View** | ✓ Agree | □ No opinion | □ Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 103150  Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Part of document: Option 8d

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103150  Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Part of document: Option 9a

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103150  Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Part of document: Option 9a

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103150  Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Part of document: Option 9b

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 9b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 11a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 11c
Section of Document:
Your View   ☑ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 11d
Section of Document:
Your View   ☑ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 11e
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 12d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 12e</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 12f</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question 12h</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: option

Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: option

Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: option

Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: option

Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Consultee ID: 103150
Part of document: Option 14c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Consultee ID: 103150
Part of document: Option 14d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Consultee ID: 103150
Part of document: Option 14e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Consultee ID: 103150
Part of document: Option 14g
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

-------------------

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

-------------------

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

-------------------

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB 103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB 103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB 103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB 103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  
103150

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  
103150

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  
103150

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  
103150

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View

☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View

☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View

☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View

☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 103150  Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103150  Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103150  Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103150  Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 21f

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 22a

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 22b

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 22c

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB
103150

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View 

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View 

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View 

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View 

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☑ option
Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☑ option
Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 31a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB Agent ID: 103150

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 32a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Barratt OBE FCIOB

Part of document: Option 32b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Existing infrastructure must be taken into account during the development planning stage; furthermore the cost of further development must be taken into account and the financial strain upon both developer and tax payer considered. Secondly safe access to the A279 should become a priority. Residents of Wilmington are at increased risk of accidents when attempting to access this A road.

Consultee ID: Mrs Akehurst

Part of document: Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
The lane is currently at full capacity with lorries travelling to 2 industrial sites. Future developments should be along the ridge east to west. Part of the area is thriving with wildlife.

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>103167</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Blake</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>103167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Blake</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>103167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Blake</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>103167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 103167
Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 4a
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103167
Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 4c
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103167
Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 4b
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103167
Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 4d
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Mrs Blake 103167

Option 6c

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Blake 103167
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

the current proportions of 50-50.

Consultee ID:  Mrs Blake 103167
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Blake 103167
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Blake Agent ID: 103167
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake Agent ID: 103167
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake Agent ID: 103167
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake Agent ID: 103167
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8b
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [√]

**Option 8c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [√]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [√]

**Option 8d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [√]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [√]

**Option 9a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [√]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [√]

**Option 9b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [√]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Blake

**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Blake

**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Blake

**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Blake

**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Mrs Blake

Option 15d

Reason for comment:

Option 15e

Reason for comment:

Question 15g

Reason for comment:

Hailsham has no more room.

Option 15f

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Question 15h

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Question 15i

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Hailsham should be kept separate from Greater Eastbourne.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Option 18a

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Option 18b

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
Agent ID:
103167

Part of document: Question 18k

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
New settlement should have railway links.

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
Agent ID:
103167

Part of document: Option 19a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
Agent ID:
103167

Part of document: Option 19b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
Agent ID:
103167

Part of document: Option 19c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document: **
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document: **
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document: **
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document: **
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Consultee ID: Mrs. Blake

**Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103167</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td> </td>
<td><strong>Option 20b</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td> </td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103167</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td> </td>
<td><strong>Option 20c</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td> </td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103167</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td> </td>
<td><strong>Question 20d</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td> </td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103167</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td> </td>
<td><strong>Option 21a</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td> </td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
103167

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
103167

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 21c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
103167

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
103167

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
Agent ID: 103167

Part of document: Option 21f
Section of Document: 
Your View: 
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
Agent ID: 103167

Part of document: Option 22a
Section of Document: 
Your View: 
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
Agent ID: 103167

Part of document: Option 22b
Section of Document: 
Your View: 
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
Agent ID: 103167

Part of document: Option 22c
Section of Document: 
Your View: 
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
103167

Part of document:  
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
103167

Part of document:  
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
103167

Part of document:  
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
103167

Part of document:  
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23e</th>
<th>Option 24a</th>
<th>Option 24b</th>
<th>Option 24c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>103167</td>
<td>103167</td>
<td>103167</td>
<td>103167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

future developments need railway links to allieviate overburdened road systems.
We moved into this area as a result of the reorganisation of the company for which I worked some twenty years ago. Halland was chosen for its rural location and small community, as we have always lived in the country and would prefer to do so. We live on the A22 and have over the years found it an increasing problem to get out of our drive onto the main road. Like most residents we are on a bend and have limited vision. We often find frustrated motorists driving within inches of our rear thinking we have pulled out in front of them and should be moving much faster, even when we are on the speed limit. The speed camera at the eastern end, near the Black Lion, as helped, but the speeding from the western end is often extreme and the need for another camera is obvious. Your preferred solution I am sure is a bypass and if the developers are allowed to build 1800 to 2800 housed then they will fund the bypass. If such a bypass is anything like the one around East Hoathly you will have planned yet another death trap. This road is not either a dual carriageway or a good standard single road—it's something in between. It encourages motorists to drive down the centre at high speed in order to overtake what they feel are slow moving vehicles. The speed limit is very often ignored and this has resulted in many accidents. I don't blame the frustrated motorists: I blame the planners for what is a cheap and shoddy bypass. This road has become such a problem that the police now use mobile cameras, at what must be a very high cost, in an attempt to slow the traffic down. The A22 throughout its length is what can only be described as a poorly maintained country road. The vast majority of these proposed new Halland residents will, as I am sure you are aware, not be working in the local area and therefore will commute. This means probably another 5000 cars clogging up the local roads. The nearby station of Uckfield has poor services and no car parks that could take any more commuters. Lewes station has good services but parking there is also a problem. My understanding is that the local population who can find work within the area is not about to rise dramatically. We must need some growth in housing and we are not against that if it is for the local workers. Your aim as planners should be to reduce unnecessary commuting and not to encourage it. We assume that the excuse for this proposed massive quantity of houses in Halland is that the developer will be forced to provide some much needed "low cost" houses for local workers. You must be aware that private developers are in the business of making money and their track record on providing low cost housing is poor. We have at the moment two newly built so called "low cost" houses in Halland which have been on the market for a considerable time and have still to be sold. Their situation having been built on part of the Black Lion car park is a planning joke. The local residents could not believe such a development would be allowed. The parking for their future occupants is a tiny space cordoned off on the Pub car park. This parking space has poor access and is totally inadequate. In addition the Black Lion car park was never large enough for its customers before this travesty of planning was allowed. The probably result of this decision is to make this Pub's viability questionable in the longer term. Perhaps the best plan is to demolish the only Pub in the village and build more so-called "low cost" housing. A few other problems with Halland are perhaps relevant to any proposed plan. 1. There is no locally pumped gas supply. 2. The electricity supply consists of three phase and neutral, bare copper, wire running down the main road suspended between telegraph poles. This is both dangerous and unreliable. 3. The water supply is also poor and unreliable. I am sure any developer will be happy to sort these problems provided he can lose the cost and not reduce the profits they will be making.
### Consultee ID: Ms Edwards, Agent ID: 103185

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
AONB’s are precisely that, and should be maintained. Once you allow "some development" within them, it sets a precedent for further development.

### Consultee ID: Ms Edwards, Agent ID: 103185

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Option 2b

**Your View**  
✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Surely an effective way to reduce our carbon footprint is to specify higher standards in building construction and to encourage a new way forward in energy consumption.

### Consultee ID: Ms Edwards, Agent ID: 103185

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Question 5c

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
“Village boundaries must be maintained. In the larger towns, if the amenities can support further growth and AONBS are not affected then sensitive expansion could be considered. The need for affordable housing is being used too often to destroy beautiful countryside. In reality, often only a tiny fraction of the development is affordable, the rest equals yet more big profits for developers and investors.”
Option 6a
Reason for comment:
A town has more infrastructure to absorb the expansion.

Option 19a
Reason for comment:

Option 24a
Reason for comment:

Option 24b
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103185</td>
<td></td>
<td>103185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 24c

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- "To be offered for sale as first homes, but legislation is needed to prevent 'buy to let'."

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103185</td>
<td></td>
<td>103185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 25a

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103185</td>
<td></td>
<td>103185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 25f

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Smither</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103203</td>
<td></td>
<td>103203</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Question One
- Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree
Consultee ID: Mr Smither
103203

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
- Any new settlement would necessitate considerable investment in infrastructure.
- A continuation of organic expansion around conurbations would seem both sensible and achievable.
- A key issue for any future development is the critical necessity to locate business parks in and around towns. Easy access to available labour and supporting service facilities are vital.
- Given current target of 400 new homes per annum; c. 80 of which could be rural, it is my contention that small scale development of many villages within rural Wealden is both feasible and desirable, and a wide spread would easily achieve the overall target number with minimal change to the rural character. The above are summary points. Full comments are as included within sent letter.

Consultee ID: Mr Smither
103203

Part of document: ☑ section
Section of Document: Housing Needs - Affordable Housing

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
Housing developments should have a significant proportion of low cost and/or housing association homes.

Consultee ID: Mr Gooch
103270

Part of document: ☑ section
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Coleman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103284</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>I FEEL THAT A CARTE BLANCHE EXPANSION AS AND WHEN NECESSARY WILL NOT PROVIDE ADEQUATE CONSULTATION REQUIREMENTS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Coleman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103284</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>SUCH PLANS WOULD FALL UNDER NORMAL PLANNING APPLICATIONS, AND BE SUBJECT TO A SCRUTINY PROCESS BY LOCAL RESIDENTS.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Wilsher</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103286</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>7000 or even 4700 dwellings would desicrate the enviroment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Snowden</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 11a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Central location with the possibility of tying development of residences to provision of community resources.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Snowden</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- option 11b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Development here would damage the AONB and existing facilities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Snowden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- option 11c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
A development of anything like this size would be highly damaging to the AONB and would reduce any prospect of attracting tourist interest in the town and its surrounding countryside. It would also be too far away from the town centre to have any positive impact upon the lack of facilities for the existing population there.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Snowden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- option 11d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree

**Reason for comment:**
This area could accommodate increased development adjacent to existing housing and the improved rail line at Jarvis Brook without impinging on the AONB. But there would need to be a solution to the traffic problems on Western Road.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Snowden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- option 11e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Disagree
Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Options 11(b) and (c) present unacceptable risks to the AONB. The AONB must be preserved in order to maintain the character and attractiveness of the town and any possibility that it might attract tourist interest as a gateway to the Ashdown Forest and High Weald. New housing should be limited to the central locations 11(a) and 11(d) where there is existing urban development that could benefit from the linked provision of missing community facilities and accessible shops. Any large-scale development north of the town is also unlikely to result in regeneration of the central shops, since if you have to travel, it is just as easy to drive to Tunbridge Wells.

Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Generally agree, in particular linking development in the town centre and around Jarvis Brook with the provision of missing community facilities and so as to enable advantage to be taken of the improved rail service through Jarvis Brook. But disagree with any large scale residential developments around the edge of the town in areas of AONB and the ghyll areas. These would not bring any increased business provision and would spoil any chance of achieving increased outside interest in the town as an attractive gateway to the surrounding countryside.

Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Obvious place for consideration provided that AONB maintained.
Mr Jessup  Bells Yew Green Society

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Option 2a

Reason for comment:

I would object to wind farms, particularly on the South Downs and in the High Weald AONB. I think there is scope for solar panels to be used on new industrial/commercial buildings/flats and most new houses, but not in convseration areas or on listed buildings.

Option 2b

Option 3a

Reason for comment:

Agree

No opinion

Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Jessup Agent ID:
103310 Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Settlements without development boundaries shuld remain in that category

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup Agent ID:
103310 Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup Agent ID:
103310 Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ☑ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup Agent ID:
103310 Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID: Bells Yew Green Society</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 6b
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- **Option 6b**

**Your View:**  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID: Bells Yew Green Society</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 7a
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- **Option 7a**

**Your View:**  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID: Bells Yew Green Society</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 7b
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- **Option 7b**

**Your View:**  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID: Bells Yew Green Society</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 7c
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- **Option 7c**

**Your View:**  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Consultee ID: Mr Jessup  
103310  
Bells Yew Green Society

#### Option 7d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Question 7e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Settlements without development boundaries should remain in that category</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 8d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Option</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 9c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Option</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Jessup 
103310  
Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Option 11b

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup 
103310  
Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Option 11c

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup 
103310  
Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Option 12c

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup 
103310  
Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Option 12d

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Jessup  
103310  Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup  
103310  Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup  
103310  Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup  
103310  Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 13b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Contrary to PPG3 SNCI, access road through SNCI, density too high, increased traffic; congestion and pollution; impact on nearby AONB; inadequate infrastructure (school full); greenfield.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 14i**

**Reason for comment:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Further flooding during 2007. It is not sensible or sustainable to build on flood plains.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 14j**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 19a**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 19b**

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mr Jessup  
Bells Yew Green Society  
Agent ID: 103310

Option 19c

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 19d

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 19e

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question 21g

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

re: Five Ash Down. Any development there should not exacerbate flooding of Uckfield
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jessup</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 24b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Jessup Agent ID: 103310 Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup Agent ID: 103310 Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup Agent ID: 103310 Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 25b

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup Agent ID: 103310 Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 25c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Jessup
103310 Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:
Option 25d

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup
103310 Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:
Option 25e

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup
103310 Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:
Option 25f

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup
103310 Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:
Option 25g

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Jessup  
103310  Bells Yew Green Society  

Part of document:  
- Option 26a
  - Section of Document: 
  - Your View:  
    - Agree
    - No opinion
    - Disagree
  - Reason for comment: 
    - but not in AONB's

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup  
103310  Bells Yew Green Society  

Part of document:  
- Option 26b
  - Section of Document: 
  - Your View:  
    - Agree
    - No opinion
    - Disagree
  - Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup  
103310  Bells Yew Green Society  

Part of document:  
- Option 27a
  - Section of Document: 
  - Your View:  
    - Agree
    - No opinion
    - Disagree
  - Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Jessup  
103310  Bells Yew Green Society  

Part of document:  
- Option 27b
  - Section of Document: 
  - Your View:  
    - Agree
    - No opinion
    - Disagree
  - Reason for comment:
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

because this means building on AONB

Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

because this means building on AONB

Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Mr Jessup</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Mr Jessup</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103310</td>
<td>Mr Jessup</td>
<td>Bells Yew Green Society</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Jessup
Bells Yew Green Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I agree Local Spatial Objectives, SO1, SO3, SO4, SO6, SO7, SO8, SO9, SO10, SO12, SO13 and SO14, but not SO2, SO5, SO11. SO2 - density of 40 dwellings per hectare is too much for rural areas. SO5 - I think the development requirements laid down by the South East Plan to be excessive as we need to protect our landscapes. SO11 - I simply do not know what 'Super Output Areas' means. They are not listed in the glossary.
Consultee ID: Mr Bryant
Part of document: Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Bryant
Part of document: Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Bryant
Part of document: Option 16b
Section of Document:
Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Bryant
Part of document: Option 16c
Section of Document:
Your View
Consultee ID: Mr Bryant
Part of document: Option 16d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
I looked at your plans in the local library and, although I think Polegate area is getting overcrowded with houses, so is everywhere else. I realise no more building is not an issue but I personally think villages like Polegate should have countryside around it to stop it being joined to other places like Willingdon/Wannock and Hailsham.
Consultee ID: Mr Bryant
103326
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 17a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bryant
103326
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 17b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bryant
103326
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 17c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bryant
103326
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I heard a possibility of Summer Hill. This idea should be stopped in its tracks. If building started there it would ruin a beautiful area and before you know it Polegate will be joined to Hailaham. We have to think of the flora and fauna and not Human greed.
Consultee ID: Mr Proudfoot  
103333  
Proudfoot Properties  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question 20d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Request to have land at Briar House farm in Rotherfield placed on list of potential development sites. Background information and reasons for inclusion as described within the letter.

Consultee ID: Mr Edwards  
103338  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Questions: Where are people going to find work? (travel to London difficult from Hailsham) Where are the new schools, doctors, dentists? Not even a Health Centre, let alone a hospital to cope with the increase in population. DGH at full capacity now! One department demanding we build more houses and the NHS want to reduce the capacity of the one and only hospital in this catchment area!!

Consultee ID: Mr Osman  
103354  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
1. Size of proposed development 2. Schools and Education. 3. Health facilities. (I would like assurance that adequate resources would be available if this development were to go ahead.) 4. Libraries / Community facilities. 5. Water and drainage. 6. Electricity. 7. SSSI status. 8. Roads and transport links. This is the most significant reason why this proposal should be dropped. I question the validity of the statement that capacity on Benhall Mill Road is not an issues. I seek confirmation or requirement to improve local access roads and assurance that funding for bus services would be in place.
Consultee ID: Mr Osman
Agent ID: 103354

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Question 13b

Reason for comment:
I suggest that land either side of A26 at Strawberry Hill or on the A267 just south of Tunbridge Wells could be used for development. Both would allow development contiguous with existing development in Tunbridge Wells and would afford direct access onto existing 2 lane highway.

Consultee ID: Mrs Coleman
Agent ID: 103375

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Question 6d

Reason for comment:
Small number of mixed housing in each local community

Consultee ID: Mrs Coleman
Agent ID: 103375

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 18j

Reason for comment:
Infrastructure - district hospital, congested roads, high rail fares and over crowded, lack of employment, water, schools, recreation facilities, phone lines, doctors, drainage

Consultee ID: Mrs Coleman
Agent ID: 103375

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Question 23f

Reason for comment:
Few houses on derelict land by railway station for local people
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 4a**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 2a**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 2b**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Reason for comment:**
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

**Consultee ID:** Cllr Turton  
**Agent ID:** 103380

#### Option 3a
- **Part of document:**  
  - [ ] document  
  - [ ] chapter  
  - [ ] section  
  - [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Cllr Turton  
**Agent ID:** 103380

#### Option 3b
- **Part of document:**  
  - [ ] document  
  - [ ] chapter  
  - [ ] section  
  - [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Cllr Turton  
**Agent ID:** 103380

#### Option 3c
- **Part of document:**  
  - [ ] document  
  - [ ] chapter  
  - [ ] section  
  - [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Cllr Turton  
**Agent ID:** 103380

#### Option 3d
- **Part of document:**  
  - [ ] document  
  - [ ] chapter  
  - [ ] section  
  - [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Option 5b
  - Document: [☐]  
  - Chapter: [☐]  
  - Section: [☐]  
  - Option: [☑]  

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**: [☑] Agree  
[☐] No opinion  
[☐] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Option 6a
  - Document: [☐]  
  - Chapter: [☐]  
  - Section: [☐]  
  - Option: [☑]  

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**: [☐] Agree  
[☐] No opinion  
[☐] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Option 6b
  - Document: [☐]  
  - Chapter: [☐]  
  - Section: [☐]  
  - Option: [☑]  

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**: [☑] Agree  
[☐] No opinion  
[☐] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Option 6c
  - Document: [☐]  
  - Chapter: [☐]  
  - Section: [☐]  
  - Option: [☑]  

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**: [☑] Agree  
[☐] No opinion  
[☐] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☐ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 9c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 8a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>Option 8c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>Option 8d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>Option 9a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Cllr Turton  
Agent ID: 103380

Part of document:  
- Option 9b  

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cllr Turton  
Agent ID: 103380

Part of document:  
- Question Ten  

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  
- No opinion

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cllr Turton  
Agent ID: 103380

Part of document:  
- Option 14a  

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cllr Turton  
Agent ID: 103380

Part of document:  
- Option 14b  

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option 14c

Your View: ✗ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 14e

Your View: Agrees

Reason for comment:

Option 14h

Your View: ✗ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 15c

Your View: Agrees

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

1. **Option 15f**
   - **Your View:** [x] Agree  
   - [ ] No opinion  
   - [ ] Disagree
   - **Reason for comment:**

2. **Question 15h**
   - **Your View:** [ ] Agree  
   - [ ] No opinion  
   - [x] Disagree
   - **Reason for comment:**

3. **Option 16a**
   - **Your View:** [x] Agree  
   - [ ] No opinion  
   - [ ] Disagree
   - **Reason for comment:**

4. **Option 16b**
   - **Your View:** [x] Agree  
   - [ ] No opinion  
   - [ ] Disagree
   - **Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 16i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 17a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 17b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

### Section of Document:

#### Option 18a

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

### Section of Document:

#### Option 18b

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

### Section of Document:

#### Option 18c

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

### Section of Document:

#### Option 18d

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18e
- Square checkbox for option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18f
- Square checkbox for option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18g
- Square checkbox for option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18h
- Square checkbox for option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 23c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 23a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Option 23b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [✓]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Option 23d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [✓]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Option 23e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [✓]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [✓]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Your View | □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree |
|-----------|

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Your View | □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree |
|-----------|

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Your View | □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree |
|-----------|

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Your View | □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree |
|-----------|

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section

**Option 25b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section

**Option 25c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section

**Option 25g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section

**Option 25d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Cllr Turton</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103380</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103380</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103380</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Cllr Turton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103380</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Cllr Turton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Twenty Nine</th>
<th>Housing Delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 31a</th>
<th>Housing Delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 31a</th>
<th>Housing Delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Strong objection to the inclusion of Easbourne-Hailsham triangle. Arlington parish is clearly included within triangle yet has no inclusion within discussion. Eastbourne's problems should be soted out within its own boundaries.

Regarding SO3 alone, the Conservators of Ashdown Forest support the aims for the Forest regarding the enhancement of biodiversity, the meeting of local BAP targets and the protection of its site as a whole.
An increase in dwellings of 15% in this village cannot be justified other than by the pure contrivance of "sharing services with other settlements within a five minute drive" and the convenient assumption that they are easily accessed by residents via their own private transport. Very environmentally sound! An hourly bus service during weekdays, an oversubscribed primary school, no open post office within 4 miles, no realistic employment opportunities and poor electricity supply and an exhausted sewerage/drainage system does not reconcile with the so-called sustainability criteria highlighted in the consultation paper. 40 dwellings is a recipe for more traffic, more pressure on the school capacity and potential overload of the sewerage infrastructure.

Larger densities leads to less integration with the receiving settlement.

The criteria for available public transport needs to be defined. Two public transport journeys per day is an example of poor provision.
Purpose built modern flats are not conducive to integration within the community and as such they usually become hot spots of antisocial behaviour.

I can see no safeguards in this paper in relation to local people getting priority of opportunity or of post implementation maintenance of the sites. This just looks like another attempt to get the discredited HOPE initiative off the ground.

My experience of councils use of this option is not encouraging. Pressure to hit central targets results in officers allocating "protected space" without proper consultation with the affected community.
Consultee ID: Mrs Lydford

Part of document: [ ] chapter [ ] option
Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Reason for comment:
Generally well considered but: The Core Strategy in no way addresses the fundamental question - do we need this level of development? This directive has come from a government that has drawn up many flawed policies and is even now abandoning many of these, along with their creators. Do these development figures represent the real needs of Wealden or are they part of Prescots dubious legacy?

Consultee ID: Mrs Lydford

Part of document: [ ] chapter [ ] option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
We are the guardians of this country, we have no right to irrevocably spoil this landscape.

Consultee ID: Mrs Lydford

Part of document: [ ] chapter [ ] option
Option 2b

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lydford

Part of document: [ ] chapter [ ] option
Option 3d

Reason for comment:
Option 4b

Reason for comment:

The size of population will influence the volume of services that will be offered, smaller villages with a poor infrastructure could greatly benefit from an increase in population because this will encourage provision of the services that they desperately need.

Option 5a

Reason for comment:

Each application should be carefully evaluated and the longterm nature of the village considered. As commuting becomes more expensive and detrimental to the environment so village communities should incorporate retail outlets etc.

Option 7a

Reason for comment:

The size of population will influence the volume of services that will be offered, smaller villages with a poor infrastructure could greatly benefit from an increase in population because this will encourage provision of the services that they desperately need.

Option 7d

Reason for comment:

Each application should be carefully evaluated and the longterm nature of the village considered. As commuting becomes more expensive and detrimental to the environment so village communities should incorporate retail outlets etc.
Option 9c

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
Small scale development on brownfiled sites should be encouraged. Larger scale developments should be quantified to ensure that they create a number of affordable houses for rental and also cheaper houses for purchase by first time buyers and single people.

Option 19a

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
The Core Strategy claims to protect the unique quality and character of the area. Forest Row is currently a village with character and a sense of community, the proposed 20% population increase would undermine this. More significantly the development of hundreds of houses - situated as they can only be to the north and west of the village - will effectively turn Forest Row into a residential suburb of East Grinstead - whilst West Sussex council is busy turning East Grinstead into a suburb of Crawley - the village will become part of one huge urban sprawl.
Consultee ID: Mrs Lydford
Agent ID: 103496

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Rural areas desperately need affordable housing, for rental but primarily for purchase.

Consultee ID: Mr Salmon
Agent ID: 103508

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question One

Section of Document:  Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Every new dwelling will have an impact on our sustainability regardless of where it is positioned, unless of course it is built sustainably! There may be scope for dwellings built to the highest standards of sustainability and of minimum visual impact, built from organic materials and without any motor vehicle facilities (driveways or garages). A good example of situations when this may be appropriate would be Ben the woodman's timber home on Ashdown Forest (Grand Designs, Channel 4).

Consultee ID: Mr Salmon
Agent ID: 103508

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If we are to be sustainable then all energy to developments needs to be from renewable energy sources, with quality energy conservation enabling vast reductions in energy consumed. This figure should be much higher and I would argue that no development should be allowed unless it can meet this higher figure. It may not be possible to generate enough renewable energy on-site, however, using the national grid, energy could come from renewable sources elsewhere in the country. Is it possible to put a condition on developments that all of its energy supplied must come from a renewable source?
Option 2b

Reason for comment:
Please refer to my answer to 2a in which I basically say the figure should be set at 100% renewable energy.

Option 22a

Reason for comment:
Area to the north of Cross as identified on submitted map is suitable, as it is a brownfield site. The second site could be used as all main services are available including the main drainage as it runs through the land.

Question One

Reason for comment:
Areas of outstanding natural beauty should never be built on. If we start allowing this we will eventually lose our beautiful countryside and then there is no turning back.

Option 5a

Reason for comment:
Settlement boundaries should be maintained. Making exceptions is a slippery slope and we will eventually lose our countryside completely.
Consultee ID: Ms Ward
103542

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Ward
103542

Part of document: Question 5c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Ward
103542

Part of document: Option 7d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Ward
103542

Part of document: Option 7d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

It should be made clear to the people who have bought parcels of land on areas of outstanding natural beauty that there will be no development on these areas and these fields can then be returned to the farming community where they belong.
Option 9a

Reason for comment:
Keep Uckfield as it is - it is a lovely town set back in time which adds to its charm.

Option 21e

Reason for comment:

Option 21f

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ward</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103542</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ward</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103542</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ward</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103542</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Lady Lloyd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103566</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part of document: 【 option 
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Option 8a

Reason for comment:

Option 8b

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Lady Lloyd
Consultee ID: 103566

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lady Lloyd
Consultee ID: 103566

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lady Lloyd
Consultee ID: 103566

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consulate ID: Lady Lloyd
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No option ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consulate ID: Lady Lloyd
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consulate ID: Lady Lloyd
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 18b

Consultee ID: Lady Lloyd
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:

Option 18c

Consultee ID: Lady Lloyd
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Lady Lloyd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103566</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

### Section of Document:

**Option 18d**

### Your View
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Lady Lloyd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103566</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18e
- **Section of Document:**
  - Your View:  
    - Agree: ✔  
    - No opinion: ☐  
    - Disagree: ☐
  - Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Lady Lloyd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103566</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18e
- **Section of Document:**
  - Your View:  
    - Agree: ✔  
    - No opinion: ☐  
    - Disagree: ☐
  - Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Lady Lloyd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103566</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18f
- **Section of Document:**
  - Your View:  
    - Agree: ☐  
    - No opinion: ✔  
    - Disagree: ☐
  - Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Lady Lloyd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103566</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18g
- **Section of Document:**
  - Your View:  
    - Agree: ☐  
    - No opinion: ☐  
    - Disagree: ✔
  - Reason for comment:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Lady Lloyd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103566</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 18g

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Lady Lloyd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103566</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 18h

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: [✓] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Lady Lloyd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103566</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 18h

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: [✓] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Lady Lloyd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103566</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 18i

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
The Cuckmere Valley is unique in the way it links the sea with the High Weald.
The Cukmere Valley is unique in the way it links the sea with the High Wealden. Very soon Berwick Station will be an important gateway to the proposed South Downs National Park - already many people walk and enjoy the area.

- protection and enhancement of Wealden's environmental assets should remain a key focus when identifying suitable sites to meet development needs. -respondent acknowledges multiple benefits that can be achieved through planning gains (PPS9 and associated good practice guidance). -Welcomes comments in para. 2.18. Strongly support approach of "enhancing and reconnecting BAP habitats by landscape scale design." -respondent cites UK Biodiversity target "there should be no loss of ancient semi-natural woodland." -respondent acknowledges Wealden's identification of the need to understand the District's water cycle and to protect form flooding/provide quality/quantity of supply (2.19-2.36). -SWT would like the following key principles to be taken into account in allocating sites for development: 1. policies and decisions area based on up to date information 2. policies and decisions should seek to sustain and enhance biodiversity (use of planning obligations if necessary) 3. policies should take a strategic approach to the conservation and enhancement of biodiversity within the District 4. proposed development should be accommodated without causing harm to biodiversity and reasonable alternatives should be considered 5. beneficial biodiversity features should be promoted within new development. -environmental features listed below should be taken into account when assessing each individual site. SWT would object to any proposals that would impact negatively on these natural resources: 1. International sites 2. National sites (inc. AONB designations) 3. Regional and local sites (SNCI) 4. Ancient woodland/important natural priority habitats (BAP) 5. Networks of natural habitats 6. Greenfield 7. PDL 8. Biodiversity within developments 9. Protected species/species of principal importance for biodiversity conservation

Respondent highlights importance of networks of natural habitats (PPS9, draft SEPlan) SWT strongly recommend inclusion of policy such as that produced by partnership working between SWT and Sussex Environmental Partnership for establishing an ecological/multifunctional green network to conserve and enhance priority natural areas and the connections between them.
Consultee ID: Miss Watson 103581  
Sussex Wildlife Trust

Part of document: □ document  ✔ chapter  □ section  □ option

Part 5

Section of Document: Wealden's Places

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
strongly support the need for such a policy as detailed in para. 5.7:"need for strong green infrastructure...and the protection of that infrastructure through design, management and planning agreements."

Consultee ID: Miss Watson 103581  
Sussex Wildlife Trust

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
disagree on environmental grounds. Reiterate the importance of using up to date information for planning decisions.

Consultee ID: Miss Watson 103581  
Sussex Wildlife Trust

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
disagree on environmental grounds. Re-iterate importance of using up to date information for planning decisions.

Consultee ID: Miss Watson 103581  
Sussex Wildlife Trust

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
disagree on environmental grounds. Re-iterate importance of using up to date information for planning decisions.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Watson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103581</td>
<td>Sussex Wildlife Trust</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document: Infrastructure and Phasing

**Your View**: No opinion

**Reason for comment:**
Provision of green infrastructure alongside the delivery of other infrastructure requirements would help ensure sustainable development in the District.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Watson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103581</td>
<td>Sussex Wildlife Trust</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document: Question 32a

**Your View**: No opinion

**Reason for comment:**
Broadly support SO1,2,3,13 and 14. The Planning Authority must ensure that delivery of development is in line with these spatial objectives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document: Question One

**Your View**: Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document: Option 3c

**Your View**: No opinion

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 3d</th>
<th>Option 4a</th>
<th>Option 4b</th>
<th>Option 4c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Jackman</td>
<td>Agent ID: 103583</td>
<td>Mrs Jackman</td>
<td>Agent ID: 103583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>agree</td>
<td>agree</td>
<td>agree</td>
<td>agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Jackman</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>103583</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>103583</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>103583</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Jackman</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 6b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 6c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 7a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 7b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman  
Consultee ID: 103583  
Option 7c  
Your View:  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman  
Consultee ID: 103583  
Option 7d  
Your View:  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman  
Consultee ID: 103583  
Option 8b  
Your View:  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman  
Consultee ID: 103583  
Option 9c  
Your View:  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15b
- Option 15c
- Option 15d
- Option 15e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consutee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 16d

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consutee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 16e

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consutee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 16g

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consutee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 16f

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
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Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Option 18h

| Section of Document: | | |
|----------------------| | |

Your View | □ Agree | □ No opinion | ✓ Disagree |

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Option 18i

| Section of Document: | | |
|----------------------| | |

Your View | □ Agree | □ No opinion | ✓ Disagree |

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Option 18j

| Section of Document: | | |
|----------------------| | |

Your View | ✓ Agree | □ No opinion | □ Disagree |

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Option 19e

| Section of Document: | | |
|----------------------| | |

Your View | □ Agree | □ No opinion | ✓ Disagree |

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 19e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 23d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |
|---------------------||

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 21a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 21f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |
|---------------------||

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jackman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 21f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 21f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |
|---------------------||
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Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
Agent ID: 103583

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
Agent ID: 103583

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 22b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
Agent ID: 103583

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
Agent ID: 103583

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman 103583

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman 103583

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman 103583

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman 103583

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman  
103583

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman  
103583

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman  
103583

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman  
103583

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
103583

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
Agent ID: 103583

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:

Option 28a

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
Agent ID: 103583

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:

Option 28b

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
Agent ID: 103583

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:

Option 28c

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jackman
Agent ID: 103583

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:

Question Twenty Nine

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Mrs Jackman 103583

Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mrs Jackman 103583

Question 31a

Your View ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mrs Jackman 103583

Question 32a

Your View ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mr West 103584

Option 16a

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 103584
Mr West

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103584
Mr West

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103584
Mr West

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103584
Mr West

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 103584
Mr West  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option  

Option 16c

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 103584
Mr West  

Part of document:  
- [x] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [ ] option  

Option 16f

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 103584
Mr West  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [x] option  

Option 16f

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 103584
Mr West  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [x] option  

Option 16g

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr West

Part of document:
- Document: Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Strongly oppose any development west of A22.

Consultee ID: Mr West

Part of document:
- Document: Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Strongly oppose any development west of A22. We must save the setting of the Low Weald and South Downs.

Consultee ID: Mr West

Part of document:
- Document: Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr West
103584

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr West
103584

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr West
103584

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18i

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- **Your View**  
  - [ ] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [X] Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18j

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- **Your View**  
  - [ ] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [X] Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18j

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- **Your View**  
  - [ ] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [X] Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Question 18k

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- **Your View**  
  - [ ] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [X] Disagree

Strongly oppose development on unspoilt low weald. Landscape at the foot of the downs would be seriously compromised and road infrastructure plus services insufficient to support this proposal.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 24a
- Document ✔
- Chapter □
- Section □
- Option ✔

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 24b
- Document □
- Chapter □
- Section □
- Option ✔

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 24b
- Document □
- Chapter □
- Section □
- Option ✔

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr West</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr</td>
<td>West</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr</th>
<th>West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr</th>
<th>West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr</th>
<th>West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 27c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 27c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 27d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr West</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 27e</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr West  
Agent ID: 103584

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr West  
Agent ID: 103584

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr West  
Agent ID: 103584

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr West  
Agent ID: 103584

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question 32b

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Mr West 103584

Question 32b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I feel the character of the lower Weald is of unique character and every effort should be made to protect it. Any new green field site development would be intensely destructive. With the possibility of a National Park being created all areas adjacent to it should be extremely carefully used in regards to development. The roads infrastructure in this area is already over used and any further major increase in population would have serious effects. Existing brown field sites should bear the major development with the minimum expansion in existing rural settlements. Wealden must be preserved for future generations.

Mrs Davis 103603

Option 16c

Reason for comment:
Disagree for following reasons: Congestion Impact on local services and water supplies Traffic noise and pollution Healthcare Water

Mrs Davis 103603

Option 16a

Reason for comment:
Disagree for following reasons: Congestion Impact on local services and water supplies Traffic noise and pollution Healthcare Water
Consultee ID: Mrs Davis  
103603

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Disagree for following reasons: Congestion Impact on local services and water supplies Traffic noise and pollution Healthcare Water

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Davis  
103603

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Disagree for following reasons: Congestion Impact on local services and water supplies Traffic noise and pollution Healthcare Water

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Davis  
103603

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Disagree for following reasons: Congestion Impact on local services and water supplies Traffic noise and pollution Healthcare Water

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Davis  
103603

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Disagree for following reasons: Congestion Impact on local services and water supplies Traffic noise and pollution Healthcare Water
Consultee ID: Mrs Davis 103603

Part of document: Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Disagree for following reasons: Congestion Impact on local services and water supplies Traffic noise and pollution Healthcare Water

Consultee ID: Mrs Davis 103603

Part of document: Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Disagree for following reasons: Congestion Impact on local services and water supplies Traffic noise and pollution Healthcare Water

Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers Rydon Homes Ltd 103606

Part of document: Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
Rydon supports the consideration of development in the AONB in appropriate locations adjoining the development boundaries of Heathfield and Nutley as it believes there are no suitable alternative locations for housing outside of the AONB. As the core strategy identifies the need for growth related to the scale of existing population in these locations it is correct to undertake a sustainability assessment in order to determine the most suitable sites. However, Rydon does not believe that it is necessary to seek development within the AONB on the edges of Crowborough as opportunities for development at the scale considered necessary exist on greenfield sites outside of the AONB.
Wealden's Economic Challenges

Reason for comment:
The council should be seeking to formulate an economic strategy as part of the core strategy following production of an employment land study in order to determine the availability and suitability of existing employment land and allocations, and identifying whether any redundant/vacant sites would be better suited to different forms of business space for which demand is greater, or possibly alternative land uses such as housing. The results of such a study will identify locationally specific issues and decisions on the sites' retention or redevelopment will be influenced by the spatial objectives and economic regeneration policies for that area. If opportunities for redevelopment of existing employment sites to residential arise then this should feed into the councils urban potential study and if considered deliverable may be identified as a housing allocation in the site allocations DPD. However, there needs to be robust evidence to suggest that such a loss of employment land is appropriate. As paragraph 3.15 of the core strategy states, the limiting factor to take-up of employment space may be hindered by constraints such as road infrastructure and traffic congestion. If future investment in these locations is an objective then these influences should be rectified rather than see the loss of employment land to alternative uses. Proposed strategic allocations for large-scale housing could also include the requirement for provision of employment land to meet any identified shortfall, specific to the type of business to be encouraged to that area, in order to meet the spatial objectives for future investment.
Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers
Agent ID: Rydon Homes Ltd

Part of document: Option 4a
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers
Agent ID: Rydon Homes Ltd

Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers
Agent ID: Rydon Homes Ltd

Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers
Agent ID: Rydon Homes Ltd

Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:

Whilst we would welcome the ability to develop on suitable small scale sites outside but on the periphery of settlement boundaries not formally identified as housing locations in the LDF, we would question how this could be effectively managed.
Settlement boundaries (formerly Development Boundaries) should be maintained, but should be expanded or redefined around the towns and villages identified in the Core Strategy as having growth potential to allow for natural, limited expansion, either to encompass allocated sites in the Core Strategy/Site Allocations DPD or to follow defined boundaries on the ground. Many existing development boundaries are drawn too tightly to even allow for the logical development of small plots of land well related to the built-up area and outside of significant landscape designations, i.e., back gardens. Settlements boundaries could be revised to enable small scale growth, the detail and design of which would be governed by development control policies.

Instead of applying a broad percentage split, the settlement strategy which seeks to identify areas for growth capped to levels having regard to existing or planned infrastructure seems to be a logical and defined approach. If an urban/rural split is applied it should be as a guide but should not be imposed as a target due to the varying nature of Wealden's circumstances across the district. In this instance RYdon would propose a 75% focus in and around towns across both north and south of the district (option 6b).
The emerging core strategy identifies Buxted as a smaller localservice centre. However, Rydon has made earlier comments in its response seeking re-categorisation of Buxted as a larger service centre due to its ability to share services and facilities with neighbouring towns and villages including Uckfield and therefore meeting all the necessary criteria. An irregular bus service operates through the village, however, Buxted has the benefit of a railway station which offers half hourly services along the Uckfield to London line. Rydon's sustainability plan for Buxted illustrates the extent of local services and facilities available in the village as well as proximity to public transport links serving the wider area. Rydon agrees that this is an appropriate settlement to enable growth.

A split between Polegate and Hailsham is the most appropriate. It will enable the necessary improvements to infrastructure in both locations without total dependancy on one location to deliver the majority of the housing requirement for the southern part of the district.

A split between Polegate and Hailsham is the most appropriate. It will enable the necessary improvements to infrastructure in both locations without total dependancy on one location to deliver the majority of the housing requirement for the southern part of the district.
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
A split between Uckfield/Crowborough/Heathfield is the most appropriate. Each town has its own constraints and opportunities. Dividing the requirement between the three main towns ensures consistency with sustainability objectives, a manageable delivery of supply and would meet demand for growth at each location.

Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
Until the Council is able to clarify how it would plan, monitor, manage, and bring forward smaller greenfield sites being considered through criteria based policies in the absence of a specific allocation, it is questionable whether it is acceptable to rely on this method of delivery for sites below 30 dwellings. Rydon would normally expect a higher threshold for identification of specific greenfield sites in the Core Strategy; those of a more strategic nature with longer lead in times, dependant on/linked to the provision/enhancement of infrastructure. The remainder could come forward as areas of search with boundaries being defined at the Site Allocations DPD stage.
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Although the area of search lies to the south east of Crowborough it has good accessibility to the town centre and its range of retail services. In addition, it has the benefit of being located in close proximity to all the local services and community facilities offered by the Jarvis Brook neighbourhood centre. The area is well served by public transport with bus services from Walshes Road, enabling regular and easy access into town, as well as the railway station at Jarvis Brook on the Uckfield to London line, providing regular half hourly services. Large scale employment opportunities exist at Wealden industrial Estate as well as other surrounding B1-B8 uses. A small parade of shops is located on Walshes road and a wider selection of shops is available within the neighbourhood centre on Crowborough Hill. A primary school, doctors and dentist surgery, church, public open space, pubs and bus stops all serve the local community. In addition the area lies outside of the AONB and outside of the flood risk area. Within the identified area of search, Rydon homes wishes to support in particular the development of land south of Walshes road for residential allocation in the Core Strategy. The sustainability plan included in this document illustrates the sites ability to integrate into the local community by utilising nearby local services and facilities surrounding the site. It is within walking distance (approx 15 mins) to Jarvis Brook railway station, employment sites, neighbourhood centre and health centre. Other shops, pubs, recreation grounds and a primary school are all within only a few minutes walk of the site. The site plan identifies the potential area for allocation. The parcel of land to the west of the track leading to Walsh Manor Farm is circa 4 hectares and the land to the east of the track is circa 7 hectares. Together they could yield around 385 dwellings at a density of 35dph, however, there is scope for increasing the average density if considered appropriate. There are no overriding highway constraints to development on this land as access can be taken direct from Walshes Road. The topography of the land lends itself well to development across the site without impacting on wider views. Limited local views can be minimised with enhanced screening. Appropriate and carefull landscape mitigation and a sensitive design-led approach can ensure that this area could be assimilated into the adjoining urban area.

Option 12a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Until robust employment and urban potentisl studies are produced, sites such as these should not be relied upon as deliverable.
Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers  
103606 Rydon Homes Ltd  

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option  
Option 12b  

Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Until robust employment and urban potential studies are produced, sites such as these should not be relied upon as deliverable.

Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers  
103606 Rydon Homes Ltd  

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option  
Option 12c  

Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
This area to the north east of the town lies within the AONB, and adjoins Heathfield park and a SSSI. In terms of topography the area’s prominence on higher ground along the ridge line makes it visible in the wider landscape. The setting of the town would be compromised by ribbon development in this location. This is not acceptable when a less sensitive alternative, closer to the town centre is available.

Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers  
103606 Rydon Homes Ltd  

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option  
Option 12d  

Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Area of search 12d, North of Heathfield College and Leisure Park is separated from the town by Heathfield Park. Although it has good access to the secondary school and leisure centre, other remaining facilities based in the town are approximately 1.7km away. Development of this site would not constitute an urban edge extension and would not be in compliance of National Guidance.
Option 12e

Reason for comment:
The area of search 12e at Sandy Cross South East of Heathfield has poor access into the town centre due to the narrow lanes. The area is at the most southerly part of Heathfield, which is some considerable distance from facilities and services that are located to the north of Heathfield, along the High street, Sheepsetting lane and station road. Although the majority of this site is outside the AONB, development will have a negative impact on the landscape due to long distance views to the south and the south east. There are more sustainable alternative areas of search.

Option 12f

Reason for comment:
The area of search is well related to the western edge of Heathfield situated on Ghyll road. It adjoins existing development to the south east and the north. The cross in hand primary school is located only 300 metres to the north of the area on Sheepsetting lane. The Heathfield Community Hall is also located on Sheepsetting lane. This provides a range of services and facilities such as a preschool group, a clinic, a recreational ground with football pitches and a range of social events. The high street that provides a good range of shops and services is less than 800 meters north east of the search area. The nearest bus station is situated next to the fire station and is approx 500 meters away. From here a school bus service runs to the Heathfield Community College. Ghyll road business area is located just 1km away providing local employment. It is therefore suitably located to reduce the need to travel by car and encourage walking or cycling. Of the options for expansion of Heathfield proposed in the Issues and Options paper, an urban extension to the south of Tilsmore is the most sustainable option for development (as is evident from the enclosed sustainability plan) and is supported by regional and national guidance. Rydon homes wishes to promote the suitability of land within the area of search as shown on the enclosed site plan. The site comprises approx 4.28 hectares of unmanaged grass and scrub land on the south west side of Ghyll road. Existing residential land lies to the north, and south east of the site. The site also adjoins the Jubilee park to the north west which is owned and managed by the parish council. The land South West of Heathfield, South of Tilsmore is well located to local facilities and services and benefits from reasonable public transport connections resulting in a positive impact on social objectives. Development within this area of search will help promote the viability and vitality of the town and maintain and enhance the function of Heathfield as an employment, shopping and service centre. This area is the most appropriate location of all the alternative options due to its proximity to the town centre. PPS7 enables development in the AONB where it can be demonstrated that it facilitates the economy and social wellbeing of the community. In this situation, the need to provide housing at Heathfield and the highly sustainable location overrides the AONB designation when considered against the potential alternatives.
Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers
103606 Rydon Homes Ltd

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Such large scale development in contentious locations with poor accessibility and a negative impact on sensitive and open landscape render these options inappropriate for further consideration

Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers
103606 Rydon Homes Ltd

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Such a large scale development in contentious locations with poor accessibility and a negative impact on sensitive and open landscape render these options inappropriate for further consideration

Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers
103606 Rydon Homes Ltd

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Further growth in this location may be better suited to employment floorspace, however, this could form part of a mixed use allocation
Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The area of search to the west of Ridgewood represents an appropriate location and size of site for further consideration and identification in the Preferred Options report. Whilst there may be potential for housing provision at locations 14d & 14f, these would be larger in scale, requiring infrastructure improvements and longer lead-in times. The council should be seeking to identify a site at Uckfield which would not materially impact on the existing town centre highway problems, whilst meeting some of the housing land supply shortfall in a shorter timeframe. Planning policy guidance requires development to be well located in terms of accessing local services and facilities by non-car modes, or be accessible to passenger transport routes to provide sustainable means of travel to destinations further afield. This location meets such criteria. As with Sandpits, area of search 14e presents a real opportunity for new development to be integrated in a sustainable manner into the existing urban area of Uckfield. A wide range of services and facilities such as town centre retail, primary and secondary schools, employment, recreation and railway station are all within a two kilometre walking distance of the site. A regular bus service runs along Lewes Road providing a convenient service to the town centre and rail station. The bus stop is just outside the entrance to the Sandpits site. The site being promoted by Rydon Homes (see plan of area) is currently the curtilage of the property known as Thorncrest and is therefore deemed to be previously developed land. The site lies between Ridgewood Farm to the west and south west, existing and proposed housing to the east, and Ridgewood Manor Nursing Home to the north. There is an area of woodland within the site which is proposed for retention. The site has a developable area of circa 1.15 hectares and could yield around 40 dwellings at a density of 35dph. In addition, a small area of land to the north of Sandpits, within the settlement boundary, could be developed for about 7 dwellings. Vehicular access to both proposed sites is achievable via the adjoining Sandpits development. New housing on Thorncrest would not be visible from the A22 as it would be well contained through retention of woodland and within existing and enhanced landscaping.

Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✓ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Availability and deliverability of land for housing in this area is questionable. The majority of the land is within one ownership. Approaches made by Rydon Homes were rejected suggesting an unwilling landowner.
Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers
103606 Rydon Homes Ltd

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Such a large scale development in contentious locations with poor accessibility and a negative impact on sensitive and open landscape render these options inappropriate for further consideration.

Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers
103606 Rydon Homes Ltd

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Question 15i

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Rydon Homes wishes to draw to the attention of WDC the availability of an additional piece of land at Woodholm Farm, as shown on the attached plan. Rydon Homes has secured outline planning permission for the development of Woodholm and Welbury Frms under the non-statutory Local Plan Policies HA3 and HA4. There is a remaining area of developable land without planning permission which adjoins the southern side of the proposed Woodholm allocation which is equally suitable for residential development. This parcel of land is well related to existing developed areas. It no longer has any beneficial use due to the surrounding planned development of Woodholm and Wellbury Farms. This additional development would be well screened by new and existing development as well as extensive landscape buffer planting as part of the Woodholm & Wellbury development schemes. It has been agreed with the environment agency that this area of land lies outside of the Cuckmere river flood risk area. Therefore, there is no physical constraint to development on this land. Vehicular access is easily achievable via adjoining parts of the consented Woodholm Farm development or via Stroma Gardens to the east. The sites location provides sustainable links to local services and facilities in and around the town of Hailsham. The site is close to an existing bus route on Anglesey Avenue which provides a non-car based alternative travel option, with regular services to nearby schools, shops, employment and community facilities. Good public transport links are also available serving the wider area including Polegate and Eastbourne. The location of the site enables convenient and immediate access to an existing children's playground, as well as informal and formal public open space and new community facilities to be provided as part of the neighbouring development schemes. The site is approx 0.7 hectares and could yield circa 20-25 dwellings.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Kuppers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103606</td>
<td>Rydon Homes Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16b**

**Section of Document:**

| Your View | [ ] Agree | [ ] No opinion | [x] Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

These areas of search are located beyond the logical and defined boundaries of Polegate, and are considered sensitive in landscape terms. Their positioning to the north of the Cophall roundabout with good vehicular access links, would be better suited to provide the type of employment land sought to attract future investment to the Sussex Coast Policy Area.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Kuppers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103606</td>
<td>Rydon Homes Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16c**

**Section of Document:**

| Your View | [ ] Agree | [ ] No opinion | [x] Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

These areas of search are located beyond the logical and defined boundaries of Polegate, and are considered sensitive in landscape terms. Their positioning to the north of the Cophall roundabout with good vehicular access links, would be better suited to provide the type of employment land sought to attract future investment to the Sussex Coast Policy Area.
Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers
103606 Rydon Homes Ltd

Part of document: Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

These locations may have potential for residential development, however, this is subject to highway assessment to ensure that the impact of significantly increased traffic movements onto Shepham Lane can be accommodated. As Rydon's sustainability plan for Polegate shows, the proposed area of search is well related to the northern edge of the main town. It is contained by existing development to the south and the A27 to the north. The area is within 400 metres, 5 minutes walking distance of the primary school, playground, library and the community centre. The high street including the medical centres, banks, post office and railway station are within 1km, approx 12 minutes walk. The nearest bus station is approx 700 metres away to the south of School Lane. This bus stop serves routes to Lewes, Hailsham, Eastbourne and Langley. Approx 2km to the east of Polegate, on Dittons Road, is the Chaucer Industrial estate and Dittons business park, providing an area of local employment. It is therefore, suitably located to reduce the need to travel by car and encourage walking and cycling. The nearest secondary school is Willingdon Community School which is located approx 2km north of the site. There is a school bus service which runs directly from School Lane Polegate to Willingdon School which takes approx 10 minutes. A school bus service also runs from Dittons Road to Heathfield School which takes approx 30 minutes. Development within this area of search would occur without intrusion into the visibly open countryside between Hailsham and Polegate. Rydon Homes has acquired an interest in land at Grenleaf Gardens, Polegate which is suitable to accommodate some of the housing requirements for Wealden District. The site is identified on the attached plan. The site has a developable area of approx 1 hectare which is located between the A27 and Greenleaf Gardens. Development of this site could yield approx 35 dwellings. The site is not subject to any national planning designations and lies outside the flood risk area as defined by the Environment Agency. Development will have minimal impact on the wider landscape as it is well screened by existing trees and hedgerows to the north and east, and mature hedgerows to the south, which would be retained and improved as part of any landscaping of the proposed development. The Cuckoo trail runs through the east of the site. Due to the importance of this trail, Rydon proposes a landscape buffer along the eastern stretch of the site to help screen the development from the track. There is also the ability to provide open space to the south east of the site close to the Cuckoo Trail.

Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers
103606 Rydon Homes Ltd

Part of document: Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

These locations may have potential for residential development, however this is subject to highway assessment to ensure that the impact of significantly increased traffic movements onto Shepham Lane can be accommodated.
Question 16h

Rydon Homes supports the identification of growth in Polegate and Willingdon. Polegate is one of the low weald towns in Wealden. With a joint population of 13,700; 7,800 in Polegate and 5,900 in Willingdon, it has administrative, commercial and educational facilities, social and civic functions and is well served by a local network of roads and rail. Due to these facilities and services it is classified as a main town in Wealdens settlement hierarchy and is recognised as a sustainable location for significant development. The submitted south east plan has identified a need for further focusing provision in the Eastbourne-Hailsham area. Focus is to optimise the areas potential to provide employment space and associated housing in sustainable and strategic accessible locations along the A22 corridor, specified on Policy SCT6. Therefore, strategic locations should be allocated in the Polegate/Willingdon area due to its sustainability, accessible location along the A22 and its proximity and relationship with Eastbourne. As a location it is well served by both rail and road networks. It is situated 5 miles (8km) north from the centre of Eastbourne and 4 miles (6.5km) south from the market town of Hailsham and lies at the junction of the A22 main Eastbourne to London road, and the A27 Folkestone to Honiton Trunk Road. The rail link provides good and regular services to London, Eastbourne and Brighton. It is also easily accessible by bus as services from Eastbourne to Hailsham, East Grinstead, Uckfield, Heathfield and Tunbridge Wells all pass through. There is a wide range of facilities and services in Polegate, situated primarily along the high street. These include medical centres, an optician, banks, a railway station and a large number of retail outlets ranging from convenience stores to gifts, homeware and sports retailers. There is a large Co-op convenience store with a Post Office situated opposite the station and a Somerfields supermarket with a petrol station is provided at the Cophall roundabout north of Polegate. As such it is a sustainable community and an appropriate location for new residential development in accordance with national guidance; PPS 1 Delivering Sustainable Development and PPS 3 housing.

Option 17a

This area of earth is visually exposed from Hailsham Road, Dittons Road and the A27(T). Development of this scale seems excessive for the existing size of settlement and level of population
Option 17b

Reason for comment:
Development on this rising land would be extremely visible from the A27(T).

Option 17c

Reason for comment:
Development within this area of search is not related to Stone Cross as it adjoins the edge of Eastbourne. It is separated from the local service centre by the railway line. Such large scale development would require significant infrastructure improvements in this location and would not necessarily serve the needs of Stone Cross.
Rydon Homes supports the identification and ranking of Stone Cross in the Draft Settlement Strategy for the villages. Outside of the 5 main towns, it has the third largest population in the district after Wadhurst (including Durgates & Sparrows Green) and Forest Row. Stone Cross has been categorised as a Larger Local Service Centre with growth potential, as it meets all the criteria for provision of local services and facilities. The council recognises that where Stone Cross is not able to provide its own facilities it is well located in close proximity to the services of Polegate, Eastbourne and Hailsham. It is able to access local schools, shops, employment and recreation within walking distance or via good bus services. Rydon considers that the proposed areas of search in the Issues and Options paper fail to meet the need for housing in the most sensitive and sustainable manner. It believes that a more appropriate alternative to the south of Rattle Road could deliver the required housing without any significant limitations. The attached sustainability matrix and sustainability plan demonstrate how well located the site is in terms of accessibility to the facilities of Stone Cross and the surrounding locality, enabling new development to integrate effectively into the existing urban area. The majority of daily services are within acceptable walking distances/times. Stone Cross has a childrens nursery and a primary school, a doctors and dentists surgery as well as a dispensary. Its local convenience store is a Tesco. Community facilities include the church, community hall and public house. Childrens playspace and public open space are also close by to the town centre and to the proposed housing site. Local employment opportunities exist at small businesses around the village, as well as more significant opportunities at Dittons Park, about 2.6km away, approx half an hours walk. Langney, immediately to the south of Stone Cross, provides additional services in the way of secondary schools, a shopping centre and more formal recreation. Where journeys further afield are required to be made there are bus stops on the edge of the site on Rattle Road which provide access to routes serving the surrounding areas of Langney, Eastbourne and Polegate, including stops at Eastbourne hospital, Eastbourne & Polegate railway stations and a number of major supermarkets. Pevensey & Westham railway station is the closest railway station, providing a direct link to Eastbourne. It lies approx 1.85km from the site and can either be reached by walking in just over 20 minutes or by a regular bus service at peak times taking no more than a few minutes. The land being promoted by Rydon Homes, as shown on the attached site plan, is triangular in shape with strong tree screening along its south-eastern and south-western boundaries preventing views in or out of the site from a southerly direction. The northern side of the site is contained by Rattle Road with existing residential development on the other side. Existing housing is also located along the sites south western boundary and in part along its northern edge. Tree and hedgerow screening along the Rattle Road boundary will be enhanced as part of any development proposals. The site is approx 3.6 hectares of open, unused agricultural land which could yield 126 dwellings at a density of 35dph.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Kuppers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Rydon Homes Ltd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Kuppers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Rydon Homes Ltd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Kuppers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Rydon Homes Ltd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Kuppers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Rydon Homes Ltd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Kuppers</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>103606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Kuppers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>103606</th>
<th>Rydon Homes Ltd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Kuppers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>103606</th>
<th>Rydon Homes Ltd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Kuppers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>103606</th>
<th>Rydon Homes Ltd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers
103606 Rydon Homes Ltd

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
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Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers
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Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
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Consultee ID: Miss Kuppers
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Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The concept of a new settlement is not supported by the South East Plan and is not therefore appropriate for further investigation for the plan period.
Nutley meets the criteria set out in Wealdons Draft Settlement Strategy for the villages, as a small local service centre and is identified as having intermediate growth potential. With an estimated population of 1,151 it is the 13th largest village in the district. It has a defined settlement boundary and certainly suitable for some expansion. In terms of services, the village is relatively well provided for. It has a convenience store, a petrol station, a place of worship, a nursery/pre-school, a primary school, village pubs, two restaurants, social club and several small enterprises providing significant employment. There is a large village green with an enclosed childrens play area and a sports pavilion catering for a range of sports & clubs. Nutley is well served by the A22. It lies about 4 miles/6.5km north east of Uckfield, 6 miles/9.6km south east of East Grinstead and 8 miles/13km south west of Crowborough which all provide regular bus and train services between the main towns and the village. Growth of Nutley will boost and support local services, provide opportunities for those who wish to stay in the village and help maintain its sustainability. Development within the area of search specified as land to the west of Nutley in the Core Strategy Issues and Options would be far more detrimental to the existing character of Nutley and the AONB. The area to the west slopes gently southwards and contains a significant number of open agricultural fields creating long distance views. Development of this site would create a larger impact on the countryside and cause far more harm to the surrounding High Wield AONB. Land to the north east of Nutley is the most appropriate location due to its relationship with the existing settlement and built form. The site is bounded by the A22 to the west and Crowborough Road to the north. A mature hedge and tree line extends along both road frontages. The rear gardens of dwellings within the existing development boundary for Nutley adjoin the southern boundary of the site, whilst a number of former agricultural buildings partially define its eastern boundary. Given the relationship of the site to the settlement boundary, the existing natural screening of mature hedges and trees and the residential development of the north, south and north west, the site is well contained and development would not represent an excessive intrusion into the countryside or be considered detrimental to the setting of the village. The development of this area would respect a natural extension of the existing linear development of the village. Rydon Homes wishes to promote the suitability of land within the area of search shown on the attached plan. The land known as Nutlin Nursery lies to the north east of Nutley and should be subjected to full SA/SEA as part of the core strategy process. The site comprises approx 2.3 hectares of nursery land and buildings and is suitable to accommodate the planned growth for Nutley. The site will be developed in a manner that respects the local character and distinctiveness of Nutley. Existing trees and hedgerows would be retained and enhanced as part of any landscaping of the proposed development. In conclusion, Rydon Homes supports the growth of Nutley. Development would boost and support local services, provide opportunities for those who wish to stay in the village and help maintain its sustainability. Land to the north east of Nutley, at Nutlin Nursery, is the most appropriate location for development which would contribute towards meeting locally generated housing need and as well as demand by the provision of suitable open market and affordable housing.
Rydon Homes Ltd

Part of document:  Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Rydon believes that the most appropriate location for development would be as an extension to the existing non-statutory Local Plan Policy V3 to the north of the high street. Development can be sensitively incorporated with a landscaping scheme which minimises impact on local and more distant views. This extension is approx 1 hectare and could yield around 30 dwellings in this village setting. However, additional scope for further expansion northwards could be considered by the council as part of its SA/SEA in order to accommodate larger numbers if determined to be appropriate as a subsequent phase of development.

Question 22e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Small scale growth in these locations may be appropriate in order to maintain the level of existing services and facilities. These settlements are also able to look to Heathfield for the majority of their daily needs. These villages are also constrained by AONB. Support has already been expressed for the identification of some development in the AONB in order to meet the strategic housing requirement in the most suitable manner. However, if such a landscape designation is to be compromised then this should be at the main town of Heathfield rather than less sustainable locations such as Cross in Hand, Horam, Broad Oak and Punnets Town.

Question 23f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Limited expansion of these villages may be acceptable, however, the results of the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment may impact on these settlements' ability to deliver development.
Part of Document: Wealden’s Communities

Reason for comment:
The character of towns and villages across Wealden District vary greatly, therefore, the appropriate density for new development is specific to its location. As a starting point it would be appropriate to apply a density of 35 dwellings per hectare. However, there needs to be flexibility in the application of density as this could range upwards or downwards depending on proximity to public transport nodes or existing lower density areas.

Reason for comment:
A policy specifying dwelling mix will need to be sufficiently flexible enough to take account of local distinctiveness, circumstances, markets and demands. Rydon supports balanced communities. See response to question 19 which seeks the ability to provide the appropriate mix of dwelling types and sizes to meet local demand for open market housing. It is not considered that the demand is for small dwellings in all cases.

Reason for comment:
Objective SO2 is too prescriptive at this stage until density policies have been determined elsewhere within the Core Strategy.
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>![radio button] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Frost</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 2a
- Option 2b
- Option 3a
- Option 3b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- **Option 3c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- **Option 3d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- **Option 4c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- **Option 4b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103652</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103652</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103652</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103652</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 6b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 6c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 7a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 7b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Option 7c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [x]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Option 7d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Option 8a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [x]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Option 8b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [x]

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 8c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 8d

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Question Ten

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 13a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Frost
103652

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost
103652

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 14i

Section of Document:

Your View   ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Flooding is an issue.

Consultee ID: Miss Frost
103652

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 14j

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost
103652

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Frost

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 15b

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 15c

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 15d

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 15f

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 15g**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Issues of flooding and poor roads.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 15h**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 17a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 17b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 17c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Frost Agent ID: 103652
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost Agent ID: 103652
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost Agent ID: 103652
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost Agent ID: 103652
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Frost
103652

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost
103652

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 18j
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost
103652

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 23c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost
103652

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 23a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- ✔ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Frost  
103652  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 24b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Frost  
103652  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 24c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Frost  
103652  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 24d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Frost  
103652  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 25a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 25b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 25c

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 25g

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 25d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Frost
Agent ID: 103652

Part of document: option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost
Agent ID: 103652

Part of document: option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost
Agent ID: 103652

Part of document: option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost
Agent ID: 103652

Part of document: option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Frost  
103652

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost  
103652

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost  
103652

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Frost  
103652

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Frost</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103652</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 28c
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document:  Housing Delivery
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Question 32b
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The possibility of flooding must be considered and all due precautions taken to ensure the safety of residents and their property.

Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
If housing development is not accompanied with the certainty of suitable employment opportunities either unemployment with its accompanies social problems will be the possible outcome or only the age of the population will be imbalanced with largely retired people only moving into the new areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Option 5a

**Section of Document:**

**Consultee ID:** 103729  
Mr Cumming

**Agent ID:**

**Reason for comment:**

The thin end of the wedge. How selective is selective? Do not take agreement as a 'go go go'.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Option 6a

**Section of Document:**

**Consultee ID:** 103729  
Mr Cumming

**Agent ID:**

**Reason for comment:**

This partly depends on what you count as a town, but many villages are at bursting point and can only be expanded significantly by spoiling their character. Hence major towns such as Crowborough, which can support growth should be targeted first.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Option 7a

**Section of Document:**

**Consultee ID:** 103729  
Mr Cumming

**Agent ID:**

**Reason for comment:**

The system seems to be very mechanical and bears no relationship to the established character and needs of smaller communities. If every medium sized village and town is turned into a dry exercise in town planning you will destroy much of what makes the Weald so special.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

Page 443 of 6217
Consultee ID: Mr Cumming

Agree

I am very concerned that WDC are setting off on a journey that will see significant encroachment on AONBs. In particular, as a resident of Wadhurst, I do not believe that the council has realised the existing strains in the village. In order to support more development there would need to be wholesale upgrading of sewers, water mains, schools, doctors etc. Village car parks would need to be expanded and the railway station would need to be upgrade too. This is all completely out of keeping with a contained village in an AONB. I realise that there is much pressure being exerted from Whitehall on these matters but this is the time to resist and protect what makes our district special.

Consultee ID: Mr Wishart

Disagree

Largely disagree - traditional building will seriously affect these areas and accelerate the urbanisation of our countryside. A very limited programme of self sufficient and sustainable 'off-grid' dwellings may be acceptable provided that they are built using locally sourced, renewable materials.

Consultee ID: Mr Wishart

Disagree

We must target higher levels of sustainable and renewable energy resources.
The higher the target the better, though this should not simply be focused on wind / solar but on all forms of sustainable energy.

Town infrastructure must be considered - a town is no more suitable for development than a village if there is no capacity or no suitable infrastructure available to support the increase in demand for services.
Consultee ID:  Mr  Wishart  
103749

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
- with reservations. It would seem that a town centre location will give an opportunity to provide higher density housing with good facilities nearby, however this may serve to increase town centre morning and evening congestion as those living in the development commute to their workplaces - there seems to be little employment opportunities in Crowborough.

Consultee ID:  Mr  Wishart  
103749

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
- Western Road is already dangerous for pedestrians due to pavement parking and HGV traffic, any increase in traffic flow along this road is inappropriate. - Increase in traffic will further deter families from walking to and from the local schools - Jarvis Brook already has a school why not extend this instead of justifying a new build? - the railway line is already a 'victim' of it's own success with many peak time services getting overcrowded. Any development must be accompanied by a significant increase in rail capacity including extended service hours, peak time frequency, car park capacity and bus services to the station. - Crowborough and Jarvis Brook do not have adequate public transport links to the main centres of employment and without these links any development here will be reliant on the car; there simply isn't enough employment locally to support this size of development. - What leisure facilities will be built to support this development? There must be some thought given to the requirements of both primary and secondary school age children who will live in and around this proposed development.

Consultee ID:  Mr  Wishart  
103749

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Any of these developments will serve to highlight the inadequacies of the town transport and community infrastructure. There must be serious investment in the town not just house building. As it stands Crowborough has the infrastructure of a village with a population of a large town - where are the cinema, theatre, hotel, tourist information, cycle trails, youth clubs, etc. that will support the new homes and the tourists enticed to the ‘gateway’ to Ashdown Forest?
Reason for comment:
The sentiments are admirable BUT all of the 'promises' rely on funding from developers, in my opinion this is a wholly unsustainable position - it virtually guarantees facilities that are designed to appease the planners and not to serve the community on who the development has been imposed.

Reason for comment:
Define: 'affordable' 'viable'

Reason for comment:
Have to agree with the sentiment of this but in reality this is pointless - I would not consider any area mentioned in this document as having good public transport. In most of Wealden the bus service provision starts too late in the day for people to get to work and ends before they can leave work to get home; the rail service is adequate to get in and out of London but poor for commuting within Wealden. On the whole public transport is too infrequent and expensive.
Consultee ID: Mr Wishart 103749

Part of document: option 27b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The opening of this section should not be dependent on development and should be accompanied by an increase in capacity to ensure that commuters 'upstream' of Uckfield don't see a degradation of service levels or are forced to stand all the way to London.

Consultee ID: Mr Wishart 103749

Part of document: option 27f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
No matter how many spaces are provided it won't be enough.

Consultee ID: Mr Wishart 103749

Part of document: option 28a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wishart 103749

Part of document: option 28b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
But this must be policed effectively and be provided to meet community needs not the needs of the developers and planners
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Wishart
103749

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Question 31a

Section of Document: [ ]

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:
I do not believe or trust that Wealden District Council is capable of controlling or selecting appropriate developers who will support and successfully deliver on this vision.

Consultee ID: Mr Wishart
103749

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Question 31b

Section of Document: [ ]

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:
I don’t doubt that this online ‘consultation’ and several ill-publicised ‘roadshows’ have fulfilled Wealden’s statutory requirements, however I don’t believe that there has been enough effort to engage the majority of Wealden residents.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wylam
103758

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wylam
103758

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Option 2a

Section of Document: [ ]

Your View [✓] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wylam</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103758</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 2b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wylam</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103758</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 3a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wylam</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103758</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 3b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wylam</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103758</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 3c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wylam</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 3d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wylam</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 5b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wylam</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 6a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wylam</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 7d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wylam
Agent ID:
103758

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View         □ Agree   ✓ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
this is a bit of a catch all! If a new multipurpose community facility is not to include a swimming pool, to which to the majority of locals can walk then what is envisaged? Any pool should not generate additional traffic in outlying villages. Rest of vision seems reasonable.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wylam
Agent ID:
103758

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View         ✓ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wylam
Agent ID:
103758

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View         ✓ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wylam
Agent ID:
103758

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View         □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wylam
103758

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wylam
103758

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wylam
103758

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wylam
103758

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 12g

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

infrastructure is inadequate now, new housing will exacerbate problems
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wylam Agent ID:
103758

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wylam Agent ID:
103758

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 18k

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
a new settlement could enhance Wealden significantly if it were well designed - our own “Poundbury”?!?

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wylam Agent ID:
103758

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wylam Agent ID:
103758

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wylam</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wylam</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wylam</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wylam</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Section 1.23 states “The housing requirements are driven by several key factors including household projections, demographic characteristics, infrastructure and environmental constraints. Economic regeneration and growth and identification/release of urban potential are also major drivers. Influences on demographic characteristics include factors such as reductions in household size and increased life expectancy. An increase in the instances of ‘concealed households’ (where people are forced to share or to stay with parents due to affordability) also impacts on overall housing requirements”. Despite these words, the impression is that the target housing figures are top-down coarse projections imposed by government on the area without any consideration of actual needs on a community by community basis. The figures are treated as an unarguable “given” and the consultation exercise only tries to deal with how they can be met (or exceeded) rather than dealing with what they mean. I feel that the Development Framework would work much better in the context of a serious bottom-up examination of each community’s current real needs in terms of facilities, infrastructure and additional (and particularly affordable) housing and extrapolate these sensibly over the next 20 years. Having established this baseline requirement, seek to understand how or if this could be implemented locally within each community and only then deal with the distribution of any excess development requirements between other communities. A final phase of this process would be to examine projections of any net movement of populations into the area and determine a policy that will balance this against infrastructure and environmental constraints and the danger of fundamentally changing the character of the area. If necessary this would entail imposing an appropriate cap on the scale and rate of implementation of new developments rather than trying to address gung-ho targets.
Consultee ID: Mr Burgess  
103759

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  √ option

Section of Document:  
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  √ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Provided this is limited to small developments within existing settlements, determined in response to immediate expressed local needs and strictly maintaining the character of those settlements. This to some degree will help ease the development pressure on other villages that deserve to maintain their character but are not blessed with AONB status.

Consultee ID: Mr Burgess  
103759

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  √ option

Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  √ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Whilst advocating aiming high and applying the full scrutiny of sustainability analysis to any new development, “10% of its energy generation” seems to me an arbitrary numerical value. It is not clear here what represents 100%. We should be concerned with all energy and environmental "costs", not just what the dwellings consume. Therefore, such costs associated with construction, infrastructure enhancement, occupants’ transport requirements etc are all part of the equation. It is not going to be feasible or visually desirable to provide local energy generation in all instances. Better to concentrate on well understood methods of limiting energy consumption.

Consultee ID: Mr Burgess  
103759

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  √ option

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  √ Disagree

Reason for comment:
See response to Option 2b
Settlement boundaries should in general be maintained to retain the overall character of the area. Any expansion should be the exception and needs to be justified on the basis that this will accommodate development needs identified by and approved by that community. It should not be seen as a means of addressing externally imposed targets. In some circumstances, it may be appropriate to contract settlement boundaries to protect an area from unnecessary development.

The percentages expressed in Questions 6a, 6b, 6c are meaningless if applied as a target to the whole area. Better that all necessary (i.e. needed) developments are appropriate to each community and therefore generally meet with local approval. The percentage split between towns and villages will then be what it will be if it is the product of a bottom-up planning process.
Consultee ID: Mr Burgess  
103759  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Fairly crude way of defining settlements. Other factors may include historic nature, predominant types of housing, infrastructure provision/potential.  

Consultee ID: Mr Burgess  
103759  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
A consideration of growth needs should be part of the equation.  

Consultee ID: Mr Burgess  
103759  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Disagree on the basis that growth potential is currently poorly defined. Incorporate growth in villages where a strong local need is identified. If that growth cannot be fully accommodated within that village, then consider distribution of the excess requirement between other settlements with a surplus of potential development space over immediate local needs. Again too much emphasis on meeting targets rather than addressing needs.
Option 9a

Reason for comment:
Uckfield has reached (or exceeded) sensible critical mass. The town centre and road systems need a massive rethink to properly serve the existing population. If this were done, in conjunction with a flood relief scheme and the re-opening of the railway to Lewes (WITHOUT a town centre level crossing, which is not beyond the wit of man), then an appropriate level of further development might be acceptable.

Option 9b

Reason for comment:
Looks like Uckfield is always in the mix despite its problems. As stated elsewhere, you should be looking at the needs for each community, not trying to accommodate externally imposed targets.

Option 9c

Reason for comment:
See response to Question 9b. If the needs of each community were used to drive the planning process, the level of development in each town and village stands a chance of being appropriate and acceptable to most residents.
Reason for comment:
All the ecological/environmental arguments listed by others. Location prevents proper integration with existing town but increase in population will impact on ability of highways and services within Uckfield to cope. Infrastructure currently inadequate. Likely significant increase in commuting by car to other centres within and outside Wealden with associated environmental impact.

Reason for comment:
All the ecological/environmental arguments listed by others. Location prevents proper integration with existing town but increase in population will impact on ability of highways and services within Uckfield to cope. Infrastructure currently inadequate. Likely significant increase in commuting by car to other centres within and outside Wealden with associated environmental impact.

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Burgess

Part of document: Option 21a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Any such settlement would still be too far from Uckfield town centre to be sensibly integrated but the increase in population will impact on ability of highways and services within Uckfield to cope. Infrastructure currently inadequate. Likely significant increase in commuting by car to other centres within and outside Wealden with associated environmental impact. What are the actual needs of Maresfield? Apparently 20 units of “affordable housing” appear to be required according to Matrix B of the Draft Settlement Strategy.

Consultee ID: Mr Burgess

Part of document: Option 21b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Precisely where up to 430 dwellings could be accommodated within Five Ash Down is not clear, especially as this would be in addition to any homes built on the Grampian site. Any such settlement would still be too far from Uckfield town centre to be sensibly integrated but the increase in population will impact on ability of highways and services within Uckfield to cope. Despite the lack of integration with Uckfield, this development will inevitably close another rural gap between settlements and more wildlife corridors will be lost. Infrastructure currently inadequate. Likely significant increase in commuting by car to other centres within and outside Wealden with associated environmental impact. Five Ash Down currently has its own identity which will be utterly obliterated by an increase in size of between 105% and 174% (based on your figures of 247 current dwellings). How does this square with the notional 20% increase through development proposed in the Draft Settlement Strategy? Admittedly this cap can be raised due to “urban design-led opportunities” (and what are those?), but we’re talking here of a proposed growth of nearly nine times the notional “acceptable” figure. What are the actual needs of Five Ash Down? Apparently NO “affordable housing” is required according to Matrix B of the Draft Settlement Strategy.
Consultee ID: Mr Burgess
Agent ID: 103759

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 25a

Section of Document: 

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Not clear what is meant by "more housing should be in the form of small dwellings". More than what? (More than 50% of all new housing? More than has been provided elsewhere?). Obviously a proportion of smaller dwellings is desirable to meet local needs/demands but understanding whether this is "more" or "less" is down to an appreciation of each community's needs.

Consultee ID: Mr Burgess
Agent ID: 103759

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 24a

Section of Document: 

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Densities should be determined on a site by site basis taking into account local needs and demands and the nature of existing dwellings.

Consultee ID: Mr Burgess
Agent ID: 103759

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 24b

Section of Document: 

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Densities should be determined on a site by site basis taking into account local needs and demands and the nature of existing dwellings.
Consultee ID: Mr Burgess  
Consultee ID: 103759

Part of document: Option 24c
Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree
Reason for comment: Densities should be determined on a site by site basis taking into account local needs and demands and the nature of existing dwellings.

Consultee ID: Mr Burgess  
Consultee ID: 103759

Part of document: Option 24d
Section of Document: Agent ID: Disagree
Reason for comment: Densities should be determined on a site by site basis taking into account local needs and demands and the nature of existing dwellings. Proximity to public transport facilities is unlikely to make people give up their cars and associated garaging space. However, a percentage of the population would be quite happy to live in urban surroundings with a minimal provision of garden space. If such a demand is significant, then address it through areas of higher housing density.

Consultee ID: Mr Burgess  
Consultee ID: 103759

Part of document: Option 25b
Section of Document: Agent ID: Disagree
Reason for comment: Proportions of affordable housing should be determined through an assessment of real needs/demands for each community. Little point in having a vote on percentages.
Consultee ID: Mr Burgess  
103759

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Proportion of affordable housing should be determined through an assessment of real needs/demands in each community.

Consultee ID: Mr Burgess  
103759

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The implication is that such sites should not be provided in urban areas. It may be appropriate to do both but in either case, creation of ghetto communities should be avoided. It may be helpful to get rid of the "affordable" label in some areas and create respectable "key workers" communities.

Consultee ID: Mr Burgess  
103759

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Burgess  
103759

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Burgess</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>103759</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

Agree that the re-instatement of the railway between Uckfield and Lewes should be a long term priority but not at the expense of a massive new development in the Isfield area. A properly developed scheme with doubling of the existing railway, electrification and re-opening from Eridge to Tunbridge Wells would sensibly enhance the local rail network to a level that would encourage people to use it. Note that the relatively simple measure of the introduction of modern diesel rolling stock on the Uckfield Line has created a massive increase in usage. Ideally, the level crossing in the middle of Uckfield should be avoided. Any major civil engineering works in Uckfield could be planned in conjunction with flood management measures and town centre road scheme. Possible consideration for an Uckfield Parkway station off the bypass?

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Burgess</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>103759</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Thirty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

Agree to the proposed schemes for the Uckfield areas. Insufficient local knowledge to comment on the others.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Burgess</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>103759</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 31a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

Hard to disagree with most of the sentiments expressed. How it will work out in practice is another matter. What is Wealden's interpretation of "necessary development for homes"? Government targets?
Looking at the comments made by others, I would like to bet that most of your respondents were NOT in the 18 to 49 age range. If this is so, you have failed to get input from those people who are going to be most affected by future developments. If nothing else, check on the ages and occupations of all those who have replied, then consider how you fill the gaps. Though I would wish it otherwise, there's a fair chance that many of those who have replied won't even see 2026.

Heathfield is a very small town sitting on very a poor road network which cannot easily be improved, so any development of the suggested areas will turn the roads from very difficult to impossible, as the large majority must travel by road to find employment. At present the A267 is at a standstill from Broad Oak in the east to beyond Cross In Hand in the west each morning from 7.30 to 9.00 and each evening between 4.30 to 6.30 and backed up on the A265 Little London Road forcing many motorists to race along totally unsuitable country lanes with the inevitable results. So until the road network is improved it cannot take any more development in and around the Heathfield and Cross In Hand area without causing total misery to the people already living there. The first thought of new planning to any area should take into account those already living there and deal with their needs, ie roads, open park areas, shops, employment, public services and transport. None of these are adequate at the present time. All of these points should be addressed before any more development takes place.
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
Heathfield is a very small town sitting on a very poor road network which cannot easily be improved, so any development of the suggested areas will turn the roads from very difficult to impossible, as the large majority must travel by road to find employment. At present the A267 is at a standstill from Broad Oak in the east to beyond Cross In Hand in the west each morning from 7.30 to 9.00 and each evening between 4.30 to 6.30 and backed up on the A265 Little London Road forcing many motorists to race along totally unsuitable country lanes with the inevitable results. So until the road network is improved it cannot take any more development in and around the Heathfield and Cross In Hand area without causing total misery to the people already living there. The first thought of new planning to any area should take into account those already living there and deal with their needs, ie roads, open park areas, shops, employment, public services and transport. None of these are adequate at the present time. All of these points should be addressed before any more development takes place.

Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
Concern about land between Priory Road and Brambletye Bends and impact on AONB, wildlife, quality of life and Forest Row services. Concern also regarding flooding, health services, water and power shortages and increase in traffic

Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
Land could be allocated north of Framfield Road at Bird-in-Eye. It would be well related to the town centre, enabling access by foot and cycle and would not damage the landscape. The area to the east of the town would be the least damaging in landscape terms. The site is deliverable in terms of the criteria in PPS3.
Uckfield is the least constrained in landscape terms of the 3 principle settlements and is better served by public transport provision. The spatial objectives for Uckfield should include reference to the need for continued and improved housing delivery to provide for affordable housing opportunity and choice.
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 4e

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
In the sub region there is one town of substance that is Hailsham, and the enlarged village of Polegate.

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
relax development boundaries as PPS 12 (A2)
Hailsham on the other hand has the largest infrastructure available and the most suitable site in the vicinity of Hailsham is the open land to the north of Amberstone running up to Hellingly Hospital and Park Wood to the north to the north/south roads between Carter’s Corner and Amberstone on the east and Grove Hill on the west, amounting to approximately 100 hectares.
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey Agent ID: 103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document: Question 7e

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey Agent ID: 103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document: Option 8a

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey Agent ID: 103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document: Option 8b

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey Agent ID: 103911

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document: Option 8c

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911

Part of document: Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911

Part of document: Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911

Part of document: Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911

Part of document: Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 11c

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment: Your View

Option 11d

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment: Your View

Option 11e

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment: Your View

Question 11g

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103911</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103911</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103911</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID: 103911</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 14a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 14b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 14c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911

Part of document: option

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911

Part of document: option

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911

Part of document: option

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911

Part of document: option

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>103911</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 15c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>103911</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 15d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>103911</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 15e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>103911</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 15e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911
Part of document:  
Option 16b
Section of Document:
Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911
Part of document:  
Option 16c
Section of Document:
Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911
Part of document:  
Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey 103911
Part of document:  
Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey  Agent ID: 103911

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Question 16i

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey  Agent ID: 103911

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 17a

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey  Agent ID: 103911

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 17b

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey  Agent ID: 103911

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 17c

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Agent ID: 103911

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Agent ID: 103911

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Agent ID: 103911

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Agent ID: 103911

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: Option 18i

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey  
Agent ID: 103911

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey  
Agent ID: 103911

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey  
Agent ID: 103911

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey  
Agent ID: 103911

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 103911</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 19e</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 103911</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 20a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 103911</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 20b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 103911</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 20c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 21a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 21b

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 21c

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 21d

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 21f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Question 21g

Consider judicious infill and extend development boundaries in other scheduled villages.

Option 22a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consider judicious infill and extended development boundaries in other scheduled villages.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 23a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 23b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 23c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 23d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✔

**Section of Document:**

- Option 23e

**Your View:**
- Agree: ✔
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: □

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✔

**Section of Document:**

- Question 23f

**Your View:**
- Agree: ✔
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: □

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✔

**Section of Document:**

- Option 24a

**Your View:**
- Agree: ✔
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: □

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✔

**Section of Document:**

- Option 24b

**Your View:**
- Agree: ✔
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: □

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Agent ID: 103911
Part of document: Option 24c
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Agent ID: 103911
Part of document: Option 25a
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Agent ID: 103911
Part of document: Option 25b
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Agent ID: 103911
Part of document: Option 25c
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
103911
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25g
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
103911
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
103911
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
103911
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25f
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey  
103911

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey  
103911

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey  
103911

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey  
103911

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27c
- Option 27d
- Option 27e
- Option 27f

**Section of Document:**
- Option 27c
- Option 27d
- Option 27e
- Option 27f

**Your View**
- Option 27c: Agree
- Option 27d: Agree
- Option 27e: Agree
- Option 27f: Agree

**Reason for comment:**

---
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Consultee ID: 103911
Mr Humphrey

Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consulate ID: 103911
Mr Humphrey

Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consulate ID: 103911
Mr Humphrey

Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consulate ID: 103911
Mr Humphrey

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Agent ID: 103911

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option
Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Agent ID: 103911

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option
Question 31a
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Agent ID: 103911

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option
Question 31b
Section of Document:
Your View [✓] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Q 30 in 20 questions - too broad a Q to answer

Consultee ID: Mr Humphrey
Agent ID: 103911

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option
Question 32a
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 32b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

32a is too broad a question for a simple answer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Humphrey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 32b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Nash</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103912</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
The site supports a number of European protected species together with a range of other species protected by UK legislation and supports priority species listed in the UK Biodiversity Action Plan, together with other bird species of nature conservation concern. The area is rich in ancient woodland, herb-rich grassland, ponds, streams and ancient common. The site is remote from existing sewage treatment facilities and the provision of sewage handling facilities may result in risk to health and odour escape.
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The area is home to a number of European protected species together with a range of other species protected by UK legislation and supports priority species listed in the UK Biodiversity Action Plan, together with other bird species of nature conservation concern. The area is rich in ancient woodlands, old herb-rich grassland, ponds, streams and ancient common. The area is effectively divided for pedestrian access to Uckfield by the nature of Snatts Road which is a narrow lane without benefit of pavement and cut between vertical banks at one end. The area is remote from the existing sewage treatment facilities and presents difficulties in providing a safe and odour-free sewage handling facility.

Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I am surprised that no plan is in place to improve road access to the North via this end of Uckfield to relieve some of the pressure on the Uckfield by-pass. I believe that there are insufficient means to cross the river Uck either on foot or by car and provision of dwellings in the area towards Framfield would add to the logic for providing additional river crossings.

Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Uckfield town centre has not been planned as a whole and presents a jumble of architectural styles which are not all pleasing to the eye. The land in the town centre has not been used to best advantage. In my view there is the scope to develope the town centre to provide significant increase in dwellings with the advantage of being located close to public transport facilities and without significant loss of public access areas.
Consultee ID: Mr Nash
Consultee ID: 103912
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 14i
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Having lived in Uckfield for the last 30 years, I am dissapointed at the lack of either medium term or long term planning for growth. The previous local hospital site was more accessible than the current site, the Train service no longer operates on Sundays, medical facilities have not expanded to meet the 100% increase in population, the only new road (Uckfield by-pass) is already over-loaded, only one secondary education facility exists leading to a concentration of traffic to and from the facility.

Consultee ID: Mr Williams
Consultee ID: 103948
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Development should be allowed in the high weald AONB to help meet the Council's strategic housing requirements.

Consultee ID: Mr Williams
Consultee ID: 103948
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Williams
Consultee ID: 103948
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 5c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The settlement boundary should be expanded at East Heathfield in line with option 12c (submitted proposal with map)
Consultee ID: Mr Williams 103948 Kember Loudon Williams

Option 6a

Reason for comment:
Agree with the proviso that growth including housing development should be included in and around settlements.

Option 9a

Reason for comment:

Option 9b

Reason for comment:

Option 9c

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 103948  
Kember Loudon Williams

Part of document:  option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Support enhancing Heathfield's strategic role. There should be integrated, comprehensive planning facilities.

Consultee ID: 103948  
Kember Loudon Williams

Part of document:  option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 103948  
Kember Loudon Williams

Part of document:  option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Williams, Kember Loudon Williams

Part of document: option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View
Option 25b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A consistent affordable housing threshold should be applied at no more than 30%. There is a risk that higher rates will cause land to be withheld from the market and the yield of homes will fall as a result.

Option 25c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 25e

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Sport England strongly advocates the principle that developers should provide sport and recreational facilities or contributions in lieu of them to meet the demands that are generated by their developments. This is supported by guidance in PPG17. Sport England Developer Contributions 'Kitbag' provides advice and guidance on securing contributions for sport and recreation. It also includes the Sports facility Calculator which provides estimates of contributions for 3 types of built facility. Sport England welcomes the Open Space and Recreation Assessment work that the authority has carried out which can be used to develop Supplementary Planning Documents to secure contributions.

Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Sport England supports this policy and is committed to the protection of existing sports facilities which should only be permitted to be lost if they are replaced. Sport England's policy set out in "Planning for Sport and Active Recreation - Objectives and Opportunities" states: PLANNING POLICY OBJECTIVE 2: To prevent the loss of facilities or access to natural resources which are important in terms of sports development. Should redevelopment be unavoidable, an equivalent (or better) replacement facility should be provided in a suitable location. This proposal is also consistent with the guidance in PPG17.
Consultee ID: Mrs Skinner  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document: Option 18g  
Your View: ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
I have always been totally opposed to any major development in this area until such time as proper and very much needed infrastructure has been put in place. It has been said countless times by countless people over years, that this area is already on the verge of gridlock. Our road system is extremely bad, we have a very poor public transport system, which in the places it does operate is very expensive. Our doctors and dentists are over subscribed and undermanned, Our local hospital is being threatened with "Down Sizing" which is ridiculous under the circumstances. Most of our schools have to use "temporary classrooms" because they do not have enough classrooms to house the children they already have. The water and sewage services are also struggling to keep pace. The list could go on forever. For these reasons alone I would oppose such large development. Also to develop an area like ours in this manner would completely destroy the rural and much needed green belt between us and the coastal towns and larger inland towns, it would also wipe us out as a village with hamlets, we would become a suburb of Eastbourne.

Consultee ID: Miss Gould  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty  
Your View: ☑ Agree  
Reason for comment:  
Yes, we do need more housing, we need affordable homes and more importantly, we need more social housing, we need affordable homes and more importantly, we need more social housing, such as council owned and rented. This area has a very low income per capita, with most people earning less than £20,000 per annum. Buying a home is out of the question, most new housing in this area has so far been taken up by in comers on higher incomes.
Consultee ID: Miss Gould
104030
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
104030
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
104030
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
104030
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 2b
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould Agent ID: 104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ✔ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould Agent ID: 104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ✔ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould Agent ID: 104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ✔ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould Agent ID: 104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ✔ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|Part of document:| document | chapter | section | option
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|Part of document:| document | chapter | section | option
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|Part of document:| document | chapter | section | option
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 5b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 6a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 6b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 6c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Gould
104030

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
104030

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
104030

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
104030

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Gould  
Agent ID: 104030

Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 12e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 12f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 14a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Gould</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

### Option 14b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

### Option 14c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

### Option 14d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

### Option 14e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option:** Option 15a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option:** Option 15b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option:** Option 15c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option:** Option 15d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Gould</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16b**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16c**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16d**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16e**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Gould  Agent ID: 104030

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould  Agent ID: 104030

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould  Agent ID: 104030

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould  Agent ID: 104030

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
### Option 17b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View:** [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

- **Reason for comment:**

### Option 17c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View:** [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

- **Reason for comment:**

### Option 18a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

- **Reason for comment:**

### Option 18b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

- **Reason for comment:**
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Gould
Part of document: Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
Part of document: Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
Part of document: Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
Part of document: Option 18j
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Option 19c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104030</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Option 19d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Gould
104030

Part of document:  
- Option 19e
- Option 21a
- Option 21b
- Option 21c

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
104030

Part of document:  
- Document
- Chapter
- Section
- Option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Gould

Part of document: Option 21d

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould

Part of document: Option 21e

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould

Part of document: Option 22a

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould

Part of document: Option 22b

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Gould
Agent ID: 104030

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
Agent ID: 104030

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
Agent ID: 104030

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
Agent ID: 104030

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 23d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Part of document: Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Gould  
Agent ID:  
104030

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Miss Gould  
Agent ID:  
104030

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Miss Gould  
Agent ID:  
104030

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Miss Gould  
Agent ID:  
104030

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

---
Consultee ID: Miss Gould
104030

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
104030

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
104030

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould
104030

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Gould  
Agent ID: 104030

Part of document:   
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View [☐] Agree [☐] No opinion [☐] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould  
Agent ID: 104030

Part of document:   
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View [☐] Agree [☐] No opinion [☑] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould  
Agent ID: 104030

Part of document:   
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View [☐] Agree [☐] No opinion [☑] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gould  
Agent ID: 104030

Part of document:   
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View [☐] Agree [☐] No opinion [☑] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27f**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gould</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 28a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The items in table 15: items 30a-30e yes we need items 30f-30g I don't believe we need ite,s 30h onwards I don't know

Question Thirty

Section of Document:  Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Moore  
Agent ID: Moore  
104031  
102468 Parker Dann

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Proposed site for residential development on land at Duddleswell.

Consultee ID: Mr Moore  
Agent ID: Moore  
104031  
102468 Parker Dann

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Proposed site for residential development on land at Berwick Court Farm, Berwick.

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery  
Agent ID:  
104094

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery  
Agent ID:  
104094

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery Agent ID: 104094

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery Agent ID: 104094

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery Agent ID: 104094

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 4a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 4a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 4c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 4c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery Agent ID: 104094

Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery Agent ID: 104094

Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery Agent ID: 104094

Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery Agent ID: 104094

Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery
104094

Part of document: option
Question 4e

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery
104094

Part of document: option
Question 4e

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery
104094

Part of document: option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery
104094

Part of document: option
Option 5a

Section of Document:
Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery  
Part of document: Option 5b  
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: Leave settlement boundaries for villages as they are now
Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery

Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery

Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery

Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Montgomery</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 8d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Montgomery</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 8d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Montgomery</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 15e

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Montgomery</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 15e

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery
Part of document: Option 15a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery
Part of document: Option 15b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery
Agent ID: 104094

Part of document: Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery
Agent ID: 104094

Part of document: Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery
Agent ID: 104094

Part of document: Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Part of document:  
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
| Consultee ID: | Mr Montgomery | Agent ID: | 104094 |
| Part of document: | Option 16d |  |  |
| Section of Document: |  |  |  |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |  |  |  |

| Consultee ID: | Mr Montgomery | Agent ID: | 104094 |
| Part of document: | Option 16d |  |  |
| Section of Document: |  |  |  |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |  |  |  |

| Consultee ID: | Mr Montgomery | Agent ID: | 104094 |
| Part of document: | Option 16f |  |  |
| Section of Document: |  |  |  |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |  |  |  |

<p>| Consultee ID: | Mr Montgomery | Agent ID: | 104094 |
| Part of document: | Option 16f |  |  |
| Section of Document: |  |  |  |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |  |  |  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Montgomery</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Montgomery</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Montgomery</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Montgomery</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery
104094

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View: 
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery
104094

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View: 
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery  Agent ID: 104094

Part of document: Option 27c  Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: Nothing west of A22

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery  Agent ID: 104094

Part of document: Option 27c  Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery  Agent ID: 104094

Part of document: Option 27c  Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery  Agent ID: 104094

Part of document: Option 27d  Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery  
Agent ID: 104094

Part of document:  
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery  
Agent ID: 104094

Part of document:  
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Montgomery  
Agent ID: 104094

Part of document:  
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27f</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Montgomery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 28a</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Montgomery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 28a</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Montgomery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 28c</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Montgomery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 28c

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree

Reason for comment: Please note that I have only made comment against questions that I believe may have particular bearing upon the area I live in ie Folkington
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Consultee ID: Mr Flude Agent ID: 104152

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View [✓] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Provided that such developments are small, in keeping with the area, and to assist local people. Large commercial developments should not be allowed.

Consultee ID: Mr Flude Agent ID: 104152

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Obviously energy saving is to be recommended but more targets as to what 'must' be done are not helpful. Individual areas cannot necessarily be expected to generate the same savings as other areas. We do not want a proliferation of wind farms, that would destroy the unspoilt views, just to meet specific targets. In any case 10% is an idealistic value.

Consultee ID: Mr Flude Agent ID: 104152

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:
For reasons given in my answer to Option 2a.

Consultee ID: Mr Flude Agent ID: 104152

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View [✓] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
A balance is always to be considered. Generally such land would and should be taken up, but in the case of specific areas not being so utilised it seems sensible to allow mixed or other uses.
Option 3b

Reason for comment:
Provided 'redevelopment' does not mean 'no further improvement or redevelopment to improve the business potential.'

Option 3c

Reason for comment:

Option 3d

Reason for comment:

Option 4a

Reason for comment:
Provided that the A27 (T) link is constructed to the Cophall Farm roundabout and the A27(T) is dualled and improved to provide good road access and communication.
Consultee ID: Mr Flude
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Provided that the A27 (T) link is constructed to the Cophall Farm roundabout and the A27(T) is dualled and improved to provide good road access and communication.

Consultee ID: Mr Flude
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Provided it is modest in extent and does not exhibit urban sprawl.

Consultee ID: Mr Flude
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Provided that the A27 (T) link is constructed to the Cophall Farm roundabout and the A27(T) is dualled and improved to provide good road access and communication.

Consultee ID: Mr Flude
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Provided that such changes are modest and are in keeping with the area and assist in providing low cost housing for local people.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Flude</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

**Option 5b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Provided that such changes are modest and are in keeping with the area and assist in providing low cost housing for local people.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Flude</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

**Option 6a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Generally it is better to add to existing towns than to expand smaller villages. The visual impact would be less. But the determining factor can be very specific to each particular location. In general I agree with the 80% value but without a proscription.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Flude</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

**Option 6b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Flude</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

**Option 6c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 8a

Reason for comment:
Provided that the A27(T) link is constructed to the Cophall roundabout and improvements by way of dualling are made to the A27(T) to ensure good road connections from the west to the A22.

Option 16a

Reason for comment:
Provided the A27(T) link is constructed to the Cophall Farm roundabout to provide good road access.

Option 16b

Reason for comment:
Has potential but beware of urban sprawl towards Hailsham. Would need the A27(T) link to be constructed to the Cophall Farm roundabout to provide good road access.
Consultee ID: 104152  
Mr Flude

Part of document:  
- Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Some redevelopment in Polegate could be beneficial.

Consultee ID: 104152  
Mr Flude

Part of document:  
- Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The green break between Polegate and Lower Willingdon should be maintained and designated a green open space in the Framework. No further road connections to the A2270 should be made as this road is already at capacity. If exits to the east have been ruled out as not possible then this area should not be considered for further development.
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

In any new area for development especially to the west or northwest of Polegate consideration should be given to the provision of local shops, medical facilities, community centres and churches. The possibility of changes to the services provided by the Eastbourne District General Hospital should be taken into consideration when planning large numbers of new houses in the area. People do need good medical services especially in an emergency and at times of child birth.

Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Affordable housing is very important and should be provided otherwise many young buyers will stand no chance of getting into the housing market.

Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:


Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Flude
104152

Part of document: Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

I do not see why any reduction in stopping at other stations would affect this Option.

Consultee ID: Mr Flude
104152

Part of document: Option 26a

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Strongly disagree. The improvement to the A27(T) is urgent to improve communications and to improve safety especially if more development is to take place. With the new A27(T) link to Cophall roundabout in place then the new A22 might used to capacity to reduce traffic on the A2270. Eastbourne would then be better served both for residents and businesses. A well built new A27(T) road would not be a detriment to the environment. East Sussex suffers from poor road communications and the east-west link is particularly bad.

Consultee ID: Mr Flude
104152

Part of document: Option 27c

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

I do not see why any reduction in stopping at other stations would affect this Option.

Consultee ID: Mr Flude
104152

Part of document: Option 27d

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Strongly disagree. The improvement to the A27(T) is urgent to improve communications and to improve safety especially if more development is to take place. With the new A27(T) link to Cophall roundabout in place then the new A22 might used to capacity to reduce traffic on the A2270. Eastbourne would then be better served both for residents and businesses. A well built new A27(T) road would not be a detriment to the environment. East Sussex suffers from poor road communications and the east-west link is particularly bad.
Consultee ID: Mr Flude

Part of document:  
- Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Locally a start could be made with Hindsland between Polegate and Willingdon but I am sure than many other towns would also benefit from such a proposal.

Consultee ID: Mr Flude

Part of document:  
- Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
I do not see why developers should not contribute to such provision.

Consultee ID: Mr Flude

Part of document:  
- Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Strongly agree. Developers should build what they have applied and obtained permission for and this should be part of the Conditions of the Application determination. Planning permission should not be seen as a way of increasing the economic value of land for other financial advantages to benefit the developer.
Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:
This question might have been better if split up. 30a and 30b - the A27(T) and the link to Cophall roundabout is needed urgently especially if new development is to take place in the area. This would improve east-west communications and make for a safer road. It would improve communication to Eastbourne. Other engineering features need to be matched with the need as they arise. Water supply and waste treatment facilities will obviously be needed. Medical facilities and the services provided by the Eastbourne District General Hospital are also very important and will be required to cater for the new development.

Question 31a

Reason for comment:
Generally agree, but improvements to the A27(T) are needed to enhance east-west communications and a better route to connect with the A22 to Eastbourne.

Question 32a

Reason for comment:
But do not forget the need to improve the A27(T) as a good east-west communication is required.
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Consultee ID: 104211
Agent ID: Mr Kehoe
Tunbridge Wells Borough Council

Option 13a

Section of Document: Option 13a

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

1. Tunbridge Wells Borough Council objected to the allocation of the northern part of this site for 70 dwellings when it was proposed to be included in the Wealden Local Plan Review: Revised Draft, November 2004. The grounds for objection were fourfold: a. Housing Land Supply: objection to the release of greenfield land; b. Impact on Tunbridge Wells Infrastructure: impact of development focussed on Tunbridge Wells but not clear that development contributions would be received by this Authority; c. Environmental Issues: Impact on setting of Royal Tunbridge Wells and SNCI; d. Traffic Impact: limited assessment of extent of highway impact. 2. The Council recognises that the policy framework surrounding housing supply has changed since 2004 and thus appreciates the rationale for Wealden’s consideration of the enlarged area in its Issues and Options Report. However, the Council’s concerns and previous grounds for objection, given above, remain largely unchanged in relation to the current proposal: Housing Land Supply 3. Given the location of the area away from the main urban centres in Wealden, it is questionable whether a housing development here would in fact meet the needs of Wealden’s residents, whether or not the numbers contribute to Wealden’s draft South East Plan requirements. In the context of housing land supply in Tunbridge Wells Borough, however, the allocation of this site would be premature. Given that development here would be an extension of Royal Tunbridge Wells, it is appropriate to consider how the proposal would be viewed were it located within Tunbridge Wells Borough. 4. In terms of housing targets, this Council currently expects to provide approximately 40% over its Structure Plan requirements for the period 2001-2011. The great majority of development continues to be on brownfield land, with 97% coming from this source in 2006/07. The potential for future development to be focussed on the Borough’s town centres, which will be explored by the Council’s programmed Area Action Plan, is very likely to drive the continuance of this trend. Thus, the Council would not wish a precedent to be set for the release of greenfield land in this part of the Borough. Moreover, in the “Rest of Wealden”, the part of Wealden District bordering Tunbridge Wells Borough, Wealden is also exceeding its draft South East Plan housing targets with brownfield windfall development (para. 4.53). 5. It is recognised that Wealden has a limited supply of brownfield land with which to demonstrate a five-year rolling supply of developable land for housing (in line with PPS3). However, the performance of small brownfield sites to date would indicate that Wealden District has a forceful case for seeking a substantial allowance for counting these towards future projections of housing delivery. This Council would wish to see this case made prior to considering the allocation of greenfield sites that could lead to greenfield release in Tunbridge Wells. Impact on Royal Tunbridge Wells Community Infrastructure 6. Residents of development in this area are very likely to use the services and facilities (including schools, health, recreation and employment) provided in Royal Tunbridge Wells town centre before using those in more distant centres in Wealden. Wealden District Council has not, however, demonstrated how the necessary infrastructure to support its proposal would be provided and neither is the proposal underpinned by the co-operative cross-boundary working required by the LDF process. For example, it is noted that the site originally chosen for a new school at Frant is now considered to be unsuitable. 7. Notwithstanding this objection, were a site to be allocated through the LDF process, or indeed proceed by way of a planning application, this Council would wish to engage in cross-boundary negotiations in an effort to mitigate the impact of the development upon the community infrastructure provided at Royal Tunbridge Wells. It would expect all benefits from S.106 Agreements and development contributions to accrue to Tunbridge Wells Borough. Environmental Issues 8. The Council’s concerns in relation to the SNCI that forms part of the site remain the same as in 2004. The SNCI should be surveyed to establish its present value prior to a site being allocated, in order that...
mitigation measures are a central consideration in any forthcoming development proposals. Traffic Impact 9. The Council is concerned that the local road network around Royal Tunbridge Wells is already severely congested at peak times and Wealden’s Report notes that a development of this scale may pose additional junction capacity issues. No allocation should be made before it could be demonstrated by comprehensive Transport Assessment and modelling that the traffic impacts of the development could be mitigated. It is noted that access to the site would be provided in Tunbridge Wells Borough. Kent County Council should therefore be consulted as Highway Authority. 10. In summary, the Council objects to the potential allocation through the LDF process on the following grounds: · Development in this location would not necessarily meet the housing needs of Wealden’s residents; · An allocation would be premature in the context of the housing land supply in Tunbridge Wells Borough, of which the development would effectively be a part; · A premature greenfield allocation could prejudice the preferred focus for the future development of Royal Tunbridge Wells to be on previously developed land; · A development of this scale is likely to place undue pressure on the community and transport infrastructure provided at Royal Tunbridge Wells. 11. Were this development to proceed by way of allocation or planning application, the Council would wish to see the following concerns addressed: · That mechanisms were in place to enable the impact of the development upon Tunbridge Wells infrastructure to be addressed; · That the value of the SNCI be fully established and harm to it be mitigated; · That the transport and traffic impacts of the development would not be to the detriment of transport system serving Royal Tunbridge Wells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Peterson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104287</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Question One**

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Peterson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104287</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Option 2a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Peterson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104287</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Option 2b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
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Consultee ID: 104287
Mr Peterson
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [X] option

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [X] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104287
Mr Peterson
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [X] option

Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [X] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104287
Mr Peterson
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [X] option

Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [X] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104287
Mr Peterson
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [X] option

Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [X] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Peterson

Part of document: Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson

Part of document: Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson

Part of document: Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson

Part of document: Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

5A depending on individual settlements
Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Agent ID: 104287

Part of document: Option 7a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Agent ID: 104287

Part of document: Option 7c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Agent ID: 104287

Part of document: Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Agent ID: 104287

Part of document: Option 8a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Consultee ID: 104287
Agent ID: 

**Option 9b**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Consultee ID: 104287
Agent ID: 

**Option 9c**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Consultee ID: 104287
Agent ID: 

**Option 15a**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Consultee ID: 104287
Agent ID: 

**Option 15b**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Mr Peterson

Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mr Peterson

Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mr Peterson

Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mr Peterson

Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Peterson  
104287

Part of document:  
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson  
104287

Part of document:  
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson  
104287

Part of document:  
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson  
104287

Part of document:  
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Only remaining green area between Hampden Park and Polegate East Sussex CC opposes any further entry points on A22 according to this LDF and risk to flood plains.

Option 18i

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 24c

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 24d

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
104287

Part of document: Section of Document:
Option 25a

Your View
☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
104287

Part of document: Section of Document:
Option 25b

Your View
☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
104287

Part of document: Section of Document:
Option 25c

Your View
☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
104287

Part of document: Section of Document:
Option 25g

Your View
☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: 104287
Mr Peterson

Part of document:  

- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View  

- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 104287
Mr Peterson

Part of document:  

- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View  

- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 104287
Mr Peterson

Part of document:  

- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View  

- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 104287
Mr Peterson

Part of document:  

- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View  

- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Peterson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104287</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 26b
- Option 27a
- Option 27b
- Option 27c

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Peterson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
- Option 26b
- Option 27a
- Option 27b
- Option 27c
Consultee ID: Mr Peterson

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Peterson Agent ID:
104287

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson Agent ID:
104287

Part of document: ☑ section ☐ option
Section of Document: Delivery

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson Agent ID:
104287

Part of document: ☑ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Peterson Agent ID:
104287

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Peterson
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper

Part of document: Question 32a
Part of document: Question One
Part of document: Option 2a
Part of document: Option 2b

Section of Document: Agent ID:
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree
Your View: No opinion
Your View: Disagree
Your View: Agree
Your View: No opinion
Your View: Disagree
Your View: Agree
Your View: No opinion
Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
Agent ID: 104310

Part of document:  
- ✓ Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- ✓ Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
Agent ID: 104310

Part of document:  
- ✓ Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
Agent ID: 104310

Part of document:  
- ✓ Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- ✓ Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
Agent ID: 104310

Part of document:  
- ✓ Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104310</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104310</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 4e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possibly land between Dittons Road Polegate and the new bypass, also Stones Cross</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104310</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104310</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question 5c

Reason for comment:
This could apply to some villages but only to provide housing for local people and work.

Option 6a

Reason for comment:

Option 6b

Reason for comment:

Option 6c

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 6d</th>
<th>Document, Chapter, Section, Option</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 6d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A new settlement. Any development should be spread over the whole of Wealden with small developments in some villages. Cannot keep adding onto the towns, they will lose their identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td>Document, Chapter, Section, Option</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td>Document, Chapter, Section, Option</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td>Document, Chapter, Section, Option</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
Consultee ID: 104310
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 7d
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Usually the village shops and pub are the life of a village community. Once they're gone, the village dies apart from tourist trade. Littlelington is an example of this happening. Alfriston is now a major tourist attraction not a village anymore.

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
Consultee ID: 104310
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Question 7e
Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
Consultee ID: 104310
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 8d
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
Consultee ID: 104310
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 9b
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document:  ✔️ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ✔️ Agree

Reason for comment:

I do not agree to development in the AONB in principle but it could help revitalise some of the villages within AONB that are dying.

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document:  ✔️ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ✔️ Agree

Reason for comment:

I do not agree to development in the AONB in principle but it could help revitalise some of the villages within AONB that are dying.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Question 11g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option 12a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option 12b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option 12c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  Agent ID:
104310

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  Agent ID:
104310

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  Agent ID:
104310

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  Agent ID:
104310

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104310</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Question 12g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td>I do not agree to development in the AONB, but it would help revitalise some villages with AONB that are dying.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104310</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Question 12g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104310</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Question 12h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104310</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 14a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Piper</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Consultee ID: | Mrs Piper | Agent ID: | 104310 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Option 14c |
| Section of Document: |
| Your View | ☐ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☑ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |

| Consultee ID: | Mrs Piper | Agent ID: | 104310 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Option 14d |
| Section of Document: |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |

| Consultee ID: | Mrs Piper | Agent ID: | 104310 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Option 14e |
| Section of Document: |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |
Mrs Piper

Option 14f

Disagree

I think the flooding of Uckfield town should be a big consideration and development should be away from the flood plain area
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 15a
- Option 15b
- Option 15c
- Option 15d

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View  
[ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View  
[ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Question 15g

Section of Document:

Your View  
[x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

I feel that Hellingly Hospital site should be developed as it is the largest Brownfield site in Wealden and would save some greenfield sites

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  
[ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper

Part of document:  
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper

Part of document:  
Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper

Part of document:  
Question 15i

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I feel Hailsham should fight to keep its cattle market as Hailsham is well known as a market town and I feel strongly that animals should not be transported long distances

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper

Part of document:  
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 17b

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I feel the town centre must be revitalised, it needs improvement and better parking facilities for the future.

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Question 16j

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Polegate must have better infrastructure before any major development is allowed, it cannot possibly cope otherwise. It wouldn't be fair on people living here now.

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 17a

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 17c

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Question 17d

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is a good area for growth because it is in the Eastbourne / Polegate / Hailsham triangle; it has the benefit of the new Polegate bypass and the new road into Eastbourne, the road to nowhere as it was known.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 17e

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

If there is development within the Eastbourne / Polegate triangle I wouldn't want to see Polegate become part of Eastbourne in the future. I like Polegate in Wealden.

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18d

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18d

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18e

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18e

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18f

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18f

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18g

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18g

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Berwick is the ideal place for a new settlement because of the railway station, it would also benefit other villages.
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: 
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: 
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: 
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: 
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
These villages are all in the High Wealden AONB and mostly have constraints with access and narrow roads
Question 20d

Reason for comment:
I think Wadhurst could be considered because of the good access to railway station. Mayfield may benefit with enhanced primary care facilities. I do not generally agree with development in AONB but this is possible

Option 21a

Reason for comment:

Option 21b

Reason for comment:

Option 21c

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper Agent ID: 104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper Agent ID: 104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper Agent ID: 104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper Agent ID: 104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 21g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I think Maresfield and Five Ash Down suitable because of close proximity to Uckfield. Buxted will have the Garmpian Chicken site which is brownfield
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 22a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 22a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 22b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 22b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 22c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 22c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 22d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 22d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question 22e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Broad Oak could benefit from small development. Horam has the Merrydown site which is brownfield. Cross in Hand has good potential access from A265 which would make small development possible but unfortunately AONB.

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper

Part of document: Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Westham seems to be near the flood plain and I wouldn't want to see it eventually joined to Eastbourne like Sovereign Harbour development.

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper
104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  Agent ID: 104310

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  Agent ID: 104310

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  Agent ID: 104310

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  Agent ID: 104310

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Piper</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Option 27a
  - Option 27b
  - Option 27c
  - Option 27d

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Option 27a
  - Option 27b
  - Option 27c
  - Option 27d

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Option 27a
  - Option 27b
  - Option 27c
  - Option 27d

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Option 27a
  - Option 27b
  - Option 27c
  - Option 27d

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Option 27a
  - Option 27b
  - Option 27c
  - Option 27d

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Piper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Option 27a
  - Option 27b
  - Option 27c
  - Option 27d

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Piper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104310</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 27e
- Option 27f
- Option 28a
- Option 28b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Part of document:**

- Option 27e
- Option 27f
- Option 28a
- Option 28b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Part of document:**

- Option 27e
- Option 27f
- Option 28a
- Option 28b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Housing Delivery

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Infrastructure Requirements

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question 31a

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question 31b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I would not like to see a Parkway Station at Folkington, it is too close to Polegate and not necessary in my opinion. Langley area of Eastbourne badly needs a railway and station to sustain development in that area.

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Consultee ID: Mrs Piper  
104310

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question 32b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I feel the questionnaire is well thought out and looks at many aspects of planning for the future. If development takes place in Hailsham / Polegate and Willingdon, it is important that we have a Hospital that is big enough to cope with many more people. Also, water supply is going to be important. I feel strongly that the AONB should not be developed or else what is the point of giving it that designation. However, you will see I have said some villages in the High Weald AONB could possibly be considered because of the good rail links. Also Horam and Broad Oak and Cross in Hand are possibilities because of Merrydown - brownfield site and potential access from A265 but in general I would be against development in AONB. Thank you for giving people the opportunity to make their representations on the LDF. I feel it is well worth taking the time to complete the questionnaire. Infrastructure is vital to the whole of Wealden for the future.
Part of Document: Wealden's Landscape
Reason for comment:
Permitting residential re-use of redundant farm buildings Biodiversity - may require more detailed nature conservation survey.

Reason for comment:
A detailed landscape sensitivity and capacity assessment is required as an evidence base. Water Cycle - concerned that water supply needs can be met and impact of biodiversity. Concern regarding potential levels of development around Uckfield and Forest Row in relation to flooding.

Reason for comment:
Only for justified social/affordable housing needs associated with specific villages. Anything in excess of these needs should be of small scale and respect landscape character.

Reason for comment:
Higher target should be set. Requires improving energy efficiency for new homes.
Consider that it is preferable to keep the economy of the region in balance with the natural environment.

Mostly small businesses are supported by part time ancillary workers, which is generally insufficient income to support a mortgage.
Mr Compson
Nutley Conservation Group

Option 3c

Reason for comment:
Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Your View: Agree

Providing that growth is necessary

Reason for comment:
Concern that 'white land' could become 'blight land' due to uncertainty.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Compson</th>
<th>Agent ID: Nutley Conservation Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>⬗️ chapter</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Wealden’s Settlement Pattern</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Defining the &quot;broadly contiguous, coherent and enclosed form&quot; as settlement boundaries. Should also include whether a clear boundary is visible on the ground (e.g., hedgerows) to prevent overspill into surrounding countryside.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Compson</th>
<th>Agent ID: Nutley Conservation Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>If settlement is considered suitable for growth then criteria based policy could result in more growth coming forward than is necessary. Prefer sites to be allocated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Compson</th>
<th>Agent ID: Nutley Conservation Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>In Nutley's case, a key issue is the Ancient Forest Pale which runs through part of the village. This is a highly sensitive area with SSSI, SAC, and SPA designations that will be put under increased pressure with the proposed developments both in Wealden and East Grinstead.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Compson</th>
<th>Agent ID: Nutley Conservation Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Compson  
104326 Nutley Conservation Group

Part of document: Option 6b  
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment: 
Recommend 90% should be centred in and around existing towns with only 10% to rural villages for reasons of sustainability/infrastructure.

Consultee ID: Mr Compson  
104326 Nutley Conservation Group

Part of document: Option 6c  
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment: 
No evidence to suggest that older household profile contributes to deterioration of village facilities, this does not take into account older non-car drivers. Nutley restaurants are an example of well used village facilities.
Consultee ID: Mr Compson
104326 Nutley Conservation Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Compson
104326 Nutley Conservation Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Should be based on established need

Consultee ID: Mr Compson
104326 Nutley Conservation Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Compson
104326 Nutley Conservation Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Mr. Compson
Nutley Conservation Group

Question 7e

Reason for comment:
Should not assume that villages on ‘A’ roads have the potential for better transport links, services and facilities. In Nutley the ‘A’ road, which dissects the village prevents the safe access of services and facilities. Nutley has issues in terms of pavement width. There are 10 services in Nutley (not 15 as stated in the Core Strategy Background Paper Draft Settlement Strategy). Also there are issues in respect of facilities already identified. On the basis of the criteria suggested Nutley should be downgraded. Notwithstanding this the need to protect the immediate environment of the Ashdown Forest should prevail.

Part 5

Reason for comment:
Proposed methodology for identification of broad areas for development must include: Landscape character, Protection of wildlife and natural resources, Identified need for development in the specific area, Infrastructure and service provision.

Question Ten

Reason for comment:
Thresholds for villages are too large and should be scaled in relation to village size.
Mr Compson

Nutley Conservation Group

Option 18a

Reason for comment:
Development would urbanise the countryside gap between Lewes and Uckfield. The narrow lanes that run to the north of Isfield are unsuitable for traffic growth. The lane that runs to the north to the A272 should be designated a 'quiet lane'.

Option 19a

Reason for comment:
Limited capacity for infilling. Extending the development boundary would involve building on greenfield land, increase the risk of flooding and would be within 5km of the Ashdown Forest (SSSI, SPA and SAC). Mid Sussex plans to develop between 2500 and 4500 houses in East Grinstead would have a significant impact on Forest Row, the Ashdown Forest and Nutley.

Option 19b

Reason for comment:
Nutley should be identified for limited growth for reasons as follows: Nutley's location in relation to the AONB, Ashdown Forest (SSSI, SPA and SAC). The lack of peak time bus service, post office and the future of the pub is uncertain. The primary school is at capacity with limited scope for expansion.
Consultee ID: Mr Compson
104326 Nutley Conservation Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Need to be considered in light of the cumulative effect of development on the Ashdown Forest and the need to keep traffic away from minor roads.

Consultee ID: Mr Compson
104326 Nutley Conservation Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Need to be considered in light of the cumulative effect of development on the Ashdown Forest and the need to keep traffic away from minor roads.

Consultee ID: Mr Compson
104326 Nutley Conservation Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Need to be considered in light of the cumulative effect of development on the Ashdown Forest and the need to keep traffic away from minor roads.
Part of Document: Option 24a
Section of Document: Wealden’s Communities

Reason for comment:
Policies should be included that provide suitable private housing and social housing for the elderly. The council should adopt policies to restrict occupancy of new housing to people with local work or family connection. There is no reason for Nutley to be allocated a higher number of new houses than recommended by the Affordable Rural Housing Commision for the provision of affordable housing for local people. If this housing was a mix of private affordable (houses retained in perpetuity for local people) and social housing, then local people would be supportive. Extensions to newly built properties should be controlled by covenants and use of design to create difficulty to build extensions in. There is a need to provide homes for rangers and individuals employed by the Board of Conservators in the Ashdown Forest.

Part of Document: Option 24b
Section of Document: for towns

Reason for comment:
for towns
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Compson</th>
<th>Agent ID: Nutley Conservation Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View: Agree</td>
<td>50% of new homes should be affordable, with 1 or 2 bedrooms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Compson</td>
<td>Agent ID: Nutley Conservation Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View: Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Compson</td>
<td>Agent ID: Nutley Conservation Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View: Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Compson</td>
<td>Agent ID: Nutley Conservation Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View: Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Compson
Nutley Conservation Group

Part of document: Option 25g
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Compson
Nutley Conservation Group
Part of document: Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Must not be to the detriment of rural public transport services

Consultee ID: Mr Compson
Nutley Conservation Group
Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Compson
Nutley Conservation Group
Part of document: Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Compson
Nutley Conservation Group

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
Nutley has a need for a GP’s surgery

Consultee ID: Mr Compson
Nutley Conservation Group

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
flood relief in Uckfield is essential

Consultee ID: Mr Compson
Nutley Conservation Group

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View: ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
All these planned developments on the A22 must be carefully assessed

Consultee ID: Mr Compson
Nutley Conservation Group

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
That north-south through traffic should be discouraged on the A22
Mr Compson, Nutley Conservation Group, commented on Question 31b of the document.

**Reason for comment:**
the spatial vision should be "the protection and enhancement of the districts environment".

Mr Compson also commented on Question 32b of the document.

**Reason for comment:**
Development should be located in places that will minimise road traffic. Developer contributions to traffic management and traffic reduction should be incorporated into planning policy.

Mrs Buckeridge, with Consultee ID 104350, commented on Option 18a of the document.

**Reason for comment:**
Loss of local rural character Insufficent infrastructure Flood risk.
We agree that some development should be allowed to take place in the AONB to meet local housing need but this should be confined to infill sites. Provision should be made in the Core Strategy for Impact Assessments to be conducted for developments in relation to the Ashdown Forest as it is an SPA.

Generally agree but we are concerned that this could be too restrictive and stunt development. Flexibility is required necessitating site by site assessments.
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

We prefer an up-rated 5a which should read: “Settlement boundaries should be maintained but should be expanded where needed subject to strict criteria to allow for only small scale development. This should be limited to the satisfaction of local community needs which include cohesion and sustainability”. Conservation Areas. In Maresfield we think the Conservation Area is too tightly drawn and should include the Houses on the green at Park Farm, Park Farm House, Park Farm Barn and Park Farm Oast. We would also want the proposed protected area on Park Farm to be included.

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 7a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

if these are based on facts and the ability of the existing infrastructure to cope with increased demands. Growth should be limited to the satisfaction of local community needs which include cohesion and sustainability.
Option 7b

Reason for comment:
this should be based on established need. There is no set limit in the case of Maresfield and several other villages; in all cases a limit should be identified.

Option 7c

Reason for comment:
but this should not be absolute policy (no blanket restrictions) but protection for these facilities.

Question 7e

Reason for comment:
WDC’s interpretation of its own criteria in relation to Nutley is incorrect and inaccurate and therefore Nutley should be defined as a village with limited growth potential. respondent has submitted a table containing factual information to update Matrix A of the Councils’ Settlement Strategy Background Paper.

Option 9c

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Allen
104366 Maresfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Reason for comment:
This should be scaled down to 6+ dwellings for smaller villages, rising up to 10+ to 15+ dwellings for larger villages.

Consultee ID: Mr Allen
104366 Maresfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 14a

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
the development here would lead to a coalescence closing the Uckfield / Maresfield Gap

Consultee ID: Mr Allen
104366 Maresfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 14b

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
This would inevitably lead to increased traffic onto the A22 and increase through traffic using Maresfield village as a rat run which would be totally unacceptable to Maresfield.

Consultee ID: Mr Allen
104366 Maresfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 14h

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
this is not a suitable site for housing. It is too near the flood plain and cannot cope with the run-off from any new development
Consultee ID: Mr Allen  
104366 Maresfield Parish Council

Option 24a

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Allen  
104366 Maresfield Parish Council

Option 24b

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

For towns

Consultee ID: Mr Allen  
104366 Maresfield Parish Council

Option 24c

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

but only possible in towns

Consultee ID: Mr Allen  
104366 Maresfield Parish Council

Option 24d

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View   ☐ Agree   ☑ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 25g should be amended to cater for working from home and for the use of outbuildings – delete "key rural services” and replace with “employment”.

Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View   ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Allen</th>
<th>Maresfield Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104366</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 27e

**Section of Document:**

- Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

**Your View:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104381</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Question One

**Section of Document:**

- Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View:**

- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104381</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 2a

**Section of Document:**

- Option 27e

**Your View:**

- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104381</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 2b

**Section of Document:**

- Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

**Your View:**

- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 3a
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [x]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 3b
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [x]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 3c
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [x]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 3d
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [x]

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 4a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 4c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 4b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 4d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Turner  
104381

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 4e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

the concept of building at all in the South East is wrong

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner  
104381

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner  
104381

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner  
104381

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The South East is already over crowded
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document □, chapter □, section □, option ✓</td>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td>Agree □, No opinion □, Disagree ✓</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document □, chapter □, section □, option ✓</td>
<td>Option 6b</td>
<td>Agree □, No opinion □, Disagree ✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document □, chapter □, section □, option ✓</td>
<td>Option 6c</td>
<td>Agree □, No opinion □, Disagree ✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document □, chapter □, section □, option ✓</td>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td>Agree □, No opinion □, Disagree ✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Turner</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- **Option 7b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 104381  
**Mrs Turner**  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**  
- **Option 7c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 104381  
**Mrs Turner**  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**  
- **Option 9c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 104381  
**Mrs Turner**  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**  
- **Option 7d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Turner  
Agent ID: 104381

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Question 7e

Your View: [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

In the South East roads are congested, waiting time at hospitals is about 3 months. There are few NH dentists

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner  
Agent ID: 104381

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Question 7e

Your View: [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner  
Agent ID: 104381

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Option 8a

Your View: [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner  
Agent ID: 104381

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Option 8b

Your View: [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104381</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104381</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104381</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104381</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner  Agent ID: 104381

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner  Agent ID: 104381

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 11a

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner  Agent ID: 104381

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 11a

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner  Agent ID: 104381

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 12b

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 104381 Mrs Turner

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104381 Mrs Turner

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104381 Mrs Turner

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104381 Mrs Turner

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Turner  
104381

Part of document: Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Outside the South East area.

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner  
104381

Part of document: Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Outside the South East area.
Part of document:  ☑ option

Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

-------------------

Part of document:  ☑ option

Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

-------------------

Part of document:  ☑ option

Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

-------------------

Part of document:  ☑ option

Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104381</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 12h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104381</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104381</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104381</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Mrs Turner

Option 14f

Agree

Disagree

Option 14g

Agree

Disagree

Option 14h

Agree

Disagree

Question 14j

Agree

Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Question 14k**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 15a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 15b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 15c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
104381

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
104381

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
104381

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
104381

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 16c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 16d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 16f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 16e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 17a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Disagree            |           |

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 17b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Agree               |           |

| Disagree            |           |

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Agree               |           |

| Disagree            |           |

---
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Consultee ID: Mrs Turner

Part of document: □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner

Part of document: □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner

Part of document: □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
Agent ID: 104381

Part of document: Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
Agent ID: 104381

Part of document: Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
Agent ID: 104381

Part of document: Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
Agent ID: 104381

Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Option 18g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Option 18h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:  
- Option 19a

#### Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:  
- Option 19b

#### Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:  
- Option 19c

#### Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:  
- Option 19d

#### Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document**:  
- Option 20c
- Option 21a
- Option 21b
- Option 21c

**Section of Document**:  
- Your View  
  - ☑ Agree  
  - ☐ No opinion  
  - ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment**:  
- Your View  
  - ☑ Agree  
  - ☐ No opinion  
  - ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment**:  
- Your View  
  - ☑ Agree  
  - ☐ No opinion  
  - ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment**:  
- Your View  
  - ☑ Agree  
  - ☐ No opinion  
  - ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment**:  
- Your View  
  - ☑ Agree  
  - ☐ No opinion  
  - ☐ Disagree
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 21d</td>
<td>Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 21e</td>
<td>Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 21f</td>
<td>Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Question 21g</td>
<td>Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 104381 Mrs Turner</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 22a</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 104381 Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 22b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 104381 Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 22c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 104381 Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 22d</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Turner Agent ID: 104381
Part of document: Option 23a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner Agent ID: 104381
Part of document: Option 23b
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner Agent ID: 104381
Part of document: Option 23d
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner Agent ID: 104381
Part of document: Option 23e
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 24a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 24b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 24d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 25a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Turner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25f**

**Section of Document:**

Your View: 
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment: 

**Option 25g**

**Section of Document:**

Your View: 
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment: 

**Option 26b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View: 
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment: 

**Option 26b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View: 
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
104381

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
104381

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
104381

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner
104381

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Turner

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Option 28b

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Option 28c

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Housing Delivery

Question Twenty Nine

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Turner

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Question Thirty

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Question 31a
Reason for comment:
Concentrating on getting a dual carriageway for the A27 is more important than building houses.

Question 31b
Reason for comment:
Concentrating on getting a dual carriageway for the A27 is more important than building houses.

Question 32a
Reason for comment:
Hindsland playing fields, situated between Polegate and Willington, have been left to decay to the point in which they have become fallow. Ownership is unclear, but these fields must be restored.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>[ ] document</th>
<th>[ ] chapter</th>
<th>[ ] section</th>
<th>[x] option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Section of Document: | Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[x] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | In exceptional circumstances |

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>[ ] document</th>
<th>[ ] chapter</th>
<th>[ ] section</th>
<th>[x] option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Section of Document: | Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[x] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>[ ] document</th>
<th>[ ] chapter</th>
<th>[ ] section</th>
<th>[x] option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Section of Document: | Option 2a |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[x] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>[ ] document</th>
<th>[ ] chapter</th>
<th>[ ] section</th>
<th>[x] option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Section of Document: | Option 2b |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[x] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |
Option 3a

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall

Your View: ✔ Agree

Reason for comment:

Option 3b

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall

Your View: ✔ Agree

Reason for comment:

Option 3c

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall

Your View: ✔ Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3d**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Reason for comment:**
Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Option 5a**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Reason for comment:**
Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Option 5b**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Reason for comment:**
Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Question 5c**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Reason for comment:**
Your View: [ ] Agree  [x] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

the boundary of Crowborough should be rigidly maintained
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall   Agent ID: 104383

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall   Agent ID: 104383

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall   Agent ID: 104383

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall   Agent ID: 104383

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ☑ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
as alluded to, poor infrastructure mitigates against town expansion
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 7a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 7b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 7c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 7d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 9a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 9b
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 9c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
Part of document: ☑ option
Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
There appears to be a conflict in 11b with protection of recreational facilities, as in Q28c. Restriction of Park & AONB - housing in C'bro restricted ro infill & conversion or redevelopment of existing properties. If provision of housing for an increasingly ageing population is the be achieved, some means must be found to restrict the conversion of the limited stock of bungalows into houses. Also, since the town is a very desirable dormitory of T Wells, most housing is in the 4/5 bedroom range, exacerbating the demand for land and increasing the number of vehicles already choking the town. Housing for pensioners needs to be smaller than the average & sited close to the town centre to allow for shopping etc on foot. The suggestion that one of the areas of C'bro suitable for expansion is that south east of tge town (11d) - makes alot of sense and would bring alot of trade to J Brook which could rival that of the High Street. The current definition of brown field sites includes gardens and alot of the current domestic building in C'bro has been in fill on such sites. Continued approvals for such development will lead to loss of trees - a feature of C'bro and open space, which are the 'lungs' of any town. Since C'bro is really a dormitory of T Wells, residents are mainly affluent and want a variety of choice when shopping. Hence, they will necessarily travel to T Wells and Blue Water, possibly Tonbridge. The High Street is dying a slow death. Pedestrianisation of high street will not attract more shoppers or traders. Only result will be HGVs using Church Rd or Hill Lane for access to the High Street and the industrial estates of Jarvis Brook. Chuch Rd along Chapel Green is already a nightmare for drivers due to aprked cars and this will be exacerbated if commercial traffic increases. Church Rd is very narrow, would necessitate the negotiation of four mini roundabouts.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 11b</th>
<th>Option 11c</th>
<th>Option 11d</th>
<th>Option 11e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Marshall</td>
<td>Mr Marshall</td>
<td>Mr Marshall</td>
<td>Mr Marshall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
consultee ID: 104383

Mr Marshall

Part of document: option

Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:
somehow, executive five bed houses must be restricted as these are most likely to be sought by London/T.Wells commuters - no benefit to the town

consultee ID: 104383

Mr Marshall

Part of document: option

Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

consultee ID: 104383

Mr Marshall

Part of document: option

Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

consultee ID: 104383

Mr Marshall

Part of document: option

Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
Agent ID: 104383

Part of document: Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
Agent ID: 104383

Part of document: Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
Agent ID: 104383

Part of document: Question 19f

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: there has to be a trade off between the AONB and the development needs, hopefully biased towards the AONB

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
Agent ID: 104383

Part of document: Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall Agent ID: 104383

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall Agent ID: 104383

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall Agent ID: 104383

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 20d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Rotherfield development must pose access problems. The village centre is always choked by parked vehicles - increases in population would exacerbate problems with traffic flows.

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall Agent ID: 104383

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
104383

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
104383

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
104383

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
104383

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 25b</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consulee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Marshall</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 25c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consulee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Marshall</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 25g</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consulee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Marshall</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 25d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consulee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Marshall</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 25e

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 26a

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 27a

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
104383

Part of document: ☑️ option
Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View ☑️ Agree
☑️ No opinion
☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
104383

Part of document: ☑️ option
Question 31b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ No opinion
☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
the draft mentions "safer and less congested A26T - surely this should be A27T. There is no A26T to my knowledge.

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
104383

Part of document: ☑️ option
Question 32a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree
☑️ No opinion
☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Marshall
104383

Part of document: ☑️ option
Question 32b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ No opinion
☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
SO6 - how can tourism be encouraged in Crowborough (Arthur Conan Doyle etc.) when there are no hotels, no decent pubs and few restaurants?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Marshall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104383</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 32b**

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Troy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 32b**

**Reason for comment:**

Protection of Wealden's agriculture is important.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Troy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104385</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Part 7**

**Making it Happen - Implementation**

**Reason for comment:**

Ensure that infrastructure is in place before development occurs.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr Alderton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102469</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question One**

**Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty**

**Reason for comment:**

Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland. Sufficient sites can be identified on land outside the AONB to satisfy Government housing targets.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

#### Question One

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr and Mrs R Haselwimmer. The provision of new housing allocations should be concentrated outside designated countryside areas of special landscape quality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 6c

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland. There are villages such as Halland, which in our opinion, can take further development than is envisaged in this consultation paper where it can be accommodated without intrusion into areas of special landscape character.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 5a

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland. Settlement boundaries should be drawn to ensure that some villages not necessarily identified for growth in the settlement strategy, can nonetheless expand to help achieve viability of services and communities.
Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr and Mrs R Haselwimmer. More flexibility in settlement boundary delineation is considered appropriate, particularly round the larger towns to enable incremental growth of settlements to take place within a planned framework.

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr M Reiser. More flexibility in settlement boundary delineation is required.

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr J Gadsby-Houlton. More flexibility in settlement boundary delineation is required.

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr M Reiser. The criteria should allow for some infilling particularly in close proximity to existing settlements.
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr J Gadsby-Houlton. The criteria should allow for some infilling particularly in close proximity to existing settlements.

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland. The proximity of sites to existing settlements should be a major criteria in allowing small scale development.

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mrs R Stuart. The criteria should allow for some infilling and have regard for the proximity to existing development.
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr and Mrs R Haselwimmer. The development boundary for Uckfield should be extended to include land to the north of Eastbourne Road at Cysleys Farm to form a strategic housing allocation. This would help to achieve option 14d. The site is outside any designated countryside area of special landscape value, is adjacent to a site with planning permission for housing (currently under construction) and the current settlement boundary for the town.

Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr M Reiser. Settlement boundaries could be redrawn to allow some small scale expansion of the larger settlements, particularly Uckfield. Land to the west of Buckswood Grange, Rocks Road, could be included.

Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr J Gadsby-Houlton. Settlement boundaries could be redrawn to allow some small scale peripheral expansion of towns, this could include land fronting Snatts Road, Uckfield.
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland. The settlement boundary of Halland should be redrawn to include land to the south of the A22 and west of the B2192. The land is in non agricultural use and is very well contained within defensible boundaries. The allocation of this site would represent a logical rounding off with regard to development in this area of the village.

Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr and Mrs R Haselwimmer. This option would meet the regional plan requirements. It could make it clear that the majority of the allocations will be in or adjacent to towns outside the High Weald AONB or the South Downs AONB/Proposed National Park.

Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr M Reiser.

Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr J Gadsby-Houlton.
Consultee ID: Mr Alderton
Agent ID: Mr Alderton

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr and Mrs R Haselwimmer.

Consultee ID: Mr Alderton
Agent ID: Mr Alderton

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr M Reiser. The most appropriate way of classifying settlements is based on their services and accessibility.

Consultee ID: Mr Alderton
Agent ID: Mr Alderton

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr J Gadsby-Houlton. The most appropriate way of classifying settlements is based on their services and accessibility.

Consultee ID: Mr Alderton
Agent ID: Mr Alderton

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.
Option 7b

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland. It is more appropriate to classify villages by reference to their potential and the ability of any new growth to help sustain existing services or provide new ones. Therefore, villages such as Halland could be made more sustainable with the introduction of additional village services.

Option 9a

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr and Mrs R Haselwimmer. Uckfield is less constrained than other towns referred to in this question and is not surrounded by areas of high landscape importance.

Option 9a

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr M Reiser. Uckfield is less constrained than Crowborough or Heathfield.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Alderton  
Agent ID: Mr Alderton  
104406 Prospective Planning  
102469 Prospective Planning

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Option 9a**

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr J Gadsby-Houlton. Uckfield is less constrained than other towns referred to in this question.


Consultee ID: Mr Alderton  
Agent ID: Mr Alderton  
104406 Prospective Planning  
102469 Prospective Planning

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Option 9a**

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mrs R Stuart


Consultee ID: Mr Alderton  
Agent ID: Mr Alderton  
104406 Prospective Planning  
102469 Prospective Planning

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Question Ten**

**Section of Document:**  Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr M Reiser. No reason why smaller sites in or on the edge of towns would not be equally acceptable for housing allocations. There should be no differentiation between the thresholds for housing allocations between towns and villages.


Consultee ID: Mr Alderton  
Agent ID: Mr Alderton  
104406 Prospective Planning  
102469 Prospective Planning

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Question Ten**

**Section of Document:**  Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr J Gadsby-Houlton. No reason why smaller sites in or on the edge of towns would not be equally acceptable for housing allocations. There should be no differentiation between the thresholds for housing allocations between towns and villages.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td></td>
<td>102469</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question Ten**

**Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mrs R Stuart. There is no reason for differentiating between the allocated size thresholds in towns or villages. It should be more site dependent.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td></td>
<td>102469</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr M Reiser. This area of Uckfield is identified for further development, but other land to the south of Rocks Road has potential for development and is not referred to specifically in Table 5 in describing option 14a.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td></td>
<td>102469</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr J Gadsby-Houlton. This area of Uckfield is suitable for further development as it could be planned to have little impact on the surrounding landscape.
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr and Mrs R Haselwimmer. This option is fully supported particularly on land to the north of Eastbourne Road. The site at Cysleys Farm could accommodate housing and/or commercial development and provide a site for additional public facilities as identified by the District Council. This area within the overall option 14d area of search, would be particularly suited to new development due to its lack of landscape impact, being outside a flood risk area, its sustainable location in relation to Uckfield Town Centre and other facilities and good access to the strategic road network. The land is in a single ownership and could be developed early in the plan period.

Prospective Planning on behalf of Mrs R Stuart. This area of Uckfield is suitable for substantial growth in the future as it does not impinge upon any landscape area of special distinction, it is outside recognised flood plains and is a sustainable location in relation to the centre of Uckfield. It has good road links via the former A22 to the Uckfield Bypass (A22) and the strategic road network. It is located on inter town bus routes and is within walking distance of Uckfield station.

Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr M Reiser. Small areas of development could be serviced off Rocks Road without affecting its character, the landscape generally particularly Lake Wood or creating significant traffic generation on Rocks Road/Church Road.
Question 14i

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr J Gadsby-Houlton. Small areas of development in the option 14a could be serviced off Snatts Road without affecting its character or creating significant traffic generation.

Question 14j

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr and Mrs R Haselwimmer.

Question 14j

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr M Reiser.

Question 14j

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr J Gadsby-Houlton.
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland. Under impacts and issues and landscape and biodiversity it is made clear that the surrounding open fields are not outstanding, particularly to the south of the village. This is an important factor in supporting this option for an expanded Halland village. Such a development as suggested could bring significant benefits to Halland. Improved services and infrastructure could be enabled by implementing this option. The development would reduce the need to develop on sites in more sensitive landscape locations in an existing village with good links to larger settlements and the strategic road network.

Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr and Mrs R Haselwimmer. This should be considered within the overall framework of this option but specific requirements would be dependent on site characteristics.

Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Prospective Planning on behalf of Mrs R Stuart.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 24b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr and Mrs R Haselwimmer. At typical densities of 40 dwellings per hectare this would be in line with Government Policy Statements. It would assist in making more efficient use of development opportunities with a housing density which is still appropriate for a district with Wealden's constraints.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 24b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr M Reiser. At typical densities of 40 dwellings per hectare this would be in line with Government Policy Statements. It would assist in making more efficient use of development opportunities with a housing density which is still appropriate for Wealden district.
Option 24b

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr J Gadsby-Houlton. At typical densities of 40 dwellings per hectare this would be in line with Government Policy Statements. It would assist in making more efficient use of development opportunities with a housing density which is still appropriate for Wealden district.

Option 24d

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr and Mrs R Haselwimmer.

Option 25b

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr and Mrs R Haselwimmer. There should be no differentiation between the five towns in Wealden when considering the percentage of affordable housing.

Option 25e

Reason for comment:
Prospective Planning on behalf of Mrs R Stuart.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 25e

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Alderton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104406</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
<td>102469</td>
<td>Prospective Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**
Prospective Planning on behalf of owners land rear of Ivy Cottages, Halland.
Consultee ID: Mr Alderton  
104406  
Part of document: Option 32a

Section of Document: Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr and Mrs R Haselwimmer.

Reason for comment: Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr and Mrs R Haselwimmer.

Consultee ID: Mr Alderton  
104406  
Part of document: Option 32a

Section of Document: Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr M Reiser.

Reason for comment: Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr M Reiser.

Consultee ID: Mr Alderton  
104406  
Part of document: Option 32a

Section of Document: Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr J Gadsby-Houlton.

Reason for comment: Prospective Planning on behalf of Mr J Gadsby-Houlton.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411  
Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment: This council supports affordable housing for local people around settlements in the AONB.
Option 2b

Reason for comment:
Support a higher target of 20% as this is obtainable especially with the use of bio fuels and the use of local power generation plants for bio mass fuels.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
Land allocated for employment purposes should remain for that purpose, as once it is lost, it is lost forever. Mixed use of housing and business causes serious problems for residents. We would encourage clean industries to re-deploy from London.

Option 3b

Reason for comment:
This statement is extremely negative and we would like to see business land be made available to cater for all size businesses, as we need to provide for employment opportunities for the whole area of Wealden.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104411</th>
<th>Hailsham Town Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There appears to be an exodus from the outer suburbs (e.g. Croydon, Crawley) into Wealden and the existing jobs cannot cater for this. We need to encourage local businesses for local employment opportunities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104411</th>
<th>Hailsham Town Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We would oppose any development on the western side of the A22. The land to the North West of Polegate by the A27 is already allocated for 600 houses.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104411</th>
<th>Hailsham Town Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This council strongly supports option 4B as the planning gain would be a major contribution towards the Folkington link. The commercial element would be directly into the trunk road and primary network and away from the residential development.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104411</th>
<th>Hailsham Town Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not support 4C as it is very close to a residential development.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This Council supports option 5A for local people around the villages in order to retain the vitality and viability of local communities.

Question 4e

Reason for comment:
This council would like WDC to consider the land to the East of Sovereign Harbour towards Pevensey Bay as an alternative area for development as it is close to the main centre of Eastbourne. We would also like WDC to consider development around Ninfield and Hooe as it is close to Battle Station, the Conquest Hospital and employment areas at Hastings.

Option 5b

Reason for comment:
Support option 5B around the villages with strict criteria, as laid down in the Wealden Local Plan 1998.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104411</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hailsham Town Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Question 5c

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Give support to maintaining the existing boundaries within the major settlements in the Wealden District. This Council supports the creation of a new town at Berwick in order to give the local community the necessary planning gain to address the infrastructure requirements of the area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104411</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hailsham Town Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Question 6d

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This Council supports new town at Berwick, small settlements around villages for local people. Supports one major development of upto 8000 houses in Berwick.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104411</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hailsham Town Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 7a

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Support settlements being constructed near trunk roads and railways stations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104411</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hailsham Town Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 7b

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This Council does not agree with classified villages according to growth potential as their characters should be maintained, but we do support housing for local people.
Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This Council would only support affordable housing in the villages for local people, in order to maintain their vitality for a cross section of the community.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We would like to see a restriction on Superstores in Wealden, as this has an adverse impact on the vitality and viability of village shops.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Lack of infrastructure provision.
Consultee ID: Mrs Jones
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document: Option 8b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Lack of infrastructure provision.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document: Option 8c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Lack of infrastructure provision.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document: Option 8d
Section of Document:
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment: Logging Error please remove

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document: Options for the Rest of Wealden
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Hailsham Town Council does not support option 9A, 9B and 9C except to address local housing needs, as we support a large new settlement in Berwick.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104411</td>
<td>Hailsham Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question Ten**

**Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Hailsham Town Council agrees with the suggested size thresholds, providing they are only for local housing needs.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104411</td>
<td>Hailsham Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 13a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Does not support as these options could have major adverse effect on the nature conservation area.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104411</td>
<td>Hailsham Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 11f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
This Council only supports any future housing development in Crowborough that would address local housing needs, as we support a large new settlement in Berwick. Needs to be an improvement in the youth facilities through the LDF in the five major Wealden towns of Crowborough, Heathfield, Polegate, Uckfield and Hailsham.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104411</td>
<td>Hailsham Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 12g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
This Council only supports any future housing development in Heathfield that would address local housing needs.
Concerns over developing on a flood plain, and the lack of infrastructure, so only supports future housing development in Uckfield that would address local housing needs. Needs improvement in youth services across District.

Reason for comment:
Do not support growth in areas north of Upper Horsebridge, as it infringes on the strategic gap between Hailsham and Hellingly. It is also on a flood plain.

Reason for comment:
Do not support growth options in the area south of Amberstone/Battle Road, as they are close to SSSI and on the edge of Pevensey Levels and extremely close to the floodplain.

Reason for comment:
Lack of infrastructure in Hailsham.
Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  Hailsham Town Council
104411

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Important to maintain the strategic gap between Hailsham and Polegate.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  Hailsham Town Council
104411

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Important to maintain the strategic gap between Hailsham and Polegate.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  Hailsham Town Council
104411

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Development to west of the A22 would have adverse impact on the rural locality.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  Hailsham Town Council
104411

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 15g

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Part of document: Document no.
Section of Document: Section 15h
Reason for comment:
Hailsham often gridlocked with poor infrastructure. Waste water works will be at full capacity, with no plans for new treatment works in the next five years, it will not be possible to develop Hailsham further.

Part of document: Document no.
Section of Document: Section 15i
Reason for comment:
Only support housing development for local people. Like to see Polegate/Willingdon strategic gap maintained. Supports business development.

Part of document: Document no.
Section of Document: Section 16h
Reason for comment:
Lack of local services.
Option 17b

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: 104411
Mrs Jones
Hailsham Town Council

Part of document: Option 17b

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Lack of local services.

Option 17c

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: 104411
Mrs Jones
Hailsham Town Council

Part of document: Option 17c

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Lack of local services.

Potential for a New Settlement or Concentrated Growth

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: 104411
Mrs Jones
Hailsham Town Council

Part of document: Potential for a New Settlement or Concentrated Growth

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Lack of local services.

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: 104411
Mrs Jones
Hailsham Town Council

Part of document: Option 18j

Your View
☒ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Large expansion west of Berwick station coupled with expansion west of Polegate. Promote a duall carriage way for the A27 from Polegate to Lewes, plus a new sewage works to service new houses. New primary school at Berwick should be included, plus a medical centre and A27 upgrade could improve job opportunities. Upgrade to Berwick station with connecting bus services.
Consultee ID: Mrs Jones
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document: 
☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree ☒ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Only support future housing development in these areas that would address local housing needs.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document: 
☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree ☒ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Only supports future housing development in these areas that would address local housing needs.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document: 
☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree ☒ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Only supports future housing development that would address local housing needs.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104411</td>
<td>Hailsham Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Supports 30-35 dwellings per hectare to avoid social problems and maximise public space.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104411</td>
<td>Hailsham Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Option 24b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104411</td>
<td>Hailsham Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Option 24c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104411</td>
<td>Hailsham Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Option 24d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104411</th>
<th>Hailsham Town Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25a
- Option 25b
- Option 25c
- Option 25g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Important to have a mix of dwellings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support 40% of new dwellings being affordable homes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This Council would only support this if the ancillary dwelling is tied to the businesses by a planning agreement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Important to have a mix of dwellings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support 40% of new dwellings being affordable homes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This Council would only support this if the ancillary dwelling is tied to the businesses by a planning agreement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
This Council would only support this if the ancillary dwelling is tied to the residential property by a planning agreement.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:
Hailsham Town Council would only support gypsy and traveller locations outside the centres of the villages or the towns.
Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Strongly disagrees. Pollution is at a higher level in its current state than it would be if it is dualled. It is one of the most accident prone roads in the UK. Our support on any development in the South Wealden area is dependent on the dualling of the A27 to improve links to the national road network.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Jones
104411 Hailsham Town Council
Part of document: Option 27e
Section of Document:
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment: Support more off street parking spaces being made in a new development.
Consultee ID: Mrs Jones
104411 Hailsham Town Council
Part of document: Option 27f
Section of Document:
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment: Support more off street parking spaces being made in a new development.
Consultee ID: Mrs Jones
104411 Hailsham Town Council
Part of document: Option 28a
Section of Document:
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment: Hailsham Town Council agrees and strongly supports.
Consultee ID: Mrs Jones
104411 Hailsham Town Council
Part of document: Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment: Hailsham Town Council agrees and strongly supports this.
Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Option 28c

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: Hailsham Town Council agrees and strongly supports this.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: Subject to the planning gain being made in the area which the development is made.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: It is vitally important to obtain the dualling of the A27 and the Folkington Link in order to attract new businesses to the area to meet the requirements of the increase in population and to improve water and sewerage infrastructure. We need to link this area into the national road network.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones  
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: It is vitally important to maintain the existing characteristics of our villages and towns. We also need to preserve the environment and local landscape features whenever possible and we need to try and encourage as much housing as possible for local people in rural areas.
Consultee ID: Mrs Jones
104411 Hailsham Town Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Question 32b

Reason for comment:
This Council is of the opinion that money generated from 106 agreements should stay in the area or locality in which it was generated for the improvement of local infrastructure.

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
The AONBs need to be secured against becoming suburban dormitory areas where the landscapes will suffer if any building outside the existing settlement areas is permitted. It is important also that the setting of the AONBs is properly respected, as building right up to any boundary will also ruin the AONB. The view from the AONBs should not be of either housing or industrial estates, complete with pollution and noise. Following any development there would be pleas for "improved" roads, and other infrastructure "improvements" further suburbanising the area. No.

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 3c

Reason for comment:
However we may not agree on the definition of suitable.
Option 2a

Reason for comment:
See comments for 2B

Option 2b

Reason for comment:
As the question says, if this is viable. The location of new properties also has a significant effect on energy use, for if all members of a household have to use cars for commuting to work, shopping, schools, recreational activities, etc, then the total energy use will be much higher than the savings on fuel in a well insulated house. New developments need to be in areas where needs can be met locally.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
We already have too much long distance commuting; local employment is needed, not more houses for people to travel from.
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Whilst it appears logical, the effect may be to bring in yet more people. Unemployment, and poor quality employment, for people who live here now is the bigger problem.

Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Whilst it appears logical, the effect may be to bring in yet more people. Unemployment, and poor quality employment, for people who live here now is the bigger problem.

Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
This site is a green field site west of the A22, overlooked by the South Downs. We do not wish to see the urban sprawl of Polegate spreading westwards along the foot of the Downs. This is not a suitable site for any development. It will encourage more traffic onto the A27, but we should be trying to reduce that traffic.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hurwood</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 4b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Since the building of the new roundabout this area is becoming an untidy sprawl of poor quality development on what was previously green fields, do we have to make it even worse. Also, this proposal again implies an unwelcome extension of development west of the A22. Could development be kept East of the A22?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hurwood</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 4c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hurwood</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 4d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood  
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society  
Option 5a

Reason for comment:
We agree that boundaries should be maintained, but cannot agree with the criteria of expanding to meet necessary growth. A blanket agreement that ignores the environment around the settlement cannot be supported. The boundaries were drawn carefully and should not be lightly discarded.

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood  
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society  
Option 5b

Reason for comment:
As with 5a it is difficult to agree to a blanket agreement for expansion without regard to the specific issues that may apply in each case.

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood  
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society  
Question 5c

Reason for comment:
It is probable that there are locations where changes could be made, by agreement with all interested parties, and ensuring that the landscape and environment are not being sacrificed only for economic reasons (The economic picture will always change with time, but once developed the land remains developed).
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 6d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Consultee ID:** Mr Hurwood 104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society
- **Agent ID:**

**Reason for comment:**

The non-urban percentage ought to be concentrated on the larger villages with reasonable facilities. Clear gaps between settlements should be preserved. However we have sympathy with the situation set out in 4.36 regarding development of villages close to towns.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hurwood</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Hurwood</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Hurwood</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Hurwood</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We are worried about trying to force settlements into categories and strategies, as there will never be a typical example of any type. Individual characteristics, individual environmental impacts, individual effects on the infrastructure should all be taken into account before planning expansion in a particular settlement, however much it appears to fit a particular strategy.

Hailsham needs to have money spent on it to improve conditions for those there now. Planned growth, with new industrial sites too, could improve the environment and fabric of the town.

Whist there is scope for some further development we do not wish to see Polegate expanding West of the A22 or along the A27. Expansion here will only make the A27 situation worse, and it will further impact on the South Downs National Park, the view from the Downs should not be of an ever expanding Polegate or of a new enhanced A27 along the foot of the Downs.
Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This would be the worst possible option, with Polegate expanding, pressure on the A27 and the South Downs, an urban sprawl all along the A22, and Hailsham declining further as it becomes a poor suburb of Eastbourne.

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
As bad as 8c, it would do nothing to improve Hailsham or Polegate, but has the potential for despoiling a large tract of unspoilt land which here in the crowded South East we ought to be trying our hardest to preserve.

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Part of document: ☑ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
But seen as unsuitable for houses

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Highly visible from the National Park, the open land/valley forms an important part of the setting for the Downs, and for Polegate itself. Do not destroy this attractive component of the local countryside. The development would be totally divorced from Polegate, it would be car based, would not enhance Polegate but would be an Eastbourne suburb.
Option 16b

Reason for comment:
Similar reasons to disagree with development here as there is for 16a. Again it is totally divorced from Polegate, would be a car based (environmentally unfriendly) development, would not help the regeneration or improvement of Polegate, and it too would detract from the setting of the National Park. We should treat both of these areas (16a & 16b) with respect, not treat them as building sites.

Option 16c

Reason for comment:
It would be a totally car centred development separated from Polegate by the new bypass, and would open the way for sprawl to spread on up to Hailsham.

Option 16d

Reason for comment:

Option 16e

Reason for comment:
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
But, a significant part of this land should be set aside for recreation, sports fields, and open space to preserve the gap, as seen from the main road, between Polegate and Willingdon. A road link to the new A22 would be a better way of helping development of this "infill" site.

Option 16g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Question 16i

Reason for comment:
But we are unsure about the bus corridor, why not increase the frequency of the trains, and we note the comment that Polegate is “at a rail junction” and would point out that it isn’t, it used to be. Also at the station it is worth noting that despite local opposition permission was recently given for a mediocre development on land which should be part of the station car park, and does nothing to improve the environment of the station.
Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Option 18h

Reason for comment:

The setting of the South Downs National Park is no place to build a new settlement. We strongly oppose this proposition as totally out of character with the location. It would be a step towards an urban corridor (sprawl) from Polegate to Lewes. Also, it would not be feasible without major upgrades to the existing A27, and any improvements to the A27 would mean an increase in traffic through Alfriston from the coast, as those in the ever-expanding town of Seaford seek a quick route away from the coast.

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Option 18i

Reason for comment:

This proposal for a new town or village has to be opposed. The site would be in full view from the ridge of the South Downs National Park (as the reservoir is now) and would greatly detract from the rural setting of the South Downs. We should be trying to maintain a corridor of mixed open landscape between Polegate and Lewes to maintain the open setting of the South Downs. Having an urban sprawl all along their feet could ruin the Downs; as an example look at the present view from the Downs of Eastbourne, Willingdon and Polegate, we do not wish this to continue along the present open area. Any large settlement here would “need” road improvements to meet the traffic increases (sustainable development?), and any improvements to the A27 would generate extra traffic through Alfriston as Seaford’s citizens and businesses seek fast routes away from the coast. Traffic generated by the large new development will also wish to travel to Seaford, increasing the traffic through Alfriston & Litlington far beyond the capacity of these narrow lanes.

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Option 18j

Reason for comment:

This proposal for a new town or village has to be opposed. The site would be in full view from the ridge of the South Downs National Park (as the reservoir is now) and would greatly detract from the rural setting of the South Downs. We should be trying to maintain a corridor of mixed open landscape between Polegate and Lewes to maintain the open setting of the South Downs. Having an urban sprawl all along their feet could ruin the Downs; as an example look at the present view from the Downs of Eastbourne, Willingdon and Polegate, we do not wish this to continue along the present open area. Any large settlement here would “need” road improvements to meet the traffic increases (sustainable development?), and any improvements to the A27 would generate extra traffic through Alfriston as Seaford’s citizens and businesses seek fast routes away from the coast. Traffic generated by the large new development will also wish to travel to Seaford, increasing the traffic through Alfriston & Litlington far beyond the capacity of these narrow lanes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hurwood</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 18k

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
We generally agree with comments made by 4433.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hurwood</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 25a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**However there will be valid reasons for higher densities in some locations, which can be achieved by using good design and appropriate site layouts. We should not be too prescriptive.**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hurwood</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 24b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
but see comments on 24a
Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
But see our comment on 24a

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood  
104430  Alfriston and District Amenity Society  
Part of document:  ☑ option  
Section of Document: Option 25e  
Your View  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood  
104430  Alfriston and District Amenity Society  
Part of document:  ☑ option  
Section of Document: Option 25f  
Your View  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood  
104430  Alfriston and District Amenity Society  
Part of document:  ☑ option  
Section of Document: Option 25g  
Your View  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
This could be seen as a simple way of Building outside development zones.  

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood  
104430  Alfriston and District Amenity Society  
Part of document:  ☑ option  
Section of Document: Option 27a  
Your View  ☑ Agree  
Reason for comment:  

Berwick station should be upgraded, including its parking facilities, and it could have more trains stopping there. A multi-storey car park at Polegate could prevent the congestion in the streets around that station.

If the A27 were to be made into a dual carriageway along the foot of the Downs it would encourage more traffic, more commuters, more housing, in an area where the new National Park needs all the tranquility it can get. It would also generate extra unwelcome traffic through Alfriston, destroying the village, as Seaford traffic seeks the fastest routes out of the coastal area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hurwood</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hurwood</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hurwood</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hurwood</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td></td>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Hurwood</td>
<td>Alfriston and District Amenity Society</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104430</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 28c

**Section of Document:**

**Option 28c**

**Reason for comment:**

The changes put forward for the A27 are not acceptable, see reply to 27d. Tinkering with the junction at Polegate will only increase the pressure for the whole of the A27 to be made dual carriageway on its present route, which is not acceptable.

### Question Twenty Nine

**Section of Document:**

**Housing Delivery**

**Reason for comment:**

### Question Thirty

**Section of Document:**

**Infrastructure Requirements**

**Reason for comment:**

The changes put forward for the A27 are not acceptable, see reply to 27d. Tinkering with the junction at Polegate will only increase the pressure for the whole of the A27 to be made dual carriageway on its present route, which is not acceptable.
Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Question 32a

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
we agree with much of what is written

Consultee ID: Mr Hurwood
104430 Alfriston and District Amenity Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Question 32b

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
comments – will WDC have the staff and commitment to properly manage this process. This document is too long, it is too heavy going and it is difficult to comment on. (as well as being poorly edited.) Not a good start, Also, from our point of view in Alfriston the contents of the Seaford plan in Lewes District could have more impact on us, and our traffic problems than much of what you propose, but we didn’t see any sign that you are discussing with LDC either your plan or theirs, why?

Consultee ID: Mr Keeley
104437

Part of document: □ document ✓ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Context

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Question how the housing requirement figures are obtained.
**Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses**

**Consultee ID:** Mr Keeley  
Agent ID: 104437

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [x] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [ ] option  

**Section of Document:** Wealden’s Working Patterns

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Most villages need employment, revive agriculture, use old large buildings for local employment. Affordable start-up housing units are required.

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Keeley  
Agent ID: 104437

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [x] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [ ] option  

**Section of Document:** Wealden’s Working Patterns

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Most villages need employment, revive agriculture, use old large buildings for local employment. Affordable start-up housing units required.

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Keeley  
Agent ID: 104437

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [x] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [ ] option  

**Section of Document:** Wealden’s Settlement Pattern

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Would like all land marked for development brought by a new community land trust.

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Keeley  
Agent ID: 104437

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [x] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [ ] option  

**Section of Document:** Wealden’s Places

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Every village including AONB should find a site for 15/20 homes. We should not elect development sites and allow the market to take control.
Consultee ID: Mr Keeley
Part of document: ☐ document ☑ chapter ☐ section ☐ option
Section of Document: Wealden’s Communities
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: There is no mention of care/rest homes, with 40% of the population soon to be over 60.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment: Should be development on existing settlements to satisfy local demand, affordable housing. Worries over adverse impact to AONB.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☑ section ☐ option
Section of Document: Wealden’s Renewable and Low Carbon Potential
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment: Would support a higher target if realistic.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☑ section ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 3a
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment: Not in favour of mixed use of housing and businesses as disturbance could occur.
Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Existing employment premises should be protected from redevelopment.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Appropriate locations needed.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Home working should be encouraged, and new business developments should be a readily accessible distance of new and existing residential developments.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:
Option 4b
Section of Document: 
Reason for comment:
Need for improvements to the A27 between Beddingham and Polegate.

Option 4c
Section of Document: 
Reason for comment:

Option 5a
Section of Document: 
Reason for comment:
Countryside gaps between developments are needed. Affordable homes required.

Option 4d
Section of Document: 
Reason for comment:
Ms Dashwood-Hall

Question 4e

Section of Document: Option 5b

Your View

Reason for comment:
Opposed to the imposition of development upon this area without a full planning consultation.

Ms Dashwood-Hall

Question 5c

Section of Document: Options for the Urban/Rural Split

Your View

Reason for comment:
Supports the creation of a new settlement. Restrictions for sake of environment and infrastructure. Favour development near to existing road and rail links.

Ms Dashwood-Hall

Options for the Urban/Rural Split

Your View

Reason for comment:
Favours wide dispersal of development evenly across whole district. Affordable housing should be emphasised. If majority of new homes are to be provided in towns, I would wish to see the option of a new settlement fully considered.
Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall Agent ID: 104457

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 6d

Your View ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
50% should be allocated of villages based on size, services and accessibility- and the remainder to towns. Possible new settlement.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall Agent ID: 104457

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 7a

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Only classify villages to identify need for improvements in infrastructure and not for purpose of controlling development.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall Agent ID: 104457

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 7b

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Classification of villages according to growth potential may be ignoring local needs.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall Agent ID: 104457

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 7c

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Supports organic growth (affordable homes for rent/shared equity) in all villages. Phase any such growth in order to preserve character of village.
Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall
104457

Part of document: Option 7d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: Disagree with policies that restrict the change of land from commercial to residential use.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall
104457

Part of document: Question 7e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: Anomalies on classification between larger and smaller local service centres.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall
104457

Part of document: Options for the Sussex Coast Policy Area

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: Infrastructure concerns already causing concern and further development will only exacerbate the problem.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall
104457

Part of document: Option 8d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: Strongly agree, but not necessarily in Low Weald.
Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall Agent ID: 104457

Part of document: ☒ section

Section of Document: Options for the Rest of Wealden

Reason for comment:
Supports policy of more even distribution across district. 9C is best option.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall Agent ID: 104457

Part of document: ☒ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall Agent ID: 104457

Part of document: ☒ option

Section of Document: Towns - Potential Priorities and Options

Reason for comment:
Supports housing development in Crowborough, Heathfield, Stone Cross and Polegate Willingdon-location should be determined by the views of and wishes of Heathfield residents. Supports development on edge of Tunbridge Wells. Supports development in Uckfield so long as flood sites are not used and new builds will not increase risk of flooding elsewhere. Concerns over infrastructure. Hailsham should be allowed to develop on its own and not as part of wider Eastbourne. Concerns over healthcare, schooling, leisure and traffic/transport.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall Agent ID: 104457

Part of document: ☒ option

Section of Document: Option 15a

Reason for comment:
Opposed to development of North West Hailsham- poor road system, no railway links, could exacerbate flooding and damage wildlife if important green gap is developed on.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dashwood-Hall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104457</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 15b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

A22 inadequate and absence of railway links.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dashwood-Hall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104457</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 15c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

Wildlife concerns, proximity to flood plains, road system inadequate.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dashwood-Hall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104457</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 15d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

Stretched infrastructure in town.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dashwood-Hall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104457</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 15e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

Countryside gap between Hailsham and Polegate must be preserved.
Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall Agent ID: 104457

Part of document: Option 15f
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Countryside gap between Hailsham and Polegate must be preserved.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall Agent ID: 104457

Part of document: Question 15h
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: West of A22 is a natural barrier between urban Hailsham and rural Arlington Parish and should not be developed on.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall Agent ID: 104457

Part of document: Option 16d
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Inappropriate unless accessed other than from Dittons Road.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall Agent ID: 104457

Part of document: Option 18j
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
### Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall

#### Part of document: Question 18

**Section of Document:** Support investigation into a new single settlement option but would not support development in any of these areas as the local services are lacking and a good rail link is absent.

**Reason for comment:**

- **Your View:** No opinion
- **Agent ID:** Agree

### Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall

#### Part of document: Option 23a

**Section of Document:** Support housing development that addresses the needs of local community.

**Reason for comment:**

- **Your View:** Disagree
- **Agent ID:** Agree

### Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall

#### Part of document: Option 23a

**Section of Document:** Further development of Herstmonceux and significant development in Lower Horsebridge are in close proximity to major new developments that adequately address the need.

**Reason for comment:**

- **Your View:** Disagree
- **Agent ID:** Agree

### Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall

#### Part of document: Housing Needs - Dwelling Mix and Density

**Section of Document:** Support density of 30-35 dwellings per hectare for rural parts of district- accept higher density in towns, but higher density developments require higher quality design to avoid social problems that are often associated with high density living.

**Reason for comment:**

- **Your View:** No opinion
- **Agent ID:** Agree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dashwood-Hall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104457</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter ✔ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Affordable Rural Housing Needs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Supports 40% plus of all new dwellings being affordable housing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dashwood-Hall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104457</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>'Mixed developments’ often create social difficulties.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dashwood-Hall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104457</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter ✔ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Gypsies and Travellers Needs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Sites should be located in the areas of need, but not necessarily in town centres.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dashwood-Hall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104457</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter ✔ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Transport Needs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 104457  
Ms Dashwood-Hall  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- option  

Section of Document:  
Option 27d

Your View  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:  
Would first need major improvements to the A27. Dualling of the road a possibility. Possibly connect Hailsham and Polegate with a light railway link.

Consultee ID: 104457  
Ms Dashwood-Hall  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- section

Section of Document:  
Open Space and Leisure Needs

Your View  
- Agree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 104457  
Ms Dashwood-Hall  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- section

Section of Document:  
Housing Delivery

Your View  
- Agree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 104457  
Ms Dashwood-Hall  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- section

Section of Document:  
Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  
- Agree

Reason for comment:  
Need for new water and sewage treatment resources, and town centre traffic management. Against building of new roads on green field areas. Environmental concerns.
Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall  Agent ID: 104457

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  ✔ section  □ option

Section of Document: Where We Want to get to

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Important to preserve characteristics of towns and villages whilst providing as much affordable housing as possible for local people.

Consultee ID: Ms Dashwood-Hall  Agent ID: 104457

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  ✔ section  □ option

Section of Document: Possible Spatial Objectives for Wealden

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Important to preserve characteristics of towns and villages whilst providing as much affordable housing as possible for local people.

Consultee ID: Mr Hester  Agent ID: 104473

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
To put forward the Land at Former Southern Water Sewerage Works, Old Swan Lane, Hailsham. Details in sent letter.

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke  Agent ID: 104476

Part of document:  ✔ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option

Section of Document: Core Strategy - Issues and Options Consultation Paper

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
Agent ID: 104476

Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option
Part 1

Section of Document:  Context

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
Agent ID: 104476

Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option
Question One

Section of Document:  Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Mainly to meet the needs of affordable housing in low numbers on exception sites first using brownfield sites. The AONB must be protected especially in conservation villages. Once lost will never be regained.

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
Agent ID: 104476

Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
The infrastructure of the villages may not be able to support new housing and new business. Housing is the more important requirement

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
Agent ID: 104476

Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
This should be achieved by the design of the new developments as new technology evolves, not necessarily wind farms etc in an AONB
Option 2b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If it can be achieved by design, keeping in mind the sensitivity of the AONB and without devastating the Asdown Forest.

Option 3a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If it is a genuine case of the site not being able to attract new employment and not because of inflated rent etc.

Option 3b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 3c

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It may not apply to all villages that new business development be created. All existing unused sites should be used first.
Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
Agent ID: 104476

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option  
Option 5a

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
Every effort must be made to protect the boundaries

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
Agent ID: 104476

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 6a

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
Not all villages will have the capacity to take a share of the 20%

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
Agent ID: 104476

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 5b

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
Only brownfield sites for exception cases

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
Agent ID: 104476

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question 5c

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
The development boundaries must be protected around villages. The current defined boundary for Hartfield must not be changed
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalke</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104476</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 6b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalke</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104476</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 6c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalke</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104476</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Question 6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>At least 80% around towns, they have the infrastructure of roads, railways etc to be able to handle it</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalke</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104476</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 7a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
104476

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
104476

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
104476

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
104476

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Village shops / pubs etc should be protected as services to the community
Consultee ID: Mr Chalke  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Shops, pubs etc are vital to a local community.

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Disagree.

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Disagree.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke  
Agent ID: 104476

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Not all villages will be able to handle groups of 15+ dwellings, perhaps smaller numbers in villages such as Hartfield.

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke  
Agent ID: 104476

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Hartfield has limited growth potential and certainly single location of 20 dwellings would not be appropriate. Sites would have to be in smaller numbers for affordable housing. Two sites have been identified, The Old Coal Yard and The old Crown Farm. A site for the Surgery has also been identified at Old Crown Farm,

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke  
Agent ID: 104476

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Any development around the village must be carefully considered. It is a conservation village in an AONB on the edge of Ashdown Forest. Once a village looses its character and community spirit these can not be regained.
Consultee ID: Mr Chalke 104476

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke 104476

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke 104476

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Agree for larger developments, Hartfield's need is for affordable housing possibly 1 or 2 low cost market houses.

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke 104476

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
104476

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development boundaries should be protected, exception sites for affordable housing of a suitable design and limited numbers of houses. Preference to brownfield sites.

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
104476

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
104476

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Where ever the sites are, the sites MUST be controlled by the same planning policy that effects the rest of the population

Consultee ID: Mr Chalke
104476

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 28a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 28b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 28c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104476</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Question 31a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Question 32a

Reason for comment:

It is important to provide affordable housing for families, single persons and elderly but not at the cost of losing the character, personality, community spirit and visual aspect of the village. A well-balanced growth will ensure sustainability.

Option 28b

Reason for comment:

Need for developer contributions for the infrastructure of all community facilities.

Option 28c

Reason for comment:

Leisure includes more than just sport and recreational facilities—i.e. cultural performance arts.
Consultee ID: Ms Freeman
104477 The Theatres Trust

Section of Document:

Question 32b

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Should include community and cultural facilities to reflect your Community Strategy.

Consultee ID: Mr Thompson
104478 Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies

Section of Document:

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We agree that some development should be allowed to meet local housing need but this should be confined to infill sites.

Consultee ID: Mr Thompson
104478 Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies

Section of Document:

Option 2b

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We agree with option 2b that the aim should be to meet 20% of the energy requirements of new development from renewable energy sources.

Consultee ID: Mr Thompson
104478 Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies

Section of Document:

Option 3d

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We agree generally that new housing development should be balanced with an appropriate level of new business development.
Consultee ID: Mr Thompson
104478 Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
we consider they should be extended subject to a strict criteria and that priority should be given to development on infill/brownfield sites.

---

Consultee ID: Mr Thompson
104478 Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Thompson
104478 Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This should be based firstly on the established need of the village taking account of the demographic and environment factors and sustainability of key elements.
Consultee ID: 104478  Mr Thompson  Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 7c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document: Option 7c

Your View: Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

We consider that any proposals for new settlements should be confined to less sensitive areas of the low Weald and that highly sensitive areas immediately north of the downs (e.g. Berwick Station) should be excluded.

Consultee ID: 104478  Mr Thompson  Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 24a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document: Option 24a

Your View: Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104478</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104478</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104478</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: 104478
Mr Thompson
Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies

Part of document: Option 25g
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104478
Mr Thompson
Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies

Part of document: Option 25e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104478
Mr Thompson
Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies

Part of document: Option 25f
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104478
Mr Thompson
Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies

Part of document: Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104478</td>
<td>Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104478</td>
<td>Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104478</td>
<td>Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104478</td>
<td>Federation of Sussex Amenity Societies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
There is a need for comprehensive traffic impact assessment related to new developments on the trunk road system throughout Wealden and the wider region. There is widespread concern about the adequacy of the present infrastructure and the impact of new developments. Much greater emphasis should be given to improving the environment of villages and smaller settlements currently subject to high levels of through traffic. There needs to be a coherent policy for reducing the speed and volume of traffic in these areas, and this should be a condition of all new developments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [☑]

**Section of Document:**

**Option 2b**

**Your View**
- [☑] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [☑]

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3a**

**Your View**
- [☑] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [☑]

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3b**

**Your View**
- [☑] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Underground car parks for blocks of flats.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Wilmshurst</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 4e</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>I do not own a car and am unfamiliar with the surrounding area.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Wilmshurst</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Question 5c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option 6b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 6c

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 7a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 7b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 7c

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 7d

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Question 7e

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 8a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8b**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8c**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8d**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 9a**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ☑ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 12b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 11b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 11c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 11d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 11e

- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View

- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Question 11f

- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View

- □ Agree
- ✓ No opinion
- □ Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Question 11g

- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View

- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Question 11h

- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View

- □ Agree
- ✓ No opinion
- □ Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 12a</th>
<th>Option 12c</th>
<th>Option 12d</th>
<th>Option 12e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Wilmshurst</td>
<td>Agent ID: 104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12f Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trust the number of developments taking place in Heathfield is taken into account, when the number for Heathfield is allocated, including Gyll Road.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Wilmshurst</td>
<td>Agent ID: 104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12f Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 12g Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Wilmshurst</td>
<td>Agent ID: 104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 12h Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question 12i

Reason for comment:
Sandy Cross Lane is narrow; which already makes walking down it difficult.

Option 13a

Reason for comment:

Option 14a

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID:  Mrs Wilmshurst  
104479

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Wilmshurst  
104479

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Wilmshurst  
104479

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Wilmshurst  
104479

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14f</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14g</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14g</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14h</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Wilmshurst</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question 14i

#### Part of document:
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

### Question 14j

#### Part of document:
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

### Question 14k

#### Part of document:
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

### Option 15a

#### Part of document:
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 15b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

#### Option 15c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

#### Option 15d

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

#### Option 15e

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 15g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>✔ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Wilmshurst</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Wilmshurst</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Wilmshurst</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 15h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>✔ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 17b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Wilmshurst</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>104479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16e</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Wilmshurst</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>104479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16f</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Wilmshurst</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>104479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16g</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Question 17d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Question 17e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulatee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Wilmshurst</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>104479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 18b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 18c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 18d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 18e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 18f

Part of document: 

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 18g

Part of document: 

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 18h

Part of document: 

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 18i

Part of document: 

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 18j

Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Question 18k

Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 19a

Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 19b

Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Part of document: | | |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| document          | chapter | section | option |

### Section of Document:

### Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

### Reason for comment:
- Your View
- 

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Part of document: | | |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| document          | chapter | section | option |

### Section of Document:

### Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

### Reason for comment:
- Your View
- 

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Part of document: | | |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| document          | chapter | section | option |

### Section of Document:

### Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

### Reason for comment:
- Your View
- 

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Part of document: | | |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| document          | chapter | section | option |

### Section of Document:

### Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

### Reason for comment:
- Your View
- 

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Part of document: | | |
|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| document          | chapter | section | option |

### Section of Document:

### Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

### Reason for comment:
- Your View
- 

---
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21c

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question 21g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ✓ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
| Consultee ID: | Mrs Wilmshurst | Agent ID: | 104479 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Option 22b |
| Section of Document: |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |

| Consultee ID: | Mrs Wilmshurst | Agent ID: | 104479 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Option 22c |
| Section of Document: |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |

| Consultee ID: | Mrs Wilmshurst | Agent ID: | 104479 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Option 22d |
| Section of Document: |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |

<p>| Consultee ID: | Mrs Wilmshurst | Agent ID: | 104479 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Question 22e |
| Section of Document: |
| Your View | ☐ Agree | ☑ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 23a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 23b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 23d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 23e</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst 104479

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:

In some cases yes as long as 'green belts' are included, regardless of size.
Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst
104479

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst
104479

Part of document: Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst
104479

Part of document: Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst
104479

Part of document: Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst  Agent ID: 104479

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst  Agent ID: 104479

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst  Agent ID: 104479

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst  Agent ID: 104479

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Option 26a

- **Consultee ID:** Mrs Wilmshurst  
  104479
- **Part of document:**  
  - ☑ document  
  - ☐ chapter  
  - ☐ section  
  - ☑ option
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:**  
  - ☐ Agree  
  - ☐ No opinion  
  - ☑ Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**

### Option 26b

- **Consultee ID:** Mrs Wilmshurst  
  104479
- **Part of document:**  
  - ☑ document  
  - ☐ chapter  
  - ☐ section  
  - ☑ option
- **Section of Document:**
- **Reason for comment:**

No Gypsies or Travellers anywhere. (emphatic voice)

### Option 27a

- **Consultee ID:** Mrs Wilmshurst  
  104479
- **Part of document:**  
  - ☑ document  
  - ☐ chapter  
  - ☐ section  
  - ☑ option
- **Section of Document:**
- **Reason for comment:**

- ☑ Agree  
  - ☐ No opinion  
  - ☑ Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst
Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst
Consultee ID: Mrs Wilmshurst

Part of document: Option 27e
Part of document: Option 28a
Part of document: Option 28a

Section of Document: Option 27e
Section of Document: Option 28a
Section of Document: Option 28a

Agent ID: 104479
Agent ID: 104479
Agent ID: 104479

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:

Option 27e
Option 28a
Option 28a

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wilmshurst</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104479</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question 31a

Reason for comment:

I think it is very important to have affordable housing in villages, but the character of the village must be taken into account (no riffraff).

Question 32a

Question 32b

Reason for comment:

I think it is very important to have affordable housing in villages, but the character of the village must be taken into account (no riffraff).
Question One

Development should be concentrated in areas which are NOT in the AONB.

Reason for comment:
It is unrealistic to impose new short life unproven technology on housing which is a long term issue.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **Option 3a**
   - Your View: **Agree**
   - Reason for comment: The market is the best arbiter of need.

2. **Option 3b**
   - Your View: **Disagree**
   - Reason for comment: The market is the best arbiter of need.

3. **Option 3c**
   - Your View: **Agree**
   - Reason for comment: It is essential for small and medium sized businesses to be able to start up and develop which benefits the local economy and local employment.

4. **Option 4a**
   - Your View: **Disagree**
   - Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td></td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- **Option 4b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Settlement boundaries should be flexible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td></td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- **Option 4c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- There is better road access including the A22 to London.
Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480  
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield  
102470  
J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Question 4e  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The main issue is that of transport for which it is essential to construct the A27 as a dual carriageway from Polegate to Lewes.

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480  
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield  
102470  
J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 5b  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If settlement boundaries are being retained then there has to be flexibility to expand outside them.

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480  
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield  
102470  
J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Question 5c  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We would like to see the south boundary of Hailsham extended to include Oaklands Farm and The Brickfield for housing allocation as both are previously-developed land.

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480  
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield  
102470  
J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 8b  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 104480  
Mr Hatfield  
Agent ID: 102470  
J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

**Part of document:**  
Option 8b

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Your View  
Hailsham is large enough and sufficiently well serviced to have good potential for development.

**Reason for comment:**

Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Your View  
A new settlement would require a complete new infrastructure whereas development on the edge of an existing town such as Hailsham merely requires enlargement and improvement of existing facilities.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td></td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 9a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Uckfield has limited potential.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td></td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 9b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td></td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 9c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td></td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question Ten**

**Section of Document:**

**Site Allocation Thresholds**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The limits should be wider.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:** Option 15a

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
This area is outside access to the core facilities of Hailsham and is impractical for development.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:** Option 15a

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:** Option 14c

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:** Option 14f

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480 102470 J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480 102470 J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This area is on the fringes of the SSSI and there is a flood risk.

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480 102470 J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480 102470 J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Would support this if the Southern relief road were to be constructed.
### Option 15d

**Consultee ID:** Mr Hatfield  
**Agent ID:** J J Hatfield & Co Ltd  
**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
**Section of Document:**  
**Reason for comment:** Close to SSSI and flood risk.

### Option 15e

**Consultee ID:** Mr Hatfield  
**Agent ID:** J J Hatfield & Co Ltd  
**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
**Section of Document:**  
**Reason for comment:** These sites are considered by Wealden District Council to have a low landscape value. There are minimal bio diversity issues. There is no flood risk. Oaklands Farm and The Brickfield are previously developed land. There is ready access to the town centre and the proposals include road improvements such as upgrading the South Road/Ersham Road roundabout and the possible southern link road which would be on this land.

### Option 15f

**Consultee ID:** Mr Hatfield  
**Agent ID:** J J Hatfield & Co Ltd  
**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
**Section of Document:**  
**Reason for comment:**

### Question 15h

**Consultee ID:** Mr Hatfield  
**Agent ID:** J J Hatfield & Co Ltd  
**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
**Section of Document:**  
**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18a</th>
<th>Option 18c</th>
<th>Option 18g</th>
<th>Option 18b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Hatfield</td>
<td>Mr Hatfield</td>
<td>Mr Hatfield</td>
<td>Mr Hatfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>104480</td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>104480</td>
<td>102470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield
104480 102470 J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield
104480 102470 J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield
104480 102470 J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield
104480 102470 J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 18i

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield
Agent ID: J J Hatfield

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree
Reason for comment:
I disagree with any new settlement in this area. There is plenty of scope for enlargement of existing ones.

Option 18j

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield
Agent ID: J J Hatfield

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree
Reason for comment:
There is potential for modest growth around all villages.

Question 18k

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield
Agent ID: J J Hatfield

Part of document: Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree
Reason for comment:
I disagree with any new settlement in this area. There is plenty of scope for enlargement of existing ones.

Question 19f

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield
Agent ID: J J Hatfield

Part of document: Question 19f

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree
Reason for comment:
There is potential for modest growth around all villages.
Consultee ID: 104480  Mr  Hatfield  Agent ID: 102470  J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
Question 20d

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
There is potential for modest growth around all villages.

Consultee ID: 104480  Mr  Hatfield  Agent ID: 102470  J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
Question 21g

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
There is potential for modest growth around all villages.

Consultee ID: 104480  Mr  Hatfield  Agent ID: 102470  J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
Question 22e

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
There is potential for modest growth around all villages.

Consultee ID: 104480  Mr  Hatfield  Agent ID: 102470  J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
Option 25b

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
The quality and character of this area requires generally lower densities. The Council must take care to consider the economic sustainability of any development.
There is potential for modest growth around all villages.

Whilst there is scope for areas of high density, the traditional densities in Wealden District are low and we should take care not to create slums for the future.

Whilst there is scope for areas of high density, the traditional densities in Wealden District are low and we should take care not to create slums for the future.

Whilst there is scope for areas of high density, the traditional densities in Wealden District are low and we should take care not to create slums for the future.
Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480 102470 J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Whilst there is scope for areas of high density, the traditional densities in Wealden District are low and we should take care not to create slums for the future.

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480 102470 J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Flats create leasehold and legal problems and it is better to promote houses, both terraced and semi detached as well as detached houses where more spacious layouts can be permitted.

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480 102470 J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The quality and character of this area requires generally lower densities. The Council must take care to consider the economic sustainability of any development.

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480 102470 J J Hatfield & Co Ltd

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This policy could be expanded to include edges of towns.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td></td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

### Section of Document:

**Option 25d**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Not necessarily.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td></td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

### Section of Document:

**Option 25f**

**Your View** ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td></td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

### Section of Document:

**Option 25g**

**Your View** ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td></td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

### Section of Document:

**Option 26a**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480  
Part of document:  
Option 26b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480  
Part of document:  
Option 27a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480  
Part of document:  
Option 27b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield  
104480  
Part of document:  
Option 27c  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Not to the exclusion of stops at other stations.
The route of the existing A27 is shared with a railway line. Dualling the road would not have an adverse impact on its environment but would improve communications immeasurably between Lewes and the rest of East Sussex.
Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield
104480

Option 28c

Section of Document: Option 28c

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield
104480

Section of Document: Agent ID:
J J Hatfield & Co Ltd
102470

Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield
104480

Section of Document: Agent ID:
J J Hatfield & Co Ltd
102470

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield
104480

Section of Document: Agent ID:
J J Hatfield & Co Ltd
102470

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hatfield
Agent ID: Mr Hatfield
104480

Section of Document: Agent ID:
J J Hatfield & Co Ltd
102470

Reason for comment:

In broad terms but densities of housing and transport improvements should be considered in detail.

Your View

Mr Hatfield

J J Hatfield & Co Ltd
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hatfield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104480</td>
<td>102470</td>
<td>J J Hatfield &amp; Co Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 31b**

**Reason for comment:**

This Issues & Options Consultations Paper is well researched and presented and demonstrates the considerable ability of the Wealden District Council team.

### Section of Document:

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 32a**

**Reason for comment:**

Agree with minor exceptions.

### Section of Document:

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 2a**

**Reason for comment:**

Option 2a is preferred. A higher target of 20% could make some sites unviable and potentially impact upon the deliverability of housing sites within the district. The 10% figure stated in the South East Plan should be adopted by the district council in order to provide a consistent approach in national, regional and local guidance.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wilford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104486</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
This option would provide for the expansion of settlements in a planned and managed framework that allows for the continued growth of towns and villages within the District without fear of "ad hoc" development that option 5b could generate.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wilford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104486</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
This option would provide for the expansion of settlements in a planned and managed framework.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wilford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104486</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 9a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
It is considered that given infrastructure funding problems, Uckfield still remains the principal location for development in the Rest of Wealden. An increased level of housing allocation in the urban area of Uckfield would facilitate the funding necessary to implement the highways work needed for the town centre. This would be achieved by way of increasing the number of sites that could contribute towards these improvements and deliver the infrastructure required for existing problems and accommodate future growth. Furthermore paragraph 5.29 of the Issues and Options Paper considers that the existing Community College is now too large for its premises and recommendation is that the 6th Form separate from the main site. It is understood that in order to achieve this, significant development of up to 1,000 dwellings is required in the Uckfield area to provide extra students needed to make this split viable. It is therefore considered that by concentrating growth in the Uckfield area, this could be achieved.
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
It is considered that there should not be a site allocation threshold for edge of town or edge of village sites. A policy like this makes for a rigid framework and may prevent the best use and/or impact upon delivery of a suitable site that would go someway to meeting the housing requirement and/or ensuring the viability and growth towns and villages in Wealden. We therefore disagree with the principle of a suggested allocation threshold and that each site should be taken into consideration and determined on its own merits.

Option 14d

Reason for comment:
Agree with the broad location of 14d albeit that this should also include the site north of Eastbourne road (currently Policy UC2 in Non-statutory Local Plan 2005). This will ensure that the already allocated site can be included within any potential future search for suitable housing locations. It may be necessary for the Council to (re)allocate the site for the period up to 2026 as the outcome of the forthcoming Judicial Review may prevent the site coming forward under the current Non-Statutory Plan 2005 allocation. Agree with the spatial objectives of the town centre insofar that the necessary and suitable mechanisms are in place to allow for the objectives to be completed/obtained.
### Question 18k

**Reason for comment:**
Without very extensive new funding, there is not the infrastructure capacity to accommodate a new settlement. It would be an option that would be inconsistent with the approach that has supported relatively small incremental growth at established towns and villages to secure a broad mix of new housing, jobs and other facilities. It is important to maintain the growth of the existing towns and villages by locating new development in these locations rather than by meeting the housing requirement with a new settlement.

---

### Option 24a

**Reason for comment:**
It is considered that the Options 24a to 24c are inadequate in providing a suitable density range for new housing developments and advice should be taken from PPS3 (paragraph 46 and 47) regarding how LPA’s go about setting housing density policies. PPS 3 advises that a national indicative minimum of 30dph is sought, but that LPA’s may wish to set a range of densities across the plan area rather than one broad density range. It is considered that in more sustainable locations, and in more urban areas, higher densities should be achieved.

---

### Option 24b

**Reason for comment:**
It is considered that the Options 24a to 24c are inadequate in providing a suitable density range for new housing developments and advice should be taken from PPS3 (paragraph 46 and 47) regarding how LPA’s go about setting housing density policies. PPS 3 advises that a national indicative minimum of 30dph is sought, but that LPA’s may wish to set a range of densities across the plan area rather than one broad density range. It is considered that in more sustainable locations, and in more urban areas, higher densities should be achieved.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Wilford
104486 The Barton Willmore
Planning Partnership Eastern

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It is considered that the Options 24a to 24c are inadequate in providing a suitable density range for new housing developments and advice should be taken from PPS3 (paragraph 46 and 47) regarding how LPA's go about setting housing density policies. PPS 3 advises that a national indicative minimum of 30dph is sought, but that LPA's may wish to set a range of densities across the plan area rather than one broad density range. It is considered that in more sustainable locations, and in more urban areas, higher densities should be achieved.

Consultee ID: Wilford
104486 The Barton Willmore
Planning Partnership Eastern

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The density range should be set based on a credible, robust and up to date Housing Market Needs Assessment. Paragraph 6.17 of the Issues Options report identifies that the housing needs survey of 2005 recommended 40% affordable provision across the District. However, the South East Plan recommends only 40% in the South Coast Policy Area. Further to this, the draft Strategic Market Housing Assessment recommends varying levels of affordable housing including only 30% in the South Coast Policy Area. It is clear that there is conflicting advice/evidence on the levels of affordable housing provision across the District and it is important the WDC give greater clarity on it's strategy for affordable housing provision in its Preferred Options Report.

Consultee ID: Wilford
104486 The Barton Willmore
Planning Partnership Eastern

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The re-instatement of a Lewes/Uckfield/Tunbridge Wells train service will contribute towards an improved public transport system that is needed with Wealden DC. The train service will take pressure off the existing trunk roads in the district that are already close to capacity and allow for increased sustainable methods of commuting.
Consultee ID: Wilford
104486 The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern

Part of document: option

Reason for comment:

Concerned at apparent lack of any robust infrastructure plans in past local plans and see no change in the near future for the emerging LDF. Options put forward to ensure delivery are partially onerous. Disagree that permission should be limited to 2 years. We support notion of a wealden delivery board.

Consultee ID: Wilford
104486 The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern

Part of document: option

Reason for comment:

Option 30g is essential in ensuring the deliverability of housing sites in Uckfield. The town centre traffic problems are well documented and development sites allocated in the Non-Statutory Plan 2005 have already been subject to providing contributions to a town centre highways programme that doesn't yet exist. Without a suitable mechanism and suitable option to relieve the congestion, delivery of housing will be severely delayed in this area and will be contrary to the objectives stated in question 29.

Consultee ID: Wilford
104486 The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern

Part of document: option

Reason for comment:

Your View

Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Concerned at apparent lack of any robust infrastructure plans in past local plans and see no change in the near future for the emerging LDF. Options put forward to ensure delivery are partially onerous. Disagree that permission should be limited to 2 years. We support notion of a wealden delivery board.

Consultee ID: Wilford
104486 The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern

Part of document: option

Reason for comment:

Option 30g is essential in ensuring the deliverability of housing sites in Uckfield. The town centre traffic problems are well documented and development sites allocated in the Non-Statutory Plan 2005 have already been subject to providing contributions to a town centre highways programme that doesn't yet exist. Without a suitable mechanism and suitable option to relieve the congestion, delivery of housing will be severely delayed in this area and will be contrary to the objectives stated in question 29.

Consultee ID: Wilford
104486 The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern

Part of document: option

Reason for comment:

Concerned at apparent lack of any robust infrastructure plans in past local plans and see no change in the near future for the emerging LDF. Options put forward to ensure delivery are partially onerous. Disagree that permission should be limited to 2 years. We support notion of a wealden delivery board.

Consultee ID: Wilford
104486 The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern

Part of document: option

Reason for comment:

Option 30g is essential in ensuring the deliverability of housing sites in Uckfield. The town centre traffic problems are well documented and development sites allocated in the Non-Statutory Plan 2005 have already been subject to providing contributions to a town centre highways programme that doesn't yet exist. Without a suitable mechanism and suitable option to relieve the congestion, delivery of housing will be severely delayed in this area and will be contrary to the objectives stated in question 29.
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

A higher target of 20% could make some sites unviable and potentially impact upon the deliverability of housing sites within the district. The 10% figure stated in the South east plan should be adopted by the District Council in order to provide a consistent approach in national, regional and local guidance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wilford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104487</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  ❑ No opinion  ❑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This land is controlled by our clients and is the subject of a recently submitted planning application (03/08/07)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wilford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104487</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  ❑ No opinion  ❑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This option provides for the expansion of settlements in a planned and managed framework that allows for continued growth of towns and villages within the District without the fear of 'ad hoc' development that Option 5b could generate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wilford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104487</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ❑ Agree  ❑ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wilford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104487</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  ❑ No opinion  ❑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 8b

Reason for comment:
This option is the most sustainable option for growth, with the least environmental impact.

Option 8c

Reason for comment:
New development along the Polegate/Hailsham axis would significantly erode the separate identity of the two settlements and result in development that is neither related to either settlement, i.e., almost a 'no-mans land'.

Option 8d

Reason for comment:
The suggestion of a new settlement (Option 8b) would do least to engender the sustainable (regeneration) of the existing settlements within the sub-region.
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
A policy like this makes for a rigid framework and may prevent the best use and/or impact upon the deliverability of a suitable site that would go someway to meeting the housing requirement and/or ensuring the viability and growth of towns and villages in Wealden.

Option 16d

Reason for comment:
The areas of land identified in options 16d and 16e are significantly less constrained in landscape, transport and flood risk terms than each of the other potential options within/adjoining Polegate and Willingdon.
### Question 18k

#### Section of Document:
- **Consultee ID:** Wilford
- **Agent ID:**
- **Consultee ID:** 104487
- **The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern**
- **Part of document:**
  - ☑️ option
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View**
  - ☑️ Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**
  
  This approach is not supported as it is important to maintain the growth of the existing towns and villages by locating new development in these locations rather that by meeting the housing requirement with a new settlement.

#### Option 24a

#### Section of Document:
- **Consultee ID:** Wilford
- **Agent ID:**
- **Consultee ID:** 104487
- **The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern**
- **Part of document:**
  - ☑️ option
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View**
  - ☑️ Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**

#### Option 24b

#### Section of Document:
- **Consultee ID:** Wilford
- **Agent ID:**
- **Consultee ID:** 104487
- **The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern**
- **Part of document:**
  - ☑️ option
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View**
  - ☑️ Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**

#### Option 24c

#### Section of Document:
- **Consultee ID:** Wilford
- **Agent ID:**
- **Consultee ID:** 104487
- **The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern**
- **Part of document:**
  - ☑️ option
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View**
  - ☑️ Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**
Reason for comment:
Disagree with all the options - they are too simplistic and are at odds with the policy requirements of PPS3: Housing (November 2006). PPS3 (para 46) indicates that LPA’s should develop housing policies across a range of important considerations, and avoid just one broad density figure for the whole district (para 47). It is therefore suggested that Wealden District Council develop a range of different density ranges, based upon the raft of different criteria set out in para 46 of PPS3, for a range of different (site/settlement specific) considerations. This would ensure that the most efficient use of land is achieved and that at more suitable sites, higher densities should be accepted.

Reason for comment:
Housing mix should provide for a variety of dwelling type and be based upon the specific market needs of an area, i.e. not solely flats and small dwellings.

Reason for comment:
The provision of affordable housing should be based upon an up to date housing needs survey.
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View

☐ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

It is not a viable option to seek a 'one for one' mix of affordable/open market housing on any site, least of small rural settlements.

Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View

☐ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Ancillary dwellings (for businesses) should not be considered appropriate. PPS7 already provides for such measures related to the rural countryside.

Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View

☐ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Rural areas, as with urban areas, require a mix of housing type and tenure, ie not just solely affordable housing.
Consultee ID: Wilford
104487 The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern

Part of document: option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Exception site housing should still adhere to environmental considerations

Consultee ID: Wilford
104487 The Barton Willmore Planning Partnership Eastern

Part of document: option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Ancillary dwellings (for elderly/disabled) should be considered on their respective merits, with strict restrictions upon occupancy

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: chapter
Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Your View  Agree

Reason for comment:
However, the County Council considers there is still a tendency in the document to see the provision of new housing as a necessary duty imposed from above rather than as a natural element of planning for future sustainable communities. The identification of 'place-shaping' as the central role of a Core Strategy is strongly supported and Wealden is to be commended for avoiding an Issues and Options stage which is an abstract pursuit of general principles unrelated to the particular circumstances of an area.
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Representation on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority The inclusion of Option 3d is supported in that it provides scope for the creation of sustainable communities where there is a reduced need to travel. It will be important to ensure that employment land allocations are well located in relation to existing and future communities as this can facilitate the provision of appropriate transport infrastructure linkages and help to reduce out commuting by car. On account of the servicing needs of employment land, good access to the Primary Route network should also be at the forefront of any development strategy.

Option 3d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Strongly support across Wealden District, although in the south Wealden area it may be necessary to provide a relatively faster rate of growth in employment than housing to meet South East Plan objectives for improving the economy of the Sussex Coast sub-region.
Reason for comment:
But the County Council considers the approach focuses exclusively on employment land when a strategic approach to the economy is required as part of the Core Strategy, an approach which gives priority in the Sussex Coast policy area of Wealden to measures to stimulate and grow the economy. Employment land provision will be an essential part of such a strategy but only as part of a wider policy approach within the Core Strategy. In respect of the potential locations for employment use identified in Question 4, until work is completed on employment land forecasts and an economic strategy, including priority for employment provision, and until the implications of work on Eastbourne's employment land provision are fully integrated into the process, it is not possible to be certain how many of these sites may be required for employment provision. No scale of employment land requirement is given. There is evident conflict with potential housing use on all these sites as all these broad locations are indicated later in the Issues and Options document (under Polegate) as potential locations for housing development. Policy for the Sussex Coast sub-region gives priority on new land to employment provision. Therefore, the sites with the greatest accessibility to strategic transport routes, including frontage positions, and with the possibility of long term rail access, should be the most favoured for employment use.

Reason for comment:
However, it raises the question of who decides there is no realistic prospect of land being developed for employment and under what circumstances - employment land is in competition with housing use on many sites and it is all too easy to find reasons for introducing housing use. What would be the consequences of such a policy approach for employment land supply? To compensate, should all housing development include an element of employment provision?
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Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
Agent ID:
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Merely following the market is extremely unlikely to deliver the step-change in the economy sought by the South East Plan.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
Agent ID:
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
However, whilst this policy is appropriate for the majority of Wealden District, there will be a need to provide for potential larger scale enterprises in the south Wealden area if the South East Plan objective of regeneration and economic improvement for the Sussex Coast sub-region is to be achieved.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
Agent ID:
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. These options are supported in principle in that they offer potential for the criteria highlighted in my comments on Question 3 to be fulfilled. Having regard to the identified travel to work patterns within the District and the obstacles to development viability, it would be essential to ensure that the options are supported by appropriate levels of residential development to facilitate the provision of transport infrastructure. This would be predominantly focused on linkages into Eastbourne, Polegate and Hailsham with a heavy emphasis on a prospective Quality Bus Corridor. Options 4a, 4b and 4d would also pose specific challenges in overcoming the severance effect of adjacent roads.
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The County Council supports the consideration of this area for employment development because of its strategic accessibility, potential for links to the railway, including the exploration of the concept of a Parkway station, and the difficulty of effectively linking a residential area here with the rest of Polegate.

Part 4

Section of Document: Wealden’s Settlement Pattern

Reason for comment:
The County Council considers the current list does not exhaust the range of possible strategies that need to be considered in progressing towards a preferred strategy. These are strategies based just on choices of where best to distribute housing development. Strategies also need to be developed and assessed against other objectives, e.g. what strategy would deliver the most robust economic performance, or reduce out-commuting and hence improve sustainability? Rather than rely just on the physical assessment of individual sites the Issues and Options stage needs to lead to the development of spatial strategies that can deliver key objectives such as a stronger economy. The County Council would also want Wealden District Council to develop strategies which can deliver, and take advantage of, key items of infrastructure (some identified in the regional implementation plan). Concentrated development may be better able to deliver infrastructure where significant levels of investment are required.

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The County Council supports appropriate development outside existing village boundaries as over the longer term this could provide a solution where the reprovision of village schools is compromised due to lack of suitable alternative sites.
Consultee ID: Arr-Jones  East Sussex County Council
104491

Part of document:  option

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. In the absence of an overarching development strategy for the District, it is difficult to comment on the appropriateness of the various urban/rural proportions. It would be essential however, to ensure that the weighting of any rural focus is sufficient to deliver necessary enhancements to public transport services. Many such services are County Council supported and, in the face of increasing budgetary pressures, their future is not assured. New development can bring about a rise in patronage but, more crucially, it would be important to realise substantive contributions at the outset to ‘pump prime’ the operation of the services and safeguard their future until such time that revenue from patronage can cover operational costs. Funding of this nature is essential to achieving appropriate levels of accessibility in more remote areas and mitigating the impact of new development on lower category roads.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones  East Sussex County Council
104491

Part of document:  option

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
The County Council supports an approach which classifies settlements based on their services and accessibility.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones  East Sussex County Council
104491

Part of document:  option

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Representation on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. A Polegate focus would offer the greatest potential for sustainable development due its improved scope for good linkages with Eastbourne. It would also be better placed in relation to the Trunk Road network, which could facilitate improvements that minimise any impact on the County’s network of roads.
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Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. The emphasis placed on accessibility in defining and gauging growth potential is welcomed, but the terms of reference place an undue weight on journey times to local service centres by car. This, coupled with the absence of any criteria relating to walking/cycling, is at odds with the need to achieve sustainable communities that reduce the need to travel and are not reliant on car use. Furthermore, the weight afforded to the presence of a weekday peak hour (defined as 07:00 – 09:00) bus service takes no account of frequency, route or the return journey (many bus services are based around school hours, meaning that whilst they would operate in the AM peak they may not in the PM peak). Clarification on the rationale for journey distance thresholds of 2.5km or 5km to towns and rail stations would also have been helpful in understanding how the categories were derived.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:

No opinion Representation on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. The argument presented in favour of a Hailsham focus in paragraph 4.42 is flawed in referring to less congestion on the A22 than the A27. It is inappropriate for a County Primary Route and a Trunk Road to be compared in this way as they perform entirely different functions on the network. The phrasing also suggests that development at Hailsham could use up available road capacity, which conflicts with an overriding need to ensure that transport infrastructure is delivered to minimise additional traffic.
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Representation on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority Paragraph 4.46 refers to the solution to traffic congestion in Uckfield as 'currently blocking all significant development'. This phraseology is inappropriate in that the District Council have either approved or resolved to approve each of their allocated residential sites in the non-statutory Local Plan and have refused other major residential development proposals for numerous reasons not associated with congestion in the town centre. The paragraph also highlights how the cost and ability to solve the problem would count against this option, which would not be the case if a critical mass of development could be achieved that would facilitate delivery. In this respect the option proposing an Uckfield focus is supported. The accessibility and infrastructure limitations of Crowborough and Heathfield, together with a reduced ability to resolve Uckfield town centre, count against the development distribution proposed by the other options.

Part 5

Section of Document: Wealden's Places

Reason for comment:

The County Council considers identifying a long-list of broad locations for development is commendable at Issues and Options stage but raises issues of the resources available to infrastructure stakeholders to provide an assessment of all the potential options to help Wealden refine the list to a narrower range of options. The assessment tables associated with each set of broad locations include preliminary infrastructure assessments which have been informed by discussions between County Council and Wealden officers. The County Council does not have the resources to, for example, carryout a transport assessment on each of the broad locations identified and there is, at present, no county wide network model which could be used for such an assessment. A process will need to be agreed between County and Wealden to provide a sufficiently robust and consistent transport assessment to enable options to be narrowed down. Although the more significant tranches of potential development considered in the Issues and Options paper will bring with them, in any case, the requirement for new school places at extended or new schools, the County Council's Childrens Services Department hopes that revised information on school rolls and local school capacities will be available in time to inform the narrowing down of options, particularly where the growth potential of villages is concerned. However, capacity surveys are being updated as part of a 3 year rolling programme and it is necessary to balance the interests of several districts who are seeking this information for IDF work to similar timetables.
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Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: □ document  ☒ chapter  □ section  □ option
Part 5

Section of Document: Wealden’s Places

Your View  ☒ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No opinion Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. There is an inconsistency in how the growth options are described as broad areas of search but are then presented with detailed comments on transport issues, including prospective access arrangements and the scope of off-site improvements. These details have not been exclusively based on recent site specific assessments by the County Council.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: □ document  ☒ chapter  □ section  □ option
Part 5

Section of Document: Wealden's Places

Your View  ☒ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Support employment generating development in the Willingdon/Polegate and Crowborough areas as this is aligned with aspirations for County Hall and office hubs respectively.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☒ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No opinion Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Although the point is acknowledged in paragraph 5.9, this approach would need to account for cumulative impacts and the potential need for area-wide Transport Assessments and Travel Plans to inform the Core Strategy and/or Site Allocations Development Plan Document. For each of the towns within the Districts, it is unclear how (or whether) the spatial objectives have been informed by the Local Transport Plan and accompanying Local Area Transport Strategies. These documents should underpin the aspirations for each town through enabling new development to be matched against emerging transport priorities.
Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. However, the inclusion of a spatial objective to create a central bus service hub would appear difficult to deliver in view of the limitations on development scale within the options. There are also issues concerning where a central bus station could be located and how this sits alongside the Local Area Transport Strategy, which does not include an intention to create a bus station and instead places a firm emphasis on upgrading passenger waiting facilities and providing bus service connections to the rail network. The objective to extend the Cuckoo Trail is consistent with the Local Area Transport Strategy.

Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Although termed as a ‘broad area of search’ Option 11d is attributed with an identification of a type of access arrangement in the form of a roundabout. This is premature in that it has not been based on an assessment of traffic generation and appears inconsistent with the more general comments made in relation to access for other options. There is also a reference to capacity issues on Walshes Road, which are not representative of current conditions on the road. Options 11a, 11b and 11c offer better potential in how they are well placed in relation to the town centre and bus services to Tunbridge Wells. Option 11d, where more substantive development potential is identified, may not be sufficient to sustain the costs associated with resolving the inadequacies of the surrounding highway network and providing appropriate accessibility to local facilities.
Question 11f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Representations made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. The need for employment sites to have good access to the A26 is supported. The spatial objective to improve the gateway at Jarvis Brook is supportable in terms of improving the prominence and accessibility of the rail station. The limitations of the surrounding highway network, including the restrictive rail bridge, would need to influence the scale and nature of any accompanying new development.

Question 12g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
No opinion. Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Although those options closer to the town centre provide most scope to reduce the need to travel, they all are affected by the paucity of travel choices to key shopping and employment centres and the rail network. The development scale associated with each of the options provides limited scope for these accessibility deficiencies to be overcome.

Option 13a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. The consideration of this option is supported, since it provides scope for a high proportion of associated travel demand to be met within Tunbridge Wells Borough.
Reason for comment:
No opinion Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. The inclusion of option 14d does not account for its sustainable travel disadvantages.

Reason for comment:
No opinion Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. To reflect the Highway Authority’s stance on the Downlands Farm planning application, option 14a should make it clear that this is dependent on an access via the Black Down roundabout. If taken forward with a new access onto the A22, this option would be likely to attract a highway objection. The practicalities of restricting access from Snatts Road and Chruch Street are also questionable, given the sizable housing areas that these roads are already serving.

Reason for comment:
No opinion Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Option 14c describes Framfield Road as a ‘country lane’, a statement that is at odds with its function as the B2102. The relative merits of the option do not account for its remoteness from the Primary Route network and the consequent traffic impact this would have on the town centre. Furthermore, there is uncertainty concerning the deliverability of the pedestrian/cycle link to the town centre, which could serve to undermine its sustainability credentials.
Option 14f

Reason for comment:
Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. This option could not be supported in the event of it prejudicing the reinstatement of the Uckfield – Lewes rail line.

Option 14h

Reason for comment:
Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. This option could not be supported in the event of it prejudicing the reinstatement of the Uckfield – Lewes rail line.

Question 14j

Reason for comment:
Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. The spatial objectives of improving accessibility and reducing traffic congestion/dominance in the town centre are supported, but need to be underpinned by an emphasis on facilitating sustainable travel patterns. Neither the delivery of the rail link nor the town centre traffic management scheme should be seen as delivering options for growth in isolation and it is important that walking, cycling and bus use are viewed as a key part of the solution. This is also critical in the context of capacity concerns on the A22, which cannot be overcome through road widening due to the need to prevent substantive traffic increases through the Ashdown Forest. Having said that, it is essential that those options carried forward, either individually or collectively, provide scope to deliver improvements to the town centre so as to enable additional traffic to be accommodated on this part of the network.
Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View  Yes Agree  No No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Options 15a should draw attention to the need to support, sustain or enhance bus linkages to the town centre/rail network/Eastbourne, which may have come forward through the implementation of the non-statutory Local Plan land allocations.

Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View  Yes Agree  No No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Option 15b should draw attention to the need to support, sustain or enhance bus linkages to the town centre/rail network/Eastbourne, which may have come forward through the implementation of the non-statutory Local Plan land allocations.

Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View  No Agree  No No opinion Yes Disagree

Reason for comment:

Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. This option suggests that a development in excess of 1000 or more dwellings could be accessed via the town centre road network, which is starkly at odds with the spatial objective of reducing congestion in this area.
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Options 15d and 15e introduce the concept of a southern Hailsham relief road. This implies a road with a wider strategic or traffic distribution function but the road would appear to merely achieve access to the individual development sites from the A22. The rationale for such a road would need to be clarified. It is unclear how such a road could be linked to a northern A271 relief road unless options 15b and 15c could be designed to facilitate this.

Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Options 15d and 15e introduce the concept of a southern Hailsham relief road. This implies a road with a wider strategic or traffic distribution function but the road would appear to merely achieve access to the individual development sites from the A22. The rationale for such a road would need to be clarified. It is unclear how such a road could be linked to a northern A271 relief road unless options 15b and 15c could be designed to facilitate this.

Question 15g

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. The recognition of the need for major infrastructure delivery to facilitate suitable access to the A22 Primary Route is supported. It is critical to ensure that those options carried forward, either individually or collectively, provide scope for this to be achieved. The phrasing of options 15b and 15c imply that the necessity for a northern relief road is dependent on whether option 15a is pursued but it should be borne in mind that they would be likely to bring about the need for such an improvement in their own right. None of the options acknowledge impact on the A27 Trunk Road and the potential need for improvements. Furthermore, they have also not been presented in the context of a Quality Bus Corridor to Polegate and Eastbourne which would be crucial to achieving sustainable development.
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Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: option

Question 15g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. The Boship roundabout capacity issue identified against option 15a is equally applicable to any of the options for the town and those in Polegate.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: option

Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. There is a potential tension between the spatial objectives in that enhancements to the approaches to the town could undermine the efforts to reduce congestion in the town centre. There is also an absence of sustainable travel patterns at the heart of the over-arching strategy for the town, aside from the inclusion of an aspiration for a central bus station.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: option

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. This option would relate well to the Quality Bus Corridor and could potentially be accessed without the need for a new A22 junction and provide scope to facilitate A27 improvements. Effective measures to overcome severance issues would be critical.
Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. This option would relate well to the Quality Bus Corridor and could potentially be accessed without the need for a new A22 junction and provide scope to facilitate A27 improvements. Effective measures to overcome severance issues would be critical.

Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. The acceptability of option 16f is dependent on the delivery of the Folkington Link to release capacity on the A2270.
Reason for comment:
Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. The options need to acknowledge the importance of downgrading the A2270 in conjunction with the Folkington Link. This is critical to achieving good connections to Eastbourne and enabling the road to function effectively as a local distributor of traffic. The Folkington Link should not be considered or presented in isolation without an appreciation of the necessary complementary measures.

Reason for comment:
Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. The spatial objectives acknowledge the need for co-ordination with Eastbourne but not Hailsham in the context of facilitating the delivery of major transport infrastructure. There is also an erroneous reference to the A23, which should be the A22. The reference to the QBC to Eastbourne is supported.

Reason for comment:
Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. The level of development proposed by 17c would be insufficient to facilitate the re-opening of the Friday Street rail station.
Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. All of the new settlement options would have limited scope to function as self contained communities, leading to a dependence on out-commuting to surrounding settlements. This is incompatible with reducing the need to travel and provides limited scope for sustainable travel patterns.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
The new settlement options involve quite considerable amounts of development in new locations, which have not been assessed for their impact on County Council infrastructure. There could be significant implications for the County Council's Transport and Childrens Services functions, as well as other County Council services, from these proposals.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Question 19f

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
No opinion Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Most of the locations for village growth raise issues in terms of the viability of achieving improvements to accessibility by public transport and how this could serve to mitigate traffic impact on rural roads. Those that lie on key public transport corridors or which are afforded convenient linkages to larger settlements are likely to be more capable of accommodating limited sustainable growth.
No opinion Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Most of the locations for village growth raise issues in terms of the viability of achieving improvements to accessibility by public transport and how this could serve to mitigate traffic impact on rural roads. Those that lie on key public transport corridors or which are afforded convenient linkages to larger settlements are likely to be more capable of accommodating limited sustainable growth.
Question 22e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
No opinion Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Most of the locations for village growth raise issues in terms of the viability of achieving improvements to accessibility by public transport and how this could serve to mitigate traffic impact on rural roads. Those that lie on key public transport corridors or which are afforded convenient linkages to larger settlements are likely to be more capable of accommodating limited sustainable growth.

Option 25b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Affordable housing should meet South East Plan criteria.

Question 23f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
No opinion Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Most of the locations for village growth raise issues in terms of the viability of achieving improvements to accessibility by public transport and how this could serve to mitigate traffic impact on rural roads. Those that lie on key public transport corridors or which are afforded convenient linkages to larger settlements are likely to be more capable of accommodating limited sustainable growth.
Reason for comment:
The County Council considers the Core Strategy should say something more about waste and waste minimisation in relation to development proposals (even though the County Council's Minerals and Waste Development Framework will set overall policy).

Reason for comment:
The County Council is pleased to see that Wealden is addressing Gypsy and Traveller sites even whilst work is continuing on the Partial Review of the South East Plan to determine the number and distribution of gypsy and traveller pitches by district. The County Council is engaged in joint work with districts and boroughs with all authorities as equal partners. The authorities are advising the South East England Regional Assembly (SEERA) on the number of permanent pitches needed and their distribution to districts. Ultimately these will come back through the Regional Spatial Strategy process with numerical targets for each local planning authority. The Core Strategy will need to include the criteria for G&T site provision within the District.
Part 6
Section of Document: Wealden’s Communities

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
The County Council strongly supports locating development so as to improve the viability of bus services, particularly where subsidy-free commercial services are available - these are likely to be much more sustainable into the future.
Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Option 27a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Option 27a is inappropriate in placing the emphasis on bus service viability, which is often dependent on the vagaries of the commercial market. New development can play an important role in safeguarding the future of vulnerable services but this should not in isolation dictate the location of future new development. It should instead be focused on those locations that are most sustainable, with an emphasis on ensuring that developers facilitate the provision of appropriate bus services through contributions and infrastructure. The option also refers to services to and between towns, which omits town services that are likely to be crucial to the accessibility of key settlement extensions.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The County Council is actively engaged in overseeing work to establish what is needed to return rail services to Uckfield - Lewes and would support the return of the link to Tunbridge Wells. However, the amount of development needed to assist in funding a re-opening is not known at this stage and need not be confined to development along the railway route.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones
104491 East Sussex County Council

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. The sentiment of Option 27b is supported in that it recognises the role that new development could play in facilitating the reinstatement of the rail line. The reference to the service again relates to the commercial priorities of a train operator and the terms of reference for the option would be better focused on how the accessibility merits of new development along the route of the rail line would be enhanced by the funding of measures to assist its reinstatement (in itself subject to a commercial decision by the rail industry).
The County Council supports the concept of a Parkway station at Polegate, although recognising that much work is required to establish the feasibility of this concept.

Agree nor disagree Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Option 27c relates to a commercial decision by the rail industry but could be explored in the context of major growth at Hailsham/Polegate. In parallel with option 27e, it would also be important to understand the feasibility of such a facility from an operational perspective by assessing the implication that it could have on existing commercial services.

No opinion Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. No objective relating to accessibility and encouraging sustainable travel has been included. This is important in supporting the vision for growth and reduced congestion.
Consultee ID: Arr-Jones  
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The County Council considers the A27 will need to be improved because of the importance of achieving economic regeneration for the Sussex Coast and the potential significance of transport infrastructure to that regeneration.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones  
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Representation made on behalf of the County Council as Highway Authority. Option 27f is inappropriate in seeking to match parking provision with demand. A restrained approach should be taken that encourages greater use of walking, cycling and public transport whilst recognising car ownership. This is consistent with the County Council’s adopted parking standards which advocate parking provision based on the levels of accessibility afforded to a specific location. These maximum standards account for the varying levels of car ownership that can typically be expected at different types of household.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones  
104491 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The County Council would urge Wealden District Council to adopt the County Council's parking standards, which take into account not only house size and type, but also accessibility.
Consultee ID: Arr-Jones  East Sussex County Council

Part of document: ☑ chapter  □ section  □ option

Section of Document: Making it Happen - Implementation

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Discussions have already been held between County and Wealden officers to establish County Council infrastructure requirements and the County Council looks forward to continuing to work with Wealden to use infrastructure information to help inform choices.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones  East Sussex County Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The County Council supports proposals for improving the A27 west of Polegate and A27/A22 junction as both give greater potential for the relocation of County Hall.

Consultee ID: Arr-Jones  East Sussex County Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Wealden is to be commended for starting an Implementation Plan / infrastructure schedule at Issues and Options stage. As far as the County Council is concerned, however, infrastructure requirements are an inescapable consequence of the spatial options selected. The choice of options should include the infrastructure consequences as part of a more integrated selection process linked to development strategies - not in isolation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104494</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Option 4a

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104494</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Option 4b

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104494</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Option 4c

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104494</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Option 5a

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith
Agent ID: 104494

Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Question 5c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  ☑ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Leave settlement boundaries for villages as they are

Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID: 104494

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID: 104494

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID: 104494

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ☑ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
95% in and around towns. But a percentage of how many houses? Question is unclear.

Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID: 104494

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104494</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104494</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104494</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104494</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Option 16b

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Harcourt-Smith  
**Part of document:** option

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16c

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Harcourt-Smith  
**Part of document:** option

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16d

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Harcourt-Smith  
**Part of document:** option

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID:
104494

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID:
104494

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID:
104494

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 16h

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
No development west of A22, North of A27, South of Boship. This area should remain rural.

Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID:
104494

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith
104494
Part of document: Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith
104494
Part of document: Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith
104494
Part of document: Option 18j
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith
104494
Part of document: Question 18k
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
No development west of A22, South of the Boship, North of A27
Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith
Agent ID: 104494

Part of document:  
Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View  
Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith
Agent ID: 104494

Part of document:  
Option 27d
Section of Document:
Your View  
Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith
Agent ID: 104494

Part of document:  
Option 27d
Section of Document:
Your View  
No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith
Agent ID: 104494

Part of document:  
Option 27c
Section of Document:
Your View  
Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 27e

Reason for comment:

Question Thirty

Reason for comment:

Question 32a

Reason for comment:

Question 32b

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Harcourt-Smith  
104494

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
See the Wealden Adopted Local Plan of 1998, paragraph 16, 17 and Para 16.9 (1). This plan is clear that there should not be development West of Polegate. Quote from Chapter 16 para 9: The objectives of the Plan with regard to Polegate ... can therefore be defined as follows: 1) to protect the attractive landscape setting of the settlements Quote from Chapter 17, paragraph 17 Development Boundary .. the porximity of the South Downs, designated as an AONB constrains further development boundaries for Polegate ... therefore seek to restrict the physical expansion of these communities to preserve their attractive countryside setting. The above guidelines are still valid and still apply to the whole area west of the A22 north of A27.

Consultee ID: Ms Dixon-Nuttall  
104496

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Not enough infrastructure for possible 2,310 dwellings.

Consultee ID: Ms Thomas  
104508
Ashdown Forest Riding Association

Part of document: ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Any development in AONB should respect the rural nature of the countryside, the rural economy and the lifestyles of the people who choose to there.
Consultee ID: Ms Thomas  
104508 Ashdown Forest Riding Association  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 6a  

Section of Document: 

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment: 
This would help to reduce traffic on rural roads. 

Consultee ID: Ms Thomas  
104508 Ashdown Forest Riding Association  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question 19f  

Section of Document: 

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment: 
We would be concerned about an increase in the volume of traffic on roads over Ashdown Forest. Support conservation of Ashdown Forest Important habitat for wildlife. Development on the Ashdown Forest should be restrained. Developers should contribute to improving routes through the Ashdown Forest. 

Consultee ID: Ms Thomas  
104508 Ashdown Forest Riding Association  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☑ section  ☐ option  
Section of Document: Transportation Needs  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment: 
We have identified 4 places in which pegasus road crossings could be installed as a part of the necessary infrastructure to support growth in traffic volumes resulting for new development in Wealden.
Consultee ID: Ms Thomas
104508
Ashdown Forest Riding Association

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Want to work with WDC, ESCC and other local councils to ensure Rest of Wealden improvements are included in the LDF and LDD.

Consultee ID: Mr Higgins
104509

Part of document: □ document ✓ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document: Location Plan

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I don't disagree with the correct developments where needs are established and there is a viable and sustainable transport policy. This is not in place, especially in Hailsham where the nearest commutable rail links are Uckfield, Polegate and Lewes (Berwick is limited with limited parking), added to that the fact that most southern towns are 'dormitory' towns where a sizable amount of people commute to Brighton and London. This makes the development of residential dwellings, very difficult so that the already creaking minor roads and trunk roads don't break under the weight. Also buffer zones, with villages like Hellingly and Pevensey already suffering the threat of being swallowed up into the much larger neighbouring centres of Hailsham and Eastbourne respectively. This would be a betrayal of the character and natural landscape to do this, just because it is an easy option. Finally, if development is to be done, it should be along the mainline railways and roads, i.e. the A22/A27/A21 or along the mainlines from Polegate to Lewes or Uckfield to Crowborough.

Consultee ID: Mr Higgins
104509

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
AONB in the 50’s maybe, but many are now just forcing us to over develop the areas that we use everyday (split in two by dual carriageways and railways). Ensure that buffer zones between towns and villages are maintained rather than tenuous AONB statuses. Let the national park decide the boundaries.
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Essential, I would place a higher need on business than housing at the present time.

Option 2a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
NO, this area needs all the employment it can get. What is the point of building more houses if there is no more employment. Utter futility!!!!!
Consultee ID: Mr Higgins  
Consultee ID: 104509

Part of document:  
- Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Any employment, preferably not warehousing or logistics as these have a low proportion of employment to space.

Consultee ID: Mr Higgins  
Consultee ID: 104509

Part of document:  
- Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Good transport links

Consultee ID: Mr Higgins  
Consultee ID: 104509

Part of document:  
- Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Good transport links

Consultee ID: Mr Higgins  
Consultee ID: 104509

Part of document:  
- Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Good transport links
Mr Higgins

Option 4c
Reason for comment:
Transport links are good

Mr Higgins

Option 4d
Reason for comment:
Sites with direct access onto the A22 are preferable, and the land to the west of Hailsham is a good example of this.

Mr Higgins

Question 4e
Reason for comment:
I think it is vital that areas such as Arlington stadium and land around Berwick station to Lewes or back towards Polegate are looked at as they have potential for larger scale growth than bitty developments of 20 houses here and a few businesses there. We will have to sacrifice some of the country to this cause, but transport infrastructure and sustainability of this is vital to invest in.
Reason for comment:
Agree, separate settlements around sustainable transport is the way forward. If this means losing a few hectares of farm land then I think this is a better price to pay than grinding the urban areas to a standstill or ruining the unique character of many smaller villages. Also ensure that they are not built on buffer zones between larger urban centres and smaller villages (e.g. Hellingly and Hailsham).

Reason for comment:
If separate, and not just an expansion of the current boundaries.

Reason for comment:
I think that certain villages and smaller areas have better sustainable transport than many of the already over-populated urban areas. This must be done carefully though, perhaps whole new developments around the transport, and not within village boundaries, i.e. between Lewes and Berwick, or Uckfield and Crowborough.
Option 7a

Better to outline a standard approach.

Option 7b

Option 7c

Option 7d
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Higgins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104509</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 8b</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If done carefully as better transport links</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Higgins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104509</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 8a</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No sustainable transport links in Hailsham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Higgins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104509</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 8c</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Only if better transport links provided</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Higgins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104509</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 9a</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent transport compared to many other areas, flooding would need to be addressed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part of document: Option 9b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Excellent transport compared to many other areas, flooding would need to be addressed

---

Part of document: Option 9c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Could be spaced out, and utilise more space than is available elsewhere without over developing the coastal regions, already creaking.

---

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
This is a meaningless figure when developments in areas around Hellingly, Hailsham, Pevensey, Polegate etc are in the 100’s. 15 would be a god-send

---

Part of document: Option 11a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

The strategic gap must be protected, Hellingly is already getting 400+ dwellings at the hospital, and another 500+ is just too much for this area. The transport is currently at breaking point, the Sewerage works are not capable of supporting this as outlined in a number of documents in applications for planning permission in this area. It is not the right thing for Hellingly to become a suburb of Hailsham, as with many other surrounding villages. There is also the potential for impact on the Pevensey Marshes (800 mtrs away) and the wildlife habitat. It has no rail links within anything other than a car journey with no prospect of significant local employment. It is also not within easy walking distance of Hailsham town centre, causing even greater load on the existing transport infrastructure and town centre car parking (as most people would use their cars).
Consultee ID: Mr Higgins  
104509

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Further development within easy walking distance of Hailsham town centre would seem more desirable than developments in the more outlying fringes as it could potentially have a lesser impact on the volume of traffic in the town centre and the already over stretched car parks.

Consultee ID: Mr Higgins  
104509

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question 15h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
There is already considerable further development approved for Hailsham. We really need to see what effect the currently planned growth has on the infrastructure and services before approving any further large scale development in and around Hailsham. The town centre is already choked with traffic and parking in the town is difficult, bordering on impossible, much of the time. Large new developments would result in the need for considerable additional town centre parking, as well as additional health care facilities and schools. It is not sufficient to incorporate a new surgery into development proposals unless we can be sure that we will be able to attract dentists and GPs to the area to work. In view of the limited employment opportunities in Hailsham, the majority of incoming residents would presumably work outside the area, resulting in increased pressure on the wider road network as they made their daily journeys to and from neighbouring towns. Without a rail link Hailsham is surely nearing/in danger of exceeding its growth potential already.

Consultee ID: Mr Higgins  
104509

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Higgins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104509</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 104509  
**Mr Higgins**  

### Option 24b

#### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

It does not seem realistic to plan to provide less than 2 parking spaces per unit (which seems to be what higher densities would require) - people will still own cars, so either the new developments will be choked up with parked cars on every available bit of space or people will choose not to move in to new homes because there is inadequate parking to meet their needs.

### Option 24c

#### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

Your View  

### Option 27a

#### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

Your View  

### Option 27b

#### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

Your View  

---
Consultee ID: Mr Higgins  
104509

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Hailsham would really benefit from the provision of a decent park.

Consultee ID: Mr Higgins  
104509

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Developers should always be required to contribute to the cost of increased leisure facilities for the extra residents their developments are expected to attract.

Consultee ID: Mr Higgins  
104509

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Loss of existing facilities is unacceptable - more homes require more facilities, not less.
CORE STRATEGY ISSUES AND OPTIONS CONSULTATION

Thank you for your letter of 29th June 2007 enclosing the Issues and Options Consultation Paper. We are pleased to see the progress you have made with this. Whilst we cannot comment on the merits of particular proposals or options, we are very pleased with your initial approach, which seeks to identify alternative spatial outcomes and explain the implications arising from those outcomes. In particular, I was impressed with your approach at the Wealden LSP meeting on the 10th July and we like the way you are seeking to focus on place-shaping and set out how places might change over the plan period. You may wish to compare notes with colleagues in Brighton and Hove, who are re-casting their Core Strategy along similar lines. We understand that you are continuing to engage with the Highways Agency, Environment Agency and Natural England to help you avoid potential “showstoppers” at a later date and that the preferred options will be deliverable. Do please let us know should any issues arise that need our assistance.

We have spoken on a number of occasions about what a model core strategy might look like. We trust you have studied the Planning Inspectorate publication “Local Development Frameworks: Lessons Learnt Examining Development Plan Documents” June 2007. In addition, you may wish to consider the following pointers:

· Ensure that the document comprises a spatial vision, strategic objectives for the area, a spatial strategy, core policies and a monitoring and implementation framework with clear objectives for achieving delivery (PPS12, paragraph 2.9);
· Consider if it would be helpful to include a “pen picture” briefly covering social, economic and environmental context along with issues arising from other plans and strategies;
· Make sure strategic objectives relate to what the plan is meant to deliver, are SMART (specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time-bound) and are, where possible, distinct from sustainability objectives;
· Provide a well articulated strategy saying what will be delivered, where, when, how and with whose help (together with planned contingencies) and ensure that separate topic strands are brought together at identified broad locations to facilitate place-shaping;
· Include a distribution to broad locations of housing (essential) employment and other key uses where change is envisaged together with a housing trajectory;
· Ensure core policies are limited to what are needed to deliver the strategy, are SMART and are distinct from generic DC policies;
· Avoid any repetition of national policy but demonstrate (we suggest through application of appropriate sustainability objectives in the sustainability assessment and report) that the plan is consistent with national policy;
· When conducting sustainability appraisal, consider addressing “showstopper” issues first (for example harm to Natura 2000 sites) before getting into the finer grain of assessment;
· To make sustainability appraisal more manageable, consider mapping sustainability objectives to the hierarchy of alternatives in figure 27 of guidance (for examples some objectives may be more pertinent to how a chosen area should be developed rather than to choice between areas).

There is one critical issue relating to soundness test vi that we will expect the Wealden Core Strategy to address, please. Mid Sussex District Council is preparing an Area Action Plan Development Plan Document (AAP DPD) for East Grinstead in conformity with saved policies from the West Sussex County Structure Plan. Once submitted, that DPD will be examined for soundness. A critical issue will be the proposed route of the relief road needed to support the development of 2,500 dwellings at East Grinstead. We cannot comment on the merits of the chosen route or any other alternatives that have been considered by Mid Sussex District Council until the Inspector has examined the plan and issued his binding report. However, if the Inspector’s binding report were to find that the most suitable route for the relief road is one that crosses the boundary into Wealden and East Sussex, the Wealden Core Strategy will need to make express provision for that leg of the road and explain how the Council would provide the necessary support through its (and its partners’) powers to deliver it. In preparation for the preferred options stage of your Core Strategy, we would urge you to discuss the issue with Mid
Sussex and test alternative options for linking the relief road to the A22 from where it is expected to cross the county and district boundary. We would hope that Mid Sussex would have their Inspector’s report before you submit your Core Strategy so that you can fully align it with the East Grinstead AAP. I have copied in Judith Hewitt to make her aware of what we are saying and would be happy to meet you and Judith together to explore these issues. I hope you find our comments helpful. Do feel free to get back to me if you have any other queries or need further guidance. Yours sincerely David Paine, BSc, DipTP, DMS, MRTPI, MCMI Senior Planning Officer

Consultee ID: Mr Moon
104517 The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: Option 2b
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon
104517 The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: Option 3a
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Moon
104517 The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon
104517 The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon
104517 The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon
104517 The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 104517  Mr Moon  The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: 
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517  Mr Moon  The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: 
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517  Mr Moon  The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: 
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517  Mr Moon  The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: 
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 5b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 6a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 6b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 6c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: option

Option 7b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: option

Option 7c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: option

Question 7e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: option

There appear to be anomalies in the classification between larger and smaller local service centres with Buxted, East Hoathly, and Westham shown as smaller yet all being larger than Herstmonceux and Horam.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consutee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>The Parish Council of Hellingly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 7d**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [x] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consutee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>The Parish Council of Hellingly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8a**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consutee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>The Parish Council of Hellingly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8b**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consutee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>The Parish Council of Hellingly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8c**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Moon 104517
The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon 104517
The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon 104517
The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon 104517
The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Moon
104517 The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon
104517 The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Option 11a

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon
104517 The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Option 11b

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon
104517 The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Question 12h

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Moon
104517 The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon
104517 The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon
104517 The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moon
104517 The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Option 11f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Option 11g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Option 11h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Option 12a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 104517  Mr Moon  The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517  Mr Moon  The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517  Mr Moon  The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517  Mr Moon  The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 12f</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question 12g</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question 12i</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question 13b</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Moon</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 104517  
**Consultee:** Mr Moon  
**Consulted Authority:** The Parish Council of Hellingly

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 14a

**Your View**  
☒ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 104517  
**Consultee:** Mr Moon  
**Consulted Authority:** The Parish Council of Hellingly

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 14b

**Your View**  
☒ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 104517  
**Consultee:** Mr Moon  
**Consulted Authority:** The Parish Council of Hellingly

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 14c

**Your View**  
☒ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 104517  
**Consultee:** Mr Moon  
**Consulted Authority:** The Parish Council of Hellingly

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 14c

**Your View**  
☒ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Parish Council of Hellingly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14d
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Parish Council of Hellingly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14e
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Parish Council of Hellingly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14f
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Parish Council of Hellingly</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14g
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 14h</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 15a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 104517
Mr Moon
The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: Option 15d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517
Mr Moon
The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517
Mr Moon
The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: Option 15f
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517
Mr Moon
The Parish Council of Hellingly

Part of document: Question 15h
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 104517  Mr Moon  The Parish Council of Hellingly

Option 16c

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517  Mr Moon  The Parish Council of Hellingly

Option 17b

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517  Mr Moon  The Parish Council of Hellingly

Option 17c

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517  Mr Moon  The Parish Council of Hellingly

Option 18a

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 104517  
Mr Moon  
The Parish Council of  
Hellingly

Part of document:  
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517  
Mr Moon  
The Parish Council of  
Hellingly

Part of document:  
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517  
Mr Moon  
The Parish Council of  
Hellingly

Part of document:  
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104517  
Mr Moon  
The Parish Council of  
Hellingly

Part of document:  
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Mr Moon
The Parish Council of Hellingly

Option 18e

Agree
Disagree

Whilst we all accept that appropriate housing is required, the locations must be in the right place where they can receive local support and do not ignore the vital "quality of life" of those who would be drastically affected. In this context Bird-in-Eye hill is the wrong place. Letter dated 14th Ma, 2007 has been included as part of this representation.

Miss Baitup

Part 5

Agree
No opinion
Disagree

every effort should be made to build on brownfield sites; also I understand that in Britain to-day, some 700,000 homes stand empty. Meanwhile, acres of urban industrial sites lie idle. Could not these be developed, cutting down on car journeys and using schools, shops and sewers more efficiently, instead of despoiling our beautiful countryside.
Part of document: Option 19e

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment: Hartfield parish council should be redesignated as 'village with growth potential' with a plan submitted showing growth potential. Hartfield requires new integrated facilities with health centre, car parking, village hall, mixed housing with other supporting community facilities including youth club facilities and public toilets. It is considered that the most effective results will be achieved from holistic positive planning.

Consultee ID: 104530

Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment: only limited development for local and affordable housing

Consultee ID: 104530

Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment: Whilst I disagree with large scale development in AONBs, I think there is a case for limited development in appropriate locations for small scale development to meet local need and affordable needs. Such sites should be allocated whenever possible.

Consultee ID: 104530

Part of document: Option 2a

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Option 2b

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Option 2b

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- **Agree**
- No opinion
- Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Option 3a

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- **Agree**
- No opinion
- Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Option 3b

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- **Agree**
- No opinion
- Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham  
104530

Part of document:  
- Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham  
104530

Part of document:  
- Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham  
104530

Part of document:  
- Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham  
104530

Part of document:  
- Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 4c</th>
<th>Option 4d</th>
<th>Option 5a</th>
<th>Option 5b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td>104530</td>
<td>104530</td>
<td>104530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104530</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
<td>Agent ID: 104530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>see additional sheets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
<td>Agent ID: 104530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 7e</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>The emerging approach on the amount of growth appropriate for the villages is becoming far too complicated and mechanical in nature. A difficult subject area I accept, but I believe the emerging criteria will cause more problems and arguments rather than help</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulatee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 8b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 9b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Oldham 104530
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham 104530
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham 104530
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 12h
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham 104530
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 13a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Oldham 104530
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11c
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham 104530
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham 104530
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham 104530
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 104530
Mr Oldham

Part of document: option
Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

development at Steel Cross South of the rugby club would be extremely intrusive and unacceptable

Consultee ID: 104530
Mr Oldham

Part of document: option
Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104530
Mr Oldham

Part of document: option
Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104530
Mr Oldham

Part of document: option
Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Agent ID: 104530

Part of document: Option 12c
Section of Document:
Your View
Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Agent ID: 104530

Part of document: Option 12d
Section of Document:
Your View
Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Agent ID: 104530

Part of document: Option 12e
Section of Document:
Your View
Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Agent ID: 104530

Part of document: Option 12f
Section of Document:
Your View
Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Agent ID: 104530

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Agent ID: 104530

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Agent ID: 104530

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Agent ID: 104530

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 15c

#### Section of Document:
- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

### Option 15d

#### Section of Document:
- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

### Option 15e

#### Section of Document:
- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

### Option 16a

#### Section of Document:
- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Oldham  
104530

Part of document: Document: Option 15f

Section of Document: 

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham  
104530

Part of document: Document: Option 16c

Section of Document: 

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham  
104530

Part of document: Document: Option 16b

Section of Document: 

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham  
104530

Part of document: Document: Option 15h

Section of Document: 

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
104530

Part of document: Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
104530

Part of document: Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
104530

Part of document: Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
104530

Part of document: Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Oldham  
Agent ID: 104530  
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
**Section of Document:**  
Option 17c  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham  
Agent ID: 104530  
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
**Section of Document:**  
Option 18b  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham  
Agent ID: 104530  
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
**Section of Document:**  
Option 18c  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham  
Agent ID: 104530  
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
**Section of Document:**  
Option 18d  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104530</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18e**

**Section of Document:**

Your View:  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

**Option 18f**

**Section of Document:**

Your View:  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

**Option 18g**

**Section of Document:**

Your View:  
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

**Option 18h**

**Section of Document:**

Your View:  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Part of document: Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Part of document: Option 18j
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Part of document: Option 19a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Part of document: Option 19b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Agent ID: 104530

Part of document: Option 19c
Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Agent ID: 104530

Part of document: Option 19d
Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Agent ID: 104530

Part of document: Option 19e
Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Agent ID: 104530

Part of document: Option 20a
Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
104530

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
104530

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 20c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
104530

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 21a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
104530

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 21c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 21d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 21e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 21f
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
Consultee ID: 104530

Part of document: Option 22a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 22b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 22c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 22d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 104530  
Mr Oldham  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option  

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104530  
Mr Oldham  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option  

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104530  
Mr Oldham  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option  

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 104530  
Mr Oldham  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option  

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104530</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104530</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104530</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104530</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>104530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104530</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104530</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104530</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I consider matching one for one in all schemes amy be unrealistic in market towns and a sliding scale woul dbe more appropriate
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104530</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 25c</strong> Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104530</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 25g</strong> Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104530</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 25d</strong> Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID: 104530</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 25e</strong> Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
104530
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
104530
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
104530
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View
☒ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
104530
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View
☒ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Oldham Agent ID:
104530
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View      ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham Agent ID:
104530
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27c
Section of Document:
Your View       ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham Agent ID:
104530
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27d
Section of Document:
Your View       ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Oldham Agent ID:
104530
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27e
Section of Document:
Your View       ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Oldham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>104530</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 27f</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>104530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 28a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>104530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 28b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Oldham</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>104530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 28c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The most effective way of ensuring that sites come forward and the housing built is to ensure that the land is available and that the required infrastructure is also available.
Consultee ID: Mr Oldham
104530

Part of document: document

Section of Document:
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
I feel strongly that new housing in the villages should normally be in the form of terraced housing and flats and essentially for local need and the various forms of affordable housing. Our villages are already dormitory in nature mainly larger private housing with little or no employment opportunities. General purpose new larger homes can only add to this problem, creating an even more unsustainable situation than already exists. In villages, attention should be given to declining employment opportunities and services, to encouraging new and ensuring new housing is of an appropriate scale and type to help local needs.
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Flittner

Part of document: Option 5a

Consultee ID: Mrs Flittner

Part of document: Option 21a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Pickup

Part of document: Option 3b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 3b 1. While a policy is required to allocate new employment land within appropriate locations to meet identified future needs, the core strategy should also be clear about the Council’s policy intentions towards retaining existing employment land and buildings (i.e. land and buildings that fall within Use Class B1, B2 and B8 of the Use Classes Order 1987). 2. Such a policy needs to be couched so as to provide a flexible approach having regard to different planning considerations that may apply to individual sites or redevelopment proposals. For example, in such cases as existing purpose built industrial estates and business parks, it would be appropriate to strongly resist any proposal that would reduce the employment potential of such areas. In other cases however, for example where business premises comprises a non-conforming use within a residential area, or where former factories and business premises have become redundant and disused (for example at the former Merrydown Cider factory in Horam), a more flexible policy is needed to establish appropriate and sustainable forms of development that could give rise to other environmental improvements and/or wider community benefits. In such cases, a policy needs to be sufficiently flexible to permit change of use/redevelopment to other uses or to provide mixed housing/employment use on suitable larger sites. Clearly, where such sites are of a suitable size to accommodate both housing and employment uses within the appropriate locations (and having regard to local employment needs and demand for business accommodation) this would be a highly sustainable form of development notwithstanding the potential loss of some of the employment land and/or buildings as part of the redevelopment scheme.
Option 4b 1. This option is worthy of detailed consideration as part of a large mixed development perhaps in the form of a new Polegate Neighbourhood or even a small ‘eco-settlement’. Employment opportunities exist at Cophall Farm at present both in the form of the motorist service area uses and in the form of business use of former agricultural buildings. This could be expanded with additional employment uses to form a new neighbourhood centre to serve a small housing settlement while still providing for motorists using the A22/A27 corridor and tourist/visitors in the area generally. 2. This part of the core strategy document needs therefore, to be crossed referenced with the part of the document that considers the general potential for new settlements or concentrated growth (i.e. paragraphs 5.38 – 5.45).
Option 6d 1. A flexible option should be considered that provides for the growth/expansion of those settlements best suited or equipped (in terms of service provision etc.) to accommodate additional growth. This could be based upon establishing a hierarchy of settlements in relation to their size, accessibility (in all forms), function, services community facilities etc. Thus, rather than establishing a set percentage split between urban and rural settlements, opportunities for growth and development should be the subject of a more objective assessment also having regard to potential landscape impact, impact upon biodiversity etc. This should be linked with the Council’s approach put forward in paragraphs 4.25 – 4.37.

Option 7a 1. Please see response to Option 6d. Option 7a is supported in principle.

Option 7d 1. Any such policy needs to be capable of flexible interpretation to provide for situations where it can be demonstrated that there is no remaining commercial demand or viability for a facility. It would be more appropriate to find a suitable alternative use rather than the former village facility remaining vacant and representing a wasted land resource.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID</th>
<th>Mr Pickup</th>
<th>Town and County Planning Solutions</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104546</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 8a

**Part of document:** [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option

**Section of Document:** Option 8a

**Your View**  [✓] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Option 8a 1. The full potential of accommodating additional development at Hellingly Hospital should be investigated further in light of the existing development that is taking place. Further housing development of an appropriate form and scale, may provide the catalyst for securing supporting facilities that will reduce the need to travel. Alternatively proposals such as a 'continuing care village' might be considered along the lines of that which has taken place to the north of Ditchling Common in Lewes District.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID</th>
<th>Mr Pickup</th>
<th>Town and County Planning Solutions</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104546</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 8d

**Part of document:** [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option

**Section of Document:** Option 8d

**Your View**  [✓] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Option 8d 1. The draft document identifies the potential for the establishment of an 'eco-town' which is worthy of more detailed consideration. The use of greenfield land to accommodate future housing and employment needs is inevitable and therefore, serious consideration should be given to the planning merits of locating a potential new 'eco-settlement' to the west and north west of Cophall Farm, Polegate given its excellent accessibility and the strategic importance of the location. It should therefore crossed reference with paragraphs 5.38 – 5.45 of the document.
Question Ten 1. The development plan system has worked well in identifying and allocating sites for development to meet future employment and housing needs. While it is agreed that a threshold needs to be introduced, 30+ residential use on the edge of towns and 15+ residential use on the edge of villages is too high a threshold. In the past, development plans have been capable of identifying all potential housing sites for 5 dwellings and above. 2. A criteria based policy will however still be required for sites lower than this threshold or for future unidentified sites that may come forward. 3. There are no reasonable grounds for claiming that the examination into site allocations would become bogged down through the consideration of small omission sites (paragraph 5.10) as all such potential sites should be considered as part of the LDF process. The first examination in public in to the housing land allocations document is clearly an appropriate time to consider all such potential sites.

Option 11e 1. It is not clear whether this option includes the existing housing land allocated at Alderbrook, Crowborough as part of the Non-Statutory Local Plan (policy CR4). Paragraph 5.15 indicates that where such allocated sites in the Non-Statutory Local Plan are not the subject of extant planning permissions (as in the case of land at Alderbrook) that "it will be necessary to reassess these areas as part of the Local Development Framework process to see if they still deliverable etc and that they meet new regulatory requirements on strategic environmental assessment". 2. If Option 11e seeks in part to retain the existing allocation at Alderbrook the subject to policy CR4 in the Non-Statutory Local Plan, then this is strongly supported. Indeed, the District Council must have considered the land suitable for housing development given that the land is allocated in the Non-Statutory Local Plan. 3. If however, Option 11e seeks to develop 120 – 170 dwellings on land that includes the adjoining recreation ground to the east, then there is a strong objection to this proposal. The recreation ground provides an important local recreation and open space facility for existing residents and the existing standard of provision could be improved through financial contributions arising from the development of the allocated land the subject of policy CR4. There is no obvious site to relocate this important facility.
Option 12c

1. It is acknowledged that while Heathfield comprises an important local service centre for the surrounding rural area, its location within the High Weald AONB limits the potential for the outward expansion of the settlement. 2. Given the good level of services and community facilities available, the limited extension of the town should be carefully considered in order that a contribution can be made to meeting housing demands generally within district, and also in helping to provide a reasonable range of choice of location for those wishing to occupy new dwellings. 3. Land in the vicinity of Option 12c is therefore, worthy of further consideration as small scale housing development here can be achieve without leading to coalescence of development or unacceptable outward expansion into the rural surroundings. There is also good road access at this location, which is within a relatively short distance of the central part of Heathfield to the west. There is also scope in this location for housing development to be contained by existing field boundary tree screening so as to minimise visual impact.

Option 15a

1. While the area around Hellingly village would be inappropriate for further development due to potential flood risk, inadequate road access, and visual impact upon the rural setting of its historic core, there is potential to intensify development at the former Hellingly Hospital site which is the subject of a current residential conversion scheme. A more concentrated development at this location could provide the opportunity for securing associated community facilities that would help reduce the need to travel. Alternatively, self-contained proposals, such as a ‘continuing care village’, for the elderly could be considered at this location (see also the response to Option 8a and 18g).
Option 16b 1. The land to the west and north west of the Cophall Farm service area has many attributes that make it worthy of detailed consideration and assessment to help meet future development needs within the southern part of the district. As shown on the attached OS Map extract the Baker-Beall family at Cophall Farm own some 58 hectares of land which includes the land occupied by the existing service area facilities. 2. It is inevitable that greenfield land will need to be released in this part of the district in order to meet future housing and employment need during the period covered by the Core Strategy. While there are other options for considering disbursed growth, there are advantages in seeking concentrated growth at a single, suitable location such as Cophall Farm, so as to create a catalyst for supporting facilities. 3. Physically, the land is well contained by its topography and boundary tree screening. Significant development could take place here without having an unacceptable visual impact on longer distance views around the site. 4. There is already good road access to the strategic highway network and focusing development at this location could also provide the catalyst for the constructing Folkington Link along its existing protected alignment. 5. Although the area is physically separate from both Polegate and Hailsham, the existing service area could be expanded to form a new neighbourhood centre to serve mixed development on the adjoining to the west and north west. There is existing employment created by the service area facilities as well as employment generated by the reuse of existing farm buildings at Cophall Farm. This could be expanded to generate additional employment facilities at this location as well as other community facilities. 6. Existing bus service routes could be modified to serve development at this location so as to provide public transport connections with Hailsham, Polegate and (Polegate Railway Station). The Cuckoo Trail is within close proximity and other cycle and foot path links could be extended to serve the site. 7. There are no over-riding physical or practical reasons why this location could not accommodate a small, relatively self-contained ‘eco-settlement’ where all the development that takes place is carefully conceived and designed so as to minimise the impact upon the environment through ‘green’ building, drainage and other techniques. A development of sufficient size could also contain its own primary school facility and sewage treatment disposal facilities. 8. These representations should also be read in conjunction with the response to Option 4b, 8d and 16b.
Option 18h

Reason for comment:
Option 18h 1. See Representations in Response to Options 4b, 8d and 16b in response to the potential for establishing a new ‘eco-settlement’ at Cophall Farm

Option 22b

Reason for comment:
Option 22b 1. Following pre-application consultations with the District Council and also Horam Parish Council over the past 18 months, the Local Planning Authority should beware of the development potential of reusing the former Merrydown Cider factory site in Horam which represents one of the few significant ‘brownfield’ opportunities within Wealden District for accommodating new development. The site has been disused for quite sometime and the vacant buildings are having a blighting effect on this part of the settlement. 2. The land is shortly to be the subject of a full planning application for redevelopment following a two day exhibition of the draft proposals towards the end of 2006. 3. The land has potential to accommodate a mixed development comprising up to 900 sqm of B1 business floor space and between 80 – 90 dwellings. 4. Clearly, the emerging Core Strategy document would provide an opportunity for formally recognising this important and significant redevelopment proposal.
Option 24a

Reason for comment:
Options 24a – 24d. Any density policy should reflect national advice contained in PPS3 (Housing). The policy should require a minimum density of 30 dwellings per hectare but also recognise that there may be opportunities for higher densities in appropriate locations particularly around accessible public transport nodes or within the central parts of settlements. The policy should also recognise that with regard to the reuse of ‘brownfield’ land, there maybe physically constraints that limit the potential to achieve the normal minimum density requirement. In such cases, the policy should provide for these proposals to be considered on their individual planning merits but with the broad aim of making more efficient and effective use of existing developed land even if at less than 30 dwellings per hectare.

Option 25a

Reason for comment:
Option 25a. The District Council needs to undertake a detailed assessment of the mix of recent housing built within the District to establish whether the provision of smaller dwellings should remain a priority. National Planning Policy advice contained within PPS3 (Housing) seeks to promote balanced and mixed communities which in addition to meeting smaller household requirements also needs to provide for new family accommodation (for example 4 and 5 bedroom dwellings).
Option 25b 1. Within the towns identified, there is no justification for reducing the threshold below 15 dwellings for providing affordable housing, as advocated in national planning policy advice contained in PPS3 (Housing). The requirement for 40% is also too great as this may prevent redevelopment sites coming forward within these sustainable locations. The policy should also reflect the increased costs of redevelopment brownfield sites as compared to developing greenfield sites. In Hastings Borough for example, there is a reduced requirement (25%) for affordable housing schemes on brownfield sites compared to 30% on greenfield sites. Wealden District Council should consider introducing a similar policy.

Option 25c 1. It is assumed that this option relates to established and identifiable settlements and other than the 5 main Wealden towns, as opposed to rural areas outside established settlements. Assuming this to be case, a ‘on-to-one’ provision of affordable housing with open market units is wholly unreasonable and is bound to result in having a negative impact on the supply of housing coming forward within such settlements affected. This would have a serious impact upon over-riding aims of seeking to make fully efficient and effective use of previously developed land within suitable settlements. There is no sound planning reason why suitable settlements such as large villages and smaller villages providing a local service function, should not be treated in the same way as the 5 main towns having regard to the thresholds for providing affordable housing in redevelopment schemes. 2. A policy should also be introduced that enables developers to make a financial contribution towards affordable housing provision where it cannot reasonably be provided on the application site (this is particularly relevant to small apartment schemes). Such funding could then be used to provide services and ‘kick start’ sites specifically allocated for affordable housing such as in the Non-Statutory Local Plan.
Option 25d 1. Outside established development boundaries, it is agreed that affordable housing would be appropriate as an exception to countryside restraint policies, when a local need can be clearly identified.

Option 30b 1 Please see response to options 4b, 8d, 16b and 18h.
Row 19a of table 10 states that Forest Row has the strategic potential to deliver between 200 and 340 dwellings and that potential locations lie to the north and west of the village. Ashurst Wood, within Mid Sussex is located approximately 1½ miles north of Forest Row. The area between Ashurst Wood and Forest Row is not located within a designated Strategic or Local Gap. However, the relatively short distance between the two settlements is an area which is considered to be particularly vulnerable to development pressure and Mid Sussex District Council would have concerns about significant development proposals within the AONB north of Forest Row as this could have a harmful effect on the character of the rural area and the setting of the villages. As stated above, a route for the East Grinstead Relief Road that by-passed Forest Row to the west was considered at the Issues and Options stage of the East Grinstead Area Action Plan but was ruled out as a preferred option due to the particular environmental constraints and designations. I would expect that many of these designations and environmental features would also constrain the development potential to the west of Forest Row for the level of housing suggested in your Issues and Options document. Throughout the consideration of the East Grinstead Area Action Plan both Wealden District Council and East Sussex County Council have been concerned that options for the East Grinstead strategic development and the associated transport package would result in a detrimental impact on Forest Row. In response to different scenarios being tested through a transport model East Sussex County Council have stated that they would only accept relief road proposals which increased traffic through Forest Row by less than 5%. East Sussex County Council consider that it would be difficult to mitigate against any significant increases in what is often a very congested part of the highway network. Given their current position with regard to increased flows through the village I would be interested to understand how the County Council, as highway authority, would propose to manage and mitigate against the additional car movements arising from up to 340 dwellings north or west of Forest Row. I hope that these comments are helpful. If you have any queries regarding the above please do not hesitate to contact me.
Paragraph 6.41, relating to the A22, is inaccurate as it indicates that Mid Sussex District Council is no longer pursuing a relief road for East Grinstead as part of an Area Action Plan for strategic development. It therefore, does not reflect the current position, or the policies and intentions of neighbouring authorities (Mid Sussex District Council and West Sussex County Council) and strategic documents including the West Sussex Structure Plan, the East Grinstead Area Action Plan Pre Submission document and the Regional Spatial Strategy. The West Sussex Structure Plan allocates strategic development to the west / south west of East Grinstead. The Structure Plan allocation is contingent upon the provision of a comprehensive package of transport improvements which will include improvements to the existing road network (as stated in your paragraph 129) and the provision of a relief road. Therefore, the Structure Plan clearly establishes the principle of a large-scale development with an associated transport package including a relief road. Mid Sussex District Council has been working with neighbouring authorities and other agencies to deliver the allocation through an Area Action Plan. Mid Sussex District Council published the Pre-Submission Area Action Plan in May 2006. This document included a number of options for the development and the alignment of an A264 – A22 relief road. At the 'Issues and Options' stage, a relief road route was considered which ‘by passed’ Forest Row and joined the A22 south of the village. This was not included as a preferred option due to the considerable environmental and delivery constraints, partly resulting from the trustee status of East Sussex County Council in managing Ashdown Forest. As you may be aware, following consideration of the representations submitted at the Pre Submissions stage, members at Mid Sussex Full Council on 25th July 2007 considered a report which sought agreement on the contents of the submission document that is expected to be submitted to the Secretary of State later this year. This document will include a single route for the relief road that will be funded by the consortium of developers building the strategic development. The preferred route links into the A22 at the Brambletye Bends north of Forest Row. The primary purpose of the relief road is to relieve traffic congestion in East Grinstead and, in approving the overall package of measures to be included in a Submission Draft Area Action Plan, members had to balance the benefits and disbenefits in and around the town and the surrounding area. Whilst many of the surrounding villages and rural areas would benefit from a relief road, it is acknowledged that there would be increased flows along the A22 to the north and south of East Grinstead and mitigation measures would need to be put in place. Therefore, a connection into the A22 at the Brambletye Bends would result in increased traffic flows through Forest Row. However, as a primary route, designated by East Sussex County Council as a lorry route, it is an appropriate route for longer distance traffic to take. Officers of East Sussex County Council have expressed the view that transport improvements in Forest Row have maximised the capacity of the village. However, officers of West Sussex County Council do not consider that evidence has been provided that demonstrates that all mitigation measures have been explored. Therefore, it will be necessary that further consideration be given to transport measures to cope with any increased traffic. It should be noted that, as in East Grinstead, traffic levels would in any case continue to rise significantly on this stretch of road through Forest Row without the strategic development at East Grinstead. Whilst the Area Action Plan will form part of the Local Development Framework for Mid Sussex it will not do so for neighbouring authorities. Therefore, it is important that these authorities take account of the implications of the East Grinstead Area Action Plan within their Local Development Frameworks. At its western end the single preferred option for the relief road also crosses the West Sussex county boundary and enters Surrey and Tandridge administrative areas. In May 2006 Tandridge District Council published, for consultation, their Core Strategy Preferred Options Report. This document stated that Tandridge District Council would oppose any East Grinstead bypass within the Tandridge Green Belt. In response to this statement both SEERA and GOSE made
comment within the context of soundness test 6 of PPS12 which states: “The strategies/policies/allocations in the plan area are coherent and consistent within and between development plan documents prepared by….neighbouring authorities, where cross boundary issues are relevant.” GOSE, in particular, picked up on the statement made within the Tandridge document and stated that they would be looking for evidence under soundness test 6 that Tandridge District Council had liaised with Mid Sussex District Council and that there was a coherent and consistent strategy with the Tandridge Core Strategy and the East Grinstead Area Action Plan. In response to these concerns, officers from Tandridge District Council have been working with colleagues from Mid Sussex District Council to formulate suitable wording for inclusion within the Tandridge District Council Core Strategy Submission document (expected December 2007). To avoid similar ‘soundness’ concerns from being raised I would suggest that Wealden District Council take a similar approach. I endorse the suggested wording submitted to you by officers at West Sussex County Council. Incorporation of the text below would help to reflect the current and likely future position and would help to demonstrate that the Wealden Core Strategy is coherent and consistent with neighbouring authorities development plans: “The West Sussex Structure Plan establishes the principle of a large scale mixed use development of 2,500 homes west and south-west of East Grinstead, complete with transport package including a relief road. In preparing an Area Action Plan for East Grinstead, Mid Sussex District Council has considered options for the development and the route of the relief road, choosing a route which enters Wealden District.” Mid Sussex District Council officers and members will continue to work with colleagues from neighbouring authorities in an effort to address concerns and ensure that the best possible development and transport solution is delivered for East Grinstead and the surrounding area.

**Consultee ID:** Ms Wilkinson

**Agent ID:** Nathaniel Lichfield & Partners

**Part of document:** Document

**Section of Document:** Option 2a

**Your View:** ✅ Agree  ❌ No opinion  ❌ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This practical approach is consistent with national and strategic policy but could go further by encouraging developers to maximize the proportion of renewables.

---

**Consultee ID:** Ms Wilkinson

**Agent ID:** Nathaniel Lichfield & Partners

**Part of document:** Document

**Section of Document:** Question 7e

**Your View:** ❌ Agree  ❌ No opinion  ✅ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Classifying the existing and future role of the towns and their town centres should inform the strategy and settlement structure and therefore potentially settlement boundaries.
We support the Town Centre Opportunity Area concept for Crowborough and this should be informed by the development of town centre strategies to further identify the town’s shopping and other town centre uses as well as residential and site development opportunities.

We support the Town Centre Opportunity Area concept for Heathfield and this should be informed by the development of town centre strategies to further identify the town’s shopping and other town centre uses as well as residential and site development opportunities.

We support the Town Centre Opportunity Area concept for Uckfield and this should be informed by the development of town centre strategies to further identify the town’s shopping and other town centre uses as well as residential and site development opportunities.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Wilkinson</th>
<th>Agent ID: Nathaniel Lichfield &amp; Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104568</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 15c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 15c

**Reason for comment:**

```
We support the Town Centre Opportunity Area concept for Hailsham and this should be informed by the development of town centre strategies to further identify the town’s shopping and other town centre uses as well as residential and site development opportunities. However, the statement requesting the need to find land for school displaced by Tesco development and land for other community civic purposes is incorrect. A new school is being built on land off Marshfoot Lane to replace the Whitehouse School which is being displaced by the Tesco development within the town centre.
```

### Option 16g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Wilkinson</th>
<th>Agent ID: Nathaniel Lichfield &amp; Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104568</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 16g

**Reason for comment:**

```
We support the Town Centre Opportunity Area concept for Polegate and this should be informed by the development of town centre strategies to further identify the town’s shopping and other town centre uses as well as residential and site development opportunities.
```

### Option 27a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Wilkinson</th>
<th>Agent ID: Nathaniel Lichfield &amp; Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104568</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Reason for comment:**

```
Your View
```

---

Page 974 of 6217
Consultee ID: Ms Wilkinson
104568 Nathaniel Lichfield & Partners
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27f
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Raikes
104586 RH & RW CLutton
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Raikes
104586 RH & RW CLutton
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
as it is accessible to the town centre and public transport and does not harm the setting of the AONB.
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Consultee ID: Ms Ellis Adams Hendry
104615

Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☑ chapter ☐ section ☐ option
Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

It is stated that a Core Strategy must: · link and be complementary to the spatial strategies of stakeholders, in particular infrastructure providers; · provide a framework for making infrastructure decisions and investments; and, · have a focus on delivery of the development that is needed. It is South East Water’s view that the Core Strategy, based on the Issues and Options Report will not meet these objectives. South East Water refers the District Council to its pre-consultation letter dated 4 June 2007, which explains in some detail the water resource planning process. There is no acknowledgement of this process and no proposed means within the Core Strategy to link into the Water Resource Management Plan. Furthermore there is no framework for making decisions on water infrastructure schemes, not all of which are of regional significance and within the remit of the South East Plan. Section 1.15 South East Water agrees with the statement that adequate infrastructure should be in place for new housing. In addition to this, the Core Strategy should also ensure, regardless of growth, that there is sufficient infrastructure in place to serve the needs of the existing population. It is part of South East Water’s remit to address this through their Water Resource Management Plan and the company are committed to working with Wealden District Council to ensure that the need for water is met within the District.
Section 2.16 – 2.18 The report states that given the importance of the District for nature conservation interests it is not a good choice for locating strategic (sub-regional) development. Conversely, the plan should recognise that inevitably some development may be necessary within these areas where there is an overriding need and there are limited opportunities outside of these areas. We refer to water infrastructure schemes, in particular groundwater and reservoir schemes, the location of which are dictated by, amongst other matters, the availability of water and geology. Section 2.19-2.36 South East Water welcomes the addition of a section on Wealden’s Water Cycle and the holistic approach being adopted by the District Council. The company does however believe that in developing the Core Strategy, the need for water resource infrastructure should be given greater prominence and discussed within the section on infrastructure (part 7). It is implied within Section 2.34 that Wealden District Council sees no role for itself in the provision and delivery of water demand measures and new resources, deferring to the Government’s Code for Sustainable Homes and the South East Plan. This is contrary to policies NRM1 and NRM2 of the draft South East Plan that sets out a range of responsibilities for local authorities in the development and delivery of sustainable water resources and strategic water resources. South East Water agrees that future water demand will be met through a combination of demand management and new resources, the details of which will be confirmed by the water resource management planning process. The draft South East Plan currently names Clay Hill in a list of reservoirs that may be developed within the Plan period. South East Water has been granted funding by Ofwat to investigate the feasibility of the Clay Hill scheme. This is being undertaken in the context of a wider appraisal of water resource options for the Water Resource Management Plan (a statutory process that will be subject to Strategic Environmental Assessment and stakeholder and public consultation). The draft plan is due to be published at the end of 2007, with consultation beginning in Spring 2008. The plan will confirm the water resource schemes needed to support both the existing population and deliver growth proposals. Depending on the schemes that emerge from the water planning process, Wealden District may have a significant role to play in delivering the required water resources. Not all water resource schemes will be of regional significance and subject to inclusion in the South East Plan, for example the development of new groundwater boreholes and augmentation of existing water supply infrastructure. The Core Strategy needs to make provision for such infrastructure as the need is identified and include policies that support and where necessary, allocate land for water resource development. South East Water will then be in a position to deliver the resources needed to support regional and district growth and sustainability objectives. As a new plan is prepared every 5 years, the Core Strategy must be flexible to reflect changing circumstances and new demands. The pre-consultation response from South East Water (dated 4 June 2007) provides further information about the water resource planning process. Section 2.39 In terms of generic policies, South East Water would wish to see the following: · the promotion of water efficiency measures within the key urban design principles – South East Water currently has limited powers to ensure that such measures are included within new developments; and, · a policy supporting and seeking to deliver water resource development identified through the Water Resource Management Plan.
South East Water welcomes the approach to infrastructure being adopted by the Council and the active role it is promoting in its delivery. As discussed above, South East Water would wish to see within this Core Strategy recognition of the water planning process and a linkage between the two plans. At the end of section 7.4, reference is made to sewerage facilities having not been the remit of plans at a local level in the past but these now being recognised as crucial to the delivery of growth. This is also the case for the development of new water resources. South East Water would welcome the introduction of any body, such as the Wealden Delivery Board, to deliver key infrastructure in a timely manner. On a cautionary note however, this should not be seen as a substitute to providing clear policy direction and support for infrastructure within the Core Strategy. Ultimately it is the water companies who are responsible for delivering water resources.

South East Water is not in a position to confirm what water resource infrastructure will be required within the District until it completes its Water Resource Management Plan. The limitations of the potential infrastructure requirements within Table 15 should therefore be recognised.

The inclusion of an objective to support and assist in the delivery of water demand measures and the development of new water resources, as identified in the Water Resource Management Plan, would be welcome.
As discussed, the inclusion of an objective to support and assist in the delivery of water demand measures and the development of new water resources, as identified in the Water Resource Management Plan, would be welcome.

Reason for comment:
Should be controlled but each case decided on its own merits

Reason for comment:
Keep the size of the existing towns and villages in proportion to each other but each town needs individual consideration

Reason for comment:
Agreed as long as it avoids town cramming
Consultee ID: Mr Wilson
104710 Crowborough Partnership

Part of document:  ☑️ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wilson
104710 Crowborough Partnership

Part of document:  ☑️ chapter
Part 5

Section of Document:  Wealden's Places

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Should be below 30 in towns to ensure adequate infrastructure

Consultee ID: Mr Wilson
104710 Crowborough Partnership

Part of document:  ☑️ option
Question 11f

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑️ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Crowborough Healthcheck document and Action Plan should be taken into account at the issues and options stage. We look forward to the inclusion of environmental enhancement in the preferred options paper (EN1, EN2, EN3 of the Healthcheck). We look for support for the public realm approach.

Consultee ID: Mr Wilson
104710 Crowborough Partnership

Part of document:  ☑️ option
Question 11h

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑️ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Business land could be developed adjacent to the army camp on the A26. Development should relate to the existing road infrastructure. Land adjacent to the south east of the railway station should be excluded. Development at steel cross could compromise sports development proposals.
Consultee ID: Mr Wilson  
104710 Crowborough Partnership
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Keep at 40 percent
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Consultee ID: Mr Wilson  
104710 Crowborough Partnership
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Your View  
☒ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Should go above 30-35 because of the rural nature of the district. Densities/type should be based on evidential need.
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Consultee ID: Mr Wilson  
104710 Crowborough Partnership

Part of document:  
Option 25a
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Your View  
☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Should be evidenced by need. Terraces preferable to flats as more in character with the town. Buildings should not be higher than 2 floors/3rd floor in roof.
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Consultee ID: Mr Wilson  
104710 Crowborough Partnership

Part of document:  
Option 26b
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Your View  
☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Should be shared across Wealden district.
Option 27a

Reason for comment:
Preferable to enhance existing bus and rail services to avoid landscape impact

Option 27b

Reason for comment:
Should not be reduced in order to preserve rural landscape
Consultee ID: Mr Wilson  
104710 Crowborough Partnership
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☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Alloments should be provided through section 106 agreements
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☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
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Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
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Consultee ID: Mr Wilson  
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Consultee ID: Mr Wilson
104710 Crowborough Partnership

Part of document: ☑ option
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Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agreed but: reopening of wealden line is crucial - discouraging through traffic on the A22 will adversely affect economic activity in Crowborough - trees and hedges should be planted with every development to maintain high weald local character

Consultee ID: Ms Grimes
104725 The British Wind Energy Assoc

Part of document: ☑ section
Section of Document: Wealden’s Renewable and Low Carbon Potential

Your View ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
emphasise importance of including a renewable energy policy in Core Strategy (which follows PPS22/Climate change annex to PPS1) BWEA believes that Core Strategy should briefly outline the different renewable energy technologies and equally encourage and promote all forms of renewable energy. Important that the LDF as a whole presents an objective and robust approach to renewable energy, rather than a restrictive policy in response to renewable energy objectors. The LDF must not demonstrate an assumption that renewable energy developments will have negative effects, nor should it fail to fully recognise the many local benefits that arise through renewable energy developments.
BWEA is disappointed with the Council's decision to restrict its renewable energy policy to micro-generation, with no acknowledgement given to the potential for medium sized and community led renewable energy developments. BWEA welcomes the inclusion of a micro-generation policy within the Core Strategy. Options 2a and 2b are too restrictive, choosing only to focus on a percentage target, when other issues including building age, size and use class are of equal significance. Such a policy should stipulate a requirement for onsite renewables to provide electricity for at least 10% of all new buildings' needs in addition to stringent energy efficiency/building performance requirements. Following wording is highlighted as an example: "All non-residential or mixed use developments (new build, conversion, or renovation) above a threshold of 1000m2 will be expected to provide at least 10% of their energy requirements from onsite renewable energy generation. All residential developments (new build, conversion, or renovation) of 10 or more units will be expected to provide at least 10% of their energy requirements from onsite renewable energy generation."

Although the Assembly has no substantive comments to make on this document, we would expect your submitted core strategy to reflect the spatially specific aspects of the draft South East Plan and to identify the broad locations and quantum of housing across the borough/district and the spatial focus in terms of future retail, employment, tourism, community or other infrastructure developments.

We would like to clarify that the Selmeston/Wilmington improvements are not included as a priority in the draft South East Plan as stated in Paragraph 6.39 of Transport Needs: this is in the implementation plan, and is prioritised in the Regional Funding Allocation.
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<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Puttee</td>
<td>Willingdon Residents Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104785</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Puttee</td>
<td>Willingdon Residents Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104785</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Puttee</td>
<td>Willingdon Residents Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104785</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Puttee</td>
<td>Willingdon Residents Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104785</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Puttee Willingdon Residents Association

Part of document: Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Puttee Willingdon Residents Association

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Puttee Willingdon Residents Association

Part of document: Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Puttee Willingdon Residents Association

Part of document: Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Puttee
Willingdon Residents Association

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 26b

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Puttee
Willingdon Residents Association

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 27a

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Puttee
Willingdon Residents Association

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 27e

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Puttee
Willingdon Residents Association

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 28a

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Puttee  
104785 Willingdon Residents Association  
Option 28b  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
I would ask you to consider including a mention of the police in any reference to planning gain contributions within the LDF or indeed as a separate SPD.

Consultee ID: Mr Garrad  
104820 Community Safety Branch  
Sussex Police  
Part 7  
Section of Document: Making it Happen - Implementation  
Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
I would ask you to consider the importance of crime prevention based on the ten principles of "safer places" and perhaps ask applicants to show the measures they have taken to reduce opportunities for crime and the fear of crime. "Secured by design" and "Park Mark" should be the standard in all developments.
**Consultee ID:** Mr Horton  
**Agent ID:**  
104840  
Southeastern Railway

**Part of document:**  
☑️ chapter  
☐ section  
☐ option

**Section of Document:** Wealden’s Communities

**Your View**  
☑️ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
We would support investment in local stations, and measures to encourage walking, cycling and the use of public transport to access local stations.

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Phillips  
**Agent ID:**  
104870  
Campaign for Better Transport

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑️ option

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
☑️ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Must be inobtrusive for essential needs

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Phillips  
**Agent ID:**  
104870  
Campaign for Better Transport

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑️ option

**Section of Document:** Option 2b

**Your View**  
☑️ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Phillips  
**Agent ID:**  
104870  
Campaign for Better Transport

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  
☑️ chapter  
☐ section  
☐ option

**Section of Document:** Wealden’s Working Patterns

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  
☑️ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
need to be pragmatic as places change
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td>Option 6a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td>Option 8c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<p>| Reason for comment: |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 9c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 11a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 11b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Question 12h

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree

**Reason for comment:**
support bus hub
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Option 11c</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Option 11d</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Option 11e</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Question 11f</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

options are acceptable
Consultee ID: Mr Phillips
104870 Campaign for Better Transport

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Phillips
104870 Campaign for Better Transport

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Phillips
104870 Campaign for Better Transport

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Phillips
104870 Campaign for Better Transport

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: would damage landscape
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 12e**

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- [x] Would damage landscape

**Option 12f**

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- [x] Would damage landscape

**Option 15a**

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- [x] Avoid ecological area

**Question 13b**

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- [x] Avoid ecological area
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses**

### Option 14a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
impact on ecological value

### Option 14b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
impact on ecological value

### Question 14k

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
suggest redevelop privately owned car parks at Union Point in town centre housing development

### Option 15b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 15e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Support bus hubs

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 15f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree with Polegate options

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Question 15h

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Support bus hubs

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Question 16h

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree with Polegate options
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Box for option is checked.

**Section of Document:**
- Question 17d

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Agree with Stone Cross options

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Box for option is checked.

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18a

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Box for option is checked.

**Section of Document:**
- Question 18k

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- ✔ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Should not replace rail crossing at Berwick

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Phillips</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Campaign for Better Transport</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Box for chapter is checked.

**Section of Document:**
- Wealden's Communities

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- ✔ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- No solutions offered for transport problems
Consultee ID: Mr Phillips
104870 Campaign for Better Transport

Part of document: ☑ chapter
Section of Document: Wealden's Communities
Your View ☑ No opinion
Reason for comment:
bus/public transport- focus effort on gaps in service: Crowborough to Hartheld Jarvis Brook to Rotherfield

Consultee ID: Mr Phillips
104870 Campaign for Better Transport

Part of document: ☑ chapter
Section of Document: Wealden's Communities
Your View ☑ No opinion
Reason for comment:
need better traffic demand management, possibly congestion charging and more parking controls

Consultee ID: Mr Phillips
104870 Campaign for Better Transport

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 24d
Your View ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Phillips
104870 Campaign for Better Transport

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 27a
Your View ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104870</td>
<td>Mr Phillips</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27c**
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:** Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**
  Will increase car use and impact on services in Polegate town centre. Support greater management of existing parking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104874</td>
<td>Mr Hart</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27f**
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:** Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**
  Disagree as could lead to increased car use.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104874</td>
<td>Mr Hart</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18a**
- **Section of Document:**
- **Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104874</td>
<td>Mr Hart</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27b**
- **Section of Document:**
- **Reason for comment:**
  Need to be more pro-active about re-opening rail lines.
Consultee ID: 104876  
Ms Myers  
Frant Business Association  
Part of document: ☑ section  
Section of Document: Education Needs  
Your View: ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
The issue of the safe pedestrian access from the High Street has already been addressed with documented evidence. The vehicular access to the site is being investigated at present and an alternative entrance being sought. No reason can be seen why previous designated site in Church Lane should not be retained within the LDF for the development of a school.

Consultee ID: 104927  
Unknown  
Tourism South East  
Part of document: ☑ section  
Section of Document: Tourism  
Your View: ☑ No opinion  
Reason for comment:  
Full representation attached as a pdf.

Consultee ID: 104934  
Councillo Simmons  
Heathfield  
Part of document: ☑ option Option 12a  
Section of Document: Option 12a  
Your View: ☑ No opinion  
Reason for comment:  
A Feasibility study identified this area for potential investment opportunity.

Consultee ID: 104934  
Councillo Simmons  
Heathfield  
Part of document: ☑ option Option 12a  
Section of Document: Option 12a  
Your View: ☑ No opinion  
Reason for comment:  
a feasability study identified this area for potential investment opportunity.
Option 12b

Reason for comment:
Seek retention of existing commercial development sites. Expansion potential is also necessary.

Reason for comment:
Seek retention of existing commercial development sites. Expansion potential is also necessary.

Reason for comment:
Development should be limited to the constraints already along the road. Beyond this impacts on the AONB.

Reason for comment:
development should be limited to the constraints already along the ridge, beyond this impacts on the AONB.
Consultee ID: Councillo Simmons  
104934  Heathfield

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Impact on open countryside and AONB. Any use of commercial site would be a loss of valuable employment generating use.

Consultee ID: Councillo Simmons  
104934  Heathfield

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Impact on open countryside and AONB. Any use of commercial site would mean loss of valuable employment generating use.

Consultee ID: Councillo Simmons  
104934  Heathfield

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If it does not compromise the AONB, and takes into account the poor road system.

Consultee ID: Councillo Simmons  
104934  Heathfield

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If it does not compromise the AONB. Poor road system
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

#### Consultee ID: Councillo Simmons  
104934 Heathfield

#### Part of document: Option 12f

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issues regarding surface water drainage and flash flooding and foul water overspill. Water drainage pipe circuitry has not the capacity in Ghyll road to cope with the current flows.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

#### Consultee ID: Councillo Simmons  
104934 Heathfield

#### Part of document: Option 12f

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issues regarding surface water drainage and flash flooding and foul water overspill. Water drainage pipe circuitry has not the capacity in Ghyll road to cope with the current flows.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

#### Consultee ID: Councillo Simmons  
104934 Heathfield

#### Part of document: Option 22a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross - in - Hand junction (schedule in the Heathfield Load Transport Plan 2009/10) is required and pedestrian safety considered. Outside AONB housing requires consideration of job opportunities, requires post office and shops.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

#### Consultee ID: Councillo Simmons  
104934 Heathfield

#### Part of document: Option 22b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope that former Merrydown site is redeveloped with commercial usage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Councillo Simmons
104934 Heathfield

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
increase in 2/3 bedroom housing would be important

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Consultee ID: Councillo Simmons
104934 Heathfield

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Small scale housing development. Needs investment in village hall

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Consultee ID: Councillo Simmons
104934 Heathfield

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 22e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Constraints of conservation area which covers Cade street and Old Heathfield does not allow
significant housing development. Caution regarding expansion of development boundaries due to
AONB and countryside gaps in villages surrounding Heathfield.

----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Consultee ID: Ms Knight
105090 Batchelor’s Hall

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Option 6a

**Consultee ID:** Ms Knight  
**Agent ID:**  
**Consultee ID:** 105090  
**Batchelor's Hall**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

- Option 6a

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Develoapment should be centred primarily around the five mai towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.

---

### Question 6d

**Consultee ID:** Ms Knight  
**Agent ID:**  
**Consultee ID:** 105090  
**Batchelor's Hall**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- Question 6d

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Develoapment should be centred primarily around the five mai towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.

---

### Option 18a

**Consultee ID:** Ms Knight  
**Agent ID:**  
**Consultee ID:** 105090  
**Batchelor's Hall**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- Option 18a

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 18b

**Consultee ID:** Ms Knight  
**Agent ID:**  
**Consultee ID:** 105090  
**Batchelor's Hall**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- Option 18b

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Ms Knight
105090 Batchelor's Hall

Part of document: Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Knight
105090 Batchelor's Hall

Part of document: Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Knight
105090 Batchelor's Hall

Part of document: Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Knight
105090 Batchelor's Hall

Part of document: Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Knight</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105090 Batchelor's Hall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Knight</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105090 Batchelor's Hall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Knight</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105090 Batchelor's Hall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Knight</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105090 Batchelor's Hall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.
Consultee ID: Ms Knight
105090 Batchelor’s Hall

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
because there is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas.

Consultee ID: Ms Knight
105090 Batchelor’s Hall

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Knight
105090 Batchelor’s Hall

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
this would not benefit locals.

Consultee ID: Mr Ann
105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219
Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219
Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219
Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219
Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 105219
Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: Option 4c
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 105219
Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: Option 4d
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 105219
Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: Option 5a
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 105219
Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: Option 5b
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219  
Danny Cottage

Part of document:  
☒ option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

village envelopes could be expanded to allow between 5 & 10 houses when adjoining an existing development if they do not have a negative impact on the present community and environment

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219  
Danny Cottage

Part of document:  
☒ option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219  
Danny Cottage

Part of document:  
☒ option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219  
Danny Cottage

Part of document:  
☒ option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Question 6d

Reason for comment:
do not need to be so precise. First see how many houses can be reasonably built in all villages dependant on adequate existing infrastructure and recognising part 2 od Wealden's lanscape para 2.7

Option 7a

Reason for comment:

Option 7b

Reason for comment:

Option 7c

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann
105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann
105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann
105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann
105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219
Danny Cottage

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:  Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219
Danny Cottage

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:  Option 11a

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219
Danny Cottage

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:  Question 12h

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219
Danny Cottage

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:  Option 11b

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consutee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ann</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105219</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>![checkbox] option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consutee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Ann</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105219</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>![checkbox] option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consutee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Ann</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105219</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>![checkbox] option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consutee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Ann</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105219</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>![checkbox] option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 11g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ann</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105219</td>
<td>Danny Cottage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 12e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ann</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105219</td>
<td>Danny Cottage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 12f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ann</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105219</td>
<td>Danny Cottage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 13a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ann</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105219</td>
<td>Danny Cottage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Ann
105219  Danny Cottage

Part of document: Option 14b
Option 14c
Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann
105219  Danny Cottage

Part of document: Option 14c
Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann
105219  Danny Cottage

Part of document: Option 14c
Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 14j

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15a</th>
<th></th>
<th>Option 15b</th>
<th></th>
<th>Option 15c</th>
<th></th>
<th>Option 15d</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Question 15i

Reason for comment:

Hailsham has never been and never will be a tourist town. Forget the idea of Hailsham - gateway to 1066 country.

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Option 16b

Reason for comment:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Option 16c

Reason for comment:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Option 16d

Reason for comment:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID: 105219

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID: 105219

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID: 105219

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID: 105219

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 16i

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID: 105219

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 17a

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID: 105219

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 17b

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID: 105219

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID: 105219

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219  
Danny Cottage  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Option 18b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219  
Danny Cottage  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Option 18c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219  
Danny Cottage  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Option 18d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219  
Danny Cottage  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Option 18e  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID:
105219
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID:
105219
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID:
105219
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann Danny Cottage
Agent ID:
105219
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 18j

Reason for comment:

18 i & j would have serious negative impact on AONB and eventual national park 1 mile to the south. Massive infrastructure lost and would require rerouting to the north and dualling of A27. But Berwick would offer many sites for building now without following the new town route.

Option 19a

Reason for comment:

18 i & j would have serious negative impact on AONB and eventual national park 1 mile to the south. Massive infrastructure lost and would require rerouting to the north and dualling of A27. But Berwick would offer many sites for building now without following the new town route.

Option 19b

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 105219  Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 105219  Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 105219  Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 105219  Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: 选项 21c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: 选项 21d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: 选项 21e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: 选项 21f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ann</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105219</th>
<th>Danny Cottage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ann</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105219</th>
<th>Danny Cottage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ann</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105219</th>
<th>Danny Cottage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ann</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105219</th>
<th>Danny Cottage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage  

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage  

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Question 23f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

23a already has serious traffic congestion problems. A bypass would be necessary through attractive countryside and achieved at huge cost

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage  

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219 Danny Cottage  

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ann</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105219</td>
<td>Danny Cottage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 24c

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ann</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105219</td>
<td>Danny Cottage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 24d

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ann</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105219</td>
<td>Danny Cottage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 25a

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ann</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105219</td>
<td>Danny Cottage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 25b

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Ann
105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann
105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann
105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann
105219 Danny Cottage

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219
Danny Cottage

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219
Danny Cottage

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219
Danny Cottage

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ann 105219
Danny Cottage

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 27b

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 27c

Reason for comment:
parkway woul be better to east of existing station

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 27d

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 27e

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann  
105219  
Dan Cottag
Agent ID:
Part of document:  
☑ option
Option 27f
Section of Document:
Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann  
105219  
Dan Cottag
Agent ID:
Part of document:  
☑ option
Option 28a
Section of Document:
Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann  
105219  
Dan Cottag
Agent ID:
Part of document:  
☑ option
Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ann  
105219  
Dan Cottag
Agent ID:
Part of document:  
☑ option
Option 28c
Section of Document:
Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
agree with a lot of spatial vision but feel the a27 dualling has not been addressed sufficiently. Certainly a new town at Berwick could never happen without massive infrastructure work beyond Berwick and its environs.
Consultee ID: 105219  Mr Ann Danny Cottage

Part of document:  Option 32a

Reason for comment:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Consultee ID: 105789  Unknown Watsons

Part of document:  Option 12c

Reason for comment:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Agree with most but not Bewick new town which is contrary to everything in S01,3,6. of the spatial objectives. Berwick already has the designation of gateway to the cuckmere valley and thus eastern gateway to the national park. A new town is hardly the appropriate arrival point for tourists to start their tranquil country experience. Just remember tourism 3.29 in the paper tourism, hotels and catering are the main employment sectors in the district.

Heathfield market area. Interest shown in residential development/ light industrial/ office use. Late submission.
It is acknowledged that a number of Local Authorities are seeking a percentage of onsite renewable energy generation in new development and in principle our client supports this approach. However, we consider that any such policy should incorporate an element of flexibility to allow for circumstances where it will not be viable or suitable to incorporate renewable energy equipment to reduce CO2 emissions by a given percentage. Paragraph 8 of PPS22 states that local planning authorities may include policies in Local Development Documents that require a percentage of energy requirements to come from onsite renewable energy generation, however this guidance is subject to the caveat that such policies: 1. should ensure that a requirement to generate on-site renewable energy is only applied to developments where the installation of renewable energy generation equipment is viable given the type of development proposed, its location and design; 2. should not be framed in such a way as to place an undue burden on developers, for example, by specifying that all energy to be used in a development should come from on-site renewable generation. The absence of any such flexibility conflict with the intentions of Government Guidance within PPS22 and its companion guide. Accordingly, Wm. Morrison requests that any such policy on renewable energy includes text to confirm that the percentage requirement will be subject to tests of viability and suitability.

Comments similar to those within Option 2a

We also request that the Core Strategy recognises the important role that the retail sector has in Wealden's economy. Retail development not only provides employment for the local population, but also assists in the regeneration of the area and this should be reflected in the Core Strategy.
In terms of the options relating to land allocated for employment uses, our clients supports a flexible approach to the redevelopment of employment sites for alternative uses if it can be demonstrated that there is no demand for the site and that it is unviable to retain the site in employment use. We therefore consider that the best approach is a criteria based policy which outlines the circumstances where alternative uses may be considered as appropriate. By allowing a certain level of flexibility it will ensure that sites do not remain vacant and that land across the Borough is used efficiently.

We note that the council will shortly be undertaking a Retail Study to inform town centre development opportunities. This Study should contain a realistic assessment of need for retail development in Wealden, taking account of both quantitative and qualitative considerations. This approach is in accordance with guidance provided by PPS6. The Core Strategy should provide a positive strategic policy framework for retail uses and town centres, which fosters a competitive and innovative retail sector and enables people to have access to a diverse range of quality shopping provision. Paragraph 2.44 of PPS6 states that a sequential approach should be applied in selecting appropriate sites for retail uses. All options in the centre should be thoroughly assessed before less central sites are considered for development for main town centre uses. This approach, however, does not presume against edge edge of centre and out of centre retail development, providing that the sequential test has been met and that the vitality and viability of existing centre is not compromised.

Agree that Maresfield should be identified as a village with growth potential. Disagree with designation of Frant as a smaller village due to its proximity to Tunbridge Wells, therefore should be considered as the potential extension to Tunbridge Wells and not as an enlargement of Frant.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hawkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105799</td>
<td>The Bell Cornwell Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We re-confirm our view that the edge of Tunbridge Wells is a very sustainable location in principle for further development and accordingly support the proposal identified in Question 13. We also confirm our support for the SINC to be re-surveyed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hawkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105799</td>
<td>The Bell Cornwell Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 13b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Growth should be allocated in the most sustainable and deliverable locations rather than a pre-determined and arbitrary urban/rural split.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Evison</th>
<th>Agent ID: Evison &amp; Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document:

Your View [✓] Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 105810
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9b
Section of Document:

Your View [✓] Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 105810
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11e
Section of Document:

Your View [✓] Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Developer submission 11e because of landscape issues and scope to improve highway

Consultee ID: Mrs Ross 105843
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15d
Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree [✓] No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Developer submission in Battle road, Hailsham.
Consultee ID: Mrs Ross  
105843 Ross & Co  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 15d  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Developer submission in Battle road, Hailsham.

Consultee ID: Mrs Ross  
105843 Ross & Co  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question 15i  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Nightingale  
105958 Kember, Loudon, Williams.  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question One  
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Land within the AONB does not necessarily reflect the most sensitive landscape areas and there is a need for some development to ensure that settlements do not stagnate.
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 11b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 11d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document:  ■ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ■ Agree  ■ No opinion  ■ Disagree

Reason for comment:
In the Heathfield area particularly, there is very little land available which is outside the AONB. However, my Council would want to see any development within the AONB strictly controlled to maintain the character of the area as much as possible.

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document:  ■ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:  ■

Your View  ■ Agree  ■ No opinion  ■ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document:  ■ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:  ■

Your View  ■ Agree  ■ No opinion  ■ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document:  ■ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:  ■

Your View  ■ Agree  ■ No opinion  ■ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 3a

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 3b

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Option 3d

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Option 4a

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document:  
- option (Option 5b)
- section

Section of Document: Question 5c

Your View: Yes, Agree  No opinion  No
Reason for comment:
There must be tight control over any expansion into the AONB and development must be in the local vernacular as far as possible.

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document:  
- option (Option 6a)

Section of Document: Option 6a

Your View: No, Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document:  
- option (Option 6b)

Section of Document: Option 6b

Your View: No, Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 6c

Reason for comment:
My Council thinks this is an appropriate balance. Some small-scale development is needed within villages in order to keep them vibrant and to help young people stay find accommodation locally.

Option 7a

Option 7b

Option 7c
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

The character of Wealden villages must be maintained.

Option 8a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 8b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 8c

- **Part of document:**
  - Option 8c

- **Your View:** [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

### Option 8d

- **Part of document:**
  - Option 8d

- **Your View:** [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

### Option 9a

- **Part of document:**
  - Option 9a

- **Your View:** [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

### Option 9b

- **Part of document:**
  - Option 9b

- **Your View:** [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document:  [] document  [] chapter  [] section  [✓] option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  [✓] Agree  [] No opinion  [] Disagree

Reason for comment:
My Council consider that there should be some new housing provision in each of the towns subject to AONB restrictions and known flood plains.

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document:  [] document  [] chapter  [] section  [✓] option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  [✓] Agree  [] No opinion  [] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document:  [] document  [] chapter  [] section  [✓] option
Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View  [✓] Agree  [] No opinion  [] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document:  [] document  [] chapter  [] section  [✓] option
Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View  [✓] Agree  [] No opinion  [] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 11c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 11d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 11e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 11g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Francis</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 12a

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 12b

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 12c

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 12d

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree
Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 12e

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 12f

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 12g

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

My Council would not wish existing (or currently identified) employment land to be used for housing as it is important to provide local employment opportunities. It is considered that access and other constraints should preclude additional housing in areas identified in options 12d and 12e. However, it is suggested that housing could be provided in that part of Heathfield Park which adjoins Tower Street.

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 12h

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  

Question 12i

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

See 12g. Possible development within Heathfield Park adjoining Tower Street.

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  

Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  

Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  

Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Question 14i

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Development should not be allowed on known flood plains.

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Question 14j

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- option 15a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- option 15b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- option 15c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Agree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- option 15d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15d**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Your View

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15e**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Your View

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15f**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Your View

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 15g**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Development should not be permitted on flood plains.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 15h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16d**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16e**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16f**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16g**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 16i**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some areas for development are dependent upon upgrading of the A27.

**Option 17a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 17b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: 105964 Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 105964 Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 105964 Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 105964 Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18d  

**Section of Document:**  
- Option 18d  

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18e  

**Section of Document:**  
- Option 18e  

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18f  

**Section of Document:**  
- Option 18f  

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18g  

**Section of Document:**  
- Option 18g  

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: \(\square\) document \(\square\) chapter \(\square\) section \(\checkmark\) option

Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View \(\checkmark\) Agree \(\square\) No opinion \(\square\) Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: \(\square\) document \(\square\) chapter \(\square\) section \(\checkmark\) option

Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View \(\checkmark\) Agree \(\square\) No opinion \(\square\) Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: \(\square\) document \(\square\) chapter \(\square\) section \(\checkmark\) option

Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View \(\checkmark\) Agree \(\square\) No opinion \(\square\) Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: \(\square\) document \(\square\) chapter \(\square\) section \(\checkmark\) option

Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View \(\checkmark\) Agree \(\square\) No opinion \(\square\) Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 105964  Mrs Francis  Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document:  Option 20a  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  ☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

The number of new houses need to be limited in order to preserve the character of the villages.

Consultee ID: 105964  Mrs Francis  Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document:  Option 20b  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 105964  Mrs Francis  Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document:  Option 20c  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis 105964 Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 20d

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
In some instances, my Council would not object to some limited development, but the numbers proposed are considered to be too high.

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis 105964 Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 21a

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis 105964 Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 21b

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis 105964 Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 21c

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 21d

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 21e

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 21f

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 22a

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

My Council considers that the number proposed is far too high. Note: road classification should read A267, not A265.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 22b

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 22c

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 22d

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**
Question 22e

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Again, the character of our villages is important and numbers need to be restricted.
Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

But development must avoid flood plain

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**
- Option 25c

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**
- Option 25e

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**
- Option 25f

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**
- Option 25g

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 27c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 27d

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 27e

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Francis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105964</td>
<td>Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 27f

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option 

Option 28a

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree 

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option 

Option 28b

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree 

Reason for comment: 

This must include "commuted sums" for ongoing maintenance and design should meet local needs

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option 

Option 28c

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree 

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Francis Heathfield and Waldron Parish Council
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option 

Option 28c

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree 

Reason for comment: 

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree 

Reason for comment: 
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All future development must be dependent upon improved and appropriate infrastructure arrangements being in place before new dwellings are occupied. There needs to be a robust system in place to secure developers' contributions towards improvements carried out by others. In Heathfield and Waldron parish it should be noted that there is currently an urgent need for new burial land.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 2a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 2b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 3a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

All areas between Eastbourne & Polegate as well as between Crowborough and T Wells where Brownfield exists

Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: Settlement boundaries must remain as is

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Option 7a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document ☐  Chapter ☐  Section ☐  Option ✓</td>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Option 7b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document ☐  Chapter ☐  Section ☐  Option ✓</td>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Option 7c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document ☐  Chapter ☐  Section ☐  Option ✓</td>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Option 7d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document ☐  Chapter ☐  Section ☐  Option ✓</td>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 11a

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 12b

Section of Document: Option 12b

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 11b

Section of Document: Option 11b

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11c**

**Your View** ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11d**

**Your View** ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11e**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11e**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Question 11g
Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 12a
Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 12c
Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 12d
Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
**Consultee ID:** Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

**Part of document:**
- Option 14g

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

**Part of document:**
- Option 14h

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

**Part of document:**
- Question 14j

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

**Part of document:**
- Option 15a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 15a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 15b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 15c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 15d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 15g

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Cannot load the A22 near Hailsham as it is already used as alternative to A27 commercially. Very Dangerous.

Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman
105966 Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Hirshman  
105966  
Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman  
105966  
Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman  
105966  
Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman  
105966  
Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Option 16d

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Option 16e

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Option 16f

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Option 16g

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 105966</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 105966</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 105966</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 105966</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: Option 18h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: Option 19a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 105966 Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 105966 Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 105966 Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 105966 Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option  

**Option 21f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option  

**Option 22a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option  

**Option 22b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105966</td>
<td>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option  

**Option 22c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 23d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 23e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105966</th>
<th>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document □, Chapter □, Section □, Option ✓</td>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree, □ No opinion, ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105966</th>
<th>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document □, Chapter □, Section □, Option ✓</td>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree, □ No opinion, □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105966</th>
<th>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document □, Chapter □, Section □, Option ✓</td>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree, □ No opinion, ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105966</th>
<th>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document □, Chapter □, Section □, Option ✓</td>
<td>Option 25e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree, □ No opinion, □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Hirshman  
105966  Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman  
105966  Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hirshman  
105966  Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Hirshman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Housing Delivery

**Your View:**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>105966</th>
<th>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Infrastructure Requirements

**Your View:**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>105966</th>
<th>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hirshman</th>
<th>105966</th>
<th>Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
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Consuttee ID: Hirshman
105966
Chalvington with Ripe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consuttee ID: Mrs Wales
105967
Danehill Parish Council

Part of document: □ document ✓ chapter □ section □ option
Part 2

Section of Document: Wealden’s Landscape

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Wealden District being significantly an A.O.N.B must, for the sake of the future welfare of those yet to be born, protect its rural image and encroachment into present designated Green Belt areas must be resisted or only used as the very last option. The spread of building extra homes within present villages and hamlets must be in sympathy with the existing numbers so that assimilating these does not stand out as a major impact on the present visual aspect. Sympathetic placement is an essential requirement. The recent trend of building on flood planes currently lends its self to question and the Parish is not apparently exposed to risk of widespread flooding due to its position. But the Parish Council suggests that further development without guaranteed flood defences being sited appropriately.
Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:

It is noted that some 60 additional houses are scheduled in the next 20 years and the Parish Council do not object to this number being excessive as regards its impact on the visual aspect of the Parish provided that the style and location is sympathetic to the Parish. There are areas within or on the boundaries which will accommodate limited growth and there are some 'brown field sites' which in the past have been refused could now be made use of. However, the Parish Council is very mindful that the Parish has no medical facility within its boundaries, it has one small village store that cannot provide for all of the Parishioners needs, the public transport is minimal, the village school is currently at its maximum in terms of numbers and to accommodate additional pupils may give rise to a significant problem. The Parish Council fully recognises that there is insufficient starter homes or affordable housing available within the Parish and would welcome additional housing of that nature but it would be only suitable if placed within the easy reach of the local village centre of Danehill where some facilities including the school are available. Chelwood Gate and outlying areas of the Parish are not suitable in this respect. Encroachment into those areas which are regarded as forest would be strongly resisted by the Parishioners. Again the matter of the road network and the increase in the vehicular traffic generated not necessarily within the Parish but by increasing numbers of vehicles generally is of concern.

Transport Needs

Your View  ☐  Agree  ✓  No opinion  ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Travel within the District is currently a problem and the use of cars as a means of countering poor public transport facilities is an increasing problem. The building of further homes on locations that require the use of a car to obtain mobility is only going to compound the problem. The present road structure and stock within the District is gradually eroding the poor quality that we have and the addition of further vehicles can only but accelerate the problem. Siting of additional housing must take into account the present travel services available.
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Consultee ID: Mrs Wales
105967 Danehill Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure and Phasing

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It is noted that there is no firm proposal for improving the infrastructure to support the increase in house numbers and the attendant growth in population. The Parish Council take the view that the infrastructure to support should either be in place before the increase or to be developed simultaneously. Siting of the proposed new dwellings should be such as to take as much advantage of existing services as possible, in this context it would appear that areas for development should be located around or if possible within existing conurbations so that existing services are within easy reach of the new developments. To create significant dwellings in green space land without the full supporting services being within easy reach or effectively on the door step will create further problems associated with sufficiency of transport and accessibility. The Parish Council urges that the matter of infrastructure be raised to the highest level of questioning and resolution before final development proceeds. To state that suitable infrastructure will or may follow is not an option to be accepted.

Consultee ID: Mrs Newton
105977 Framfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 14c

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The Parish Council strongly objects to any development on Bird in Eye Hill for the same reasons as previously documented. This is the worst site in the Uckfield area for development and is of course within Framfield parish.

Consultee ID: Mrs Newton
105977 Framfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 21d

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The Parish Council...accept that development along the lines detailed are inevitable over the next twenty years and would not object to the principle of the proposals. However, at all stages they would wish to be involved from the initial devolvement of the sites through to the setting of the 106s on any approved applications.
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The Parish Council...accept that development along the lines detailed are inevitable over the next twenty years and would not object to the principle of the proposals. However, at all stages they would wish to be involved from the initial devolvement of the sites through to the setting of the 106s on any approved applications.

Part 2

Section of Document:  Wealden's Landscape

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Support proposals to 'enhance connectivity between existing habitats by appropriate landscape scale design and habitat creation and the protection and enhancement of wildlife corridors.' (Section 2.18) Brownfield sites as well as greenfield sites are often rich in biodiversity and harbour protected species.

Part 5

Section of Document:  Wealden's Places

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Advise that the comments of the relevant AONB contracts are considered for each of the options within AONB boundary or may affect the landscape.
Essential that up to date baseline data is collected for areas for potential development. Current information not detailed enough. Biodiversity section does not provide enough information. Lack of acknowledgement of proximity of SSSI's to some options. SSSI's have not been clearly labelled on the maps. Suggest using the following baseline data for each suggested locations: 1) Location of statutorily protected sites 2) AONB boundary 3) Protected species survey 4) Ancient woodland/ other BIAP habitats 5) Location of local wildlife sites 6) Habitat networks 7) Full ecological survey of habitats and species. Additionally, site selection should include clear policy to conserve and enhance biodiversity as set out in relevant guidance. Propose including innovative ways to incorporate biodiversity. Landscape planting schemes should use native species. Natural England welcomes the opportunity to consult with WDC on the formation of other such policy regarding protected species and ecological surveys.

May adversely impact on ancient woodland. Natural England would advise that a target of Wealden's LDF is that there is no loss of ancient woodland.

May adversely impact on ancient woodland. Natural England would advise that a target of Wealden's LDF is that there is no loss of ancient woodland.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Natural England</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105980</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

**Option 12c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
No mention of Heathfield Park SSSI in the landscape and biodiversity impact section. Map of areas of search does not show SSSI boundary.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Natural England</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105980</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

**Option 12d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
No mention of Heathfield Park SSSI in the landscape and biodiversity impact section. Map of areas of search does not show SSSI boundary.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Natural England</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105980</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

**Option 14a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Development proposals would heavily fragment the existing network of ancient woodland blocks.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Natural England</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105980</td>
<td>Natural England</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

**Option 14b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Require clarification on the location of this option in relation to Buxted Park SSSI.
Consultee ID: Natural England  
105980 Natural England

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option

Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Appear to contain ancient woodland.

Consultee ID: Natural England  
105980 Natural England

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option

Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Appear to contain ancient woodland.

Consultee ID: Natural England  
105980 Natural England

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option

Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Appear to contain ancient woodland.

Consultee ID: Natural England  
105980 Natural England

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option

Question 14i

Section of Document:

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- ✓ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Natural England would refer to comment from Environment Agency with respect to flooding issues in this area.
Consultee ID: Natural England
105980 Natural England

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Natural England would require clear information on these options to demonstrate that Pevensey levels Ramsar site would not be adversely affected by the proposals and each option should be considered cumulatively.

Consultee ID: Natural England
105980 Natural England

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Natural England would require clear information on these options to demonstrate that Pevensey levels Ramsar site would not be adversely affected by the proposals and each option should be considered cumulatively.

Consultee ID: Natural England
105980 Natural England

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Natural England would require clear information on these options to demonstrate that Pevensey levels Ramsar site would not be adversely affected by the proposals and each option should be considered cumulatively.
Consultee ID: 105980 Natural England  Agent ID: Natural England

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Contains important hedgerows.

Consultee ID: 105980 Natural England  Agent ID: Natural England

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Contains ancient woodland.

Consultee ID: 105980 Natural England  Agent ID: Natural England

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Lies in the flood risk area.

Consultee ID: 105980 Natural England  Agent ID: Natural England

Part of document: ☑ option  
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
Support S01, S02, S03, S013 and S014. We would add that a number of the proposed allocations as
detailed above appear to contradict the objectives with regard to the AONB and biodiversity and this
should be assessed.
Consultee ID: Mr Goacher Agent ID: 105985 Berwick Parish Council

Part of document: □ document ☑ chapter □ section □ option

Part 2

Section of Document: Wealden's Landscape

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
New dwellings should be of a design sympathetic to existing property

Consultee ID: Mr Goacher Agent ID: 105985 Berwick Parish Council

Part of document: □ document ☑ chapter □ section □ option

Part 2

Section of Document: Wealden's Landscape

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Any development within Berwick must be kept away from the flood plain and should not interfere with the rivers natural course

Consultee ID: Mr Goacher Agent ID: 105985 Berwick Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Ideal for additional new employment/business developments

Consultee ID: Mr Goacher Agent ID: 105985 Berwick Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Subject to prior negotiation with Parish Town Council
Consultee ID: Mr Goacher  
105985 Berwick Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
To concentrate development of any magnitude within Berwick would destroy Cuckmere Valley and the purpose of re-designating the South Downs and the National Park.

Consultee ID: Mr Goacher  
105985 Berwick Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Goacher  
105985 Berwick Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Goacher  
105985 Berwick Parish Council

Part of document:  
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:
Site allocation thresholds should be determined by negotiation with the village concerned. The total negotiated development can be achieved by more than one reasonably sized developments (10+ in and around the edge of Berwick) spread around the parish, other than one concentrated development which would overwhelm the existing village. Alongside such development it is very important to assess and implement infrastructure. Developments should be contained to the extent of what infrastructure requirements are needed in the Parish. The designation of villages with potential will therefore need to be carried out with a lot of Parish consultation.
Option 16a

Reason for comment:
Ideal for expansion of housing

Option 16b

Reason for comment:
Ideal for expansion of housing

Option 18j

Reason for comment:
Proposal goes against all previous policies and statements from WDC about the character and setting of Berwick station -Brewick sites have regeneration to become greenfield sites with wildlife, fauna and flora -the village is the gate way to the South Downs with people using train to gain access to the Cuckmere valley(proposed national park would seem inappropriate for a new settlement -current parking facilities and platform length are not adequate for local delivery station -a new settlement adjacent to the proposed National Park would spoil the area and degrade the whole valley and hills -development on the SSSI of Arlington Reservoir is inappropriate -poor road infrastructure of the A22/A27 and connecting roadways through Berwick station.Upgrading the A27 goes against the consent provided through the local option to upgrade the A27 envisaged during the consultations in 1991/1992 consultations -close to the flood risk area -sufficient employment could be provided for residents and therefore unless commercial activity is envisaged new occupants will be travelling elsewhere
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105985</td>
<td>Mr Goacher</td>
<td>23f</td>
<td>Question 23f</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Berwick has approximately 90 dwellings and would suggest that its potential would be to increase that by 25% (including starter home and retirement accommodation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105985</td>
<td>Mr Goacher</td>
<td>25c</td>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>AONB should be preserved as once lost would never be regained</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105986</td>
<td>Mrs Rudin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Question One</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>AONB should be preserved as once lost would never be regained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Rudin</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105986</td>
<td>Forest Row Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 2a**

**Section of Document:**

Option 2a

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

We would agree with this - all new developments should be encouraged to use sustainable energy. Must not impact on the beauty and tranquility of the countryside.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rudin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105986</td>
<td>Forest Row Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 2b**

**Section of Document:**

Option 2b

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

We believe that the highest possible targets should be set for new buildings, even if they seem hard to achieve, to encourage a change in practice and promote more public awareness of the issues.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rudin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105986</td>
<td>Forest Row Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part 3**

**Section of Document:**

Wealden's Working Patterns

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ✔ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The Parish Council would question para. 3.13 (page 28) of the Issues and Options paper, as the figures may not have taken into consideration the number of parents bringing their children to and from the many schools within the village.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rudin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105986</td>
<td>Forest Row Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3a**

**Section of Document:**

Option 3a

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**


Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin
105986 Forest Row Parish Council

Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
agree, within limits, as this will give flexibility for growth
Consulate ID:  Mrs Rudin  
105986  Forest Row Parish Council

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
agree, but the criteria should be VERY strict and well controlled

Question 5c

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
we would welcome discussion on possible sites

Option 6a

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
agree because the infrastructure and facilities are better established and able to be developed

Option 7c

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin  
105986  
Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
a mixture of 7a and 7b would be the best solution to classify villages

Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin  
105986  
Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin  
105986  
Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Question Ten

Section of Document:  
Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
agree with the criteria of 15+ in appropriate locations

Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin  
105986  
Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
agree-consideration should be given
Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin  
Agent ID: 105986  
Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐  
- Chapter: ☐  
- Section: ☐  
- Option: ☑

Section of Document:

Question 18k

Reason for comment:
the prospect of a new settlement within Wealden should not be ignored, which would reduce the requirement of additional housing within the villages.

Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin  
Agent ID: 105986  
Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐  
- Chapter: ☐  
- Section: ☐  
- Option: ☑

Option 19a

Reason for comment:
Limited growth (below the 200-340 proposed) in Forest Row could be considered provided the infrastructure is capable of absorbing such development. It cannot be stressed too greatly that Forest Row has huge local issues that should be taken in consideration. (details submitted in preamble which include: health, education, youth services, libraries, community centre and playgroup, transport, public transport, traffic, utilities, flooding and local considerations). The character of the village should be protected. The provision of local services and utilities should be considered. There is some doubt whether the existing services such as Health and Education could cope with a large scale development. The statutory utilities are also over-stretched and there may be an adverse impact of the AONB and the designated flood plain.

Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin  
Agent ID: 105986  
Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐  
- Chapter: ☐  
- Section: ☐  
- Option: ☑

Option 24a

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID:  Mrs Rudin  
105986  
Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Rudin  
105986  
Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Rudin  
105986  
Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Rudin  
105986  
Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin
105986 Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document: Option 25b

Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
agree the principle of a high % for affordable housing for any development in Forest Row as well

Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin
105986 Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document: Option 25c

Section of Document:
Your View: ☐ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
disagree with one to one (in effect 100%) but would support between 30-35%. Recent piecemeal development enabled developer to ignore requirements for affordable housing.

Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin
105986 Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document: Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
agree, but the controls should be tight and enforceable

Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin
105986 Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
agree, but small sites
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rudin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105986</td>
<td>Forest Row Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 25e

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
agree-the Parish Council supported the HOPE project

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rudin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105986</td>
<td>Forest Row Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 25f

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
agree, but the controls should be tight and enforceable

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rudin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105986</td>
<td>Forest Row Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 26a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
disagree strongly. What would the basis for such an option be and where is the evidence?

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rudin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105986</td>
<td>Forest Row Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 26b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin
105986
Agent ID: Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin
105986
Agent ID: Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin
105986
Agent ID: Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rudin
105986
Agent ID: Forest Row Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:  
agree in principle but ownership level should be increased 2 per household in rural areas due to inadequate public transport.

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:  
strongly agree
Concerns expressed over the capacity of current medical and educational facilities in the village if significant development occurs. Medical concerns include parking, waiting room space, consultation space, and possibility of reduction in quality of service. Educational concerns include the fact that it is not possible to predict pupil numbers more than 2 years ahead, and consideration would need to be given to the availability of school places.

broadly agree with the options as proposed especially with a view to preventing developers landbanking. Every effort should be made to deliver the housing once permission has been granted. A two year permission would be a viable option as it would make developers focus and reduce the spectre of the chaos hanging over local residents.

In as much as Forest Row has not been mentioned at all in Table 15, it would be difficult for us to comment on the specifics therein. In addition to concerns over the capacity of services to cope with large scale development we would argue that independent advisors, and not the providers of such services should carry out the assessment for the provision of essential services to large developments.
Mrs Rudin, Forest Row Parish Council

**Reason for comment:**

The themes are worthwhile and the aims laudable. Most of the vision is actually in place and it will be maintaining this that will prove to be the challenge.

Mrs Hewes, Frant Parish Council

**Reason for comment:**

Whilst residents at this location will consider themselves residing in Tunbridge Wells, they will be within the Parish of Frant and their children will be entitled to be educated at Frant School. The potential for additional numbers of children at the school must not be underestimated and provision for a new school must be included within the LDF. There is currently no capacity for taking any additional children at the school and Governors are already concerned about applications for school places arising from the redevelopment of the former Rawsons site in Bells Yew Green into 34 dwellings. It will be essential to address the issues of infrastructure before further development is permitted.
Consultee ID: Mrs Hewes  
105987  
Frant Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☑ section  
☐ option

Section of Document:  
Education Needs

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The issue of providing a safe pedestrian access from High Street has already been addressed. It is important to have the school within the heart of the village and community and we urge you to retain the previously designated site in Church lane within the Local Development Framework.

Consultee ID: Mrs Coode  
105988  
Westham Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option

Section of Document:  
Question 16h

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Westham Parish Council objects to the vast overdevelopment unless all the infrastructure is in place first. We will need to see better transport links, another primary school, a secondary school, a community centre, and improved medical facilities, especially vital if the DGH is downgraded.

Consultee ID: Mr Leppard  
105989  
Withyham Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option

Section of Document:  
Question One

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Should be treated with extreme care and permitted only in extreme circumstances.

Consultee ID: Mr Leppard  
105989  
Withyham Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option

Section of Document:  
Option 2a

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☑ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The words sound good but with the technology in its infancy it is difficult to say what the solutions should be. Unclear who will implement solutions.
Consultee ID: Mr Leppard
105989 Withyham Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Higher targets= extensive/intensive solutions. Grant aids may need to be provided

Consultee ID: Mr Leppard
105989 Withyham Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Leppard
105989 Withyham Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Recommendation that when an enterprise outgrows its current location it should move to the larger premises rather than expand

Consultee ID: Mr Leppard
105989 Withyham Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 105989  
Mr Leppard  
Withyham Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☐ Agree  
☑ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Not always possible. Skills sets are not always matched to local employment facilities

Consultee ID: 105989  
Mr Leppard  
Withyham Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☐ Agree  
☑ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Maintain boundaries as much as possible. Otherwise uncertainty and opportunities for developers will be created

Consultee ID: 105989  
Mr Leppard  
Withyham Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☐ Agree  
☑ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Maintain boundaries as much as possible. Otherwise - uncertainty and opportunities for developers will be created

Consultee ID: 105989  
Mr Leppard  
Withyham Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Will help contain road miles and enable supporting infrastructure to be provided more economically whilst relieving infrastructure shortcomings in rural communities
Option 7a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Seems logical

Option 7b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 7c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
|Consultee ID:| Mr Leppard | Agent ID: 105989 | Withyham Parish Council |
|Part of document:| document, chapter, section, option 9a, option |
|Section of Document:| Option 9a |
|Reason for comment:| This option has the better infrastructure and better existing resources |

|Consultee ID:| Mr Leppard | Agent ID: 105989 | Withyham Parish Council |
|Part of document:| document, chapter, section, option |
|Section of Document:| Question Ten |
|Reason for comment:| Whatever the allocation it is essential that adjacent recreational space is provided |

|Consultee ID:| Mr Leppard | Agent ID: 105989 | Withyham Parish Council |
|Part of document:| document, chapter, section, option 11a, option |
|Section of Document:| Option 11a |
|Reason for comment:| |

|Consultee ID:| Mr Leppard | Agent ID: 105989 | Withyham Parish Council |
|Part of document:| document, chapter, section, option 13a, option |
|Section of Document:| Option 13a |
|Reason for comment:| Risk of urbanisation/erosion of community identities |
Consultee ID: Mr Leppard
105989 Withyham Parish Council

Part of document: Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Risk of progressive ribbon development encroaching on the AONB

Consultee ID: Mr Leppard
105989 Withyham Parish Council

Part of document: Question 19f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
- potential for erosion of village community identities between Forest Row and Groombrige
- limited road/public transport facilities
- education and healthcare capacity
- community facilities
- potential for social isolation

Consultee ID: Mr Leppard
105989 Withyham Parish Council

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Whatever the allocation it is essential that adjacent recreational space is also provided. E.g. high density housing in Balls Green Withyham resulted in major problems with youth behaviour

Consultee ID: Mr Leppard
105989 Withyham Parish Council

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Not a logical presumption People need as much space to live in whether they live by a bus route or not
Consultee ID: Mr Leppard
105989 Withyham Parish Council

Part of document: Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
The market will decide what is acceptable

Consultee ID: Mr Leppard
105989 Withyham Parish Council

Part of document: Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
Provided that developers provide adequate parking and recreational space and allotments if gardens are not provided

Consultee ID: Mr Leppard
105989 Withyham Parish Council

Part of document: Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion

Consultee ID: Mr Leppard
105989 Withyham Parish Council

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
Beware of creating social ghettos
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Leppard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105989</th>
<th>Withyham Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>✔ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>If it means that (Granny Annexes) is used as an excuse for otherwise unsuitable development then they may be planning difficulties. Precise meaning of &quot;appropriate controls&quot; is unclear</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Leppard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105989</th>
<th>Withyham Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Leppard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105989</th>
<th>Withyham Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Leppard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>105989</th>
<th>Withyham Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Leppard</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Park and ride is not suitable for all sectors of the community (i.e., disabled, mothers with prams etc). Security risks of remote locations for vehicles and individuals.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Leppard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 27f**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Not logical and potential social divide. Lower income households may have a higher density of car ownership.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Leppard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 28a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Leppard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>105989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 28b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 105989 Mr Leppard Withyham Parish Council

Option 28c

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Part of document: option

Your View:  

Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
LPA should insist a deal is completed, otherwise prospective developer must cover costs. LPA should insist on developers insurance

Consultee ID: 105989 Mr Leppard Withyham Parish Council

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Part of document: option

Your View:  

Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Add the need for recreational facilities in small communities

Consultee ID: 105989 Mr Leppard Withyham Parish Council

Question 31a

Section of Document:  

Part of document: option

Your View:  

Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
| Consultee ID: | Mr Leppard | Agent ID: | 105989 | Withyham Parish Council |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ✔ option |
| Question 31b | |
| Section of Document: | |
| Your View | ☐ Agree | ✔ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | -enhance spatial vision to establish regional identity in architecture/landscape -avoid treeless estates of match boxes |

| Consultee ID: | Mr Leppard | Agent ID: | 105989 | Withyham Parish Council |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☑ section | ☐ option |
| Section of Document: | Possible Spatial Objectives for Wealden |
| Your View | ✔ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | Generally agreed. No more supermarkets, encourage independent retailers. Priorities S013 |

| Consultee ID: | Ms Arnott | Agent ID: | 105998 | The National Trust |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ✔ option |
| Question One | |
| Section of Document: | Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty |
| Your View | ✔ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | National Trust's policy is to support the provision of limited amounts of housing to meet identified local needs, particularly for affordable housing in AONBs (and National Parks). We oppose the development of general market housing in nationally designated areas. |
Reason for comment:
The Trust fully supports the development of renewable energy and the setting of challenging targets for renewable energy production in new development. Support an emphasis on use of biomass. Environmental considerations- valued landscapes, biodiversity, historic environment and welfare of local communities. Opposed to large scale wind energy schemes, especially in nationally designated landscapes.

Reason for comment:
Concerns over the impact of development upon tourism in district and the potential economic damage.

Reason for comment:
Support retention of settlement boundaries. Preperation of the LDF provides the opportunity to amend boundaries to meet development needs. Permit 'rural exception sites' to meet essential local needs.
Emphasis on urban areas is most sustainable (coupled with appropriate levels of development in rural areas to meet identified local needs). Use of brownfield land should be the priority. Investment in infrastructure essential.

Development within and adjoining existing urban areas (as against a new settlement) is likely to be the most sustainable solution, not least because of the role this can play in resolving existing urban infrastructure problems.

Focus on development in Uckfield, as development in Crowborough and Heathfield is likely to result in housing within the High Weald AONB. In addition, the Uckfield led strategy could assist in the implementation of the proposed flood alleviation scheme in the town and fits well with the potential reinstatement of the Lewes/Uckfield/Tunbridge Wells railway line.
The National Trust would not support development option 16a - Area to the north-west of Polegate, as this area is highly visible from the South Downs and development would adversely affect the setting of the AONB/ proposed National Park.

Option 16b

Any development further to the north at 16b (Area to the northwest of the A22 Cophall roundabout) should be limited and appropriately screened to minimise visibility from the South Downs. This is particularly important in the light of the recently published Inspectors Report on the South Downs National Park recommending that the chalk downs are given National Park status.

Option 18i

National Trust holds serious concerns regarding potential new settlements at Wilmington Green, due to the adverse effects on the South Downs.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 105998
Ms Arnott
The National Trust

Part of document: Option 18j
Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
National Trust holds serious concerns regarding potential new settlements at Berwick Station, due to the adverse effects on the South Downs.

Consultee ID: 105998
Ms Arnott
The National Trust

Part of document: Option 24c
Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
Support residential development at high densities (50dph and above) in urban areas, particularly in areas with good accessibility and transport. However, not appropriate in historical areas where high quality design should be implemented to maintain and enhance the character of the area.

Consultee ID: 105998
Ms Arnott
The National Trust

Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
National Trust would support the reinstatement/development of this link. Whilst small scale development in the vicinity of rural stations may be appropriate, a focus on Uckfield as a means of providing the majority of funding is preferred.
Option 27b

Reason for comment:
National Trust would support the reinstatement/development of this link. Whilst small scale development in the vicinity of rural stations may be appropriate, a focus on Uckfield as a means of providing the majority of funding is preferred.

Option 27d

Reason for comment:
High priority to improvement of public transportation. We do not support the dualling of the A27 to the west of Polegate due primarily to the impact on the South Downs. Only support major new road improvements or new road schemes when there is no viable alternative. In this context we do not support the construction of a realigned A27 to the north of the Arlington Reservoir. The development of such a route could only be undertaken in association with major new settlement development- an option which was presented in the document partly on account of the presence of the south coast rail line, and which we would resist.

Option 21b

Reason for comment:
Area of land to the west of Five Ash Down is put forward for development. Five Ash Down has good transport communications and close to Uckfield with its wide range of services. The area is adjacent to the village and is currently a sawmill and, as such, the area could be considered as brownfield land.
Consultee ID: Mr Stoggles  
Agent ID: Learning & Skills Council  
Part of document: ☑ chapter  
Section of Document: Wealden’s Working Patterns  
Reason for comment:  
LSC Sussex would particularly wish to be in detailed discussions with WDC in regard to the Hailsham Triangle and what effects an increase in employment and housing would have for post 16 learning and skills services. We would wish to support directly any programmes or projects leading to economic development of the district and in particular the triangle.

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
Agent ID: Buxted Parish Council  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty  
Reason for comment:  
As long as it’s for smaller affordable homes for local people to rent or buy. There is a need for all communities to evolve and without the option to build in the AONB villages they will be artificially pickled in aspic and unable to evolve. In addition (see Q6) there is an issue that only 24 villages are considered in the Issues and Options document for development (of varying degrees) within the LDF – with relatively few taking the bulk. This is not equitable or sustainable. It would be better to plan development within the AONB rather than exclude it – then overall sustainability is considered with collective contributions to overall infrastructure in the participating villages. A few houses in each village are easier to absorb as a community and in terms of infrastructure and also in sustainable social cohesion than the mass developments proposed in a few villages.

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
Agent ID: Buxted Parish Council  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document: Option 2a  
Reason for comment:  
Your View
Option 2b

Reason for comment:
What options are being considered? What is the economic viability (in terms of what houses will cost and whether they will be affordable) of aiming for 20%? Yes work towards 20% over the long term if this seems feasible. Please note that in section 2.31 the issue of flood defences at Buxted Park is noted. Please be aware this is wholly inaccurate – you cannot earmark Buxted Park as potential flood storage – it is a grade 2 listed park and SSSI site. The proposal for flood water storage was above Buxted to protect Uckfield and also Buxted – which you may not be aware is also vulnerable to flooding! Please correct this anomaly. At times water cascading down surrounding hills leads to flash flooding. Further development or tarmacing around the Pound Green area will lead to considerably more run off onto adjacent properties on lower levels.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
Note that Ashdown Business Park has been empty for 35 years and there is similar land on the Lower Dicker that would probably now be better earmarked for other use. This policy shouldn’t include agricultural buildings with permission for business use as they would inevitably be taken over by property developers. We have seen examples of this locally and the pressure for such conversions is rising.

Option 3b

Reason for comment:
In relation to evidence of market need it is also important that WDC become more savvy as to the marketing of units with business approval – particularly agricultural units with attractive vernacular or good locations: property developers are becoming cleverer at finding ways of not being able to let them –and instead then seek residential conversion.
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

WDC need to be more savvy in granting permission for mixed use and require development of any business elements as part of any permission - not simply requiring the provision of services to allow units to ‘perhaps’ be built. The Grampian outline planning approval is a clear case in point.

Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Golden Cross/Lower Dicker area could be considered for re-generation given it is a generally run down area which could be rejuvenated by quality development that included housing. It has good road access and bus transport. Its location would allow easy access to Hailsham, Uckfield and Heathfield and larger towns beyond.
Consultee ID: Ms Macklen
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document: Option 5a

Reason for comment:
Only where driven, agreed and justified by local need and demand – by the local community – not as part of higher level plans.

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document: Option 5b

Reason for comment:
Only where driven, agreed and justified by local need and demand – by the local community – not as part of higher level plans.

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document: Question 5c

Reason for comment:
A272/Lower Totease/Pound Green & Nursery areas of Buxted need a tightening of the Buxted boundary to avoid garden infill, backland and ribbon development.

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document: Option 6a

Reason for comment:
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

• We suggest 85% in and around the towns – but not encroaching on neighbouring villages - and 15% in the villages – because going from the figures (assuming higher allocation of 10,300 more houses in Wealden 2008-2026; 24 villages only are earmarked for development in the Issues and options paper – meaning an average 248 more houses per village = 60/40 (town/village). This is unacceptable. • Towns should and can take more houses as they have the infrastructure to cope and or it is better focussed within them; the villages don’t. It also depends whether the local community want it – shouldn’t be a blanket figure. • Furthermore, some villages have been ignored and aren’t even being considered in this paper for development, even though the villagers would very much like to see some development of homes for locals in their villages/hamlets – in our own (Buxted) Parish we feel High Hurstwood could benefit from small scale development of small homes – but there is no policy to allow this • There should be allocations to every village. In addition, this decision should be taken on a case by case basis, depending on the merits of the locations being considered for development with positive input from the Parish Councils, rather than have a blanket figure across the region. • The aim of any development must be to have a positive effect on the village rather than happen as a result of town development by accident. • Must avoid villages on the edges of towns being amalgamated with the towns (e.g. developments at Ringles Cross and Five Ash Down would lead to amalgamation to North Uckfield – which is wholly unacceptable and against much of the sentiment and strategy written in the Issues and Options document). The impact on these and other villages by town development must be avoided. Instead, focus should be on developments to the South of Uckfield given that they would not then absorb other villages into the town – whereas those in the North would absorb Five Ash Down and Maresfield into a sprawling Uckfield conurbation. • Suggesting that villages might benefit from facilities in towns (see comments re justifying development in Maresfield and Five Ash Down) shows an unfortunate non-understanding of why people choose to live in the villages rather than in the towns.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Macklen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106030</td>
<td>Buxted Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 7a

**Section of Document:**

- Option 7a
- Option 7b
- Option 7c
- Option 7d

**Your View:**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Macklen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106030</td>
<td>Buxted Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 7a

**Section of Document:**

- Option 7b
- Option 7c
- Option 7d

**Your View:**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Macklen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106030</td>
<td>Buxted Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 7a

**Section of Document:**

- Option 7c
- Option 7d

**Your View:**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Macklen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106030</td>
<td>Buxted Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 7a

**Section of Document:**

- Option 7d

**Your View:**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Villages, shops and other services have to be sustainable. We re-emphasise the point made in 6d which argues for more natural evolution rather than enforced development. Whether to restrict change of use must be considered on a case-by-case basis. Shops etc do need support, but must be economically viable. Any village development must take the need for village services to remain economically viable into consideration.

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

There is potential for some new settlement development to rejuvenate poor quality areas – eg around Lower Dicker and Golden Cross. Replacing the sites used for boot fairs (consider criminal element) and land advertised for business use for considerable periods – with quality redevelopment this area would be enhanced and local services given the opportunity to be more sustainable.

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

- Must avoid the big impact town development will have on villages if the wrong planning decisions are taken. E.g. developing North Uckfield will push into Ringles Cross and amalgamate Five Ash Down and Maresfield into a sprawling Uckfield conurbation Any further development post 2026 would ensure this happens. • On the other hand, developing south of Uckfield towards the A26 would avoid this problem. Developing here would have no impact on villages. With any increased development in the villages, better infrastructure, although essential, is unlikely to happen. Therefore development in the villages is unpopular and it will be better to plan small allocations across all villages – reducing the allocation overall to 15% and focus the rest of the development on the towns with options for some new small settlements in areas where such rejuvenation would be good for the community and where landscape is not so sensitive.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Macklen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106030</td>
<td>Buxted Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 9b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Macklen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106030</td>
<td>Buxted Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 9c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Macklen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106030</td>
<td>Buxted Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question Ten</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WDC need to be more cognisant of the pressure to infill or expand out of development boundary. Allowing developments to be placed where developers feel they want to put them rather than as part of a strategic plan that has involved the community in the process (even given the comment in 5.10 re the process being “bogged down”) – is naïve and does not do the community good service. Allowing developers to dictate where they want to put houses is a nonsense. While WDC may feel 15 houses in a village does not warrant site allocations - this is not acceptable or sustainable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Macklen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106030</td>
<td>Buxted Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 11g</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provided this is agreed by the local community.
Question 11h

Reason for comment:
Better primary and junior schools needed in Crowborough – 80+ children are out commuting from Crowborough to High Hurstwood for schooling! With developments in Buxted Parish including the Grampian development – HH school should first cater for local children living in the Parish.

Question 12h

Reason for comment:
Provided this is agreed by the local community

Option 14a

Reason for comment:
This would close the gap between outlying villages and Uckfield. This is unacceptable. There are also important and sacrosanct areas of ancient woodland – Views Wood plus –Buxted Park is a SSSI site. Building to the margins of such sensitive land is environmentally unsound. We would strongly question this location for a 6th Form college. The traffic and safety problems this would cause would be insurmountable.
Option 14c

Reason for comment:
This would cause road chaos into Uckfield and the rural lanes into Buxted and Framfield. There is an important, successful well-functioning farm along Framfield Road. WDC may not be aware that Bird-in-Eye meat is prized and marketed beyond East Sussex. Surely we need to support rather than demolish local farming and other businesses?

Option 14d

Reason for comment:
This is adjacent to land already allocated in the Wealden Non Statutory Plan

Option 14d

Reason for comment:
This is adjacent to land already allocated in the Wealden Non Statutory Plan

Option 14e

Reason for comment:
This would have far less impact (than proposed developments north of the town would have) on local villages.
Reason for comment:
This appears to be the site of the last profitable dairy farm in the area – to consider building over it is a nonsense. If WDC prize the rural heritage of the area as the introduction to this document purports what sense is there to develop over profitable farmland?

Reason for comment:
Should develop west of the A22 – new settlements and business (not just business – there isn’t necessarily the need for this) This would be a good place for a new settlement – it is naive of WDC to note is as being ‘not applicable’!

Reason for comment:
Provided Uckfield residents agree!
Possible new settlement area – e.g. west of the A22 – this isn’t good land so it would be a potential opportunity for development of houses with good access to the A26 although access to the A272 is also possible this is likely to be problematic given the dangers of the road.

We agree with the spatial plan if local communities within Hailsham agree with it.

We agree.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Macklen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106030</td>
<td>Buxted Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

These are poor rural areas that could benefit from quality developing. They are close to the coast with good road networks to Kent, Uckfield, and the coast. But must protect the boundaries to adjacent countryside.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Macklen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106030</td>
<td>Buxted Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Macklen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106030</td>
<td>Buxted Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Macklen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106030</td>
<td>Buxted Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
The communities themselves need to provide spatial objectives/aspirations for housing developments where they live.
Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
106030  Buxted Parish Council

**Question 21g**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
• We oppose the north Uckfield expansion into Ringles Cross  
• High Hurstwood has been totally ignored. As per earlier comments it is inappropriate to pickle villages in aspic – to be sustainable living communities they need to evolve. The village needs more houses for people who would like to stay on. At the moment there are no spare houses. There is a local need for smaller homes to rent and buy.

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
106030  Buxted Parish Council

**Question 20d**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
The communities themselves need to provide spatial objectives/aspirations for housing developments where they live.

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
106030  Buxted Parish Council

**Question 21a**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
On the scale proposed this will take the village into part of a sprawling conurbation of Uckfield and this is unacceptable.
Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Five Ash Down is already being seriously developed with approval of the Grampian site for 140 houses. Earmarking it for any more development at this time without seeing how the development at Grampian works is a nonsense. WDC would basically be destroying a village and making it part of a sprawling conurbation of Uckfield and this is wholly unacceptable.

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
- We might support development of up to 100 houses in Buxted but only if assigned in once place not spread out. Land has already been earmarked in the NSLP and not yet developed – this should remain the area for the focus of the LDF development
- We do NOT support expansion east and west of Buxted and wonder why WDC would even consider such.
- Any development in Buxted should be in once place otherwise there is even more ribbon development spread along the A272 which is already a very dangerous road. And ribbon development isn’t conducive to village togetherness and cohesiveness. Buxted already has a station car park and there are already plans to enlarge it within the NSLP. We don’t need more and to consider development of Buxted as any kind of ‘Park Way’ station is wholly inappropriate. It would encourage rat running on narrow lanes which is both dangerous and makes the amenity use by walkers, riders and cyclists impossible.

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Has WDC not noted the issue in London where too many flats have been built and where there are now negative equity issues and no homes for people to move to with children – or quality properties for older people to downsize to within the villages where they have lived (most people who have had villages houses do not want to move to flats with no gardens) – this shift also free up larger family homes.
Option 25d

Reason for comment:

Only increase density if local character is respected. New developments need to consider and respect the local environment and have a sense of their place.

Option 24a

Reason for comment:

Your View

Option 24b

Reason for comment:

Your View

Option 24c

Reason for comment:

Your View
Consider the local environment and also whether the LDF is aimed at developing commuter centres or local sustainable communities with a sense of place within Wealden. To adopt a policy of cramming homes into small areas because there is a bus or train is naïve.

This a particularly good strategy for villages in the AONB.
Consultee ID: Ms Macklen
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This should be solely for affordable housing for local people

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
What would ‘appropriate controls’ entail? What does this mean?

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
But the policies as to when such development are permissible need to be clear.

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
106030 Buxted Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

We would support the early reinstatement of the Lewes line with some development but NOT for 8000 homes (and nowhere near that number of houses). Getting funding for the line through building houses along it should not be a condition for reinstating the line. To consider it as such is naïve.
Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
Buxted Parish Council  

Part of document:  
Option 27e  

Section of Document:  
Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Dependent on where the park and ride facilities be located.

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
Buxted Parish Council  

Part of document:  
Option 27f  

Section of Document:  
Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
Buxted Parish Council  

Part of document:  
Option 28a  

Section of Document:  
Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Yes of course we agree with all these statements. Impossible not to. But how realistic and achievable are they?

Consultee ID: Ms Macklen  
Buxted Parish Council  

Part of document:  
Option 28b  

Section of Document:  
Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Yes of course we agree with all these statements. Impossible not to. But how realistic and achievable are they?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 28c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** Ms Macklen  
**Agent ID:** Buxted Parish Council

**Reason for comment:**
Yes of course we agree with all these statements. Impossible not to. But how realistic and achievable are they?

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question Twenty Nine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Agent ID:**  

**Reason for comment:**
• Realistically, how can this be enforced? • Given that anything might happen (e.g. market slump, change in national policies, change in local need and demand, demographic changes and so on) how realistic is it to expect developers and builders to necessarily follow through on their planning applications?

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question Thirty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Agent ID:**  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question 31a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Agent ID:**  

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Ms Macklen
106030  Buxted Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option

Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This sounds great. Of course we agree with these statements. But how realistic are they? How will they be achieved? We worry these are grand words – but words alone.

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes
106030  Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option

Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This sounds great. Of course we agree with these statements. But how realistic are they? How will they be achieved? We worry these are grand words – but words alone.
Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document:  option

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document:  option

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document:  option

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document:  option

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Any development outside settlement boundaries should not take place in AONB.

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Essential that infrastructure is not overloaded.

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Option 15f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
If option 15c is chosen the views towards the observatory should be protected.

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Question 15h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Option 23a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Janet McInnes
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Flats would be inappropriate in rural areas / villages - preferred terraced houses.

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Janet McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106031</td>
<td>Herstmonceux Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 25e
- Option 25e

### Section of Document:

### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Janet McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106031</td>
<td>Herstmonceux Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 25d
- Option 25d

### Section of Document:

### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Janet McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106031</td>
<td>Herstmonceux Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 25f
- Option 25f

### Section of Document:

### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Janet McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106031</td>
<td>Herstmonceux Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 25g
- Option 25g

### Section of Document:

### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document:  
- Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document:  
- Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document:  
- Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Janet McInnes  
106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council

Part of document:  
- Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Janet McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td>Must ensure that all developments are fully planned for and their implementation phased.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Janet McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td>Village needs new school and healthcare premises. Need to plan for essential services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Janet McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106031 Herstmonceux Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Concern that a constellation of small towns and villages working together may eventuate in parishes becoming subordinate to nearby towns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 106031  | **Herstmonceux Parish Council**
---|---
**Part of document:** | □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
**Section of Document:** | Housing Delivery
**Your View** | ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
**Reason for comment:** | Must ensure that all developments are fully planned for and their implementation phased.
---|---
**Consultee ID:** 106031  | **Herstmonceux Parish Council**
---|---
**Part of document:** | □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
**Section of Document:** | Infrastructure Requirements
**Your View** | ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
**Reason for comment:** | Village needs new school and healthcare premises. Need to plan for essential services.
---|---
**Consultee ID:** 106031  | **Herstmonceux Parish Council**
---|---
**Part of document:** | □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
**Section of Document:** | | Concern that a constellation of small towns and villages working together may eventuate in parishes becoming subordinate to nearby towns.
| **Your View** | ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree |
A certain amount of growth may be needed to maintain or create a balanced population, also the elderly may want to downsize or move into extra care housing but not move away from a familiar locality. Development boundaries should be reviewed accordingly.

Danger of ghetto formation.

We are in favour of a higher percentage of growth in towns.
Consultee ID: Mrs Graham
106035 Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
In selected areas for older residents and people in extra care housing.
Option 24d

In selected areas for older residents and people in extra care housing.

Option 25a

There is a need for affordable housing for older people with diminishing means

Option 25b

Option 25c
In rural areas it will be difficult to find landowners willing to part with their land for affordable housing when the going rate for land on which affordable housing is to be built is well below market value. Some HOPE projects seem to have foundered for this reason.

The rural exceptions policy should be made more flexible than at present

Making it possible for the elderly to remain in their own homes or adjacent to their relatives makes complete social and economic sense and should be encouraged in every possible way.
Consultee ID: Mrs Graham  
106035 Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 27a

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Maintaining and improving bus services is very important to maintain the quality of life for elderly people.

Consultee ID: Mrs Graham  
106035 Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 27b

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
With an ageing population, many of whom do not have cars, reinstatement of this service would help to reduce the problem of rural isolation.

Consultee ID: Mrs Graham  
106035 Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 27e

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
With reservation, because many elderly citizens cannot take advantage of park and ride facilities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Graham</th>
<th>Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 27f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Important to provide adequate off-road parking spaces particularly in the case of flats for the elderly because although they may not have cars themselves they are all the more reliant on visits from family, friends and carers.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Graham</th>
<th>Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 28a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Parks and open spaces are vital for recreation and exercise for elderly people.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Graham</th>
<th>Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 28b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

These are essential for the health and well-being of older people.
Consultee ID: Mrs Graham 106035 Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Graham 106035 Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

However, provision for the needs of older people is omitted

Consultee ID: Mr Wells 106036

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Reinstatement of Uckfield / Lewes rail link is vital for this area where large population groupings do not have good acess to thier county town or the South coast. This objective should be a priority and be a pre-requisite to any large scale developments rather than a long term aim. Uckfield Line Parishes Comittee.(Representing all Town and Parish Councils from Oxted to Uckfield)
I argue that the Broadoak Envelope is out-of-date and already abused: 1) Throughout the last 40 years, there has been development to the North, down Street End Lane (formerly Scotsford Rd.): a. A small single-storey garage and workshop was demolished by Phillip French in the 1970s and replaced by a two-storey plumber's works (called Jubilee Works) which, even in construction, exceeded the specified height. This building was converted to domestic dwelling a few years ago. b. There have been at least two new houses built to the South of the above which exceeded the footprint of the cottages they replaced. From one of these, an electrician operates his business. c. To the immediate North of Jubilee Works, extensive outbuildings were built in the 1960s - these we took over in 1971. d. Further to the North, at the bottom of the hill, there is a very busy vehicle repair and maintenance garage that also runs a small fleet of minibuses. e. None of the above is in an "elevated and exposed position" as stated in the Council's 'Broad Oak Development Boundary' (Ch.19. Broad Oak - Wealden Local Plan - Dec.1998, p.187) in its maintaining that Broadoak lies within an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, but lies well out of sight, and already outside the Envelope. f. It is unlikely that many residents within the existing Envelope have any idea that they are within an AONB since, in the last main infill, far too many houses were greedily squeezed onto the N. facing hillside, obscuring views and, in the case of at least two roads, creating an imminent non-passable way with car parking overflow onto the verges and added mudbath attraction in the Winter. g. If the Envelope had been more reasonable, say at least to the garage in d. above - and there's still time! - there would have been reasonable space for development.

I would just like to say how user friendly and easy I thought this whole "on-line" document was to read, understand and fill in. It was simple to create a user log in and then work through the document adding my comments. Well done for making the whole event easy for the public to use.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Moss
106075

Part of document: ☑ option
Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I agree in principle but one would have to say that your statement “some development” leaves the door far too wide open. I would therefore say that I am happy to accept consideration on “brown field sites” but elsewhere, the settlements would have to be completely sympathetic to the surroundings.

Consultee ID: Mr Moss
106075

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
10% target is not ambitious enough and therefore we should strive to achieve the highest possible renewable target.

Consultee ID: Mr Moss
106075

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
There is no benefit to leave land un-developed if a more realistic prospect comes forwards

Consultee ID: Mr Moss
106075

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
This is a must. We need to stop the need for travelling distances to work and this strategy would maximise the likelihood that residents in the new areas will be employed close to their place of residence
Consultee ID: Mr Moss
Agent ID:
106075

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5a

Reason for comment:
We have to create housing somewhere and therefore existing boundaries must be appropriately reviewed, taking into consideration AONB's, housing needs, provision of core services and transport needs. It would therefore be wrong to say that they can not be reviewed.

Consultee ID: Mr Moss
Agent ID:
106075

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5a

Reason for comment:
General agreement to this principle but should be done with sympathetic consideration to the surroundings and the AONB.

Consultee ID: Mr Moss
Agent ID:
106075

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5b

Reason for comment:
I agree as such developments would fall under the planning regulations and therefore be subject to local scrutiny by the parish of Town planning committees who can make suitable recommendation to the District council over local thoughts / requirements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 6a**

*Keep the majority of the development where the amenities are. This would also cut down the need for traveling distances to work.*

**Option 6b**

**Option 6c**

**Question 6d**

*The majority of development should be based on a demonstrated need for housing and the availability of amenities and infrastructure and therefore each decision would depend on the infrastructure, employment opportunities, and housing demand in the proposed locations.*
Growth can only come with a properly structured plan to develop and improve infrastructure & services.

Whilst Crowborough is not a village, the viewpoint given represents a good guide for focussing development in villages with the greatest capability for supporting growth is a reasonable strategy.

Disagree. If premises are not used then they should be considered for change of use because change of use in many of these cases is likely to be due to the business becoming uneconomic.
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I strongly agree that this is the best way forward as it would have less impact on the on AONB and the shared approach is best to ease the burden on an already over-stretched Wealden infrastructure. The only caveat is that there still has to be a demonstrated need for housing development as opposed to the build them anyway approach.

Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
The principle is sound as long as each development comes with an appropriately sized developer contribution tied up in a section 106 agreement to cover contributions for transport links, community amenities, education requirements and especially youth projects.

Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
As long as it can be demonstrated that there is a housing need. Thirty dwellings (the threshold applicable to Crowborough) may be too low. Again, the size of the development must be proven and be in line with the local infrastructure and needs.
Consultee ID: Mr Moss

Option 11a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I agree with this choice of site for affordable housing near town centre providing there are sensible densities.

Option 11b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Crowborough Town Council has already identified this area for recreation. Any development to this area would likely involve the loss of further recreation land. PPG 17 is quite clear that Crowborough is woefully short of recreation land. This site would also have an impact on land designated as ANOB.

Option 11c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Development of this area would open up the town boundaries along the A26 which would be good for access on to the main road infrastructure. The development could bring other benefits such as new facilities, infrastructure, localised shopping by the addition of a parade of shops and allotments etc, all built in to the cost of the development by implementation of a Section 106 agreement with the developer.
Consultee ID: Mr Moss
106075

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This site is totally unsuitable for large housing development as it is already poorly supported by local infrastructure and the roads network.

Consultee ID: Mr Moss
106075

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This site is totally unsuitable for large housing development as it is already poorly supported by local infrastructure and the roads network.

Consultee ID: Mr Moss
106075

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moss</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106075</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 11h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Suggest that Crowborough relocate the current industrial site from Jarvis Brook to the area in and around MOD camp site. This redevelopment would give Crowborough the opportunity to build a modern business park to tempt in new business with direct access on to the main roads, all of which would serve as an inducement to attract new business to the area. It would also allow the inner town areas currently set aside for commercial properties to be redeveloped into housing, close to rail links and the town.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moss</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106075</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
This is the highest density that should be allowed to maintain the look & feel of Wealden's rural aspect and mix of development, amenities space and communities. The only possible exception could be that higher densities could be considered in some “inner town” locations by use of town houses & terraces (not blocks of flats!).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moss</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106075</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moss</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 24c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Surely all developments must have considered transport links prior to development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moss</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 24d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Surely all developments must have considered transport links prior to development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moss</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Would consider higher densities for terraced housing but would be very concerned over “blocks of flats” as these would change the character of Wealden. Any higher density development must maintain sufficient quality and special requirements within the dwellings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Moss</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

I agree in 40% affordable housing for developments in Crowborough. Strong consideration should be given to reduce the threshold on the number of dwellings built in any given development that would then trigger affordable housing. I believe that this should be reduced to five dwellings. This would more likely get the developers to build developments with the affordable housing as part of the scheme.
Option 25c

Reason for comment:
Your View

Consultee ID: 106075
Mr. Moss

Part of document: option
Section of Document:

Option 25d

Reason for comment:
Strongly disagree to this as it would lead to large developments of social housing and therefore add to a divided social structure.

Consultee ID: 106075
Mr. Moss

Part of document: option
Section of Document:

Option 25e

Reason for comment:
As long as the need for housing can be demonstrated.

Consultee ID: 106075
Mr. Moss

Part of document: option
Section of Document:

Option 25f

Reason for comment:
As long as proper controls are in place to ensure that the usage type is maintained.
Consultee ID: Mr Moss  
106075

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
As long as the need for housing can be demonstrated.

Consultee ID: Mr Moss  
106075

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moss  
106075

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This would give the best spread of amenities & services to support such a scheme, but consideration should still be given to demonstrating the current need for such sites and not to just build them.

Consultee ID: Mr Moss  
106075

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development & transport services should support each other.
Consultee ID: Mr Moss
Agent ID: 106075

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Strongly agree as extension & improvement of the rail service would assist in getting us off of the roads.

Consultee ID: Mr Moss
Agent ID: 106075

Part of document: Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Moss
Agent ID: 106075

Part of document: Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
PPG 17 shows that there is a need for park land, allotments and general amenity space in Crowborough and the wider Wealden.

Consultee ID: Mr Moss
Agent ID: 106075

Part of document: Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Strongly agree. All large developments should enter into section 106 agreements to obtain developer contributions to help fund facilities & amenities in their local area. PPG 17 shows huge shortfalls throughout Wealden.
Consultee ID: Mr Moss
Consultee ID: Mr Moss
Consultee ID: Mr Moss
Consultee ID: Mr Moss

Part of document: Option 28c
Part of document: Question Twenty Nine
Part of document: Question Thirty
Part of document: Question 32a

Section of Document: Agent ID:
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:
New facilities should be provided before old facilities are demolished. PPG 17 shows huge shortfalls throughout Wealden.

Reason for comment:
Planning consents should be changed so that developers have to break ground within two years and complete developments within five. This would stop developers sitting on land where planning permission has been granted.

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106077  Mrs Graham  Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106077  Mrs Graham  Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106077  Mrs Graham  Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106077  Mrs Graham  Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 5b

Consultee ID: Mrs Graham  
Wealden Senior Citizens’ Partnership

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Graham  
Wealden Senior Citizens’ Partnership

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Graham  
Wealden Senior Citizens’ Partnership

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

It would not be desirable to rule out development over the next twenty years in villages which have lost their village shop, post office, school etc as that could be condemning them as dormitory villages with no incentive for young people to stay in their own community.

Consultee ID: Mrs Graham  
Wealden Senior Citizens’ Partnership

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Growth potential is far too rigid and needs to be constantly reviewed.
Consultee ID: 106077  Mrs Graham  Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 7c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
But "other categorised" villages should not be allowed to decline beyond the point where they are no longer viable.

Consultee ID: 106077  Mrs Graham  Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
As long as adequate infrastructure and services are in place.

Consultee ID: 106077  Mrs Graham  Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
As long as adequate infrastructure and services are in place.

Consultee ID: 106077  Mrs Graham  Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 19e
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Agree
Reason for comment:
A higher level of growth over the next 20 years should not be ruled out in the interests of sustaining a dynamic community.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Graham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106077</td>
<td>Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 24c

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Graham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106077</td>
<td>Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 24d

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Graham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106077</td>
<td>Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 25a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Graham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106077</td>
<td>Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 25d

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106077</th>
<th>Mrs Graham</th>
<th>Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 25f</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 106077</td>
<td>Mrs Graham</td>
<td>Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 27a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 106077</td>
<td>Mrs Graham</td>
<td>Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 27b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 106077</td>
<td>Mrs Graham</td>
<td>Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 28a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Graham
106077
Wealden Senior Citizens' Partnership

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: crane
106080

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Particularly for affordable housing. Any new land allocated for commercial housing should be carefully constrained.

Consultee ID: crane
106080

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: crane
106080

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: crane 106080

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: crane 106080

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: crane 106080

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: crane 106080

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document
- No opinion
- Section
- Option

**Section of Document:** Question 5c

**Your View**  
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
We do not wish to see any change in the development boundary currently defined for Hartfield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document
- No opinion
- Section
- Option

**Section of Document:** Option 6a

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document
- No opinion
- Section
- Option

**Section of Document:** Option 6b

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document
- No opinion
- Section
- Option

**Section of Document:** Option 6c

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: crane 106080  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
Option 7a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: crane 106080  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
Option 7b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: crane 106080  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
Option 7c  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: crane 106080  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
Option 7d  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 9a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 9b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 9c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Question Ten**

**Section of Document:**
- Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Agree with definition as Hartfield as a village with limited growth potential. Additional development should be affordable housing. Any development in the villages surrounding Ashdown Forest must be carefully constrained.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 25c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Agree, for developments involving several houses (rather than a single unit).

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 25g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 25d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Wealden's policies must not allow the desire to protect the AONB to prevent the provision of appropriately designed, affordable housing. Brownfield sites, when available, should have preference over green field sites.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 25e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106080</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106080</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106080</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>crane</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106080</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: crane  
106080

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: crane  
106080

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: crane  
106080

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: crane  
106080

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: crane
106080

Part of document: option
Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:
We feel that a specific objective should be included relating to addressing the need for affordable housing in villages- SO5's desire to meet the minimum target for affordable housing is not enough. The objective should reflect a desire to ensure that our villages remain sustainable, with a balanced population, able to live and work locally, making appropriate provision for affordable housing both for families with children and those living alone.

Consultee ID: Waters
106081

Part of document: option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
But only a few houses in any one place. They must be built to match existing properties.

Consultee ID: Waters
106081

Part of document: option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
The insistence that new development must generate 10% of its own consumption of energy and also for new technology and better insulation to make new housing "carbon neutral" seems to be extremely idealistic and surely would increase the cost of new housing making it less affordable for low income families. I realise that the plan covers a long period and it is possible that, when appropriate cheap technology has been developed, this may be achievable but, in the meantime, I suggest that required standards should be set to cover insulation, solar panels, double glazing etc (and to prohibit oil fired central heating?) .
Reason for comment:

RE: Land at Bird in Eye Farm, Uckfield. We have been asked to promote all of this site as being suitable for residential and ancillary uses for the future sustainable development of Uckfield and Wealden District during the current Local Development Framework (LDF) period. The site was historically proposed for housing development during the past Local Plan Review. The site’s location and suitability has not changed allowing publication of the previous consultative document which suggested allocation of this site. We therefore wish to submit the enclosed site for allocation during the forthcoming Core Strategy and Site Specific Allocation documents.

Reason for comment:

RE: Land at Park Farm, New Road, Hailsham. We have been instructed to promote part or all of this land as being suitable for residential/employment and ancillary uses for the future sustainable development of Hailsham and Wealden District during the current LDF period. With the granting of consent for housing at the former Hellingly Hospital and the approved new link road from New Road, this provides a marvellous opportunity for the area shown on Plan 2 to be redeveloped for further housing, doctors surgery, primary school and all land uses which would compliment and improve the accessibility and sustainability of the hospital site to Hailsham. If a greater strategic housing growth is required for Hailsham the rest of Park Farm could also be considered as part of a comprehensive approach to north Hailsham.

Reason for comment:

Typical densities for new development should accord with guidelines set out in PPS3. Prescriptive densities are inappropriate and limit the role of design and therefore "typical densities phasing should be removed."
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Messrs Gribble
106087

Agent ID: Mr Courtley
102476

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Typical densities for new development should accord with guidelines set out in PPS3. Prescriptive densities are inappropriate and limit the role of design and therefore "typical densities phasing should be removed."

Consultee ID: Messrs Gribble
106087

Agent ID: Mr Courtley
102476

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Typical densities for new development should accord with guidelines set out in PPS3. Prescriptive densities are inappropriate and limit the role of design and therefore "typical densities phasing should be removed."

Consultee ID: Messrs Gribble
106087

Agent ID: Mr Courtley
102476

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Any type, mix and tenure should be established by the Housing Need Survey and on evidence based policy approach. Regional spatial strategies should set out the regions approach to achieving a good mix of housing together with strategic Housing Market Assessment. Until the above work is carried out any prescriptive proportionment to settlement size is unfounded.
Option 25c

Reason for comment:
Any type, mix and tenure should be established by the Housing Need Survey and on evidence based policy approach. Regional spatial strategies should set out the regions approach to achieving a good mix of housing together with strategic Housing Market Assessment. Until the above work is carried out any prescriptive proportionment to settlement size is unfounded.

Option 25d

Reason for comment:
However we strongly support 25d "Sites solely for affordable housing should be allocated in rural areas where real need is identified". This is preferable to "exemption housing policies" as it enables a proper assessment of alternative sites and offers certainty on their delivery. This is supported by PPS3.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
Just because land has been categorised at some point in the past doesn't mean it is relevant to today's needs - especially when other new sites are coming forward and transport links are being improved.
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

They already have been in the local development plan and are just in a mess now. They need to be looked at again.

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

It really doesn't make sense to not allow a certain amount of necessary development where it is for the greater good - for example in the local development plan an agreement was reached for a series of roundabouts on the Lewes Road in Ridgewood with the objective of slowing down traffic. An additional spur from a roundabout to access a development would make sense instead of creating more roundabouts, traffic calming, more streetlights and longer routes into housing estates (more petrol and noise for local residents). Think of the shortest route even if it goes over a development boundary and minimise the impact through natural screening.
Uckfield has been built as a single road with its epicentre in a dip. This makes it unsuitable as it is prone to flooding. With traffic coming from all directions and passing through the dip which is the meeting point for roads and a railway it just wouldn't work.

This area has been discounted in the past due to existing infrastructure. Yet it is the obvious choice to turn Uckfield from a linear town.

WDC has already approved development in this area. Further development makes sense as the area is above the flood plain and is bordered by the bypass so gives easy access out.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Goldsmith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 23f**

---

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☑ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

I would like to put land at Mountney Bridge forward for consideration for development in the new land development framework. It would be suitable for either housing or light industry, both of which have been developed in the area. I enclose maps of the land known as Peelings.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106095</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 2a**

---

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Just how exactly are you going to acheive this? The policy is doomed to failure, look at the amount of energy consumed, take 10% of it and then work out how on earth that could possibly be generated within the area!

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Beazley Richards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106098</td>
<td>Wealden Psychology Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 2b**

---

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

As someone with an organic (soil Association registered ) smallholding in Wealden, I seek as high a target as possible for sustainable and renewable energy.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Beazley Richards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106098</td>
<td>Wealden Psychology Institute</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 3d**

---

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

I think balance is essential.
Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards Agent ID:
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute
Part of document: Option 4a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I think this is accessible and suitable.

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards Agent ID:
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute
Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I think this should depend upon the particular case and circumstances.

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards Agent ID:
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute
Part of document: Option 6c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I think villages will be helped to become more dynamic and to thrive if proportionately more is around them.

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards Agent ID:
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute
Part of document: Option 7b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This seems to be the most flexible approach.
Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
this seems the best.

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This offers the widest distribution.

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I agree.

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I live in this area, and work in it, and I think it has great potential for development.
Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards  
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document:  
- Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Best option.

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards  
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document:  
- Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

The town needs to be refreshed and developed.

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards  
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document:  
- Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

This is a prime area for development.

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards  
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document:  
- Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Seems the best option.
Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards  
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Best option.

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards  
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Best option.

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards  
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Lots of space which could be developed.

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards  
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

There is a considerable need, and providing transport and accessibility are ok, then higher densities should be permitted.

Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

As a business owner, I think this is important.

Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This is a community in need of widespread help.

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Option 28c

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document: Option 28c

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
I strongly support this option.

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document: Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards
106098 Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document: Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards  Agent ID: 106098  Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards  Agent ID: 106098  Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Beazley Richards  Agent ID: 106098  Wealden Psychology Institute

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Deller  Agent ID: 106099

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document:  Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Whilst most of the AONB is beautiful and should be preserved, some selected sites of doubtful quality in terms of beauty, benefit to the visitor/worker and historical value could be designated for development. Such plots/sites must be precisely located and rigidly controlled.
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Such environmental protections must be subject to realistic targets and associated budgetary constraints

Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This should be considered in the light of experience gained from lower environmental targets

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This should be considered in the light of experience gained from lower environmental targets

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Any such use of this designated land must be properly and thoroughly underwritten by a commercial evaluation
There are no specific sites which should be considered in addition to those already listed. However, in the overall assessment of relative merits there is much to be said for concentration of effort in certain places so as to optimise the infrastructure and transport/communication links.
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Agree

Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Each set of circumstances must be strictly judged on its individual merits. There can be no blanket Yes or No answer

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Agree

Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 7a

Reason for comment:
Should be subjected to frequent review

Option 7b

Option 7c

Reason for comment:
This agreement of the principle must be related to the continuing development of all the collateral services which determine the success or otherwise of a community
Whilst the free market forces should underpin the quality of village life, the firm restrictive policy must be retained to reinforce the logic/rationale behind the creation of sustainable villages. It is a conundrum. On the one hand entrepreneurial enterprise is always welcomed in the villages and that person's capital is at stake. Whilst business fortunes may fluctuate with the changing fashions and customers' preferences/expectations, the never ending demand for rural residences in quality areas will never diminish. The temptation for an easy profit by change of use is very appealing. Indeed it may be seen as a deliberate route to quick/easy profits. Nevertheless, the up turns in economic cycles can re-invigorate village centre retail activity, for which the premises must, in broad measure, remain available.
Consultee ID: Mr Deller  Agent ID: 106099

Part of document:  ☑ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
On the edge of villages I believe that the base figure should be ten(10). A base figure of fifteen(15) can be disfiguring on some village communities as is obviously evident in other parts of the county and country.

Consultee ID: Mr Deller  Agent ID: 106099

Part of document:  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 20c

Reason for comment:
This agreement is conditional on the finally agreed total extra housing being built at a sensible rate over a period of twenty(20) years in concord with all other aspects of a village growth concerning both the dwellings and population.

Consultee ID: Mr Deller  Agent ID: 106099

Part of document:  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 24a

Reason for comment:
The proposed figure is too modest

Consultee ID: Mr Deller  Agent ID: 106099

Part of document:  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 24b

Reason for comment:
This represents a sensible compromise planning target
Consultee ID: Mr Deller
Part of document: Option 24b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: This represents a sensible compromise planning target

Consultee ID: Mr Deller
Part of document: Option 24c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Deller
Part of document: Option 25a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Deller
Part of document: Option 25b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: All allocations for Affordable Housing should be no higher than a 30%
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Deller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: No
- Chapter: No
- Section: No
- Option: Yes

**Option 25c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: No
- No opinion: No
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

The question is not entirely understood. Pending clarification, the response remains as "Disagree".

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Deller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: No
- Chapter: No
- Section: No
- Option: Yes

**Option 25d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: No
- No opinion: No
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

Socially divisive and probably difficult to sustain in financial terms.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Deller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: No
- Chapter: No
- Section: No
- Option: Yes

**Option 25f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: Yes
- No opinion: No
- Disagree: No

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Deller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: No
- Chapter: No
- Section: No
- Option: Yes

**Option 25g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: Yes
- No opinion: No
- Disagree: No

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Deller</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106099</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Deller</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106099</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Deller</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106099</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Deller</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106099</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agreement with your spatial objectives is the easy part of the exercise. Bit on a par with the traditional attributes associated with “motherhood” and “apple pie”. The real test is in applying those objectives with determination and positive action, qualities which have not always been readily apparent in some activities in the past. The problems faced by the Planning Department are not helped by the fact that it does seem to be always understaffed. I do not profess to have the answer to that employment problem, but until there are sufficient staff in situ, determination and positive action on the scale that is needed will not be achievable. That would be a pity because this current body of work is praiseworthy.
A District Council the size of Wealden has a very difficult and somewhat daunting task to meet the requirements of Central Government planning stategy whilst keeping all of its own constituents on side. The width and variety of critical counter opinion are shown elsewher in this comment section. Nobody in their right mind sets out wilfully and thoughtlessly to destroy their own habitat and/or to view their own requirements as distinctly separate from the bulk of that community. Therefore, it would be a good starting point if whomsoever does take action on this plan recognises that we all have Human Rights. Too often such claims are made most vociferously by those whose marginal positions in a modern society should first be self-reviewed to determine if their minority views should over-rule the views of the vast majority of other citizens who consider themselves to be Right-Minded ie nothing political, but having sound views and principles. Give and take in the creation of workable compromises will have to underpin the strategy together with the realisation that sharing the burden of extra dwellings, businesses, infrastructure creation and the like will involve some disproportionate allocations in pure numerical terms. Whatever the minutae of detailed argument will find and unravel in the process of lodging disagreements, the fact is that this document is literally a fair and open minded attempt to start the process of dealing with a major problem.

Willing vendors re. the 3.44 acre site at Cadence Punnetts Town. A generous mix of general demand and affordable houses would benefit the village, and as If successful we would contribute to much needed offsite village improvements. The site-lines and visibility splays far exceed the planning requirements. no drainage problems as with floodplanes. An additional alternative has been put forward on the 17/07/07 which includes a further of 1.06 acres. Full representation inserted as a hard copy.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106104</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔️ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Tompkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106105</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔️ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>AONB land should not be built on there are plenty of other sites for building</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Tompkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106105</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔️ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Need a mixture of business facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Tompkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106105</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔️ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Fossil fuels are finite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins  
Agent ID: 106105

Part of document:  
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment: 
We must be realistic

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins  
Agent ID: 106105

Part of document:  
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment: 
Land should be put to use

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins  
Agent ID: 106105

Part of document:  
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment: 
Sometimes change is necessary

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins  
Agent ID: 106105

Part of document:  
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment: 
To help cut down on travel to work
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Tompkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106105</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 4a
- Option 4b
- Option 4c
- Option 4d

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Option 4a:
- Land is more suitable for housing. Business parks are better on level sites due to the size of buildings

Option 4b:
- Better for housing

Option 4c:
- Good site for business park

Option 4d:
- Enough there already
Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
106105

Part of document:  
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Settlements must be allowed to grow with the people

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
106105

Part of document:  
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
106105

Part of document:  
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The towns already have the infrastructure which can be increased

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
106105

Part of document:  
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
106105

Part of document: Option 6c
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
106105

Part of document: Option 7c
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Some villages are more suitable for growth

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
106105

Part of document: Option 8a
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
No rail link, already over developed

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
106105

Part of document: Option 8b
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Polegate should take most of the housing allocation. But retain the green space between Polegate and Willingdon
Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins  
106105  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 8c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
A good area for development  

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins  
106105  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 8d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Expand existing areas  

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins  
106105  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 9a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Poor access roads  

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins  
106105  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 9b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
Agent ID: 106105
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
Agent ID: 106105
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
This site will provide substantial infrastructure and the Folkington Link road which will be of great benefit to the Polegate residents by reducing the pollution caused by traffic waiting at junctions

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
Agent ID: 106105
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
Agent ID: 106105
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
Part of document: Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
Part of document: Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
Part of document: Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins
Part of document: Question 16h
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Tompkins

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Evershed

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Grundy

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
However, a specific classification should be given to these development areas, such as: - 90% of the land designated should be set aside and managed to enhance the local ecology - All developments should be design in-line with sustainable design guidelines for residential developments. - developments should designed to exceed Council's targets for renewable energy and sustainability to enable overall targets to be achieved. - the design of dwellings should focus on blending with and into the local landscape and environment.

Reason for comment:
Businesses should be located away from residential areas

Reason for comment:
New developments should be designed to maximise on-site renewable energy generation, whilst at the same time minimise energy consumption. Therefore a sweeping policy statement that all new buildings must generate at least 10% energy from renewable sources does not incentivise energy reduction; energy reduction should be a core strategy.
Energy generation from renewable sources should be maximised as far as practical whilst also minimising energy consumption. Those developments that adhere to such principles should be favoured over those that do not.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Grundy</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106108</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Energy generation from renewable sources should be maximised as far as practical whilst also minimising energy consumption. Those developments that adhere to such principles should be favoured over those that do not.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 5a

Reason for comment:
Developments outside should be considered with a view that they bring tangible benefits to the local community.

Option 5b

Reason for comment:
The criteria should be based on sustainable developments and only if new developments are sympathetic to the local environment and do not ruin the visual aesthetics of the area.

Question 5c

Reason for comment:
Submitted a proposal for the extension of the existing planning boundary at Wadhurst.

Question 5c

Reason for comment:
Submitted a proposal for the extension of the existing planning boundary at Wadhurst. Map submitted Supporting reasons: 1. Currently lying idle. 2. Close to existing boundary. 3. Access to local services. 4. Limited visual amenity impact.
Consideration should be given to encouraging those land owners whose parcels lie outside development boundaries to enhance the ecological value and hence amenity value. At present land owners who do not use their land will leave fields unmanaged and this is a waste of potential ecological resource.

Consideration should be given to encouraging those land owners whose parcels lie outside development boundaries to enhance the ecological value and hence amenity value. At present land owners who do not use their land will leave fields unmanaged and this is a waste of potential ecological resource.

if anything this should come even further. Large developments will attract developers who's prime aim is profit. The council should look to encourage individual builds since self build is not purely driven by profit. This could help with sustainability targets.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Grundy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 20a

**Reason for comment:**
However, any developments must be sympathetic too and blend with the local environment, and also strict control on the density of developments. Any developments on green filed sites should be designed and built with sustainability in mind, for example in-line with the Code for Sustainable Homes (BREEAM). Also submitted land for consideration within the planning boundary of Rotherfield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Grundy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 20b

**Reason for comment:**
Section 4.8 of the Draft Settlement Strategy ranked Wadhurst top for total service and facilities provision. Good access to train services is important and consideration should be given to developments closer to train station, with the intention of encouraging walking/cycling to the station instead of using the car. However, any developments must be sympathetic to and blend with the local environment, and also strict control on the density of developments. Any developments on green filed sites should be designed and built with sustainability in mind, for example in-line with the Code for Sustainable Homes (BREEAM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Grundy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106108</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 24a

**Reason for comment:**
If anything densities should kept low
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106108  Mr Grundy  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 24d  
-  document  -  chapter  -  section  -  option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is acceptable since it should reduce traffic loads. However, public transport infrastructure needs to meet requirements and offer real benefits to the car.

Consultee ID: 106108  Mr Grundy  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 25a  
-  document  -  chapter  -  section  -  option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:
so long as there are sufficient outdoor spaces for use

Consultee ID: 106108  Mr Grundy  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 25d  
-  document  -  chapter  -  section  -  option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☑  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106108  Mr Grundy  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 25e  
-  document  -  chapter  -  section  -  option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Grundy
106108

Part of document: Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Grundy
106108

Part of document: Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Grundy
106108

Part of document: Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Option 26b

Your View

Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 27a

Your View

Agree

Reason for comment:

Option 27b

Your View

Agree

Reason for comment:

Question 31a

Agree

Reason for comment:

Generally agree. However, there needs to be more focus on sustainable transport solutions, such as the opening of disused railways where practical.
Option 27e

Reason for comment:

```
Parks add significant benefits to the local community. At present there is no incentives given from the Council to allow land owners for areas to be set aside for as park land.
```

Option 28a

Reason for comment:

```
Parks add significant benefits to the local community. At present there is no incentives given from the Council to allow land owners for areas to be set aside for as park land.
```

Option 28b

Reason for comment:

```
Setting specific criteria on developers to meet local recreational facilities will enable targets to be met. Developers need to be pressured into ensuring real benefits are given back to community and not all for their own profit.
```

Option 28b

Reason for comment:

```
Setting specific criteria on developers to meet local recreational facilities will enable targets to be met. Developers need to be pressured into ensuring real benefits are given back to community and not all for their own profit.
```

Option 28b

Reason for comment:

```
Setting specific criteria on developers to meet local recreational facilities will enable targets to be met. Developers need to be pressured into ensuring real benefits are given back to community and not all for their own profit.
```
New developments must be sympathetic to the surrounding environment and should enhance the local ecology and/or environment. The visual instruction of developments need to be taken into consideration, such that developments blend with the surrounding environment and that outdoor spaces are designed to enhance the local ecology.

The AONB should not be 'nibbled' away at. Also North West Uckfield meets all the criteria of the High Weald AONB and should be reviewed and added to this boundary.
### Part of document: Option 2b

**Section of Document:**

- **Reason for comment:**
  It would be preferable to set a higher target if possible. 

- **Consultee ID:** 106111  
  Mrs Reed

### Part of document: Option 3a

**Section of Document:**

- **Reason for comment:**
  If these are brownfield sites then priority to rural/farm type employment before developing, if that is not an option then to encourage employment to help the rural areas and if there is no employment option to develop homes/mixed use. These must be brownfield sites and not using farmers green fields. It must always be preferable to develop brownfield over countryside.

- **Consultee ID:** 106111  
  Mrs Reed

### Part of document: Option 5a

**Section of Document:**

- **Reason for comment:**
  The settlement boundaries should be reviewed as part of this process and then fixed until 2026, this way everyone (Wealden, especially developers and the local population) know where they stand. It is a slippery slope to development otherwise.

- **Consultee ID:** 106111  
  Mrs Reed

---
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### Option 5b

**Reason for comment:**

Have to disagree to this as the 'criteria' has not been shown, may lead to a slippery developer led free for all.

### Option 6a

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 6b

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 6c

**Reason for comment:**
Question 6d

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The option to maintain the current split of 90% town and 10% rural needs to be offered for consultation. If there is a 20% split and Wealden have to develop 10000 dwellings that would mean the minimum developed in the villages would be 2000 dwellings.

Option 7a

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Too rigid and then tries to fix a volume of housing which may be inappropriate

Option 7b

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Maresfield, Five Ash Down and Buxted shown as Smaller Service Centre and yet have disproportionate larger growth options. These larger growth options would start to merge these 3 villages together and may even make these villages feel like suburbs of Uckfield and loose their separate identity. The surrounding areas to these 3 villages are all sensitive and you can imagine the same for all the villages shown for large growth. I recognise that development will need to occur but this is excessive. Therefore suggest that the rural ratio be disappated between the 70 or so Wealden villages and not just left to a few to take the burden.
Option 7d

Where possible and practical local services and facilities for the villages should be protected. However, if the services are not financially viable then how do Wealden propose to support this?

Reason for comment:

Option 9a

It would be unfair for the whole burden of development for this part of Wealden to fall on one town, it needs to be fairly divided between Crowborough, Uckfield and Heathfield taking into account which has infrastructure and capacity to support the growth. In addition, any development should not take place in the AONB, breach any significant landscape horizons so the development can be seen from far reaching views, should be in the least sensitive ecological area for that town, be away from any area at risk of flooding, not jeopardise any streams/ rivers and be sensitive to local residents. Also, brownfield sites are always preferable and town centre developments as long as green space / leisure activities are not jeopardised.

Reason for comment:

Option 9b

It would be unfair for the whole burden of development for this part of Wealden to fall on one town, it needs to be fairly divided between Crowborough, Uckfield and Heathfield taking into account which has infrastructure and capacity to support the growth. In addition, any development should not take place in the AONB, breach any significant landscape horizons so the development can be seen from far reaching views, should be in the least sensitive ecological area for that town, be away from any area at risk of flooding, not jeopardise any streams/ rivers and be sensitive to local residents. Also, brownfield sites are always preferable and town centre developments as long as green space / leisure activities are not jeopardised.
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
It would be unfair for the whole burden of development for this part of Wealden to fall on one town, it needs to be fairly divided between Crowborough, Uckfield and Heathfield taking into account which has infrastructure and capacity to support the growth. In addition, any development should not take place in the AONB, breach any significant landscape horizons so the development can be seen from far reaching views, should be in the least sensitive ecological area for that town, be away from any area at risk of flooding, not jeopardise any streams/ rivers and be sensitive to local residents. Also, brownfield sites are always preferable and town centre developments as long as green space / leisure activities are not jeopardised.

Option 12e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Not precise, should be minimum with a maximum rather than an ambiguous +, or a percentage.
Option 14d

Reason for comment:
Need to ensure no significant landscape horizons breached which means it can be seen from afar, that any ecologically sensitive areas are protected, away from any risk of flooding, that local conservation bodies are listened to, that Millenium Green has an additional buffer zone and that any development is sensitive to local residents.

Option 14h

Reason for comment:
Breaches bypass. Rural areas surrounding Uckfield need to be protected and that does not mean building up to the bypass. It is important to maintain the countryside gap between Uckfield and the bypass which gives Uckfield its unique countryside setting.
The site NW of Uckfield (known as Downlands Farm and Budletts Common) put in a planning application in November 2006 for 750 homes at Downlands and industrial site at Budletts Common. This was reviewed and subsequently REFUSED in February 2007. It was an extensive application and a far-reaching review occurred and the application subsequently refused. It is important to note the number of objections (provided in a short period of time just before Christmas) as these reasons still stand as to why this site should be excluded from the LDF going forward. A summary of objections are below to help other readers of the LDF, who may not be aware of this planning review, gain an understanding into why this site should be protected long term due to its landscape and ecology, for future generations to enjoy and for the overall good of the area and Wealden. On the basis of the points below I ask you to ‘disagree’ with the Uckfield NW option (Question 14a) and the Uckfield Ringles Cross Option (Question 14b) “LANDSCAPE IMPACT: NW Uckfield landscape shares many of the characteristics of the High Weald including large tracts of woodland, ancient woodland, wooded ghylls and associated streams, sandstone outcrops and expanses of birch, gorse and bracken. Much of the woodland is ancient in origin and therefore of considerable ecological value. Uckfield Town is almost completely concealed by the NW ridgeline of trees along Snatts Road. The proposed site is clearly visible from 2 AONBS (South Downs and Ashdown Forest) Long views stretch away northwards to the heathland ridges of the Ashdown Forest. Officers (for Development Control North Sub-Committee) consider that the visually exposed and rural qualities of the open slopes (below Snatts Road) make the application site sensitive to development. Any outward expansion would be highly intrusive in the landscape, breaching a significant visual horizon and exposing the urban area of Uckfield to a wide tract of previously unaffected countryside. In landscape terms, it is considered the development would cause unacceptable harm to the rural setting of Uckfield and Maresfield and the visual quality, continuity and rural character of the surrounding countryside. ECOLOGICAL IMPACT: The site includes a number of habitats of nature conservation importance, including an ancient woodland complex, ghyll woodland, old herb-rich grassland, ponds and streams. The site supports a number of European protected species together with a range of other species protected by UK legislation and supports priority species listed in the UK Biodiversity Action Plan, together with other bird species of nature conservation concern. Direct adverse impacts would be the loss of ancient woodland and the loss of acid grassland at Budletts Common. However, there is also potential for indirect adverse impacts through fragmentation of woodland by the construction of the road network, changes to the local hydrology in the ghylls and through exposure of sensitive habitats to urban activities such as informal recreation, predation by domestic pets and rubbish dumping. The Environmental Agency, the Sussex Wildlife Trust and the Woodland Trust have all raised significant concerns over the ecological impact of development and objected to the application. Ancient Woodland is the closest approximation to natural broad-leave forest to be found in England and is therefore the most ecologically valuable. It is an irreplaceable resource both for its diversity of species and for its longevity as woodland. The Government recognizes that ancient woodland is declining and becoming fragmented and should be protected for its value as a biodiversity resource. PPS9 Biological and Geological Conservation states that Local Planning authorities should not grant planning permission for any development that would result in its loss or deterioration unless the need for, and benefits of, the development in that location outweigh the loss of the woodland habitat. The site includes wet woodland and ghyll woodland. Ghyll woodland is a nationally rare habitat and virtually unique to South East England. Wet woodland is a UK Biodiversity Action Plan priority habitat. At Downlands farm rare lichen and mosses have been recorded on the sandstone rock exposures. Ghylls are too steep-sided to have ever been cultivated and have often had continuous tree cover.
maintaining a damp, shady microclimate for hundreds or thousands of years. Both ghyll and wet woodland habitats are known for their fragility and sensitivity to human disturbance and water pollution. The EA also consider the size and connectivity of the site of natural habitats is also significant in terms of the range and numbers of species it supports. Government PPS9 states that ‘local authorities should aim to maintain networks by avoiding or repairing fragmentation and isolation of natural habitats…. Such developments should be protected from development and, where possible, strengthened by or integrated within’ The EA also consider that the potential ecological impacts on the adjacent Lake Wood SNCI and on high quality watercourses downstream and outside the application site have not been adequately addressed. Overall, it is considered that the adverse ecological impacts of development cannot be sufficiently mitigated, particularly the loss of irreplaceable natural habitats such as ancient woodland, fragmentation and isolation of the remaining habitats arising from increased human activity." There is much more which can be found on the WDC site. WDC Refusal was based on the following:- • The proposed development represents an unjustified and unacceptable form of development of a site that lies in open countryside outside the defined development boundary for Uckfield • The proposed development would result in the unacceptable loss of ancient woodland and wetland habitats and lead to the unacceptable fragmentation, isolation and disturbance of natural habits that support protected wildlife species and other flora and fauna of acknowledged nature conservation importance • Insufficient information submitted to enable a proper assessment of the archaeological implications of the proposed development • The proposal does not satisfactorily demonstrate that adequate provision will be made to facilitate walking and cycling and reduce dependence on the private car • The proposal does not satisfactorily demonstrate that there would be an acceptable impact on the surrounding highway network, particularly in Uckfield Town Centre which is already heavily congested at peak times • The applicant has failed to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the District Planning Authority that the proper provision and delivery of the necessary infrastructure improvements can be secured to meet the additional needs OBJECTS – ENVIRONMENT AGENCY Proposed main access via Blackdown roundabout unacceptable ecological impact (includes Budletts Common). Proposed ponds adjacent to Blackdown roundabout will result in unacceptable loss of habitat. Roads will prevent movement of Great Crested Newts. Residential layout will result in loss of wet grassland and ancient wet woodland. The effects of increased human and pet access to the Longwood ghyll and Shermanreed Wood ghylls have not been addressed and are likely to be highly detrimental. The ecological impacts on adjacent Sites of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCI) have not been addressed OBJECTS - WOODLAND TRUST Downlands Farm contains areas of ancient woodland, including wet woodland and ghyll woodland, forming a rare mosaic of ecologically rich and sensitive habitats. The development proposals would result in unnecessary damage and loss to ancient woodland in conflict with Government guidance and development plan policies OBJECTS – FORESTRY COMMISSION The proposed development will have a significant impact on Ancient Woodland within the site. The Governments forestry policies highlight the importance of Ancient Woodland and strongly discourage development that results in its loss…. These woodlands are of significant ecological interest and are sensitive to changes around and within them OBJECTS – Highways Authority Proposal would lead to an unacceptable increase in existing safety hazards and unacceptable traffic conditions in Uckfield Town Centre. The proposal does not demonstrate that there would be an acceptable impact on the surrounding highway network COUNTY ARCHAEOLOGIST In light of potential archaeological significance need an archaeological assessment to be undertaken OBJECTS - FOOTPATHS OFFICER OBJECTS – Head of Policy and Environment OBJECTS – Arboriculture and Landscape Section Falls within an area of countryside dominated by wide tracts of ancient woodland, including SNCI Woodland Trust Lake Wood wildlife reserve and the development would have a grave impact on wildlife, including the habitat of European protected species. The landscape is a fine example of the High Weald/Low Weald interface and the development would have a significant negative impact upon its character quality. There would be an unsustainable relationship between existing and proposed new trees and the new development OBJECTS – Sussex Wildlife Trust Environmental impact fails to correctly value the ecological assets of the site and underestimates the resulting impacts. The site is of historic and ecological importance because it has remained largely undeveloped and is a good example of the Weald landscape being a mosaic of habitats of ancient woodland and unimproved grassland. The site is an important link within the larger ecological network of the area OBJECTS - CPRE SUSSEX Site represents a new community isolated from Uckfield.
Development would reduce the countryside gap which separates Uckfield from Maresfield and Five Ash Down. The inhabitants would tend to rely on car transport contrary to Government policy.

OBJECTS – Uckfield Town Council
The scale and size of the development. Lack of infrastructure to support the development. Congestion at the access site. Potential Ecological conflicts. Onsite water treatment unacceptable

OBJECTS – Maresfield Parish Council
Reduce the important countryside gap between Uckfield and Maresfield. Ecological/biodiversity. Insufficient infrastructure. Development opposed to the principles and policies of the non-statutory plan relating to the protection of the setting and individual identities of settlements and preventing their coalescence. The proposed development would generate an unacceptable level of additional traffic exacerbating current traffic problems in Maresfield and Nutley

OBJECTS – 215 letters and a petition
469 signatures (December 2006)

LOCAL PLAN REVIEW
5th December 2000
Wealden Local Plan Review concluded that any outward expansion of development on the north western edge of the town, largely comprising land at Downlands Farm and Budletts Common would cause unacceptable damage to the visual quality, continuity and rural character of the surrounding countryside. Further consideration was given by the council in 2002 a detailed reappraisal of the land on the north-western edge of the town was carried out in order to assess its potential to accommodate major housing growth, but this reappraisal confirmed the councils earlier findings in terms of landscape impact, as well as identifying significant concerns in education and transport issues. 15th December 2003 a further planning review and 91 objections were received* Please help protect and conserve this ecologically rich area and ‘disagree’ with development of NW of Uckfield. This specifically includes Downlands Farm and Budletts Common and extends to either side of the A22 NW of Uckfield. Disagree to Question 14a and 14b.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reed
Agent ID: 106111
Part of document: ☐ document ☑ chapter ☐ section ☐ option
Option 14a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 14b

Reason for comment:
Too close to SSSI Buxted Park and other Sites of Nature Conservation importance. Will close strategic countryside gap for Buxted and Five Ash Down. Many points similar referred to in response to question 14a. Will increase traffic using Snatts Road which is a small country lane and already dangerous at exit/entry and generally not suitable for increased traffic. Will negatively increase traffic on old London Road and potential for more accidents either at Budletts Common and A272 junction.

Option 14c

Reason for comment:
Large scale development trying to use Framfield Road as access is unsuitable as it is already congested and it will be harmful to residents of Framfield Road. More suitable access should be sought before site contemplated.
Option 14g

Reason for comment:
Need to ensure no significant landscape horizons breached, that any ecologically sensitive areas are protected, that local conservation bodies are listened to, any development is sensitive to local residents, to help fund re-instating train link. Main concern is risk of flooding and that flood prevention is key and environment agency consent to development is confirmed. Flood defenses for Uckfield must be in place prior to this development.

Option 15a

Option 15b

Option 15c
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Reed</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106111</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16e</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Reed</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Reed</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Reed</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16f</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Reed
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Reed
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 17a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Reed
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 17b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Reed
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Reed</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Reed
Agent ID:

106111

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

This number of dwellings is excessive for Maresfield and the number should be dramatically reduced.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reed
Agent ID:

106111

Part of document: Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

This number of dwellings is excessive for Maresfield and the number should be dramatically reduced.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reed
Agent ID:

106111

Part of document: Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Five Ash Down has approx 100 dwellings currently with another 140 planned at the old Grampian site, this is enough for one small village. This new number is completely disproportionate and would start to merge the village with Uckfield / Maresfield and Buxted. If there is a need after the 140 it should be a few, not many as proposed. Rural allocations should be dispersed through the community with each and every village taking a share not just selecting a few villages. A developer may well want larger locations to make more money, however, Wealden should be encouraging smaller developments to protect our precious landscape and countryside.
Consultee ID: Mrs Reed
106111

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Buxted has already taken a number of developments, 120 is too many and should be reduced. Also, no development near Buxted Park which is a Grade II listed SSSI. The station car parking needs to be improved to stop parking outside residential properties all around the village.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reed
106111

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 21g

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Do not concentrate large development on a few villages within Wealden. The rural villages/community needs to take their fair share of development and disperse building through Wealden. Smaller is better rather than overloading a few with out of propotion developments. Developers may well like big sites, this is out of keeping with Wealden. villages should not be expanded above 10-20% otherwise they will loose their indiviudal identity and if it is too large become mini towns and ruin what is good about Wealden.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reed
106111

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Massive developments along the re-instated line should not be encouraged, however, small scale developments in keeping with its locality would be encouraged.

Reason for comment:
Massive developments along the re-instated line should not be encouraged, however, small scale developments in keeping with its locality would be encouraged.

Reason for comment:
Massive developments along the re-instated line should not be encouraged, however, small scale developments in keeping with its locality would be encouraged.

Reason for comment:
Question One

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
What does "some" development mean? Once you allow "some" development surely you set the precedent for more and then you no longer have an area of outstanding beauty!

Option 2a

Reason for comment:
It should at least meet the target which seems low.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
Local jobs are important, once you change the use you lose the employment opportunities.

Option 3b

Reason for comment:
Of course!
Consultee ID: Mrs Head 106113

Part of document: Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Absolutely otherwise you cause more congestion and traffic problems with everyone having to commute for work.

Consultee ID: Mrs Head 106113

Part of document: Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Yes we need more local jobs and less commuting or better transport links. Travelling into Eastbourne by public transport for work is a nightmare and takes around 45 - 60 mins each way.

Consultee ID: Mrs Head 106113

Part of document: Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
How would you travel there?

Reason for comment:
Please don't keep adding housing to the edges of Hailsham - we moved here from Eastbourne because of the peace and the surrounding countryside and for a better quality of life. Transport, schooling and doctors all need to be included in expansion and there are already too many houses about to be built despite our objections.

Reason for comment:
Too many houses, causing too much traffic, shortage of school places, shortage of doctors, shops, work etc. Losing countryside. No thanks.
Consultee ID: Mrs Head 106113  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 15b  
Section of Document: 
Your View ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 
No more housing onto the edges of Hailsham or else it will end up like Eastbourne and Stone Cross with no "gap" between Hailsham and Polegate or Horsebridge. What about a rail link at the southern end of Hailsham and Polegate? Hailsham should retain its character as a market town.

Consultee ID: Mrs Head 106113  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Question 15g  
Section of Document: 
Your View ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 
No more housing onto the edges of Hailsham or else it will end up like Eastbourne and Stone Cross with no "gap" between Hailsham and Polegate or Horsebridge. What about a rail link at the southern end of Hailsham and Polegate? Hailsham should retain its character as a market town.

Consultee ID: Houslop 106115  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 18g  
Section of Document: 
Your View ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 
No more development to Hellingly - at least until you see the impact that this will have on our town. We have grave concerns about the effect this will have on our roads locally and schools and doctors.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 2a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 2b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Houslop

106115

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Houslop

106115

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Houslop

106115

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Boundaries should remain in tact otherwise development will eventually be allowed to continued past anything currently planned

Consultee ID: Houslop

106115

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
| Consultee ID: | Houslop | Agent ID: | 106115 |
| Part of document: | Option 6a | Section of Document: |
| Your View: | [ ] Agree | [ ] No opinion | [ ] Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |

| Consultee ID: | Houslop | Agent ID: | 106115 |
| Part of document: | Option 6b | Section of Document: |
| Your View: | [ ] Agree | [ ] No opinion | [ ] Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |

| Consultee ID: | Houslop | Agent ID: | 106115 |
| Part of document: | Option 6c | Section of Document: |
| Your View: | [ ] Agree | [ ] No opinion | [ ] Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |

| Consultee ID: | Houslop | Agent ID: | 106115 |
| Part of document: | Option 7a | Section of Document: |
| Your View: | [ ] Agree | [ ] No opinion | [ ] Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |
Consultee ID: Houslop
Consultee ID: Houslop
Consultee ID: Houslop
Consultee ID: Houslop

Agent ID:
Agent ID:
Agent ID:
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 7d
Part of document: Option 9a
Part of document: Question Ten
Part of document: Option 14a

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View
Your View
Your View
Your View

Disagree
Disagree
Disagree
Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14b**

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14c**

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14e**

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14f**

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 21a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 21c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Houslop</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106115</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Houslop  
106115

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Houslop  
106115

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Houslop  
106115

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I, Ann Haig McVitty, evidently have the reputation of "being the member of the public in the whole of Wealden, who has followed Planning Policies and development control matters, and attended more planning meetings over the past 30 years, than any other person" and therefore, it must be assumed that I do understand the issues and the reasoning behind most of the questions contained in this massive document, and just how important it is that everyone of us, from whatever our own particular stand-point or interest may be, to make, whatever our comment may be NOW before 14th August; as on what is recorded in this CORE STRATEGIC CONSULTATION DOCUMENT now is likely to affect the Planning Policies and Programmes that will affect all our lives over the next 20 years! However, even I, (who am very far from being alone in this) do find that the whole way these Documents, Instructions and S ummaries have been put together, and presented to the public, extremely confusing. ( Even the numbering of the Parts/Chapters and the 32 odd questions, is not consistent from document to document!.) Basically, the whole approach is not at all user friendly and to the majority of the ordinary members of the public - particularly those who do not use computers - who are the very people who will be affected by what is eventually contained in this document, it must be very "putting off"? I would never have thought that it would take me, 5 hours, followed by a 7 hour session yesterday going over the answers to questions affecting a local organisation on which I am a committee member, and a further couple of hours this evening, to work out just How and Where I should make the "individual personal comments " I need to make on various issues of concern to me. If this happens to someone like myself - then I am not at all surprised that the reaction I have had to asking probably 20 individual people whether they were aware of this Consultation, and whether they had or were going to contribute? I have been met with blank stares, or remarks like, "oh! I think we got something about this through the post, but it looked very complicacated", and "what is the point as "they" will do what they want anyway". The Danger must be, that the responses you may get, could be largely from Agents, and Developers, and Government Departments, who will naturally have a very different view on what should happen where, over the next 20 years, than the local people of all ages, whose housing needs for themselves and their families, now and in the future, are in my view ,what should be directing the policies that will eventually come out of this Consultation? Thus the unique character of Wealden, the conservation of the environment, and the best use of the resources we have, could end up by being compromised for ever, with the whole of the South East being covered with "the wrong sort of houses" ( i.e. the ones that are most profitable for developers to build - so that communities are left without the necessary community facilities to support both "the sense of living in a community" and the infrastructur necessary BEFORE ANY NEW HOUSES AT ALL CAN BE BUILT! 3-8-07
1. THE GOVERNMENT REQUIREMENT FOR HUGE NUMBERS OF NEW HOUSING IN THE SOUTH EAST OVER THE NEXT 20 YEARS APPEARS TO BE DESIGNED FOR THE BENEFIT OF DEVELOPERS RATHER THAN FOR THE NEEDS OF THE LOCAL INHABITANTS OF THE TOWNS AND VILLAGES, BE THEY OLD, YOUNG, KEY WORKERS, FAMILIES THAT DO NOT WANT TO SPLIT UP ETC. ETC. THIS RESULT IS THAT THE SOUTH EAST NOT GETTING THE LOW-COST/AFFORDABLE/SOCIAL HOUSING NEEDED FOR THE SUCCESSFUL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND SOCIAL REQUIREMENTS OF THE AREA. WHATEVER HOUSING IS BUILT, IT MUST BE BUILT WITH A VIEW NOT ONLY TO PROVIDE HOUSING FOR DIFFERENT SECTIONS OF THE COMMUNITY, BUT KEEPING IN MIND HOW BEST TO CREATE NEW JOBS AND PROVIDE EMPLOYMENT IN THAT AREA: SO THAT OVER THE NEXT 20 YEARS WE DO NOT GET GETTOS OF HOUSING WITHOUT ANYTHING BEING DONE TO ENSURE THAT THE OCCUPANTS FORM PART OF A SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY. 2. IT IS QUITE USELESS TO PLAN FOR NEW HOUSING IN AREAS WHERE THERE IS ALREADY A STRAIN ON THE INFRASTRUCTURE, E.G. WATER SUPPLIES, DRAINAGE, ACCESS TO COMMUNITY FACILITIES, DOCTORS, SCHOOLS ETC. EQUALLY, TO BUILD ON FLOOD PLAINS OR CRAM IN MORE HOUSES WHERE FLOODING HAS ALREADY BEEN EXPERIENCED WITHOUT FIRST TAKING FLOOD PROTECTION MEASURES IS both Irresponsible, and asking for trouble that goes far beyond flooding, ie. insurance claims and rising premiums that only go to Increase the price of houses that is the root of all the trouble over shortage of “affordable housing”.

Then there is the whole question of allowing building "in the Countryside" where there is a case for rethinking the whole "exceptions policy". Just as Social Housing is now allowed in certain circumstances as an exception - Over the next 20 years with the farming industry declining and "those who live and work in the countryside" not only have to "diversify to survive", but there is going to be an increased need to consider "who is going to "conserve and look after the countryside"? - indeed our heritage"? That can only happen, if those who are willing and interested in such things as cutting the hedges, clearing the ditches, replanting the trees keeping the fences in repair and encouraging wildlife habitats etc. have the incentive to do so and the financial means of so doing. I therefore believe, there is, and certainly will in some instances, a case for allowing the building of an occasional, substantial new dwelling in the countryside, on land that is not being used for traditional farming, or is on too small an acreage to be financially viable from traditional agricultural use etc. This should only be allowed, where the owners of the house are carrying out, or setting up such activities that will in themselves creates employment so that the existence of a large property in that area becomes in itself the creator of employment, and becomes the centre for maintaining and enhancing the environment around it, including the maintenance and husbandry of the land. This should be for the benefit of everyone in the area. What I am suggesting could in a sense be described as a return to something like "The Victorian Era" where the occupants of "the big house", and the land around it, supported the cottagers around, by giving them employment to maintain whatever enterprises stemmed from "the big house". I see this sort of development as the only way the scaling down of traditional farming (when the farmland/countryside was well maintained, and as a side line benefit, non profitable jobs such as cutting the hedges etc. happened, when the main profits from the use of the land, were coming from farming as it used to be. etc.). At the present time, exceptions policies are far too narrow to be able to encompass "development for the future" and it can only happen where the "design of the house is unusual and of exceptional quality. (This has happened with the building of The Castle in the middle of a lake by a Tunbridge Wells Dentist in the late 80's when I was present when permission for this was given by 1 vote! "I do not see why we should not allow this man to realise his dream of a lifetime, when the risk is all his, and what he is doing is utilising and enhancing the amenities of the area" I therefore find it surprising that when quite recently, Wealden had an application for an unusually designed half burried, echo-friendly house, designed to be the hub in the centre of a landscape that needed maintenance and enhancement which would be provided from the house and its owners, (with, as I understand it "the property being written in trust, so that the benefits it was designed to bring to the area, would continue in perpetuity) that this application was refused by Wealden. I hope over the next twenty years, those in charge will not be so bound by traditional planning "boundaries as we have come to see them, that they will be unable to adapt to inivative development, of what may at first sight be a completely new way of looking after our heritage, without which the landscape as we know it will simply die away.
Consultee ID: 106117  
Mrs. Haig McVitty  
Private Individual

Part of document:  
- Option 2a  
- Option 2b  
- Option 3a  
- Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

- Option 2a
  Am concerned that using wood chippings etc. for energy and placing new housing near the sourse, would further erode the tree cover and character of the area, which is already being seriously eroded by inappropriate development. Have to remember that felled trees reduce the take up of water, which leads to flooding - so going for too much "renewable energy in principle alone, could be a short sighted policy long term.

- Option 3a
  Agree  
  No opinion  
  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 3c

Reason for comment:
The point is that each site has to be considered on its merit - small, medium sized business space probably preferable but "suitable locations " is subjective and can only be judged together with the employment and housing needs in the area.

Option 3d

Reason for comment:

Your View

Option 6a

Reason for comment:
Because too much new development on the outside edges of towns is in danger of isolating residents from shops, doctors, community services in the centre of the towns, and this is particularly applicable if one has put sheltered/low-cost housing for the elderly, who may not have cars, right at the edge of the towns.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty  
Agent ID:  
106117  
Private Individual

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Agree  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

There will be circumstances where a village needs to expand, particularly if any new development on the edge of towns locates the type of housing Wealden Senior Citizens Panel is interested in too far away from the centre of the town. It would not be desirable to rule out development over the next twenty years in villages which have now lost their village shop, post office, schools etc as that could be condemning these villages forever as dormitory areas with no incentive for the young to stay in their own community, find work and help to regenerate the area.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty  
Agent ID:  
106117  
Private Individual

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 4e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Agree  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

It would be presumptuous of me to comment on new employment sites in this area, as I do not live there, and therefore comment would smack of "nimbyism".
THERE IS SOMETHING INHERENTLY WRONG IN POLICIES THAT AUTOMATICALLY INCLUDE GARDENS AS "BROWNFIELD" AND THEREFORE DEVELOPMENT SITES! IT HAS LED TO THE OPPORTUNIST PRACTICE, OF SITES BEING CLEAR FELLED, REDUCED TO WHAT RESEMBLES A "SCORCHED EARTH" LANDSCAPE BEFORE ANY PLANNING APPLICATION IS SUBMITTED - THUS "REMOVING" THE GROUNDS ON WHICH A PLANNING APPLICATION MIGHT HAVE BEEN REFUSED. - EG. " Loss of Tree Cover, Wildlife habitat, (including protected species) Change of Character to the amenities of the area, and to neighbouring properties, - increased traffic considerations, etc. etc." We are told that under the present directives Anyone has a perfect right to fell trees in their gardens "UNLESS THEY ARE COVERED BY TPO'S" BUT the trouble is that the sound of chain saws almost invariably happens at weekends, when the officers of the local authority are not available to intervene, and most of us are not aware of a tree or a group of trees that forinstance "contribute to the street scene" until the saws are out. FURTHERMORE, THERE HAS BEEN A RECENT GLARING EXAMPLE OF AN ENTIRE WOODLAND THAT WAS COVERED BY A WOODLAND TPO, BEING FELLED, WITHOUT AN EXAMPLE BEING MADE OF THE CULPRIT, SO AS TO WARN POTENTIAL DEVELOPERS THAT SUCH BLATENT ABUSE OF STEPS TAKEN TO PROTECT THE ENVIRONMENT WOULD NEVER BE TOLLERATED. WHAT SORT OF MESSAGE HAS THAT SENT OUT TO DEVELOPERS - ONLY THE ONE THAT "THEY CAN GET AWAY WITH ANYTHING"? (In any case I do not think enough attention is being paid to what the loss of tree cover is doing to the environment, quite apart from the change of character to an area where its tree covered nature is part of the special amenities and unique character of the area - The more an area is built over, and "concreted over" the less the trees are able to soak up the moisture, and surface water drainage problems are created). This whole "gardens being designated "brown field sites" has led to the wrong sort of development taking place, where it never should have been allowed in the first place - and the whole character of an area being altered to the detriment of the amenities of the neighbours. It has opened up a "field day" opportunity for developers, only interested in obtaining huge profits by demolishing a perfectly good house, and replacing it with housing that is either unsuitable for the area, or of a cramped style out of character with the character of the area and detrimental to the amenities of adjoining residents, who have to live with it, when the original owner of the vacated/demolished house, moves elsewhere! (Over the past 3O years of studying development trends in the Warren/St.Johns area of Crowborough I can think of very few instances where the land round a large house has been sold for development, that the original owner has remained living in the original house. ie. development has been encouraged, which the original owner of the site, would have normally objected to.!) The situation is even worse now, when the trend is for developers to try to buy up perfectly good houses, with the intention of demolishing them, and applying for planning permission for development of a much greater density and of a different character. Building on unsuitable sites of this type, of course creates a precent so that adjoining neighbours tend to be tempted to "jump on the band waggon". What is happening now that "gardens" which used to be protected from "backland development" and by a policy of development in the Warren Area of Crowborough being restricted to plots having to be at least a quarter of an acre in size, having been "torn up" and the criteria of "greed" taking over, which would be all right in certain circumstances IF WE WERE GETTING THE TYPE OF HOUSING the Governement is demanding we build, but we are NOT! This appalling policy is resulting in demolition of perfectly good houses, rather than their adaptation/refurbishment, so that we are getting the type of development that is going to make the biggest profit for the owners of the land/ and the developers behind them, irrespective of the type of housing that is actually required in the area. OVER THE NEXT 20 YEARS
ONE WOULD HOPE TO SEE THE WHOLE POLICY AND CRITERIA OF WHERE AND WHAT TYPE OF HOUSES ARE BUILT, ADJUSTED SO THAT THE "UNREASONABLE PROFIT" ELEMENT, IS REPLACED BY ONE OF WHAT IS ACTUALLY SUITABLE AND IN CHARACTER WITH THE AREA, AND MEETS THE HOUSING REQUIREMENTS OF THE PARTICULAR TOWN OR VILLAGE. It does not mean that building in overlarge gardens should never be allowed, but it should not be to the detriment of setting of the original house, or future residents of that property - and before a permission is given for a property to be demolished, I think alternative uses/adaptations of it should be considered. But ABOVE ALL STEPS MUST BE TAKEN, TO PREVENT THE FELLING OF TREES AND THE CLEARANCE OF SITES BEFORE A PLANNING APPLICATION IS SUBMITTED - BY REQUIRING ANYONE CONTEMPLATING SUCH AN ACTION, TO GIVE NOTICE TO THE LOCAL AUTHORITY IN THE FIRST PLACE, SO THAT THE SITE CAN BE CHECKED, FOR THE AFFECT SUCH AN ACTION MIGHT HAVE ON THE WILDLIFE HABITAT ETC. BEFORE THE EVENT, NOT AFTER AND TO SEE IF ANY TPO'S WERE APPROPRIATE.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
Agent ID: 106117
Private Individual

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A certain amount of ‘organic’ growth is needed to cater for the needs of the existing local residents particularly the elderly, who may want to downsize but not move away from a familiar locality, and the young who may want to stay in the locality near their parents, and to be near their work. Therefore in order to maintain a balanced population in even the smallest communities, development boundaries should be reviewed when necessary and not set in stone, and villages which have "lost" their school, shop, post office etc now may well turn out to be the more suitable sites for new development in order to "generate new employment opportunities, keep the schools open, so they are not closed for "lack of pupils etc. The whole process of development is something of a "chicken and egg concept".
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

If this option is chosen, the location, style and type of housing should be carefully monitored, and development should only be allowed where there is a real proved local need: e.g.: Consideration should be given to “sheltered housing” and “sheltered housing plus” as at present under consideration by the County Council. The options before us talk a great deal about low cost/affordable housing, and not enough about either "sheltered housing" or the possibility of going back to allowing Local Authorities to build even a limited number of houses for rent again, for which in certain circumstances, there could be some justification. (Because of the frustration Local Authorities must feel, over the outside restrictions and regulations that must affect their ability to manage the "housing lists" so that local residents feel that what is happening is "fair". I can see the problem of people being unable to get onto, let alone off, the housing list in the future, increasing rather than decreasing, unless the local authorities are allowed much more discretion to manage their own affairs. The housing corporations who provide much of this sort of housing with the local authorities at the moment, seem to me to be expanding their own agendas in this field, so that the trend is likely to be "away from Local Authorities altogether" rather than "closer". This is a political matter, and perhaps not for this Consultation, but rightly or wrongly, I feel instinctively, that the trend may not be moving in the right direction as things are, and that our electorate might well prefer to know that it was their "Local Authority" to whom they pay Council Tax that they need to go to on housing matters - even if it is only to seek help in "changing a light bulb". Ever since the Sale of Council Houses was permitted, the social "gains" that concept made, did end up by creating "losses" such as unacceptable waiting lists for those "on the housing list" simply because there was no accommodation available - and priority at the top of the list often going to newcomers from outside the area (e.g. immigrants) at the expense of local families who had been waiting for many years. Therefore, I would like to see if not a return to Local Authorities being landlords and building Council Houses, that at least they be allowed to retain more money from "business rates" to enable them to pay more attention to repairs, and improving the quality of accommodation for many of their most vulnerable residents, instead of sometimes giving the impression "that they do not care!"
As people get older they need basic services such as doctors' surgeries and transport links to hospitals, shops etc and therefore should probably be discouraged from moving to new developments in isolated communities where such services may not exist, but as well as such practical matters; the fact of retaining contact with family and friends and interests is even more important. On Changes to the Boundaries: There are certain illogical here in Crowborough, which I believe need to be tidied up. It is illogical that the top end of Goldsmiths Avenue, Beacon Road West, Warren Road etc. should be in Crowborough West, rather than the Boundary being along Beacon Road itself. Equally, the boundary between Withyham and St. Johns, as you go down St. Johns Road, should include "Murray Cottage" within St. Johns where it always used to be, and there seems to be a certain illogicality over the boundary on the N side of St. Johns Road, whereby One side of Old Lane is on Crowborough St. Johns, and the other in Witham (with very different Council Tax levels) But IF THIS WERE TO BE CHANGED, AND MORE HOUSES INCLUDED IN CROWBOROUGH, THEN WHEN IT COMES TO SETTING COUNCIL TAX LEVELS, CROWBOROUGH TOWN COUNCIL, SHOULD TAKE NOTE THAT, THE MORE HOUSEHOLDS THERE ARE IN THEIR DOMAIN, THE LESS SHOULD BE THE LEVEL OF THEIR OVER HIGH COUNCIL TAX LEVY. (This did not happen when the whole of St. Johns, (Withyham) was subsumed into (Crowborough) St. Johns in the early 1980, as was assumed would happen, and this caused a lot of understandable resentment among the residents of St. Johns, bearing in mind the very considerable difference in levels of Council Tax ) THIS RAISES THE WHOLE SUBJECT OF COUNCIL TAX, AND THE EFFECT THAT HAS ON THE HOUSING MARKET, AND THE WELFARE OF MANY OF THE INHABITENTS, but this is probably not the place to go into that, so I raise it only as a marker, as it affects the whole of the housing market, and houses, of what type and where they are built, etc. The introduction of the HIPS scheme which is likely to end up by affecting the sale of all houses except the smallest, is likely to affect the whole of the housing market, Over the next 20 years, the boundary that goes through the middle of Groombridge is also illigical, and must cause considerable anomolies to that community, when half the village is not even in Wealden. I would expect the people who live in that area to express views on this. If the question relates to settlement boundaries, in the context of where development can and cannot take place at the moment, then I think that villages like Blackham, which in my life time, had a school, a village shop, a garage, and a post office (all of which have gone) and it is therefore it is designated as "not within a development area" then I think this is an area, which could well benefit from more developent - low cost/ affordable housing schemes, etc. which in turn could create the need for the return of Post Office, Shop etc. etc. As I lived in the are for 15 years and know it well, I am aware of potential development sites there which will never come forward, as long as it is uneconomic for the landowners to release the land, or where any proposals are automatically turned down. Where a landowner has land in an area at present not designated for additional housing, the opportunity should be taken to re-consider that designation, if appropriate - taking into consideration that the whole village could benefit, as housing > people = more children = keeping the school open; the more people, the more demand for facilities such as shops, medical facilities halls etc. all of which generate employment which will keep the younger generation as part of their own local communities etc. etc. I do not like to witness the total "gentrification" of a village, to the exclusion of the local inhabitants, which tends to happen if housing in a village is only affordable to people from outside the village etc. The same sort of comments could apply to the village of Withyham, which includes Balls Green and has a school, a pub, and a church, but has lost its Church, I would think that there could be scope for revising the development boundaries there, for the benefit of the village as a whole - but doubtless the Parish Council will comment on that.
Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
Agent ID: 106117 Private Individual

Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Housing development suitable for older people would be more appropriate in urban locations, where it is more likely that there will already be the services and support personnel particularly needed by the elderly. However, it should not be assumed that this is automatically the case and planning for new development should be carried out with reference to comments at 6a. And villages where the elderly already live should be considered, so that large houses can be vacated and the elderly do not have to lose contact with their own community.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
Agent ID: 106117 Private Individual

Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ✔ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

It would be wrong to disagree categorically with this percentage. Some villages need more new development than others in order to sustain community services such as schools, churches, shops etc which they might be in danger of losing if younger families are not enabled to find affordable housing in their locality.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
Agent ID: 106117 Private Individual

Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

There could be a case for development in villages which have already lost their facilities (see 6d above) (e.g. Withyham, Blackham) as well as in the case of smaller villages, where for example there might be only one shop and a school where numbers are dropping, there is a greater need to build up the community by providing housing for young families, to ensure that the community remains viable having an age group mix of inhabitants. Slightly larger villages on the other hand might have reached the capacity of their services and could only cope with a small number of additional houses. It is more complicated than this “sliding scale” approach would indicate.
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Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
Agent ID: 106117

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 7b

Reason for comment:
The choice of Rotherfield as a village earmarked with potentially large growth seems to be perverse, when there is often almost total "traffic grid-lock" trying to negotiate one’s way through the village by the Church, round the corner by the shop, turning to the right where there is the added traffic hazzard of cars etc. coming into Rotherfield from Mark Cross. The Chart for Growth potential is far too rigid and may well have to be changed as time goes on as farm diversification schemes etc provide more local employment and there is the need for local housing schemes where there is no obvious need now. Growth potential would need to be constantly reviewed as circumstances change. This need for flexibility will be linked with policies for the conversion of farm buildings, which I would rather see converted to small scale industrial units, rather than additional dwelling houses, but this will depend on the individual circumstances and location of the building. The key word here, is FLEXIBILITY.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
Agent ID: 106117

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 7c

Reason for comment:
THIS IS A POLICY THAT WILL HAVE TO BE KEPT UNDER CONSTANT REVIEW - PARTICULARLY IN ACCORDANCE WITH "PLANNING POLICY STATEMENT 7 "- SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN RURAL AREAS Published in August 2004 and as far as I know has not been superceded. This is a policy which will have to be kept under constant review and the level of growth potential reviewed, to take into account changes in population etc. to ensure that villages which have not been designated as having growth potential are not allowed to decline beyond the point where they are no longer viable.
Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
106117 Private Individual

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

To do this the entire planning system would need to be changed so that the presumption is automatically in favour of an preserving existing necessary village service, instead of what happens at the moment, objectors are told that the local authority “can only consider the planning application before them” and are unable to refuse an application, because the local people “would rather have a “butcher’s shop” than another estate agent.” Etc. [THIS OF COURSE RAISES THE WHOLE QUESTION OF WHO MAKES THE PLANNING DECISIONS AND WHETHER THE POLICY OF AUTOMATICALLY DEVOLVING THIS TO FORINSTANCE TOWN COUNCILS/PARISH COUNCILS WOULD GIVE THE PUBLIC BETTER CONTROL OVER “WHAT HAPPENS LOCALLY”. I have views on that well meaning concept, which there is not time to set out here, but in general the important thing is that :- LOCAL MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC MUST NOT BE DEPRIVED OF THEIR ABILITY TO EXPRESS THEIR VIEWS ON DEVELOPMENT PROPOSED IN THEIR LOCALITY THAT IS LIKELY TO AFFECT THEIR LIVES. (I am alarmed to read that the Government proposes to stop the public challenging major development projects, which is taking away their right to protest, and is a retrograde step when it comes to individual freedoms!] Returning to the Q.7d. It is vital that no more village shops are allowed to be closed by owners who perhaps merely wish to capitalise on their properties by selling them for housing. It is much harder to re-open a shop than it is to close one. We want to promote a planning system that encourages the viability of local shops and post offices so that they do not have to close. Village plans should include consideration of the steps necessary to keep village shops/post offices viable. Otherwise, there is a danger of turning a settlement into a “dormitory” village or hamlet, which in turn may lead to increased crime levels and older people in particular, left in isolation with no village centre where they can meet, and nowhere to “cash their pensions” obtain money, or even shop for essentials. The elderly in particular, suffer when Village Shops/ Post Offices close, and there is no alternative source of these basic essentials to living available to them. The same problems arise when Doctors Surgeries are removed from villages, and the elderly are instructed to visit surgeries in surrounding villages, when there is no reliable, sufficiently frequent bus service available.....

Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
106117 Private Individual

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ☑ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

See comments made elsewhere re including some villages which are not at the moment scheduled for development at all, as their needs may well change over the next 20 years
Adequate infrastructure and services should be in place before development is even considered. It should then be up to the local parish and town councils to design and evolve their own plans, in consultation with the public, for what is suitable or desirable in the area they cover. The size threshold depends on the site available and the type and design of buildings appropriate to that site.
Before any development in Crowborough takes place it is vital that a proper community centre is provided as this not only serves the residents of Crowborough but people from the surrounding villages. WITH THE ANNOUNCEMENT IN THE LOCAL PRESS THIS WEEK THAT THE DISTRICT COUNCIL IS NOW PLANNING TO SELL OFF THEIR OFFICES AT PINE GROVE TO DEVELOPERS I have to point out that the people in Crowborough are again in danger of being “short-changed” over THE PROVISION OF THE SUITABLY SIZED COMMUNITY CENTRE - WHICH WAS AGREED IN 2004/5 AFTER EXPENSIVE CONSULTATION, TO BE A BUILDING THAT COULD ON OCCASIONS HOLD AT LEAST 500 SEATS, AND IS THE FACILITY THAT CROWBOROUGH NEEDS AND DESERVES AND HAS BEEN PROMISED FOR OVER 25 YEARS, BUT FOR A NUMBER OF REASONS (Different bodies and individuals with different aims, ideas, and objectives) HAS NEVER BEEN ACHIEVED. Because of this latest announcement, THIS NOW LOOKS EVEN MORE LIKELY NEVER TO HAPPEN! It is my opinion that over the years Wealden District Council and to an Extent the County Council have failed in what I see as one of their main responsibilities towards the electorate - “to provide the largest of the Wealden inland Towns with the Community Facilities required to "put it on the map” and serve the interests of the whole community of which Crowborough should be the hub. This “failure” has resulted in what I call “Crowborough having dropped off the map” in that outside organisations, conferences, seminars, entertainments, etc. simply do not even consider coming to Crowborough, with all the benefits that might bring to the town, for the simple reason that Crowborough does not have the facilities required to cater for their needs. These sort of gatherings and activities who are instead going to Uckfield, Hailsham, Heathfield, and even Lewes and Brighton, so it is small wonder that Crowborough to the outside world has the reputation of being a “no-go” area. All this has come about because, apart from "vested interests" from different local organisations and people which has clearly split the objectives on the “divide and rule” principle, Wealden District Council has failed to cash in on "planning gain" that could have been achieved in for the benefit of the whole community, when land they owned was sold to Safeways/Morrisons and then through Section 106 agreements etc. ensured that at part and parcel of the deal the developers provided the Community Centre/facilities that Crowborough so seriously lacks. One has to compare Crowborough’s situation with that of Uckfield who got its Community Centre AT NO OBVIOUS COST TO THE RESIDENTS OF UCKFIELD, as part of the Tesco development in Uckfield SIMPLY BECAUSE THE TOWN COUNCIL OWNED THE LAND ON WHICH TESCO WANTED TO BUILD. Here we have been fobbed off with promises that Wealden would provide the land, for a facility which does not meet the objectives listed above, and that the Town Council and the people of Crowborough would have to pay for the greater part of any structure that might eventually go on “this land”. Without going into everything that has happened or not happened over consultations, schemes and counter schemes, to provide this essential facility for Crowborough that have gone on within the Town Council, and the Steering Group for Community Facilities at Wealden, etc. over the past 3 years, I find it deeply disturbing to recognise the possibility that Wealden District Council having “let down” the people of Crowborough when Morrison’s was built, look to be all set to do the same thing again - severe critics might say “line their own pockets” at our expense, yet again?
Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
106117 Private Individual

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
A central gathering place containing local organisations such as tourist information office, CAB, registry office (BD&M), social services centre, multi-purpose community/cultural facility to include health facilities for young families, the disabled and the elderly as well as is essential to meet the needs not only of the increasing population of Crowborough but the residents of the surrounding villages.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
106117 Private Individual

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
It is impossible to have cut-and–dried opinions about this, when viability for the developers should not be the main criteria to be considered in building dwellings to fill the enormous gap in the market. Instead we should be catering for the needs of the population. i.e. the old, the young and the working, in preference to type developers may find more profitable?

Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
106117 Private Individual

Part of document: chapter

Section of Document: Wealden’s Communities

Reason for comment:
1. OVER THE NEXT 20 YEARS IT IS ESSENTIAL THAT DEVELOPMENT MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS OF LOCAL BUSINESSES AND THE LOCAL POPULATION AND NOT MERELY THE PROFIT MARGINS OF DEVELOPERS BUILDING THE TYPE OF HOUSES WHICH ARE MOST PROFITABLE FOR THEM (IN OTHER WORDS in the main , LARGE EXECUTIVE TYPE HOUSES ). If this is allowed to continue then the reasoning behind Government demands for huge numbers of houses to be built in the South East over the next 20 years , will be in danger misfiring, in creating only "dormitory" towns and villages, when the life blood of local communities ( employment, local facilities, mixture of types of houses and their inhabitants) will have drained away.
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Preferable to 24b or 24c as it is undesirable to cram people into ‘rabbit-hutch’ developments without the community facilities being available to support a sense of community. Often high-density living means a lack of gardens and open spaces that reduce the quality of life for the residents and if this means too many one-bedroom dwellings this is sometimes a short-term policy, which restricts family visitors or accommodation for carers.

Option 24b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Impossible to generalise on density of development. This must vary according to the type of housing provided and the residents who will live there. Families with small children need more space, older people prefer tiny gardens and often do not have cars. This does not mean that they do not need parking space for visitors or even garage for “storage space” to enable them to downsize and vacate larger underused properties.

Option 24c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
As people require travel for different reasons such as getting to work, visiting shops, doctors, friends etc, this is a "chicken-and-egg situation": Transport in rural areas will never improve where there are too few passengers to use the buses and trains to capacity. But, the other side of this coins is that "more buses enable people to use the system more." Therefore increased development only in locations where there are already good travel facilities is likely to result in there being even less transport provided in areas where it is not used because of inconvenience, infrequency of service etc.

Option 25a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
As regards small dwellings for older people or first time buyers it is important that they should form part mixed developments so that we don’t build ghettos for one type of inhabitant only and the residents more easily form part of the wider community. Development plans should identify opportunities to provide community facilities across the district so that both new build and conversions provide the facilities and services in accessible locations, which conform to a set of accessibility criteria for facilities provided on site to encourage use by the whole community. As older people will be more inclined to downsize and free up larger properties for bigger families if small affordable housing units are available close to their original homes, there should be planning restrictions preventing changing the original character of these properties so that heirs cannot sell them on at a much higher price. Accommodation for vulnerable people in the district whether new-build or conversions should be of “Lifetime Homes” standards so that older people are able to continue living in them even when they become frail.
In General " We are getting the wrong sort of housing"! General market housing is taking a long time to sell in rural areas as it is, and most houses are priced outside the range of first-time buyers. Part-ownership, and housing association schemes for affordable housing, should ensure that affordable housing is available. NB: When ‘affordable housing’ is built, steps must be taken through Section 106 planning agreements etc to ensure that these houses remain affordable. In joint housing association schemes the proportion of low-cost housing that can never be sold on should be 75% of the number of houses built.

We believe this is the wrong option. A rigid rural exceptions policy will not be appropriate in the next 20 years. We would rather see the scrapping of this policy altogether in favour of a more flexible approach to the existing boundaries of many towns and villages, which will have to happen anyway if all this new building is to take place at the edges of towns and villages.

In rural areas it will be difficult to find landowners willing to part with their land for affordable housing when the going rate for land on which affordable housing is to be built is well below market value. Some HOPE projects seem to have foundered for this reason. (I understand that more realistic prices are now being proposed, but have no direct information on this)?
Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
106117 Private Individual

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
NB Making it possible for the elderly to remain in their own homes or adjacent to their relatives makes complete social and economic sense and should be encouraged in every possible way.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
106117 Private Individual

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It is important for key workers to be able to live close to their place of employment, which saves the environment being poluted unnecessary travel. For this reason planning restrictions on running businesses from your own home should be relaxed - as more and more people with want to work form home, particularly as IT technical developments make this more possible for more people.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
106117 Private Individual

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree    ☑ No opinion    ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Gipsy families have the need to travel and perhaps settle throughout the district and the same facilities should be made available to them as for the rest of the population. Ie. schools, medical facilities etc. etc.
Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
Agent ID:
106117 Private Individual

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Maintaining and improving bus services is very important to maintain the quality of life for elderly people.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
Agent ID:
106117 Private Individual

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
With an ageing population, many of whom do not have cars, reinstatement of this service would help to reduce the problem of rural isolation. The age of the population continues to increase, so that this section of the community needs to be taken into consideration in all development matters over the next twenty years.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Haig McVitty
Agent ID:
106117 Private Individual

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
BUT Any plans to reduce car use in town centres in favour of public transport should be given serious consideration, not least because of the impact of using the car on the environment. However, there are many elderly citizens for whom park and ride facilities are inappropriate because they can neither take walking aids onto public transport nor are able to carry shopping any distance when they reach the town centre.
Option 27f

Reason for comment:
Important to provide adequate off-road parking spaces particularly in the case of flats for the elderly because although they may not have cars themselves and do not drive they are all the more reliant on visits from family and friends, otherwise the social and caring quality of their life is diminished and they become lonely and isolated in their homes. The County Council Highways Department are not considering the future traffic likely to be generated when they do not object to a site being redeveloped with houses likely to generate greater car movement.

Option 28a

Reason for comment:
Parks and open spaces are vital for all age groups and to maintain a “green lung” to prevent areas being over-developed.

Option 28b

Reason for comment:
The answer to this question depends on “who is going to be responsible for the maintenance of the recreational facility incorporated into a new building scheme”. The developer will have been more likely to obtain planning permission by providing such a facility and will have already contributed to this by having to build a lesser number of houses. Therefore the maintenance of these facilities should be incorporated into planning conditions attached to the sale of these properties. SEE COMMENTS ON COMMUNITY FACILITIES AT IIf
There is no doubt that new development is needed, but for sports and leisure facilities to be lost as a result of new development would damage the social infrastructure of any community, particularly when additional housing creates a greater need for increased sports and leisure facilities.

Impossible to give a blanket approval without detailed study of the 20-odd situations listed, which is another Consultation paper in itself! The reason for this comment is that the answer to the major infrastructure items listed; what plan they are included in or not; the growth contingency option chosen; the delivery agency involved; and whether or not the developer concerned is required to make a contribution all raise very different issues. Although the obvious requirements of new sewage treatment works, traffic management schemes in towns, Uckfield flood relief scheme and new primary schools and the Crowborough multi-purpose community hall are all going to be necessary for the development control schemes covering the next 20 years.

Who wouldn't agree to a ‘perfect world’, summarised as: Protecting Wealden’s landscapes and environment; Improving economic prosperity; focusing new development where it will be most sustainable and offer the greatest potential benefit for existing communities; and Improving health and well-being of our communities? However unless there is clarification of the Government’s objectives in demanding so much new housing in this area, none of this will ever happen. See comments at Question 1.
Consultee ID: Mr Church

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Visual impact from the Downs. Would be a first step towards total ribbon development from Polegate towards Lewes.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
As long as it is in keeping with the environment and for local people.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
As long as houses can still be affordable.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
We should consider the impact on the environment and this will become even more pertinent within the next 20 years.
Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 3a

Reason for comment:
There are not enough brownfield sites left in the South East so any viable greenfield land should be put to the best use in the interests of local people.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 3b

Reason for comment:
We should do everything possible to encourage local businesses in Sussex.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 3c

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 3d

Reason for comment:
We need to encourage young people to set up home in the area by providing good jobs and affordable homes.
Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is a good area for growth but the lack of a dual carriageway on the A27 between Lewes and Polegate may put off new businesses opening up in the area.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
As long as local infrastructure is improved. I think Polegate is well situated and could become a thriving town should development be allowed.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Polegate is an ideal place for future development and this location would provide excellent transport links.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The A22 would need to be improved significantly as this is a giant car park during rush hour. Also Hailsham doesn't have a rail link so most people would be forced to commute by car.
Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill
106120

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
As long as the expansion is for affordable housing for local people.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill
106120

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Local residents should have the right to voice their opinion on where the development should be.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill
106120

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If a town's infrastructure can be upgraded it will have less impact than building a substantial development on the edge of a village.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill
106120

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Hailsham does not have good public transport links
### Option 8b

**Reason for comment:**

This is the best in terms of transport links.

### Option 8c

**Reason for comment:**

Hailsham shouldn't be over developed unless public transport is improved.

### Question Ten

**Reason for comment:**

Next to the bypass and would be less disruptive.
Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Density should reflect housing need.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
All housing allocations should take into consideration the transport links.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
But only if they are sold as affordable homes to local people. If not then they will be snapped up by buy to let owners and the housing crisis will continue.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Affordable housing should match demand not be a set amount.
Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: Option 27d

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree

Reason for comment:
The A27 needs developing if Polegate and Eastbourne are going to attract big business.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: Option 27e

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree

Reason for comment:
A park and ride site at Polegate could be used to encourage rail commuters to park out of the high street.

Consultee ID: Miss Norton-Hill

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Reason for comment:
Developers should be made to build as soon as the plans have been approved. This should be written into the planning consent.

Consultee ID: Mr Brand

Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
The reason for creating an AONB is to control development within sensitive areas. If planning controls are relaxed future generations will not forgive us for leaving a countyside that no one can enjoy.
Consultee ID: Mr Brand
106121

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It should be possible to design new houses to produce 10% of their energy needs by the use of solar panels. a) to preheat water used in central heating or hot water systems, or b) direct generation of electricity. I feel that the use of small, wind driven generators on a large scale would be unacceptable on noise and visual impact grounds.

Consultee ID: Mr Brand
106121

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Until it can be demonstrated that the 10% target can be achieved for domestic housing, it would not make sense to set an unrealistic target of 20%.

Consultee ID: jones
106124

Part of document: ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
In order to maintain a balance of more affordable housing, sympathetic development should be permitted provided this is within easy reach of existing amenities and transport links - what we are currently seeing is the development of large expensive houses in prime locations within existing development boundaries - the consequence is that the young people will not be able to afford to buy and the number of people who we need to fill socially important roles/jobs (police, nursing, teachers) will fall. The area is within (and its getting even more so) commutable distance of London so if we want communities that become more and more domitory villages for people to work in London carry on as we are now. With the Wealden district said to be one of the most desirable places to live we need to plan for inevitable growth and the demand that will put on our housing stock and the amenities.
Consultee ID: jones
Agent ID: 106124
Part of document: Option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
It should be higher - making requirements such as this will drive down the cost of alternatives i.e. solar panels.

Consultee ID: jones
Agent ID: 106124
Part of document: Option 2b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: jones
Agent ID: 106124
Part of document: Option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Find it difficult to see when a site earmarked for employment development would not be taken up given - ability of agencies such as SEEDA to take on difficult sites and clean up before selling on - when the average income is below the SE average so employment costs are lower, when there are good links to the coast and therefore Europe and good and improving links to London.

Consultee ID: jones
Agent ID: 106124
Part of document: Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: jones
Consultee ID: jones
Consultee ID: jones
Part of document: Option 3c
Part of document: Option 3d
Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Your View: Agree
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
the area needs a diverse business base as is possible
Reason for comment:
nedd to balance the creation of more affordable housing with local employment opportunities - the
Weald needs thriving communities
Reason for comment:
the boundaries should be reviewed to help deliver the proposed expansion of housing - but not all
villages should be affected - rather the new development should near good transport links and other
amenities
Consultee ID: jones  
Part of document: option  
Option 5b  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Such an approach will not deliver what is required and will lead to 'not in my back yard' - rather have a defined plan around redefined boundaries for development in appropriate locations thereby protecting the greater part of the Weald from possible development creep or more and more developments of flats in inappropriate areas.

Consultee ID: jones  
Part of document: option  
Question 5c  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
I think you should look at the larger villages and those with existing good transport links.

Consultee ID: jones  
Part of document: option  
Option 6a  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
developments in villages must take place to keep younger people there.

Consultee ID: jones  
Part of document: option  
Option 6b  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: jones  
Agent ID: 106124

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
there needs to be more employment and more affordable housing in village locations which have good services and amenities

Consultee ID: jones  
Agent ID: 106124

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: jones  
Agent ID: 106124

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
but would have concerns about the concentration level

Consultee ID: jones  
Agent ID: 106124

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID:     jones     Agent ID:
106124

Part of document:  Option 25c
  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:     jones     Agent ID:
106124

Part of document:  Option 25d
  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:     jones     Agent ID:
106124

Part of document:  Option 27b
  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:     jones     Agent ID:
106124

Part of document:  Option 28c
  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Question 31a

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Question 32a

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy

Part of document: chapter

Section of Document: Context

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment:
I feel that all aspects of the requirements for growth and development needed for future communities has been taken into consideration.
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
I agree that some development for housing needs be allowed on the 'edges' of AONBs - Positions in the landscape could be looked at more carefully, with more flexibility and with a view to allowing infilling in small villages where the impact would be acceptable and manageable. Rather than large developments to meet housing needs, these small additions, carefully considered, could make a big difference to those wishing to live and work in the rural areas.

Option 2a

Reason for comment:
While I agree that 10% renewable energy is a worthy goal for which to aim in new housing and business development, I fear that raising that percentage would tend to introduce visually unacceptable solutions. And, once upon the slippery slope there would be no going back. For instance, one has only to see the amount of towers erected for mobile phone use, now such a blot on the landscape, to understand what could happen in the name of progress.

Option 2b
Consultee ID: 106126
Mrs Murphy

Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 3a
☑️ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑️ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106126
Mrs Murphy

Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 3b
☑️ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑️ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106126
Mrs Murphy

Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 3c
☑️ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑️ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106126
Mrs Murphy

Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 3d
☑️ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑️ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 4a
Reason for comment:

Option 4b
Reason for comment:

Option 4c
Reason for comment:

Option 4d
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Murphy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106126</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Murphy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106126</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Murphy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106126</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 6c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Murphy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106126</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
106126

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
106126

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
106126

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
106126

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
106126

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
106126

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
106126

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
106126

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
106126

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
106126

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
106126

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
106126

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy 106126

Part of document: ☑️ Option 18b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy 106126

Part of document: ☑️ Option 19a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy 106126

Part of document: ☑️ Option 20b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy 106126

Part of document: ☑️ Option 21b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106126
Mrs Murphy

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106126
Mrs Murphy

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106126
Mrs Murphy

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106126
Mrs Murphy

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 24d</th>
<th>Option 25a</th>
<th>Option 25b</th>
<th>Option 25c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
Agent ID: 106126

Part of document: option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
Agent ID: 106126

Part of document: option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
Agent ID: 106126

Part of document: option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy
Agent ID: 106126

Part of document: option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106126</th>
<th>Mrs Murphy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 26a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106126</th>
<th>Mrs Murphy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106126</th>
<th>Mrs Murphy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 26b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106126</th>
<th>Mrs Murphy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy 106126

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy 106126

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy 106126

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy 106126

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy 106126

Part of document: Option 28a □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: 

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy 106126

Part of document: Option 28b □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: 

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy 106126

Part of document: Option 28c □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: 

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Murphy 106126

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Housing Delivery 

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
I see that in almost every case the developer will be needed to contribute towards the realisation of the scheme(s). Where this happens I see no reason why developers will not require some 'payback' or special consideration and this appears to me to smack of corporate bribery, putting communities at risk of giving up something more precious than what they might receive.

To disagree with these spacial objectives is not possible. Such 'heavenly' objectives for the plan make agreement the only option, surely. In this section - it appears to be words and pipe dreams. However, one must begin somewhere........so.....I agree.
The problem with allowing expansion of boundaries could result in settlements merging together and ruining the countryside. These type of developments do not seem to be supported by the necessary infrastructure or services.

Uckfield is not a suitable town for vast expansion although some additional development could be supported. This is because it is a linear town with massive traffic problems and restricted car parking. The problem will be worse once the railway is reopened which is necessary if this level of development is to be sustained.

I have concerns about the plan, though I understand the reasoning behind much of it. My main concern is that a high density of housing will destroy the very character of the area, which causes people to want to come here. I do feel that every effort must be made to provide properly to protect wildlife, provide an adequate infrastructure for housing and services for the same, not forgetting the additional pressure on medical services, and transport. Housing should be built as far as possible to blend in with the surrounding established buildings. Not least there must be adequate provision of water, and reservoirs to meet the needs of such an increased population, in this area which is already liable to suffer from a water shortage. The plan has my limited support.
Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131
East Hoathly with Halland
Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131
East Hoathly with Halland
Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 2a

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131
East Hoathly with Halland
Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 2b

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131
East Hoathly with Halland
Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 3a

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr McInnes  
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council  

Part of document:  
Option 3b  

Section of Document:  

Reason for comment:  
Your View  

Consultee ID:  
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council  

Part of document:  
Option 3c  

Section of Document:  

Reason for comment:  
Your View  

Consultee ID:  
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council  

Part of document:  
Option 3d  

Section of Document:  

Reason for comment:  
Your View  

Consultee ID:  
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council  

Part of document:  
Option 4a  

Section of Document:  

Reason for comment:  
Your View
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
Option 4b  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
Option 4c  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
Option 6c  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
Option 4d  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View [✓] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Question 6d**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

90% + should be around towns with railway stations

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Option 7a**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Option 7b**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Option 7c**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Mr McInnes  
East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Option 7d

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mr McInnes  
East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Option 8a

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mr McInnes  
East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Option 8b

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mr McInnes  
East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Option 8c

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>Mr McInnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 8d

**Section of Document:**
- Option 8d

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>Mr McInnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 9a

**Section of Document:**
- Option 9a

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>Mr McInnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 9b

**Section of Document:**
- Option 9b

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>Mr McInnes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 9c

**Section of Document:**
- Option 9c

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Ten</th>
<th>Site Allocation Thresholds</th>
<th>Option 11a</th>
<th>Option 11b</th>
<th>Option 11c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr McInnes</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 11g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr McInnes</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 12b
- Section of Document:

**Your View**  
-Agree  
-No opinion  
-Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 12c
- Section of Document:

**Your View**  
-Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 12d
- Section of Document:

**Your View**  
-Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 12e
- Section of Document:

**Your View**  
-Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
Consultee ID: 106131
East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
Consultee ID: 106131
East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
Consultee ID: 106131
East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
Consultee ID: 106131
East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 14j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106131</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>![checkbox] document ![checkbox] chapter ![checkbox] section ![checkbox] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option:</strong> 15a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong> ![checkbox] Agree ![checkbox] No opinion ![checkbox] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106131</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>![checkbox] document ![checkbox] chapter ![checkbox] section ![checkbox] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option:</strong> 15b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong> ![checkbox] Agree ![checkbox] No opinion ![checkbox] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106131</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>![checkbox] document ![checkbox] chapter ![checkbox] section ![checkbox] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option:</strong> 15c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong> ![checkbox] Agree ![checkbox] No opinion ![checkbox] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106131</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>![checkbox] document ![checkbox] chapter ![checkbox] section ![checkbox] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option:</strong> 15d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong> ![checkbox] Agree ![checkbox] No opinion ![checkbox] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr McInnes 106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: Option 15e

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes 106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: Option 15f

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes 106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: Question 15h

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes 106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: Option 16a

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultiee ID:</th>
<th>106131</th>
<th>Mr. McInnes</th>
<th>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultiee ID:</th>
<th>106131</th>
<th>Mr. McInnes</th>
<th>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultiee ID:</th>
<th>106131</th>
<th>Mr. McInnes</th>
<th>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question 16i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultiee ID:</th>
<th>106131</th>
<th>Mr. McInnes</th>
<th>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 17a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr McInnes  
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 17b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes  
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 17c  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes  
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 18a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes  
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 18b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Settlements should be based around railway stations and close to major roads if possible.

Reason for comment:

Option 18c

Reason for comment:

Option 18d

Reason for comment:

Option 18e

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106131  Mr McInnes  East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106131  Mr McInnes  East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106131  Mr McInnes  East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106131  Mr McInnes  East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 21d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 21e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 21f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 22a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 22b</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 22c</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 22d</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree, Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
106131 East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View  
Disagree

Reason for comment:
Mr McInnes  
East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Option 24c

Reason for comment:  

Mr McInnes  
East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Option 24d

Reason for comment:  

Mr McInnes  
East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Option 25b

Reason for comment:  

Mr McInnes  
East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Option 25c

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
Consultee ID: 106131
Part of document: Option 25e
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
Consultee ID: 106131
Part of document: Option 25f
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
Consultee ID: 106131
Part of document: Option 25g
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr McInnes
Consultee ID: 106131
Part of document: Option 26a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106131</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106131</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106131</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106131</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr McInnes</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 27c
- Option 27d
- Option 27e
- Option 27f

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 27c</th>
<th>Option 27d</th>
<th>Option 27e</th>
<th>Option 27f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>Mr McInnes</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 28a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 28b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 28c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question Twenty Nine**

**Section of Document:**  
Housing Delivery

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Question Thirty**

**Section of Document:** Infrastructure Requirements

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Question 31a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr McInnes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106131</td>
<td>East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Question 32a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106131  
Mr McInnes  
East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Villages and towns not served by railway stations should be allowed to grow organically to meet local needs only. The proposed new railway link should go ahead creating some new stations. 90% of new development should be either around towns with existing railway links or in large new settlements around new railway stations. The perfect example of an ideal development site would be Berwick with its good railway links and proximity to the A27. Also Wilmington Green Summer Hill and Lavender are regarded as other suitable locations for further development. These are the views of East Hoathly with Halland Parish Council and I have completed this online questionnaire on their behalf in my position as a councillor.

Consultee ID: 106132  
Mr Horton

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Sympathetically built and designed houses along Burwash Road can sit comfortably within the landscape and can assist our District Council achieve their planning targets. Housing estates would hurt the environment but the Burwash Road with its access and amenities can easily support more housing.
Consultee ID: 106132

Mr Horton

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
We agree with developing the Burwash Road. As a land owner on the Burwash Road we feel that sensitively designed and constructed properties can blend with the existing landscape and can enhance the locality. We own land along the Burwash Road at its intersection with Swife Lane. Our land is crossed by a public right of way which creates a natural triangle of some 2 acres at the intersection of Burwash Road and Swife Lane. We would propose building upon this land and creating a fence along the natural cut off point of the foot path. Our property is serviced by mains water, gas and electricity and as such has the modern amenities to permit easy development. We would build using timber framed construction and in the traditional vernacular English style. We would use Border Oak (a well known developer who uses Oak frames to construct their elegant buildings - as featured on Channel 4s Grand Designs) to build and design housing in a traditional format that would complement the landscape and would 'sit' naturally in the area. The housing that we are proposing would be built in the traditional Sussex Style and would be made from Oak taken only from foresters that followed a re planting scheme - it would be an organic building taken from a renewable resource. The style and design of the housing would be in such a way as to blend with the landscape. From our property very few people would be disturbed or discomfited by our proposed scheme. We would be open to discussion as to how many buildings Wealden would like to site on our property and what size they should be. We are flexible as to whether the properties should be larger 5 bed houses or those of the 3 to 4 bedroom variety. We look forward to hearing from you after 13th August.

Consultee ID: 106134

Mr Brown

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Your View ☑ Disagree

Consultee ID: 106134

Mr Brown

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Brown
106134

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Brown
106134

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Brown
106134

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Most of this land is within the flood plan and I don't agree to any development North of the Polegate by-pass as this will split Polegate.

Consultee ID: Mr Brown
106134

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This area is part of the strategic gap between Hailsham and Polegate, any development would result in Polegate & Hailsham becoming an urban forest.
Option 18f

Reason for comment:
One of your better options.

Option 18h

Reason for comment:
This area is part of the strategic gap between Hailsham and Polegate, any development would result in Polegate & Hailsham becoming an urban forest.

Option 18j

Option 27c

Reason for comment:
Far better to upgrade Berwick station
Mr Brown

Agree

The A27 needs developing if Polegate and Eastbourne are going to attract big business, long overdue.

Ms Howard

Agree

Numbers of houses proposed to be built is far greater than the 8000 required in Wealden. Would it not have been reasonable to begin with more realistic and less alarmist figures? AONBs should be maintained - or what's the point in having them? Any additional housing should be in proportion to the size of existing communities.

Ms Howard

Agree

Renewable forms of energy are desirable to reduce CO2 emissions as long as 'pay back' considerations are met.
Consultee ID: ms howard

Part of document: \(\square\) document \(\square\) chapter \(\square\) section \(\checkmark\) option

Section of Document: Question 5c

Your View \(\checkmark\) Agree \(\square\) No opinion \(\square\) Disagree

Reason for comment:
May be possibilities for redrawing village boundaries to include some infill but this would tend to apply more to larger villages.

Consultee ID: ms howard

Part of document: \(\square\) document \(\square\) chapter \(\square\) section \(\checkmark\) option

Section of Document: Option 8d

Your View \(\square\) Agree \(\square\) No opinion \(\checkmark\) Disagree

Reason for comment:
Extraordinary high number of new homes proposed for Berwick area which would change the village of Berwick Station into a TOWN. This - or any similarly large settlement proposed - would be highly undemocratic if undertaken as very few people currently living in a rural environment would opt for such a transformation. A27 obviously cannot sustain any major increase in traffic and proposed Selmeston-Wilmington bypass would cut a swathe through unspoilt areas of Cuckmere Valley. Rail transport is already fully used at peak times. Most areas of Cuckmere Valley prone to flooding. Where are these 'folds' north of the Station where such large numbers of houses could be hidden?

Consultee ID: ms howard

Part of document: \(\square\) document \(\square\) chapter \(\square\) section \(\checkmark\) option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View \(\square\) Agree \(\square\) No opinion \(\checkmark\) Disagree

Reason for comment:
These figures sound reasonable but do not tally with the numbers of houses proposed.
Consultee ID: ms howard  
Consultee ID: 106135

Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 18i

Reason for comment:
Wilmington Green site is far too close to the Downs and Long Man. Large number of new houses would add more and more traffic to already congested A27.

Consultee ID: ms howard  
Consultee ID: 106135

Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 18i

Reason for comment:
Proposal for new settlement of up to 7000 new homes/houses would change a village into a town and lead to over-development of an already traffic-congested area, far too close to boundary of proposed S.Downs Nat Park. There may be room for some development but surely this should be in proportion to the existing size of the village at Berwick Station? This appears to be a highly undemocratic proposal.
Timescale for local consultation is far too short and falls in a holiday period, limiting time for local discussion. Internet responses are not practical for many people and so feedback may not be balanced across age and social groups.

Yes, as affordable housing.

Don't think this makes economic sense.
Consultee ID: ms howard
106135

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms howard
106135

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms howard
106135

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms howard
106135

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

It is not possible to agree when the allocations for new housing are so 'draconian' as in current proposals.
Consultee ID: ms howard  
Agent ID: 106135

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Question 31b

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Not a disagreement but a statement, again, that online responses are not practical for a great many people. AND it takes more than a ‘few minutes’! Your leaflet suggests phoning to discuss alternative ways of commenting but doesn’t actually invite written responses.

Consultee ID: mr Willis  
Agent ID: 106137

Part of document: □ document  ✔ chapter  □ section  □ option

Section of Document: Details of How to Comment

Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I would agree to the development if done sympathetically to the environment on the north side of Polegate only. Provided also that a suitable infrastructure is also provided and most importantly, that the link from Cophall roundabout to the far west of Polegate i.e after Folkington Lane turning, is provided, thus removing long distance traffic and some local away from the present turnoff to the A27 at the western end of Polegate. On no account should any development take place at Hindlands or towards Willingdon, thus ensuring a natural break from Eastbourne.

Consultee ID: Ms Phitidis  
Agent ID: 106138

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The AONB should be protected from housing development. The areas has huge potential for renewable fuel source and should be sensitively used for that purpose, as well as recreation etc.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Phitidis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106138</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
It's good to have work places as close to homes as possible - more sustainable that way, in terms of commuting, pollution, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Phitidis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106138</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
New domestic housing should be built to a minimum standard of 3 Star as defined by The Code for Sustainable Homes with immediate effect, and this standard be raised by one star grade each year, reaching the maximum standard of 6 Star by 2012. Also, Wealden should have a target of CO2 reduction in all new buildings. Woking's target is 40%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Phitidis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106138</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
All new domestic and commercial development should meet a requirement of generating AT LEAST 20% of its energy from renewable sources with immediate effect, and that this target be the subject of upward review after 3 years.
Consultee ID: Ms Phitidis  
106138

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 3a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I think you would need to define what a 'realistic prospect' means. If I was satisfied that enough time and energy had been devoted to developing that site for employment use, and it was already brownfield, then I would agree, with the proviso that the development was a sustainable one, in all senses of the word.

Consultee ID: Ms Phitidis  
106138

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 3b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Consultee ID: Ms Phitidis  
106138

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 5a

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It depends on what you mean by necessary - who is defining it? with what criteria? I would possibly change my response to agree if these questions were answered.

Consultee ID: Ms Phitidis  
106138

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 5b

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
What are the criteria? Whose are they? Do the communities get to set the criteria? If so, then yes, I agree.
Consultee ID: Ms Phitidis
106138

Part of document: Option 7d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I don't think there can be a 'one-size fits all' for Wealden. I think where there is the need and the agreement of the communities, this is the starting point. I live in a rural area, so would vote for option A, as I don't want to see our villages lose their character and the countryside to become choked up with more traffic.

Consultee ID: Ms Phitidis
106138

Part of document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
Agree to restrict - this doesn't mean it is impossible to change use, if deemed necessary for the best interests and sustainability of the village. Villages need to have services and shops - it is better environmentally and for the local economy. In years to come, this is going to become more and more important.

Consultee ID: Ms Phitidis
106138

Part of document: Question Ten

Reason for comment:
I don't think there can be a one-size fits all approach. For many villages and hamlets, 15 new houses in one spot would alter the character significantly. These decisions need to be more localised.
Consultee ID:    Ms Phitidis
106138
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:
Your View    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The development boundaries have little or no spare capacity in Forest Row. This level of increased development would severely compromise the character and sense of community of and in the village. We should concentrate on infilling where possible and be cautious to ensure that local services and amenities are not adversely affected. As a rule, development should only happen if the local economy can provide employment for residents.

Consultee ID:    Ms Phitidis
106138
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:
Your View    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Depends on how you define 'viable' - viable according to whom?

Consultee ID:    Ms Phitidis
106138
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:
Your View    ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
I think there should be a rethink about the type of housing we build, so that higher density doesn't mean less living space - developers these days squeeze people into tiny spaces - not sustainable! We should be looking at more flats with shared garden spaces. Higher density is more sustainable in terms of energy, use of space etc - just must'n'y be at the cost of people's sanity.
Consultee ID: 106138  
Ms Phitidis  
Part of document: Option 25a
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:  
Much of the North is AONB - so this isn't possible

Consultee ID: 106139  
Ms Phitidis  
Part of document: Option 26a
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Much of the North is AONB - so this isn't possible

Consultee ID: 106139  
Ms Phitidis  
Part of document: Question 23f
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:  
To put forward an area of land at Rainbow Farm, Lower Dicker consisting of approx. 9 acres bordering Camberlot Road and the A22; together with two adjacent neighbours land making a total parcel of approx 18-20 acres for mixed housing. Some land would be made available for affordable housing.

Consultee ID: 106140  
Mrs March  
Part of document: Part 1
Section of Document: Context  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment: 
Consultee ID: Mrs March
Agent ID: 106140

Part of document: ☑ chapter
Part 5

Section of Document: Wealden’s Places

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Rather than loose country-side, and to give the largest area for building I feel the site in Crowborough best suited to development is site 11d, South East of Crowborough between Alderbrook and Jarvis Brook. But I do feel a new ‘town’ is a much better solution to the main problem (apart from reducing the number of people coming into the country from Europe).

Consultee ID: Dr Garvican
Agent ID: 106144

Part of document: ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Yes, Low cost housing for residents with strong local & historical ties.

Consultee ID: Dr Garvican
Agent ID: 106144

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Good house keeping principles should apply, with energy savings leading to cost savings.

Consultee ID: Dr Garvican
Agent ID: 106144

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This should not be done if it leads to the economic disadvantage to the people of Wealden.
Consultee ID: Dr Garvican  
106144 East Sussex County Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Dr Garvican  
106144 East Sussex County Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Dr Garvican  
106144 East Sussex County Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Dr Garvican  
106144 East Sussex County Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Dr Garvican  
106144 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Garvican  
106144 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Garvican  
106144 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Garvican  
106144 East Sussex County Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Dr Blick
106145 Honey Pot Nurseries

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
To include a 2.9 acre plot of land for development purposes. A mixed development of affordable housing, mid range housing and small industrial units would compliment the current children's day nursery business. Site is situated near to the main A26 link between Uckfield and Lewes.

Consultee ID: Mr Farquhar
106146

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
To include land parcel south of Blackness Road, Crowborough, within the development boundary. Site plan included within the submitted letter.

Consultee ID: Mr Baker
106147

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Provided that sufficient improvements to infrastructure (e.g primary schools not being forced to run over capacity) are also made.

Consultee ID: Mr Baker
106147

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 8d

Reason for comment:
Artificial instant creation of "settlements" appears to me to be an attempt to fit a square peg into a round hole. Settlements evolve over hundreds of years and there are good reasons why place A is large, place B is small and place C is undeveloped. To ignore the lessons of centuries of history seems foolhardy. Furthermore section 4.20 states that such an approach is "not promoted" by central government and section 7.5 of the summary documents admits that there is "no requirement" for new settlements.

Option 9a

Reason for comment:
As stated in the question, no financially viable solution to poor traffic situation has been forthcoming. In addition to this there is little spare capacity at UCTC and no scope for the school to expand at its current site.

Option 14e

Reason for comment:
I think it should be stated explicitly that this scheme is a complete non-starter without the re-instatement of the Uckfield - Lewes railway link. Even if the railway were restored (currently a difference of opinion on the funding of the project between Wealden Line Campaign and Strategic Rail Authority to the tune of £50m) it is by no means certain that the stations previously served by the line would be re-opened given that the two main objectives of the campaign are to enable travel from Uckfield (and beyond) to Lewes (and beyond) and remove pressure from the London - Brighton line. I am also unclear as to exactly where the settlement would be. You refer to it as "Lavender New Settlement" suggesting that it would be to the north of the existing station heading towards Little Horsted. I don't see where there is room for a development of this magnitude (more than the 20 year requirement for the whole of the non-coastal Wealden region incidentally) without significant damage to the landscape ("set in rolling countryside" as your analysis notes) which I believe should be avoided wherever possible (would surely precipitate further expansion of Ridgewood to meet this development at the Little Horsted roundabout). It should also be noted that the River Uck runs close to the route of the old railway and flood risk should prevent much development here. Clarification on the exact site of the settlement is therefore welcomed. Isfield does require some additional services (scored 9 on the Village Services Matrix - not the highest but by no means the lowest) but I suspect there are better ways of providing these than a development of this scale especially as Isfield has not been identified as a Village with growth potential in the recent study (thus rendering it suitable for developments of 1-5 units!), surely an admission that this scheme is neither feasible, nor appropriate. Please also bear in mind the weaknesses of the road network in this part of the region (no plans to upgrade A22, A26 near capacity already, junction of A26 and Horsted Lane has little scope for improvement). Your analysis rightly describes this approach as "very high risk" and I would prefer WDC to adopt less fanciful strategies.
Consultee ID: Mr Baker

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View: 
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Provided that the scale and location of development are appropriate which is not true of the suggested "Lavender New Settlement"

Consultee ID: MR BROWN

Part of document: Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View: 
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
to fresh the town up

Consultee ID: MR BROWN

Part of document: Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View: 
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
to freshen and bring new life into crowborough

Consultee ID: MR BROWN

Part of document: Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View: 
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Yes

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Yes

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Yes

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Yes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106150</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document: Option 11e</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 106150</td>
<td>MR BROWN</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document: Option 12b</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 106150</td>
<td>MR BROWN</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document: Option 12c</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 106150</td>
<td>MR BROWN</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document: Option 12d</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: MR BROWN
106150

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR BROWN
106150

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR BROWN
106150

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  

**Question 12h**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  

to freshen the place up

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 13a**

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 13a**

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 14a**

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 14a</th>
<th>Option 14b</th>
<th>Option 14d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Section of Document: |   |   |   |
|----------------------|   |   |   |

| Reason for comment: |   |   |   |
|---------------------|   |   |   |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Reason for comment: |   |   |   |
|---------------------|   |   |   |
Option 14e
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

- Consultee ID: 106150  MR BROWN
- Part of document: option
- Your View: Agree
- Reason for comment: it leaves the countryside un spoiled if they build in town areas so i agree

Option 14g
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

- Consultee ID: 106150  MR BROWN
- Part of document: option
- Your View: Agree
- Reason for comment: it leaves the countryside un spoiled if they build in town areas so i agree

Option 15a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

- Consultee ID: 106150  MR BROWN
- Part of document: option
- Your View: Agree
- Reason for comment:

Option 15b
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

- Consultee ID: 106150  MR BROWN
- Part of document: option
- Your View: Agree
- Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR BROWN
106150
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 15c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR BROWN
106150
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 15d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR BROWN
106150
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 15e
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR BROWN
106150
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 15f
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106150  
MR BROWN  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16a

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
it will create urban sprawl in an AONB joke proposal even thinking of it the south east has had more than its fair share of houses if they want cheap housing go to manchester or something  
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Consultee ID: 106150  
MR BROWN  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16a

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
it will create urban sprawl in an AONB joke proposal even thinking of it the south east has had more than its fair share of houses  
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Consultee ID: 106150  
MR BROWN  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16b

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
it will create urban sprawl in an AONB joke proposal even thinking of it the south east has had more than its fair share of houses
Option 16c

Reason for comment:
It will create urban sprawl in an AONB joke proposal even thinking of it the south east has had more than its fair share of houses.

Option 16d

Reason for comment:
It will create urban sprawl in an AONB joke proposal even thinking of it the south east has had more than its fair share of houses.

Option 16e

Reason for comment:

Option 16f

Reason for comment:
It will create urban sprawl in an AONB joke proposal even thinking of it the south east has had more than its fair share of houses.
Consultee ID: MR BROWN 106150

Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

it will create urban sprawl in an AONB joke proposal even thinking of it the south east has had more than its fair share of houses

---

Consultee ID: MR BROWN 106150

Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

country side descimated \ water \ traffic and noise and light pollution \ hospital being down graded crime!! and this proposal is a crime you will never get the country side back

---

Consultee ID: MR BROWN 106150

Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

DISAGREE STRONGLY

---

Consultee ID: MR BROWN 106150

Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 17b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 17c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106150</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 18b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>MR BROWN</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 19d

**Section of Document:**  
Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18c

**Section of Document:**  
Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18d

**Section of Document:**  
Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18e

**Section of Document:**  
Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18f

**Section of Document:**

**Consultee ID:**
- 106150

**Option 18g**

**Your View**
- Agree

**Reason for comment:**
this is sorts of spaces which should be developing not greenfield !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

**Option 18h**

**Your View**
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
strongly who ever thought of building here joker
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:
- Option 18j

### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

### Reason for comment:
- ONE OF THE BETTER OPTIONS

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:
- Option 18j

### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

### Reason for comment:
- 

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:
- Option 19a

### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

### Reason for comment:
- 

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:
- Option 19b

### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106150  
MR BROWN  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 19c  
Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 106150  
MR BROWN  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 19e  
Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 106150  
MR BROWN  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 20a  
Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 106150  
MR BROWN  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 20b  
Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Option 23d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Section of Document: | | | | |
| Your View | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | | | | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Option 20c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Section of Document: | | | | |
| Your View | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | | | | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Option 21a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Section of Document: | | | | |
| Your View | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | | | | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Option 21b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Section of Document: | | | | |
| Your View | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | | | | |
Consultee ID: MR BROWN
106150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 21c

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR BROWN
106150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 21d

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR BROWN
106150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 21e

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR BROWN
106150

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 21f

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] document</td>
<td>Option 22a</td>
<td>[☑] Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] section</td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☑] option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] document</td>
<td>Option 22b</td>
<td>[☑] Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] section</td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☑] option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] document</td>
<td>Option 22c</td>
<td>[☑] Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] section</td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☑] option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] document</td>
<td>Option 22c</td>
<td>[☑] Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] section</td>
<td></td>
<td>[☐] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[☑] option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR BROWN</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

Option 22d

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

Option 23a

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

Option 23b

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

Option 23b

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 23c

**Option 23c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 23d

**Option 23d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 23e

**Option 23e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BROWN</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 24a

**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 26a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
they should be spread evenly UNLIKE THE HOUSES APPEAR TO BE **** WEALDEN COUNCIL
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Option 26b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
all wealden should share the burden equally and fairly

Option 27c

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Far better to upgrade Berwick station !!!!!!!

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document:  Housing Delivery
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR BROWN
106150

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
STRONGLY DISAGREE POLEGATE AND HAILSHAM WILL BE RUINED FOR EVER SHARE THE HOUSES EQUALLY ACROSS WEALDEN IT SEEMS AN ABSOLUTE JOKE WHY SOME VILLAGES GET 20 HOUSES AND WE TAKE THE BRUNT OF WEALDEN'S ALLOCATION SORT IT OUT WEALDEN !!!!

Consultee ID: Mr. Korth
106151

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
I would like our children to have a chance of living in this location. No development means this cannot happen.
Consultee ID: Mr. Korth
Agent ID: 106151

Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

How will this target be met? Biomass is a great idea, BUT: where will the wood come from if it really takes off? Windmills: maximum 57% efficiency - what happens when there is no wind? Tidal: they say sea levels will rise - if this happens, huge capital tidal projects will be swamped. Much higher insulation standards must be implemented, & the use of solar tubes for water heating should be encouraged.

Consultee ID: Mr. Korth
Agent ID: 106151

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

See previous answer.

Consultee ID: Mr. Korth
Agent ID: 106151

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

If the land has not already been snapped up, their is little likelihood that it would be of viable commercial value anyway.

Consultee ID: Mr. Korth
Agent ID: 106151

Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Yes. However, future changes should be allowed for.
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Totally market driven.

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
If there is no space within the existing boundaries, how can we accommodate any new housing? Boundaries therefore need to be redrawn according to need.

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Option 6b
Section of Document:
Your View   ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID:    Mr.    Korth    Agent ID:
106151
Part of document:    ☐ document    ☐ chapter    ☐ section   ☑ option
Option 6c
Section of Document:
Your View   ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID:    Mr.    Korth    Agent ID:
106151
Part of document:    ☐ document    ☐ chapter    ☐ section   ☑ option
Question 6d
Section of Document:
Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Defining distribution is incompatible with market economics. If a particular settlement has very few businesses or future demand, does this mean they should receive a smaller housing quota, even though the area lends itself to new housing? The converse is likely true elsewhere. As per a previous representation, this is a poor question.
Consultee ID:    Mr.    Korth    Agent ID:
106151
Part of document:    ☐ document    ☐ chapter    ☐ section   ☑ option
Option 7a
Section of Document:
Your View   ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
public & private services are market driven & subject to change
Consultee ID: Mr. Korth

Part of document: Option 7b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Presumably then the classification may change over time depending on growth?

Consultee ID: Mr. Korth

Part of document: Option 7d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Strongly disagree! Again this is down to the market, although there are few people who would actually want to convert a shop into a house, as shops are generally sited in inadequate areas for housing (e.g. little privacy, incorrect layout, proximity to road etc.)

Consultee ID: Mr. Korth

Part of document: Option 19a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
There is a particularly acute housing shortage in Forest Row.

Consultee ID: Mr. Korth

Part of document: Option 19e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Mr. Korth

Consultee ID: 106151

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Densities do not reflect architectural merit or need; rather arbitrary.

Consultee ID: 106151

Part of document: Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Sounds like inner city sprawl rather than rural clean living....

Consultee ID: 106151

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106151

Part of document: Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
House building costs are not the problem, they are similar in all areas of the country - the cost of land to build on is prohibitive, due to the extreme limits applied to land release.
As soon as these 'affordable' homes have been bought, they will doubtless be sold on at vast profit, much like the recent council house sell-off.

Developers will find a way of profiting from this anyway, so it should be carried out separately.

Time here is of the essence. No doubt some developers will find ways round this though.

I totally agree with the sentiments of Alan Wishart’s representation, & would go further in stating that I do not believe Wealden's planning department to be of adequate calibre. There appears to be little consistency in the decision making process.
Consultee ID: Mr. Korth  
106151  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Question 31a  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Some of the statements are nice, but sadly this smacks of corporate style mission statements...

Consultee ID: Mr. Korth  
106151  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Question 31b  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
I am afraid that I have found this consultation of little value. 'Affordable housing' is a great catch phrase, but short of turning Wealden into a socialist state, this is hardly likely to happen. The way the questions are posed is too generalised, often using targets & percentages which offer little relevance to reality. Direct democracy is a fine ideal, but if we are not careful, we will end up with a mish-mash of ideas that are unhelpful to most; the classic 'decide by comittee' result. I fear the Council is taking the PC route like so much else in Britain at the moment. Is it too much to ask for a bit of vision??

Consultee ID: Mr. Korth  
106151  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Question 32b  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
The scope of the question is too broad - some of the points I agree with, but others I do not.
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
In order to meet future development needs JS Bloor would suggest that small scale development may be appropriate in the High Weald AONB to meet local housing needs, provided that development respects the high environmental and landscape value of this setting.

Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The Wealden Core strategy should reflect recent government guidance and the policies of the emerging South East Plan. JS Bloor suggest that Option 2a is therefore the most appropriate way forward.

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The Wealden Core strategy should reflect recent government guidance and the policies of the emerging South East Plan. JS Bloor suggest that Option 2a is therefore the most appropriate way forward.
Consultee ID: JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership
Agent ID: Miss Farquhar

106152 JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership 102477 Terence O'Rourke

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document: 

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Bloor Homes would support policies that seek to bring forward housing and employment land together in line with current government guidance and emerging regional policy. The development of mixed use sites is supported as it enables many of the principles of PPS 1 Delivering Sustainable Development to be achieved. Housing and employment sites that are well related yet separate can also achieve similar sustainability benefits.

Consultee ID: JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership
Agent ID: Miss Farquhar

106152 JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership 102477 Terence O'Rourke

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document: 

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Bloor Homes would support policies that seek to bring forward housing and employment land together in line with current government guidance and emerging regional policy. The development of mixed use sites is supported as it enables many of the principles of PPS 1 Delivering Sustainable Development to be achieved. Housing and employment sites that are well related yet separate can also achieve similar sustainability benefits.

Consultee ID: JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership
Agent ID: Miss Farquhar

106152 JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership 102477 Terence O'Rourke

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document: 

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Bloor Homes would support policies that seek to bring forward housing and employment land together in line with current government guidance and emerging regional policy. The development of mixed use sites is supported as it enables many of the principles of PPS 1 Delivering Sustainable Development to be achieved. Housing and employment sites that are well related yet separate can also achieve similar sustainability benefits.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership  
Agent ID: Miss Farquhar  
106152 JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership  
102477 Terence O'Rourke

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 3d

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Bloor Homes would support policies that seek to bring forward housing and employment land together in line with current government guidance and emerging regional policy. The development of mixed use sites is supported as it enables many of the principles of PPS 1 Delivering Sustainable Development to be achieved. Housing and employment sites that are well related yet separate can also achieve similar sustainability benefits.

Consultee ID: JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership  
Agent ID: Miss Farquhar  
106152 JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership  
102477 Terence O'Rourke

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 4a

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is a clear need for employment land in Hailsham and JS Bloor therefore supports options that deliver employment land to this area. Option 4d is therefore attractive. However, Bloor Homes reiterate the need for housing and employment land to be brought forward together to enable sustainability objectives to be maximised. Bloor Homes would propose Hailsham north is included as a strategic allocation at Option 4e as it has potential to enable the combined delivery of housing and employment land.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Miss Farquhar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106152</td>
<td>JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership</td>
<td>102477</td>
<td>Terence O'Rourke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 4b**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

There is a clear need for employment land in Hailsham and JS Bloor therefore supports options that deliver employment land to this area. Option 4d is therefore attractive. However, Bloor Homes reiterate the need for housing and employment land to be brought forward together to enable sustainability objectives to be maximised. Bloor Homes would propose Hailsham north is included as a strategic allocation at Option 4e as it has potential to enable the combined delivery of housing and employment land.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Miss Farquhar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106152</td>
<td>JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership</td>
<td>102477</td>
<td>Terence O'Rourke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 4c**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

There is a clear need for employment land in Hailsham and JS Bloor therefore supports options that deliver employment land to this area. Option 4d is therefore attractive. However, Bloor Homes reiterate the need for housing and employment land to be brought forward together to enable sustainability objectives to be maximised. Bloor Homes would propose Hailsham north is included as a strategic allocation at Option 4e as it has potential to enable the combined delivery of housing and employment land.
In line with PPS1 and the delivery of sustainable development JS Bloor would support policies that seek to allocate the majority of growth to existing urban areas and sustainable urban extensions. JS Bloor is of the opinion that it would be wrong to specify percentages at this early stage particularly as the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment for the District has not yet been completed.

In line with PPS1 and the delivery of sustainable development JS Bloor would support policies that seek to allocate the majority of growth to existing urban areas and sustainable urban extensions. JS Bloor is of the opinion that it would be wrong to specify percentages at this early stage particularly as the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment for the District has not yet been completed.

There is a clear need for employment land in Hailsham and JS Bloor therefore supports options that deliver employment land to this area. Option 4d is therefore attractive. However, Bloor Homes reiterate the need for housing and employment land to be brought forward together to enable sustainability objectives to be maximised. Bloor Homes would propose Hailsham north is included as a strategic allocation at Option 4e as it has potential to enable the combined delivery of housing and employment land.
There is a clear need for employment land in Hailsham and JS Bloor therefore supports options that deliver employment land to this area. Option 4d is therefore attractive. However, Bloor Homes reiterate the need for housing and employment land to be brought forward together to enable sustainability objectives to be maximised. Bloor Homes would propose Hailsham north is included as a strategic allocation at Option 4e as it has potential to enable the combined delivery of housing and employment land.

Given the government’s growth agenda and the growth targets indicated in the draft South East Plan JS Bloor support Option 5a. Settlement boundaries are important to contain growth and enable the sustainable provision of services, however they must be sufficiently flexible to enable the implementation of the local and regional housing and employment targets.

In line with PPS1 and the delivery of sustainable development JS Bloor would support policies that seek to allocate the majority of growth to existing urban areas and sustainable urban extensions. JS Bloor is of the opinion that it would be wrong to specify percentages at this early stage particularly as the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment for the District has not yet been completed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Miss Farquhar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106152</td>
<td>JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership</td>
<td>102477</td>
<td>Terence O'Rourke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- ✔ option

Section of Document:  
Option 6c

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
In line with PPS1 and the delivery of sustainable development JS Bloor would support policies that seek to allocate the majority of growth to existing urban areas and sustainable urban extensions. JS Bloor is of the opinion that it would be wrong to specify percentages at this early stage particularly as the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment for the District has not yet been completed.
Option 8b

Reason for comment:

All of these options present infrastructure challenges. The capacity of wastewater treatment works and the capacity of the A27 affect development at Hailsham and Polegate in particular. However, JS Bloor consider that these issues can be resolved with a collective effort on the part of WDC, ESCC, GOSE, SEERA, the EA, Southern Water, the Highways Agency and the development industry working together, and welcomes WDCs positive approach in seeking to take a lead in seeking innovative funding and programming solutions to this end. JS Bloor support Option 8a, which seeks to focus development on Hailsham. Development at Hailsham has the potential to minimise impacts on the A27 compared with Polegate, since traffic generation at Hailsham is likely to be dispersed across other parts of the road network. A Polegate focus would place more intense pressure on the A27, and might make a solution to A27 capacity issues more difficult to achieve. This view is supported by the Highways Authority, who in their representations to the infrastructure consultations (July 2007) to the Core Strategy acknowledge, (item 4), that development at Hailsham will have a lesser impact than any development at Polegate. It is noted that the Infrastructure Background Paper assessed the impact of housing development at Polegate as 2.5 times greater than at Hailsham. There are numerous references within the Background Papers and the Addendum that support and confirm this. A focus of development to the north of Hailsham will also help to address the problems associated with the A271 from Boship roundabout to Amberstone. Improvements to the A271 could be brought forward in tandem with new development on the northern fringes of the town, thereby potentially freeing much of this existing stretch of the A271 from much of its current traffic load, particularly in Lower Horsebridge, Upper Horsebridge Road and Hawkswood Road. This would bring considerable environmental benefits to existing communities. It may be possible to seek a balance of growth between the two towns (Option 8c) as a compromise, but Option 8a will always be better in terms of minimising pressure on the A27 and the prospect of achieving a workable solution to improvements to that trunk road. The concept of a new settlement, Option 8d, is likely to be in reality unsustainable in that it will require the development of a large area of greenfield land, creating serious new environmental, landscape, traffic, services and community issues, without providing the opportunity to solve existing problems.
JS Bloor agree that some villages do have the potential to grow. In particular the village of Lower Horsebridge has some growth potential given its accessible location and proximity to the town of Hailsham, and there may be the possibility to link growth at this village with a wider growth area to the north of Hailsham, incorporating improvements to the A271 and providing a sustainable solution to the growth of Hailsham. Whilst we are in agreement with the principles for settlement classification and settlement strategy advocated in 7a to 7d JS Bloor suggest it might be unwise to cap size limits on additional housing growth proposed in each settlement until completion of the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment.
All of these options present infrastructure challenges. The capacity of wastewater treatment works and the capacity of the A27 affect development at Hailsham and Polegate in particular. However, JS Bloor consider that these issues can be resolved with a collective effort on the part of WDC, ESCC, GOSE, SEERA, the EA, Southern Water, the Highways Agency and the development industry working together, and welcomes WDCs positive approach in seeking to take a lead in seeking innovative funding and programming solutions to this end. JS Bloor support Option 8a, which seeks to focus development on Hailsham. Development at Hailsham has the potential to minimise impacts on the A27 compared with Polegate, since traffic generation at Hailsham is likely to be dispersed across other parts of the road network. A Polegate focus would place more intense pressure on the A27, and might make a solution to A27 capacity issues more difficult to achieve. This view is supported by the Highways Authority, who in their representations to the infrastructure consultations (July 2007) to the Core Strategy acknowledge, (item 4), that development at Hailsham will have a lesser impact than any development at Polegate. It is noted that the Infrastructure Background Paper assessed the impact of housing development at Polegate as 2.5 times greater than at Hailsham. There are numerous references within the Background Papers and the Addendum that support and confirm this. A focus of development to the north of Hailsham will also help to address the problems associated with the A271 from Boship roundabout to Amberstone. Improvements to the A271 could be brought forward in tandem with new development on the northern fringes of the town, thereby potentially freeing much of this existing stretch of the A271 from much of its current traffic load, particularly in Lower Horsebridge, Upper Horsebridge Road and Hawkswood Road. This would bring considerable environmental benefits to existing communities. It may be possible to seek a balance of growth between the two towns (Option 8c) as a compromise, but Option 8a will always be better in terms of minimising pressure on the A27 and the prospect of achieving a workable solution to that trunk road. The concept of a new settlement, Option 8d, is likely to be in reality unsustainable in that it will require the development of a large area of greenfield land, creating serious new environmental, landscape, traffic, services and community issues, without providing the opportunity to solve existing problems.
All of these options present infrastructure challenges. The capacity of wastewater treatment works and the capacity of the A27 affect development at Hailsham and Polegate in particular. However, JS Bloor consider that these issues can be resolved with a collective effort on the part of WDC, ESCC, GOSE, SEERA, the EA, Southern Water, the Highways Agency and the development industry working together, and welcomes WDCs positive approach in seeking to take a lead in seeking innovative funding and programming solutions to this end. JS Bloor support Option 8a, which seeks to focus development on Hailsham. Development at Hailsham has the potential to minimise impacts on the A27 compared with Polegate, since traffic generation at Hailsham is likely to be dispersed across other parts of the road network. A Polegate focus would place more intense pressure on the A27, and might make a solution to A27 capacity issues more difficult to achieve. This view is supported by the Highways Authority, who in their representations to the infrastructure consultations (July 2007) to the Core Strategy acknowledge, (item 4), that development at Hailsham will have a lesser impact than any development at Polegate. It is noted that the Infrastructure Background Paper assessed the impact of housing development at Polegate as 2.5 times greater than at Hailsham. There are numerous references within the Background Papers and the Addendum that support and confirm this. A focus of development to the north of Hailsham will also help to address the problems associated with the A271 from Boship roundabout to Amberstone. Improvements to the A271 could be brought forward in tandem with new development on the northern fringes of the town, thereby potentially freeing much of this existing stretch of the A271 from much of its current traffic load, particularly in Lower Horsebridge, Upper Horsebridge Road and Hawkswood Road. This would bring considerable environmental benefits to existing communities. It may be possible to seek a balance of growth between the two towns (Option 8c) as a compromise, but Option 8a will always be better in terms of minimising pressure on the A27 and the prospect of achieving a workable solution to that trunk road. The concept of a new settlement, Option 8d, is likely to be in reality unsustainable in that it will require the development of a large area of greenfield land, creating serious new environmental, landscape, traffic, services and community issues, without providing the opportunity to solve existing problems.
Reason for comment:

All of these options present infrastructure challenges. The capacity of wastewater treatment works and the capacity of the A27 affect development at Hailsham and Polegate in particular. However, JS Bloor consider that these issues can be resolved with a collective effort on the part of WDC, ESCC, GOSE, SEERA, the EA, Southern Water, the Highways Agency and the development industry working together, and welcomes WDCs positive approach in seeking to take a lead in seeking innovative funding and programming solutions to this end. JS Bloor support Option 8a, which seeks to focus development on Hailsham. Development at Hailsham has the potential to minimise impacts on the A27 compared with Polegate, since traffic generation at Hailsham is likely to be dispersed across other parts of the road network. A Polegate focus would place more intense pressure on the A27, and might make a solution to A27 capacity issues more difficult to achieve. This view is supported by the Highways Authority, who in their representations to the infrastructure consultations (July 2007) to the Core Strategy acknowledge, (item 4), that development at Hailsham will have a lesser impact than any development at Polegate. It is noted that the Infrastructure Background Paper assessed the impact of housing development at Polegate as 2.5 times greater than at Hailsham. There are numerous references within the Background Papers and the Addendum that support and confirm this. A focus of development to the north of Hailsham will also help to address the problems associated with the A271 from Boship roundabout to Amberstone. Improvements to the A271 could be brought forward in tandem with new development on the northern fringes of the town, thereby potentially freeing much of this existing stretch of the A271 from much of its current traffic load, particularly in Lower Horsebridge, Upper Horsebridge Road and Hawkswood Road. This would bring considerable environmental benefits to existing communities. It may be possible to seek a balance of growth between the two towns (Option 8c) as a compromise, but Option 8a will always be better in terms of minimising pressure on the A27 and the prospect of achieving a workable solution to improvements to that trunk road. The concept of a new settlement, Option 8d, is likely to be in reality unsustainable in that it will require the development of a large area of greenfield land, creating serious new environmental, landscape, traffic, services and community issues, without providing the opportunity to solve existing problems.

Reason for comment:

JS Bloor suggests that it would be inappropriate to comment on the above suggested size thresholds until the results of the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment is complete.
Bloor Homes support Option 15A which seeks to encourage new development in the form of an urban extension to North Hailsham. This option has the capacity to deliver approximately 890 – 1500 dwellings, enabling housing targets to be met without instigating capacity constraints. The assessment in the Issues and Options document shows that there are no significant landscape and biodiversity issues present in this area. This is not true for the other options around Hailsham, with Options 15b, c, d and e being in particularly sensitive locations with respect to the Pevensey Levels. Option 15f is located to the west of the A22 bypass and sits in what is effectively open countryside, making its status as a sustainable urban extension questionable. Large scale development at 15a could assist in providing relief for the existing A271 through the provision of new stretches of road and other improvements to alleviate problems. Such measures, together with proposed Hailsham Town Centre traffic management works, will help to address existing congestion problems in the town. JS Bloor are happy to work with WDC in assessing options for development in this area. They are confident that an acceptable solution exists to the A271 issue that does not result in unacceptable negative impacts on the Cuckmere Valley. JS Bloor have significant land interests in the North Hailsham area and are in close contact with adjacent landowners. They are therefore in a position to have confidence that a solution can be found. The attached plans show how new development might be implemented to the north of Hailsham. Option 1 is deliverable on land entirely within the control of JS Bloor and its partner, the Fairfield Partnership. Options 2 and 3 indicate the potential for further development on other land in the north Hailsham area. All the Hailsham growth options have significant transport infrastructure requirements, with proposals to the north and the east of the town requiring the resolution of issues relating to the A271. However north Hailsham is the only option that can deliver significant improvements to the A271 in association with development. Whilst on the evidence of the assessment in the Issues and Options paper all the other sites have considerable infrastructure problems relating to noise, odour, historic conservation, service provision, community service provision and environmental issues the development of land north of Hailsham (Option 15A) is located in an easily serviced area, free of over-riding constraints. JS Bloor would therefore strongly advocate the allocation of option 15A, north of Upper Horsebridge, as a growth location, and seek its inclusion as such in the forthcoming preferred options document.
Option 15b

Reason for comment:

Bloor Homes support Option 15A which seeks to encourage new development in the form of an urban extension to North Hailsham. This option has the capacity to deliver approximately 890 – 1500 dwellings, enabling housing targets to be met without instigating capacity constraints. The assessment in the Issues and Options document shows that there are no significant landscape and biodiversity issues present in this area. This is not true for the other options around Hailsham, with Options 15b, c, d and e being in particularly sensitive locations with respect to the Pevensey Levels. Option 15 f is located to the west of the A22 bypass and sits in what is effectively open countryside, making its status as a sustainable urban extension questionable. Large scale development at 15a could assist in providing relief for the existing A271 through the provision of new stretches of road and other improvements to alleviate problems. Such measures, together with proposed Hailsham Town Centre traffic management works, will help to address existing congestion problems in the town. JS Bloor are happy to work with WDC in assessing options for development in this area. They are confident that an acceptable solution exists to the A271 issue that does not result in unacceptable negative impacts on the Cuckmere Valley. JS Bloor have significant land interests in the North Hailsham area and are in close contact with adjacent landowners. They are therefore in a position to have confidence that a solution can be found. The attached plans show how new development might be implemented to the north of Hailsham. Option 1 is deliverable on land entirely within the control of JS Bloor and its partner, the Fairfield Partnership. Options 2 and 3 indicate the potential for further development on other land in the north Hailsham area. All the Hailsham growth options have significant transport infrastructure requirements, with proposals to the north and the east of the town requiring the resolution of issues relating to the A271. However north Hailsham is the only option that can deliver significant improvements to the A271 in association with development. Whilst on the evidence of the assessment in the Issues and Options paper all the other sites have considerable infrastructure problems relating to noise, odour, historic conservation, service provision, community service provision and environmental issues the development of land north of Hailsham (Option 15A) is located in an easily serviced area, free of over-riding constraints. JS Bloor would therefore strongly advocate the allocation of option 15A, north of Upper Horsebridge, as a growth location, and seek its inclusion as such in the forthcoming preferred options document.
Option 15c

Bloor Homes support Option 15A which seeks to encourage new development in the form of an urban extension to North Hailsham. This option has the capacity to deliver approximately 890 – 1500 dwellings, enabling housing targets to be met without instigating capacity constraints. The assessment in the Issues and Options document shows that there are no significant landscape and biodiversity issues present in this area. This is not true for the other options around Hailsham, with Options 15b, c, d and e being in particularly sensitive locations with respect to the Pevensey Levels. Option 15f is located to the west of the A22 bypass and sits in what is effectively open countryside, making its status as a sustainable urban extension questionable. Large scale development at 15a could assist in providing relief for the existing A271 through the provision of new stretches of road and other improvements to alleviate problems. Such measures, together with proposed Hailsham Town Centre traffic management works, will help to address existing congestion problems in the town. JS Bloor are happy to work with WDC in assessing options for development in this area. They are confident that an acceptable solution exists to the A271 issue that does not result in unacceptable negative impacts on the Cuckmere Valley. JS Bloor have significant land interests in the North Hailsham area and are in close contact with adjacent landowners. They are therefore in a position to have confidence that a solution can be found. The attached plans show how new development might be implemented to the north of Hailsham. Option 1 is deliverable on land entirely within the control of JS Bloor and its partner, the Fairfield Partnership. Options 2 and 3 indicate the potential for further development on other land in the north Hailsham area. All the Hailsham growth options have significant transport infrastructure requirements, with proposals to the north and the east of the town requiring the resolution of issues relating to the A271. However north Hailsham is the only option that can deliver significant improvements to the A271 in association with development. Whilst on the evidence of the assessment in the Issues and Options paper all the other sites have considerable infrastructure problems relating to noise, odour, historic conservation, service provision, community service provision and environmental issues the development of land north of Hailsham (Option 15A) is located in an easily serviced area, free of over-riding constraints. JS Bloor would therefore strongly advocate the allocation of option 15A, north of Upper Horsebridge, as a growth location, and seek its inclusion as such in the forthcoming preferred options document.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership
Agent ID: Miss Farquhar

Part of document: Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Bloor Homes support Option 15A which seeks to encourage new development in the form of an urban extension to North Hailsham. This option has the capacity to deliver approximately 890 – 1500 dwellings, enabling housing targets to be met without instigating capacity constraints. The assessment in the Issues and Options document shows that there are no significant landscape and biodiversity issues present in this area. This is not true for the other options around Hailsham, with Options 15b, c, d and e being in particularly sensitive locations with respect to the Pevensey Levels. Option 15 f is located to the west of the A22 bypass and sits in what is effectively open countryside, making its status as a sustainable urban extension questionable. Large scale development at 15a could assist in providing relief for the existing A271 through the provision of new stretches of road and other improvements to alleviate problems. Such measures, together with proposed Hailsham Town Centre traffic management works, will help to address existing congestion problems in the town. JS Bloor are happy to work with WDC in assessing options for development in this area. They are confident that an acceptable solution exists to the A271 issue that does not result in unacceptable negative impacts on the Cuckmere Valley. JS Bloor have significant land interests in the North Hailsham area and are in close contact with adjacent landowners. They are therefore in a position to have confidence that a solution can be found. The attached plans show how new development might be implemented to the north of Hailsham. Option 1 is deliverable on land entirely within the control of JS Bloor and its partner, the Fairfield Partnership. Options 2 and 3 indicate the potential for further development on other land in the north Hailsham area. All the Hailsham growth options have significant transport infrastructure requirements, with proposals to the north and the east of the town requiring the resolution of issues relating to the A271. However north Hailsham is the only option that can deliver significant improvements to the A271 in association with development. Whilst on the evidence of the assessment in the Issues and Options paper all the other sites have considerable infrastructure problems relating to noise, odour, historic conservation, service provision, community service provision and environmental issues the development of land north of Hailsham (Option 15A) is located in an easily serviced area, free of over-riding constraints. JS Bloor would therefore strongly advocate the allocation of option 15A, north of Upper Horsebridge, as a growth location, and seek its inclusion as such in the forthcoming preferred options document.
Bloor Homes support Option 15A which seeks to encourage new development in the form of an urban extension to North Hailsham. This option has the capacity to deliver approximately 890 – 1500 dwellings, enabling housing targets to be met without instigating capacity constraints. The assessment in the Issues and Options document shows that there are no significant landscape and biodiversity issues present in this area. This is not true for the other options around Hailsham, with Options 15b, c, d and e being in particularly sensitive locations with respect to the Pevensey Levels. Option 15f is located to the west of the A22 bypass and sits in what is effectively open countryside, making its status as a sustainable urban extension questionable. Large scale development at 15a could assist in providing relief for the existing A271 through the provision of new stretches of road and other improvements to alleviate problems. Such measures, together with proposed Hailsham Town Centre traffic management works, will help to address existing congestion problems in the town. JS Bloor are happy to work with WDC in assessing options for development in this area. They are confident that an acceptable solution exists to the A271 issue that does not result in unacceptable negative impacts on the Cuckmere Valley. JS Bloor have significant land interests in the North Hailsham area and are in close contact with adjacent landowners. They are therefore in a position to have confidence that a solution can be found. The attached plans show how new development might be implemented to the north of Hailsham. Option 1 is deliverable on land entirely within the control of JS Bloor and its partner, the Fairfield Partnership. Options 2 and 3 indicate the potential for further development on other land in the north Hailsham area. All the Hailsham growth options have significant transport infrastructure requirements, with proposals to the north and the east of the town requiring the resolution of issues relating to the A271. However north Hailsham is the only option that can deliver significant improvements to the A271 in association with development. Whilst on the evidence of the assessment in the Issues and Options paper all the other sites have considerable infrastructure problems relating to noise, odour, historic conservation, service provision, community service provision and environmental issues the development of land north of Hailsham (Option 15A) is located in an easily serviced area, free of over-riding constraints. JS Bloor would therefore strongly advocate the allocation of option 15A, north of Upper Horsebridge, as a growth location, and seek its inclusion as such in the forthcoming preferred options document.
Bloor Homes support Option 15A which seeks to encourage new development in the form of an urban extension to North Hailsham. This option has the capacity to deliver approximately 890 – 1500 dwellings, enabling housing targets to be met without instigating capacity constraints. The assessment in the Issues and Options document shows that there are no significant landscape and biodiversity issues present in this area. This is not true for the other options around Hailsham, with Options 15b, c, d and e being in particularly sensitive locations with respect to the Pevensey Levels. Option 15 f is located to the west of the A22 bypass and sits in what is effectively open countryside, making its status as a sustainable urban extension questionable. Large scale development at 15a could assist in providing relief for the existing A271 through the provision of new stretches of road and other improvements to alleviate problems. Such measures, together with proposed Hailsham Town Centre traffic management works, will help to address existing congestion problems in the town. JS Bloor are happy to work with WDC in assessing options for development in this area. They are confident that an acceptable solution exists to the A271 issue that does not result in unacceptable negative impacts on the Cuckmere Valley. JS Bloor have significant land interests in the North Hailsham area and are in close contact with adjacent landowners. They are therefore in a position to have confidence that a solution can be found. The attached plans show how new development might be implemented to the north of Hailsham. Option 1 is deliverable on land entirely within the control of JS Bloor and its partner, the Fairfield Partnership. Options 2 and 3 indicate the potential for further development on other land in the north Hailsham area. All the Hailsham growth options have significant transport infrastructure requirements, with proposals to the north and the east of the town requiring the resolution of issues relating to the A271. However north Hailsham is the only option that can deliver significant improvements to the A271 in association with development. Whilst on the evidence of the assessment in the Issues and Options paper all the other sites have considerable infrastructure problems relating to noise, odour, historic conservation, service provision, community service provision and environmental issues the development of land north of Hailsham (Option 15A) is located in an easily serviced area, free of over-riding constraints. JS Bloor would therefore strongly advocate the allocation of option 15A, north of Upper Horsebridge, as a growth location, and seek its inclusion as such in the forthcoming preferred options document.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Miss Farquhar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106152</td>
<td>JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership</td>
<td>102477</td>
<td>Terence O'Rourke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Question 15g

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
JS Bloor would like to offer assurances that we are willing to work with WDC to together deliver a vision for North Hailsham that will deliver the spatial objectives set out for the town. We value the recent government focus on community participation, co-operation and implementation and are prepared to co-operate fully with the Council and work with them with respect to sustainability appraisal and consultation to ensure the delivery and implementation of a scheme at North Hailsham that is sustainable, viable, gains the support of the community and delivers wide ranging benefits to Hailsham town centre.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Miss Farquhar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106152</td>
<td>JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership</td>
<td>102477</td>
<td>Terence O'Rourke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Question 15h

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Bloor Homes are supportive of the stated Spatial Objectives for Hailsham, in particular the need to reduce congestion and the impact of traffic through the town centre and the need to improve the quality of entrances / approaches to the A22. We are also supportive of the need for a new park for the town, and for this park to be linked to the Cuckoo Trail. Option 15A for development to the north of Hailsham is best placed to provide such a facility with links to the Cuckoo Trail.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership
Agent ID: Miss Farquhar
106152 JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership

Part of document: Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

JS Bloor object to the concept of a new settlement in Wealden District. None of the above sites are truly sustainable and a development strategy that focuses on a new settlement will fail to accord with the spatial objectives for Wealden. For example, the plan seeks to ensure the viability and economic regeneration of town centres, which currently require investment in services and infrastructure. Housing is required to fund major infrastructure investment and this would not be possible if the development of a new settlement is pursued. JS Bloor suggest that these options are not pursued and instead development options focus on the growth of existing urban areas, which can bring a greater and wider range of benefits to the communities of Wealden.

Consultee ID: JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership
Agent ID: Miss Farquhar
106152 JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership

Part of document: Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

JS Bloor object to the concept of a new settlement in Wealden District. None of the above sites are truly sustainable and a development strategy that focuses on a new settlement will fail to accord with the spatial objectives for Wealden. For example, the plan seeks to ensure the viability and economic regeneration of town centres, which currently require investment in services and infrastructure. Housing is required to fund major infrastructure investment and this would not be possible if the development of a new settlement is pursued. JS Bloor suggest that these options are not pursued and instead development options focus on the growth of existing urban areas, which can bring a greater and wider range of benefits to the communities of Wealden.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership
Consultee ID: JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership
Agent ID: Miss Farquhar
Agent ID: Terence O'Rourke

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

JS Bloor object to the concept of a new settlement in Wealden District. None of the above sites are truly sustainable and a development strategy that focuses on a new settlement will fail to accord with the spatial objectives for Wealden. For example, the plan seeks to ensure the viability and economic regeneration of town centres, which currently require investment in services and infrastructure. Housing is required to fund major infrastructure investment and this would not be possible if the development of a new settlement is pursued. JS Bloor suggest that these options are not pursued and instead development options focus on the growth of existing urban areas, which can bring a greater and wider range of benefits to the communities of Wealden.

Consultee ID: JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership
Consultee ID: JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership
Agent ID: Miss Farquhar
Agent ID: Terence O'Rourke

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

JS Bloor object to the concept of a new settlement in Wealden District. None of the above sites are truly sustainable and a development strategy that focuses on a new settlement will fail to accord with the spatial objectives for Wealden. For example, the plan seeks to ensure the viability and economic regeneration of town centres, which currently require investment in services and infrastructure. Housing is required to fund major infrastructure investment and this would not be possible if the development of a new settlement is pursued. JS Bloor suggest that these options are not pursued and instead development options focus on the growth of existing urban areas, which can bring a greater and wider range of benefits to the communities of Wealden.
JS Bloor object to the concept of a new settlement in Wealden District. None of the above sites are truly sustainable and a development strategy that focuses on a new settlement will fail to accord with the spatial objectives for Wealden. For example, the plan seeks to ensure the viability and economic regeneration of town centres, which currently require investment in services and infrastructure. Housing is required to fund major infrastructure investment and this would not be possible if the development of a new settlement is pursued. JS Bloor suggest that these options are not pursued and instead development options focus on the growth of existing urban areas, which can bring a greater and wider range of benefits to the communities of Wealden.
Option 18f

JS Bloor object to the concept of a new settlement in Wealden District. None of the above sites are truly sustainable and a development strategy that focuses on a new settlement will fail to accord with the spatial objectives for Wealden. For example, the plan seeks to ensure the viability and economic regeneration of town centres, which currently require investment in services and infrastructure. Housing is required to fund major infrastructure investment and this would not be possible if the development of a new settlement is pursued. JS Bloor suggest that these options are not pursued and instead development options focus on the growth of existing urban areas, which can bring a greater and wider range of benefits to the communities of Wealden.

Option 18h

JS Bloor object to the concept of a new settlement in Wealden District. None of the above sites are truly sustainable and a development strategy that focuses on a new settlement will fail to accord with the spatial objectives for Wealden. For example, the plan seeks to ensure the viability and economic regeneration of town centres, which currently require investment in services and infrastructure. Housing is required to fund major infrastructure investment and this would not be possible if the development of a new settlement is pursued. JS Bloor suggest that these options are not pursued and instead development options focus on the growth of existing urban areas, which can bring a greater and wider range of benefits to the communities of Wealden.
JS Bloor object to the concept of a new settlement in Wealden District. None of the above sites are truly sustainable and a development strategy that focuses on a new settlement will fail to accord with the spatial objectives for Wealden. For example, the plan seeks to ensure the viability and economic regeneration of town centres, which currently require investment in services and infrastructure. Housing is required to fund major infrastructure investment and this would not be possible if the development of a new settlement is pursued. JS Bloor suggest that these options are not pursued and instead development options focus on the growth of existing urban areas, which can bring a greater and wider range of benefits to the communities of Wealden.
JS Bloor object to the concept of a new settlement in Wealden District. None of the above sites are truly sustainable and a development strategy that focuses on a new settlement will fail to accord with the spatial objectives for Wealden. For example, the plan seeks to ensure the viability and economic regeneration of town centres, which currently require investment in services and infrastructure. Housing is required to fund major infrastructure investment and this would not be possible if the development of a new settlement is pursued. JS Bloor suggest that these options are not pursued and instead development options focus on the growth of existing urban areas, which can bring a greater and wider range of benefits to the communities of Wealden.

JS Bloor Homes support the inclusion of Lower Horsebridge, Option 23b as a village with growth potential. The village of Lower Horsebridge is well related to Hailsham and therefore is suitably positioned to help meet the growth needs of Hailsham. Lower Horsebridge is capable of housing capacity in excess of the Draft Settlement Strategy and is not restricted by environmental / landscape issues or access infrastructure investment that constrain the development of other Options. It is well placed to form part of a wider strategic growth area for North Hailsham.
### Consultee ID:

| 106152 | JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership |

### Agent ID:

| 102477 | Terence O'Rourke |

### Part of document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25b</td>
<td>□</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

The Housing Needs Survey 2005 indicates there is a clear need for affordable housing in the District. It also indicates that the level of affordable housing need varies across the District. JS Bloor are therefore of the opinion that setting a fixed percentage target would be wrong and that affordable housing is a settlement specific issue. We would advocate that affordable housing is dealt with on a site specific basis, dependant on current need at the time.

### Consultee ID:

| 106152 | JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership |

### Agent ID:

| 102477 | Terence O'Rourke |

### Part of document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24a</td>
<td>□</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

Whilst we support the government agenda to maximise the use of land and achieve higher densities JS Bloor is of the opinion that housing densities should be arrived at on a site specific basis and should be dependant on a proper analysis of each site. Some sites may naturally be able to accommodate higher densities whilst others may be constrained.

### Consultee ID:

| 106152 | JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership |

### Agent ID:

| 102477 | Terence O'Rourke |

### Part of document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24b</td>
<td>□</td>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

Whilst we support the government agenda to maximise the use of land and achieve higher densities JS Bloor is of the opinion that housing densities should be arrived at on a site specific basis and should be dependant on a proper analysis of each site. Some sites may naturally be able to accommodate higher densities whilst others may be constrained.
Option 24c

Reason for comment:
Whilst we support the government agenda to maximise the use of land and achieve higher densities JS Bloor is of the opinion that housing densities should be arrived at on a site specific basis and should be dependant on a proper analysis of each site. Some sites may naturally be able to accommodate higher densities whilst others may be constrained.

Option 24d

Reason for comment:
JS Bloor support the statement that higher densities should be permitted for locations accessible to public transport.

Option 27a

Reason for comment:
In line with PPS1 and PPG13 there is a clear need to encourage sustainable travel. JS Bloor therefore support the objectives of Option 27a to locate development where it might improve vitality of bus services to and from town. Development to the north of Hailsham will enable the release of funding of a Quality Bus link between Hailsham and Eastbourne.
Option 27b

Reason for comment:
JS Bloor doubt that the inclusion of the reinstatement of a Lewes / Uckfield / Turnbridge Wells train service should be a long term priority of the new plan. We would question the sustainability of developing sites along the rail route and whether this is the best use of resources. We would suggest resources would be better directed to improvements to the road network and bus links and that housing development is directed to existing urban areas or sustainable urban extensions to ensure the vitality of existing town centres, communities and services.

Option 27d

Reason for comment:
The dualling of the A27 will be likely to remain unacceptable so long as it impacts on the South Downs directly. A route which takes the dualling away from the South Downs will be likely to be longer and therefore more costly. Consideration should be given to whether a development strategy that focuses development at Hailsham might reduce the pressure on the A27 to the extent that dualling will not be necessary. Should dualling be necessary, then development at Hailsham might be called upon to help to fund a route away from the South Downs.

Option 27f

Reason for comment:
Agree in principle.
Option 28a

Reason for comment:
In line with PPG17 and the need for the provision of open space and leisure facilities to meet local need JS Bloor are supportive of options 28 a, b and c. The development of the North of Hailsham site would enable the development of an area of high quality of open space to meet the needs of new residents and those existing residents of Hailsham. In addition the sites location would enable the provision of an open space link to the Cuckoo Trail, meeting this strategic objective for Hailsham.

Option 28b

Reason for comment:
In line with PPG17 and the need for the provision of open space and leisure facilities to meet local need JS Bloor are supportive of options 28 a, b and c. The development of the North of Hailsham site would enable the development of an area of high quality of open space to meet the needs of new residents and those existing residents of Hailsham. In addition the sites location would enable the provision of an open space link to the Cuckoo Trail, meeting this strategic objective for Hailsham.

Option 28c

Reason for comment:
In line with PPG17 and the need for the provision of open space and leisure facilities to meet local need JS Bloor are supportive of options 28 a, b and c. The development of the North of Hailsham site would enable the development of an area of high quality of open space to meet the needs of new residents and those existing residents of Hailsham. In addition the sites location would enable the provision of an open space link to the Cuckoo Trail, meeting this strategic objective for Hailsham.
JS Bloor agree with most of the infrastructure requirements identified in the table with the exception as stated below. JS Bloor are aware that securing the delivery of infrastructure is a primary consideration of the Council and offer assurances of our will to work with the Council to ensure the delivery of infrastructure projects in North Hailsham. Bloor Homes would agree that the following infrastructure requirements, identified in Table 15 are necessary: - 30a West of Polegate A27 (T) improvements - 30b A27 (T) and A22 (T) Link or junction improvements (various options. - Hailsham North access road and / or Lower Dicker road links. - 30d New sewerage treatment works / long sea outfall serving Hailsham and Polegate. The delivery of these works underpin the spatial vision for Wealden and the growth strategy of the draft South East Plan. - 30h Hailsham Town Centre traffic management and streetscape enhancement scheme - Eastborne, Polegate and Hailsham bus quality corridor. - New GP surgeries primary care facilities in parts of the District - New primary schools and enlarged schools in several parts of the district. Development at North Hailsham would enable the release of funding for sustainable travel and community services. JS Bloor would be happy to discuss the Council’s requirements with regards to the educational and health provision and the scope for inclusion on the North Hailsham site. JS Bloor would object to the delivery of 30l Hailsham South access road as being unnecessary since development should focus at north Hailsham.

JS Bloor agree with most of the main themes of the Preliminary Spatial Vision for Wealden with the exception as stated below. Overall JS Bloor is supportive of the vision, in particular its emphasis on driving economic investment to the Eastbourne / Hailsham triangle and focussing growth on the most accessible and sustainable locations that most benefit the objectives set for that place. Hailsham North is a site that befits this description and developing this site will result in wide ranging benefits to the residents of Hailsham. We would however cast doubt on the appropriateness of reopening the Wealden Line and the impact of directing investment away from town centres and creating potentially unsustainable development along its route.
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<table>
<thead>
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<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>JS Bloor and Fairfield Partnership</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Miss Farquhar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106152</td>
<td>JS Bloor Ltd (Newbury) and Fairfield Partnership</td>
<td>102477</td>
<td>Terence O'Rourke</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 32a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

JS Bloor agree with most of the proposed local spatial objectives for Wealden identified in the vision with the exception of as stated below. JS Bloor are largely supportive of the proposed spatial objectives for Wealden. However we would caution the setting of specific targets in relation to the percentage of greenfield / brownfield land, housing densities without detailed site assessment and given the delivery constraints of many of the major sites in the District. JS Bloor is of the opinion that housing densities should be arrived at on a site specific basis and should be dependant on a proper analysis of each site. Some sites may naturally be able to accommodate higher densities whilst others may be constrained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Whitwell Friends, Families and Travellers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106153</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Details of How to Comment**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

We do not wish to comment on specific questions set out in the Issues and Options Consultations Paper, but we do want to express our concern about the general tone of paragraphs 6.28 - 6.34 dealing with 'Gypsies and Travellers Needs'. The way the text is framed (particularly at paragraphs 6.30 and 6.34) it seems to be all about what the Council is required to do by law, the conditions needed to enable successful evictions to occur and the need to protect the environment and local amenities, rather than a genuine attempt to address and meet the accommodation and other needs of this marginalised and socially excluded minority ethnic community. The one question you pose under this section - as to whether sites should be concentrated in the north of the District or distributed throughout the District - is one that should be addressed primarily to the travelling communities themselves. The location of existing sites or stopping places is not in itself evidence of where the need is greatest since Gypsies and Travellers will inevitably go to locations where they are less likely to face eviction rather than locations where they would choose to be if sites were provided. It is dangerous to draw conclusions on the basis of existing patterns of travel and stopping places since these patterns may be seriously distorted by the lack of appropriate sites.
Consultee ID: Miss Birks
Agent ID: 106155
Part of document: Option 18j
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
To retain "village" character. Would agree with affordable housing - up to 15 Units, improved infrastructure.

Consultee ID: Mrs Golby
Agent ID: 106157
Part of document: Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
AONB's are precisely that, and should be maintained. Once you allow "some development" within them, it sets a precedent for further development.

Consultee ID: Mrs Golby
Agent ID: 106157
Part of document: Option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
It is not enough to ask people to save energy. We must set limits on the amount that we use, especially when we "know" that so much is wasted. Setting a target of at least 10% will ensure that the issues are considered seriously and encourage new technological innovation and solutions.

Consultee ID: Mrs Golby
Agent ID: 106157
Part of document: Option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
There is nothing to be gained from leaving this land idle. However, more should be done to attract business into the area to provide local employment, otherwise commuting to other towns is inevitable.
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
There will be locations within the Area that can support limited and appropriate development.

Section of Document: Option 21b

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposal, which I understand is in addition to the Grampian site, would mean a 400% increase in the village size. The original proposal for 140 homes at Grampian was refused by Wealden for many reasons but one was the adverse burden the additional dwellings would place on Uckfield, within months of that decision the proposal appears to be for 100 homes at Grampian and up to 400 more. It is not clear exactly where the proposed developments would be. One site at "West of Oakliegh" was the subject of a planning inquiry and was deemed totally unsuitable for development by the Inspector, the Secretary of State agreed and refused development. To the untrained eye there is no more land available within FAD. If the Grampian site goes ahead (which I support) it will virtually double the size of FAD and that is enough. It is essential for the character of the village that it does not be allowed to be joined to Uckfield by creeping urbanisation from the south.

Section of Document: Option 25c

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
It seems illogical to have a higher percentage of affordable homes in locations that have fewer local facilities.
Consultee ID: Mr Beaumont
106160

Part of document:  ☑️ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Natural area for infill development with least impact on the surrounding area.

Consultee ID: Mrs Shiret
106161

Part of document:  ☑️ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The countryside, particularly that in the view of the South Downs, National Park, AONB, or near conservation areas, should be preserved and no large scale development permitted. Wilmington green is a sensitive environmental area and the proposed sites at wilmington and Berwick are clearly visible from the Downs. The immediate area of the proposed site at Wilmington Green is steeped in history, with both national landmarks and historical buildings. Housing development should be managed by extending existing urban areas and not by building on greenfield sites. There are some brownfield sites available but development on these areas should not sprawl into the greenfield area. Planning policies could be more flexible in future to allow for expansion by existing inhabitants, development of single residential units by infilling, using garden/domestic land, conversion of farm buildings and outbuildings or by replacing existing buildings. This approach would meet the limited "needs of South Downs villages" and preserve village life. There is insufficient local employment for the occupiers of the planned dwellings. If the development is for commuters then the high cost of rail travel will mean that occupiers or the new settlement will choose to travel by car instead. This will result in increased traffic using the already congested A27. any future improvements made to carry the volume of traffic will further erode the countryside. Increased traffic will use the C120 as a "cut through" to the north. These lanes are narrow and twisty. Cars have difficulty passing along most of the route. There are several blind and dangerous bends. Speeding traffic is already a problem with cars frequently leaving the road and tyre marks where there has been heavy braking. There will be an impact on local services and hospitals where closure of wards and provision of services is currently under threat. Currently there is no capacity to supply water to the number of proposed dwellings. There is no mains sweagerage, drainage and no mains gas supply within the region. the general impact on the environment, increased pollution, light pollution and survival of wildlife should be observe dna potential sites of SSI taken into consideration.
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

The countryside, particularly that in the view of the South Downs, National Park, AONB or near conservation areas, should be preserved and no large scale development permitted. Wilmington Green is a sensitive environmental area and the proposed sites at Wilmington and Berwick are clearly visible from the Downs. The immediate area of the proposed site at Wilmington Green is steeped in history, with both national landmarks and historical buildings. Housing development should be managed by extending existing urban areas and not by building on greenfield sites. There are some brownfield sites available but development on these areas should not sprawl into the greenfield area. Planning policies could be more flexible in future to allow for expansion by existing inhabitants, development of single residential units by infilling, using garden/domestic land, conversion of farm buildings and outbuildings or by replacing existing buildings. This approach would meet the limited “needs of South Downs villages” and preserve village life. There is insufficient local employment for the occupiers of the planned dwellings. If the development is for commuters then the high cost of rail travel will mean that occupiers of the new settlement will choose to travel by car instead. This will result in increased traffic using the already congested A27. Any future improvements made to carry the volume of traffic will further erode the countryside, Increased traffic will use the C120 as a “cut through” to the north. These lanes are narrow and twisty. Cars have difficulty passing along most of the route. There are several blind and dangerous bends. Speeding traffic is already a problem with cars frequently leaving the road and tyre marks where there has been heavy braking. There will be an impact on local services and hospitals where closure of wards and provision of services is currently under threat. Currently there is no capacity to supply water to the number of proposed dwellings. There is no mains sewerage, drainage and no mains gas supply within the region. The general impact on the environment, increased pollution, light pollution and survival of wildlife should be observed and potential sites of SSI taken into consideration.
Consultee ID: Mrs Shiret  
Consultee ID: 106161  
Part of document:  
Option 18j  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
The countryside, particularly that in the view of the South Downs, National Park, AONB or near conservation areas, should be preserved and no large scale development permitted. Wilmington Green is a sensitive environmental area and the proposed sites at Wilmington and Berwick are clearly visible from the Downs. The immediate area of the proposed site at Wilmington Green is steeped in history, with both national landmarks and historical buildings. Housing development should be managed by extending existing urban areas and not by building on greenfield sites. There are some brownfield sites available but development on these areas should not sprawl into the greenfield area. Planning policies could be more flexible in future to allow for expansion by existing inhabitants, development of single residential units by infilling, using garden/domestic land, conversion of farm buildings and outbuildings or by replacing existing buildings. This approach would meet the limited “needs of South Downs villages” and preserve village life. There is insufficient local employment for the occupiers of the planned dwellings. If the development is for commuters then the high cost of rail travel will mean that occupiers of the new settlement will choose to travel by car instead. This will result in increased traffic using the already congested A27. Any future improvements made to carry the volume of traffic will further erode the countryside, Increased traffic will use the C120 as a “cut through” to the north. These lanes are narrow and twisty. Cars have difficulty passing along most of the route. There are several blind and dangerous bends. Speeding traffic is already a problem with cars frequently leaving the road and tyre marks where there has been heavy braking. There will be an impact on local services and hospitals where closure of wards and provision of services is currently under threat. Currently there is no capacity to supply water to the number of proposed dwellings. There is no mains sewerage, drainage and no mains gas supply within the region. The general impact on the environment, increased pollution, light pollution and survival of wildlife should be observed and potential sites of SSI taken into consideration.

Consultee ID: Mrs Cave  
Consultee ID: 106162  
Part of document:  
Option 20b  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Wadhurst has already suffered from additional housing. The infrastructure is unable to cope. Village life is being eroded and vandalism is increasing. If further housing is added, it will turn this village into a small town - but without the necessary facilities. People who moved here to enjoy rural life will move away. It is wrong to build in areas of natural beauty. Far better to build in the existing towns and then concentrate the resources for additional infrastructure there. This would enable better use of those finite resources.
Consultee ID: Mrs Cave

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Needs to reflect local area, rather than an arbitrary figure.

Consultee ID: Mrs Cave

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Public transport links and the existing road infrastructure must be primary considerations.

Consultee ID: Mrs Cave

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Only limited affordable housing should be provided in rural areas. The infrastructure cannot support large scale building of small homes. They should be concentrated in the more urban areas.

Consultee ID: Mrs Cave

Part of document: Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Cave
Agent ID:

Part of document:
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ■ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:
Your View  ■ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Cave
Agent ID:

Part of document:
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ■ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:
Your View  ■ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Cave
Agent ID:

Part of document:
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ■ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:
Your View  ■ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Cave
Agent ID:

Part of document:
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ■ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:
Your View  ■ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Cave
Agent ID: 106162

Part of document: ☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☐ option

Section of Document: Glossary

Reason for comment:
I agree that limited affordable housing needs to be provided. However, I think it should be centred on the more urban areas. To build additional housing in rural areas would destroy the very reasons why this area is so valued. In addition road and rail provision in the area is very limited, if you wish to travel from west to east or vice versa. Additional housing in rural areas will add to road traffic (as public transport is so limited) on an already stretched road infrastructure. The answer is not to build more roads (which will go against environmental issues) but to build the housing in areas where the roads and rail systems exist.

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
Agent ID: 106163

Part of document: ☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☐ option

Section of Document: Core Strategy - Issues and Options Consultation Paper

Reason for comment:
Whilst I accept and would whole heartedly support affordable housing on a small scale for local residents, housing on the scale that the Consultation paper suggests is possible, would be unacceptable. The recently published Government White Paper “Planning for a Sustainable Future” urges planners to utilise existing agricultural buildings and other brown field sites in an effort to minimise development impact on the countryside. The white paper also suggests the need for urban and inner city rejuvenation. Not new settlements in a countryside that is already struggling to cope with the numbers wishing to live there or to utilise the infrastructure.

Consultee ID: Mr Harris
Agent ID: 106164

Part of document: ☐ document ☑ chapter ☐ section ☐ option

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
Provided ‘development’ is sympathetic to the surroundings and is provided for the betterment of the community. Infill to existing towns would seem more sensible. Emphasis on retaining communities through shared ownership schemes aimed at those with ties to the area who can’t ordinarily afford housing in that area should be considered.
Consultee ID: mr cox
Agent ID: 106166

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
With proposal for such a large development the impact on the local infrastructure is enormous, mainly road congestion, Schools, GPs, Dental practices, Rail links and hospitals. Road congestion: The A2270 has already reached its capacity, at peak times the traffic can be backed up from the top of Polegate high street all the way along the A2270 back to junction of Huggets Lanes. If the intended proposal to build 1,600-2,650 dwellings went ahead then on average assuming each house has two cars then the added congestion could be around anything from 1600 to 5300 extra cars using the A2270. This would bring the area to a virtual stand still and thus making this proposal inconceivable.

Schools: The proposal mentions possibly building a new secondary school but what about Primary schools? In the local area we have 2 Primary Schools, does the proposal assumes that all the people taking up residence at this proposed site will not have child between the ages of 4 and 11 years of age. I believe this will create a greater strain on our already crowed local schools.

GPs: The local GP’s are already struggling to cope with the numbers of existing patients. The report already highlights that this location would not be suitable for a new GP practice, which will be required to take the large number of residences being proposed. Dental practices: There is a shortage of dental practices in the local area and nothing has been proposed to take this into account. Rail links: I commute between Polegate and Croydon and the trains are already filled to capacity when they leave Polegate station. With the addition of so many house in the area this can only add to the already over crowding on the trains as some people who would buy these dwelling would look to commute to work by rail. Hospitals: The local DGH is already struggling to meet the existing residences with such large developments proposed within the local area what plans are there to improve the local hospitals to help meet these large numbers of new residence.

Consultee ID: mr hill
Agent ID: 106168

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Strongly agree. All options need to be considered going forward.
Consultee ID: mr hill

Part of document: Option 8b

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Need to maintain gap between Polegate and Eastbourne

Consultee ID: mr hill

Part of document: Option 8c

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
North of Polegate seems most sustainable for development

Consultee ID: mr hill

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr hill

Part of document: Option 24b

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
30 pha is surely the maximum. If greenbelt land has to be used then so be it - people need to live somewhere.
Option 25b

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View

Reason for comment:
Affordable housing should be spread around - not concentrated in specific areas. Some people seem more bothered about diversity in animals than humans

Part of document: Part 7

Section of Document: Making it Happen - Implementation

Your View

Reason for comment:
It is essential that when approving a planning application, the developer is fully agreeable to any infrastructure improvements that are required. Also, a time limit between approval and commencement of development (say, 6 months) needs to be imposed to prevent landbanking

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View

Reason for comment:
It is absurd that builders can tactically delay building, in order to maximize profit. If WDC approve a P.A. then a start date has to be rigourously enforced. WDC have the power to approve/refuse P.A's so should have the power to insist on a speedy build. 3-6 months seems appropriate
Reason for comment:
The High Weald area is a varied and diffuse one; limited, sensitively-located and sympathetically-planned development should not have a significantly detrimental impact.

Reason for comment:
Maintaining adequate energy supplies is a major and growing concern.
Consultee ID: Hodge  
106169  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 3b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Hodge  
106169  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 3d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Hodge  
106169  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 4a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
This is currently open country - surely better to retain the A27 and A22 as frontiers to development here.  

Consultee ID: Hodge  
106169  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 4b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Again this is open country
Part of Document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4c

Reason for comment:
This area is bounded by the A27 and existing development and so seems a better candidate for further development than either of the two previous options

Part of Document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4d

Reason for comment:
Yes but with the proviso that only the area east of the A22 is developed, not the open country to the west.

Part of Document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 5a

Reason for comment:
This effectively means that settlement boundaries will not be maintained!

Part of Document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 5b

Reason for comment:
This seems to me a better approach.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 6a

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 7a

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 7b

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 7c

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID:
106169

Part of document: option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID:
106169

Part of document: option
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID:
106169

Part of document: option
Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID:
106169

Part of document: option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 13a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 14a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 14b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

"But need to keep green space between Uckfield and Framfield"
Consultee ID: 106169
Hodge

Part of document: Option 14e
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106169
Hodge

Part of document: Option 14f
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106169
Hodge

Part of document: Option 14g
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106169
Hodge

Part of document: Option 14h
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: 106169

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: 106169

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: 106169

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: 106169

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Hodge

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** 
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 16f

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** 
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 17a

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** 
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 17b

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** 
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 17c

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cons:</td>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of doc:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section</td>
<td>□ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td>Your View □ Agree □ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason:</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cons:</td>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of doc:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section</td>
<td>□ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td>Your View □ Agree □ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason:</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cons:</td>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of doc:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section</td>
<td>□ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td>Your View □ Agree □ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason:</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cons:</td>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of doc:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section</td>
<td>□ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td>Your View □ Agree □ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason:</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Hodge</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18f

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 18g

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 18h

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 18i

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Hodge
Part of document: Option 18j
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Hodge
Part of document: Option 23d
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Hodge
Part of document: Option 22a
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Hodge
Part of document: Option 22b
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: 

Page 1497 of 6217
Consultee ID: Hodge
106169

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
106169

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
106169

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Hodge
106169

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Hodge
Consultee ID: Hodge
Consultee ID: Hodge
Consultee ID: Hodge

Part of document: Option 23c
Part of document: Option 23e
Part of document: Option 25a
Part of document: Option 25b

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Your View: Agree
Your View: Agree
Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106169
Part of document: Option 25c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 106169
Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 106169
Part of document: Option 26a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 106169
Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Question Twenty Nine
**Section of Document:** Housing Delivery

- Your View: [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Question 31a
**Section of Document:**

- Your View: [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hodge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Question 32a
**Section of Document:**

- Your View: [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Tipler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Question One
**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

AONBs are designated as such for a reason and most definitely should not be developed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Tipler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 2b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Tipler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 4a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Tipler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 4b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Tipler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 4c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Tipler
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Tipler
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is no option here for retaining boundaries full stop.

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Tipler
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development within villages should take place with regard to planning applications from existing inhabitants - ie for extending properties or building within boundaries of existing properties to accommodate family members. This would keep the community of a village alive. Development of new properties in settlements in rural areas would be unacceptable.

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Tipler
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Unsustainable location - poor road access would need more unacceptable road building
Consultee ID: Mrs Tipler  
106170

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
unsustainable location with poor access - unacceptable road building would be necessary.

Consultee ID: Mrs Tipler  
106170

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Tipler  
106170

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is a greenfield site, would need new road access, a27 would be unable to cope with new traffic generated, creates urban sprawl leading to further infill development.
Consultee ID: Mrs Tipler  
106170

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 18i

Reason for comment:
The existing road (A27) would be unable to cope with the extra traffic generated by such a settlement leading to unacceptable new road building. Infill developments would occur between Polegate and Wilmington generating even more traffic. A new railway station at Wilmington may take some of the newly generated traffic from the A27, but the inevitable further expansion towards Polegate would negate this benefit. This development would create a negative visual impact from the South Downs AONB. The Village of Wilmington would be destroyed, which has historical value, not least of all the Long Man hillside figure.

Consultee ID: Mrs Tipler  
106170

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 18i

Reason for comment:
The existing road (A27) would be unable to cope with extra traffic generated by such a development so would lead to unacceptable road building within area, negative visual impact from AONB of South Downs, would lead to infill developments between Polegate and Wilmington causing further expansion and more traffic, would destroy the Village of Wilmington which has historical interest, not least of all The Long Man hillside figure.

Consultee ID: Mrs Tipler  
106170

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 18j

Reason for comment:
Existing A27 would be unable to cope with new traffic generated thus leading to unacceptable new road building. Brownfield sites within existing boundaries could be developed, and planning priority given to applications for expansion from existing inhabitants. Large densities of new builds must be avoided in small villages otherwise these villages WILL be destroyed and become towns. The future impact of large developments must be considered - they will lead to further development and sprawl increasing traffic.
Reason for comment:
The publicity concerning the contents of this paper was practically non-existent - we heard about these proposed developments, which would have a direct impact upon us, by word of mouth. The related documents are far too long for a casual glance. Also the timescale for comments is far too limited and over a holiday period when meetings and local consultations are very difficult to arrange. The number of comments I have seen on any of the proposals so far are so low that it is obviously not being viewed by a sufficient representation of the affected areas. I do hope that further consultations will take place before any decisions are made.

Reason for comment:
New developments should ONLY be made in areas where good public transport already exists or where provision will be made for new public transport links. Developments should no be made in areas where new road building would be necessary to cope with the extra traffic generated.

Reason for comment:
### Option 25g

**Reason for comment:**
I agree that re-instatement of the line should be a priority but not under the proviso of large scale developments to aid funding.

### Option 26b

**Reason for comment:**
If this would encourage more people to switch to using the railway rather than the A27, this could only be of benefit to Wealden as a whole.
Option 27d

Reason for comment:
This stretch of road does not need dualling, nor does a new dual carriageway need to be built. Building of a new stretch of road here would be accompanied by development on land between the 2 roads leading to more traffic in the area negating any congestion / travel time benefits. The main reason usually cited for dualling / re-building this stretch of road is to improve safety but this would immediately be improved by enforced speed restriction and improved junctions, especially at Wilmington and Selemston.

Mr GUNNER

Reason for comment:
I STRONGLY SUPPORT 2.6 allowing small scale development to meet local needs. 2.8 - which provides for the needs of quiet recreation and the enhancement of the landscape. Helps provide funds for the Management Plan Objectives. 2.17 Helps maintain & enhance biodiversity and habitat connectivity. 2.18 Helps the DC to fulfil its duty to promote nature conservation. and provides sensitively located and designed development to help meet targets in the East Sussex Biodiversity Action Plan. 2.46 Conserve Coppiced Areas for Biofuels. 2.47 Provides microgeneration of renewable sources. 2.48 By small scale woodburning power to provide a new economic source for coppicing.
Option 2b

Reason for comment:
suggests communal heating systems are included in schemes of 10 or more developments.

Part 3

Section of Document: Wealden’s Working Patterns

Reason for comment:
Asics for clarification as to how enviromental care should be funded in light of changin circumstances (subsidy withdrawal, loss of profitability)
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View   ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I strongly oppose the Wealden proposal that Berwick might be a good site for a ‘new town’ of 7000 houses. It is not possible to meet the government's new housing targets in isolation without considering the wider impact on the existing community and the environment. The 1998 Wealden local plan paragraph 14.5 stated that 'there are major landscape and environmental constraints affecting further outward expansion of Hailsham' which would ‘intrude into the open countryside and conflict with the strategy policy of maintaining the existing countryside’. 14.7 'the council’s strategy for the town involves striking a balance between the demands for new housing, the need to improve local employment opportunities, and the protection of the environment'. The 2004 Neighbourhood statistics for 015D - www.statistics.gov.uk showed that there were very few "barriers to housing" in this area. Most of the family housing in the Wealden area is already affordable and there is a good choice available on the market. In fact there were 52 pages of houses in the local paper this week. So what actually is the problem? Is the aim to attract new people to the area or to cater for the existing population? The national birth rate is decreasing so where will the disproportionate 10,000% increase in population in Berwick come from? Do we know that this number of people would want to move to Berwick anyway? Where do they currently work? If the buildings were to be erected would outsiders buy the affordable property and either let it or sell it later for an increased price, as happened in Sovereign Harbour and Newhaven? Berwick is a village. It is a site of ecological and archeological importance, as mentioned by previous correspondents, and it is also historically significant providing respite to visitors who appreciate the rural environment, working farms and vineyards. Part of the magic of Berwick is its literary connections; tourists are attracted by this and the charming setting and remote feel of Berwick is an essential ingredient.
Consultee ID: Mrs Woods  
106174

Part of document: option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
I would like to register my protest to a large housing development on Hindsland and Mornings Mill Farm. If a thousand or so houses are built on this area it would most likely see an increase of at least 2000 cars on the already congested roads in this area, also tons of extra rubbish to be collected. This is also an area of low rainfall and with so many more people using water it would put an extra strain on water resources, fortunately for us in this area the heavy rainfall this summer has helped to fill the reservoirs and build up our water supplies. To keep building more and more houses and covering the fields in concrete is not in my opinion the way to "save the planet" as we are urged to do daily. The planners can create large housing developments but are not looking at the long term effects on the environment i.e. Dr Beeching closed a good part of the railway system to save money, now we have motorways cutting through the countryside carrying huge juggernauts which also drive through small villages ruining roads which are having to be constantly repaired. The building in the sixties of high-rise flats was not a good idea and are now being pulled down. They have become ideal places for crime and drugs not the ideal place to live. We now have parking meters on Butts Brow and along the roads to Beachy Head, places of outstanding beauty, but are no longer free. I feel that in the not too distant future we will have theme parks to show future generations what fields and trees were like, also sheep and cattle who grazed on green pastures. I could quote many more examples of planners not looking further than the next development or what seemed like a good idea at the time! Hindsland and Mornings Mill Farm is the last green area before Eastbourne. Please leave some countryside for people to enjoy free of charge.

Consultee ID: Mrs Powell  
106175

Part of document: option 16h

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
You mean to go ahead with your Plans, not thinking about schools, doctors, more traffic which is unbearable now and strain on our hospital which may end up closed anyway.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gasson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106178</td>
<td>Battle congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses on behalf of Jehovah's Witnesses living in Wealden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Please clarify that other use includes places of worship. This is to establish a framework in land use planning policy that provides a basis upon which sites suitable for construction of places of worship may be obtained. (See ODPM Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development: page 8, paragraph 16. “Plan policies should…take into account the needs of all the community, including particular requirements relating to…religion…”)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gasson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106178</td>
<td>Battle congregation of Jehovah's Witnesses on behalf of Jehovah's Witnesses living in Wealden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Please clarify that “community facilities” include places of worship. (See The South East Plan, page 231, 11. Community Infrastructure 11.1 “Given the expected growth in the region’s population, there will inevitably be a need for additional investment in community infrastructure - …places of worship…” This is to establish a framework in land use planning policy that provides a basis upon which sites suitable for construction of places of worship may be obtained. (See ODPM Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development: page 8, paragraph 16. “Plan policies should…take into account the needs of all the community, including particular requirements relating to…religion…”)

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Please clarify that “community facilities” include places of worship. (See The South East Plan, page 231, 11. Community Infrastructure 11.1 “Given the expected growth in the region’s population, there will inevitably be a need for additional investment in community infrastructure - …places of worship…” This is to establish a framework in land use planning policy that provides a basis upon which sites suitable for construction of places of worship may be obtained. (See ODPM Planning Policy Statement 1: Delivering Sustainable Development: page 8, paragraph 16. “Plan policies should…take into account the needs of all the community, including particular requirements relating to…religion…”)
There appears to be no reference to policy on places of worship in the core strategy and it is unclear where else to raise this issue in the consultation paper. It is requested that provision for places of worship be included in the Core Strategy in line with government guidelines (see below). This representation is made in order to establish a framework in land use planning policy that provides a basis upon which sites suitable for construction of places of worship may be obtained.

OPDM Diversity and Equality in Planning Page 11, paragraph 1: Planning should aim to improve the lives of the widest cross-section of society. Society today is made up of diverse individuals of varying ... faiths.

Page 37, 3.7.1 The CEHR will also deal with equality issues relating to discrimination on the grounds of ... faith and belief. The CEHR will work with other standard-setting agencies and inspectorates to ensure that public service providers comply with equalities and human rights legislation and take an integrated approach towards adopting good equalities and human rights practices. Page 88, Box - "Good Practice Points: Effective Community Engagement." 1. Links should be made with relevant Council departments and other Councils, regeneration initiatives, local and national voluntary sector agencies, Planning Aid, local community meeting places including places of worship, local universities, developers, etc. Planners don't always have to start from scratch and do everything themselves and should make good use of existing activities, information sources and groups - including local access groups. This also helps to deliver an integrated service. Page 158, "Leicester: Monitoring of Planning Applications" Places of worship: The planning department took a proactive approach helping with site searches. The approach was structured, with the issuing of registration forms and checking the financial viability of groups. This promoted a greater understanding of the issues, in particular the need for a local facility to serve particular faith communities. Planning policy was amended to permit the consideration of the development of places of worship on sites that had previously been reserved for employment uses. Postgraduate student research exploring housing aspirations of Asian families highlighted the importance of being located near a place of worship. Key Lessons A proactive and professional approach to planning applications and siting of places of worship gave confidence in the planning system to the community, promoting trust.
Commission on Environment and Development in 1987: "development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs." 3.15 The Government has set out four aims for sustainable development in its strategy: A Better Quality of Life, a Strategy for Sustainable Development in the UK. Aims are: i. social progress which recognises the needs of everyone;... The South East Plan Page 231, 11. Community Infrastructure 11.1 “Given the expected growth in the region’s population, there will inevitably be a need for additional investment in community infrastructure - …places of worship…”
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Further comments on the proposal to build on Downlands Farm & Budletts Common Summary:
Downlands Farm and Budletts Common represent important parts of a highly biodiverse habitat jigsaw complex including SNCIs, an SSSI, nature reserves, an ancient common, a designated salmonid stream, ancient woodland, unimproved ancient grassland, wetlands, lower Cretaceous rock outcrops, lowland heath and ghyll (gill) valleys that run across the North of Uckfield between Isfield, Maresfield and Buxted. Most of these habitats have statutory recommendations to be avoided when planning new buildings, and some have national & international protection. The site can be seen from two designated Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, and is not contiguous with any previous development, and no other buildings can be seen from most of the site. For these reasons please DISAGREE with any application to develop in this area. Furthermore, put pressure on owners to allow public access. Detail: · The rocks, water, plants and animals of Downlands Farm, Budletts Common and Lake Wood are of exceptional quality and should be conserved for perpetuity (Robinson & Williams 1984; Stenning 1995; Stenning in prep.). · The High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and the Downlands Farm/Budletts Common complex rest on massive Lower Cretaceous sandstone ridges, and many outcrops of this rock occur at Uckfield (Robinson & Williams 1984). · The southern boundary of these ridges extends in a line from the villages Fletching to Blackboys, and through the town of Uckfield. However, the boundary of the AONB circumnavigates the Northern boundary of Uckfield and is about 2 kilometres from Budletts Common (Robinson & Williams 1984 & Local Plan 1988). · The area contained within this semi-circle contains most of the features of the AONB (CA letter 25.4.2001), and all the habitats and designations listed above. · The Countryside Agency, now part of Natural England confirmed in correspondence with Uckfield Town Council that the area contained many features of the HWAONB (CA letter 25.4.2001). · Key species occupying the proposed site include great crested newts, dormice and badgers, all of which have statutory protection (Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981; Protection of Badgers Act 1992). Notable birds recorded include wood warbler, lesser spotted woodpecker, common sandpiper, turtle dove. · The imposition of housing and industrial/social activity into this habitat complex will result in initial limited destruction of ancient woodland, wetland and lowland heath, but also progressive habitat degradation and eventual species extinction, including those with statutory protection (see submission from S.O.C.S). · The landscape has profound historic value, being largely unchanged since the Tythe Maps of 1841. Extant woodland has probably existed here since the last ice age 10,000 years ago. · The hydrology of the site is such that it is the purity and extent of the spring water yielded from the bedrock that supports the extraordinary biodiversity of the site and surrounding area (S.O.C. submission, Stenning [species list] in prep.). · In agreement with the Woodland Trust and Sussex Wildlife Trust submissions, to the WDC consultation. The measures proposed by the developer would not be sufficient to adequately protect the landscape, habitats (listed above), living organisms and geological features of the site. · The hydrology of this site is too complex and sensitive to sustain the imposition of housing and industrial activity. · The developers ES (8.60 p. 13) seeks to render the flow through the ghylls artificial. This would result in undermining the natural historical and wild nature of these ancient features which is against the Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC). References: Robinson, D.A. & Williams R.G.B. (1984) Classic Landforms of The Weald. Geographical Association. Stenning, M.J. (1995) D.Phil. Thesis. University of Sussex. Stenning, M.J. (In Prep) Species List of Lake Wood and the Surrounding Area.. Obtainable from the author. Uckfield Local Plan (1988) Wealden District Council. Correspondence between the Countryside Agency and Uckfield Town Council (2001). Available from Uckfield Town Council. Various submissions to Wealden District Council 2006 – 2007.
Consultee ID: Doctor Stenning
106180 University of Sussex

Part of document:☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Part of a belt of habitats more typical of the High Weald. It is important for wildlife and part of mosaic of protected areas. This area should be conserved with minimum development.

Consultee ID: Doctor Stenning
106180 University of Sussex

Part of document:☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Bird-In-Eye Farm is part of old Uckfield, and has some historic landscape. There is a danger of pollution of the Framfield Stream and excessive congestion in the Framfield Road.

Consultee ID: Mrs Bahcheli Parker Dann
106183

Part of document:☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Question 21g

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
To have a site located in Five Ash Down considered for residential development. Site comprises of approximately 0.6 Ha, allowing development of some 15-18 homes at a density of 30dph. Further details including exact site location and assessment of the sustainability of the site are included within the sent letter.

Consultee ID: Sutton
106186

Part of document:☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sutton</th>
<th>106186</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 16b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sutton</th>
<th>106186</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 16c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sutton</th>
<th>106186</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 106186  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option  
Option 18j  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
-Goes against previous policies re. character and setting of Berwick Station -Poor road infrastructure of the A27/ A22 -Dualling of the existing A27 should not be seen as a solution to the road problem -Existing railway station and car park is small and difficult to enlarge -Numbers commuting by car will not be significantly reduced by the availability of a rail service -Safety issues -Negative effect on Tourism -Negative effect on views to and from the proposed South Downs national park -High density development not appropriate adjacent to the SSSI -High water table in area does not lend itself to high density development -Brownfield site on disused brickworks has become an important ecological habitat over the years -A negative impact on the existing village -Not adjacent to an employment area -Number of dwellings is out of proportion with requirements -Currently 700,000 houses standing empty in the country -A history of failed "new settlements" The above are a summary of the points raised by the consultee and full details of representation are as submitted within letter.

Consultee ID: 106186  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option  
Option 27d  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
The dualling of the A27 would only enable the huge numbers currently commuting out of Eastbourne everyday to do so more easily, surely more employment should be encouraged in the town.

Consultee ID: 106188  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Will overburden an already stretched resource in Medical, Hospital, Traffic, Water, Sewerage and as well as take away the green fields and replace them with concrete which will lose them forever. It will not provide affordable housing as the only people who will benefit will be the developers, not the local communities who they are over burdening in the name of monetary gain. If the government wants to regenerate areas why dont they regenerate the north like they did wales when the steel and coal mines closed. Pay people to open businesses and provide work and training in the north, instead of trying to cram the whole population into the south east of the country.
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: ☑ chapter ☐ section ☐ option

Section of Document: Foreword

Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:
Do not want the infrastructure which is already over burdened stretched to impossible lengths

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: ☑ chapter ☐ section ☐ option

Section of Document: How to Comment

Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:
Would like to think that the council will be taking notice of the views of the people who have to live in the area, not government ministers who have not any knowledge of local needs or problems - merely sit in their offices telling the councils who are responsible to the local people what to do. Local Issues should be decided locally not by central Government offices.

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: ☑ option ☐ chapter ☐ section ☐ document

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: ☑ option ☐ chapter ☐ section ☐ document

Section of Document: Option 2a

Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: Option 2b  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: Option 3a  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: Option 3b  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: Option 3c  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 4d

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 5a

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 5b

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 6a

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document:  
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

do not produce larger towns

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document:  
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document:  
Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document:  
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
106188

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
106188

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
106188

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
106188

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Rumsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Rumsey</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Rumsey</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Rumsey</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID:
106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID:
106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID:
106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID:
106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

----------------------------------------

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

----------------------------------------

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

----------------------------------------

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID:
106188
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 12d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID:
106188
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 12e
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID:
106188
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 12f
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID:
106188
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 12h
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 14d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 14e

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 14f

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14g

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14h

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 14i

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Allow for flood plains to prevent flooding

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 14j

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Rumsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 15a**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [X] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Rumsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 15b**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [X] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Rumsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 15c**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [X] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Rumsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 15d**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [X] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑️ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑️ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: ☑️ option
Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑️ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑️ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Part of document: Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Part of document: Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Part of document: Question 16h
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: limited parking for present usage

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Part of document: Question 16i
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID:
106188

Part of document: Option 18c
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID:
106188

Part of document: Option 18d
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID:
106188

Part of document: Option 18e
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey Agent ID:
106188

Part of document: Option 18f
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Part of document: Option 18g
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 18h
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 18i
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 19a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Rumsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✔ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Rumsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✔ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Rumsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>✔ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Rumsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✔ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: Option 23c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: Option 20c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: Option 21a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: Option 21b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Part of document: Option 21c
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Part of document: Option 21d
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Part of document: Option 21e
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Part of document: Option 21f
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Consultee ID: 106188

Part of document:  
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✔

Section of Document:

Option 23a

Your View:  
- Agree: □
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: ✔

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Consultee ID: 106188

Part of document:  
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✔

Section of Document:

Option 23b

Your View:  
- Agree: □
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: ✔

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Consultee ID: 106188

Part of document:  
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✔

Section of Document:

Option 23d

Your View:  
- Agree: □
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: ✔

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Consultee ID: 106188

Part of document:  
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✔

Section of Document:

Option 23e

Your View:  
- Agree: □
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: ✔

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 24a</th>
<th>Option 24b</th>
<th>Option 24c</th>
<th>Option 24d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Rumsey</td>
<td>Mr Rumsey</td>
<td>Mr Rumsey</td>
<td>Mr Rumsey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106188</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular to:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 25d</th>
<th>Option 25e</th>
<th>Option 25f</th>
<th>Option 26a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
106188

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
106188

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
106188

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey  
106188

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: Option 28b

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: Option 28c

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: Option 28c

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey
Agent ID: 106188

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

why must all developments to be in South East.

Consultee ID: Mr Rumsey

Part of document: ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

do not want to see green fields replaced by concrete.
Consultee ID: Mr Reed  
106190

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Reed  
106190

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Reed  
106190

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This location is not suitable as it would be highly intrusive in the landscape, breaching a significant visual horizon and exposing the urban area of Uckfield to a wide tract of previously unaffected countryside. In landscape terms, any development here would cause unacceptable harm to the rural setting of Uckfield and Maresfield and the visual quality, continuity and rural character of the surrounding countryside. The proposed site is clearly visible from 2 AONBS (South Downs and Ashdown Forest). The proposed area would result in the unacceptable loss of ancient woodland and wetland habitats and lead to the unacceptable fragmentation, isolation and disturbance of natural habits that support protected wildlife species and other flora and fauna of acknowledged nature conservation importance. The site supports a number of European protected species together with a range of other species protected by UK legislation and supports priority species listed in the UK Biodiversity Action Plan, together with other bird species of nature conservation concern.
Consultee ID: Mr Reed  Agent ID: 106190
Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 14b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Reed  Agent ID: 106190
Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 14g
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
As long as Luxford field remains intact for future generations to enjoy

Consultee ID: Mr Reed  Agent ID: 106190
Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 14g
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Reed  Agent ID: 106190
Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 21a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Reed</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 21b

**Part of document:**  
- Document: No  
- Chapter: No  
- Section: No  
- Option: Yes

**Section of Document:** [Agent ID: Agreed]

**Your View:**  
- Agree: No  
- No opinion: Yes  
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Reed</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 21c

**Part of document:**  
- Document: No  
- Chapter: No  
- Section: No  
- Option: Yes

**Section of Document:** [Agent ID: Agreed]

**Your View:**  
- Agree: No  
- No opinion: Yes  
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: No  
- Chapter: No  
- Section: No  
- Option: Yes

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View:**  
- Agree: Yes  
- No opinion: No  
- Disagree: No

**Reason for comment:**

**Overall results of questions 2 - 31 inclusive.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: No  
- Chapter: No  
- Section: No  
- Option: Yes

**Section of Document:** [Agent ID: Agreed]

**Your View:**  
- Agree: Yes  
- No opinion: No  
- Disagree: No

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:** Option 2a

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Mr Thompson | Agent ID: Mr Thompson |
| 106191 | Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council | 102478 | Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council |

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:** Option 2a

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Commercial viability essential. Environmental considerations.

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Thompson | Agent ID: Mr Thompson |
| 106191 | Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council | 102478 | Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council |

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:** Option 2b

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Subject needs more data. There will be long payback times. It would need to be commercially viable.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>Must be done under very strict rules and be sympathetic to the surroundings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Thompson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 5b

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Must be done under very strict rules and sympathetic to surroundings.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 5c

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Must be done under very strict rules and agreed in full consultation with the Parish.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 6a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 6b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 106191  
Mr Thompson  
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council  
Agent ID: 102478  
Mr Thompson  
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106191  
Mr Thompson  
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council  
Agent ID: 102478  
Mr Thompson  
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council

Part of document:  
Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106191  
Mr Thompson  
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council  
Agent ID: 102478  
Mr Thompson  
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Needs to be reviewed and updated on a regular basis.

Consultee ID: 106191  
Mr Thompson  
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council  
Agent ID: 102478  
Mr Thompson  
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Needs to be reviewed and updated on a regular basis.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Needs to be reviewed and updated on a regular basis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Keep business classification policy as it is.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Question 7e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Keep business classification as it is and review on a regular basis village classification citeria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 9b

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Smaller size scales would be more appropriate for example about 8 houses per year for Mayfield. There will be an impact on infrastructure. The character of the village and social cohesion needs to be protected.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 9c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Should be considered and all options explored.
Consultee ID: 106191
Mr Thompson
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council

Agent ID: 102478
Mr Thompson
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Subject to road layout, traffic calming and access considerations.

Consultee ID: 106191
Mr Thompson
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council

Agent ID: 102478
Mr Thompson
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106191
Mr Thompson
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council

Agent ID: 102478
Mr Thompson
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Subject to additional primary care provision, new village hall, improved transport links and enhanced schooling provision. Needs for general infrastructure improvements. Use of other private school facilities. Car Parking and ensuring safe lanes. Maintenance of shopping. Improvement in leisure facilities. Improvement in Rights Of Way and walking opportunities. Transport of shoppers to village. WE NEED TO BE SURE THAT DEVELOPMENT SINCE 2006 ARE COUNTED IN THE TOTAL. Lesser roads rather then the ‘A’ roads will take the impact of housing.
**Question 20d**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>102478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>102478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option

**Reason for comment:**

We need to be sure that development since 2006 is counted in the total. Lesser roads rather than 'A' roads will take the impact of housing.

**Option 24a**

**Your View**

- Agree

**Reason for comment:**

More inkeeping with Mayfield requirements.

**Option 24b**

**Your View**

- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Applicable only near trains.

**Option 24c**

**Your View**

- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Applicable only near trains.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 24d

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Needs to be where there are regular train services.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 25a

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 25c

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Should be held at 25%.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 25d

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Towns only.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106191</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
<td>102478</td>
<td>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 25e

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 25f

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

'Real' controls must be imposed.

### Option 25g

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

'Real' controls should be imposed.

### Option 26a

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulthee ID: 106191</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID: 102478</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 27a

### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

**Your View**  
☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulthee ID: 106191</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID: 102478</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 26b

### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

**Your View**  
☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulthee ID: 106191</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID: 102478</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 27b

### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

**Your View**  
☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulthee ID: 106191</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID: 102478</th>
<th>Mr Thompson</th>
<th>Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 27c

### Section of Document:

**Reason for comment:**

**Your View**  
☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

---
Consultee ID: Mr Thompson  
Agent ID: Mr Thompson  
106191 Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council  
102478 Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 27e  

Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Thompson  
Agent ID: Mr Thompson  
106191 Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council  
102478 Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 27f  

Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
A minimum of two spaces per dwelling.  

Consultee ID: Mr Thompson  
Agent ID: Mr Thompson  
106191 Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council  
102478 Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 28a  

Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Thompson  
Agent ID: Mr Thompson  
106191 Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council  
102478 Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 28b  

Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
This should be done before development is completed.
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:

This to be done after two years then enforcement to complete by five years or withdrawn.

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ✔  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Only on 30i and 30n. Others either disagree or no comment.

Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Enjoyment of Wealden countryside by improving Rights Of Way.
Consultee ID: Mr Thompson
106191
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council
Agent ID: Mr Thompson
102478
Mayfield and Five Ashes Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
SO15 Improvement of Rights Of Way essential. No particular comment on the other objectives.

Consultee ID: Mr Reed
106192
Option 14a

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Reed
106192
Option 14b

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Reed
106192
Option 14g

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Reed
Part of document: Option 21a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Reed
Part of document: Option 21b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Reed
Part of document: Option 21c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Reed
Part of document: Option 14a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Reed
Part of document: Option 14b
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Reed
Part of document: Option 14g
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Reed
Part of document: Option 21a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Reed
Part of document: Option 21b
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Reed
106193

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Butler
106194

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 4e

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Views already expressed with regards to expanding Polegate, Hailsham is subject to flood risk, so it leave only Heathfield, even if it means further travelling for some workers. Limited business development could be achieved at Polegate to attract new businesses but should be carefully monitored.

Consultee ID: Butler
106194

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 11a

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Butler
106194

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 11b

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID:        Butler        Agent ID:
106194

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 11c

Section of Document:  

Your View        ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID:        Butler        Agent ID:
106194

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:  

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
Area 11d is too close to a flood risk area to consider for future development. One has to look at the current problems that parts of this country, namely Sheffield and so one, that have had major problems due to development in flood risk areas.

Consultee ID:        Butler        Agent ID:
106194

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:  

Your View        ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID:        Butler        Agent ID:
106194

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:  

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
The village of Frant is already growing in size with affordable housing and large properties finding their way through what was thought to be a safe planning control but, sadly, we are now threatened with further development of 500 houses if not in the centre of the village it will still affect the infrastructure and ANOB. The nearest town, Tunbridge Wells in Kent, too close for safety at 3 miles apart, has been trying unsuccessfully to absorb the village within its own boundaries and the more we have built in and around the village will give it (Tunbridge Wells) the excuse to achieve its deals.
The whole area is susceptible to flooding, as is shown today by the extensive rainfall and the subsequent flooding that concerns me. In other words, there are no options available for building in this area because of the risk of flooding.

Worth considering area 15f to the west of AA22, except for the fact that it is impinging on another area of outstanding natural beauty.

Worth considering area 15f to the west of AA22, except for the fact that it is impinging on another area of outstanding natural beauty.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Butler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question 18k</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not in favour of any as it seems to be elongated, takes in areas of flood risk and considerably impinges on the various areas of natural beauty.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Butler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question 22e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106194</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The area between Heathfield and Horam is ripe, for development in the form of affordable housing and the formation of a &quot;new town&quot; situation, without affecting the historic Heathfield Park. The ground in general is free of flooding and the transportation system, except for a railway, is reasonably good.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Development allowed in the AONB to meet housing needs should, however, be restricted to that necessary to satisfy only the requirements of those settlements that are within the AONB.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 3c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Option 2a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Although new development requires stringent energy saving methods it should not be linked to micro-generation from renewable energy sources. Large scale energy generation requires sites and planning control totally different to that for residential development.

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 3b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Option 3d

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Option 5a

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Option 5b

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Option 6a

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 9c</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Miss Skae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 11a</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Miss Skae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 11b</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Miss Skae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11b &amp; 11c. Represent unacceptable visually intrusive incursions into the AONB as they are on ridge top positions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Skae</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document: Option 12c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document: Option 12d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document: Option 12e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document: Option 12f**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Skae Agent ID:
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae Agent ID:
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:
Option 13a
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae Agent ID:
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:
Option 14a
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae Agent ID:
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:
Option 14b
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14e

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14f

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question 14i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

New development in Uckfield Town Centre is heavily reliant upon major flood relief work being carried out.

Question 14j

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 18a**

| Section of Document: | | |
|----------------------|------------------|

- Your View: [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [X] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 18b**

| Section of Document: | | |
|----------------------|------------------|

- Your View: [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [X] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 19d**

| Section of Document: | | |
|----------------------|------------------|

- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 18c**

| Section of Document: | | |
|----------------------|------------------|

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [X] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Skae
Agent ID: 106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
Agent ID: 106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
Agent ID: 106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
Agent ID: 106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council  
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option  
Option 18h  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council  
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option  
Option 18i  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council  
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option  
Option 18j  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council  
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option  
Option 19a  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 19c

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 19e

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 20a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Rotherfield Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 20b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Rotherfield Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 20c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Rotherfield Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 20d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Rotherfield's restricted village centre road junctions, flanked by listed properties in a conservation area severely impair traffic movement which is already overloaded by traffic coming through the village to reach the Jarvis Brook industrial estates.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Rotherfield Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 21a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
Agent ID: 106197  
Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 21b  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
Agent ID: 106197  
Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 21c  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
Agent ID: 106197  
Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 21d  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
Agent ID: 106197  
Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 21e  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Skae  Rotherfield Parish Council
Consultee ID: 106197
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 21f
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  Rotherfield Parish Council
Consultee ID: 106197
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 22a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  Rotherfield Parish Council
Consultee ID: 106197
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 22b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  Rotherfield Parish Council
Consultee ID: 106197
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 22c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Option 22d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Option 25a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Option 24a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Option 24b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Skae
Agent ID: 106197
Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
Agent ID: 106197
Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View    ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
Agent ID: 106197
Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:
Your View    ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
Agent ID: 106197
Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [v] option

**Option 26a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [v] option

**Option 26b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td>Rotherfield Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [v] option

**Option 27a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID: Rotherfield Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID: Rotherfield Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID: Rotherfield Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID: Rotherfield Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council  
Agent ID:  

Options consulted on:  
- Option 27f
- Option 28a
- Option 28b
- Option 28c

For each option, indicate your view:  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Comments:  

---

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council  
Agent ID:  

Options consulted on:  
- Option 27f
- Option 28a
- Option 28b
- Option 28c

For each option, indicate your view:  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Comments:  

---

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council  
Agent ID:  

Options consulted on:  
- Option 27f
- Option 28a
- Option 28b
- Option 28c

For each option, indicate your view:  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Comments:  

---

Consultee ID: Miss Skae  
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council  
Agent ID:  

Options consulted on:  
- Option 27f
- Option 28a
- Option 28b
- Option 28c

For each option, indicate your view:  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Comments:  

---
Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Skae
106197 Rotherfield Parish Council
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: 

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Skae</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106197</th>
<th>Rotherfield Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106198</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106198</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106198</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 2b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 4e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✓ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Hellingly Hospital, use existing buildings and re-develop out southwards mixed especially affordable housing and renting.

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
### Question 5c

**Reason for comment:**

If urban sprawl means needing a bus service then that benefits villages by extension.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Option 6a |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Option 7a |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Option 7b |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Option 7b |
Consultee ID: Miss Ings
Agent ID: 106198

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
Agent ID: 106198

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
Agent ID: 106198

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
Agent ID: 106198

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
Agent ID: 106198

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The Charter 1303 prohibits Heathfield town centre from having a pub.
Part of document: Option 8c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 8d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 9b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑️ Agree
- ☑️ No opinion
- ☑️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑️ Agree
- ☑️ No opinion
- ☑️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑️ No opinion
- ☑️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Jarvis Brook station magnet to be left

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑️ Agree
- ☑️ No opinion
- ☑️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Miss Ings

Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Ings  
106198  
Part of document:  
 option  
Section of Document:  
Option 12f  
Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Heathfield can expand to Little London
Consultee ID: Miss Ings
Agent ID:
106198

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
Agent ID:
106198

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
Agent ID:
106198

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
Agent ID:
106198

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Ings  Agent ID: 106198

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings  Agent ID: 106198

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings  Agent ID: 106198

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings  Agent ID: 106198

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document: □ option

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document: □ option

Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document: □ option

Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document: □ option

Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106198</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106198</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106198</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106198</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Ings</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
[ ] document  
[ ] chapter  
[ ] section  
[✓] option  

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**

**Consultee ID:** 106198  
**Miss Ings**  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**  
[ ] document  
[ ] chapter  
[ ] section  
[✓] option  

**Option 20a**

**Section of Document:**

**Consultee ID:** 106198  
**Miss Ings**  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**  
[ ] document  
[ ] chapter  
[ ] section  
[✓] option  

**Option 20b**

**Section of Document:**

**Consultee ID:** 106198  
**Miss Ings**  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**  
[ ] document  
[ ] chapter  
[ ] section  
[✓] option  

**Option 20c**

**Section of Document:**

**Consultee ID:** 106198  
**Miss Ings**  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**  
[ ] document  
[ ] chapter  
[ ] section  
[✓] option  

**Option 20c**

**Section of Document:**
Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Question 20d

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The lanes are too narrow

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 21c

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 21d

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 21e

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document: Document ☑ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document: Document ☑ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 22b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document: Document ☑ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings
106198

Part of document: Document ☑ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Ings</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Miss Ings  
Agent ID: 106198

Part of document:  
- ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Ings  
Agent ID: 106198

Part of document:  
- ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Ings  
Agent ID: 106198

Part of document:  
- ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Ings Agent ID:
106198
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27d
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings Agent ID:
106198
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27e
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings Agent ID:
106198
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 28a
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Ings Agent ID:
106198
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
| Consultee ID: | Miss Ings | Agent ID: | 106198 |
| Part of document: | document ☐ | chapter ☐ | section ☐ | option ☑ |
| Option 28c |
| Section of Document: | |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |

| Consultee ID: | Miss Ings | Agent ID: | 106198 |
| Part of document: | document ☐ | chapter ☐ | section ☐ | option ☑ |
| Question Twenty Nine |
| Section of Document: | Housing Delivery |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |

| Consultee ID: | Miss Ings | Agent ID: | 106198 |
| Part of document: | document ☐ | chapter ☐ | section ☐ | option ☑ |
| Question 31a |
| Section of Document: | |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |

<p>| Consultee ID: | Miss Ings | Agent ID: | 106198 |
| Part of document: | document ☐ | chapter ☐ | section ☐ | option ☑ |
| Question 32a |
| Section of Document: | |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miss Ings</td>
<td></td>
<td>document, chapter, section</td>
<td>Question 32b</td>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
<td>Sensitive areas Residents should be chosen carefully and travellers monitored more effectively Flytipping and ditch cleaning should take priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</td>
<td></td>
<td>document, chapter, section</td>
<td>Question One</td>
<td>No opinion, Disagree</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</td>
<td></td>
<td>document, chapter, section</td>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</td>
<td></td>
<td>document, chapter, section</td>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td>No opinion, Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards  
106199

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards  
106199

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards  
106199

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards  
106199

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 4a</th>
<th>Option 4b</th>
<th>Option 4c</th>
<th>Option 4d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option

#### Section of Document:  
- Settlement Boundaries

#### Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:  
- Option 6a

#### Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:  
- Option 6b

#### Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:  
- Option 6c

#### Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7c**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7d**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106199 Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 8a</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106199 Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 8b</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106199 Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 8c</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106199 Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 8d</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document:  
- Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document:  
- Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document:  
- Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document:  
- Question Ten

Section of Document:  
- Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>Option 11a</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>Option 11b</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>Option 12c</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>Option 11c</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 11d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 11e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question 11f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question 11g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 11h

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Option 12e |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>✓ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Option 12f |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>✓ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Question 12h |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>✓ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Option 13a |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>✓ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---
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Consultee ID: 106199  Mr & Mrs Edwards  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106199  Mr & Mrs Edwards  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106199  Mr & Mrs Edwards  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106199  Mr & Mrs Edwards  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14e

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14f

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14g

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14h

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Agent ID:
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Agent ID:
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Agent ID:
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Agent ID:
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Part of document: Option 16d
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Part of document: Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Part of document: Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Part of document: Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There should be no building in this area.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Question 16j

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Leave the area alone

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Agent ID:
106199

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Agent ID:
106199

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Agent ID:
106199

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Agent ID:
106199

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18j**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 19e

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 20a

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 20b

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td>Agrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 20c</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td>Agrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21a</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td>Agrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21b</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td>Agrees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21c</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Agent ID: 106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Agent ID: 106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Agent ID: 106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
Agent ID: 106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- **[✓]** option

**Option 25a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- **[✓]** Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- **[✓]** option

**Option 25b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- **[✓]** Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- **[✓]** option

**Option 25c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- **[✓]** Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- **[✓]** option

**Option 25d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- **[✓]** Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106199</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Edwards
106199

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106199 Mr & Mrs Edwards

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106199 Mr & Mrs Edwards

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106199 Mr & Mrs Edwards

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106199 Mr & Mrs Edwards

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
2] The fields between the road and Arlington reservoir provide a virtually uninterrupted view to the South Downs and The Long Man of Wilmington, and the proposed National Park. Its open nature would mean that any extensive housing development would alter that character of that area and impact on the National Park. 3] Water drainage from the old brickworks is taken up by these fields; during heavy rain the lower fields allow the run off to make its way to the Cuckmere. Following heavy rain there is as much as a foot of water lying on the fields. 4] Arlington provides a seasonal habitat for migratory birds, the flight path for many being over the village. Concerns related to the transmission of 'Bird Flu' whilst the potential risk might be small, is certainly enough to warrant acknowledgment with any planning consideration in areas where wild fowl are prominent.
Option 18j

Reason for comment:
1) Berwick station has developed along the line of the road, its existing infrastructure does not support the proposed size of the development. Whilst the brick works are indeed a brown field site, the Cuckmere Brickworks were closed in approximately 1956 and since then the site has reverted to a natural state, with the remnants of the brickworks and clay pits covered with overgrowth. This environment is now a home for Nightingales/owls and other bird, animal species.

Option 2b

Reason for comment:
We agree that 20% should be the minimum requirement as more and more energy has to be imported rather than created in the UK.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106202</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>A further comment on paragraph 2.41. We think that the existing conservation area in Maresfield should be reviewed and extended to include Park Farm House, Park Farm Barn and Park Farm Oast around the green at Park Farm. Any extension of the conservation area should also include Park Farm land south of the church across to the green at Park Farm.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106202</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>This option will help to reduce commuter traffic through the villages.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106202</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Expansion should only take place when existing infill/brownfield sites have been exhausted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106202</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>The strict criteria should include matching the needs of the local residents rather than satisfying government housing targets.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 6a

Reason for comment:
Developments in and around towns will encourage more retail business leading to more jobs leading to less commuting and either no or shorter car journeys. This will particularly help people on low incomes as they will be nearer to employment, town facilities and health services.

Question 6d

Reason for comment:
We favour one new settlement in the Low Weald and then 80% of the remaining requirement to be focussed in and around towns.

Option 7a

Reason for comment:
However the classification of the village services must reflect the current situation and not be based on out of date statistics (as has happened previously) and providing infrastructure can cope with the increased demands.
Reason for comment:
The growth potential should be based firstly on the established needs of the village and the ability of the existing services to support and sustain the growth.

Reason for comment:
Subject to the criteria in 7a and 7b.

Reason for comment:
We favour one new settlement large enough to bring self sufficient services and infrastructure.
Option 9c

Reason for comment:

Whilst 30+ may be acceptable for towns, the minimum for villages should be lowered to around 10.

Option 14a

Reason for comment:

Development here would lead to a coalescence closing the Uckfield/Maresfield gap and an increase in north and east bound traffic on the A22 causing further traffic problems for both Maresfield and Nutley residents.

Option 14b

Reason for comment:

This would inevitably lead to increased traffic onto to A22 and increase through traffic using Maresfield village as a rat run which would be totally unacceptable to Maresfield.
Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
Consultee ID: Mr Taylor

Part of document: Option 14g
Part of document: Question 14j
Part of document: Question 18k
Part of document: Question 21g

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Your View [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Your View [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Your View [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:

Too close to the flood plain for housing.
As long as Uckfield’s boundaries do not extend and embrace the villages of Maresfield, Five Ash Down and Buxted.
We favour one new settlement area but have insufficient knowledge to favour a specific area.
Because traffic routes, particularly from Buxted and Five Ash Down, converge on Maresfield we suggest these village potential growths be reviewed simultaneously in order to provide a cohesive traffic strategy.
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However we consider a figure of over 300 homes excessive. Any quota of houses should include the 80 houses already given outline planning permission on Park Farm land. If the Maresfield option is pursued we suggest that full consideration should be given to the advantages of full implementation versus add on phased implementation. We believe that the scale of development should be determined not by arbitrarily imposed figures but by a figure which would provide maximum reciprocal benefits for the village in line with the objectives of the Maresfield Conservation Group which have already been submitted to WDC.

On the contrary they should be located in towns where services, transport and retail job opportunities are provided.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106202</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 24b**

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment**: Only in towns not in villages.

**Option 24c**

- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment**: Possibility in towns only.

**Option 24d**

- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View**: Agree

**Option 25b**

- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View**: Agree

---

Page 1662 of 6217
Consultee ID: Mr Taylor

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

If there is a proven need.

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Subject to proven need.
Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
Agent ID: 106202

Part of document: Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Should be spread evenly close to towns where services exist.

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
Agent ID: 106202

Part of document: Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
Agent ID: 106202

Part of document: Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
Agent ID: 106202

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Taylor  
Agent ID:

106202

Part of document: ☑ option

Document: Option 27e
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor  
Agent ID:

106202

Part of document: ☑ option

Document: Option 27f
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor  
Agent ID:

106202

Part of document: ☑ option

Document: Option 28a
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor  
Agent ID:

106202

Part of document: ☑ option

Document: Option 28b
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 28c

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree

Reason for comment:

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Reason for comment:

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:

30i With further housing in Maresfield, Nutley and Five Ash Down it is clear that increased local GP provision is required. 30q Flood relief scheme is essential. The impact of the whole Plan on the A22 needs to be fully assessed.

Question 31a

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Kennard Agent ID: 106203
Part of document: Option 3d
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: There should be no new development within the AONB

Consultee ID: Mr Kennard Agent ID: 106203
Part of document: Option 2b
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: With new-build housing, as much energy as possible should be generated from renewable sources. Electricity generating solar roof tiles are ever improving and using solar energy to heat water is very efficient. It would be relatively inexpensive to install underground tanks on a large scale to collect "grey water" for the use of toilet flushing etc.

Consultee ID: Mr Kennard Agent ID: 106203
Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Kennard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 6b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Kennard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 6c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Kennard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 7d

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Kennard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 8a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Kennard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 9a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Kennard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 9b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Kennard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 9c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Kennard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 11a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Kennard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Kennard</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 11b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Kennard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106203</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 11c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✔ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1,000 more dwellings could lead to 2,000 more cars using Western Road, which is already overcrowded, with cars double parked and on pavements. Whitehill also suffers from congestion. It is unlikely that public transport would be improved as a result of development.
Consultee ID: Mr Kennard
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Kennard
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Kennard
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Kennard
Consultee ID: 106203

Part of document: Option 19a
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Kennard
Consultee ID: 106203

Part of document: Option 19b
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Kennard
Consultee ID: 106203

Part of document: Option 19d
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Kennard
Consultee ID: 106203

Part of document: Option 19e
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Kennard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106203</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 20a
- Option 20b
- Option 20c
- Option 21a

**Reason for comment:**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree
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Consultee ID: Mr Kennard
106203
Part of document: Option 21b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Intensive development would put great pressure on the present infrastructure and I think that more services would need to be put in place to deal with the influx of need, for example, places in the school, GP surgery, cars using the already congested High Street, etc. To me, this indicates the need for development to be more fairly distributed, at the same time endeavouring to maintain the character of existing villages. Last, but certainly not least, is the beauty of the area and increase risk to flooding.
Consultee ID: Mr Fothergill

Part of document: ☑ chapter

Section of Document: Details of How to Comment

Reason for comment:
I fully appreciate that Wealden is legally obliged to create this development strategy, and it is an estimable body of work. However in one word I can sum up the future of this region over the next 20 years 'countryCIDE', if these plans come to fruition. I do not support these national govt housing requirements at all, and seriously dispute the building requirements which SEERA wishes to impose on this region. SEERA itself is an unaccountable, unelected profligate talking shop set up by Mr Prescott to inflict the Govt's housing figures on us. There should be NO MORE green field building in this region, only brownfield. The south east is overpopulated and bursting at the seams, our woeful infrastructure groans under the weight of it all and the govt is hardly likely to invest in additional provisions to support it's massive building schemes. The only building that should take place is modest pockets of truly affordable dwellings for LOCAL people attached to existing settlements. No way do I support a massive new town at Berwick right on the border of an AONB that so many people have campaigned for. I do not support large scale expansion of towns such as Polegate, Heathfield and Uckfield, or any development that will lead to a coalescing of existing towns and villages and will result in the semi rural environment and tranquility of the region being destroyed for future generations, these are the very features that make Wealden such an attractive place to live in, work in and visit. As for making provisions for 'travellers' please tell us what provision they make for the rest of us apart from the dreadful mess they leave behind?. I have noted from examining the responses from the 2002-2006 consultation that the vast majority of parish councils, environmental groups and concerned individuals reject these housing figures as excessive, and it is highly telling that only the developers and consultancies who took part supported them. In conclusion I request that Wealden council as guardian of the countryside in one of the most pleasant of English regions has the courage to tell national Govt 'sorry but we are full up'.

Consultee ID: Mr Butler

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 8d

Reason for comment:
The proposal to build a new settlement at Berwick Station or Wilmington would make no logical sense at all. Apart from the destruction of an extremely important and beautiful landscape, there is no existing infrastructure in either location, the roads from Berwick Staion are inadequate for any further traffic, there is no easy access north or south, whilst the A27 is already overcrowded and exceedingly dangerous, whilst the presence of a railway station is a complete red herring, as there is no evidence that people would actually use it. If a new settlement needs to be created to alleviate a housing shortfall, and I am not convinced that this is the best way to resolve this problem, then the ideal location would be along and to the north of the A22 at Lower Dicker, where there is good road access, and existing infrastructure already in place, whilst the farmland is low grade and it will not have any significant impact on the broader landscape.
Option 18d

Reason for comment:
This seems a sensible option. There are good existing road links and communications routes both east-west and north-south. There is substantial existing infrastructure already in place, and this provides a good opportunity to tidy up and infill this rather shabby area of low grade agricultural land, groups of houses and industrial units.

Option 18e

Reason for comment:
This seems a sensible option. There are good existing road links and communications routes both east-west and north-south. There is substantial existing infrastructure already in place, and this provides a good opportunity to tidy up and infill this rather shabby area of low grade agricultural land, groups of houses and industrial units.

Option 18f

Reason for comment:
This seems a sensible option. There are good existing road links and communications routes both east-west and north-south. There is substantial existing infrastructure already in place, and this provides a good opportunity to tidy up and infill this rather shabby area of low grade agricultural land, groups of houses and industrial units.
Any proposed development of this scale will have an enormous negative impact on the area, and landscape, and consequently its main source of income which is from tourism. The Long Man hill figure is of national importance, and this proposed development would seriously impact on its landscape. A recent intervisibility survey has shown that the area from Selmeston through to Wilmington is clearly within the intended landscape of the Long Man, with the importance of the outward looking view from the Long Man being as important as seeing the Long Man from these places.
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

This proposal goes against all previous statements and policies made by WDC about the character and setting of Berwick Station in relation to planning issues. There is no existing infrastructure to support such a settlement, the roads (including the A27) are insufficient to support the existing traffic, let alone a new development. The railway station is too small and has few car parking spaces, but cannot be extended as it is surrounded by the existing houses. Furthermore your own document states that the presence of a station would not reduce the numbers commuting by car. The drainage is inadequate and there is a very high water table, any development would lead to severe flooding problems, hints of which we see everyday it rains heavily already. Berwick Station is in a unique setting at the northern entrance to the Cuckmere valley, any development on the scale proposed would affect the views from and into the adjacent proposed South Downs National Park, and would have a profound negative effect on tourism to the area. A new town is not the best way of dealing with the requirement for new housing in WD, it will bring little employment, and create social and other problems, whilst not actually providing housing at the place it is actually wanted. The adjacent Arlington Reservoir is a SSSI and there are other important ecological habitats around the village, the destruction of which or the imposition of housing around them will have a major detrimental effect on these. The misdesignated brownfield site of the 19th century brickworks close to Berwick Station is an important ecological habitat for over 20 species of bird including rare nightingales, woodcock, owls and woodpeckers; there are also badgers and glow worms, together with possible crested newts here. Furthermore, the site is an important industrial archaeological site, along with the other brickworks further north, and after all is the reason for Berwick Station being here in the first place. There are also many other important archaeological sites at Berwick Station. Roman roads cross here and there is a probable Roman settlement located in the village centre, along with another one near Arlington, and another was found when the reservoir was built. It is likely that there are a number of Roman villas within the local area adjacent to these roads. There have also been a number of finds of prehistoric date, which indicate that this area is rich in archaeological sites. The location of the village to the Long Man is also important, a recent intervisibility survey has shown that the area from Selmeston through to Wilmington is clearly within the intended landscape of the Long Man, with the importance of the outward view from the Long Man being as important as seeing the Long Man from these places. This policy is fundamentally flawed and must not be allowed to proceed.
Option 18j

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
In Berwick there is no existing infrastructure to support such a settlement, roads insufficient to support the existing traffic. Railway station is too small. There would be an increase in light pollution. Drainage in area is inadequate. An adjacent SSSI and other important ecological features. Archeological sites are also present. There would be a negative impact on tourism. Full details of the comments, reside within the letter itself.

Question 18k

Reason for comment:
This document has been released without any publicity and consequently few people are aware of its existence. There has been almost no opportunity for public consultation because of this, and therefore any proposals which go forward will be based on an essentially undemocratic basis. Any proposal to build on greenfield sites to the north of the Downs will have a very serious negative impact on the region. Destruction of this unique landscape, and its associated wildlife habitats and open farmed countryside will have a serious impact on the ecology and will only increase pollution due to the increased housing and traffic, at a time when we are supposed to be reducing pollution.

Option 15d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I believe the proposed development to the South of where I live bordering the flood plain area would be a disaster and ruin the environs of where I live. Such development would require substantial infrastructure that would change the rural nature of where I live and would be extremely detrimental to where I live.
Consultee ID: Lemman

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Question 15g

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
No more building on flood plains! Check road access to accident black spot.

Consultee ID: Mr Hillman

Part of document: section

Section of Document: Towns - Potential Priorities and Options

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Yet another odious step in the 'LondOnification' of our once green and pleasant county.

Consultee ID: Mrs Brown

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Question 11f

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
I am very concerned about the traffic impact on Green Lane if the housing development goes ahead. Green Lane is very busy with school children and the lorries which now use the lane as a cut thru (through) to the industrial estate - it is an accident waiting to happen. It will make Green Lane a very dangerous road.

Consultee ID: Neenan

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Question 16i

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
All infrastructure needs to be in place before development begins. 2000+ houses will be put a great strain on transport, hospitals, medical services, education, etc.
Consultee ID: Poole Agent ID: 106214

Part of document: □ document □ chapter ✔ section □ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure and Phasing

Reason for comment:
Infrastructure should be in place before any building whatsoever. (even though out North of Watford Gap). Providing decent roads are in place and bypasses with access to small villages.

Consultee ID: Mrs Scott Agent ID: 106215

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 18j

Reason for comment:
It seems disproportionate to propose up to 7000 houses for one location. Many local people would be happy to see some houses built at Berwick in proportion to the number of existing houses and in line with the number being built in other similar settlements. Going for one large development such as this is, in the words of the consultation document, 'high risk'. Although apparently an easy option, in that just one decision looks like largely solving the housing problem, there would be many difficulties involved with such a large development, including the need for significant new infrastructure. On this topic, Berwick seems to have been singled out due to its rail infrastructure, but the background document itself acknowledges that few of the journeys generated by any new settlement would be undertaken by rail. The choice of Berwick Station therefore seems in fact to be arbitrary and cynical - spin not substance. Since few jobs will be local, this settlement would lock most residents into car journeys in a way that conflicts with other local and national government policy on sustainable transport and climate change. Finally, the proximity of Berwick Station to the new national park, the boundary of which may come up as far as the station itself, raises further serious questions about the impact of such a large new development in this nationally recognised area of outstanding beauty.

Consultee ID: Houston Agent ID: 106217

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 3d

Reason for comment:
The eastbourne-hailsham triangle is an area of relatively high unemployment, so where are all these people going to work?
Reason for comment:
We are being asked to consider proposals to address Wealden’s Spatial Challenge and the council has provided a single success criterion for evaluation in this section: the choice of “the best broad locations for new development so that they add to, rather than detract from the best valued characteristics of individual towns and villages”. Under this proposal the current village would amount to less than 1% of the new town – those best valued characteristics would inevitably be destroyed. It’s unclear how the interests of Wealden residents would be served by such a large single settlement anyway. Wouldn’t it make sense for residents to at least have the option to live near relatives rather than ensuring that they will need to get into their cars to visit them? Apart from the unnecessary risk involved in the gamble of trying to manufacture a healthy community from scratch, the council’s resort to using the inappropriate brownfield designation as an excuse for destroying a rare ecological site is cynical and disappointing. The several hundred bird watchers, who visit the reservoir each year, and hikers who walk through on the Vanguard Way or other country paths, lend character to the village. Berwick is one of the few places in the country where it’s possible to spot the osprey. Large scale development would jeopardise all this and diminish the richness and diversity of what the county has to offer forever.

Reason for comment:
More appreciation should be given to the value of the farming and farming related employment in Wealden, and not writing it of as 'in decline'. As you say, the land of the High Weald is already much neglected and unused. The Low Weald however is still on the whole being farmed well and should be getting more protection from urban development. Our own and EU politicians are belatedly waking up to the fact that we are rapidly running short of land area to feed ourselves so we should not be even thinking of new villages on this land. Encourage the present high rate of working from home that we already have in our villages by allowing small scale sympathetic development. Oversized development as opposed to natural growth leads to dormitory housing and more commuting. Wealden Council have a duty towards their present electorate, not to incomers.
Consultee ID: Mr Coleman  
106223

Option 8d

Section of Document: 
Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Yet again planners see the Low Weald as fair game in spite of the fact that most of it is still attractive and productive farmland, unlike the neglected acres of the High Weald. Are these planners aware that Europe's grain reserves this year are slashed to almost nothing and demand is still rising. The area between the A22 and A27 from Dicker Common to Berwick is designated by the CPRE as a 'Tranquil Area'. East Sussex has a disgracefully low Tranquility score of -4.9. Any development encroaching on this is to be deplored. The country lanes in this area which, being comparatively level, are well used by horses and cyclists, would become dangerous rat runs.

Consultee ID: Mr Coleman  
106223

Option 18a

Section of Document: 
Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is not low grade agricultural land, as said by another. The EU is taking a long term view of Europe's failing ability to feed its population over the next century and there are directives against any further permanent loss of agricultural land. Food mountains are now a thing of the past and this year our grain reserves are Zero and demand is rising. Large scale development away from the existing towns will further erode our CPRE mapped areas of Tranquility and further lower our already disgracefully low score of -4.9. Our country lanes, already becoming race tracks, will become unusable for cyclists, pedestrians, horseriders, livestock. A new village will, and can only be, a dormitory with associated plague of commuters. Wealdens electorate need small scale housing developments to meet the local needs of the local people, not incomers from other parts of Sussex or further afield. It has been noted that many of the consultees in favour appear to be or work for estate agents-surprise.
### Option 18b

**Consultee ID:** 106223  
Mr Coleman

**Reason for comment:**
This is not low grade agricultural land, as said by another. The EU is taking a long term view of Europes failing ability to feed its population over the next century and there are directives against any further permanent loss of agricultural land. Food mountains are now a thing of the past and this year our grain reserves are Zero and demand is rising. Large scale development away from the existing towns will further erode our CPRE mapped areas of Tranquility and further lower our already disgracefully low score of -4.9. Our country lanes, already becoming race tracks, will become unusable for cyclists, pedestrians, horseriders, livestock. A new village will, and can only be, a dormitory with associated plague of commuters. Wealdens electorate need small scale housing developments to meet the local needs of the local people, not incomers from other parts of Sussex or further afield. It has been noted that many of the consultees in favour appear to be or work for estate agents-surprise.

### Option 18c

**Consultee ID:** 106223  
Mr Coleman

**Reason for comment:**
It would destroy an existing successful community and several agricultural related businesses that bring in hundreds of thousands of pounds to the area and employ many people, and some of the few remaining Wealden small-scale livestock farms which are just the sort that we should encourage as they play such an important part in sustaining the environment. There is more to Golden Cross than the A22. The field pattern is still much the same as shown on the 1817 enclosure act map. Being mainly down to late mown grasses this is a rich hunting ground for Little owls, Barn owls, and bats, has many colonies of great-crested newts and water voles, and is home to rare marsh fritillaries. The consultants appear to use a ratio of 'height of hill: beauty'. This is a basic flaw which shows an immature aesthetic sense as this gently undulating landscape of cattle grazing small fields bounded by ancient hedges with the backdrop of the South Downs in the distance is far more attractive than the scruffy hillier ground to the North, or the vast arable fields of the Southern AONB. They do not seem to have carried out a proper landscape character assessment as required by the Countryside Agency. Those fields of grazing cattle alongside the A22 provide a valuable visual amenity and focus for the several dozen houses that overlook them. Transport is very poor. Existing villages provide a high proportion of work from home having developed in sympathy with their surroundings. A new village could not possibly do this and would be a dormitory. Any large development on Dicker Common between the A22 and A27 would be unnecessary encroachment into what is mapped by CPRE as a 'Tranquil Area', a designation soon to have statutory status. East Sussex already has a disgracefully low Tranquillity score.
Consultee ID: Mr Coleman
106223

Part of document: ☒ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
It would destroy an existing successful community and several agricultural related businesses that bring in hundreds of thousands of pounds to the area and employ many people, and some of the few remaining Wealden small-scale livestock farms which are just the sort that we should encourage as they play such an important part in sustaining the environment. There is more to Golden Cross than the A22. The field pattern is still much the same as shown on the 1817 enclosure act map. Being mainly down to late mown grasses this is a rich hunting ground for Little owls, Barn owls, and bats, has many colonies of great-crested newts and water voles, and is home to rare marsh fritillaries. The consultants appear to use a ratio of ‘height of hill: beauty’. This is a basic flaw which shows an immature aesthetic sense as this gently undulating landscape of cattle grazing small fields bounded by ancient hedges with the backdrop of the South Downs in the distance is far more attractive than the scruffy hillier ground to the North, or the vast sterile arable fields of the Southern AONB. They do not seem to have carried out a proper landscape character assessment as required by the Countryside Agency. Those fields of grazing cattle alongside the A22 provide a valuable visual amenity and focus for the several dozen houses that overlook them. Transport is very poor. Existing villages provide a high proportion of work from home having developed in sympathy with their surroundings. A new village could not possibly do this and would be a dormitory. Any large development on Dicker Common between the A22 and A27 would be unnecessary encroachment into what is mapped by CPRE as a ‘Tranquil Area’, a designation soon to have statutory status. East Sussex already has a disgracefully low Tranquility score.

Consultee ID: Mr Coleman
106223

Part of document: ☒ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This is not low grade agricultural land, as said by another. The EU is taking a long term view of Europe’s failing ability to feed its population over the next century and there are directives against any further permanent loss of agricultural land. Food mountains are now a thing of the past and this year our grain reserves are Zero and demand is rising. Large scale development away from the existing towns will further erode our CPRE mapped areas of Tranquility and further lower our already disgracefully low score of -4.9. Our country lanes, already becoming race tracks, will become unusable for cyclists, pedestrians, horseriders, livestock. A new village will, and can only be, a dormitory with associated plague of commuters. Wealdens electorate need small scale housing developments to meet the local needs of the local people, not incomers from other parts of Sussex or further afield. It has been noted that many of the consultees in favour appear to be or work for estate agents-surprise.
This is not low grade agricultural land, as said by another. The EU is taking a long term view of Europe's failing ability to feed its population over the next century and there are directives against any further permanent loss of agricultural land. Food mountains are now a thing of the past and this year our grain reserves are Zero and demand is rising. Large scale development away from the existing towns will further erode our CPRE mapped areas of Tranquility and further lower our already disgracefully low score of -4.9. Our country lanes, already becoming race tracks, will become unusable for cyclists, pedestrians, horseriders, livestock. A new village will, and can only be, a dormitory with associated plague of commuters. Wealdens electorate need small scale housing developments to meet the local needs of the local people, not incomers from other parts of Sussex or further afield. It has been noted that many of the consultees in favour appear to be or work for estate agents-surprise.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106223
Mr Coleman

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The EU is taking a long term view of Europe's failing ability to feed its population over the next century and there are directives against any further permanent loss of agricultural land. Food mountains are now a thing of the past and this year our grain reserves are zero and demand is rising. Large scale development away from the existing towns will further erode our CPRE mapped areas of Tranquility and further lower our already disgracefully low score of -4.9. Our country lanes, already becoming race tracks, will become unusable for cyclists, pedestrians, horseriders, livestock. A new village will, and can only be, a dormitory with associated plague of commuters. Wealden's electorate need small scale housing developments to meet the local needs of the local people, not incomers from other parts of Sussex or further afield. It has been noted that many of the consultees in favour appear to be or work for estate agents-surprise.

Consultee ID: 106223
Mr Coleman

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is not low grade agricultural land. The EU is taking a long term view of Europe's failing ability to feed its population over the next century and there are directives against any further permanent loss of agricultural land. Food mountains are now a thing of the past and this year our grain reserves are zero and demand is rising. Large scale development away from the existing towns will further erode our CPRE mapped areas of Tranquility and further lower our already disgracefully low score of -4.9. Our country lanes, already becoming race tracks, will become unusable for cyclists, pedestrians, horseriders, livestock. A new village will, and can only be, a dormitory with associated plague of commuters. Wealden's electorate need small scale housing developments to meet the local needs of the local people, not incomers from other parts of Sussex or further afield. It has been noted that many of the consultees in favour appear to be or work for estate agents-surprise.
Wealden Council has a duty to its own electorate, not to the incomers who would be housed in this new dormitory town. The surrounding country lanes would no longer be of any use for the large numbers of cyclists and horse riders that use them for recreation. Yet more of the CPRE mapped 'Tranquil area' would be lost which is something that East Sussex, with its low Tranquility score of -4.9, can ill afford.

Yet again planners see the Low Weald as fair game in spite of the fact that most of it is still attractive and productive farmland, unlike the neglected acres of the High Weald. Are these planners aware that Europe's grain reserves this year are slashed to almost nothing and demand is still rising. The A22 has already reached saturation point and Any development that will lead to increased traffic must be avoided.

Necessary as unfortunately the planning system has allowed the rural stock of affordable houses to be turned into oversized unaffordable mansions and so damaged the social fabric of many of our villages.
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:
To re-route the A27 north of Arlington Reservoir would widen the transport corridor yet further and deeper into the Low Weald Area of Tranquility as mapped by CPRE. In addition valuable farmland would be lost at a time when politicians are at last waking up to the fact that we will soon be short of land area for food. This year Europe’s grain reserves are zero and demand is still rising. The saving in journey times would be just minutes. There is, and could be more, capacity on the railway. The problems caused by commuters need to be solved more imaginatively than just building roads for yet more of them.

Part 1

Section of Document:  Context

Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:
I am hoping that the views of Wealden residents will be taken very seriously.

Part 2

Section of Document:  Wealden's Landscape

Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Necessary though to preserve as much of our natural landscape as is possible, preserving natural habitats, etc.
Question One

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
Prefer to avoid building on AONB for the time being and make use of available land in urban areas.

Reason for comment:
There may be appropriate areas, preferably local consultation for deciding which. Possibly some small scale developments if they are appropriately designed or, e.g. eco-developments, but should be strictly controlled so that no other development occurs.

Reason for comment:
If not on new land.

Reason for comment:
Should meet at least 10%, preferably 50% - should consider renewable energy projects (wind, etc) that are already happening in other parts of the country;
Consultee ID: Mr Orbell Agent ID:
106224

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
An even higher target is imperative, considering local & regional generation using e.g. wind turbines.

Consultee ID: Mr Orbell Agent ID:
106224

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
Flexible usage.

Consultee ID: Mr Orbell Agent ID:
106224

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
It might help to check commuting in and out of the area.

Consultee ID: Mr Orbell Agent ID:
106224

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
If it would provide employment for local residents and thus stop unnecessary commuting, stop needless car journeys, etc.
Option 5a

Reason for comment:

if really necessary, but after further local consultation.

Option 5b

Reason for comment:

If done sensibly.

Question 5c

Reason for comment:

Allocations of land should not be allowed to be broken up into smaller plots & thus enabling developers to by-pass affordable housing obligations. There must be scope for new types of housing that take into consideration our concerns about climate change; much higher minimum building requirements, eco-villages on a small scale, for example.

Question 6d

Reason for comment:

Keep to the existing ratio as far as possible, or according to how much additional development can be managed with existing infrastructure; if development is too large, the 'centre of gravity' of a community is destroyed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106224</th>
<th>Mr Orbell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 7a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>criteria are not clear.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106224</th>
<th>Mr Orbell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 7b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>the character of the village has not been used as a criterion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106224</th>
<th>Mr Orbell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 7d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Controlled diversity, but farm houses should not be changed, i.e. not converted into other use.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106224</th>
<th>Mr Orbell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question Ten</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>10 suggested.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106224

Part of document: Option 19a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The suggested 200-340 dwellings is about 17.5 to 20% of what we have currently - but Forest Row is almost full anyway.

Consultee ID: 106224

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
if small-scale.

Consultee ID: 106224

Part of document: Option 24b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Must weigh up the cost of higher density against quality of living.

Consultee ID: 106224

Part of document: Option 24c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Again, weighing up with the cost to the quality of life.
Consultee ID: Mr Orbell

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: Not sure that's a good argument. It depends where - higher density probably in towns.

Consultee ID: Mr Orbell

Part of document: Option 25a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: There seem to be more single people needing housing, also in the form of affordable housing for rent & purchase.

Consultee ID: Mr Orbell

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: Affordable housing to be mixed with the rest at a ratio of a quarter so as not to create affordable ghettos.

Consultee ID: Mr Orbell

Part of document: Option 25e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Orbell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 25f

**Reason for comment:**
Need to decide the appropriate controls though.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Orbell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 25g

**Reason for comment:**
Depends though on the controls.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Orbell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 26a

**Reason for comment:**
If it can be shown the need is greater.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Orbell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106224</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 26b

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Orbell
106224
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Maybe for helping with commuting by public transport.

Consultee ID: Mr Phillips
106225
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Phillips
106225
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Cox
106228
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
areas of natural beauty should be left alone this will encourage visitors to the area and the local children should understand how to look after the wild life that exists on this site. Once you start to build on these kind of land will have no wild life which continues the life cycle and where would we been. with recent weather at the moment where would all the extra water go when it is full of concrete?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs cox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 5a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If we keep on changing the boundaries of villages and towns it will never end and we soon be losing our indentititng and space to live our lives. we end up on each other laps keep the boundaries.

The land around Willingdon and Eastbourne is open country and acts as a flood plain with all the recent rain we be having, so if this land see any development there is a risk of flooding in the area because where would the extra water go.
Hindsland and Morning Mill farm are the last open countryside before Eastbourne. To develop here will been a real same for many reasons:

1) Road A2270 At the best of times this road gets congested and building more houses means more cars at least 2000 that could lead to total grid lock along that stretch. There is no other means of widening that piece of road as it could lead to number of accidents.

2) Schools Yes there is properly a need to have a other secondary school in the area if more houses are built but not everyone will have children that move here have school children of 11 years and above, to take the places at the school. What about families that have children at primary age where would they go, at the moment Willingdon school is over subscribe meaning the younger children are left without an education that won't do.

3) GPS The GPs in the area are already struggling to cope with the numbers of patients and they say the appose area for development is not suitable to put another GPs surgery in, so where would the extra people go when they are ill when EGH is also struggling with the numbers of patients.

4) Dental This is also the same problems as the GPs where as a nation there is a storage of trained dentist.

5) Rail links My husband commuted from Polegate to Croydon, and the trains were already filled to capacity when they leave Polegate station. with the additional houses in the area this can only add to already overcrowded trains.

6) Hindsland This was part of Brighton uni. playing fields. I agree this land should again be part of play fields for the local people and visitors young and old as there are lack of sports grounds in the local area. This place could be where the young youths could hangout and be off the streets of Polegate and Willingdon. The young youths could help to rebuild and reshape the land to learn new skills along with the designers, craftsmen and the builders, to rebuild trust among the generations of today.
The Council has outlined the economic profile of Wealden District and asked, in Question 4, questions about the future of employment land. An area of search is identified on land west of Hailsham. We believe that the Arlington Stadium site and the surrounding area could contribute very well to the employment provision of the district and provide business units in a range of sizes and tenures that would readily reflect the economic profile of the district. Arlington Stadium is a brownfield site, already in employment generating uses, attracting huge numbers of car-borne visitors. The site has very good road links to the A22 at Hailsham and pedestrian, cycle and public transport links can be improved with the suitable infrastructure contributions. Although the site is currently divorced from Hailsham, transport links can be improved with the correct infrastructure provision. We feel that employment land should be focussed on brownfield sites and that a flexible approach to provision should be taken. Housing provision certainly needs to be balanced with employment provision, to enable travel to work patterns to become more locally based and hence sustainable. For this reason, and for those outlined below, some flexible working environments such Live-Work units and adaptable spaces should be considered on this site in addition to normal business units. In addition, we note that the areas of Lower Dicker and Berwick, for example, are potential areas of search for a new settlement. In order to balance employment and housing land to improve sustainable transport patterns, the Arlington Stadium site could readily be incorporated within a wider area of search for a new settlement. This would enable the site to provide a mix of uses in conjunction with a new settlement; both employment and housing, in conjunction with a new settlement in the area. This would tie in with the discussed alternative A27/A22 link road, which could travel north of the existing A27 and north of Arlington Reservoir (paragraph 6.7.5). Such a link would only be viable in conjunction with significant development in these areas. A wider area of search for a new settlement encompassing Arlington Stadium, would take advantage of a potential realignment of the A27 and the resulting infrastructure provision accompanying large scale development. The site lies within the Eastbourne/Hailsham triangle, which is noted for its poor economic performance. With adaptable provision that reflects the economic profile of the area, the site could raise the profile and prosperity of the area. Whereas the Polegate area lies largely in the visual envelope of the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and is constrained by the A27/A22 junction questions, the area west of Hailsham is visually contained and unconstrained by landscape designations. There is some ancient woodland in the area, but this are protected and could benefit from improved management/access and the creation of landscape and wildlife corridors as a result. The Issues and Options paper also highlights noise pollution from the Stadium as a constraint on development. This would of course be removed if the car racing function ceased and employment generating uses, or a mix of uses, were considered in this area. A wider area of search for housing and employment land within a new settlement, incorporating the Stadium and the surrounding area would enable a suitable balance between homes and businesses to promote sustainable travel to work patterns. The proximity of Hailsham, with the range of services etc within the 5m (at 40mph) travelling distance advocated in the draft settlement strategy, would further enhance the areas suitability for development.
Reason for comment:

The non-statutory plan included a policy that we believe should be included in the Core Strategy. Policy DC18 of the non-statutory plan covers the subdivision and conversion of larger properties, whether they are large single dwellings, institutional sites or hotels for example, subject to normal planning considerations. These considerations include proof that it is the only means of preserving the building, that the integrity of the building (and grounds) are maintained, the scale, design etc are appropriate and that amenities of neighbours is not harmed. We note that such sites are often well integrated with the rural character and generated a good deal of traffic and/or other activity. Such a policy would be sustainable on the basis that it uses a brownfield site, represents a good use of existing resources and can allow for the inclusion of measures to reduce energy/water use. Given that we believe that more housing is required in rural areas, this would contribute to that provision, as such sites become redundant for their original uses. Such a policy could read as follows: "In the countryside, the subdivision or conversion of larger properties and institutional buildings into smaller units will be permitted if the following is met: • The conversion to residential use is the only practical means of re-using the building, and • The character and the integrity of the building and its grounds is maintained, and • Extension and alteration of the building is complementary to the original in terms of scale, design, materials, and • Traffic generation and parking are properly managed, and • There is no harm to residential amenities." This proposed policy is more generic and might be included within a ‘generic policy’ Development Plan Document. However if Wealden Council, alongside any saved policies, includes generic policies in their Core Strategy, we would support its inclusion.

Reason for comment:

Settlement boundary changes in East Hoathly, in the area east of South Road south of the existing development boundary, should be considered favourably. East Hoathly, for reasons set out in section 21f, should be considered as an area suitable for development and infilling.
Chiddingly is noted as a village that is not a service centre and hence has limited potential for growth. Having examined the matrices that inform the classification of villages, we note a number of inconsistencies that show Chiddingly as a location that does not satisfy the criteria for growth potential. Proposed amendments to the matrices are as follows: 1. The petrol filling station at Golden Cross is within a 5 minute drive of the village and provides not only petrol but acts as a convenience store for the village. 2. The Pub, the Six Bells, is a significant facility for the village providing not only a public house but is also a significant employer. The village is credited with a total of 10 services. However the actual number is higher; 12 if you count the role played by the petrol filling station at Golden Cross and greater if the role of the Pub is taken into account. Chiddingly has, with Ripe, has a population exceeding 100, a convenience store at Golden Cross, a pub, a village hall, a church and a primary school. The village therefore could be designated as a smaller service centre. The village has a strong focus as a village despite not being within a development boundary in the adopted Local Plan. The main part of the village, centred around the Six Bells public house and the church, has a strong identity and focus. The village has a significant housing need in relation to the population size, if one examines the identified households from the Rural Housing Survey and those on the Housing needs register. We have in other representations, argued the case for a rural bias to housing provision and the need to reduce the site size threshold to below that of 15 dwellings. This would enable villages such as Chiddingly to accommodate small scale developments to address some housing and infrastructure needs without harming its particular rural character. Due to its status in the Draft Settlement Strategy, Chiddingly has not been credited with growth potential. It falls within those villages and parishes that ‘do not satisfy the criteria for any of the growth categories.’ Some villages identified as smaller service centres (Alfriston, Pevensey etc) have been rejected for growth potential due to significant environmental constraints. Chiddingly has no such environmental constraints. The village is not at risk of flooding (a small watercourse flows between the village and Muddles Green but the risk of flooding does not extend to either settlement.) The village lies on gently undulating low weald undesignated landscape. There are expansion opportunities in the village and it meets accessibility criteria. For the above reasons Chiddingly should be re-designated as a smaller service centre with some small scale growth potential. Some infill and/or small scale housing sites may be suitable. Such development could provide affordable housing, support for local services and generate public transport improvements. This could be achieved with the imposition of a settlement boundary that allows a small amount of infill, or a small housing site within the village.
Question 6 asks about the rural/urban split for housing development. The question asks whether 80%, 75% or 70% of the housing requirement should be focussed in towns, with the remainder in villages. It has formerly been noted that unlike the national population, the population in Wealden is 50% in towns and 50% in villages. The population in Wealden is significantly more rural-based than the national average. Local Development Frameworks are charged with being locally specific and relevant to the particular nature and characteristics of the area, This being the case, we believe the Council should consider a greater proportion of the housing requirement should be based in villages. Small scale growth in villages which are within accessible range of shops, services and employment opportunities would be more sustainable than edge of town developments, where the accessibility to the centre is not necessarily better. In addition, growth in villages will often give greater opportunity for the creation of facilities and improved alternative transport links and hence a more sustainable community. A proportion of 60% or less would be more appropriate to Wealden District.

Reason for comment:

Question ten in the Issues and Options paper asks for views about the site thresholds. It is recognised that larger site threshold will tend to generate higher infrastructure provision and affordable housing. However regard must be had to land ownership boundaries and the subsequent impact on deliverability, as well as the need to have regard to the character of an area. In villages a site threshold of 15 dwellings is mooted. However it is important that smaller sites are not discounted on the question of size alone, as these may prove suitable and deliverable, providing much needed housing in small settlements where ability to accommodate growth is not as great. I believe that site size should not be limited to 15 or over, but that smaller sites should be accommodated both within settlement boundaries and as land allocations.
The comments below were added also to the section 4d (Hailsham/Eastbourne Triangle) In retrospect it may be preferable to place the comment in this location. The Council has outlined the economic profile of Wealden District and asked, in Question 3, questions about the future of employment land. An area of search is identified on land west of Hailsham. We believe that the Arlington Stadium site and the surrounding area could contribute very well to the employment provision of the district and provide business units in a range of sizes and tenures that would readily reflect the economic profile of the district. Arlington Stadium is a brownfield site, already in employment generating uses, attracting huge numbers of car-borne visitors. The site has very good road links to the A22 at Hailsham and pedestrian, cycle and public transport links can be improved with the suitable infrastructure contributions. Although the site is currently divorced from Hailsham, transport links can be improved with the correct infrastructure provision. We feel that employment land should be focussed on brownfield sites and that a flexible approach to provision should be taken. Housing provision certainly needs to be balanced with employment provision, to enable travel to work patterns to become more locally based and hence sustainable. For this reason, and for those outlined below, some flexible working environments such Live-Work units and adaptable spaces should be considered on this site in addition to normal business units. In addition, we note that the areas of Lower Dicker and Berwick, for example, are potential areas of search for a new settlement. In order to balance employment and housing land to improve sustainable transport patterns, the Arlington Stadium site could readily be incorporated within a wider area of search for a new settlement. This would enable the site to provide a mix of uses in conjunction with a new settlement, both employment and housing, in conjunction with a new settlement in the area. This would tie in with the discussed alternative A27/A22 link road, which could travel north of the existing A27 and north of Arlington Reservoir (paragraph 6.7.5). Such a link would only be viable in conjunction with significant development in these areas. A wider area of search for a new settlement encompassing Arlington Stadium, would take advantage of a potential realignment of the A27 and the resulting infrastructure provision accompanying large scale development. The site lies within the Eastbourne/Hailsham triangle, which is noted for its poor economic performance. With adaptable provision that reflects the economic profile of the area, the site could raise the profile and prosperity of the area. Whereas the Polegate area lies largely in the visual envelope of the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and is constrained by the A27/A22 junction questions, the area west of Hailsham is visually contained and unconstrained by landscape designations. There is some ancient woodland in the area, but this are protected and could benefit from improved management/access and the creation of landscape and wildlife corridors as a result. The Issues and Options paper also highlights noise pollution from the Stadium as a constraint on development. This would of course be removed if the car racing function ceased and employment generating uses, or a mix of uses, were considered in this area. A wider area of search for housing and employment land within a new settlement, incorporating the Stadium and the surrounding area would enable a suitable balance between homes and businesses to promote sustainable travel to work patterns. The proximity of Hailsham, with the range of services etc within the 5m (at 40mph) travelling distance advocated in the draft settlement strategy, would further enhance the areas suitability for development.
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Consultee ID: 106229 Mrs Bahcheli Parker Dann
Agent ID: 102479 Mrs Bahcheli Parker Dann

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Five Ash Down is defined in the settlement strategy as a smaller service centre. It meets the 6 criteria used to make that definition. We support the use of the travelling distance (by car at 40mph or local public transport) to local services as this readily reflects existing travel patterns and the way local services and facilities are currently accessed from villages close to local towns. The matrix at Appendix C notes that Five Ash Down does not benefit from a number of services, such as primary school, within 2m of a rail station, peak hour bus service etc. However its location close to Uckfield, with good and improvable transport links to Uckfield means that the village, with the correct range of infrastructure, could readily provide sustainable housing that is accessible to a wider range of services than at present. Five Ash Down is also defined in the settlement strategy as a village with intermediate growth potential. In order to achieve this definition it must meet a further set of criteria, including being a larger service centre or be within travelling distance of a town. Five Ash Down scores well in this assessment as it has a close relationship with Uckfield. Five Ash Down also benefits as it lies outside of any landscape designation and is on very gently undulating land that can be readily contained and screened if carefully sited. We therefore agree that Five Ash Down is a village suitable for some housing and mixed use expansion. We support the use of settlement boundaries to allow for expansion and infilling to allow for necessary growth, and this can readily be applied to Five Ash Down. A more substantial development at Five Ash Down could, for example, provide open space, improved links to Uckfield (road improvements, cycle and bus provision) and support for local services. The linear nature of the village would change, but with careful siting, layout and landscaping, this could represent an enhancement of the character of the village and its relationship with Uckfield. Paragraph 5.48 of the Issues and Options paper raises the issue of sustainability in relation to location. It highlights the fact that some villages have relatively isolated brownfield sites, and asks whether these are more or less sustainable than greenfield central sites. We believe that where opportunities exist to provide a good central core to a settlement, where walking, cycling and public transport routes exist and can be improved upon, and where new facilities can be readily provided, this represents the best level of sustainability. An isolated brownfield site which is insufficient in size to self perpetuate, will always remain more unsustainable. The same can be said of urban extensions divorced from the town centre by distance. Land in Five Ash Down was recently the subject of a call-in Inquiry, where the non-statutory plan housing allocation was dismissed. The Inspector made a number of comments with respect to the village, and these are now material to any consideration of development in the village. Much of the Inspectors criticism was directed at the status of the non-statutory plan and the site selection process. This selection process beginning with the Core Strategy should stand alone once the process has been complete. Criticisms of the proposal itself stemmed from the density and the lack of evidence relating to services and transport links. We believe that these particular issues can be overcome with a well designed expansion of the village. A strong focus for development can be provided in Five Ash Down, resulting in the provision of open space, affordable housing, improved transport links and services. Five Ash Down has a development boundary in the adopted Local Plan, albeit one that is tightly drawn around the denser parts of the settlement. An alternative development boundary that accommodates housing allocations and allows for infilling would consolidate the vilage, particularly on its eastern boundary.
East Hoathly is defined as a smaller service centre. The village would have been defined as a larger centre on the basis of the services available within the village. However the population falls below the arbitrary threshold of 1000. The population has been estimated as 923. The non-statutory plan indicates a potential increase in population by 75 houses (the Mews and the South Street proposals.) Using the estimated dwelling occupancy set out in the draft settlement strategy this equates to an additional 158 people living in the village. In addition, these two non-statutory plan sites also include further business units and a doctor’s surgery. The addition of the non-statutory plan sites and the subsequent population growth means that East Hoathly should be defined as a larger service centre and hence would have growth potential. The 15% allowance for the expansion of the resulting population would therefore allow growth of a further 163 people or 77 houses. The non-statutory plan and the emerging Core Strategy are on different timescales. The Non-statutory plan was to 2011. The Core Strategy will need to provide housing land for the period to 2022 – 2027. Over the fifteen to twenty year period further housing development will be needed to meet projected household and population numbers. The existing development boundary at East Hoathly encompasses land allocated for development and this was expanded to accommodate further development in the non-statutory plan. The settlement boundary should be expanded again to accommodate further development to meet local needs and to provide for further housing and employment land. The village lies outside the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and there are broad locations where development could take place on land east of South Street. The close proximity to Uckfield, Eastbourne, Ringmer and Lewes means that the village will continue to benefit from these towns’ resources, but the village maintains the ability to provide a good proportion of services from within its own boundaries. Transport links are good and improvable and no other environmental constraints are identified. All new sites would need to be tested through a sustainability appraisal, and at that stage we will demonstrate the suitability of East Hoathly as a location that can accommodate further development than indicated in the Issues and Options Paper.
The St Bede’s upper school occupies a large campus in the village. At present in the adopted Local Plan, the majority of the school site lies beyond the development boundary of the village. When development boundaries were drawn up the decision was taken to exclude built up parts of the school site. This could be argued to be inconsistent with criteria applied to areas defined as ‘countryside’ and ‘built up’ (much of the school grounds are largely built up and reasonably dense school development.) More importantly however, the school has entered into a section 106 agreement with the District Council to allow certain areas to be developed for school related activities, subject to normal planning considerations. The section 106 agreement, known as the ‘masterplan,’ highlights specific areas where school related built development can take place. The areas marked pink on the section 106 plan can legitimately be used for built development in conjunction with the school for the benefit of St Bede’s School Trust (which is a charity wholly dedicated to the advancement and education of children). It is therefore requested that consideration be given to the inclusion, in the Core Strategy, of a specific notation of a school site, where school-related development can take place. Such a policy or notation could take the form of the following: “In the areas notated development related to the education, boarding, teaching accommodation and administration of a school will be permitted, provided that the following is met: • New development will respect the scale, form, design and materials of the surroundings, and • New development will not cause harm to the residential or rural amenity of the area, and • Consideration will be given to how new development can contribute to a wider community benefit, and • The access and traffic generation issues are appropriately managed and will not harm the character of the area, and • Any trees on or near the site will be protected during and after development. A landscaping scheme will be required to integrate any development into its surroundings.” Such a policy could apply to any school site in the district. Although the District Council would not determine planning applications for Local Education Authority development, there are other independent schools in the district that would benefit from such a notation, freeing up development where it is necessary to meet the needs of children attending those schools. Guidance on suitable inclusions within a Core Strategy suggests that spatial planning, as opposed the land-use planning, will include and reflect the relationships between other strategies, both public and private sector, working together to promote sustainable communities. The objectives of St Bede’s School can provide a long term benefit to Upper Dicker and its residents. This already takes many forms and includes the provision and maintenance of sports facilities, church maintenance, the local shop, car parking and employment. Strategies working with the local community could provide greater scope for local authorities and the school to promote action and manage change for the wider community benefit. In the case of St Bede’s School at The Dicker the broad range of spatial planning, encompassing environmental, social and economic within the Core Strategy will enable the local authority and the school to contribute more effectively towards sustainable development. For St Bede’s school such a notation could therefore follow the ‘pink area’ identified in the masterplan. An alternative solution would be to place the ‘pink area’ identified in the masterplan within the settlement boundary for Upper Dicker, which would further introduce flexibility and consistency into policies for the school campus, allowing a wider variety of development in the village.
Upper Dicker is defined as a smaller service centre in the draft Settlement Strategy, as it meets all the criteria for that definition. It has a population that exceeds 100, at least one shop, a pub, a community hall, a place of worship and a primary school. The village also has an impressive range of sports facilities and open space, often as a result of St Bede’s School. The village is also within 5km range of Hailsham and benefits from this proximity. The population size is the only reason why Upper Dicker did not fall within the criteria for a larger service centre, given its wide range of services and its close relationship with both Hailsham and crucially, Berwick (which is notably an area of search for a new settlement). On this basis we believe that it makes an ideal location for small scale growth, along with a number of other villages. In Matrix A of the Draft Settlement Strategy, Upper Dicker is credited with a wide range of shops and services. It is not credited with a petrol filling station or within 2 miles of a train station. However the petrol filling station and train station in Berwick village are within a 5m drive (at 40mph). We believe these facilities lie within 3 miles of Upper Dicker. Area of search 23e highlights Upper Dicker as an area where the draft settlement strategy would limit housing to 20 dwellings. The ‘occupation’ of the village by St Bede’s School is also highlighted as creating a particular local need for housing. In the second Matrix A there is no indication of any particular housing need arising from the Rural Needs Survey, the housing register or anyone expressing a particular need. Either these figures are not available or have not been recorded. It would therefore be useful to know the source of the particular housing need identified and allegedly caused by St Bede’s School. There are flood plains to the north east and south west of the village due to the Cuckmere River and its tributaries. However areas to the north east, along Coldharbour Road, are free from flood risk and could provide some additional growth to bound the existing playing field/village green and create an enclosed and attractive green area. The landscape is gently undulating low weald and can be readily screened in wider views, creating a defined edge to the village. There is therefore considerable scope to allow some small housing growth by infilling or by developing areas to the north of the village. Upper Dicker has an estimated 468 population with housing occupied at an estimated density of 1.9. A 15% increase in population over ten years (as suggested in the Issues and Options report) would mean that a further 37 dwellings, accommodating some 70 people could be accommodated within the village. This could provide some 14 affordable homes.
The Issues and Options paper, in several locations throughout the document, makes reference to the importance of tourism to the local economy and the protection of valued landscapes/townscapes. It is noted that 9.7% of the workforce in the district works in the tourism sector. The management plans for the Sussex Downs and the High Weald Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty both highlight the value of a buoyant local economy directly relating to the quality and management of the landscape. It follows that the promotion of tourism related development can help to maintain, manage and protect the quality of the landscape. The Sussex Downs will be re-designated as a National Park in the near future. The National Park designation implies some tourism development, albeit consistent with the landscape objectives of the Park. It is recognised that the tourism sector is growing significantly and as a result it is likely that proposals for tourism development are likely to increase at the same pace. It is therefore imperative that provision for such development is accommodated within the Core Strategy. In the section entitled ‘Tourism’ the Issues and Options paper notes the presence of medium scale tourist attractions, highlighting some unexploited potential. Examples include Drusillas Zoo and Pooh Corner. Both these sites lie within Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty. Spatial objective 6, set out in the Issues and Options paper, also seeks to ‘increase visitors staying in Wealden focusing on sustainable tourism, whilst ensuring that the tranquillity and beauty which attract people to the area is not undermined’. We wholeheartedly agree with the emphasis on tourism as a valued contributor to the local economy, and as a means to manage and protect important landscapes. As a result we advocate the need to specifically address tourism related development in the Preferred Options Core Strategy. There are no specific issues or debating points in the Issues and Options paper relating to tourism, despite the very real importance to the district. We do not believe that the Design Guide Development Plan Document alone will be sufficient to promote and encourage the right kind of tourism development; that protects and enhances the quality of the landscape whilst recognising its value to the economy. Nation planning guidance for tourism takes the form of “Good Practice Guide on Planning for Tourism” (2006) which effectively superseded Planning Policy Guidance 21. The guidance emphasises the value of tourism to the economy, for regeneration and to underpin protection and enhancement of the quality of the landscape/townscape. It also advocates dedicating parts of the development plan to tourism development. The proper planning for tourism is dependent on the plan-led system. This would allow the development of tourism related development to be integrated with the subsequent economic, social and environmental benefits that it can bring. The draft South East Plan also has a specific section on tourism and policies TRS1 and TRS2 deal with coastal resorts and rural tourism respectively. Both policies seek to encourage tourism in recognition of the important role such development plays in the economy and for social and environmental well-being. Other Councils have, where it is relevant, included specific sections on Tourism related Development. Brighton and Hove City Council is one such local example. We believe that the Preferred Options Core Strategy should contain policies that set out which areas are best placed to meet tourism demands and objectives. In spatial terms this would be the areas where the landscape quality and historic interest are the highest and where facilities can be clustered in the interests of sustainable travel. New buildings and accommodation meeting tourism needs should be allowed in rural areas. This should not preclude Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty or Conservation Areas. Indeed policies should encourage new development where all the commensurate design, scale and access considerations are met and where demand can be justified. We therefore suggest the following draft policy for inclusion in the Preferred options Core Strategy: “Proposals for new tourist accommodation or facilities will be permitted where the following is met: • The proposed tourist facility or accommodation is in proximity or reasonable travelling distance to existing tourist facilities, and •
The access and traffic generation is appropriately managed and will not harm the character of the area or residential amenity, and • The scale, form, design, materials and layout of any new buildings is appropriate to and complements the surrounding area. Proposals in Conservation Areas, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty or in listed buildings will be permitted providing the above is met and that proposals do not represent harm to the qualities for which the area was designated. The Issues and Options Paper indicates that the Eastbourne, Hailsham, Polegate triangle is lagging in economic performance. Tension is noted between the need for economic development, highway improvements and environmental protection. Tourism in this part of the district along the Sussex Coast, can contribute to inward investment. Although not entirely related to the provision of employment growth, the importance of tourism on this stretch of the district, from Birling Gap, though East Dean to Seven Sisters, is important and should be encouraged and protected. It is areas such as this which the proposed policy above would have greatest impact, allowing tourism development; properly planned, designed and clustered with other facilities, to contribute to the economic profile of the area, increasing its dynamism and attractiveness to visitors. I would be grateful if the above could be taken into consideration when preparing the Preferred Options Core Strategy.

Consultee ID: Mr Mackenzie
Agent ID: 106233
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
The designation of the High Weald AONB has successfully protected the unique landscape of the Weald against intrusive development for years - and any slackening of existing policy (which does allow development within strict guidelines) would be the beginning of the end. Development should be contained within locations that do not threaten this.

Consultee ID: Mr Mackenzie
Agent ID: 106233
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 2a
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
A propeller on every rooftop? There are far more carbon friendly sources of energy than for it to be generated at every dwelling, as the CO2 footprint of manufacturing masses of small generators or solar panels is far greater than a single, larger, source
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Consultee ID: Mr Mackenzie  Agent ID: 106233

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
How? Until everyone subscribes to national guidelines for energy saving, simply making every new dwelling carry an impossible target is not going to even dent the problem

Consultee ID: Mr Mackenzie  Agent ID: 106233

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No-one can forecast the shift in business pressures or employment patterns over the life-span of the LDF; to sacrifice sites designated for business purposes to other uses would simply burn our boats

Consultee ID: Mr Mackenzie  Agent ID: 106233

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Mackenzie  Agent ID: 106233

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The area shouldn be increasing the prospect of local employment and fostering the retention of successful and expanding businesses
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Mackenzie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>But there has to be a commitment to providing the necessary infrastructure to achieve this balance; cycle ways, bus services, etc. - otherwise our roads will grind to an even quicker halt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Mackenzie</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Option 6c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 6c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Mackenzie</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Thus maintaining the sense of local communities - but only where this does not impinge on the AONB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Mackenzie</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>What criteria - not if this is undefined and capable of being amended throughout the life of the LDF. The unique character of our area reflects scattered settlements in a natural landscape; allowing development in one field, rather than another, would leave planning policy as being completely subjective to individual opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Mackenzie  
106233  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document: Question 5c  
Reason for comment:  
Dispersing residential development in small clusters may make it easier to avoid the provision of the necessary infrastructure (travel, schools, shops, police, etc.); however, we would simply be deferring the problem. The south-east already suffers an excess of traffic at peak times; by concentrating homes closer to facilities we would minimise gridlock and pollution, as well as making it easier to identify what facilities are necessary.

Consultee ID: Mr Mackenzie  
106233  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document: Option 6a  
Reason for comment:  
Concentrating development in or on the edge of existing towns makes it easier and more economical to expand the necessary infrastructure (transport especially).

Consultee ID: Mr Mackenzie  
106233  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document: Option 6b  
Reason for comment:  

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
With the number of dwellings required, simply hiding it around in small pockets does no-one any favours. Is this not the time to accept that new communities are required - and identify sites for small towns appropriately located for employment/transport (with a commitment to providing the necessary investment in infrastructure). Such towns would be more ideally situated on rail/trunk road routes (e.g. the A23 or M25 corridors), than in the middle of the countryside half an hour from such facilities.

Reason for comment:
Entirely in accordance with the ability of a community to grow, rather than being swallowed.

Reason for comment:
but bearing in mind the limited means of many who need affordable housing - building dwellings a long way from employment creates different pressures.
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

but only where there is a good public transport infrastructure and ready access to primary and secondary schools. Development in or on the edge of towns has to be the priority for these reasons.

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

You cannot make an unprofitable business remain in business. Just look at the withdrawal of so many of our rural post offices....

Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The road network must be a major factor in allowing any expansion of villages, whether large or small. For example, traffic levels in Forest Row are forecast to increase dramatically once Mid-Sussex District Council's new East Grinstead Relief Road opens.
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The road network away from the A22/A27 corridors is totally inadequate at peak times. The suggestion (3.8) that there is a 'relatively weak' pattern of movement between these settlements and to Tunbridge Wells from Heathfield has obviously been made by someone who doesn't travel on the A267 at peak hours!!

Option 12a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Sustainable as close to transport, shops and services - but why not expand northwards/westwards beyond Springwood Road (on escarpment, but appropriate landscaping would easily protect) - surely, far more appropriate than 90 dwellings in a field north of the leisure centre!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Mackenzie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 12b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Any loss of employment potential just increases the numbers of people driving miles to get to work - with what impact on the environment?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Mackenzie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 12c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Anyone suggesting this just hasn't experienced entering/leaving the town at peak school times or in the rush hour. Impact on AONB and setting of Listed Buildings, proximity to historic park, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Mackenzie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106233</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 12d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
The plan to expand a new swimming pool highlighted the inadequacy of public transport here; the site would be a totally unwarranted intrusion into a senetive landscape hitherto protected by its setting in the AONB (indeed, the previous Wealden Local Plan highlighted the sensitivity of this ridge as it is seen from the north). If a development of this size were to be permitted in such a location, disassociated from even a 'small' village, then AONB policies could be torn up and shredded.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Mackenzie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106233</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There can be no justification for any further development in an area whose natural drainage has already been threatened by that in Green Lane. This would be an outrageous intrusion into the AONB; the surrounding lanes are inadequate for even existing traffic (especially at school drop-off and collection times), there is no public transport and the facilities of the town are inaccessible. If you are going to abandon the AONB, then do so to the north of the town, not beyond all the development to the south!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Mackenzie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106233</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This is the area which Wealden District Council objected to a new indoor swimming pool as it would intrude into the AONB and destroy the outlook from people's houses. What a difference a day makes..... This area offers sustainability for development; it lies close to public transport and the town centre and its loss would not be a detriment to the AONB.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Mackenzie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106233</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This is the area where Wealden District Council objected to a new indoor swimming pool as it would intrude into the AONB and destroy the outlook from people's houses. What a difference a day makes.... This area offers sustainability for development; it lies close to two main roads and public transport, close to the town centre and a primary school, and its loss would not have as significant an impact on the AONB as any of the other sites identified on the fringe of the town.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Heathfield is isolated from the rail network and heavily congested by north/south and east/west traffic. The secondary school lies one mile to the east of the town and is only accessed by road; development of even half of the 1,000 dwellings suggested would bring the transport infrastructure to an even longer halt.

The expansion of the population of Heathfield resulting from the development of Green Lane has served to demonstrate what results from housing being built without any improvements to the local infrastructure.

Environmentally sustainable (public transport, choice of schools), without impact on the AONB.
Option 22a

**Reason for comment:**
Actually undecided; this is probably the least sensitive site of any suggested in the Heathfield area yet this number of dwellings would have a significant impact on the local infrastructure, especially if combined with others (the secondary school also involves travelling through the - already clogged - town centre at peak times and traffic congestion at the two main junctions with the A267 is already a nightmare at rush hour). If significant investment was made into the local infrastructure and buses provided in perpetuity as a safer route to schools, this would be an option.

Option 25b

**Reason for comment:**
But not on the same sites; areas suited for social or affordable housing should be separately identified and a development levy applied on all other sites to provide development on them. Forcing developers to provide a percentage of affordable housing on every site of more than a certain size results in the fragmentation of such sites and fails to achieve its objectives.

Question 31a

**Reason for comment:**
If it sounds too good to be true, then it almost certainly is! This apparently sylvan nirvana, free of any increased traffic resulting from thousands of 'new homes' 'integrated into the landscape', a 'constellation of villages working together', etc. will only become reality when government injects the millions of pounds necessary to provide the necessary infrastructure. The Core Strategy proposed includes the torpedo-ing of well established AONB protection, and the dispersal of these new homes into a miriad of groups unrelated to the facilities or lifestyle of existing settlements. Now, a concept such as Halland New Town - well, that would be bolder!
Mr Mackenzie 106233

Question 32a

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:
I agree with the objectives but the moment you apply 'Management Speak' such as 'SMART' objectives without referring to the amount or sources of the capital investment necessary to achieve them, it all becomes 'just words'. Simply applying the brakes in one place and the accelerator in another does not result in coherence or understanding; if you are building in the countryside, realise it for what it is and provide the facilities necessary to make it work - not just for the landscape but for the people who will be living there.

Mrs Wilson 106234

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  Agree

Reason for comment:
The Council supports Wealden in providing for housing both within the Sussex Coastal area and the Rest of Wealden. The Borough Council supports the protection and safeguarding of the AONB. However, it recognises that difficult locational choices need to be considered and that there may be exceptional reasons to locate small scale housing development within the AONB.

Mrs Wilson 106234

Option 2b

Your View  Agree

Reason for comment:
The Council would support a higher percentage level of renewable energy for new developments (option 2b).
Consultee ID: Mrs Wilson
106234 Eastbourne Borough Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 3a

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree, provided it can be proven that sites are not financially viable for employment purposes then mixed use, including employment uses, should be considered.

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilson
106234 Eastbourne Borough Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 3b

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree, but only in the context of comments in Option 3a above.

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilson
106234 Eastbourne Borough Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 3c

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
The need for small and medium sized premises is evidenced through the Employment Land Review and is an appropriate mechanism for encouraging local entrepreneurship.

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilson
106234 Eastbourne Borough Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 3d

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Wilson
106234 Eastbourne Borough Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The Borough Council strongly supports the principle of further land allocation for employment development in the Hailsham-Eastbourne Triangle area as a means of delivery of the Hailsham Eastbourne Blueprint. It also supports the options set out in the issues and Options Report. The Council supports Wealden Council in its work to secure the necessary improvements to the infrastructure of the area as part of the development potential. The Borough Council will look forward to working with Wealden District Council and other agencies to facilitate economic development in this area.

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilson
106234 Eastbourne Borough Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Same response as 4a

Consultee ID: Mrs Wilson
106234 Eastbourne Borough Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Same response as 4a
Option 4d

Reason for comment:
Same as response 4a

Question 5c

Reason for comment:
Settlement boundaries should be expanded to meet the growth necessary. However, this should be done using sustainability principles and ensure the protection of the AONB.

Question 6d

Reason for comment:
The Council has no preference but the choice should be based on a sustainability appraisal.

Question 7e

Reason for comment:
In relation to the village classification, the Council's preference for the villages located close to Eastbourne (Stone Cross, Westham, Pevensey and Pevensey Bay) is a categorisation based on the growth potential as the residents of these villages are likely to seek their services and facilities from Eastbourne. It is appreciated that this preference may not suit all villages in Wealden particularly those not close to a major serviced town.
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The Council recognises that the South Wealden and Eastbourne area is an integrated housing market and thus development that takes place in the area adjacent to Eastbourne will have a mutually positive effect in terms of provision of housing and employment choice for the local communities. Of the strategic choices set out in this question the Council would support one of the three: the Hailsham focus (option 8a), the Polegate/Willingdon/Edge of Eastbourne (option 8b) and the Polegate/Hailsham location (option 8c). The level of development would need to be considered in the light of the infrastructure issues for the area.

Question 15g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The Council recognises that the South East Plan requires Wealden to provide a significant number of new homes in the Sussex Coastal area in the next 20 years and supports this provision because South Wealden and Eastbourne are an integral housing and employment market area. Development that takes place in the area adjacent to Eastbourne will have a mutually positive effect in terms of provision of jobs and housing choice for the local communities. Some of the infrastructure issues in the area, particularly the A27/transport infrastructure and the foul/surface water capacity need to be addressed as part of the provision of development. Thus, due to these infrastructure issues in the area, any development must be of sufficient size to generate the ability to resolve the issues and create sustainable communities.
Reason for comment:
(See representation on Question 15 also). The Council recognises that the South East Plan requires Wealden to provide a significant number of new homes in the Sussex Coastal area in the next 20 years and supports this provision. It recognises that South Wealden and Eastbourne are an integral housing and employment market area. Development that takes place in the area adjacent to Eastbourne will have a mutually positive effect in terms of provision of jobs and housing choice for the local communities. Some of the infrastructure issues in the area, particularly the A27/transport infrastructure and the foul/surface water capacity needs to be addressed as part of the provision of development. Thus, due to these infrastructure issues in the area, any development must be of sufficient size to generate the ability to resolve the issues and create sustainable communities.

Reason for comment:
There is concern with the proposed inclusion of the area east of Friday Street/Langney for possible future housing development in view of the capacity of transport and other infrastructure to support such development.

Reason for comment:
The Council believes that development in the South Wealden and Eastbourne housing market area should be at the most sustainable locations. In answer to Question 17 the Council believes that Stone Cross could be classified as a village with growth potential as a way of delivering sustainable communities. Stone Cross is adjacent to the Eastbourne urban boundary and so the choice of the location for development needs to be considered in the light of ensuring that the village retains its identity. Development would be supported in principle at any of these option locations subject to detailed site feasibility and viability.
The Council notes that the South East Plan does not advocate a new settlement and the quantum of development required in the Plan period, in the Sussex Coastal area, is of a magnitude that suggests a new settlement might not be viable in terms of sustainability. However, if Wealden Council wishes to continue to consider this option, the Borough Council has no objection in principle.

In principle most strategic growth should go to those settlements with services and facilities to sustain the enlarged community. Consideration of growth in the villages should only be considered if there are exceptional reasons for it.

The principle of higher densities needs to be considered in the light of the characteristics of individual settlements and the housing needs of the area.
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The principle of higher densities needs to be considered in the light of the characteristics of individual settlements and the housing needs of the area.

Option 24d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The principle of higher densities needs to be considered in the light of the characteristics of individual settlements and the housing needs of the area.

Option 25a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This is a response for all parts of Question 25. The provision of a range of sizes of homes is required in the South Wealden and Eastbourne integrated housing market area to ensure that the economic growth envisaged can be accommodated which would be mutually beneficial to the local communities. This will require larger family units as well as smaller units. The need for affordable housing should be set at the maximum possible in terms of the demographics of the settlements and the site characteristics, including viability.
Option 26a

Reason for comment:
The provision of sites for gypsies and travellers needs to be in the area where there is greatest need and meets sustainability criteria. The Council supports the joint working on site provision throughout the County.

Option 26b

Reason for comment:
The provision of sites for gypsies and travellers needs to be in the area where there is greatest need and meets sustainability criteria. The Council supports the joint working on site provision throughout the County.

Option 27a

Reason for comment:
This is a response to all parts of Question 27. The Borough Council is very supportive of the provision of services and facilities that help to provide a choice of transport mode and also help to reduce the use of the car. A Park and Ride facility would be strongly supported to serve the South Wealden/Eastbourne area. In terms of the potential parkway station at Polegate the principle would be supported but only if this did not diminish the frequency and direct connection of services from and to Eastbourne from London Victoria.
Reason for comment:
In terms of the potential parkway station at Polegate the principle would be supported but only if this did not diminish the frequency and direct connection of services from and to Eastbourne from London Victoria.

Reason for comment:
A Park and Ride facility would be strongly supported to serve the South Wealden/ Eastbourne area.

Reason for comment:
The Borough Council, in principle, supports the provision and protection of open space and leisure facilities that can be used by residents and visitors, and contributions should be sought from developers.
Consultee ID: Mrs Wilson 
106234 Eastbourne Borough Council

Part of document: ✓ option Option 28b

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The Council supports Wealden in its identification of the infrastructure issues for the South Wealden area in relation to the development options set out in the Report of the A27 West Polegate improvements, the A22 link or junction improvement, the need for new sewage treatment capacity for Polegate and Hailsham, Hailsham traffic management in the town centre, Hailsham north access road and/or Lower Dicker road links, Hailsham South access road area. The Borough Council is also concerned to ensure that any development options chosen as part of this process ensure the delivery of the required infrastructure.
We are in need of affordable housing, and I doubt that building in AONB would be affordable except on a huge scale. Once building has started it will continue and the area will be lost forever.
Consultee ID: Mrs Fantom
106235
Householder

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
If it means that villages can then be linked to the/a new railway link that will make the villages accessible then I disagree.

Consultee ID: Mrs Fantom
106235
Householder

Part of document: ☑ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
For villages this should be a % to a maximum of, say, 10.

Consultee ID: Mrs Fantom
106235
Householder

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
To town planners Isfield may be 'lacking services' but to those of us who live here it is part of the charm of this rural village - and if we'd wanted to have easy rail access we wouldn't have chosen to live in Isfield. I agree with all comments already made on this site by those who 'disagree'.

Consultee ID: Mrs Fantom
106235
Householder

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This must not mean that public transport is increased solely to enable increased housing (Isfield Wealden Line link)
Consultee ID: Mrs Fantom 106235 Householder

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Fantom 106235 Householder

Part of document: Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Smith 106236

Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Refer to 2.14 "The AONBs are very sensitive to cumulative and small scale changes and threats of creeping suburbanisation. The District is required by law to have regard to the purposes of AONBs and to prepare statutory management plans for them4; this has been done jointly with other authorities.". This must be respected. There are few AONB's in the South and a lot of them are designated flood plains.

Consultee ID: Mr Smith 106236

Part of document: Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree

Reason for comment:

New houses should be compulsory fitted with solar heating etc. Government should provide grants to present households. This would be far cheaper than producing alternative energy via unsightly "Wind Farms".
Consultee ID: Mr Smith  
106236

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Smith  
106236

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Smith  
106236

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Smith  
106236

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Smith 106236
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Smith 106236
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Smith 106236
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Smith 106236
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 4d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This always gets abused by local councils.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 7a

Economic principles would suggest that the supply of services in the villages is sufficient to meet current demand. For example, Forest Row already cannot cope with traffic and Health services to name but a few. It does not mean that they would be adequate to accommodate the proposed 20% increase in size in large villages. New villages, with the appropriate facilities, should be designed to accommodate these new housing developments."

Option 19a

Any proposed development to the North/West of Forest Row is out of the question as residents in Riverside nad Swan's Ghyll have experienced flooding in the recent rains. Development around this area would almost result in a "Tsunami" heading towards these settlements with disastrous consequences.

Option 19a

Where would the access for these developments come from???? Traffic is already a serious problem in Forest Row.
Consultee ID: Mr Smith
106236

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Question 31a

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This whole issue is Government driven and should be suspended until a General Election has been held.

Consultee ID: Mr Smith
106236

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 28b

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Smith
106236

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Question Twenty Nine

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Opportunity should be given to appeal.

Consultee ID: Mr Smith
106236

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Question Thirty

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Smith
106236
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 32a
Section of Document:
Your View     □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
All these are politically driven and do not take into consideration the needs of present communities.

Consultee ID: Mr Wyndham
106238
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 20b
Section of Document:
Your View     □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
There is no scope at Wadhurst - areas identified are on the outskirts of the village (West, East and North) and therefore these sites are not accessible to reap the true benefits of village life. As a village it is already the largest in the area - leave it as it is and don't make it larger. Building of the fringes of the village will ruin it and the surrounding areas. It is unacceptable to develop new housing on the scales proposed in areas of AONB.

Consultee ID: Revd Elphick
106240
Part of document: □ document ✓ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document: Location Plan
Your View     ✓ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Ownership of relevant land
We write as the joint owners of the parcel of land at Amberstone Ref ESX249276 comprising OS TQ5911 and TQ5911 0020. This land was included in a development plan produced and submitted to Wealden DC by Wilcon in August 2001. In that plan a new access road to the Southern Water Treatment Works was to be laid through our piece of land. This new road ensured that lorries using the Treatment Works did not have to travel through the proposed residential development. (Hailsham 15b on the new plan.) We would suggest that our land can still have an important and beneficial part to play in any development to the East of Battle Road/South of Amberstone.

Mr & Mrs NA Elphick
Stone Barn House Mill Lane HELLINGLY East Sussex BN27 4HD
Mr & Mrs RH Elphick 1 Barn Cottages Dodma Road Weasenham KINGS LYNN PE32 2TJ
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Baker</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106242</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 6c</td>
<td>Document: option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>To keep villages alive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Baker</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106242</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 19c</td>
<td>Document: option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>My family has lived here for FIVE generations and want to keep continuation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Baker</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106242</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question 32b</td>
<td>Document: option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Danehill Parish (Danehill &amp; Chelwood Gate) Smaller houses needed!!! For first time buyers - also for downgrading to allow existing larger houses to be available for families.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheatley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106243</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question One</td>
<td>Document: option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Yes, but only a small percentage (less than 5%) in sympathy with existing dwellings and built from the same materials and local renewable resources where possible.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr Wheatley

Option 3c

Reason for comment:
And from local resources where possible. It's not just the buildings! what about the environmental impact of construction itself !, i.e. the energy used: transport, power, plant, etc.

I echo a previous comment "only if already a designated brownfield site"

But only for employment, not housing.
Option 3d

Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
We should not be building more housing for people moving to the area for jobs. We should tempt those who commute outside the area back into the fold hence reducing the traffic on our already congested roads.

Option 4a

Your View  ☑ Agree

Option 4b

Your View  ☑ Agree

Option 4c

Your View  ☑ Agree
Consultee ID: Mr Wheatley
Agent ID: 106243

Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wheatley
Agent ID: 106243

Part of document: Question 6d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Further development in and around villages should be at a maximum of 10 percent of the existing housing stock. Otherwise the delicate fabric and aesthetic quality of villages will be eroded.

Consultee ID: Mr Wheatley
Agent ID: 106243

Part of document: Option 7a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
based on existing services & infrastructure not accessibility

Consultee ID: Mr Wheatley
Agent ID: 106243

Part of document: Option 7b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
What about taking the potential impact on the character of the village. Crawley Down was a village once. Now we don't know whether it's a suburb of East Grinstead or Crawley!
Consultee ID: Mr Wheatley  
106243

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Keep development to brownfield sites in towns

Consultee ID: Mr Wheatley  
106243

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We should not change local services to private dwellings

Consultee ID: Mr Wheatley  
106243

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Isfield does not appear on your village plan. Have you forgotten us and hence not force development on us or, are we now classified as a hamlet. I think we should be informed.

Consultee ID: Mr Wheatley  
106243

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
would create suburban corridors and link towns & villages together
Option 9a

Reason for comment:
Uckfield and surrounding area transport infrastructure is already choked with traffic and cannot bear any more.

Option 9b

Reason for comment:
May be potential to the north but not in the AONB

Option 9c

Reason for comment:

Question Ten

Reason for comment:
too many for small villages/hamlets
Consultee ID: Mr Wheatley
106243

Part of document: option
Option 18a

Section of Document:
Your View  □  Agree  □  No opinion  □  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Will destroy the fabric and character of Isfield. We like it the way it is. We do not want to be a suburb of Uckfield! That's why we live here.

Consultee ID: Mr Ricketts
106244

Part of document: option
Option 11e

Section of Document:
Your View  □  Agree  □  No opinion  □  Disagree

Reason for comment:
The road structure to the west and south of the proposed location cannot accomodate the additional traffic which would undoubtedly be generated by such a development. High Broom Road, Stone Cross Road and Burnt Oak Road are narrow roads which in places are single lane and do not allow two vehicles to pass. The size and (at times) volume of traffic using these roads is already dangerous and inappropriate. Additionally there are no footpaths and street lighting is either poor or non-existent. These concerns have been expressed many times in the past by local residents in relation to other planning applications and the Council has a duty to take into account the serious safety issues involved.

Consultee ID: Simmons
106246

Part of document: chapter
Part 1

Section of Document: Context
Your View  □  Agree  □  No opinion  □  Disagree

Reason for comment:
My first comment is regarding the Regional Requirements, paragraphs 1.16-24. I understand the Government's version of the South East plan, following the Examination-in-Public last winter (at which I was a participant) and the imminent Panel report, is expected to increase housing targets significantly. Indeed, the Prime Minister's new aim of 240,000 new dwellings p.a. nationally by 2016 makes that inevitable in this region. While I expect higher figures to be concentrated in the growth areas and where fast economic growth is forecast, rather than such areas as Wealden, it seems likely that all areas will see some increase, perhaps 10% up on SEERA's draft South East Plan rates, and more if strategic transport and other infrastructure investment is planned. While this is a matter for conjecture in responding to your current consultation, my comments on your locational option questions bear this in mind.
Reason for comment:
I agree some very limited development, e.g. careful infilling/rounding off of settlements where justified in terms of community sustainability, within the principle that protection of the character of the AONB is paramount. As regards the last point in paragraph 2.15, at Crowborough the AONB boundary at Palesgate Lane could well be redrawn to include the attractive steep and largely wooded hillside on the south-west flank, down to the brook, to protect the valuable framing it provides to this part of the town, and guard against extension of the housing estate at Jarvis Brook.

Reason for comment:
I agree with all four of these Options. Re 3a, the scope for cross-subsidising small workshop space from high-value housing development should be explored, through mixed-use redevelopment of ‘unwanted’ existing employment land: this would be one way of meeting the Option 3c need.

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Simmons
106246
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Simmons
106246
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I agree Option 5b: unless a settlement is identified as having growth potential (later questions); the ‘strict criteria’ should focus on development which would make the settlement more sustainable as a community.

Consultee ID: Simmons
106246
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I agree Option 6a (80%) unless new communities are considered necessary. The principle for rural village development should be limited to that required to improve the settlement as a sustainable community, including sustaining essential rural services and some affordable housing (cf. paragraph 4.28.).
Consultee ID: Simmons  
Agent ID:  

Consultee ID: Simmons  
Agent ID:  

Consultee ID: Simmons  
Agent ID:  

I do not see 7a-d as options. I agree with both 7a and b, and c also seems sensible, but the option should be kept open of allowing some carefully-assessed growth in 'other categorised' villages where sustainability benefits are demonstrated. Development in 'growth potential' villages needs close design assessment as regards both village and landscape character, especially ridge villages such as Rotherfield. Option 7d is fine if is shown to be realistic.
Consultee ID: Simmons  Agent ID:
106246

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I do not see 7a-d as options. I agree with both 7a and b, and c also seems sensible, but the option should be kept open of allowing some carefully-assessed growth in 'other categorised' villages where sustainability benefits are demonstrated. Development in 'growth potential' villages needs close design assessment as regards both village and landscape character, especially ridge villages such as Rotherfield. Option 7d is fine if is shown to be realistic.

Consultee ID: Simmons  Agent ID:
106246

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree Option 11a, including mixed-use development to strengthen local services. Development would I assume be mainly in the form of flats meeting need for new small households.

Consultee ID: Simmons  Agent ID:
106246

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
disagree due to landscape setting, but would be a more sustainable option if others do not meet need.
### Consultee ID: Simmons

#### Part of document: **Option 11h**

**Question 12**

**Section of Document:***

**Reason for comment:**

I agree the suggested spatial objectives for Heathfield. As regards extending the NCR21/Cuckoo Trail, I would like to see the LDF seeking to extend it northwards to Mayfield in due course.

### Consultee ID: Simmons

#### Part of document: **Option 11c**

**Section of Document:***

**Reason for comment:**

Disagree 11c, particularly land to the east. Steel Cross is poorly related to centre of the town and would spread Crowborough out still further northwards. Would be likely to constitute dormitory to Tunbridge Wells.

### Consultee ID: Simmons

#### Part of document: **Option 11d**

**Section of Document:***

**Reason for comment:**

Agree 11d, although form of development would need relating to the landscape. Relative proximity to railway station is an advantage, and should be associated with improved quality bus services to reduce car usage and impact on road network, which would otherwise limit capacity.

### Consultee ID: Simmons

#### Part of document: **Option 11e**

**Section of Document:***

**Reason for comment:**

Agree 11e in part, subject to conservation of landscape character.
### Question 11f

**Possible Spatial Objectives**

1. A general policy is needed for Crowborough based on its unique townscape/landscape character of a town set on well-wooded hillsides. Such a policy would aim to safeguard that character by limiting high-density redevelopment of larger properties with gardens valuable in townscape and environmental (biodiversity, climate change) terms. New development, except at the town centre, should generally be at relatively low overall (gross) density to enable growth to be landscaped with tree planting thereby augmenting the town character. 2. There is a clear need for provision of small sites for business/employment development oriented to the A26. There should be no further employment development in the Jarvis Brook area, where traffic congestion on the B2100 is increasingly apparent.

#### Option 12a

**Reason for comment:**

Consultee ID: Simmons 106246

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 12a

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

#### Option 12b

**Reason for comment:**

Consultee ID: Simmons 106246

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 12b

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

#### Option 12c

**Reason for comment:**

Consultee ID: Simmons 106246

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 12c

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Simmons
106246

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Simmons
106246

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Simmons
106246

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:
Your View   ✓ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Simmons
106246

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:
Your View   ✓ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I agree this is worth considering, particularly the finger of land intruding into the Tunbridge Wells/County boundary: if agreed a boundary change would seem sensible.
Option 14a

Reason for comment:
daagree in the main – poorly related to town and centre. A smaller scheme off Snatts Lane would be better. It is important to preserve the green wedge to Maresfield.

Option 14b

Reason for comment:
dextends town northwards undesirably.

Option 14c

Reason for comment:
daagree in main – limit to northern part only.

Option 14d

Reason for comment:
dagree in part, i.e. to area north of farm.
Simmons

Option 14f

agree, as most closely associated with centre, subject to access.

Option 14g

agree, subject to flood risk and mixed-use concept. Assume high density housing would be largely of flats.

Question 14j

definitely disagree. No case for expanding west of the Bypass: most undesirable.

Question 14k

agree the spatial objectives, but see my answer to Question 27b regarding implications of the Lewes rail link: would add pressure to expand Uckfield further.
Consultee ID: Simmons  
106246

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [x] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:

only if related to reinstatement of the Uckfield-Lewes rail link: but see my comments on Question 27b.

Consultee ID: Simmons  
106246

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [x] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

Reason for comment:

disagree – I see no strategic case at Halland.

Consultee ID: Simmons  
106246

Part of document:  
- [x] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:

agree for further consideration for the longer term, if seen as a package of related ‘eco’ communities sharing social infrastructure and with a total capacity for 5,000 to 7,000 dwellings (= secondary school etc.) with employment areas.

Consultee ID: Simmons  
106246

Part of document:  
- [x] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:

agree for further consideration for the longer term, if seen as a package of related ‘eco’ communities sharing social infrastructure and with a total capacity for 5,000 to 7,000 dwellings (= secondary school etc.) with employment areas.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Simmons</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 18e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  ❏ No opinion  ❏ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

agree for further consideration for the longer term, if seen as a package of related ‘eco’ communities sharing social infrastructure and with a total capacity for 5,000 to 7,000 dwellings (= secondary school etc.) with employment areas.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Simmons</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 18f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  ❏ No opinion  ❏ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

agree for further consideration for the longer term, if seen as a package of related ‘eco’ communities sharing social infrastructure and with a total capacity for 5,000 to 7,000 dwellings (= secondary school etc.) with employment areas.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Simmons</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 18f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  ❏ No opinion  ❏ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

agree for further consideration for the longer term, if seen as a package of related ‘eco’ communities sharing social infrastructure and with a total capacity for 5,000 to 7,000 dwellings (= secondary school etc.) with employment areas.
Consultee ID: Simmons  
106246  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 18g

Section of Document:  
Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
I see no strategic advantages at Hellingly.

Consultee ID: Simmons  
106246  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 18h

Section of Document:  
Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
The green gap here should be preserved strategically.

Consultee ID: Simmons  
106246  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 18i

Section of Document:  
Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:  
18i and j could also be seen as a package for the longer term, with 6,000-9,000 dwelling capacity, justifying linked social infrastructure, related to the railway stations, but requiring considerable improvement/realignment of the A27T (see paragraph 6.39).

Consultee ID: Simmons  
106246  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 18j

Section of Document:  
Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:  
18i and j could also be seen as a package for the longer term, with 6,000-9,000 dwelling capacity, justifying linked social infrastructure, related to the railway stations, but requiring considerable improvement/realignment of the A27T.
If the Wealden rail line is to be reinstated to Lewes (see my response to Q27b) Eridge station would become a strategic location (junction to Tunbridge Wells and by the A26). An adjacent new village on the valley floor would then justify consideration, if carefully designed to minimise impact on the AONB. It would enhance the business case for the rail investment.

see my comments on Q7 a-d regarding Rotherfield. I see growth potential as limited. AONB landscape setting is crucial. Village cannot take more traffic, especially at B2100 junction in the village centre.

I agree the growth potential should be capped. In view of the spread-out form of the village, there is a danger of sprawl.
I agree the growth potential should be capped. In view of the spread-out form of the village, there is a danger of sprawl.

I agree, but flexibility is needed regarding viability.
Option 24b

Reason for comment:
I have considerable reservations with ‘typical densities’. As paragraphs 6.13-15 indicate, there is a wide variety of circumstance in the District, and there are dangers of developers seeing them as ‘one size fits all’ situations. Instead I believe density policy should derive from the specific ‘place’: the genius loci. This should include redevelopment of existing low density housing in pleasant green environments: policy in this respect should stress preservation of local character. That said, I agree Option 24b: an average of 40 dwelling per hectare would be appropriate, provided it is made clear that this would permit a range from 20 dph (providing executive detached housing for senior managers etc. and their families, and with ecological benefits) to 60-70 dph (flats at and adjoining centres with good public transport).

Option 24d

Reason for comment:

Option 25a

Reason for comment:
I agree compared with the present situation, in order to provide for small/new household needs, but the proportion needs defining and appropriate locations, mainly at and adjoining centres, specified.
Consultee ID: Simmons
106246

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Simmons
106246

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Simmons
106246

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
On balance, I disagree. It is an interesting proposition, but with major implications as alluded to in paragraph 6.37. I do not believe there will be a viable business case – or at least without significant, potentially adverse, implications for Wealden. The National Rail interest (including reinstating dual track throughout and platform extensions to serve 8-car units) from Oxted to Lewes to provide a ‘secondary’ route from London to Brighton and Eastbourne does not to my mind hold water, as it would be much slower than the 50-55 minutes main line journey to Brighton via Gatwick; not only is the route significantly longer, there would be several station stops (at least Edenbridge, Eridge for Tunbridge Wells, Crowborough, Uckfield) preventing fast running. Nor do I believe a Sussex-Tunbridge Wells link would generate sufficient patronage. The consequences would be that to provide an adequate business case as regards passenger forecasts to justify the considerable investment, major redevelopment would be needed at key Wealden locations, particularly at Crowborough and Uckfield, and also Isfield (Lavender, see Option 18a) and Eridge (see my answer to Question 18k). Moreover, the main rail usage would be by peak period commuters rather than the steady all-day patronage required to justify the investment, and it seems unlikely that promotion of services from Crowborough and Uckfield to Brighton etc. would answer! The existing line is now doing well with Southern’s recent investment and the promotional activities of the Sussex Community Rail Partnership. That should be supported further, together with the Spa Valley Railway's now expected extension to Eridge in 2008 or 2009 and the tourist (and weekend park and ride) potential of that for linked services to Tunbridge Wells (west) and Groombridge. My heart says good idea; my head cannot agree!
I agree. Sites could be identified at the Wealden/Tunbridge Wells (County) boundary on both the A26 (first priority and A269. Further, a weekend Park and Ride facility could be promoted at Eridge station car park, using the Spa Valley heritage railway (SVR) which is has agreement with Network Rail to extend to Eridge in 2008 or 2009.

I agree with the options and see the second bullet point in paragraph 7.7 as particularly promising. This is now seen as a clear issue nationally, pressed by the RTPI and reflected in the current Office of Fair Trading study, whose report will no doubt inform the draft LDF Core Strategy in due course.
Consultee ID: Simmons

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I agree – this reads well. My views on the Wealden line are set out in my answer to Q. 27b; to my mind a more realistic and achievable transport vision would focus on better bus services linking the main settlements, with stronger public sector control over route franchising.

Consultee ID: Simmons

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I agree these are a reasonable set of possible objectives. My only problem is with SO2: Maximising the use of previously developed land should specifically exclude building on valuable gardens. Also I do not agree that 75% of new housing should be at over 40 dwellings per hectare: I supported the 40% average in response to Question 24 so this figure should in my view be 50%. As regards SO5, this should recognise that the final South East Plan in 2008 is very likely to include higher figures than SEERA’s draft Plan: my comment on Part 1 above.

Consultee ID: Mr ROSE

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

An AONB is degraded by development
Consultee ID: Mr ROSE
Consultee ID: 106247

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Windfarms generate only a fraction of their rated output. I don't want to see turbines on Ashdown Forest or elsewhere in the unique High Weald landscape.

Consultee ID: Mr ROSE
Consultee ID: 106247

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View   ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Unless there is a balance struck between local employment and housing development, housing in (North) Wealden will be taken up by commuters to larger centres and more particularly to London.

Consultee ID: Mr ROSE
Consultee ID: 106247

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Maintain them, do not expand

Consultee ID: Mr ROSE
Consultee ID: 106247

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
My specific interest is with Wadhurst where any expansion of a ridge based village core and traditional Wealden dispersed settlement will negate any purpose of AONB designation.
Consultee ID: Mr ROSE
106247

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Build on established robust infrastructures

Consultee ID: Mr ROSE
106247

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Far too theoretical. Need to consider whether existing infrastructure has spare capacity and/or whether it can be expanded to meet future demands

Consultee ID: Mr ROSE
106247

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Needs to be very careful co-ordinated with Kent CC, Tunbridge Wells Borough Council. Development of edges of areas is likely to give rise to unforeseen problems.

Consultee ID: Mr ROSE
106247

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 13b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Surrounded by Tunbridge Wells. Needs sensitive handling between authorities
Your View
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Subject to strict controls

Why is Cousley Wood a separate area? Ditto Beech Hill; what about Tidebrook? These form an integrated community whole and should not be fragmented. Local employment opportunites fragile and limited, so any development will increase commuting in particular to Tunbridge Wells and London. Wadhurst railway station parking now full to capacity and no room to expand. Road infrastructure is poor, very congested at times. B2100 link road from Tunbridge Wells towards A21 and A268 to Rye (used as A21 diversion when shut): B2099 cross country route from Crowborough towards Ashford: both roads neglected by ESCC. Kent Public carpark taken over by Uplands Community College in term time, so only parking available is kerbside. Water supply poor (on top of a hill we have no water pressure and situation worsens with any development). One stretched doctors’ surgery with limited vehicular access. Bus service to Tunbridge Wells but only of use to those within walking distance of routes. Wadhurst is a ridge village in an AONB. One of its pleasures is occasional fields breaking up existing developments. If these disappear then the village will lose much of its character.
Reason for comment:

Agree/disagree is irrelevant for a question such as this. Whilst this may be Wealden's vision, Wealden is not an isolated District. Here in Wadhurst, on the fringes of Wealden, I am concerned about what Kent CC, Tunbridge Wells BC and Rother DC have in store for us; each is just a few minutes away. Our lines of communication take us to these places, not into Wealden so much, thus it would be good to have some co-ordinated planning with adjoining authorities.

Reason for comment:

This is a general comment about this so called consultation process. There seems no where one can make general comments. This is a really un-user friendly document. The way that representations are invited is poor, hardly likely to encourage anyone to comment apart from the dedicated. It is also unfortunate that the consultation is in the holiday period. I suspect the vast majority of Wealden residents will know nothing about it. Dry, dull and uninspired best summarises it, yet it will have a profound impact on our lives. You might have had a road show but it should have come to the smaller communities. Wadhurst always gets overlooked, I suspect because it is in the furthermost corner of Wealden (wholly remote from Hailsham)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>☑ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Context</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>☑ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Wealden's Landscape</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>☑ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Wealden's Working Patterns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Chambers</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**
Option 3a

**Your View**
- Agree: [✓]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**
Option 3b

**Your View**
- Agree: [✓]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**
Option 3d

**Your View**
- Agree: [✓]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**
Option 4a

**Your View**
- Agree: [✓]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mr Chambers  
106248

Part of document:  
☑️ chapter  
☐ section  
☐ option

Section of Document:  
Wealden's Settlement Pattern

Your View  
☑️ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers  
106248

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑️ option

Section of Document:  
Option 5a

Your View  
☑️ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers  
106248

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑️ option

Section of Document:  
Option 5b

Your View  
☑️ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers  
106248

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑️ option

Section of Document:  
Option 6a

Your View  
☑️ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Chambers  Agent ID: 106248
Part of document:  option
Option 6b
Section of Document:
Your View  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers  Agent ID: 106248
Part of document:  option
Option 6c
Section of Document:
Your View  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers  Agent ID: 106248
Part of document:  option
Option 7a
Section of Document:
Your View  No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers  Agent ID: 106248
Part of document:  option
Option 7b
Section of Document:
Your View  No opinion
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Agent ID: 106248

Part of document: Option 7c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Agent ID: 106248

Part of document: Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Agent ID: 106248

Part of document: Part 5
Section of Document: Wealden's Places
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Agent ID: 106248

Part of document: Option 8a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106248
Mr Chambers

Part of document: Option 8b
- Document
- Chapter
- Section
- Option

Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106248
Mr Chambers

Part of document: Option 8c
- Document
- Chapter
- Section
- Option

Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106248
Mr Chambers

Part of document: Option 8d
- Document
- Chapter
- Section
- Option

Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106248
Mr Chambers

Part of document: Option 9a
- Document
- Chapter
- Section
- Option

Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Part of document: Option 9b
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Part of document: Option 9c
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Part of document: Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Part of document: Option 12c
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 12b</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 12h</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 13a</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 14d</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Chambers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Question 14j</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Option 15a</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Option 15b</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Chambers</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15f**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
106248

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Question 15h

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
106248

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Option 16a

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
106248

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Option 16b

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
106248

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Option 16c

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr Chambers

Consultee ID: 106248

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Question 16i

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106248

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Option 17a

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106248

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Option 17b

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106248

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Option 17c

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Agent ID: 106248

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Agent ID: 106248

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Agent ID: 106248

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Agent ID: 106248

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr 106248 Chambers</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr 106248 Chambers</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr 106248 Chambers</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Chambers</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Chambers</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106248</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>Option 19c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>Option 19e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>Option 20a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Chambers  
Agreement for Option 23d

Part of document:  
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers  
Agreement for Option 20c

Part of document:  
Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers  
Agreement for Option 21a

Part of document:  
Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers  
Agreement for Option 21b

Part of document:  
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
Consultee ID: Mr Chambers

Part of document: Option 21c
Part of document: Option 21e
Part of document: Option 21f
Part of document: Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Chambers

Part of document: Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers

Part of document: Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers

Part of document: Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers

Part of document: Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
106248

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23c

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
106248

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23e

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
106248

Part of document: ☑ chapter  ☐ option
Part 6

Section of Document: Wealden's Communities

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
106248

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24a

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 24b  
- Option 24c  
- Option 25a  
- Option 25b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: |

---

Page 1794 of 6217
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 106248 
Part of document: | Document | Chapter | Section | Option |
| Option 25c | | | | | 
| Section of Document: | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 106248 
Part of document: | Document | Chapter | Section | Option |
| Option 25d | | | | | 
| Section of Document: | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 106248 
Part of document: | Document | Chapter | Section | Option |
| Option 25f | | | | | 
| Section of Document: | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 106248 
Part of document: | Document | Chapter | Section | Option |
<p>| Option 25g | | | | |
| Section of Document: | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 26a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27d

**Section of Document:**
- Your View
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27e

**Section of Document:**
- Your View
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27f

**Section of Document:**
- Your View
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 28a

**Section of Document:**
- Your View
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 28b
- Option 28c
- Part 7
- Question Twenty Nine

**Section of Document:**  
- Agent ID: Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 28b
- Option 28c
- Part 7

**Section of Document:**  
- Making it Happen - Implementation

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 28b
- Option 28c
- Part 7

**Section of Document:**  
- Housing Delivery

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Question 31a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106248</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Question 32a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Chambers</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ✔ chapter  □ section  □ option  
Part 9

**Section of Document:**  
What Happens Next?

**Your View**  
✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ✔ chapter  □ section  □ option  
Part 10

**Section of Document:**  
Details of How to Comment

**Your View**  
✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chambers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106248</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ✔ chapter  □ section  □ option  
Section of Document:  
Glossary

**Your View**  
✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ballan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106249</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Question One

**Section of Document:**  
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Development will destroy the character of the countryside. I am advised that the recent tree felling on the Ashdown Forest (not Ashdown Heath!) has been authorised by English Nature and that the Consrevators have had no say in the matter.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106249  Mr. Ballan

Part of document: Option 3c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
THERE IS A SHORTAGE OF SUITABLE ACCOMMODATION PARTICULARLY IN THE 4000 SQUARE FEET BRACKET.

Consultee ID: 106249  Mr. Ballan

Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
There is a shortage of suitable office space and very little freehold.

Consultee ID: 106249  Mr. Ballan

Part of document: Option 3b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
for the reason stated in 3a

Consultee ID: 106249  Mr. Ballan

Part of document: Option 3d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Otherwise where are people to live. The problem from an employer's perspective is that the transport infrastructure is dreadful, it is impossible to reach Brighton by train from Uckfield and the surrounding districts, the costs of running a car increase very year so one is forced to recruit locally. Inland Revenue rules state that travel between home and office is taxable so anyone commuting from Sevenoaks to Uckfield can only travel by car. It would probably take 2-3 hours to travel by rail.
In principle but in practice the Cross in Hand - Heathfield road is a disaster in peak periods. I have staff living in Punnets Town and Horam and the only way to get to work on time is to travel before 07.00.

Too many external factors come into the equation such as water, electricity, sewerage. Transport must be a key factor.

The road infrastructure is unsuitable.
Consultee ID: Mr Ballan
106249

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reasonable access to the A22 SINGLE carriageway bypass.

Consultee ID: Mr Ballan
106249

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is a transport bottleneck.

Consultee ID: Mr Ballan
106249

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Risk of flooding as a result of industrial building on the flood plain.

Consultee ID: Mr Ballan
106249

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 14k

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The TESCO supermarket and the fire station should be relocated nearer to the bypass, existing sites create bottlenecks. The UCK channel should be either widened or deepened or the industrial buildings re-located. It is very difficult to park to use the railway station. IF the Uckfield-Lewes link is restored then car parking provision has to be top priority.
Consultee ID:  Mr Ballan  
106249  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Option 18b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
1.
Consultee ID: Mr Ballan  
Consultee ID: 106249

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 18b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

There are no mains drainage services in Knowle Lane, power is via overhead wire, water has a 2”
main. The document does not state where these 2800 dwellings are to be built. 2800 dwellings means
a MINIMUM of 5600 additional cars on roads that are poor to say the least. Teenagers will have to
travel to secondary schools in Uckfield or Ringmer - public transport is virtually non existent. The A22
has bottlenecks at Polegate and Uckfield during morning and evening, it is virtually impossible to pass
through Heathfield due to existing traffic. Lewes is a nightmare. In other words the transport
infrastructure is dreadful. According to the Electoral register there are 275 residents in the village this
would equate to about 120 homes, building this number of new homes will destroy the village in much
the same way as Ringmer has been destroyed. I moved out of Crowborough to live in the country,
what you are proposing is to make Halland another Crowborough. Your statistics state that the
percentage of working people in Halland is 19% whereas Crowborough is 12% - dodgy statistics?
South East: March to August 2003 were the warmest months on record in the UK and included the
country’s hottest temperature ever of 38.5°C at Brogdale in Kent. The South East is especially
vulnerable to the detrimental effects of climate change. Average temperature and sea levels have
already risen – and are expected to go up even further. Increasingly frequent storms will combine with
a greater risk of summer droughts to further polarise the seasons. The South East receives less
rainfall than any other region in the UK: it has less water available per person than Spain or Greece.
As a result, the area is heavily dependent on groundwater to provide its drinking water supplies.
Water resources are already overstretched and there are limited opportunities to extract more water
from groundwater sources without damaging the environment. Most of the South East’s major centres
of population are on coastal flood plains, making them very vulnerable to floods and extreme weather
events. Government plans to develop more housing on flood plains have attracted criticism in the
wake of the recent flash floods. The pressures of an expanding population will place the climate under
even greater strain. MPs have expressed concern that emissions from the housing sector “could
constitute more than 55% of the UK’s target for carbon emissions in 2050, nearly doubling the current
30% contribution.” Pressure for more housing and other developments in the area also means that
transport, the fastest growing source of greenhouse gases in the UK, will expand. The South East
Climate Change Partnership estimates that by 2080 summer temperatures will have risen by up to
6°C, and winter rainfall is expected to increase by up to 30%. In agricultural terms, this translates to
between 40 and 100 extra days of plant growth per year. Sea level rises will mean more pressure on
coast lines. Planning will have to take account of more likely extreme and distressing events and seek
to protect sensitive areas, both natural and man-made. The severe flooding experienced by people
living in Sussex and Kent in 2000 demonstrated the acute personal, social and economic costs
associated with such events. Across southern England, estuaries and low coastal land could be
inundated. Eroding cliffs will retreat ever faster as rising tides and more vigorous waves and storms
rip at their exposed faces. Further inland, hotter, drier summers will put the local oak and beech
woodlands at risk. The Sussex water meadows may also be adversely affected. Redshanks,
Lapwings and other wading birds will increasingly find their habitats threatened by rising sea levels
and ever-drier land.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ballan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106249</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Comment is made that Halland depends upon East Hoathly for services. This is nonsense for one has to go to Ringmer or Lewes for GP and Dental requirements. It is impossible to find an NHS dentist in this area. All shopping is carried out at the supermarkets in Lewes, Uckfield and Hailsham. If 4000 dwellings is the minimum to fund a secondary school then the proposed development of 2800 dwellings would be insufficient to fund schools meaning much more use of the already creaking A22 to Uckfield and the B road to Ringmer.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brotherton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106252</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Initially, brown field sites within existing towns and villages should be targetted. If, as is likely, this is inadequate for the development plans being foisted on the area, then a radical rethink on location is required. Most towns and villages are, in some way, historical accidents, to which we have grown attached. Rampant development in many of these areas will destroy their character, therefore, consideration should be given to the recreation of new towns/villages to accommodate the proposed developments, rather than degrade the existing ones.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brotherton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106252</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 3a

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Isolated conversion of unused barns to alternative use hardly seems an issue, but widespread conversion of farmland to housing estates needs to be tightly controlled.

Option 3d

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 5a

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Settlement boundaries cannot be both maintained and expanded. These are contradictory statements. However, if sensitive in-filling can be accomplished that is acceptable. Unfortunately, this is unlikely to meet Wealden's building remit, and, as these boundary regions have been under pressure for some years, there is little free space left in these areas. They should be maintained, and the proposed large new housing developments should be used to build new villages.

Option 5b

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
As employment and other facilities are concentrated in and around the towns, this must be a favoured location for further development. However, the precise figures of 80% etc seem to be quite arbitrary, and if the proposed developments can be 100% sited around the towns, then do that.

Reason for comment:
This is too much for the villages to absorb.

Reason for comment:
Economic principles would suggest that the supply of services in the villages is sufficient to meet current demand. It does not mean that they would be adequate to accommodate the proposed 20% increase in size in large villages. New villages, with the appropriate facilities, should be designed to accommodate these new housing developments.
Consultee ID: Brotherton

Part of document: option 7c

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment: The scale of development proposed would be better sited in new, planned villages.

Consultee ID: Brotherton

Part of document: option 19a

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment: Minimum site sizes of 15 houses seems to be a lot for edges or in-filling in villages. It should be lower at ~5.

Consultee ID: Brotherton

Part of document: option 19a

Section of Document: Option 19a

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment: Housing already extends up to the development boundaries, so it is unclear how the proposed 200-340 houses would be accommodated without going predominantly onto green field sites. In addition, traffic flow on the A22 through Forest Row is already congested, with queues regularly extending north up this road on evenings and weekends. Yet, this would appear to be the area identified for development, and, if the main road and village centre already struggle to cope with the existing traffic volumes, it is difficult to understand how the traffic discharging from 20% more houses will be handled.
Consultee ID: 106252
Brotherton

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A prime need is for low cost, starter homes for first time buyers. Low rise maisonettes, looking like conventional houses, could be built to achieve increased densities without looking out of place.

Consultee ID: 106252
Brotherton

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
To be offered for sale as starter homes, but legislation is needed to prevent 'buy to let'.

Consultee ID: 106252
Brotherton

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
'Affordable housing' seems to be a misnomer, as it has nothing to do with houses which people can afford to buy. It means rentable properties, and it would be helpful if this were made clearer. It is unclear why these are specifically proposed for rural areas, but sensitively mixed in, at a low level, with new developments is far preferable than adding blocks of them to existing developments.

Consultee ID: 106252
Brotherton

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
With proper planning controls.
Consultee ID: 106252
Brotherton

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
With proper planning control where there is demonstrated need.

Consultee ID: 106252
Brotherton

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106252
Brotherton

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Two places needed per property to anticipate future car ownership levels, and to avoid parked cars spilling onto roads as happens with past developments.

Consultee ID: 106252
Brotherton

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 28b

Reason for comment:
Do not compromise and complicate housing developments by tying them into provision of recreational facilities. If they are a natural part of a large development, then yes. But if not, then what are we paying our rates for, if not to provide local facilities such as these.

Option 28c

Reason for comment:

Question 31a

Reason for comment:
The sentiments are fine (and words are cheap), but they are not consistent with the scale of development to be imposed upon the district.

Question 32a

Reason for comment:
This question makes no sense. It is not possible to give a simple yes/no answer to 14 different, and, in some cases, contradictory questions. The responses to the 14 points will be found in the responses to the individual sections of this document.
Consultee ID: Mr Wood
Agent ID: 106255

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The logical conclusion of the present policy is that 39% of Wealden will eventually be concreted over while the other 61% is largely protected from development. This would be unacceptable to council tax payers resident in the 39% so, at some stage, a bigger share of development will have to be directed to areas currently designated as of outstanding natural beauty. Given the extent of the new development required, this would be a good time to change the policy. This change should recognise that all Wealden residents are equally keen to preserve the quality of their environment and that new development should be distributed equitably throughout Wealden and not restricted to 39%.

Consultee ID: Mr Farmer
Agent ID: 106257

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 9a

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed development would have the effect of destroying the natural boundary of Buxte Park and would effectively make Five Ash Down a suburb of Uckfield.
Opportunities for development at Pound Green and off Limes Lane without major encroachment on green belt. I have concerns over parking issues in respect of Buxted Station due to proposed expansion in the north of Uckfield and Maresfield.

Reinstatement of the Uckfield - Lewes link should not be seen as a local issue but also as a regional issue reducing the amount of traffic passing through Wealden.

The A27 needs to be improved to dual carriageway to reduce accidents in the Middle Farm area and improve the junction at Polegate.

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
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Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Adeley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☐ option ☑</td>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☐ option ☑</td>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☐ option ☑</td>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☐ option ☑</td>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Adeley</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Adeley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Adeley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Adeley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
Agent ID: 106258

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
Agent ID: 106258

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
Agent ID: 106258

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
Agent ID: 106258

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

As joint owner of the area south of Walshes Road, Walsh Manor Farm, I agree this is an ideal area and open for development. We are fully supportive of inclusion of the of the land for consideration for housing as suggested in the Core Strategy and believe it has some considerable advantages in achieving long term housing goals. The area adjoining Walshes Road is not in productive agricultural use - it is currently grazed by horses. The upper part nearer Walshes Road are not a flood plain. It forms a natural extension to the built up area. Much of the area is below the road level and could therefore be easily screened - especially with the inclusion of a relatively small green corridor. It will be close to the railway station and could prove an excellent location from the point of view of sustainable transport especially if the proposed link road on the west side of the railway is constructed. There is potential sufficient buffer for areas of interest to English Heritage, SSIs and AOB to the south.

Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Fully supportive of inclusion of land at Walsh Manor for following reasons: - land is currently not in agricultural use - upper parts are not as a flood plain - forms a natural extension to built up area - easy to screen - access to railway - potential buffer zones.
Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
Consultee ID: 106258
Part of document: Option 14b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
Consultee ID: 106258
Part of document: Option 19a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
Consultee ID: 106258
Part of document: Option 19b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
Consultee ID: 106258
Part of document: Option 19c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley  
Agent ID: 106258

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley  
Agent ID: 106258

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley  
Agent ID: 106258

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley  
Agent ID: 106258

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Page 1824 of 6217
Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Question 21g

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Must preserve some small villages

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 22b

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 24a

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 24b

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☑ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☑ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☑ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☑ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Adeley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25c**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Adeley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25g**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Adeley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25d**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Adeley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25e**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Adeley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106258</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Adeley  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Adeley  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Adeley  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Adeley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106258</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Adeley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106258</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Adeley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106258</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Adeley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106258</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley
106258

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Adeley

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
I believe that some development should take place in ANOBs so that the burden of development in non urban areas is shared by both designated and non designated areas. It would be unfair to spoil some areas in Wealden that are naturally beautiful but are not designated areas.

Reason for comment:
If this means siting wind turbines on the high spots in the Wealden area

Reason for comment:
Until it can be shown that the 10% target can be realistically met
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Leech  
106260

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This would reduce the pressure on rural development and therefore the need to increase service infrastructure in rural areas

Consultee ID: Leech  
106260

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
But only if this was for people living in the local area. It would be foolhardy to create business accommodation and then increase road traffic moving people around the county to get them to work

Consultee ID: Leech  
106260

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
But only if the necessary service infrastructure is increased proportionally to cope with the increased development

Consultee ID: Leech  
106260

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
But only if the necessary service infrastructure is increased proportionally to cope with the increased development
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Leech
Consultee ID: 106260

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
It would be easier to increase existing service infrastructure in towns than to create new infrastructure in rural communities. If the infrastructure is not created in the rural communities then this will lead to more road travel about the County by the new people in the rural communities traveling into the towns. This figure should be maintained or perhaps increased increased.

Consultee ID: Leech
Consultee ID: 106260

Option 18a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Developing any small village in the Wealden area to this extent would, in my view, be totally unacceptable and grossly unfair to those living there. Isfield currently has limited service and transport infrastructure and could certainly not cope with development on this proposed scale. The cost of providing additional infrastructure to support development on this scale would be enormous. The suggestion that "this development could provide much lacking services in the village" is laughable given that the cost of this to villagers is 8000 houses and I suspect that no one is that desperate for these "additional services" If the Lewes / Uckfield rail link reopens it is more likely to be used as a commuter route to London rather that Uckfield and Lewes and will therefore not satisfy their needs as suggested, rather as a feeder housing village to Greater London.

Consultee ID: Leech
Consultee ID: 106260

Option 18b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Developing any small village in the Wealden area to this extent would, in my view, be totally unacceptable and grossly unfair to those living there.
Developing any small village in the Wealden area to this extent would, in my view, be totally unacceptable and grossly unfair to those living there.

Reason for comment:
Unless it can be shown that it can be achieved at no cost to tax payers and that hard evidence given to show that it will be used effectively and will remove cars from the roads. It should be taken into consideration the advances in car technology that will probably produce economic and very low emmission , nearly "clean" engines in the future (5 to 10 years, probably the same time it will take to re-instate the rail link) will make it more difficult to convince car users to give up the convenience of a car and travel by train.
Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
Part of document: Option 2a
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
Part of document: Option 2b
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
Part of document: Option 3a
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
106261
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
106261
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
106261
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
106261
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith Agent ID:
106261
Part of document: ☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 4c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith Agent ID:
106261
Part of document: ☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 4b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith Agent ID:
106261
Part of document: ☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith Agent ID:
106261
Part of document: ☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 4e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
In-fill the area between the new bypass north of Polegate and the old Polegate - Stone Cross Road
Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
106261
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
106261
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
106261
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 5c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Leave Downland villages alone - most are in the AONB / proposed National Park

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
106261
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
106261

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
106261

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
106261

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith
106261

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith  
106261 -

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith  
106261 -

Part of document: ☑ option

Question 15g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

There should be no development west of the A22 to the North West of Polegate. This is farming

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith  
106261 -

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith  
106261 -

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Lt Col Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106261</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 16g

Reason for comment:
I object to all of location 16a including non-statutory local plan site PW1

Option 18i

Reason for comment:

Option 18j

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Lt Col Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106261</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 18k</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
I object to any new settlements in the area bordering the South Downs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Lt Col Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106261</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 24a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Lt Col Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106261</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 24b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Lt Col Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106261</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 24c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 106261
Part of document: Option 24d
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 106261
Part of document: Option 25a
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 106261
Part of document: Option 25b
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 106261
Part of document: Option 25d
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith  
106261  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 25e  
Section of Document:  
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith  
106261  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 27c  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith  
106261  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 27d  
Section of Document:  
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith  
106261  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 27e  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Lt Col Harcourt-Smith  
Part of document: option 27f

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View  Disagree
Reason for comment:

I very recently moved to Isfield from Ringmer to live in a quieter area. So am very anxious and surprised to hear of the possibility of a New Settlement in Isfield.
Consultee ID: mr baldwin  
106263

Part of document: Option 16a

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr baldwin  
106263

Part of document: Option 16b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr baldwin  
106263

Part of document: Option 16d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr baldwin  
106263

Part of document: Option 16e

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mr baldwin  
106263

Part of document:  
- Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: mr baldwin  
106263

Part of document:  
- Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: mr baldwin  
106263

Part of document:  
- Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: mr baldwin  
106263

Part of document:  
- Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke Agent ID: 106265
Part of document: option

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke Agent ID: 106265
Part of document: option

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke Agent ID: 106265
Part of document: option

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke Agent ID: 106265
Part of document: option

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke  
106265  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑️ option  
Option 4b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke  
106265  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑️ option  
Option 4d  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke  
106265  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑️ option  
Option 5a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke  
106265  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑️ option  
Option 5b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Page 1851 of 6217
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 8a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 8b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 8c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 8d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 15e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Dr Clarke
Agent ID: 106265

Part of document: Option 15f
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke
Agent ID: 106265

Part of document: Option 16b
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke
Agent ID: 106265

Part of document: Option 16c
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke
Agent ID: 106265

Part of document: Option 16d
Section of Document:
Your View   ✔ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Dr Clarke
106265

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke
106265

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke
106265

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke
106265

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 17b
  - [ ] document  
  - [ ] chapter  
  - [ ] section  
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 17c
  - [ ] document  
  - [ ] chapter  
  - [ ] section  
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18a
  - [ ] document  
  - [ ] chapter  
  - [ ] section  
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18b
  - [ ] document  
  - [ ] chapter  
  - [ ] section  
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Dr Clarke  
106265

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke  
106265

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke  
106265

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke  
106265

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Dr Clarke

Part of document: Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke

Part of document: Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke

Part of document: Option 23a

Section of Document: Your View 

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke

Part of document: Option 23b

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke

Part of document: Option 23c

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke

Part of document: Option 23d

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23e</th>
<th>Option 24a</th>
<th>Option 24a</th>
<th>Option 24b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 24c

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 24d

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 25a

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Dr Clarke</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

### Option 25e

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

### Option 25f

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

### Option 26a

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

### Option 26b

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke
106265

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke
106265

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke
106265

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Clarke
106265

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106265 Dr. Clarke

Part of document: Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

--

Consultee ID: 106265 Dr. Clarke

Part of document: Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

--

Consultee ID: 106265 Dr. Clarke

Part of document: Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

--

Consultee ID: 106265 Dr. Clarke

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Dr Clarke
Agent ID: 106265

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Light
Agent ID: 106266

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Part 10

Section of Document: Details of How to Comment

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Building should not take place on greenfield sites or flood plains, particularly in light of the recent flooding in other areas.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hollis
Agent ID: 106268

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 18j

Section of Document: 

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Berwick should not be considered for such a housing development as it is unsuitable for the following reasons: 1. Berwick will be the gateway to one of the most beautiful areas of the National Park, with wonderful uninterrupted views to the Downs. The additional housing proposed shall cause major disruption and congestion to the local area. This proposal shall dramatically change the rural character of the Sussex countryside of the area. 2. Arlington Reservoir is a peaceful home to wildlife including the locally renown and rare osprey. 3. With the recent events of flooding to areas that have historically not been considered threatened by flooding, we should learn a lesson and should not build any further on or within the vicinity of known flood plains. Maybe we might expect the Planning Authorities to listen to and take on board professional advice made by the Environment Authority this time. Note that flood plains exist to the east & south east of Berwick (refer to http://maps.environment-agency.gov.uk/wiyby/mapController for details of current flood plains in the area) 4. The increase in population will have a major impact on traffic congestion on A27 and surrounding areas. The section of road from Drusilla’s round about to Lewes has recently experienced several serious road accidents. 5. An increase in population of Berwick shall require a major improvement in employment opportunities in the area. Where is it intended that these additional people shall obtain employment? 6. The area is unsuitable for such a large increase in families as there are currently few amenities to serve the proposed additional population. It would be more practical to build on the edge of existing towns where the required infrastructure is already in place.
Consultee ID: Hodgson

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

If it is necessary to build more homes, they should be fitted in to small sites within already built up areas. Although this will be more costly in terms of money, it won't be in terms of protecting our environment. The planning of homes needs to be considered in a more creative way rather than a blanket covering of green field sites simply for the convenience of contractors, and to fill a quota. I understand that homes built in the Sovereign Harbour area of Eastbourne are not selling, which would suggest that the planning of that development was inappropriate to the needs and finances of those we are trying to provide homes for. The answer is not to just build more and more houses, but to build modestly in small vacant areas and then to ensure (through Housing associations or other such organisations) that the homes remain available for key workers and low income families and are not sold off, thereby creating a continuous cycle of need for affordable homes.

Consultee ID: Hodgson

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Polegate - This small town does not have the infrastructure to support such a development, nor does it have the potential to address this deficiency. In this area of great beauty, more roads, schools, health facilities, shops, would do great damage, in addition to which there is clearly insufficient water to cover the needs of a large influx of people - we had a severe shortage last summer for the existing population which the available water resources currently have to cover.

Consultee ID: Schmandt

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Given the importance of reducing our carbon footprint and the fact that fossil fuels are simply running out, 20% is a MINIMUM figure for the amount of renewable energy we should be requiring of new development, and we should be looking to increase that figure yearly. New housing should presently be built to a minimum standard of 3 star as defined by the Code for Sustainable Homes, also with a view to incremental improvement in subsequent years.
Consultee ID: Schmandt 106284

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is already too much traffic in Forest Row and there is a possibility of more still in the wake of developments around East Grinstead. If Forest Row is further extended it will lose the character of a country "village" from which nature is visible at any point, and will become a less appealing place to live.

Consultee ID: Schmandt 106284

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Not everyone who can contribute to the community is wealthy.

Consultee ID: Rev'd Doodes 106285 Hooe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document: Core Strategy - Issues and Options Consultation Paper

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes 106286 Hooe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document ☑ chapter □ section □ option
Part 2
Section of Document: Wealden's Landscape

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td>Hooe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td>Hooe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

**Section of Document:** Option 2a

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td>Hooe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

**Section of Document:** Option 3c

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td>Hooe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

**Section of Document:** Option 2b

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- **option**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- **Agree**
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- **option**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- **Agree**
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- **option**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- **Agree**
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- **option**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- **Agree**
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td>Hooe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td>Hooe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td>Hooe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Revd Doodes  
106286  
Hooe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes  
106286  
Hooe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes  
106286  
Hooe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes  
106286  
Hooe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 6c**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hooe Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Revd Doodes  
106286 Hooe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 7d

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes  
106286 Hooe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 8b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes  
106286 Hooe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 9c

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes  
106286 Hooe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Revd Doodes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106286</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hooe Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- **Option 18d**

#### Section of Document:
- Your View: Agree
- Reason for comment: Your View

### Part of document:
- **Option 18j**

#### Section of Document:
- Your View: Disagree
- Reason for comment: Your View

### Part of document:
- **Option 23d**

#### Section of Document:
- Your View: Disagree
- Reason for comment: Your View

### Part of document:
- **Option 23a**

#### Section of Document:
- Your View: Agree
- Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Revd Doodes
106286 Hooe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes
106286 Hooe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes
106286 Hooe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes
106286 Hooe Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Revd Doodes
Agent ID: 106286
Hooe Parish Council

Option 25a

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes
Agent ID: 106286
Hooe Parish Council

Option 25c

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes
Agent ID: 106286
Hooe Parish Council

Option 25d

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes
Agent ID: 106286
Hooe Parish Council

Option 25e

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Revd Doodes
106286 Hooe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes
106286 Hooe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes
106286 Hooe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes
106286 Hooe Parish Council

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Disagree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Revd Doodes  
106286 Hooe Parish Council  

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes  
106286 Hooe Parish Council  

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes  
106286 Hooe Parish Council  

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Revd Doodes  
106286 Hooe Parish Council  

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** Revd Doodes  
106286 Hooe Parish Council  

**Agent ID:**  

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**  

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** Revd Doodes  
106286 Hooe Parish Council  

**Agent ID:**  

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**  

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** Revd Doodes  
106286 Hooe Parish Council  

**Agent ID:**  

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- ☑ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**  

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>□</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** Revd Doodes  
106286 Hooe Parish Council  

**Agent ID:**  

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- ☑ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**  

---
Consultee ID: 106286  Revd Doodes  Hooe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 106286  Revd Doodes  Hooe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document:  Housing Delivery

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 106286  Revd Doodes  Hooe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 106286  Revd Doodes  Hooe Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Some of the options being proposed by WDC will involve a substantial expansion of several small villages that are set in the most inappropriate and least sustainable locations, in complete contradiction to what is set out in Wealden's own July 2007 document entitled ‘Core Strategy, Issues and Options Background Paper, Draft Settlement Strategy for Villages’. Section 6.77 of this paper, ‘Other Villages and Hamlets’, clearly states that some 51 villages or hamlets, including Lower Dicker, Berwick and Berwick Station, do not satisfy the criteria for identified growth categories and that in these areas (to quote the paper) “growth would be limited to smaller infill schemes (typically 1-5 units depending on the character of the village and how development impacts on the AONB)” Despite this unequivocal statement, WDC has nevertheless put forward options to expand Lower Dicker (four options of anywhere between 600 and 2700 new houses) and Berwick (by up to 7000 new houses). Taking the WDC proposed figures for the maximum development on these two sites alone would create an additional 9700 new homes, compared to an anticipated requirement for an additional 8000 new houses to be built across the whole of Wealden District between 2006 and 2026. This must surely be a mistake. It is unclear how WDC would secure funding to pay for the major infrastructure improvements that would be required to enable new development in these areas to be even remotely viable. The government steadfastly refuses to pay for such things and there are limits on what the private sector can be expected to fund when developers are already required to provide a percentage of affordable homes within their developments.
Reason for comment:
The proposal to increase housing provision by up to 7000 new homes in Berwick runs contrary to the government’s policy of creating sustainable communities whenever new development is planned, and for at least 60% of new developments to be on brownfield sites. There are a number of reasons why this level of development in Berwick would not be sustainable. The A27 is at or above capacity at peak times; there are serious water shortages in the south-east; Berwick station has a limited number of car parking spaces and no space for expansion; bus services are infrequent and those that do exist run to a limited timetable and depend on volunteer drivers; and there are no local secondary schools, apart from the private St Bede’s in Lower Dicker. Because there is little employment opportunity in the immediate area, building a new settlement in Berwick would create a significant increase in commuter traffic and a corresponding increase in carbon dioxide emissions. If each of the proposed 7000 new homes in Berwick were to contain just one employed person travelling to work by car to surrounding towns such as Crowborough, Brighton or Hailsham, this would potentially generate in excess of 20,000 journey-miles each day. School runs and trips to the nearest supermarket in Hailsham would simply generate even more traffic and journey miles. Although not on a flood plain, Berwick and surrounding areas have high existing water tables and recent flood events in the south-west, west Midlands, Yorkshire and Humberside are predicted to become increasingly widespread as a result of global warming. The hardstanding required for an additional 7000 homes, including the building footprint, space for car parking and a share of distributor roads would, according to my calculations, amount to a loss of well over 100 hectares of permeable surface, which could conceivably surcharge the surrounding water table and bring with it an increased risk of flooding.

Reason for comment:
It is unacceptable of WDC to have allowed insufficient time, when many people are on holiday, to allow for a full and frank democratic debate on an issue that will not only affect this generation, but many others for the foreseeable future. While accepting that many parts of the country are under pressure to meet the government targets, that alone does not justify the pace at which the people of Wealden are expected to respond to questions of vital importance to all of us. A major concern about the content of the consultation paper is the future sustainability of many of the options that have been put forward by WDC. The World Commission on Environment & Development defines sustainability as: ‘development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs’. By this measure those options that involve significant and disproportionate expansion of small villages and hamlets would fail on all counts.
Across the board prescriptive density targets should be abandoned and replaced by a flexible system that treats each potential housing site on its own merits, taking into account a range of factors including the immediate context, availability of public transport links and services, infrastructure capacity, other amenities such as schools, surgeries etc and primarily in response to local demand. Many late Victorian towns and villages have housing densities in excess of 60 houses per hectare accommodated in two storey terraces and suffer no loss of amenity because of having a high notional density. They are also highly efficient in terms of land use and high land value is the single most important factor in determining the affordability of house prices. In turn, land prices are indirectly controlled through a planning system that is ultimately dictated by government policy.

It goes without saying that it is essential for infrastructure planning and implementation to be completed before finalising locations and quantity of new housing development. This chicken and egg dilemma is already evident in the Thames Gateway, where some developments have apparently stalled because of the difficulties of agreeing who should pay for infrastructure. The problem is exacerbated by having to coordinate and synchronise delivery by multiple public and no-public bodies (privatised utilities, rail/bus franchisees, the Environment and Highways Agencies, and others). It is unclear how WDC would be in a better position to resolve these issues and secure funding to pay for major infrastructure improvements to enable new housing development to be even remotely viable. The government steadfastly refuses to pay and there are limits on what the private sector can be expected to fund when developers are already required to provide a percentage of affordable homes within their developments.
Consultee ID: Mr Anastasakis
106288
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18j
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Developing this location would be damaging to the character of the village, its cultural heritage, it would be a disaster for the environment and its unique ecosystems, and particularly its rare bird populations. Commercially, such a development would also destroy Berwick's attraction as a destination for sustainable, environmentally friendly and culturally sensitive tourism. Speaking from the position of a person with deep experience in the matter, I assure you that such a development would be taking the area downwards and away from a tourism, economic and ecological balance that other parts of the country and the world are struggling to achieve (with very limited success) for decades. If you do need further assistance and explanations on why I see this as a monumentally bad idea, please feel free to contact me using any of my details.

Consultee ID: Mr Shilston
106291
Part of document: ☑ option
Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:
This agreement is based solely on the need for affordable housing to meet local requirements. Further migration into the area should not be encouraged because the transport links are poor.

Consultee ID: Mr Shilston
106291
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:
It is hard to limit this target without knowing how the consequences might have a negative affect on the area. I would support any initiatives short of wind farms.
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This is largely based on the anecdotal view that there is a shortage of good employers, however, this may because the road links are so poor, rather than lack of space.

Option 4a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I do not dispute the need for better employment opportunities in the area, however, until the problem of the A27 is resolved, it is all academic. The A27 to Lewes is dangerous enough as it is, particularly for those residents in the area who have to access it from small side roads. Simply broadening an access path from Polegate to the existing A27 does not solve the problem.

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
There is much cynical and speculative acquisition of plots of land outside settlement areas with a view to battering away at the planning system by repeated planning applications. This is a monumental waste of time for the planning authorities. Boundaries should be clearly stated and tightly defined.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shilston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 5a**

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

I disagree with this on the basis that there is already too much cynical and speculative purchase of farm land in small plots with a view to battering away at the planning system. Any relaxation of boundaries is only going to make this worse and make it harder for the planning authorities to avoid creating precedents.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shilston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 6a**

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Your View

- ✔ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shilston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 7a**

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Your View

- ✔ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree
Option 7b

Reason for comment:
The idea that affordable housing should be permitted is of course irrefutable. That it should be permitted everywhere, even in AONBs is a charter for the cynical and speculative acquirers of land who will seek to bend the definitions to the limit in order to justify building for their own purposes. This already happens.

Option 8a

Reason for comment:
Any further pressure on the A27 is not sustainable

Question Ten

Reason for comment:

Question 15h

Reason for comment:
Option 16a

Reason for comment:
It is hard to see how this can integrate with Polegate rather than create a new mini town. Unless the housing serves local needs only, it will only increase the chronic congestion on the A27 due to people commuting out of the area.

Option 16b

Reason for comment:
For the same reasons as 16a.

Option 24a

Reason for comment:

Option 24d

Reason for comment:
It is largely a fantasy that living near a train station will cause people to give up their cars in an area with dispersed employment and leisure facilities.
Consultee ID: Mr Shilston  
106291

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Shilston  
106291

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Shilston  
106291

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Shilston  
106291

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

It makes no sense to create isolated affordable housing areas with no support services, they should be integrated into larger towns/villages with amenities. Should they be in rural areas, how will it be possible to prevent second home owners from buying them? It would also create a precedent for the numerous speculators who currently exist to build on farm land plots for their own purposes.

Where is the evidence that in dispersed communities it is remotely possible to have bus services that can cater for the myriad different reasons people leave their homes? Having development around villages/towns should be supported for different reasons.
Consultee ID: Mr Shilston  Agent ID: 106291

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The cost of dualling the A27 would be so astronomical that central Government would require large scale development to justify it. If development in the Polegate area is to meet local needs then dualling is not needed anyway. The A27 is used currently by people commuting out of the area so the housing should be nearer to the destination anyway.

Consultee ID: Mr Shilston  Agent ID: 106291

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Shilston  Agent ID: 106291

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Shilston  Agent ID: 106291

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shilston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shilston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Thirty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Simply making it easier for traffic from the Polegate area (should it be developed further) to filter onto the existing A27 is not acceptable. The road is already overloaded and dangerous all the way to Lewes. The dualling of the road further north of the current route is a possible option to meet the legitimate needs of local job creation whilst avoiding detracting from the AONB, providing it doesn’t then justify larger developments all along it’s length.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shilston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 31a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shilston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 32a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reason for comment:
The area to the south of Sandy Cross Lane is not a suitable area for development since access is through narrow, winding rural lanes. In particular, pedestrian access is currently unsafe. The additional traffic that this development would generate could not be served by Sandy Cross Lane and its junction with the Hailsham Road. A new access road would need to be built, joining up with the Hailsham Road to the south of Sandy Cross Lane. However, any further development whatsoever in Heathfield is unsustainable since residents need to travel long distances for work, leisure, hospitals and serious shopping. The lack of transport infrastructure, both road and rail, means that this will cause further road congestion and CO2 emissions. The idea that significant employment opportunities could be developed locally is, at best, fanciful.

Reason for comment:
Your View

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Foster</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106293</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 4d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Landscape and amenity value of land around Hailsham

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Foster</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106293</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Settlement boundaries have a historic significance for a village, especially a dispersed village like Laughton. They define a community and also define a sense of community

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Foster</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106293</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 6a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Because of the existing infrastructures especially transport

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Foster</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106293</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 7a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

There is a danger of fossilising villages with poor services in which case their services will remain poor
Consultee ID: Dr Foster
106293

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Because of the rail service. The A22 and A27 are ecologically unsound and congested

Consultee ID: Dr Foster
106293

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Existing infrastructure and transport especially rail

Consultee ID: Dr Foster
106293

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View    □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
15+ new houses for villages is too many. I suggest 10

Consultee ID: Dr Foster
106293

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 15g

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The Golden Cross junction of the B2124 and A22, being congested, leads to increased traffic along unsuitable side roads to join the A22 at other sites e.g. along Shortgate Lane and Park Lane in Laughton
Option 18b

Reason for comment:
Would increase traffic along B2192 and adjacent roads. Danger of continuous development with Uckfield with no intervening green space.

Option 18c

Reason for comment:
Golden Cross congested +++ A22 with junction with B2024. Would lose valuable agricultural land to significantly improve this junction. B2024 already has heavy traffic load.

Question 18k

Reason for comment:
Village life is distorted by proximity to A roads. There is a danger that villages degraded by their proximity to A roads or relieving B roads will be further degraded if the amount of traffic and its speed is not controlled.

Option 24d

Reason for comment:
But design is important. Many recent developments are boring and environmentally unfriendly.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Foster</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106293</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:** Option 25a

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Foster</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106293</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:** Option 25c

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Foster</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106293</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:** Option 27a

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Environment and global warming issues here

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Foster</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106293</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:** Option 28a

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 106294  
Agent ID:  
mr edmondson  
newplace partnership  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☒ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option  
Part 10  
Section of Document:  
Details of How to Comment  
Your View:  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
SUITABLE SITE FOR DEVELOPMENT  

Consultee ID: 106295  
Agent ID:  
mr jones  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option  
Option 18b  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
we support this option as it will help improve the village of halland which needs more services and housing. there is plenty of land, particularly to the south of the A22 which could be used for development without going into the countryside. good access could be created. the houses would provide for a wide range of people to enhance the community  

Consultee ID: 106296  
Agent ID:  
Mr Bates  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option  
Option 18j  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
In the proposal it suggests that this "development could in large part be hidden". I should be very interested to learn just how this feat could possibly be achieved, given that we are talking about up to 7000 houses, a town not dissimilar in size to Hailsham! Looking out towards Berwick from the Downs, there is a beautiful, nearly unbroken panorama; I cannot believe that this idyllic view would not be spoiled by these plans.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Barton
Agent ID: 106300

Part of document: ☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Section of Document: Location Plan

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There are enough houses already in this area

-----

Consultee ID: Mr Barton
Agent ID: 106300

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 16d

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Look to history. Hampden Park was a quiet prosperous village until it was "re-developed" in the late 70's. Now house prices are down and anti-social behaviour is up. Is this to be the fate of Polegate? Not a single house should be built until there are no "For Sale" boards in the town.

-----

Consultee ID: shilston
Agent ID: 106303

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Question One

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The current criteria for whether or not these would benefit the area are too vague. For example, it would not be possible to control whether or not these homes would be bought as second/holiday homes. In other parts of the country, this type of occupation has clearly aggravated community problems, not solved them. However, I do think each existing community in an AOSB should have a small number of affordable homes built. Wherever possible these should be distributed throughout the village and not concentrated in one part of it. The way this question is worded makes this response difficult. I disagree to non-affordable, but agree to limited affordable homes.
Consultee ID: shilston
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
These should be either live/work units, or units to facilitate working from home, as in the BedZed development in south London
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: shilston

Part of document: Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

If built here, it will create a new town, because it is divided from Polegate. Traffic will be increased along the A27. 'Dualling' the A27 will have a huge impact on this end of the South Downs. The road runs very close to the Downs here and traffic noise is already an issue.

Consultee ID: shilston

Part of document: Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Again, this will create a new town, with corresponding massive increase in traffic along the A27 and associated noise pollution of the Downs.

Consultee ID: shilston

Part of document: Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

If built here, it will create a new town, because it is divided from Polegate. Traffic will be increased along the A27. 'Dualling' the A27 will have a huge impact on this end of the South Downs. The road runs very close to the Downs here and traffic noise is already an issue.

Consultee ID: shilston

Part of document: Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Again, this will create a new town, with corresponding massive increase in traffic along the A27 and associated noise pollution of the Downs.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>shilston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106303</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 5a

**Section of Document:** Option 5a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
This should only be for affordable housing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>shilston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106303</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 5b

**Section of Document:** Option 5b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>shilston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106303</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 6a

**Section of Document:** Option 6a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>shilston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106303</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 7a

**Section of Document:** Option 7a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Although not within the Wealden area, development around Eastbourne in recent years has been huge when the Stone Cross area and Sovereign Harbour developments are taken into account. There is a danger in any development around Polegate of creating a massive 'sprawl'.
Option 16a

Reason for comment:
This would create a new town/housing estate. It would not be integral to Polegate.

Option 16b

Reason for comment:
This will simply create an isolated housing estate in an AOSB

Option 16b

Reason for comment:
This will create an isolated housing estate in an AOSB

Option 18i

Reason for comment:
This would have a huge impact on the AOSB. How is this consistent with your professed intent to protect the integrity of these areas?
Consultee ID: shilston  
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option  
Section of Document: Option 18j  
Reason for comment:
This has to be a bad joke! Apart from all the traffic/AOSB considerations, where is this new town going to get it's water supply when there is already a significant problem in this area in dry summers?

Consultee ID: shilston  
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option  
Section of Document: Question 18k  
Reason for comment:
How are any of these proposals consistent with Wealden's protestations of protecting the integrity of AOSB's, sustainable housing or 'in response to the needs' of local communities? It is interesting to note that those who 'agree' with a proposal rarely provide any justification of that position.

Consultee ID: shilston  
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option  
Section of Document: Option 23e  
Reason for comment:
I assume that this might provide the type of housing that employees of the school could buy - ie affordable!

Consultee ID: shilston  
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option  
Section of Document: Option 24b  
Reason for comment:
So long as these are design-led, as you phrase it.
### Consultee ID: shilston
106303

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- **[ ]** option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25b**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Please also see comments to 25c

---

### Consultee ID: shilston
106303

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- **[ ]** option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25c**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Whilst I would agree to this in theory, how would you keep these as 'affordable homes', so they are not then sold on at considerable profit? How do you propose to prevent then being bought up by landlords and rented?
Consultee ID: shilston
Part of document: Option 25f
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
But genuine controls should be established.

Consultee ID: shilston
Part of document: Option 25g
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
It's a nice idea, but interpretation of 'key rural services', should be strictly adhered to and does Wealden have the staff for all this?

Consultee ID: shilston
Part of document: Option 26a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: shilston
Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Yes, this sounds wonderful, but I do not see how it is consistent with some of the proposals for 'new settlements' - is this considered to be a less emotional term than 'new town' or 'massive housing estate'? Meanwhile any development of the A27 will blight this end of the Downs, which must surely be the area's greatest asset. As such, why has so little been included about the national park?

Far too much listed in this table to agree to blanket agreement

However and wherever the new homes are built, I would like to see them 'design-led', with architect involvement, as opposed to the common developer practice of using in-house 'technicians'.  

Page 1909 of 6217
Mr Godding

Part of document:  

Section of Document:  What Happens Next?

Reason for comment:
A glance at the Rest of Wealden landscape and an appreciation of the challenges facing the district indicates that Uckfield will be a key location in considering new housing provisions. I have lived there for 29 years. My views are as follows. If we are to maintain the character of the district, as I believe we should, a sustainable rural / urban balance will be essential. Support for local communities is best achieved by a balanced development pattern. It makes no sense to allow villages to become isolated dormitory areas and towns in high scenic locations [Heathfield and Crowborough] to stagnate whilst developing Uckfield to metropolis proportions in a dual culture district. Reasons to develop should be pursued in villages and AONB areas rather than excuses not to. Development in Uckfield, whilst inevitably significant, should employ criteria preserving the town’s best features and limiting urban coalescence. The refused proposal to locate housing on Downlands Farm [Area 14a] has given me cause to appraise the area in some detail. The fragility of extensive ancient woodlands, the severity of the housing footprint already felt on the north of the town, the intolerable pressure placed upon the conservation areas of Church Street and Upper High Street and the near impossibility of meaningful integration all mitigate toward the retention of Snatts Road as a development boundary. Many of the same limiting factors apply to Ringles Cross [Area 14b] especially if necessary growth takes place in Maresfield, Five Ash Down and Buxted, enabling the hamlets to become a resource for Uckfield rather than a burden upon it whilst maintaining a countryside gap between settlements. An imaginative town centre scheme [area 14g] was produced, at a recent ‘Vision for Uckfield Day.’ A new Uck overpass, provision for the sought after Lewes rail link and a people sized central square would open up the Bellbrook area for retail expansion with employment in Area 14h. This scheme or one of its kind would further enhance the already apparent opportunity to locate housing in area 14d and beyond. Here, in a Low Weald Character landscape there is scope for a mixed integrated community with the critical mass necessary to attract essential infrastructure provisions.
Sandy Cross Lane is a very narrow lane in which in places two cars can only pass with care, there are no pavements so people have to walk in the road, which is extremly dangerous, this is a major route for children going to school, we already have extra traffic from people using the lane as a rat run from work and school, and now Wealden propose to put in an extra 120 to 200 houses, this would make the lane into a death trap. This Lane is in a ANOB, area which we have always been assured by the Council is a protected area and would NEVER have development let alone 120 to 200 houses. There is also the flooding point to take into account, as with the heavy rain of the last few weeks, the fields absorb the water where as tarmac and cement do not. There was a problem with water after Green Lane was built, which involved extra cost of new drains to be put in, this could so easily happen again. With globel warming being on everybody's minds, these areas must be protected, from tarmac and cement, what affect would this sort of development have on the wildlife in the area, and I believe some of the fields have SSI's on them. Surely there are brown field sites within the town that could be used, and where are these people coming from for these houses, as there seem to be many houses on the market already, that cannot be sold, surely we do not need extra.

Sandy Cross Lane is a very narrow lane which may not be able to support additional traffic. Southern side has always been considered building limit on AONB.
Sandy Cross Lane is a very narrow lane in which in places two cars can only pass with care, there are no pavements so people have to walk in the road, which is extremely dangerous, this is a major route for children going to school, we already have extra traffic from people using the lane as a rat run, from work and school, and now Wealden propose to put in an extra 120 to 200 houses, this would make the lane into a death trap. This lane is in a AONB area, which we have always been assured by the Council, is a protected area, and would NEVER have development let alone 120-200 houses. There is also the flooding point to take into account, as with the heavy rain of the last few weeks, the fields absorb the water where as tarmac and cement do not. There was a problem with water in the lane after Green Lane was built which involved extra cost for new drains to be out in, this could easily happen again. With global warming being on everybody's minds, these area must be protected, from tarmac and cement. What affect would this sort of development have on the wildlife in the area? and I beleive some of the fields have SSI's on them. Surely there are brown field sites with in the town that could be used, and where are these people coming from for these houses, as there seem to be many houses on the market already that cannot be sold, do we really need extra.

Development would cause irrepaparable damage to a landscape acknowledged to be of very great ecologial importance and environmental sensitivity. It will result in the loss of ancient woodland, wetland habitats and the unacceptable disturbance of natural habitats of acknowledged nature conservation importance. The still existing strategic countryside gap between Uckfield and Maresfield would largely disappear and will threaten the same for both from Five Ash Down.
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Consultee ID: Mr Harding
Agent ID:
106307

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option
Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:
One has heard, that this is the site of the last profitable dairy farm in the area. It seems regrettable and nonsensical to build over such a place.

Consultee ID: Mr Welch
Agent ID:
106308

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View [✓] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
But on a very limited scale and definitely in keeping with the local style of housing, preferably using similar building materials. Local conservation needs must be the foremost consideration here.

Consultee ID: Mr Welch
Agent ID:
106308

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:
I think this target is too low and should take account of all sources of renewable energy.

Consultee ID: Mr Welch
Agent ID:
106308

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View [✓] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Especially when there are real employment possibilities for local people and youngsters to learn a trade.
Consultee ID: Mr Welch  
106308  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 2b  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
A higher target is desirable but only if this can be realistically achieved  

Consultee ID: Mr Welch  
106308  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 3a  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
I would prefer to see 'brownfield' sites used first, along with converted farmbuildings which often appear derelict and under-used. Consideration and preference should be given to 'clean' businesses that cause no pollution or heavy traffic; we have too many heavy lorries pounding our lovely countryside as it is!  

Consultee ID: Mr Welch  
106308  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 3b  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Agree, but grants and subsidies should be available to small businesses and entrepreneurs to encourage new 'start-ups' and to utilise existing premises.  

Consultee ID: Mr Welch  
106308  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 3d  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Agree with earlier comment: "We should tempt those who commute outside the area back into the fold hence reducing the traffic on our already congested roads."
Consultee ID: Mr Welch
106308

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I agree with the earlier comment, adding that local parish councils should have the final say on the appropriateness of any extension - this is NOT carte blanche approval to expand! “We have to create housing somewhere and therefore existing boundaries must be appropriately reviewed, taking into consideration AONB’s, housing needs, provision of core services and transport needs. It would therefore be wrong to say that they can not be reviewed.”

Consultee ID: Mr Welch
106308

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Criteria should include changes be modest, in keeping with the conservation of the area, provide low cost housing for local people, employment where possible, and not substantially increase traffic/road widening schemes.

Consultee ID: Mr Welch
106308

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Agree in principle, but feel the percentage should be viewed on a case-by-case basis. One risk is that, by developing an existing infrastructure, we create an 'urban sprawl' that gradually envelopes nearby villages anyway - Crowborough might be a potential example. Look at the growth of south London as a guide.
This document follows the ideas of Mr Prescott, and just as he has been abandoned by the Labour Government you should do the same with this plan. It is plain to see that the only people in joyous agreement are the developers, and landowners.

I do not consider it necessary to encroach into the ANOB’s around Heathfield or Crowborough. Once you start you will just keep eroding them away.

Your View

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BLAKE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106309</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 12c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
This area and 12d would increase the traffic chaos which already exists at rush hours when staff and pupils are trying to get to and from the Community College and the two Primary Schools. It should be remembered, I estimate, that upwards of 2000 pupils, plus staff, are trying to access these schools. The Community College is after all the largest employer in Heathfield. The roads, some no more than lanes are inadequate now without further development. The plan gives the impression of being all about houses! houses!, without telling where all the other extra buildings, such as schools, bus stations etc are going.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BLAKE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106309</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 12c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR BLAKE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106309</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 12c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MR BLAKE
Consultee ID: 106309

Part of document: Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
These houses plus the ones at 12c would add considerably to the chaos which already exists at rush hours with staff and pupils trying to get to the Community College and the two Primary schools. Taking all three schools there are about 2000 pupils and staff. The college itself being the biggest employer in Heathfield. The houses would be built in an AONB at the source of the river Dudwell which a few miles on flows past Bateman's the home of Rudyard Kipling. It seems that AONB's are only for planners to stop 'Joe Bloggs' building a house, but when the Council want the land it suddenly becomes OK.

Consultee ID: MR BLAKE
Consultee ID: 106309

Part of document: Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If you have ever walked this area with its beautiful views towards Old Heathfield and the church you wouldnt even suggest building on it. Its AONB status speaks for itself, and I do not live in this part of Heathfield

Consultee ID: MR BLAKE
Consultee ID: 106309

Part of document: Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
AONB
Consultee ID: MR BLAKE
Consultee ID: 106309
Agent ID:

Part of document: 
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  □  Agree  □  No opinion  ✔  Disagree

Reason for comment:
AONB. You would have to destroy the narrow lanes and beautiful views and walks in this area.

Consultee ID: MR BLAKE
Consultee ID: 106309
Agent ID:

Part of document: 
Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View  □  Agree  □  No opinion  ✔  Disagree

Reason for comment:
I believe it is wrong to upset so many people with sites for housing which are obviously not going to be used but will blight the value of their properties, and by nibbling away at the AONB's will set precedents for further erosions of the beautiful countryside around Heathfield.

Consultee ID: Mr McCloskey
Consultee ID: 106311
Agent ID:

Part of document: 
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  □  Agree  □  No opinion  ✔  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Poor Road Infrastructure, to cope with a large population, with limited local employment opportunities, would result in high cost commuting and associated environmental impact. Greater "Carbon Footprint" than devloping brownfield sites. Farming decline will reverse with long term food inflation, due to increasing global wealth reallocating resources. We will need our farmland!
 Reason for comment:

I am totally AGAINST the prospect of receiving up to 2800 new homes in Halland which incidentally makes up 80% of the total 'Rest of Wealden'requirement of 3400 homes 2006-2026. I am not oposed to a modest sustainable growth year on year with a view to Low price housing for our younger people but this too must be ecologically balanced. There are other areas MUCH better for potential growth, Polegate ,,Buxted,Uckfield,Crowborough which have Stations,schools and infrastructure in place and BERWICK, there is huge potential here. My reasons AGAINST HALLAND proposals are :

ECOLOGICAL - Loss of small community. Destruction of fields and lanes, effect on wildlife including rare species ans our Right to Roam. The impact on out footprint to fuel these houses, the new roads needed to site them on and the huge amount of materials to build these houses and all the lorries bringing the stuff here via the overstretched A22. The prospect of 2800+ extra cars(remember Halland is a couple of miles from East Hoathly,over three miles to station,with virtually nil bus service (one every two hours).No sustainable employment in the vicinity(cars needed to get anywhere).

UTILITIES - HALLAND has....
1. No Mains Gas Supply. 2. Fragile Electricity supply and overhead cabling, powercuts are higher that average. 3. There are no near supplies of water or reservoirs and water is the most valuable commodity, 2800 extra bathrooms(+ the ensuites which are commonplace now in new housing) and gardens, washing machines etc. 4. Drainage and waste water system is antique and over capacity already. SERVICES- HALLAND has virtually none (and keeping mindful that East Hoathly is a couple of miles away along the busy A22.) We are not the same place. 1. No primary or secondary schools. 2. No Doctors or Dentists. 3. No Major Hospital within 15 miles (try getting to Eastbourne or Brighton Hospital quickly, it ain’t going to happen) 4. No shop or post office (other than a small garden centre selling vegetables and limited other produce and with very limited opening hours, but not before 8.30,not after 5.30 and not Sunday at all and also unlicensed) Today people need access to provisions outside these hours. 5. No Chemist 6. No bank or Building society. 7. No park,pavilion or proper recreation area 8. No public convenience. 9. No Library 10. No Rail Station-nearest over 3 miles and not going to Eastbourne/Brighton /Lewes or anywhere I wan to go to. 11. Narrow footpaths and in many places none at all.(no footpath/cycle paths to East Hoathly). 12. No streetlighting in most places. 13. No Waste Tip facility. 14. No recycling area. 15. In Halland I have never seen the Police patrol on foot or patrolling in cars (I do hear their sirens regularly rushing along the A22 and THROUGH the village ) 16. No centre, it is a hAMLET dissected by a busy A22. Why would you want to live here.....I will tell you ! because it has lovely country side and it has not lost it's rural feel, it is not a sprawling mass.THAT IS WHY IT IS SO IMPORTANT TO TRY AND KEEP IT THAT WAY. Finally, and possibly very importantly where are all these people going to work, there are few jobs round here , to work MOST will have to get in cars .THINK OF THE IMPACT ON THE GLOBAL FOOTPRINT........ I do appologise if there are any wrong facts, but this is as I believe.
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Consultee ID: Mr Dixon
Agent ID: 106313

Part of document: question one
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dixon
Agent ID: 106313

Part of document: option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View: disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dixon
Agent ID: 106313

Part of document: option 2b
Section of Document:
Your View: agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dixon
Agent ID: 106313

Part of document: option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View: agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106313  Mr Dixon

Part of document: Option 3b

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106313  Mr Dixon

Part of document: Option 3c

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106313  Mr Dixon

Part of document: Option 3d

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106313  Mr Dixon

Part of document: Option 5a

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Dixon
106313

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dixon
106313

Part of document: Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Q5A is totally ambiguous

Consultee ID: Mr Dixon
106313

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dixon
106313

Part of document: Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dixon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔️ option

**Option 6c**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dixon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔️ option

**Option 19a**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dixon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔️ option

**Question 32b**

**Reason for comment:**

Wealden DC obviously do not want the public to respond as it is virtually impossible to find the relevant parts online even for IT literate people which I am not.
Question 15g

Reason for comment:
The Hailsham, horsebridge and Hellingly area has a good domestic, commercial and Industry balance centred on Hailsham town and is an area, which has successfully absorbed a considerable degree of development in recent times whilst still keeping its country town environment. There is still considerable development to come in the area with the arrival of another supermarket chain etc. and the stage has been reached where the area needs to rest and take stock, before moving on, and I therefore consider that development in the area between Hailsham and Polegate, i feel this should be rejected for it is without doubt the beginning of a process, which will result in a cintiguous sprawl of development always stretching northwards from the cost with the associated detrimental effect on the environment and identity of the area in general and its residents.

Option 18c

Reason for comment:
Proposals for a minimum of 11,700 and a maximum of 19,200 new houses to be built in the Berwick, Wilmington, Arlington, Lower Dicker, Summer Hill and Hellingly areas are wholly inappropriate. -There is no local need -massive incursion of new inhabitants to a rural area will entirely destroy the existing fabric of the local community -Existing infrastructure is totally inadequate. Few local shops - new residents will be forced to use the roads to Lewes, Eastbourne or Hailsham -Existing inadequate road network (particularly the A27) -Water supplies are currently dangerously overstreched -New housing should take place on brownfield sites -New development on the massive scale proposed can only be absorbed in metropolitan areas Full details of comments can be found within the submitted letter.
Proposals for a minimum of 11,700 and a maximum of 19,200 new houses to be built in the Berwick, Wilmington, Arlington, Lower Dicker, Summer Hill and Hellingly areas are wholly inappropriate. -There is no local need -massive incursion of new inhabitants to a rural area will entirely destroy the existing fabric of the local community -Existing infrastructure is totally inadequate. Few local shops - new residents will be forced to use the roads to Lewes, Eastbourne or Hailsham -Existing inadequate road network (particularly the A27) -Water supplies are currently dangerously overstretched -New housing should take place on brownfield sites -New development on the massive scale proposed can only be absorbed in metropolitan areas Full details of comments can be found within the submitted letter.

Proposals for a minimum of 11,700 and a maximum of 19,200 new houses to be built in the Berwick, Wilmington, Arlington, Lower Dicker, Summer Hill and Hellingly areas are wholly inappropriate. -There is no local need -massive incursion of new inhabitants to a rural area will entirely destroy the existing fabric of the local community -Existing infrastructure is totally inadequate. Few local shops - new residents will be forced to use the roads to Lewes, Eastbourne or Hailsham -Existing inadequate road network (particularly the A27) -Water supplies are currently dangerously overstretched -New housing should take place on brownfield sites -New development on the massive scale proposed can only be absorbed in metropolitan areas Full details of comments can be found within the submitted letter.

Detrimental to character of local rural area and village; overburdening of local resources and infrastructure (particularly A27); too close to proposed National Park; any building on greenfield sites should be avoided.
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: 106318  
Mr Little  
Part of document: ☑ option

Reason for comment:

Proposals for a minimum of 11,700 and a maximum of 19,200 new houses to be built in the Berwick, Wilmington, Arlington, Lower Dicker, Summer Hill and Hellingly areas are wholly inappropriate. -There is no local need -massive incursion of new inhabitants to a rural area will entirely destroy the existing fabric of the local community -Existing infrastructure is totally inadequate. Few local shops - new residents will be forced to use the roads to Lewes, Eastbourne or Hailsham -Existing inadequate road network (particularly the A27) -Water supplies are currently dangerously overstretched -New housing should take place on brownfield sites -New development on the massive scale proposed can only be absorbed in metropolitan areas Full details of comments can be found within the submitted letter.

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: 106319  
Miss Hutchinson  
Part of document: ☑ option

Reason for comment:

Proposals for a minimum of 11,700 and a maximum of 19,200 new houses to be built in the Berwick, Wilmington, Arlington, Lower Dicker, Summer Hill and Hellingly areas are wholly inappropriate. -There is no local need -massive incursion of new inhabitants to a rural area will entirely destroy the existing fabric of the local community -Existing infrastructure is totally inadequate. Few local shops - new residents will be forced to use the roads to Lewes, Eastbourne or Hailsham -Existing inadequate road network (particularly the A27) -Water supplies are currently dangerously overstretched -New housing should take place on brownfield sites -New development on the massive scale proposed can only be absorbed in metropolitan areas Full details of comments can be found within the submitted letter.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106319</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106319</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106319</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106319</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson

Part of document: Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson

Part of document: Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson

Part of document: Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Question 5c

Reason for comment:
I feel strongly that development boundaries should be maintained

Option 6a

Reason for comment:

Option 6b

Reason for comment:

Option 6c

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson  
Agent ID: 106319

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option  

Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson  
Agent ID: 106319

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option  

Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson  
Agent ID: 106319

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option  

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- ✓ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson  
Agent ID: 106319

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option  

Question Ten

Section of Document:  
- Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  
- ✓ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 24a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 24b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Hutchinson
106319

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 32b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I have found it very difficult to respond to this strategy. Hard to locate and use on website (and I am very IT literate). It makes me feel that you will not have a good response rate as you have made it too hard to find and use.

Consultee ID: Mrs Roach
106320

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Part 10

Section of Document: Details of How to Comment
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I am in possession of your documents concerning housing development in Heathfield and surrounding villages. As a resident in Sandy Cross Lane, Heathfield, I am writing to say that the choice of this Lane on the south side for building 200 houses is totally unsuitable. The lane is narrow with no pavements or footpaths and it already dangerous for children walking to school and dog walkers. In places there is barely enough room for two cars to pass. If the traffic were to increase lives would be put at risk. Already, cars have been written off through people driving too fast in the Lane. Further more the access to Hailsham Road both from and into the lane is also dangerous. The land to the South is part of the area of Outstanding Natural Beauty which as I understand it, can not be made available for building. Hopefully, people at Wealden will see sense and realise that building under these circumstances is detrimental to the environment, residents and visitors to the area. Mrs S J Roach

Consultee ID: Healy
106322

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Traffic problems - traffic has increased. Most of the village does not have pavements - too dangerous to walk through. Limited facilities. Full comments can be viewed within the sent letter.
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The reinstatement of a Lewes/Uckfield/Tunbridge Wells train service would bring considerable benefits to residents in Tandridge District with the prospect of enhanced services on the Uckfield Line and would provide an alternative mode of travel to car journeys using the A22, a route that passes through Tandridge District, and the A26. In view of the expansion of Uckfield over a number of years, the reinstatement of this train service is worthy of serious consideration.

Option 12e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This is a very narrow lane which will not be able to cope with any significant increase in traffic.

Question One

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
AONB should be protected from development otherwise they serve no purpose and gradually all our countryside will be ruined. New developments should be confined to areas that have already been urbanised.
Option 2a

Reason for comment:
Sources of renewable energy should only be used if appropriate. For instance, is siting a gigantic wind turbine in an AONB really worth the supposed benefits? There's too much knee-jerk reaction and political hype around this subject and not enough hard evidence.

Option 2b

Reason for comment:
Protecting Wealden's countryside from overdevelopment is a far more important consideration than setting targets for renewable energy.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
Incentives should be offered to encourage businesses to utilise areas such as unused agricultural buildings. It brings employment to rural areas and preserves the look and feel of the countryside. This may take time but ultimately it will benefit the region. This land should not be used for housing developments - it is too remote with no suitable infrastructure.
Consultee ID: 106325  

Option 3d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Any new housing development should be matched by opportunities for employment, otherwise it puts further peak time pressure on overcrowded roads and public transport.

Consultee ID: 106325

Option 5a

Reason for comment:

A settlement boundary for a village was put in place for a good reason. If the boundary can be moved at any time then it renders it meaningless.

Consultee ID: 106325

Option 5b

Reason for comment:

A settlement boundary is there to protect the village from overdevelopment. If it can be ignored then it is meaningless, however strict the criteria. Once it is breached it is open to subjective opinion on what is supposedly strictly necessary development.
Village boundaries must be maintained. The need for affordable housing is being used too often to destroy beautiful countryside. In reality, often only a tiny fraction of the development is affordable, the rest equals yet more big profits for developers and investors.

Additional housing will have less of an impact on towns than on smaller villages, so a higher proportion of development should be focused on towns. Money should then be invested on improving facilities/transport etc in the towns to cope with additional demand for services.

This seems a very arbitrary classification.
Consulttee ID: Stelling
106325

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 7c
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consulttee ID: Stelling
106325

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Too many villages have lost all their shops and amenities are now purely housing settlements. The vast profits to be made from changing shops and pubs into houses has been absolutely to the detriment of villages. For many it is already too late but those that remain should be protected.

Consulttee ID: Stelling
106325

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 8c
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
This approach would seem to give both towns potential opportunities for economic growth and manage the potential upheaval of focusing all development on just one town. It is also far preferable to too much development in villages and rural areas, where there are far fewer positives to additional development.

Consulttee ID: Stelling
106325

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Smaller pockets of development may be far more suitable for villages and have far less of an impact. It it wrong to prioritise additional administration ahead of the impact on villages and their residents.
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Consultee ID: Stelling
106325

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Polegate town centre and station has a huge amount of potential for improvement and could offer far more than it does currently. The station is in urgent need of a car park. If there were better shops and a smarter centre it would attract shoppers from surrounding villages and bring in new businesses.

Consultee ID: Stelling
106325

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This seems a better option than others on the list with far less of a detrimental impact on the area.

Consultee ID: Stelling
106325

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is a sensible option for housing development without the negatives that other options on this list have. Sites like this should be used to increase housing stock rather than destroying greenfield sites and AONB.

Consultee ID: Stelling
106325

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Stelling
106325

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This proposed development is too close to the South Downs and important landmarks such as the Long Man. It would have far too much of a detrimental impact on the surrounding landscape and add to the traffic problems on the A27.

Consultee ID: Stelling
106325

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This proposed development is far too large for such a tiny settlement in a rural area on the edge of the South Downs. It would have an enormous detrimental impact on the surrounding area – which includes some of the gems of the South Downs, such as the Long Man and Alfriston that are enjoyed by local people and tourists alike. It would destroy a lovely stretch of countryside including Arlington Reservoir and be clearly visible for miles around. This area is on the edge of the proposed South Downs National Park – it is absolutely unsuitable for such large scale development. Income from tourism is important to this area and it cannot fail to detract from the area’s natural beauty. From a logistical perspective, 7,000 new houses could amount to 10,000 + additional cars on narrow country lanes and an already congested A27. The station is tiny with an infrequent service and extremely limited parking. Given these restrictions it is impossible to see how sufficient improvements to infrastructure could cope with the increased demand and volume. Historically, promises to improve transport and infrastructure rarely materialise. The reality is more likely to be a vast, sprawling housing estate. Berwick is totally unsuitable for development of this size and should be removed from consideration. Creating new towns has rarely been successful throughout the country and is not suitable for a rural area. Numerous smaller scale developments throughout the region would have far less of a detrimental impact.
Reason for comment:
I do not believe that creating a new town is the right solution for a rural area, much of which is designated an area of outstanding natural beauty. Whilst I strongly disagree that either Berwick or Wilmington Green are suitable sites, due to their proximity to the South Downs, it is hard to see how any of the proposed sites can be seen as any more suitable. New towns do not work - they end up as unpopular soul-less settlements with poor infrastructures - this has been seen many times before across the country. Developers build houses to make a profit and promises regarding transport, infrastructures, shops, businesses etc are often delayed or ignored. What is left is a vast housing estate where families have to rely solely on car use to get to work, schools, go shopping etc. This is totally unsuitable for an area that already has poor roads and public transport. The concern over objections bogging down numerous smaller development proposals does not warrant the building of a new town and smacks of laziness on Wealden's part. This is not a good enough reason to destroy a chunk of countryside and exacerbate existing traffic and transport problems.

Reason for comment:
Public transport is quite poor in this region and at peak hours is stretched to capacity. Just because it exists it doesn't mean it can cope with increased demand.

Reason for comment:
More family homes should be built for families on low incomes. Smaller units are often designed for developer's profits rather than the population's needs.
The percentage of affordable housing should be far higher than 40% If this is the greatest need, and it is constantly sited as such, then more affordable units should be built in areas that can absorb the increased population.

This doesn't make sense. Larger developments of affordable housing should be sited within easy access to shops and other facilities therefore reducing the need for families on low incomes to have to run one or more cars.

If villages in rural areas have a development boundary in place, there should be no exceptions made or it is rendered pointless and open to overdevelopment.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Stelling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106325</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Section 25g</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>No exceptions to development boundaries should be allowed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Chapter 27b</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Any improvements to train services should be encouraged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Chapter 27c</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>An additional parkway station is only viable if trains stop there in addition to small, rural stations such as Berwick and Glynde. The A27 is too congested to force train users to converge on a new station further away. More train services, not less, should stop at the smaller, rural stations to avoid congestion being made worse at the larger stations and additional commuter traffic using the A27.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Stelling</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106325</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Dualling the A27 would have a terrible impact on the South Downs and spoil the rural feel of the area. It would also encourage faster driving speeds and rather than reduce accidents is quite likely to increase them. As has been shown in other areas, adding lanes to busy roads does not reduce congestion - the new lane soon fills up with new cars and it's back to square one.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stelling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106325</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 28a**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Good quality parks will transform the feel of these towns and provide green spaces and play areas for new residents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stelling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106325</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 28b**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Developers should be required to contribute far more to local facilities and needs, such as transport and recreation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stelling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106325</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 28c**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
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Consultee ID: Stelling  Agent ID:
106325

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There are many areas of this document that are well reasoned and positive, in particular plans to regenerate the towns of Polegate and Hailsham. However, I fundamentally disagree with proposals to create a new town in a rural area, in particular Berwick and Wilmington Green. This proposal is ill-conceived and would have disastrous consequences on the area. Developments in rural areas should be of a small-scale, whatever the impact on administrative procedures.

Consultee ID: Stelling  Agent ID:
106325

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I fundamentally disagree with all proposals to create a new town in a rural area such as Berwick or Wilmington Green. Any proposals that damage the beauty of the South Downs should not be considered - their negative impact far outweighs any benefits and dressing up such proposals by calling them ecotowns is rather misleading. There is very little ecological value in concreting over beautiful countryside bordering a potential national park.
I do not agree with the creation of a new town in Berwick. Although I understand that additional affordable housing is required in this area, it makes far more sense to develop existing towns such as Polegate or Hailsham. This would provide an opportunity to improve the facilities and infrastructure, such as schools, transport and shopping, of these towns, something that would benefit existing and new residents and provide a welcome boost to the local economy. New towns throughout the country have rarely been successful and are more often that not soulless, undesirable places to live. Residents invariably have to rely on cars to get anywhere as it can be years before public transport gears up to meet the needs of the new town, indeed, if ever. Surely it would be better to improve existing public transport in existing towns rather than starting from scratch? In the case of Berwick, narrow country lanes would be inundated with excess traffic, the train station is tiny with an infrequent services and extremely limited parking and the A27 is already overloaded. If this truly is affordable housing, surely it would be better to build somewhere where families do not need the additional expense of running one, even two, cars? Indeed, building a new town in the countryside seems to be entirely at odds with environmental goals. 7,000 houses in Berwick could equate to 10,000 + more cars on the road. Then there’s the permanent loss of a beautiful swathe of countryside bordering an AONB and proposed national park. Losing yet more open countryside is a loss for everyone, not just the people lucky enough to live there. A development the size of a new town will have an extremely large impact on the surrounding area. It seems ill-judged and unnecessary for this to be the case when an equal number of new homes could be spread between existing towns, and even some suitable villages. It smacks of laziness on the part of Weaden council to consider building a new town in Berwick. There’s no doubt it would be an effective way to tick off a big chunk of their new housing obligations in one swoop, but I do not agree it is the right way.

A small amount of development could take place, as long as it is in keeping with the environment and area.
Consultee ID: MR KAZIMIERCZYK  Agent ID: 106331

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR KAZIMIERCZYK  Agent ID: 106331

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR KAZIMIERCZYK  Agent ID: 106331

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR KAZIMIERCZYK  Agent ID: 106331

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR KAZIMIERCZYK</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106331</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR KAZIMIERCZYK</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106331</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 8b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Hailsham, would be a more suitable area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR KAZIMIERCZYK</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106331</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 8b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Hailsham, would be a more suitable area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR KAZIMIERCZYK</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106331</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: MR KAZIMIERCZYK
Consultee ID: 106331

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The level of development proposed for the Lower Willingdon/Polegate border is ridiculous. This would ruin the green space between Eastbourne and Polegate, add further congestion to Eastbourne road. How they would actually fit 2650 homes on the available land is beyond me.

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
Consultee ID: 106332

Part of document: ☐ document ☑ chapter ☐ section ☐ option
Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I too agree that it is alarming that Central Government is pushing for ever higher new build numbers in the South East and hope that SEERA will be able to hold its own consulted upon and proposed overall target numbers rather than these being pushed every higher by central government 'review'.

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
Consultee ID: 106332

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The statement 'some development' is too loose to be sensible. AONB must be protected and if it is a valuable resource should be protected as such. There may however be justification for development of existing buildings and in 'brownfield' within AONB areas where settlements already occur, overall however the footprint of such development should not be extended further into the AONB.
Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
Agent ID:
106332 individual

Part of document: Option 2a
Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ❑ No opinion  ❑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
New development should generate at least 10% of its energy needs - as this is obtainable in places such as the London Borough of Merton and is now in national planning policy documents I am surprised that 10% is even a question for debate. It can be fairly easily achieved through simple measures like solar water heating which are significantly cheaper to install at build time than as retrofit.

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
Agent ID:
106332 individual

Part of document: Option 3c
Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ❑ No opinion  ❑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
Agent ID:
106332 individual

Part of document: Option 2b
Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ❑ No opinion  ❑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
With domestic oil reserves pretty much exhausted, gas not far behind and the prospect of increasing fossil fuel prices we should seek to free ourselves from the mercy of international fossil fuel producers and be generating more in the UK and particularly in an area such as Wealden. This is particularly true as Wealden and the South East in general tend to be further away from even the traditional sources of power generation and therefore significant generation losses are incurred in bringing the power to us. Much better to have some form of local generation - both as part of new housing development and also within its own right. I personally think a wind turbine less intrusive than a mobile mast and potentially considerably more useful in the long run.
specifically re 3.5 - how can rural landscape support itself. I think rural power generation may be one way whether by biomass growth - particularly sustainable wood based industries, or by siting of alternative power sources. Maybe Wealden farmers could generate income by generating power if done in a sensitive way with good community consultation. I also think that equestrian development though providing income in the shorter term, does degrade the landscape and land over time and I would be interested in any guidance that the core strategy can give in this area?

Option 3b

absolutely, otherwise you create more traffic congestion and less sustainable areas overall
Consultee ID: Mrs Moore 106332 individual

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
But it seems somewhat arbitrary whether it is 70 or 80%? In some villages some growth may be necessary to keep a critical mass of local services such as school and shops so it seems hard to apply a rule in every case though I'm sure across the district as a whole it can be made to work appropriately.

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore 106332 individual

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Changing the boundaries with consultation and support of local community is necessary if more affordable housing is to be built in our villages. I think we should go for sympathetic high density though as much as possible to ensure that as much true countryside as possible can be maintained. For instance bigger houses built subdivided into flats rather than something that instantly 'looks' like flats and thus not in keeping with village character.

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore 106332 individual

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I would prefer to see extension of the settlement boundaries, even if these were just 'small pockets' around existing hamlets, then it becomes clear what the rules of the game are. Otherwise I see no point in development boundaries as the whole thing becomes totally subjective in any case.
Reason for comment:
It is sensible to develop some classification however I'm not sure how much it will help as so much
must be site specific according to any new proposals. The link to how this feeds through to housing
allocations is not clear to me.

Reason for comment:
Forest Row has been classified as a village with 'growth potential' which is reasonable according to its
accessibility and services but not according to its geography and current traffic load particularly in
view of likely new houses in neighbouring East Grinstead. Again this seems too crude unless
considering specific proposals that a community can then debate - there is a huge difference between
4, 40 and 400 houses.

Reason for comment:
Agree with comment 525 from High Weald AONB representative
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Consultee ID: 106332  
Mrs Moore individual

Part of document: Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree in principle but is it workable? what if no one wants to take it on? How long would such a restriction remain and would empty premises cause more of a negative impact? It would certainly be good to seek to maintain and to publicise this as then community groups may come forward to undertake the service as I understand has happened in a number of rural communities when people saw services under threat.

Consultee ID: 106332  
Mrs Moore individual

Part of document: Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Overall this seems quite crude and I'm not sure that what it means for a large village such as Forest Row is sufficiently clear.

Consultee ID: 106332  
Mrs Moore individual

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The question is imprecise and does not make any consideration of whether successive applications of say 14 houses on the edge of villages would trip the same considerations and criteria. If you must put any number onto villages it should be 5 or 6 and there should be some statement as to the interrelation between cumulative new proposals overall house numbers in proportion to existing village housing.
Option 11c

Reason for comment:
This area seems to have good access

Option 19a

Reason for comment:
Forest Row is a tricky one as there is an acute need for affordable small home units in the village, it has the services to support them and is a highly desirable area. However as a village there appears to be little space where the current development boundary can be extended, with flood plain, Ashdown Forest and AONB surrounding the village, traffic is already very problematic and under further threat from significant expansion of housing in East Grinstead. So what to do? The overall housing numbers feel too high unless someone can be very creative with the kind of development that they propose. I think Forest Row would be a good place for the kind of development more often seen in cities with high densities obtained and more communally managed land/absense of lots of drives and individual garages as it is a village that is very liveable without a car. Visionary new developments that managed to architecturally fit in a number of dwellings into one unit in keeping with village character (there are many examples of subdivided older houses which could be mimiked), with creative use of space perhaps including car clubs on site rather than facilities for individual car ownership should be sought rather than the typical host of separate dwellings along 'traditional' lines.

Question 19f

Reason for comment:
As with the comment from the High Weald AONB it is not clear why these proposed numbers are made and how they may benefit the area itself rather than helping overall meeting of targets across the district of centrally forced housing numbers.
Option 25b

Reason for comment:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore 106332 individual

Section of Document:
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore 106332 individual

Part of document: Option 24a

Reason for comment:
If the South East has to build more houses in an already overcrowded area it must increase its densities otherwise there will soon only be houses with tiny gardens (or possibly just large expanses of decking) and no countryside to speak of. Higher density figures will challenge developers to be more creative and sympathetic rather than rolling out the same old things that they typically propose.

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore 106332 individual

Part of document: Option 24c

Reason for comment:
Agree with comments 4391 and 4449. Higher densities can be achieved if developers seek to make them attractive and we have surely learned lessons from bad, cheap blocks of flats in the '60s. Repeat my previous comment under 24a - if the South East has to accomodate increasing new housing in an already overcrowded area we are better to seek properly designed 'dense' areas with communal space, rather than lots of small house units crammed together with tiny gardens.
Consultee ID: Mrs Moore  
106332 individual  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Section of Document:  
Option 24d

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Eminently sensible but again, it comes back to design, site specifications and local need/style.

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore  
106332 individual  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Section of Document:  
Option 25a

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore  
106332 individual  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Section of Document:  
Option 25c

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
I think this is a good target. Social housing should no longer be seen as some lesser, disadvantaged cousin and there must be a real campaign around this in the Wealden area. Getting on the housing ladder appears to be practically impossible for anyone who doesn't work in the city or have someone to help them out. Properly thought out social housing merely helps to redress the balance of who has access to capital and who hasn't be they teachers, nurses or local shop workers.

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore  
106332 individual  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Section of Document:  
Option 25c

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Further to my previous comment, I think that the concept and implications of 'affordable' housing, as opposed to Social housing or other kinds of housing is not well understood and the local plan should try to advance local debate and understanding in this area.
Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
106332 individual

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
106332 individual

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

It is the rural areas where the problem of affordability is most acute.

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
106332 individual

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Agree. My family have personally benefitted from such an arrangement and I strongly support arrangements that enable families to live more closely together. In many ways it is like encouraging higher densities in existing built areas. I do also agree with another comment as to what happens once the 'original' need disappears. Should families then have to rent out the new annex/ building or is this taking it too far?

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
106332 individual

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
Part of document: Option 26a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Challenge is to have acceptance in local community and proper consultation.

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
Part of document: Option 27a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Though this seems desirable the development should only be there if there are other more compelling reasons to put it there. Also, if this is really to be an aim then the type of development permitted should be that which will tend to use buses..... With changing work/leisure/shopping patterns I think it is trite to have development follow bus routes.

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Have put agree though think issue is very complex. Environmentally I believe good bus routes are a much better, less capital intensive option but we appear to be much more reluctant to use them than trains. 'Frequent rider' routes such as the Oxford - London 'tube' show that when a good enough service is offered (and the economics works out with ticket prices and parking considerations) then people do switch modes to buses. Whether this can be created in Wealden - I don't know!
Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
Agent ID: 106332
individual

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
My best wishes to you in making sense of all this ;-) 

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
Agent ID: 106332
individual

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Probably requires building on AONB and will also encourage people to shop in TW and Eastbourne instead of in smaller Wealden towns and villages - is this really what we want? Believe that for the same investment money we could pump prime some additional bus routes plus also give publicity to encourage people to switch - or instigate other traffic reduction measures such as car share promotion or car clubs within the larger built up areas.

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
Agent ID: 106332
individual

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The arguments for 'unallocated parking' in 6.46 are persuasive.

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore
Agent ID: 106332
individual

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
hard to see why anyone would disagree?
Consultee ID: Mrs Moore 106332 individual
Part of document: ☑️ option Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment: as appropriate to need and commensurate with scale and type of development

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore 106332 individual
Part of document: ☑️ option Option 28c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore 106332 individual
Part of document: ☑️ option Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Moore 106332 individual
Part of document: ☑️ option Question 31a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
It all sounds great though there is significant tension between many facets of it - preserve landscape and character but also promote economic development, necessary home building and improve transport links. The examples of York and Norfolk etc are more specific whereas Wealden complicates by its diversity. However diversity is very sustainable so the more if we can maintain and promote the better. I’m sure it can be done well but the vision and the priorities of competing objectives need to be very clearly understood - ideally also by a wider group of Wealden residents...
Reason for comment:

Agree/ Disagree not applicable. It is interesting that here we finally get people frustrated at the whole consultation process. My gripe (and I am within the 18 - 49 age group) is exactly that it has not been made immediately accessible to many and it has taken significant time to respond properly - I estimate at least 2-4 hours at my computer to do a 1/2 decent response and that is with a local ‘roadshow’ organised by our district councillors and my own significant prior knowledge having been a councillor for the past 4 years. As the person with comment 4348 stated, it may have profound implications on our lives and environment but most people are happily ignorant of its existance or find it all just too hard (understandably) to get to grips with. Here is the real challenge of the local framework, getting to the complexity required but also allowing it to be accessible to more people - and somehow to get the media more involved with promoting it... The East Grinstead Courier runs a 'beautiful baby' competition annually - it gets a huge number of voters for a completely fatuous thing of no real merit to anybody. It is a great frustration that the media cannot be better involved at getting people's views on these kinds of issues.

Reason for comment:

I too am overwhelmed by the breadth of the 14 different objectives but broadly agree I think. Am pleased to see SO14 but also wonder what this really means and whether the implications and requirements of this are much understood. I also wonder whether the fact that SO14 is buried so near the end of the document and at the end of this list of objectives is a sad indicator of the value and concern levels around climate change. I suggest that Peak Oil as a concept also be taken into consideration in the development of this framework and wonder how such consideration might shift its focus.
Any development within the AONB should be on brownfield sites within existing towns and villages, and should be on a small scale e.g. no more than 10-15 houses. Examples such as the small development at Horam where they knocked down the old house.

As long as developers don’t add the cost of installing renewable energy provisions to the cost of their houses.

As long as developers don’t add the cost of installing renewable energy provisions to the cost of their houses.
Consultee ID: Mrs Thain

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option

Section of Document: Question 5c

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Where you allow expansion of towns and villages outside the settlement area, they just get larger and larger until they join up. There is no stopping point. I live on the outside of Heathfield and enjoy my "countryside" setting. I would be very upset if I ended up in the middle of the town. If you do develop around the outside of the town, you alter the demographic of the area, you affect the value of people’s property and you fundamentally change the fabric of the area - a very beautiful area.

Consultee ID: Mrs Thain

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option

Section of Document: Option 9c

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
We moved from West Sussex and chose Heathfield because it was in the AONB and we were given to understand that there would be no expansion or large scale development within the town. To grow the town on this level would alter it considerably and put a great deal of strain on the roads, doctors' surgeries and schools. We might as well have stayed in West Sussex, which has little character left at all.

Consultee ID: Mrs Thain

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option

Section of Document: Option 12c

Your View [x] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
The roads here can support the building works vehicles and the increased traffic from new residents, and the town is easily accessible. The area around the market and the units and garage nearby is ugly and could be better used. I was very interested to read the comment from user name 4220 as his proposal for houses in a vernacular style was refreshing. Most developers seem determined to build boxes tightly packed together which spoil the character of an area.
### Option 12a

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Thain  
**Agent ID:** 106334

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 12a

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
The town could benefit from redevelopment along the high street

---

### Option 12b

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Thain  
**Agent ID:** 106334

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 12b

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Thain  
**Agent ID:** 106334

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 12d

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
The point in the document about more houses along the road line at Cade Street makes sense, as long as it was on a small scale, but you would need to consider that the road is lethal and would need calming, and that you are in the AONB. I am opposed to any development that makes Heathfield look like any other town with the bog-standard developers' boxes though.
Absolutely not! Sandy Cross is accessed by a small, narrow lane, that can not handle the load of lorries that development would bring, and would not handle the additional traffic of new inhabitants. You would have to widen the road by taking people's gardens and I would be extremely unhappy if you took mine. Our house has views across fields all the way to the coast at Pevensey. You can not hear traffic. This would be lost and Wealden's supposed care for maintaining the character of the local environment would be proved to be false. The additional traffic that would go through Old Heathfield would also spoil that little hamlet and Heathfield Park. The primary school there could not take the additional children. The access to the town is poor. We are in the AONB. To alter the fabric of this area would detrimentally affect the value of my home. Sandy Cross is attractive, with older style houses and green space. There are many footpaths around the area that are regularly used by a number of people. To add new houses would alter the demographic of the area too - I moved here to create a safe and quiet place to bring my children up and I do not want to be surrounded by housing estates. Many residents of Sandy Cross seem to feel as we do: I am prepared to oppose this for as long as it takes, in any way necessary, and I am sure I am not the only one.

General Comments: Agree reluctantly: Since I have only lived in Lower Willingdon for 9 months and do not have a car, I do not feel I am qualified to pass an opinion on many of the questions shown here. However, generally I would hate to see the local countryside spoilt by much development. Small groups of houses and flats should be allowed in or near towns and smaller groups centered round some villages. New building should be mainly 'affordable' housing.
Consultee ID: Mrs Hobson
Consultee ID: 106335

Part of document: Option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Hobson
Consultee ID: 106335

Part of document: Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Hobson
Consultee ID: 106335

Part of document: Option 2b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Hobson
Consultee ID: 106335

Part of document: Option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Hobson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Hobson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Hobson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Hobson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Hobson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✓ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Hobson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✓ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Hobson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✓ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Hobson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✓ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question Ten</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✓ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Hobson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106335</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16g**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Hobson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☑ option

**Option 16f**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Hobson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☑ option

**Option 25a**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Hobson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☑ option

**Option 24d**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Hobson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option 27e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106335</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Griffiths</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option 18a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106336</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

There is no demand here for re-opening the railway - there is a demand that an urbanised sprawl that would engulf our village should not be allowed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Carnie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option 18j</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Berwick station is one of the few remaining small downland villages that continues to work traditionally and sustain a very special community. This would destroy the character of the village.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ashford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Question One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106339</td>
<td>Enigma Data Solutions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

But limited and within character
| Consultee ID: | Mr Ashford | Agent ID: | 106339 | Enigma Data Solutions |
| Part of document: |                  | Agent ID: | 106339 | Enigma Data Solutions |
| Option 3d | Option 4d | Option 5a | Option 6a |

**Section of Document:**

- Option 3d
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 6a

**Consultee ID:**

- 106339
- Mr Ashford
- Enigma Data Solutions

**Part of document:**

- Option 3d
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 6a

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View

---

| Consultee ID: | Mr Ashford | Agent ID: | 106339 | Enigma Data Solutions |
| Part of document: |                  | Agent ID: | 106339 | Enigma Data Solutions |
| Option 3d | Option 4d | Option 5a | Option 6a |

**Section of Document:**

- Option 3d
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 6a

**Consultee ID:**

- 106339
- Mr Ashford
- Enigma Data Solutions

**Part of document:**

- Option 3d
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 6a

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View

---

| Consultee ID: | Mr Ashford | Agent ID: | 106339 | Enigma Data Solutions |
| Part of document: |                  | Agent ID: | 106339 | Enigma Data Solutions |
| Option 3d | Option 4d | Option 5a | Option 6a |

**Section of Document:**

- Option 3d
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 6a

**Consultee ID:**

- 106339
- Mr Ashford
- Enigma Data Solutions

**Part of document:**

- Option 3d
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 6a

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Ashford</th>
<th>Agent ID: Enigma Data Solutions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>Mr Ashford</td>
<td>Agent ID: Enigma Data Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>Mr Ashford</td>
<td>Agent ID: Enigma Data Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>Mr Ashford</td>
<td>Agent ID: Enigma Data Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>Option 8d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Ashford
106339 Enigma Data Solutions

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Distribution is preferable to concentration

---

Consultee ID: Mr Ashford
106339 Enigma Data Solutions

Part of document: ☑️ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The numbers depend on the existing size of the village, so this question would have been better if the figures were shown as a percentage.

---

Consultee ID: Mr Ashford
106339 Enigma Data Solutions

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Ashford
106339 Enigma Data Solutions

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Mass concentration of housing and its associated infrastructure (shops, schools, workplaces roads etc) is not desirable in any area for rural Sussex particularly Isfield where there is no existing infrastructure to build upon. Concentration of housing means congestion on the existing road system which is already creaking at the seams.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ashford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106339</td>
<td>Enigma Data Solutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 20b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ashford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106339</td>
<td>Enigma Data Solutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 23b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ashford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106339</td>
<td>Enigma Data Solutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 24a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ashford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106339</td>
<td>Enigma Data Solutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

One focus of the railway re-instatement is not to service the local community but to try to relieve the pressures on the Brighton to London line. Will people from the Hastings line, Brighton or Lewes want to catch a train to London via Uckfield if it takes up to 30 minutes longer? (It may not even stop at the new stations or existing stations up to East Croydon, to reduce travel time). The idea of jumping on a train to go shopping is Brighton is appealing, but carrying all the shopping home is not, therefore the car is the only option.- That is why the mass of people in Sussex do not use the existing bus service therefore would not use the train.

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:

To many projects listed
In order to adequately meet the various challenges of runaway climate change, it is vital that as much energy as possible is generated from renewable sources, with their minimal carbon footprints. New domestic and commercial developments in Wealden should meet a requirement of at least 20% of energy being renewably generated, with immediate effect, and this target should be reviewed upward after 3 years.

I also request that new domestic housing should be built to a minimum standard of 3 star as defined by the Code for Sustainable Homes, with immediate effect and that this standard too be raised by one star grade each year to reach a target of the maximum 6 star rating by 2012.

The current system whereby only developments of over 15 units are required to deliver 30% affordable housing means that developers plan to avoid this requirement. The threshold should be set much lower eg at 3 or more units.
Reason for comment:
I believe that a housing density of at least 35 units per hectare is needed in order to make the most efficient use of natural and other resources, and to control affordability, especially for those that already live in the area.
Consultee ID: Mr William 106341

Part of document: option
Option 14h

Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Your View

Consultee ID: Mr William 106341

Part of document: option
Option 18a

Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
There is no road infrastructure; stretched services; few amenities and no jobs.

Consultee ID: Mr William 106341

Part of document: option
Option 24b

Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Pope 106342 Downlands Action Group

Part of document: option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Provided it is limited, meets local needs, and is in character and sustainable.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106342</td>
<td>Downlands Action Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 5a

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- ✓ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Settlement boundaries should be maintained, particularly when they are natural landscape boundaries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106342</td>
<td>Downlands Action Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 9a

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Inadequate infrastructure, constraints of natural topography and vulnerability to river and flash flooding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106342</td>
<td>Downlands Action Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14d

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- ✓ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Downlands Farm forms no part of the current Wealden Plan, rightly so, because it falls outside the natural landscape boundary of North West Uckfield, the tree-lined ridge that follows Snatts Road. Development beyond this line would be visible from 2 AONBs, particularly the High Weald AONB. It would be very visible from Maresfield. We should preserve for future generations the precious landscape and ecology of the area. Any development would cause irreversible environmental damage. The area has all the characteristics of the High Weald, and should be afforded appropriate protection. It also contains significant ancient woodland, woodland ghylls, very diverse and much rare flora and fauna, lowland heath, ancient common and unimproved grassland. Development in this area would cause unsustainable progressive biodiversity and habitat degradation of adjacent Woodland Trust reserves [namely Lake Wood SNCI and Views Wood], Buxted Park SSSI, and other local Wealden Sites of Nature Conservation Importance: West Park LNR, Butcher’s Wood, Budletts Common Rocks and Uckfield Cemetery. The small market town character of Uckfield would change irreparably with a large bolt on development on Downlands Farm, whose character and position is incompatible with sustainable development principles. It has no logical connection to Uckfield, and the only possible access to the area is from the by-pass; the by-pass would then become an integral part of the town’s internal road network. Development of this site would erode the strategic countryside gap which separates Maresfield and Five Ash Down from Uckfield, thus coalescing those villages into an urban sprawl. There would be potentially highly significant noise and light pollution and a heavy CO2 footprint. The steep slopes of the site would pose flash flood dangers and potential additional flooding and pollution to the Shortbridge Stream, which has a very high EU purity designation. Downlands Farm development would result in considerable in- and out-commuting. Our local and wider villages and roads, and the Ashdown Forest, already suffer detrimental and heavy rat run and through traffic. Uckfield would suffer an enormously increased burden on its creaking infrastructure from a 25% increase [sites in the Wealden Plan, plus Downlands] in population. The town is already severely congested, and has little potential for expansion because of its topography: it sits in a natural bowl, hiding it from the adjoining High Weald and South Downs Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, has a river running through its centre, and just one narrow road connecting its north and south communities. We should make every effort to support good planning principles, first exhausting every brownfield site before even considering building on a greenfield site. If then a greenfield development is considered necessary, sites of a far lesser ecological and landscape quality than Downlands Farm should be developed. Downlands Farm should be placed in the hands of professional conservationists and preserved as a perpetual asset to the community. “5th December 2000 Wealden Local Plan Review concluded that any outward expansion of development on the north western edge of the town, largely comprising land at Downlands Farm and Budletts Common would cause unacceptable damage to the visual quality, continuity and rural character of the surrounding countryside….. Further consideration was given by the council in 2002 a detailed reappraisal of the land on the north-western edge of the town was carried out in order to assess its potential to accommodate major housing growth, but this reappraisal confirmed the councils earlier findings in terms of landscape impact, as well as identifying significant concerns in education and transport issues. 15th December 2003 a further planning review and 91 objections were received.” Nothing in the landscape or habitats has changed; nor should it.
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

The three sites around Ringles Cross form no part of the current Wealden Plan, rightly so, because they fall outside the natural landscape boundary of North West Uckfield, the tree-lined ridge that follows Snatts Road. Development of these sites would erode the strategic countryside gap which separates Maresfield, Buxted and Five Ash Down from Uckfield, thus coalescing those villages into an urban sprawl. Development on Budletts Common would be an unjustified intrusion into a quiet, unspoilt rural area and would cause the direct loss of valuable ecological habitats supporting . There is significant ancient woodland, very diverse and much rare flora and fauna, lowland heath, ancient common, wetland, unimproved acid grassland, and lower Cretaceous sandstone outcrops, and any development would cause irreversible environmental damage. Development in this area would also cause unsustainable progressive biodiversity and habitat degradation of adjacent Woodland Trust reserves [namely Lake Wood SNCI and Views Wood], Buxted Park SSSI, and other local Wealden Sites of Nature Conservation Importance : West Park LNR, Butcher’s Wood, Budletts Common Rocks and Uckfield Cemetery. Budletts Common has two potentially important medieval earthworks which should be protected. The small market town character of Uckfield would change irreparably with a large bolt on development on Budletts Common, whose character and position is incompatible with sustainable development principles. It is not contiguous with any previous development, and access on to London Road is extremely dangerous. There would be potentially highly significant noise and light pollution and a heavy CO2 footprint. The hydrology of this site is too complex and sensitive to sustain the imposition of housing and industrial activity. There are many springs on Budletts Common (“budlett” means “spring”) and the Common contains a network of ditches, streams and drains which take the spring water to the Shortbridge Stream. Because of the existence of springs, the water table is generally relatively high, and recent heavy rain (20 July 2007) caused flash flooding which would be exacerbated by covering the Common with roads, buildings and hard standings on sloping ground. The natural drainage infrastructure would be severely compromised. Budletts Common development would result in considerable in- and out-commuting. Our local and wider villages and roads, and the Ashdown Forest, already suffer detrimental and heavy rat run and through traffic. Uckfield would suffer an enormously increased burden on its creaking infrastructure from a substantial increase in population. The town is already severely congested, and has little potential for expansion because of its topography: it sits in a natural bowl, hiding it from the adjoining High Weald and South Downs Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, has a river running through its centre, and just one narrow road connecting its north and south communities. We should make every effort to support good planning principles, first exhausting every brownfield site before even considering building on a greenfield site. If then a greenfield development is considered necessary, sites of a far lesser ecological and environmental quality than Budletts Common should be developed. “5th December 2000 Wealden Local Plan Review concluded that any outward expansion of development on the north western edge of the town, largely comprising land at Downlands Farm and Budletts Common would cause unacceptable damage to the visual quality, continuity and rural character of the surrounding countryside….. Further consideration was given by the council in 2002 a detailed reappraisal of the land on the north-western edge of the town was carried out in order to assess its potential to accommodate major housing growth, but this reappraisal confirmed the councils earlier findings in terms of landscape impact, as well as identifying significant concerns in education and transport issues. 15th December 2003 a further planning review and 91 objections were received.” Nothing in the rural landscape or ecological habitats of Budletts Common has changed; nor should it.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106342</th>
<th>Downlands Action Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 14g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Provided flood risk is minimised and Luxford Field retained.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106342</th>
<th>Downlands Action Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Question 16j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Polegate could be considered for the establishment of a new eco-settlement to regenerate the area. Its strategic proximity to road and rail infrastructure is ideal, and it would benefit from a necessary upgrading of those facilities. The countryside is the least valuable of the Wealden district.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106342</th>
<th>Downlands Action Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 18c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Pope
106342 Downlands Action Group

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Pope
106342 Downlands Action Group

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Pope
106342 Downlands Action Group

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 21g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

All villages of whatever size should take their share of development. Any such development should however be contained wherever possible within existing settlement boundaries, in character, and should reflect local needs.

Consultee ID: Mr Pope
106342 Downlands Action Group

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Mr Pope  
Downlands Action Group

Question Twenty Nine

Housing Delivery

Agree

Reason for comment:

Mrs Wallis

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Disagree

Reason for comment:

If you start to build on AONB, it will never stop. These areas are for the local people to appreciate, nature and wildlife, not for housing projects.

Mrs Wallis

Option 2a

Agree

Reason for comment:

If it can be kept affordable, then it the direction new housing must go towards

Mrs Wallis

Option 2b

Agree

Reason for comment:

We have to make every effort to make our lifestyles as sustainable as possible. We cannot continue to drain resources at the current rate, so if it’s possible, and affordable, then why not!
Option 9c
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
If you want to develop AONB around Heathfield, you will have a fight on your hands. Local people here actively use the area and to spoil it by building will be at the detriment of our lifestyles, let alone the damage caused to the extensive amount of local wildlife which has been squeezed into smaller and smaller areas already. It would be a tragedy.

Option 12e
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
This area is totally unsuitable and I can’t believe it has even been considered. The lane is far too narrow for any increase in traffic, there are no pavements and parts of it where two cars cannot pass without one having to stop. It is well used by locals and dog walkers. Added to the fact the area is AONB and this should never be built on. We have a duty to protect these areas and the wildlife that they sustain. The value of my house would be significantly reduced as the wonderful view would be ruined by 100’s of new roofs and the peace that we are used to would be shattered. I would join any group formed to oppose this option and will fight tooth and nail to stop any development in this area. Why should the lifestyle of myself and my neighbours be compromised like this. If brownfield sites cannot be found, then new housing cannot be developed. ANOB’s MUST be protected for all our sakes and our sanity.
Consultee ID: mr jones
Agent ID: 106344

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:
I support the policy

Consultee ID: mr jones
Agent ID: 106344

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr jones
Agent ID: 106344

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:
I support this policy

Consultee ID: mr jones
Agent ID: 106344

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:
Common sense
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: mr jones
106344

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr jones
106344

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr jones
106344

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr jones
106344

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mr jones Agent ID:
106344

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr jones Agent ID:
106344

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr jones Agent ID:
106344

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr jones Agent ID:
106344

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mr jones
Agent ID:
106344

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr jones
Agent ID:
106344

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr jones
Agent ID:
106344

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr jones
Agent ID:
106344

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mr jones  Agent ID: 106344

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Size threshold for villages should be limited to 6 dwellings

Consultee ID: mr jones  Agent ID: 106344

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  Option 15a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr jones  Agent ID: 106344

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  Option 15b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr jones  Agent ID: 106344

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  Option 15c

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr</th>
<th>jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106344</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 15d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr</th>
<th>jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106344</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr</th>
<th>jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106344</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 15f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr</th>
<th>jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106344</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>mr jones</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106344</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Consultee ID: | mr jones | Agent ID: | 106344 |
| Part of document: | □ document | □ chapter | □ section | ✔ option |
| Option 16c |
| Section of Document: |
| Your View | ✔ Agree | □ No opinion | □ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |

| Consultee ID: | mr jones | Agent ID: | 106344 |
| Part of document: | □ document | □ chapter | □ section | ✔ option |
| Option 16d |
| Section of Document: |
| Your View | ✔ Agree | □ No opinion | □ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |

| Consultee ID: | mr jones | Agent ID: | 106344 |
| Part of document: | □ document | □ chapter | □ section | ✔ option |
| Option 16e |
| Section of Document: |
| Your View | ✔ Agree | □ No opinion | □ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |
| Consultee ID: | mr jones | Agent ID: | 106344 |
| Part of document: | ☑ option | |
| Option 16e |
| Section of Document: | |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |
| Consultee ID: | mr jones | Agent ID: | 106344 |
| Part of document: | ☑ option | |
| Option 16f |
| Section of Document: | |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |
| Consultee ID: | mr jones | Agent ID: | 106344 |
| Part of document: | ☑ option | |
| Option 16f |
| Section of Document: | |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |
| Consultee ID: | mr jones | Agent ID: | 106344 |
| Part of document: | ☑ option | |
| Option 18g |
| Section of Document: | |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | |
Consultee ID: 106344

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
If AONBs were to be graded this would be Grade1 No infrastructure or services avaialble Would add to the already appalling problems of this section of A22

Consultee ID: 106344

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106344

Part of document: Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106344

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr  jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr  jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr  jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr  jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106344
Part of document: Option 26a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: 106344
Part of document: Option 26b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: 106344
Part of document: Option 27c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: 106344
Part of document: Option 27d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 28c**

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question Twenty Nine**

- **Section of Document:** Housing Delivery

- **Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question Thirty**

- **Section of Document:** Infrastructure Requirements

- **Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr jones</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 31a**

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Jones
Agent ID: 106344
Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Question 32a

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
Agent ID: 106345
Millwood Designer Homes
Part of document: option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
There should not be a presumption against new development in the AONB. If appropriate, suitably located, sensitively designed and sustainable, new development can have a positive benefit on the rural locations without compromising the sensitive designation.

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
Agent ID: 106345
Millwood Designer Homes
Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 3c

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Debate and guidance in respect of renewable energy sources should be led by Central Government and not Local Planning Authorities, making ad hoc policy arrangements. This whole issue should be addressed under the remit of Building Regulations and should not be a bolt on to the Planning System. Where appropriate, new development should strive to achieve at least 10% of its energy generation from renewable energy sources. Although voluntary currently, developers are being required through the Code for Sustainable Homes to implement a step change in sustainable house building practice and deliver properties that will be energy efficient and ultimately carbon neutral. This Company has already adopted a positive approach to this issue and aims to act responsibly, to bring about more energy efficient dwellings which incorporate the use of renewable energy options.

If it is appropriate and cost effective (particularly to purchasers), higher renewable energy levels should be the objective and if circumstances are suitable to allow for such design features, then this aim is supported in principle. It will however depend upon a number of factors, including market demand and public perception and should therefore not be applied generically as this could act as a barrier to development and hence result in economic and social disbenefit to communities and settlements.

Strongly agree with an emphasis on residential development
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 3b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Agree, provided that they are suitable for future employment use and flexible enough to adapt to changes in market demand, without having a detrimental impact on surrounding uses. If they are not appropriate, poorly located or fit for purpose, then alternative sites should be identified and sites redeveloped for other uses (e.g., residential/mixed use/retail).

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 3d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Housing should be developed in suitable locations which offer a range of community facilities with good access to jobs, key services and infrastructure. This should be achieved by making efficient use of the land and that the priority for development should be previously developed land. Local employment opportunities should be promoted through the allocation of suitable employment land to achieve the economic and social objectives of the District.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Settlement boundaries should be regularly reviewed and appraised to take account of the need for growth in line with government guidance and the emerging Regional Spatial Strategy for the South East. Whilst the priority remains the reuse of previously developed land, there may be overriding constraints to development or un-implementable consents, which requires new allocations to be made, some of which may be out with existing settlement boundaries. Restricting or constraining development has significant implications on the vitality, social and economic well-being of communities and in the current circumstances where housing numbers are required to increase annually, it is necessary for all settlements to contribute to the delivery of dwellings, offering choice and variation to the market.
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
In certain circumstances, small scale development outwith settlement boundaries is appropriate eg reuse of brownfield sites, agricultural buildings, enabling development etc. In these situations, this approach can be justified, but should be sensitive to the location and setting, be accessible and be of high quality design. If larger previously developed land/sites in rural areas become available for redevelopment purposes, a pragmatic approach should be adopted by the LPA.

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This representation relates to Questions 6a - d inclusive. Existing settlements should remain the focus of development as they offer the greatest range of services and facilities, thereby are the most sustainable locations. However, villages are also an important resource and should not be ignored when future development requirements are considered. Development in villages should not be precluded simply because of location, as new development in such locations can be sustainably justified and will have a positive impact upon the social and economic objectives of the locality, supporting village services and facilities through the introduction of new residents. Flexibility is required in the choice of development locations and planning policy must reflect this in terms of changing work and lifestyle patterns. Whilst the principle of focusing development on urban areas is accepted, a generic policy which adheres strictly to a specified urban/rural split for planned growth is not implementable for many reasons.

Option 7a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Agree with the caveat that circumstances may change and additional development may arise, therefore policies must have flexibility to adapt to change.
Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree with the caveat that circumstances may change and additional development may arise, therefore policies must have flexibility to adapt to change.

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree with the caveat that circumstances may change and additional development may arise, therefore policies must have flexibility to adapt to change.

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
New development in villages can support the viability of local village services and facilities. Where it is not economically or commercially viable to sustain a business, a policy will not assist unless financial support is provided or development permitted to sustain the services and facilities.

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Buttle  
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle  
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle  
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Site allocations as specified are acceptable provided that there is an appropriate policy base to allow for smaller sites to be considered for development purposes within towns and villages, and it is not to the detriment of the consideration of alternative sites for residential development.

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle  
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 11e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔️ Agree
- No opinion
- ✗ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

1. Land at Tollwood Road/Blackness Road, Crowborough (Hard copies of representations and plans have been submitted to WDC Planning Policy).
2. Land at Cherry Tree Nursery, Tubwell Lane, Jarvis Brook (Hard copies of representations and plans have been submitted to WDC Planning Policy).
3. Currently negotiating options on various parcels of land within the St. Johns area of Crowborough.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106345</th>
<th>Millwood Designer Homes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□  Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Buttle</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□  Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Buttle</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□  Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Buttle</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□  Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document:  

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document:  

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document:  

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

1. Land adjacent to Tilsmore Lodge, Mount Pleasant, Heathfield (Hard copies of representations and plans have been submitted to WDC Planning Policy).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 13a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 15a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 15b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 15c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18d</th>
<th>Option 18e</th>
<th>Option 18f</th>
<th>Option 18g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106345  Mr Buttle  Millwood Designer Homes
Agent ID:
Part of document:  ☑ option  Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106345  Mr Buttle  Millwood Designer Homes
Agent ID:
Part of document:  ☑ option  Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106345  Mr Buttle  Millwood Designer Homes
Agent ID:
Part of document:  ☑ option  Option 18j
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106345  Mr Buttle  Millwood Designer Homes
Agent ID:
Part of document:  ☑ option  Option 19a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106345
Mr Buttle
Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: Option 19b
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106345
Mr Buttle
Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: Option 19c
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106345
Mr Buttle
Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: Option 19e
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106345
Mr Buttle
Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: Option 20a
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 20b**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 20c**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21a**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21b**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 21c**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 21d**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 21e**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106345</td>
<td>Millwood Designer Homes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 21f**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Buttle  
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document:  
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle  
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document:  
Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle  
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document:  
Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle  
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document:  
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Millwood Designer Homes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Millwood Designer Homes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Millwood Designer Homes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Millwood Designer Homes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: 106345  Mr Buttle  Millwood Designer Homes  
Consent ID:  
Part of document:  Option 25a

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
A need does exist for small dwellings and flats to respond to the need for smaller houses, arising from demographic and social circumstances, however there needs to be choice, which should not be at the expense of mainstream, and particularly family housing. There is a strong demand for a range of housing and house types, and this should be encouraged and facilitated to provide choice to meet both the private and affordable needs of the District, in line with PPS3, the emerging RSS for the South East and the Structure Plan.

Consultee ID: 106345  Mr Buttle  Millwood Designer Homes  
Consent ID:  
Part of document:  Option 23e

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
This representation relates to questions 24a - c inclusive. Agree that on average densities should be between 30-35 dph as indicated in the Non Statutory Plan. Development densities should be guided by the details of PPS3, which reflects that a range of densities should be applied. However in our opinion a maximum of 40 dph for new development should be set in Wealden (see separate comment for 24d) to reflect the character and attractiveness of the District. If the density is increased generically, it will jeopardise the character of the area, reducing the demand to come and settle in the area. Equally if densities were artificially increased to the higher end of the proposed range, existing residents will relocate elsewhere rather than to the new housing stock which will be too dense.

Consultee ID: 106345  Mr Buttle  Millwood Designer Homes  
Consent ID:  
Part of document:  Option 24a

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
This representation relates to questions 24a - c inclusive. Agree that on average densities should be between 30-35 dph as indicated in the Non Statutory Plan. Development densities should be guided by the details of PPS3, which reflects that a range of densities should be applied. However in our opinion a maximum of 40 dph for new development should be set in Wealden (see separate comment for 24d) to reflect the character and attractiveness of the District. If the density is increased generically, it will jeopardise the character of the area, reducing the demand to come and settle in the area. Equally if densities were artificially increased to the higher end of the proposed range, existing residents will relocate elsewhere rather than to the new housing stock which will be too dense.
Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345 Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Where circumstances exist (e.g., at locations accessible to public transport) increased density of dwellings should be permitted provided that there is no detrimental impact on the surroundings and the character.
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Consulter ID: Mr Buttle

Agent ID: Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: ☑ option

Section 25b

Your view: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The level of affordable housing requires to be based on local needs, however affordable housing must take into account the development viability, in accordance with paragraph 29 of PPS3. In essence, if demonstrated through a development appraisal that it is not economically viable to provide the required level of affordable housing, then this should be taken into account in the District Council’s assessment and an appropriate level of affordable housing provision that is economically viable (if any) should be agreed. It is imperative that each site is considered on its individual merits and circumstances, otherwise if applied inflexibly, there could be a detrimental effect on the viability of development in the District, with a consequent impact on affordable housing delivery. It is very important that the District Council’s objective for providing affordable housing is based on an up to date housing needs assessment but is also fully aware of housing market areas and housing market demands. The objective of delivering 60% of new development needs on previously developed land is supported, however by definition these sites have been used or previously developed. This will always mean that the cost of developing them is greater than would be the case for a greenfield site. There are often significant costs of site preparation, often including demolition works. The structural stability of neighbouring buildings is often an issue, as is the replacement of old infrastructure such as sewers. Site access is often more difficult in a constrained site within a built up area and in many cases there are problems with contamination and the costs of remediation works can be a major consideration. Many previously developed sites can only be developed if the value of the development being created is sufficient to fund the works required to bring them into beneficial use. The requirement to provide such levels of affordable housing will severely limit the value of any scheme and in many cases make the development of the site unviable. This will directly undermine the intentions of policies to bring forward such sites for development. Furthermore, previously developed sites carry the same base costs as greenfield development, and with increased Section 106 costs resulting from additional County Council requirements and the threat of Planning Gain Supplement, which is currently an unknown burden, development could be rendered economically unviable. The Open Market Value of residential and commercial sites is rising across the South East and also within Wealden District, which is driven by a shortage of property investment opportunities and policies of constraint. The impact of this leads to further increases in land and property values, making the economics of development more vulnerable, particularly when affordable housing and other site factors and contributions are taken into account. This in turn affects the delivery of affordable housing and could, in part, be overcome by consideration of further land allocations across existing settlements in the District, to bolster urban and rural communities, where sustainability can be demonstrated. 40% affordable housing is too great a demand on site viability and on previously developed land, there should be negotiation up to a maximum of 30% as above 30% development becomes economically unviable.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Buttle</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106345</th>
<th>Millwood Designer Homes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 25c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If this approach is adopted there will be no residential development delivered. A realistic threshold should be introduced reflecting the viability of small scale and infill type development in such locations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345
Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345
Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
If residential development can be located to improve or retain the viability of public bus services, then it should be considered as appositive benefit and subject to normal planning considerations, be supported.

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345
Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
This offers a sustainable approach to development and the delivery of public transport services, providing housing choice, removing the reliance on private car use and should be supported.

Consultee ID: Mr Buttle
106345
Millwood Designer Homes

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☑ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

If it is fair and reasonably related in scale and kind to the proposed development, developer contributions should be considered acceptable to offset the additional service provision directly arising from development.

Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:
Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery

 Reason for comment:
The delivery of development is driven by market demand and market conditions. Introducing artificial or punitative measures to force the speed and delivery of development will have an adverse impact on the development process and worsen the situation. The Planning system and process needs to be less restrictive and land which is suitable, available and deliverable must be allocated and considered.

Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

 Reason for comment:
Measures which improve the infrastructure and enable development must be supported and implemented in a coordinated manner to ensure that the economic and social objectives of the District are implemented. Development will not be achieved without investment in infrastructure and where appropriate and directly related, developers should contribute accordingly to the delivery of that infrastructure. The District’s future is dependent upon the delivery of development (commercial and residential) and appropriate steps must be taken to ensure that the circumstances for future development are put in place.

Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

 Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Nielsen  
106346

Part of document:  
- Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Nielsen  
106346

Part of document:  
- Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Nielsen  
106346

Part of document:  
- Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Nielsen  
106346

Part of document:  
- Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Nielsen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106346</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Nielsen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106346</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Nielsen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106346</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Nielsen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106346</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 5b</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 6a</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 8a</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Nielsen  
106346

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Nielsen  
106346

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Nielsen  
106346

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Nielsen  
106346

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Question Ten

Section of Document:  
Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Nielsen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106346</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Nielsen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106346</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Nielsen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106346</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Nielsen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106346</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 16e

Reason for comment:

I have only lived in this area for 14 years but the amount of new houses built during this time is far too many.

Option 16f

Reason for comment:

Option 16g

Reason for comment:

I have only lived in this area for 14 years but the amount of new houses built during this time is far too many.
Consultee ID: Mr Nielsen  Agent ID:
106346

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Nielsen  Agent ID:
106346

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Nielsen  Agent ID:
106346

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Nielsen  Agent ID:
106346

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Mr Nielsen

Option 25b

Agree

Option 25d

Disagree

Option 25f

Agree

Option 26a

Agree
Mr Nielsen  
Consultee ID: 106346

Part of document:  
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Mr Nielsen  
Consultee ID: 106346

Part of document:  
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

---

Mr Nielsen  
Consultee ID: 106346

Part of document:  
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

---

Mr Nielsen  
Consultee ID: 106346

Part of document:  
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Nielsen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106346</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 27d

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>✔️ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 27e

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>✔️ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 28b

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔️ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 28c

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔️ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Isfield Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Webb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106347</td>
<td>Isfield Parish Council</td>
<td>102480</td>
<td>Isfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☑ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option  
**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Some development should take place in the High Weald area. A large number of towns and villages in the North need housing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Isfield Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Webb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106347</td>
<td>Isfield Parish Council</td>
<td>102480</td>
<td>Isfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☑ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option  
**Section of Document:** Option 2a

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Isfield Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Webb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106347</td>
<td>Isfield Parish Council</td>
<td>102480</td>
<td>Isfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☑ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option  
**Section of Document:** Option 3c

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Isfield Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Webb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106347</td>
<td>Isfield Parish Council</td>
<td>102480</td>
<td>Isfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☑ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option  
**Section of Document:** Option 3a

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: Mr Webb
106347 Isfield Parish Council 102480 Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: Mr Webb
106347 Isfield Parish Council 102480 Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: Mr Webb
106347 Isfield Parish Council 102480 Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: Mr Webb
106347 Isfield Parish Council 102480 Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106347
Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: 102480
Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106347
Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: 102480
Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106347
Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: 102480
Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106347
Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: 102480
Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed settlement will destroy the historic village of Isfield, which will effectively cease to exist. The impact on the surrounding environs and on the current inhabitants of Isfield would be devastating. The proposed new settlement will have no benefit for the present village. Such a huge development in one area will create enormous pressure on the current infrastructure.
we do not believe that a new large settlement anywhere in the district is the answer to finding sites for 8,000 new homes. But we do believe that the needs of the entire district are best met by spreading the new homes around Wealden District.
Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: Mr Webb

106347 Isfield Parish Council 102480 Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: checkbox for option

Section of Document:

Option 25c

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: Mr Webb

106347 Isfield Parish Council 102480 Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: checkbox for option

Section of Document:

Option 25d

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: Mr Webb

106347 Isfield Parish Council 102480 Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: checkbox for option

Section of Document:

Option 25e

Your View: [x] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: Mr Webb

106347 Isfield Parish Council 102480 Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: checkbox for option

Section of Document:

Option 25f

Your View: [x] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council  
Agent ID: Mr Webb  

106347 Isfield Parish Council  
Part of document: option  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council  
Agent ID: Mr Webb  

106347 Isfield Parish Council  
Part of document: option  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council  
Agent ID: Mr Webb  

106347 Isfield Parish Council  
Part of document: option  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council  
Agent ID: Mr Webb  

106347 Isfield Parish Council  
Part of document: option  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council  
Agent ID: Mr Webb  

106347 Isfield Parish Council  
Part of document: option  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: Mr Webb

106347 Isfield Parish Council
102480 Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: Mr Webb

106347 Isfield Parish Council
102480 Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: Mr Webb

106347 Isfield Parish Council
102480 Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Isfield Parish Council
Agent ID: Mr Webb

106347 Isfield Parish Council
102480 Isfield Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We need to preserve the countryside for everybody - whether countryman or town dweller. We will not achieve that by covering it in new houses and settlements. It makes sense therefore to concentrate new developments in and around our existing towns - which would also allow the most efficient use of existing and future infrastructure, minimise commuting, and make these places as vibrant as possible. We all need good towns, just as we all need pleasant countryside.

I am very weary of the sort of classification proposed which sounds like something out of Russia or other centrally planned economies - and look what those led to. Towns are towns and villages are villages, all with real people in them, not numbers. Towns and villages need to be treated individually according to local circumstances, albeit with an eye to the wider context of Wealden and East Sussex.

Concreting over the countryside will turn the beautiful Wealden area, which we all love, into another Surrey. And there will be no going back. Once this countryside is lost it will be lost for ever. Far better to concentrate the impact of development in towns. That is where the focus should be - on making our towns vibrant and pleasant places for people to work, live and go shopping, while preserving the countryside for us all, and for those that come after us.
Consultee ID: Mr Cartwright
Consultee ID: 106349

Part of document: Option 14h

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
I disagree with proposals to develop Uckfield beyond the bypass. Development needs to be concentrated closer to the town centre where it can make best use of the infrastructure and enhance the character and vibrancy of the town rather than becoming a disconnected urban sprawl.

Consultee ID: Mr Cartwright
Consultee ID: 106349

Part of document: Question 16j

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
Please try not to increase the urban sprawl over the beautiful countryside. Would it not be better to build upwards where necessary - even if modestly? Polegate and Hailsham are very low profile places. In my view they would benefit from more central massing, becoming more town like rather than just being rather ill defined spreads.
I most strongly disagree with this option which would ruin Isfield and the surrounding countryside for no good cause. There is no significant employment in the village. The new settlement would become just another commuter town. The houses would probably be bought by, or sold on to, outsiders in search of a self-created surburbia and do nothing to help the accommodation problems faced by Wealden people, let alone Isfield. It would be an artificial creation, and we have all seen the horrors that those can turn into. If housing in Wealden is needed, it would be much better to continue the organic development of existing towns rather than build over the countryside which, once lost, will be lost to everybody forever. It is also a complete nonsense to link the possibility of a new settlement with the funding of the Uckfield Lewes rail link. If others think they need a railway they should argue the case and put up the funding without ruining the countryside, but hopefully it will not come to that.

New towns or settlements are not the right answer for Wealden where there is general agreement that the countryside should be preserved. If more housing is needed, the key to success will be to develop the existing towns where infrastructure, jobs, shops and facilities can be best concentrated.

The Core Strategy makes much of the need to preserve the Wealden countryside. That will not be achieved by building large new settlements on it to fund railways. If the latter are thought to be required, they should be considered for funding against competing priorities for hospital, school and so on. And money to pay for them should be raised as is normal, from various sources (including Planning Gain). But houses should be built where they can best be absorbed into the community, not by constructing vast new settlements in the countryside which then create their own additional demands rather than meet the need of the people of Wealden.
Mr Cartwright 106349

Section of Document:
Option 28b

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I agree, but contributions should be balanced and proportionate.

Mrs Rodemark 106351

Section of Document:
Question 31a

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I hope all of us who live here pause a little from time to time to think why Weaden is such a pleasant place to be. Could it be that the poor infrastructure actually protects us from becoming another Surrey with hundreds of thousands of people coming here to live when their places of work are elsewhere? Of course we need good infrastructure. But we need to proceed with caution and beware of unforeseen results. The first part of the Preliminary Spacial Vision looks fine but the final paragraph could condemn Wealden to being just another overbuilt suburb - hardly the legacy we would wish to leave to our children.

Mrs Rodemark 106351

Section of Document:
Option 27b

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs rodermark Agent ID:
106351
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 27e
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs rodermark Agent ID:
106351
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs rodermark Agent ID:
106351
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 28c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Geering Agent ID:
106353
Part of document:  ☑ option
Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Geering  
Agent ID: 106353

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 2b
Section of Document:
Your View:  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Geering  
Agent ID: 106353

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View:  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Geering  
Agent ID: 106353

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View:  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Geering  
Agent ID: 106353

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 25a
Section of Document:
Your View:  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Geering</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106353</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Geering</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106353</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Geering</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106353</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Geering</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106353</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 26a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Geering</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106353</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Option 27a**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 27b**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 27d**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Miss Geering
106353

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Geering
106353

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Geering
106353

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR HARLOW
106354

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I believe the village needs to expand to a degree, to give it a centre, which will provide a sense of community. I appreciate a housing scheme needs to be large enough to provide infrastructure needs that will benefit the whole community.
Consultee ID: Mr. Atkin
106355 Retired resident

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I disagree with this policy if it generates the sort of proposal which has been suggested for the Isfield area, i.e. between 5,000 & 8,000 new dwellings. Isfield is a pleasant village with a friendly community. Few features of the present village would be likely to survive the scale of development which has been suggested. The surrounding countryside, much of which would be lost if this suggestion were adopted, may not be AONB, but it is very attractive and valued by many people, particularly the meadows adjoining the Ouse. The document mentions that development on the suggested scale would permit the provision of facilities which the village lacks at present. I take it that these might include the restoration of the Uckfield/Lewes railway line and of Isfield station. If the restoration of the line can only be funded by development in the countryside on this scale, I would rather use the bus service. Even if the station re-opened, development on this scale would inevitably generate greater use of local roads, particularly those leading northwards (towards the A272 & the commuter routes to London). The route northwards, for at least a couple of miles, is along country lanes which are quite inadequate for increased traffic.

Consultee ID: Watts
106356

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Before you develop in Tilsmore improve the Tilsmore Road-it's a disgrace.

Consultee ID: Adams
106357

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Houses Already On-stream for North Hailsham 1200-1800 This tranche is 890-1500. Two commuters per household gives a minium of 4000 commuters travelling west or south along the A22 (Hailsham doesn't have any jobs!) This leaves aside the issue of how the commuters actually get on to the A22 How do these numbers meet sustainability issues! What more needs to be said?
Option 15b

Reason for comment:
However the buildings would provide useful hardcore for sea defences.

Option 15d

Reason for comment:
However the buildings would provide useful hardcore for sea defences.

Option 15e

Reason for comment:
“It seems reasonable to register a (very) qualified agreement for option 15e in so far that it mentions employment opportunities.
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Consultee ID: Adams  Agent ID:
106357

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

It seems reasonable to register a (very) qualified agreement for option 15f. Hailsham desperately needs more work opportunities. Clients with a genuine interest in developing significant commercial activities within reasonable travelling distance of Hailsham ought to be actively sought. A model for such enterprise might be a Call Centre type of activity – local labour and little need for clients to travel to the site. An incursion into land west of the A22 should not be contemplated if all that is on offer is warehousing and a few (more) minimum wage jobs. The idea of extending out to new settlements at Arlington and Berwick does not appeal to me.

Consultee ID: Adams  Agent ID:
106357

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I disagree with any further significant housing development in Hailsham and the immediate vicinity. A history lesson. In 1970 a Hailsham Town Centre Map was approved for the purposes of development control by East Sussex County Council and ratified by the (then) Hailsham District Council. It featured a road system design aimed at increasing accessibility and aiding movement in and about the town. The redundant railway line and areas of the old Station yard were to form a two way road by-passing the town centre. Further, a sensibly sized intersection with South Road (on the same level!) was proposed. The pedestrianized town centre, including the High Street, George Street and Market Street, would have been served by a one-way ring road. Other proposals for the immediate vicinity were the diversion of the A271 to form a northern by-pass and, after 1981, the extension of the new road along the railway cutting to Hellingly Station. This would have been matched with an improved South Road to form a viable route for north/south traffic meeting the A22. These road improvements were planned to coincide with an increase in population from just over 8,000 to some 20,000 by 1981. Clearly Wealden District Council failed to deliver! Today anybody who tries to make their way about the town can appreciate (?) this. For the future? What chaos will ensue when White House School is finally moved, the Tesco store is open and the developments around Hailsham, already approved, are completed? Can we expect some improvements, by way of planning gain, if yet more houses are built? Probably not - a senior Wealden Planning Officer recently gave a clear indication that developers are increasingly unwilling to pick up the bill for 'historic' infrastructure deficits.
Option 27a

Reason for comment:
Sound idea in principle – 'should' sounds a little strong.

Option 27b

Reason for comment:
As per other respondents why a long term aim? Should have been included in Table 15. Not because of the need to fund it's development, rather as a mechanism for maintaining some of the essential character of this part of Sussex. In the end we have to decide - more cars, more roads or public transport. I would suggest the following novel criteria for this new line - journeys on it should be affordable, safe and pleasant. Perhaps in the future people will be able to travel down to a pleasant countryside halt called Berwick Station. From hence they will be able to walk or cycle through pleasant countryside to the foot of the South Downs. Perhaps!

Option 27c

Reason for comment:
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
‘Parking standards should derive from the ownership levels of different types of household.’ What does this statement mean? It is a personal observation that people now assume the right to permanently park their vehicles (often more than one) on the public highway. In many areas this causes congestion and safety issues. National or local byelaws must soon be in place to remove this ‘right’ or the nation’s highways will grind to a halt. Housing planning for the future should take this into account.

Option 28a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
By all means protect existing sports and recreational facilities. Unfortunately we must face facts. Britain is no longer a country where social consensus operates. Open public spaces and housing, particularly for the more elderly, are simply now longer an option.

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Reason for comment:
Total silliness. Take away the arbitrary targets and you remove much of the perceived problem and the attendant bureaucratic cost. WDC is currently embarrassed over the Hellingly Hospital site. That’s because it gave permission for an inappropriately sized development on the site thereby hoping to meet government targets. The development is currently stalled (possibly because of an appeal to the Secretary of State over an adjacent site). Simple to avoid anyway, just don’t register for planning permission! Speculators land banks are built up over time by stealth. What comes next? Surveillance of all land and punitive taxes if some bureaucrat decides it should be built on so that central government can be seen to be ‘doing something’?
Consultee ID: Whiteman
106358

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Sandy Cross Lane is not suitable for additional traffic would completely spoil the area.

Consultee ID: Freeman
106360

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
12D North Heathfield College. Leisure Centre. Set in Area of Outstanding Natual Beauty on edge of two conservation areas, opposite Heathfield Park with status of scientific importance, at the mouth of the river Dudwell, not a good site for more homes or does that matter? With local Council, as Falmer Stadium has just been given permission in AONB. Heathfield College, built in 1952 for 500 students. Still on same size site, now holds 1500 students and 200 staff. This is overcrowding. School is surrounded by small country roads and grass verges. So no parent parking. No local jobs. So why more houses?

Consultee ID: Freeman
106360

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
the proposed area is on the edge of 2 conservation areas opposite Heathfield Park (site of scientific importance) with listed sandstone walls. Site has numerous underground springs and is close to a historical water gathering source. Any construction may affect the water flow of the river Dudwell. Traffic hazards at the B2096, will be exacerbated by further development - current traffic levels are at a maximum.
Consultee ID: Manchip 106361
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12e
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The infrastructure of Heathfield is not capable of maintaining any more multiple building without massive reorganisation in particular in our area of Sandy Cross. The land cannot cope with existing traffic especially at school times, we agree wholeheartedly with M Lander’s comments and will certainly support his action group. The publicity for this meeting was not sufficient. Please ensure that more people get to know about the next stage.

Consultee ID: Mr Byatt 106362
Part of document: □ document ✓ chapter □ section □ option
Part 1
Section of Document: Context
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
A non-elected body produces the SE Plan. Their view of our housing needs should not take precedence over local elected bodies. The greatest impediment to more housing in the area is lack of infrastructure; public transport including railways, health services especially local hospitals, water and power;

Consultee ID: Mr Cox 106363
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Cox 106363
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
### Option 2b

**Consultee ID:** 106363  
Mr. Cox

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The target should be more challenging

---

### Option 3a

**Consultee ID:** 106363  
Mr. Cox

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 3b

**Consultee ID:** 106363  
Mr. Cox

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 3d

**Consultee ID:** 106363  
Mr. Cox

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Cox

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Cox

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Cox

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A fair allocation, unless a new town were to be approved in a current rural area.

Consultee ID: Mr Cox

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Cox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106363</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 7b

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Cox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106363</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 7c

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Cox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106363</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 7d

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Cox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106363</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 9a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Cox 106363  

Option 9b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Cox 106363  

Option 9c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Cox 106363  

Question Ten  

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

The figures seem arbitrary and would surely need to be examined on a case by case basis?

Consultee ID: Mr Cox 106363  

Question 18k  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

I could not put it better than already done by consultee 4433.
Consultee ID: Mr and M Hibbart
106364

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Sandy Cross is a totally inappropriate area to consider for further development. The land is already heavily trafficked by the school run to all schools and heathfield camp. The lane is narrow and sight lines poor. School children walking to wither school are already in danger and additional traffic is likely to cause severe injury/death. It is also an area of ONB and SSI, we understood it was not to be developed.

Consultee ID: Mrs Burton
106365

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No mains drainage after 1 Roundhouse Cottages. The road is so fast that even now the police cannot police it.

Consultee ID: Clapson
106366

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
My concerns are traffic management issues and effect on local environment; increasing the carbon footprint by the increase of commuters. Generally feel the development not required in Berwick.
Consultee ID: beebee
106367

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
This an important area both for safeguarding high quality catchment water sources, and as a buffer around important amphibian and reptile habitat.

Consultee ID: Tourle
106368

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 12g

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
There has already been far too much development in Heathfield. Why so many flats? These are of no use for young families. Extra development will only create yet more traffic in what is already a very congested area, especially wither side and through Heathfield itself.

Consultee ID: Mr Saunders
106370

Part of document: ☑ chapter
Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Your View ✗ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Common Sense says create the infrastructure first. Roads, sewers, water electric, doctors, hospitals etc. Then add the expansion plans. But this will not happen, it is much more fun to moan later that this or that should have been done first but never was. Why change the habit of generations.
Option 12c. Soil and drainage should be investigated. Fields near present recycling centre seem to be waterlogged most of the time.

Option 18c Golden Cross. Impact on Deanland Park village (quite a lot of old people all over 50) should be taken into account.

This is a poorly thought out proposal. The feasibility concerning infrasturucture required (which is far outdated at the moment) will be extensive and expensive and put the viability of these sites beyond economic limits. The impact to the local enviroment added to the water table make development unsuitable. The road network is inadequate at present and would need vast improvements including the A27.

More redevelopment should be allowed on redundant farm buildings to enhance the local area. Why build more affordable homes in the area when they have the right to buy?
Consultee ID: 106374

Part of document: Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106374

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106374

Part of document: Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:

A new town in this rural area would cause more problems than solve them for the following reasons. 1) No local employment 2) Inadequate transport for commuting 3) Destroying this rural environment forever!

Consultee ID: 106374

Part of document: Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Cooper  
106374

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cooper  
106374

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cooper  
106374

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cooper  
106374

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A development of the suggested site is completely out of proportion with the village and with other villages in the vicinity. It would spoil an area of great natural beauty and create urban sprawl within 2 miles of the downs. The local infrastructure could not support a town of this size. To develop the necessary amenities would further destroy the natural beauty of the area, which is its only asset.
Mrs Palmer 106377

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

A new development of this scale would totally destroy a great corridor to the downs. The volume of extra traffic could not be supported by the surrounding major roads. It would have a major impact on exceptional wildlife which exists around this area particularly the old brickworks. Residents of Berwick have moved to this area specifically to acquire a quieter mode of living, some have lived here all their lives - all would have their quality of living destroyed.

Mrs Palmer 106377

Question 18k

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mrs Palmer 106377

Option 18i

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mrs Palmer 106377

Option 18j

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Mrs Joiner  
106378

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 18j

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Withlock  
106379

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 18j

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Smith  
106380

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 18j

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Heathcote  
106381

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 18j

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Berwick is a small village which would be totally destroyed with the addition of thousands of new houses. 50 to 100 additional dwellings for first time buyers and the elderly would enhance the village without swamping it. Also the A27 is already overloaded at certain times of the day and Norman Baker MP has decide the area does not need upgrading.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Heathcote</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Berwick is a small village which would be totally destroyed by the addition of thousands of new houses. 50-100 additional dwellings for first time buyers and the elderly would enhance the village without swamping it. Also, the A27 is already over loaded at certain times of the day and Norman Baker MP has decreed that the road does not need upgrading.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stringer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106382</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stringer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106382</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stringer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106382</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Warren  
106383  
Part of document: ☒ option  
Option 18j  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☒ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Graham  
106384  
Part of document: ☒ option  
Option 8d  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☒ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Graham  
106384  
Part of document: ☒ option  
Option 18i  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☒ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Graham  
106384  
Part of document: ☒ option  
Option 18j  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☒ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
The main point is that Central Government has decreed that 8000 homes be built here. Where’s the local discussion about that? Allowing local people to comment on the location of these 8000 dwellings is just a political sop to local opinion. Where are the plans for additional infrastructure-roads etc?

Reason for comment:

Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Williams
Agent ID:
Part of document: Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Simons
Agent ID:
Part of document: Option 12a
Section of Document:
Your View
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Consultee ID: Mr Simons
106387

Option 12b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Simons
106387
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 12c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Simons
106387
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 12d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Simons
106387
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 12e
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Simons
Consultee ID: Mr Simons
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hart

Part of document: Option 12f
Part of document: Option 15a
Part of document: Option 15b
Part of document: Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View
Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:
May be difficult
I like also the idea of building a new settlement NE of Hailsham where I think good quality housing would enhance the area. In general, as long as the housing is high quality and does not put too much strain on the local infrastructure (roads, water, drainage etc.) then housing development will help sustain the local economy and help young less well off families buy/rent housing.

Reason for comment:
I like also the idea of building a new settlement NE of Hailsham where I think good quality housing would enhance the area. In general, as long as the housing is high quality and does not put too much strain on the local infrastructure (roads, water, drainage etc.) then housing development will help sustain the local economy and help young less well off families buy/rent housing.

Reason for comment:
I like also the idea of building a new settlement NE of Hailsham where I think good quality housing would enhance the area. In general, as long as the housing is high quality and does not put too much strain on the local infrastructure (roads, water, drainage etc.) then housing development will help sustain the local economy and help young less well off families buy/rent housing.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hart  
106388

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Crosby  
106389

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Crosby  
106389

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Chambers  
106390

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Chambers
106390

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
106391

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chambers
106391

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Leech
106392

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Leech
106392

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hawkins
106393

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hawkins
106393

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Floor
106394

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Your View   [☐] Agree   [☐] No opinion   [☒] Disagree

Reason for comment:

People have chosen to live in Halland because of its isolation and it is vital for the character of the countryside that creeping suburbanisation is stopped. The health, transport, power, communication and education infrastructure is poor and as the new estate in Ringmer has not been properly supported with schools or doctors why should we believe that this would happen in Halland. Young people on a new estate would be stranded leading to social problems, traffic would escalate on an already overstretched and dangerous section of the A22, and local services would collapse under further strain.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Linnegar  
106397  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 18a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Linnegar  
106397  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 27b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Adams  
Willingdon and Jevington Parish Council  
106398  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 16a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
THIS WOULD DRAMATICALLY INCREASE THE URBAN SPRAWL BEYOND THE OBVIOUS BOUNDARIES CREATED BY THE A22 (POLEGATE BY PASS) AND A27 AND WOULD HAVE A SEVERE IMPACT ON POLEGATE TOWN.  

Consultee ID: Mrs Adams  
Willingdon and Jevington Parish Council  
106398  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 16b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
THIS WOULD DRAMATICALLY INCREASE THE URBAN SPRAWL BEYOND THE OBVIOUS BOUNDARIES CREATED BY THE A22 (POLEGATE BY PASS) AND A27 AND WOULD HAVE A SEVERE IMPACT ON POLEGATE TOWN.
Option 16c

Reason for comment:
This would dramatically increase the urban sprawl beyond the obvious boundaries created by the A22 (Polegate By Pass) and A27 and would have a severe impact on Polegate Town.

Option 16d

Reason for comment:
We feel that the Polegate by-pass should be the cut off for any building in Polegate, allowing a good strategic gap between Polegate and Hailsham, otherwise we are going to have a vast urban sprawl from Eastbourne to Hailsham. It could be looked at for part housing/industrial/business park with access from the A27/Jubilee Way roundabout. That means people could go to it direct without going through Polegate itself.

Option 16e

Reason for comment:
we feel that the Polegate by-pass should be the cut off for any building in Polegate, allowing a good strategic gap between Polegate and Hailsham otherwise we are going to have a vast urban sprawl from Eastbourne to Hailsham. It could be looked at for part housing/industrial/business park with access from the A27/Jubilee Way roundabout. That means people could go to it direct without going through Polegate itself.
Consultee ID: Mrs Adams  
106398 Willingdon and Jevington Parish Council  

Part of document:  

☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Section of Document:  

Option 16f  

Your View  

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

We wish to retain Hindsland Playing fields for both Willingdon and Polegate residents to use - playing pitches are needed to enhance the area’s facilities, to encourage exercise among all age ranges. The land that adjoins the A2270 could be used for recreational and amenity use and still allow Willingdon to retain its rural/village appearance. It also acts as a good strategic gap between Polegate and Willingdon. Extra funding of £100m has just been announced for sport and physical education, designed to benefit all children and young people aged 5-19 between April 2008 and March 2011. It includes the aim that all 5-19 year olds, regardless of their education or employment setting, will be able to access a further 3 hours each week of varied, high-quality sporting activities over and above that provided at school. The residents could walk to the Railway Station, walk to Polegate to shop and this would help regenerate Polegate – especially if the redevelopment of Polegate Town Centre was to go ahead. All traffic should be directed onto Jubilee Way. The land that adjoins the A2270 could be used for recreational and amenity use and still allow Willingdon to retain its rural/village appearance. It forms an attractive break between Polegate, Lower Willingdon and Willingdon, hiding the development. By Mornings Mill Farm entrance, a Community Centre/Cottage Hospital to serve the immediate area for minor injuries and outpatients like Uckfield Hospital.

Consultee ID: Mrs Adams  
106398 Willingdon and Jevington Parish Council  

Part of document:  

☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Section of Document:  

Option 16g  

Your View  

☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

The area has potential for substantial improvement; regeneration would attract more commerce into the town, which is very well served by public transport.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Adams 106398 Willingdon and Jevington Parish Council

Question 16h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

We would prefer not to have any extra housing and development in the area and when drawing up a plan you should consider the quality of life of the residents already here and the damage any overdevelopment will cause without the appropriate infrastructure in place.

Consultee ID: Mrs Adams 106398 Willingdon and Jevington Parish Council

Question 16i

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

COMMENTS ON STATED AIMS: 1. “Ensuring that the location of development to meet the town’s service and employment needs is co-ordinated with that of Eastbourne, and that public transport links to Eastbourne are improved through a quality bus corridor”. AGREE. We also think that improved road links out of the area, in particular the A27 link to Brighton must be improved to both alleviate congestion and encourage manufacturing and industry into the area. 2. “Improving /redeveloping the Rail Station and adjoining town centre with more intensive use of land and an improved environment and choice of shops”. AGREE. We strongly disagree with any proposal to move the station out of Polegate Town Centre as it would have a very negative effect on the economy of the town. 3. “Respecting and protecting the backdrop and setting of Polegate and Willingdon against the South Downs” AGREE 4. “Taking advantage of the bypass completion to improve the environment and space available for buses, pedestrians and cyclists”. AGREE. We believe the bypass should provide the clear boundary for any development. -Improving gaps in Polegate’s housing stock and in community facilities, including for the elderly, a new sports hall, and good quality new housing. - “Protecting Willingdon’s village like character” and “Providing new parks and open spaces in and around Polegate and Willingdon”. AGREE. In particular we would want to see Hindslands retained as playing fields and the land adjoining the A2270 kept clear of development to retain a green barrier between Polegate and Willingdon along the A2270.
### Question 16j

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Adams  
Willingdon and Jevington Parish Council  

**Reason for comment:**  
The upgrading of the A27 to a dual carriageway should be a top priority to ease the congestion at the traffic lights on the A2270 and the A27.

---

### Option 27b

**Consultee ID:** Mr & Mrs Sofianos  

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 18a

**Consultee ID:** Mr & Mrs Rigby  

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 27b

**Consultee ID:** Mr & Mrs Rigby  

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106401</td>
<td></td>
<td>option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106401</td>
<td></td>
<td>option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Payling</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106402</td>
<td></td>
<td>option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Williams</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106403</td>
<td></td>
<td>option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Williams  Agent ID:
106403

Part of document:  
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hainey  Agent ID:
106404

Part of document:  
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hainey  Agent ID:
106404

Part of document:  
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Williams  Agent ID:
106405

Part of document:  
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms Williams</td>
<td></td>
<td>Transport Needs</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106405</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Cooper</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106406</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Cooper</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106406</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Williams</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106407</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Williams</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106407</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106408</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Tinsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106409</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Tinsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106409</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I disagree with any development in and around Berwick because of the implication on the wildlife in this area, especially on the brown field site where the habitat is quite rare.
Consultee ID: Thompson  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:

Land in the area of A22/ Arlington Road East as shown on map. With the increased activity at Knockhatch Adventure Park, Arlington Stadium, increasing activity events at Michelham Priory and traffic along Arlington Road West itself the area is no longer an countryside in a remote location. The area at present is in fact dangerous for walkers and horse riders due to volume and speed of traffic. The area as shown in submitted plan, has housing albeit sparsely located along both sides of the road so is not an encroachment into open countryside, most of the land was a dairy farm until ot lost its quota and was split into smaller parcels - and is open virgin countryside so no problem with ancient woodlands or footpaths. At the end of the site marked are landfills sites which could either be incorpoted into the developement areas or kept as protected areas. In addition the road access is good. Further details on submitted letter.

Consultee ID: Miss Byford  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Consultee ID: Miss Byford  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:

Large numbers of housing developments will bring with it extra household waste and car pollution, and strain on already overstretched resources.
Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 2b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
If we need to build houses for people it should be for those who need to live, work and spend in the local area - not more commuting satellites from London.

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Agent ID: 106411

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Agent ID: 106411

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Agent ID: 106411

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Agent ID: 106411

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Concerned about where the 'criterias' stop when pushing boundaries back involving AONB and greenbelt.
Consultee ID: Miss Byford

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Assuming there are more sites for redevelopment within urban areas and not wanting to join up villages with outer growth I think that 80% is fair.

Consultee ID: Miss Byford

Part of document: Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Consultee ID: Miss Byford

Part of document: Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Consultee ID: Miss Byford

Part of document: Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Byford  
106411  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- Option 7b  
- Option 7c  
- Option 7d  
- Question 7e  

Section of Document:  

Reason for comment:  

Local services such as pubs and shops are essential in a local community.
Consultee ID: Miss Byford  
Agent ID: 106411

Part of document:  
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford  
Agent ID: 106411

Part of document:  
Question Ten

Section of Document:  
Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford  
Agent ID: 106411

Part of document:  
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford  
Agent ID: 106411

Part of document:  
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Byford  
106411  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16c  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Miss Byford  
106411  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16d  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Miss Byford  
106411  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16f  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Miss Byford  
106411  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16g  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Byford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 18b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Byford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 18c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Byford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 18d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Byford

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Question 18k

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Question 23f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Byford
106411

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
106411

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
106411

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
106411

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Agent ID: 106411

Part of document: Option 25g
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Agent ID: 106411

Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Agent ID: 106411

Part of document: Option 25e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford
Agent ID: 106411

Part of document: Option 25f
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Byford 106411

Part of document: Option 26a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford 106411

Part of document: Option 26b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford 106411

Part of document: Option 27a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Byford 106411

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Dislike the answer to train service being predicated on development.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Byford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 27d

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View**
  - [x] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [ ] Disagree

- **Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Byford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 27c

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View**
  - [x] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [ ] Disagree

- **Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Byford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 27e

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View**
  - [x] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [ ] Disagree

- **Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Byford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 28a

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View**
  - [x] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [ ] Disagree

- **Reason for comment:**
### Consultee ID: Miss Byford

#### Agent ID:

106411

#### Part of document: Option 28b

- **Document**
- **Chapter**
- **Section**
- **Option**

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment:**

Concerns over the method and clarity of consultation. Worries over how the 'South East Plan' will affect tourism.

### Consultee ID: Miss Byford

#### Agent ID:

106411

#### Part of document: Option 28c

- **Document**
- **Chapter**
- **Section**
- **Option**

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment:**

### Consultee ID: Miss Byford

#### Agent ID:

106411

#### Part of document: Question 32b

- **Document**
- **Chapter**
- **Section**
- **Option**

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment:**

### Consultee ID: Mr Adams

#### Agent ID:

106413

#### Part of document: Part 5

- **Document**
- **Chapter**
- **Section**
- **Option**

#### Section of Document: Wealden's Places

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 18b
Reason for comment:
HALLAND EXPANDED VILLAGE It is my intention to consider the direct effects in the village of Halland if the proposals outlined at the Parish Council meeting held at East Hoathly on 28th July, 2005 are carried through namely 1800-2800 new dwellings. 1. The expansion in terms of housing will presumably mean also the expansion of boundary limits to accommodate such developments. As suggested at the meeting the south side of the roundabout which bisects the B2102/A22 would be a starting point as this area is not considered to be on a flood plane. As a resident of Halland for 27 years and living on the A22 I have observed flooding on a regular basis with surface water from the roundabout (A22) and running south from the area of the Cottinghams Nursery – the so called collection point is near the speed camera it then flows under the A22 via a 10” pipe only, into an open water course across my land on to lower fields near the sewage treatment works. The point I emphasise, any further development will no doubt create further flooding to the A22 from the high ground. 2. Halland does not have it’s future in its ‘own hands’ the influences will come from outside its own boundaries, i.e. additional traffic, more pollution, increased ‘nose to tail’ bottle necks along the A22 which restricts access to residents to the A22. Speeding vehicles travelling south with little or no control over speed resulting in children and parents being dangerously at risk of injury. 3. Safety factors along A22- at a minimum, i.e. no recognised pedestrian footpath travelling south, chevron traffic signs prior to roundabout, are a safety hazard for pedestrians. Finally, my previous comments are essentially to emphasise the greater volume of traffic both day and night. People are unable to open windows because of excessive noise and pollutions levels. This is nothing short of a nightmare for residents along the A22 through Halland. I am therefore reversing my decision taken at the East Hoathly meeting which was to agree an expansion of the village development. My total disagreement now is for one reason and one reason only and that there is no real indication from either document i.e. Consultation of update of Parish Plan or the Wealdon Core Strategy that a single carriage way/bypass will ever be planned let alone developed. This is nothing more than short sightedness that will heap misery on both motorists and residents alike. Putting the ‘cart before the horse’ would aptly describe this folly.

Consultee ID: Tuppenney
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 20b
Reason for comment:
At present there are significant problems with traffic and parking. Many roads are passable only in single file especially in the evenings due to parking. Whilst there is access to the railway station the car park is overflowing with cars parked on the access roads. Services and the village infrastructure are stretched already, South East Water and the Parish Council have already logged complaints about planning for some areas for very good reason. The mains services water e.g. are already a problem remember last year we had a drought. Extra housing requires extra facilities e.g. Doctors, schools, parking, water and drainage supplies etc. This plan is a bad idea for the existing occupants.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cartwright</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106416</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option □</td>
<td>Option 14h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cartwright</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106416</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option □</td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cartwright</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106416</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option □</td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Cartwright</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106417</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option □</td>
<td>Option 14h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Cartwright
106417

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Cousins
106418

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Cousins
106418

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mr cousins Agent ID: 106418
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins Agent ID: 106418
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins Agent ID: 106418
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mr cousins
Agent ID:
106418

Part of document:-option

Section of Document:

Your View:
Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins
Agent ID:
106418

Part of document:-option

Section of Document:

Your View:
Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins
Agent ID:
106418

Part of document:-option

Section of Document:

Your View:
Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106418
Part of document: Option 4d
Option 5a
Option 5b
Option 6a

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106418
Part of document: Option 4d
Option 5a
Option 5b
Option 6a

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mr cousins Agent ID: 106418

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins Agent ID: 106418

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins Agent ID: 106418

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins Agent ID: 106418

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106418</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106418</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106418</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106418</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part of document: Option 11a
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 11b
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 11c
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mr cousins
Part of document: Option 11c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins
Part of document: Option 11d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
due to the lack of public transport to tunbridge wells

Consultee ID: mr cousins
Part of document: Option 11e
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins
Part of document: Question 11f
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
the supply of a modern public transport system has to be provided also the low bridge in jarvis brook must be resolved as to much heavy goods traffic uses western road
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106418</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 11g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106418</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106418</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106418</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: mr cousins
Part of document: Option 12d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins
Part of document: Option 12e
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins
Part of document: Option 12e
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins
Part of document: Option 12f
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mr cousins
Agent ID:
106418

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 12g

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins
Agent ID:
106418

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 12h

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins
Agent ID:
106418

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 12i

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins
Agent ID:
106418

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Part of document:  

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  

Agent ID:

Part of document:  

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  

Agent ID:

Part of document:  

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  

Agent ID:

Part of document:  

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mr cousins  
Agent ID: 106418  
Option 14d  
Section of Document:  
Your View: ✓ Agree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: mr cousins  
Agent ID: 106418  
Option 14e  
Section of Document:  
Your View: ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: mr cousins  
Agent ID: 106418  
Option 14f  
Section of Document:  
Your View: ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: mr cousins  
Agent ID: 106418  
Option 14g  
Section of Document:  
Your View: ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mr cousins  
Part of document: Option 14h  
Section of Document:  
Your View: ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins  
Part of document: Question 14i  
Section of Document:  
Your View: ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins  
Part of document: Question 14j  
Section of Document:  
Your View: ☑ Agree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins  
Part of document: Question 14k  
Section of Document:  
Your View: ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106418</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 25a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

but less flats as there is a over supply of flats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106418</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 24a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106418</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 24b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106418</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 24c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: mr cousins
106418

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

but the % should be higher

Consultee ID: mr cousins
106418

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins
106418

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cousins
106418

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106418 
mr cousins

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106418 
mr cousins

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106418 
mr cousins

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106418 
mr cousins

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106418</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106418</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106418</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cousins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106418</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 27f
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 28a
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 28b
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

but funds must be spent in the town where the funds are given

Option 28c
Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Question 31a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

but with low housing density
Consultee ID: Mr Wade
Part of document: Option 12e
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
There are a number of reasons why development should not even be considered for the area south of Sandy Cross Lane. Chief is the fact that this is in an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, where development should never take place. Sandy Cross Lane itself is a narrow country lane, scarcely wide enough for two cars to pass in places, and already made dangerous by speeding traffic and its use as a rat run to Heathfield College. It is certainly not suitable for heavy vehicles - just imagine how many lorry journeys would be needed for 200 homes! Pedestrians using the lane already take a considerable risk - there are no pavements at all. The lane has poor access to the Hailsham Road, and access to the main town is very poor without a car - more emissions, more traffic! The infrastructure of Heathfield as a whole cannot support any wholesale development - local jobs are at a premium, local services are already stretched, the roads clog up for the slightest problem and we don't even have a proper police presence. On a wider scale, water is often scarce in this area - can the supply cope with vastly increased demand? In addition, I would be concerned about potential structural damage to my house, built near the road at a time when the heaviest traffic was a horse and cart. I would wholeheartedly oppose any development in this area, in any way necessary.

Consultee ID: Norton
Part of document: Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Reason for comment:
Largely disagree - 'some development' is not quantified; public transport totally inadequate so further development might add significantly to car journeys; any building on green field areas is going to reduce the land's capacity to absorb rainfall and add to existing run-off problems - such as we have seen during the extremely heavy rain on 20 July. There might be a case for a very small amount of development on redundant brownfield eyesore sites

Consultee ID: Norton
Part of document: Option 2a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Target not high enough
Consultee ID: Norton  
106420

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I do not think a 10% target is enough. Apart from the inevitable rises in the prices of fossil fuels as reserves run down (no significant new oil discoveries having been made in recent years), there is increasing competition for existing reserves from developing countries (as well as the geopolitics issue of depending on fossil fuels in areas of political instability). The cost of extraction increases as reserves in more difficult places are developed - North Sea oil did not become economic to extract until the oil crises of the 1970s forced up the price. So as the cost of fossil fuels rises, renewables will become more economic than they seem at present. And we are still a VERY long way from the hydrogen economy. Building regs need to enforce the use of solar energy in new buildings (more likely thermal rather than photovoltaics for the foreseeable future) There is scope for biofuel usage via coppicing of wood. There might be scope for use of ground source heat pumps in new buildings (if a hole is being dug in the ground for the foundations anyway it could perfectly well be adapted to incorporate heat pump technology). I think wind turbines are less unsightly than nuclear power stations. Any increase in use of renewable energy needs to be coupled with improved building insulation standards and developers must not be allowed to wriggle out of their obligations to meet better standards.

Consultee ID: Norton  
106420

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Provided we are talking about brownfield sites

Consultee ID: Norton  
106420

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Norton
Agent ID: 106420
Part of document: Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Certainly if it could reduce distances of travel to work

Consultee ID: Norton
Agent ID: 106420
Part of document: Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Certainly if it could reduce distances of travel to work

Consultee ID: Norton
Agent ID: 106420
Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Extending settlement boundaries would lead to creeping development and reduce countryside gaps e.g. between Uckfield and Maresfield

Consultee ID: Norton
Agent ID: 106420
Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Norton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106420</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Norton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106420</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree insofar as I think the question needs amplification and clarification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Norton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106420</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Norton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106420</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seems inevitable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Norton
106420

Part of document: Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I think Marefield should be changed to intermediate growth

Consultee ID: Norton
106420

Part of document: Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I think Marefield should be changed to intermediate growth

Consultee ID: Norton
106420

Part of document: Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Uckfield is already becoming too much of a sprawl. It should not be expected to cope with further significant development without the flood issues being addressed properly and without reinstatement of the Uckfield-Lewes rail link. Traffic problems in the High Street now as bad as before the bypass was built. In my view a fatal mistake was made in not including access to the bypass from the middle of Uckfield (Church Street - Rocks Road)

Consultee ID: Norton
106420

Part of document: Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No development in AONB around Crowborough should be allowed. Inadequate westward transport links
Consultee ID: Norton
106420

Part of document: Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Qualified agreement on the grounds that the development is distributed as widely among the 3 towns as possible. However would oppose development in the AONB.

Consultee ID: Norton
106420

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
30+ and 15+ are meaningless figures. No more than 10 for villages.

Consultee ID: Norton
106420

Part of document: Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
As long as building is confined to the brownfield sites and new development suitably protected against flooding. Luxfords Field should not be touched.

Consultee ID: Norton
106420

Part of document: Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Uckfield should not be allowed to extend west of the by-pass. If it did so extend, sooner or later there will be another by-pass....
Consultee ID: Norton  
106420

**Part of document:**  
- Document: ☑️
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑️

**Section of Document:** Option 18a

**Your View:**  
- Agree: ☐
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☑️

**Reason for comment:**  
New settlement inappropriate - would need new infrastructure. Flood risk area.

---

Consultee ID: Norton  
106420

**Part of document:**  
- Document: ☑️
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑️

**Section of Document:** Question 18k

**Your View:**  
- Agree: ☐
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☑️

**Reason for comment:**  
New settlements undesirable in principle, because of the risk of gaps between new and old settlements being built over in the far future.

---

Consultee ID: Norton  
106420

**Part of document:**  
- Document: ☑️
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑️

**Section of Document:** Option 21a

**Your View:**  
- Agree: ☑️
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☐

**Reason for comment:**  
Potential for some development but 500 new houses far too many in relation to existing size of village.

---

Consultee ID: Norton  
106420

**Part of document:**  
- Document: ☑️
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑️

**Section of Document:** Option 25a

**Your View:**  
- Agree: ☑️
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☐

**Reason for comment:**  

Consultee ID: Norton

Part of document: Option 24b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Higher housing density would hopefully preclude 3-bed houses being extended into 5-bed ones at a future date, thereby rendering them unaffordable.

Consultee ID: Norton

Part of document: Option 25b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Norton

Part of document: Option 25c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Ill-thought-out question. If you want to put 500 new houses in Maresfield are you seriously suggesting that 250 of them would be affordable housing?

Consultee ID: Norton

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Small amount of affordable housing according to local needs - and it should not subsequently be sold on the open market.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Norton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106420</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25e**

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment**: Development boundaries should be adhered to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Norton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106420</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25f**

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment**: Agree up to a point but what happens when the 'granny flat' or whatever is no longer needed for that purpose?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Norton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106420</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25g**

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment**: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Norton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106420</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27a**

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment**: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Norton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106420</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Norton</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Norton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Apart from the impact on the South Downs there is a risk that improving the road to this extent would encourage more and/or longer journeys by road - undesirable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Norton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Norton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Norton

Part of document: Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Norton

Part of document: Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Norton

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Norton

Part of document: Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
I don't feel preserving the landscape is compatible with the rest of the statement
Consultee ID: 106420
Norton

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
This is a most unsatisfactory question - some of the objectives conflict with others

Consultee ID: 106421
Mr Prigmore

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106421
Mr Prigmore

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106421
Mr Prigmore

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 3a</th>
<th>Option 3b</th>
<th>Option 3c</th>
<th>Option 3d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Prigmore</td>
<td>Mr Prigmore</td>
<td>Mr Prigmore</td>
<td>Mr Prigmore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>106421</td>
<td>106421</td>
<td>106421</td>
<td>106421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
106421

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
106421

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
106421

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
106421

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
Consultee ID: 106421
Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
Consultee ID: 106421
Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
Consultee ID: 106421
Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106421

Mr Prigmore

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106421

Mr Prigmore

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106421

Mr Prigmore

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106421

Mr Prigmore

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>√ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>√ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>√ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>√ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID:  Mr Prigmore
106421

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 9c

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Prigmore
106421

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  Question Ten
Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Prigmore
106421

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  Option 11a

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Prigmore
106421

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  Option 11b

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Option 11c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 11d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 11e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 11g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore  
106421

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore  
106421

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore  
106421

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore  
106421

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: 106421
Mr Prigmore

Part of document:  
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106421
Mr Prigmore

Part of document:  
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106421
Mr Prigmore

Part of document:  
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106421
Mr Prigmore

Part of document:  
Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Prigmore</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 18j

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 19a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 19b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 19c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 19d
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 19e
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 20a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 20b
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 20c**

**Part of document:**
- Document: Yes
- Chapter: No
- Section: No
- Option: Yes

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: No
- No opinion: Yes
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21a**

**Part of document:**
- Document: Yes
- Chapter: No
- Section: No
- Option: Yes

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: No
- No opinion: Yes
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21b**

**Part of document:**
- Document: Yes
- Chapter: No
- Section: No
- Option: Yes

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: No
- No opinion: Yes
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21c**

**Part of document:**
- Document: Yes
- Chapter: No
- Section: No
- Option: Yes

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: No
- No opinion: Yes
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
106421

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
106421

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
106421

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore
106421

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 22b</td>
<td>Option 22c</td>
<td>Option 22d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Prigmore</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 23b
- Option 23c
- Option 23d
- Option 25a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore

Part of document: Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore

Part of document: Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree, ☑ No opinion, ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Prigmore

Part of document: Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree, ☑ No opinion, ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 24d**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25d**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25e**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[x] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25f**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[x] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25g**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 26a**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section <strong>✓ option</strong> Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion <strong>✓ Disagree</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section <strong>✓ option</strong> Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td><strong>✓ Agree</strong> □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section <strong>✓ option</strong> Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion <strong>✓ Disagree</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section <strong>✓ option</strong> Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion <strong>✓ Disagree</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Prigmore</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106421</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Disagree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Disagree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Disagree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Prigmore</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106421</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Disagree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr. Prigmore (Consultee ID: 106421) Agreement: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Carter Lewis & Co (Consultee ID: 106422) Agreement: Agree

Option 23b

Reason for comment:

To put forward a site located at the South East end of the village of Lower Horsebridge to the North of Hailsham. An area of 0.8 Ha. For residential or mixed use purposes. Existing access from the A271. Close proximity to Hailsham town. Full details within the submitted letter.

Dade Speer Dade Planning Consultants (Consultee ID: 106423) Agreement: Agree

Option 12e

Reason for comment:

Confirming on behalf of landowners that the land as submitted within letter can be made available for housing.

Mr. Spillman (Consultee ID: 106425) Agreement: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th><strong>Option 2a</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th><strong>Option 2b</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th><strong>Option 3a</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th><strong>Option 3b</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Agent ID: 106425

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Agent ID: 106425

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Agent ID: 106425

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Agent ID: 106425

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
No development
Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document:  [□] document  [□] chapter  [□] section  [☑] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [□] Agree  [☑] No opinion  [□] Disagree

Reason for comment:

No development

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document:  [□] document  [□] chapter  [□] section  [☑] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [☑] Agree  [□] No opinion  [□] Disagree

Reason for comment:

No development

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document:  [□] document  [□] chapter  [□] section  [☑] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [□] Agree  [☑] No opinion  [□] Disagree

Reason for comment:

No development

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document:  [□] document  [□] chapter  [□] section  [☑] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [□] Agree  [□] No opinion  [☑] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106425</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 8b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Spillman</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 8c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Spillman</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 8d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Spillman</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 9a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Spillman</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 9b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree: No
- No opinion: No
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 9c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree: No
- No opinion: No
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question Ten**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Site Allocation Thresholds**

**Your View**

- Agree: No
- No opinion: No
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 11a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✗</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree: No
- No opinion: No
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mr Spillman

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question 11g

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question 11h

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

No development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 12a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 12b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 12c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 12d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 12e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 12f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 12g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
No development

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14e

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No development
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 14j</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 15a</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15b</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15c</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>Mr Spillman</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15d
- Option 15e
- Option 15f
- Question 15g

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- No development
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 15h**

**Reason for comment:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16a**

**Reason for comment:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16b**

**Reason for comment:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16c**

**Reason for comment:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree
Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Consultee ID: 106425
Part of document: Option 16d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Consultee ID: 106425
Part of document: Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Consultee ID: 106425
Part of document: Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Consultee ID: 106425
Part of document: Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Question 16h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

No development

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Question 16i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 17a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 17b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Spillman  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 17c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 17d
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment: No development

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18b
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 18k

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
No development

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 18c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 18d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 18e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment:   |             |          |         |        |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment:   |             |          |         |        |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment:   |             |          |         |        |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 19c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Reason for comment:   |             |          |         |        |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19d**

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19e**

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 19f**

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ✓ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- No development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 20a**

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 21g

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- No development

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 20b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- No development

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 20c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- No development

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 20d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- No development
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106425</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Spillman</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21e</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106425</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21f</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106425</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 22a</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106425</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 22b</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Agent ID: 106425

Part of document: Option 22c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Agent ID: 106425

Part of document: Option 22d
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Agent ID: 106425

Part of document: Question 22e
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  ☑ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment: No development

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
Agent ID: 106425

Part of document: Option 23a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 23e

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- No development

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Question 23f

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 24a

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 24b

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106425  Mr Spillman  Agent ID: 
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 24c  
Section of Document: 
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree 
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: 106425  Mr Spillman  Agent ID: 
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 24d  
Section of Document: 
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree 
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: 106425  Mr Spillman  Agent ID: 
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25b  
Section of Document: 
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree 
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: 106425  Mr Spillman  Agent ID: 
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25c  
Section of Document: 
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree 
Reason for comment: 

Page 2199 of 6217
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25d**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25e**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25f**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25g**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 106425
Mr Spillman

Part of document: Option 26a
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106425
Mr Spillman

Part of document: Option 26b
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106425
Mr Spillman

Part of document: Option 27a
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106425
Mr Spillman

Part of document: Option 27b
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106425  
Mr Spillman  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106425  
Mr Spillman  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☒ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106425  
Mr Spillman  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106425  
Mr Spillman  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Spillman
106425

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

No development
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 32a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td>No development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 32b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Johnston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106427</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vital. Using wood to produce heat and electricity is both carbon neutral and good for the woodland and it's biodiversity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Churchill</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106429</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td>To make available 2 Acres of land in the Eastern end of Buxted. Access onto Redbrook Lane and onto A272. Details and map within submitted representation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Duncan
Part of document: Option 14a
Reason for comment:
Your View: No opinion

Consultee ID: Mr O’NEILL
Part of document: Option 14a
Reason for comment:
Overload of infrastructure, traffic congestion, loss of countryside, effect to wildlife, greater risk of flooding, particularly Shortbridge stream that runs thru my property.

Consultee ID: Mr O’NEILL
Part of document: Option 14b
Reason for comment:
overload of infrastructure, traffic congestion, loss of countryside, loss of wildlife habitat.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr O’NEILL</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106432</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 21a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Option 21c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Infrastructure overload, Buxted is already congested with parked traffic. Loss of countryside & wildlife.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hardy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106433</td>
<td>Uckfield &amp; District Preservation Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 14c**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- The area is unsuitable as the Framfield Road is already badly congested and provides access to the Hospital.
Option 14g

Reason for comment:
The town is already divided by heavy traffic right through its centre. Development of town facilities is necessary but building houses in this area can only cause more damage in an area where only recently the drainage system failed. In the last 10 years Infill building has not only aggravated surface water flooding but is starting to obscure views in the Town's Conservation area and some listed buildings.

Option 14h

Reason for comment:

Requests should be made for assurance that drainage and water supply systems on which Uckfield depends and which may be situated inside or outside the Wealden have the necessary capacity and are storm and flood proof. This should apply to all vital infrastructures e.g. gas, electricity, fire and police.
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Consultee ID: Mr Hardy
106433 Uckfield & District Preservation Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 14i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All new buildings should be required to meet better storm and flood standards

Consultee ID: Mr Hardy
106433 Uckfield & District Preservation Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 14j

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hardy
106433 Uckfield & District Preservation Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 14k

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
As the number of new houses in the Wealden area is to be about 10400 in 20 years time has the possibility of a new settlement been considered? This would reduce the possibility of more infrastructure failures in the overloaded parts of the Wealden area and make the new housing scheme more sustainable. The underlying problem for the western world is that it is outgrowing the world's natural resources. China has had a population control system in action for some time now.
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Consultee ID: Mr Sharp Agent ID:
106435

Part of document: Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
This portion of land provides a haven for wild-life, is a buffer between the by-pass and existing housing and provides a valuable soakaway for rainfall - do we really want a concrete, tarmac and shoebox house jungle adding to the flooding potential to already inadequate drains. There are already "Industrial" unit vacancies in Polegate and Eastbourne so the spin about providing local jobs is a red herring. Most people will work where the money is and that is NOT in Polegate. Inadequate schools capacity, dental and medical shortfalls and an overstretched and probably soon to be reduced hospital provision make this and many other proposals a recipe for disaster not to mention the appalling increase in road traffic that will result as we already have an up to capacity train service and virtually no spare station car park potential due to the erection of the "station slums" the Appeals Inspector permitted on "surplus" shoppers car park land adjacent to Polegate station.

Consultee ID: 106436

Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106436

Part of document: Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 4a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 4b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4c

**Section of Document:**

| Your View |  | No opinion | Disagree |
|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Agree     |           | No opinion | Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 6a

**Section of Document:**

| Your View |  | No opinion | Disagree |
|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Agree     |           | No opinion | Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4d

**Section of Document:**

| Your View |  | No opinion | Disagree |
|-----------|-----------|------------|
|           | Agree     | No opinion | Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 5a

**Section of Document:**

| Your View |  | No opinion | Disagree |
|-----------|-----------|------------|
|           | Agree     | No opinion | Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option [✓]

**Option 8a**

#### Section of Document:
**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option [✓]

**Question Ten**

#### Section of Document:
**Site Allocation Thresholds**
**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option [✓]

**Option 15a**

#### Section of Document:
**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option [✓]

**Option 15b**

#### Section of Document:
**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** option 15c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** option 15d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** option 15e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** option 15f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
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Consultee ID: 106436

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106436

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106436

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106436

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106436

Part of document: ☑  Option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑  No opinion

Reason for comment:

There should be no development west of A22.

Consultee ID: 106436

Part of document: ☑  Option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑  No opinion

Consultee ID: 106436

Part of document: ☑  Option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑  No opinion

Consultee ID: 106436

Part of document: ☑  Option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑  No opinion
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

**Option 25b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 106436  
Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 106436  
Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 106436  
Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 106436  
Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✗ option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106436

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106436

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106436

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106436

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 28b**

**Your View** ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 28c**

**Your View** ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106436</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Question Thirty**

**Infrastructure Requirements**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106437</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 2a**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This level is far too low. Future development must not ignore knowledge of climate change.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Fagan
106437

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
New domestic housing should be built to a minimum standard of 3 star as defined by The Code for Sustainable Homes with immediate effect, and this standard be raised by one star grade each year, reaching the maximum standard of 6 star by 2012.

Consultee ID: Mr Fagan
106437

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I believe a 20% increase in population is not conducive to today's concept of sustainable development. The village currently struggles to meet its requirements for local education, health and social services. Furthermore the proposed locations have a number of questionable features. They begin the process of physically linking Forest Row to East Grinstead losing the village lifestyle, both locations are of a hilly nature with serious risk of run off causing flooding lower down, and most importantly a major portion is agricultural land. It is a well known issue in national news that the present system of relying on long distance food is unsustainalbe. We need to preserve as much agricultural land for future food requirements as possible.

Consultee ID: Mr Fagan
106437

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
These should indicate created as affordable housing with measures to prevent buy to let.
Consultee ID: Mr Fagan

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This does not seem conducive to affordable housing.

Consultee ID: Mr Fagan

Part of document: Option 24b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This is probably the minimum if affordable housing is to be the main element of any new development.

Consultee ID: Mr Fagan

Part of document: Option 24c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Agree on the basis that the main purpose of any development will be for affordable housing. We know that the cost of housing in this area is very high.

Consultee ID: Mr Fagan

Part of document: Option 25b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Affordable housing is the only viable solution to the housing crisis facing young people.
| Consultee ID: | Mr Fagan | Agent ID: | 106437 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Option 25c |
| **Section of Document:** |
| **Your View** | ☐ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☑ Disagree |
| **Reason for comment:** |
| This seems like too good an opportunity for developers to make a profit. |

| Consultee ID: | Mr Fagan | Agent ID: | 106437 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Option 25d |
| **Section of Document:** |
| **Your View** | ☐ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☑ Disagree |
| **Reason for comment:** |
| Not without major advances in public transport. |

| Consultee ID: | Mr Fagan | Agent ID: | 106437 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Option 25f |
| **Section of Document:** |
| **Your View** | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| **Reason for comment:** |

| Consultee ID: | Mr Fagan | Agent ID: | 106437 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Option 25g |
| **Section of Document:** |
| **Your View** | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| **Reason for comment:** |
Reason for comment:
The nature of this consultation exercise causes me great concern for the way in democracy is heading in Wealden. The reasons for this statement are: -Wealden District Council has already endorsed, at Cabinet level, on the 6th December 2006 and the 1st August 2007, the decision to proceed with the Eastbourne / Hailsham Triangle Blueprint. No mention of this STRATEGIC decision is made in the consultation document. -The pamphlet sent out to all households on the consultation was masked by the inclusion of a larger, generic Council document. -The timing and duration of the consultation (holiday and 6 weeks) were both inadequate to allow full participation. -The strong preference for internet participation effectively cut out the rural community who do not, in the main, enjoy broadband and so either had no connectivity or used dial-up - with attendant problems of speed and cost. -The £15 cost of the document disenfranchised many in the community who, not being able to purchase the document, would not be able to submit a paper-based return. -The Wealden statement (Hailsham Gazette 8th Aug) that they would 'only accept representations based on accurate assessments of developments'. This is an assumption that the Council's interpretation is the only view which will be acceptable. -The potential for the views of rural communities to be overwhelmed by those in the towns - although there may be a 50/50 town/rural split in the population, a single town will always have a larger number than the individual communities around it.

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106438</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Collins
Agent ID: 106438

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
Agent ID: 106438

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
Agent ID: 106438

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
Agent ID: 106438

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106438

Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106438

Part of document: Question 5c
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Any new housing should be distributed equally throughout Wealden to minimise the impact on communities.

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106438

Part of document: Question 6d
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Distribute the housing across Wealden to minimise the impact upon communities.

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106438

Part of document: Option 7a
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Collins
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Collins  
106438  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
☑ option  

Section of Document:  Option 8a  

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: Mr Collins  
106438  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
☑ option  

Section of Document:  Option 8c  

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: Mr Collins  
106438  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
☑ option  

Section of Document:  Option 8d  

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: Mr Collins  
106438  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
☑ option  

Section of Document:  Question Ten  

Your View  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  Each development should be judged on its own merits.
Consultee ID: Mr Collins
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The A22 acts as a clear boundary between town and rural areas. This was recently recognised with the transferring of land and properties to the west of the A22 from Hailsham Town to Arlington Parish. The development of commercial / industrial / business to the west of the A22 will be the forerunner of a massive increase in the size of Hailsham and the loss of countryside.
Consultee ID: Mr Collins  
Agent ID: 106438

Part of document: Option 16b
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins  
Agent ID: 106438

Part of document: Option 16c
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins  
Agent ID: 106438

Part of document: Option 16d
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins  
Agent ID: 106438

Part of document: Option 16e
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106438
Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106438
Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106438
Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106438
Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Collins

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins

Part of document: Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins

Part of document: Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins

Part of document: Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The South East Plan has no stated requirement for a new settlement to meet the number of houses required in it. The proposals contained with the Core Strategy for new settlement(s) are unwelcome, and fly in the face of one of the key statements in the Strategy document, namely, 'the Cuckmere Valley, the last unspoiled river estuary in the South East, near the famous cliffs of the Seven Sisters'. The locations of most, if not all, of the new settlements is such that the Cuckmere Valley will not remain unspoilt.
Consultee ID: Mr Collins
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 23a
- Option 23b
- Option 23c
- Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 23a
- Option 23b
- Option 23c
- Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 23a
- Option 23b
- Option 23c
- Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106438</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106438</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106438</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106438</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[x] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106438</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Collins  Agent ID:
106438

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins  Agent ID:
106438

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins  Agent ID:
106438

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The introduction of a Parkway station will add to traffic movements and lead to more congestion and pollution.

Consultee ID: Mr Collins  Agent ID:
106438

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Dualling of the A27, or any other road, acts to increase traffic and after a short period congestion is back to where it was before. Look at the M25 to see how bigger roads generate even more traffic.
Consultee ID: Mr Collins 106438

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This will simply increase traffic volumes.

Consultee ID: Mr Collins 106438

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins 106438

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins 106438

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The Council should question the morality of the planning benefit' regime.
Mr Collins

Question Twenty Nine

Housing Delivery

Agree

Your View

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

Reason for comment:

A simple Yes / No answer to 4 and half pages does not allow the level of granularity required.

Question Thirty

Infrastructure Requirements

Disagree

Reason for comment:

The Eastbourne / Hailsham Blueprint had already been agreed between Eastbourne Borough Council and Wealden District Council Cabinets on the 6th December 2006 prior to this Core Strategy consultation. I disagree with both that decision and the Triangle. I therefore cannot agree with WDC's spatial vision.

Question 31a

Reason for comment:

The Eastbourne / Hailsham Blueprint had already been agreed between Eastbourne Borough Council and Wealden District Council Cabinets on the 6th December 2006 prior to this Core Strategy consultation. I disagree with both that decision and the Triangle. I therefore cannot agree with WDC's spatial vision.

Question 32a

Reason for comment:

Whilst there are laudable objectives in this local spatial vision, there are a number of objectives that I would not support such as SO5, SO10, and SO12 - the latter because of the adverse impact on villages' way of life caused by the Eastbourne / Hailsham Triangle.
Consultee ID: Mr Sullivan

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
There is much less despoilation, greater closeness to infrastructure and less destruction of natural rural amenities

Consultee ID: Mr Sullivan

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
(From Mrs J Sullivan same address as Mr M Sullivan) This would be a serious despoilation of the entire area around the C39. Berwick, Lower Dicker and Arlington would all be badly affected. Quite apart from insufficient infrastructure the continuous movement of construction traffic would severely destroy the peaceful country environment of those who live in and around Berwick. Huge negative impact on: Roads/water supply/sewage/wildlife

Consultee ID: Mr Sullivan

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
The choking effect on the infrastructure - roads, sewage, water supply, schools and a massive loss of amenity in sight of the South Downs means this area will be totally ruined by any major development here. The scope for social problems created by a huge settlement is enormous. The proposed site is also an SSI.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Sullivan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106440</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- ✔ chapter  
- □ section  
- □ option  

**Part 10**  

**Section of Document:**  
Details of How to Comment

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Sullivan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106440</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- ✔ chapter  
- □ section  
- □ option  

**Part 10**  

**Section of Document:**  
Details of How to Comment

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106441</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- ✔ section  
- □ option  

**Question One**  

**Section of Document:**  
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106441</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

**Option 2b**  

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✔ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106441</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106441</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106441</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106441</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares
Agent ID: 106441

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares
Agent ID: 106441

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares
Agent ID: 106441

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Extremely important to maintain boundaries in Forest Row and surrounding area

Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares
Agent ID: 106441

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares
106441

Part of document: Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View: [☐] Agree  [☐] No opinion  [☒] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares
106441

Part of document: Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View: [☐] Agree  [☐] No opinion  [☒] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares
106441

Part of document: Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View: [☑] Agree  [☐] No opinion  [☐] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares
106441

Part of document: Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View: [☐] Agree  [☐] No opinion  [☒] Disagree

Reason for comment:
I do not think the criteria given above are clear enough to comment
Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Section of Document: Option 8d
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Section of Document: Option 9a
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Section of Document: Option 9b
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Section of Document: Question Ten
Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment: no more than 10
Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares
106441

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares
106441

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares
106441

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106441</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19d</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106441</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19e</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106441</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 19f</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the suggested growth potential for Forest Row is around 20% of what already exists

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106441</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 20a</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares  
106441

Part of document:  
- ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares  
106441

Part of document:  
- ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares  
106441

Part of document:  
- ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Crozier Meares  
106441

Part of document:  
- ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106441</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>should be 60% at least</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106441</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Crozier Meares</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106441</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106441</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106441</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106441</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106441</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 27b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106441</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 27c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Crozier Meares</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106441</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 27c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Houses should not be built at the rear of the Community Centre in Hailsham but a round cycle track all round the edge leading into car park by the new school with entrance from Marshfoot, Magam Down, Battle Road, (from Cuckoo Walk exit at Harmers Hay). The centre would be a green park of walks, running track, cycle ramps, green spaces for picnics, events, fireworks, maybe a bandstand and space for sports, somewhere for all the young and old making Hailsham a safe country town where people will want to spend time without a car, a charge could be made for safe parking of bicycles ect. With all extra traffic that will be coming into Hailsham roads will be at a standstill cycle paths onto Hailsham would make it safer. I would like this to happen for my grandchildren and future people of Hailsham and for Hailsham to be a place to be proud of. New houses need to be built near stations as our roads are too narrow and congested already, which will cause more accidents. Lower Dicker if the A22 can be made safer would keep traffic away from Hailsham. Polegate would not cause too much problem but cycle paths should be made on all sites.
We are writing in response to the proposed development of up to 470 new homes on the land adjoining Benhall Mill Road and at Little Mount Farm. As residents of Benhall Mill Road living in close proximity to the above proposed development we have many concerns. · The access to the proposed development is a grave cause for concern. As residents of Benhall Mill Road for 24 years we have seen a significant increase in the amount of traffic using the road, to a point where further increase can only be a danger. Residents of Benhall Mill Road have little off-road parking and so are obliged, along with employees of Burslem’s and Kidman’s, to park on the road. There is a 40m.p.h. speed limit, yet it is a bus route and turning point and much used by hearses and the cars of mourners, sometimes in very large numbers. Access points for these housing developments would place heavy pressure on the road. Whereas at present cars can negotiate parked vehicles, buses and hearses in safety, the increase in traffic caused by this development would constitute a danger both to car drivers and to the many families living in the road. If permitted, the development could easily add a further 2000 car journeys per day on this road, in character a country lane, along which families and young children choose to walk for recreation. It provides a tranquil approach to the cemetery, crematorium and adjacent countryside. As such, access to development sites from Benhall Mill Road is totally inappropriate. · The provision of services for the above development would also be a cause of great difficulties. Within the past 21 years the county boundary was changed, moving houses in Benhall Mill Road from East Sussex into Kent due to the fact that East Sussex found it difficult to provide appropriate services, such as refuse collection, to an out-lying area. · Tunbridge Wells and Kent’s services in this area are already very over-stretched. Claremont School is heavily over-subscribed, so much so that Benhall Mill Road, parts of the Forest Road and others closer still are not in its designated catchment area. Residents of the relatively new developments off Benhall Mill Road are expected to travel a considerable distance, crossing major roads, to reach Broadwater Down School. This inadequate provision would be made intolerably worse. The nearest East Sussex school, Frant, is a very considerable distance, especially for primary age children, along roads with no pavements, and is already full. In all the above areas Wealden Council would be creating problems with which Tunbridge Wells Borough Council and their residents would have to deal. · These sites seem poorly placed to meet East Sussex housing quota requirements. They do not fulfil the genuine need for East Sussex communities to grow, but rather meet quotas in a meaningless way for the people of East Sussex, and in doing so create or exacerbate problems for their neighbouring community in Tunbridge Wells, both residents and council alike. · Green and open spaces in the near vicinity of more urban areas are essential to the quality of life of the residents. Tunbridge Wells cannot be asked to suffer both in-filling and the extension of its urban area. Tunbridge Wells Borough Council has rejected development in the nearby Hawkenbury area as unnecessary and wrong, so it seems acutely unjust that the town should be forced to grow to meet the ‘quota needs’ of Wealden Council. · The land behind Benhall Mill Road has been designated a ‘Site of Nature Conservation Importance’. The land was used as a hay meadow and supported an abundance of wildlife. Lack of mowing has led to the decline of the skylark, but the addition of the whitethroat to this area. Reptiles are regularly seen by local residents. It seems very probable that at least three species are present, entitling it to Key Reptile Site status. None of the land has been ‘enriched’ with artificial fertilisers, nor sprayed with pesticides. It is clear that the proposed development is grossly out of proportion to the existing blend of housing and green sites, and highly damaging to the local environment. It is also completely out of kilter with the current climate of opinion, that the need for new housing should and can be met through the development of brown field sites.
Consultee ID: mr nyemann

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty should be preserved at all times and COSTS. Once any development within an AONB a precendent is set allowing further development to take place.

Consultee ID: mr nyemann

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
The council should require all new development to generate a minimum of 10 percent or more of its energy by using renewable sources.

Consultee ID: mr nyemann

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The defined development boundaries should be maintained as a planning tool. Any expansion would require increased infrastructure, adding more roads would impact on villages and their surrounds.

Consultee ID: mr nyemann

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
Rather than expanding existing towns, a new settlement should be built. Preferably at the site mentioned in this question.
Affordable housing should be in areas close to easy transport and areas of economic development.

Option 25b

Option 27b

Option 27c

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Question One
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**:
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Depending on source

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**:  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**:  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
The locations should be VERY carefully chosen
Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett

Part of document: Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett

Part of document: Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett

Part of document: Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett

Part of document: Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Part of document: Option 6c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: To continue using area around Dittons industrial estate

Part of document: Question 4e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 5b

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 8a

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 8b

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 8c

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 8d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Question Ten

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15b

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett 106446

Part of document: Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett 106446

Part of document: Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett 106446

Part of document: Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett 106446

Part of document: Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 16a

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett

Part of document: Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 16b

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett

Part of document: Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 16c

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett

Part of document: Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 16d

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett

Part of document: Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16f
- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

### Option 16g
- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

### Option 17c
- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

### Option 18a
- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett  
106446

Part of document: Option 18g  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett  
106446

Part of document: Option 18h  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett  
106446

Part of document: Option 18i  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett  
106446

Part of document: Option 18j  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: 
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Option 19a</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Option 20a</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Option 20b</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Option 24b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>106446</td>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>106446</td>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>106446</td>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>106446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Aggett</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Aggett</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Aggett</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Aggett</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td>Option 25e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett
Agent ID: 106446

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett
Agent ID: 106446

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett
Agent ID: 106446

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett
Agent ID: 106446
Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett
Agent ID:

Part of document: ꡽ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett
Agent ID:

Part of document: ꡽ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett
Agent ID:

Part of document: ꡽ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett
Agent ID:

Part of document: ꡽ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Aggett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106446</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett
106446

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett
106446

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett
106446

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Aggett
106446

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Mrs Aggett
Option 28c

Agree

Damage to rural area. Destroy strategic gap between Uckfield and surrounding villages. Unacceptable loss of woodland and wetland habitats that support a wide variety of protected and other species. There is not sufficient infrastructure to support the proposed increase in housing.

Mrs Doole
Option 14a

Disagree

Damage to rural area. Destroy strategic gap between Uckfield and surrounding villages. Unacceptable loss of woodland and wetland habitats that support a wide variety of protected and other species. There is not sufficient infrastructure to support the proposed increase in housing.

Mrs Doole
Option 14b

Disagree

Damage to rural area. Destroy strategic gap between Uckfield and surrounding villages. Unacceptable loss of woodland and wetland habitats that support a wide variety of protected and other species. There is not sufficient infrastructure to support the proposed increase in housing.

Mr Wenham
Question One

Disagree

Thin end of the wedge.
Consultee ID: Mr Wenham  Agent ID: 106448
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
There is a general absence of services. Road traffic hereabouts is already too concentrated. The distinctive character of Halland will be compromised.

Consultee ID: Mr Wenham  Agent ID: 106448
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Reinstatement of the Uckfield-Lewes link is essential but it does not follow that this should be the pump-primer for excessive further development of the towns and villages hereabouts.

Consultee ID:  Baldwin  Agent ID: 106449
Part of document: ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Part of what makes the High Weald AONB a unique and special place is the dispersed settlement pattern and the historic farmsteads that dot its landscape. If a policy of no building in the AONB is maintained as farms close and are replaced by large expensive houses this unique character will be lost as will the land based workers that have lived in the area for centuries.
Without serious thought put into the road, retail, and other service infrastructure this option would simply put even more pressure on Hailsham. People will not be encouraged to do anything but drive into Hailsham for all their needs and Saturday mornings would go from a crawl to a standstill.
Consultee ID: Baldwin
106449
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Baldwin
106449
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Baldwin
106449
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Baldwin
106449
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Because Hailsham is not in an AONB means it is an easy target for development. But simply building yet more housing estates without thought put into infrastructure and services is not acceptable. There are not enough doctors, dentists already, the road get clogged very easily, there are very little travel alternatives such as cycle paths or footpaths away from traffic around Hailsham and parts of Hailsham are either on or beside flood plains. Hailsham's strong sense of community is slowly being eroded and it is being turned from an attractive market town into a dumping ground for inappropriate housing development. We cannot allow this to go ahead!
Not everyone has aspirations to live in a five bedroom mansion!

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Not everyone has aspirations to live in a five bedroom mansion!
Consultee ID: Baldwin  
106449

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hale  
106450

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Whilst recognising the value and importance of consultation and the need to involve as many people as possible in devising and developing a strategy, I believe there are some basic flaws in the way this consultation has been presented. For example, it is described as a broad vision, but has, in certain areas some quite clear and finer details, such as talking about a bridge over the railway line at Berwick, if this village becomes a new town. It presents as if an official from Wealden looked at a map of Wealden and pulled numbers from a hat alongside villages and towns. If it is a consultation based on no definite details, then why put figures in at this stage? why not simply say the options are to develop and build accommodation anywhere in Wealden? This would really would be a blank piece of paper.

Consultee ID: Mr Hale  
106450

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Part 5

Section of Document: Wealden's Places

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This section highlights the failure of the consultation exercise to be meaningful. I have looked at the sections of the document that affect me and have made my opinions, against developments in the area where I live. I, and others who live near me, could have gone through the document agreeing to developments in all other areas. This would not have been because we agreed with developments in those areas, but because it would have diminished the possibility of developments near to where we live. This section highlights the fact that too many questions are being asked, when at this stage, a broader outline of possibilities would have been a more effective way of gaining views.
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
The question asks whether there is agreement to 15 plus houses being build on the edge of villages. Unfortunately, most of the suggested developments are for more than 15 new houses. Therefore the question is irrelevant, misleading and wrong.

Reason for comment:
To build what would be a new town so close to the Downs, particularly to something as well known as the Long Man, would be complete folly. It would be a small step to building new towns all along the A27, which would have a dramatic effect on the landscape and the environment.

Reason for comment:
There are so many reasons why this makes no sense; a few are:- - it would have a dramatic effect on the environment. - it includes building on the old brickworks, which is a natural, wildlife area. - it would be close to, and visible from, the Downs. - it goes against Wealden's own stated policy of not creating new towns. - the level crossing at the station already causes tailbacks and so, as the consultation document states, a road bridge would be needed which would be highly visible from the Downs. - the village would not be able to sustain such growth, ie it does not have the necessary infrastructure. - the A27 is well known for its accident record and encouraging more cars would intensify these problems. - a recent survey of the village in relation to identifying future housing needs for local people, resulted in an anticipated demand of less than 10, so any new town would not be meeting local needs. It would also not be related to where employment is based resulting in virtually all those moving to the new town having to travel to work, mostly by road and so increasing problems on the A27, as identified above.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>piegrome</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106453</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 2</td>
<td>☑️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Wealden’s Landscape</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agent ID: 106453</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agent ID: 106453</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agent ID: 106453</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: piegrome  
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 7a

Section of Document: 
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: piegrome  
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 7c

Section of Document: 
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: piegrome  
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 7c

Section of Document: 
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: piegrome  
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 8a

Section of Document: 
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>piegrome</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106453</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>✓ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Option 15e
Reason for comment:

The A22 should form the west boundary of any Hailsham development

Option 15f
Reason for comment:
The A22 should form the west boundary of any Hailsham development

Question 15h
Reason for comment:

Option 16a
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: piegrome
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Retain the A22 and Battle road as the north & west boundaries of Hailsham

Consultee ID: piegrome
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: piegrome
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16d
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: piegrome
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: piegrome
106453

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
But the road & rail infrastructure must be improved if we are to attract more employers to the area - hence justify the need for more housing schools GPs etc

Consultee ID: piegrome
106453

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There are many reasons for dis agreeing with this suggestion most already listed by other objectors. As there is little opportunity for local employment most of the breadwinners would have to commute by car or rail. Neither the A27 or A22 can carry much more traffic without major upgrades (dual carriageways) Increasing the number of stopping trains at the station will further increase the congestion at the level crossing - abridge would only be possible if some existing buildings were removed The station car parks are very limited and often full now - there is very limited opportunities for increasing there sizes - where would the commuters park there cars?

Consultee ID: piegrome
106453

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The A27 between Beddingham and Polegate are part of the main south coast route between Southampton and Ashford and as a result it carries heavy vehicles as well as commuters and local traffic. Much more traffic than a simple single carriageway road should. Good transport links both road and rail are an essential requirement for encouraging major employers to site their facilities in the region A motorway standard road along the south coast is essential
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:
Your View

Consultee ID: piegrome
Agent ID: 106453
Part of document: option

Question 31a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Your View

Consultee ID: piegrome
Agent ID: 106453
Part of document: option

Question 31a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
But are the Wealden planning staff strong enough to withstand the pressures to add more housing and associated infra-structure than the area can absorb, The pressures will come from developers, higher regional and central government?

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
Agent ID: 106454
Part of document: chapter

Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Reason for comment:
No such goals can be met by providing required expansion in the areas of lower natural beauty and these areas are better suited and prepared for an expansion of affordable housing

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
Agent ID: 106454
Part of document: option

Option 2a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I would like to see a higher percentage of renewable energy sources if at all possible.
Option 2b

Reason for comment:
We should set the bar high 50% should be an and individual areas should be given targets and incentives for hitting a higher rate sooner.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
If land must be built upon and it should only be land in areas of a lower level of natural beauty, such land must only be built upon is there is a clear vital demand for its use.

Option 3b

Reason for comment:

Option 3c

Reason for comment:
Option 3d

Reason for comment:

It is vital that we build housing where there is a direct and appropriate need for such housing. It would be wrong to jeopardise AONB with housing built before the business demand was sufficient. But AONB should not be jeopardised just for a small business need...remember many people are still able to commute to work by bus or car from towns.

Option 4a

Option 4b

Hailsham is ideal as not on the Key AONB and with a town and business to support new housing.
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Villages in Kent and Sussex are the envy of the rest of the country and boundaries must be maintained. Towns on the otherhand will natuarly expand and I no reason to stop expansion of Towns in the right manner.

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
No because then the two will merge and you are just creating a town.

Question 5c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Boundaries are there for a reason..to protect the existance of small villages and towns, to break these boundaries will threaten the existance of such places.

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
At :Least 80% in towns and only 20% elsewhere if it is definately required and is right for villages
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Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
106454

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
To high a burden on Villages

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
106454

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Too High a burden on Villages

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
106454

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
90% in Towns, this is where the adjustments to local amenities can most easily be made, small villages are not as able to cope with settlement increases.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
106454

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Your classification is not correct, there are certainly some areas that will be able and even pleased to take on extra housing which will benifit local business. however in the main classifications are optimisic about the amount of extra housing needed to support business, some areas like my village of Rotherfield has a Post office, stores and a couple of pubs, this is enough for the population as it stands and we do not need more housing to support it.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
Agent ID: 106454
Part of document: Option 7b
Section of Document:
Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Does not appear to have been correctly reviewed in the case of my Village which does not have the quality or quantity of amenities that the chart suggests.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
Agent ID: 106454
Part of document: Option 7c
Section of Document:
Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:
No because some of those are AONB and these should be protected at all costs, current amenities are in good balance with the needs.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
Agent ID: 106454
Part of document: Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View
☒ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
Agent ID: 106454
Part of document: Option 8a
Section of Document:
Your View
☒ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106454</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 8b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106454</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 8c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106454</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 9a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106454</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106454</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
106454

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 11a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
106454

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 11b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
106454

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 11c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
106454

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 11d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

It will be important to prevent urban sprawl between Jarvis Brook and Rotherfield. Boundaries must be maintained.

Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
106454

Part of document: Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
106454

Part of document: Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
106454

Part of document: Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
106454

Part of document: Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

The Village of Rotherfield is in near perfect balance with the level of business and amenities being just right for a village of our size. The introduction of up to 150 new homes would put the village out of balance and put undue strain on those amenities in particular the surgery and school facilities. Rotherfield is also in an AONB and this beautiful village is home to people who wish to keep it the way it is. If they wanted affordable housing they would move to one of the local towns. Affordable housing would not fit in with the current local demographics and would almost certainly prove the sort of eyesore people in the village would wish to avoid. In addition the local road system is already under strain and would not be helped by additional housing. This a quite village in good balance, please do not go and upset it!
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
106454

Part of document: Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Harrison
106454

Part of document: Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Craig
106455

Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Only if proportionate to size of existing settlements and meeting genuine local/affordable need rather than providing more 'executive' homes.

Consultee ID: Craig
106455

Part of document: Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Option 2b

Reason for comment:

Your View

Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Poundbury-style developments could be considered

Option 3a

Reason for comment:

Your View

Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Option 3b

Reason for comment:

Your View

No opinion
Disagree

Option 3d

Reason for comment:

Your View

Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Poundbury-style developments could be considered
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Craig</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 4a
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [✓]

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Craig</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 4b
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [✓]

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Craig</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 4d
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [✓]

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Craig</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 5a
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [✓]

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Craig</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 5b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Highly complex; not enough time in consultation period to read and really understand all supporting documentation about rationale and methodology

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Craig</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 6a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Craig</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Highly complex; not enough time in consultation period to read and really understand all supporting documentation about rationale and methodology

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Craig</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Highly complex; not enough time in consultation period to read and really understand all supporting documentation about rationale and methodology
Consultee ID: 106455

Part of document: Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106455

Part of document: Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106455

Part of document: Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106455

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View

Reason for comment:

Can't agree with entirely unspecified maximum.
Consultee ID: Craig  
106455

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Craig  
106455

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

It is misleading to describe this as 'Berwick Station' as it encompasses much of Arlington as well. This is a totally inappropriate site for a new town - it will lead to infill development between Eastbourne, Polegate and Hailsham and destroy much of the natural beauty of the Cuckmere valley.

Consultee ID: Craig  
106455

Part of document:  
☑ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Craig  
106455

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 25d

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 25e

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 25f

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 25f

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This consultation has been a very poorly publicised and the response period has been too short. This document is huge and takes a very long time to digest, even without reading all the supplementary strategies and reports. The format lends itself to 'nimbyism' as it is difficult to give the same consideration to questions about areas one doesn't know well. It is not possible to submit a comment (such as this one) without checking the agree/disagree box even when this makes no sense.
Consultee ID: Mr King  
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document:  ☑ option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King  
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document:  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 2b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King  
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document:  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 3a

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King  
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document:  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 3b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr King  
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 4b  
Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King  
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 4c  
Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King  
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 6c  
Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King  
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 5a  
Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr King</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106456</td>
<td>Environment Hailsham</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☒ option

**Option 5b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☒ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Mr King  
**Agent ID:** Environment Hailsham

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☒ option

**Option 6a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☒ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Mr King  
**Agent ID:** Environment Hailsham

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☒ option

**Option 6b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☒ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Mr King  
**Agent ID:** Environment Hailsham

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☒ option

**Question 6d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☒ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Environment Hailsham has long believed that the bulk of new development should be the creation of a new town based on road and rail accessability and that Berwick is ideally situated for this purpose.
Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 8a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Lack of a railway connection and other infrastructure ie schools

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 8b
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
This would lead to ribbon development and a loss of the strategic gap between Hailsham and Polegate

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 8c
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Same reasons as 8b

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 8d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This proposal would mean an intrusion into Hellingly with the loss of the strategic gap. Also the extra pressure on the A271 would not be acceptable. Necessary infrastructure also inadequate.

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: option
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: option
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr King 106456 Environment Hailsham
Part of document: Option 15f

Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
425 units already allocated to this site. Services will be overstretched and any extra development will only cause additional problems.

Consultee ID: Mr King 106456 Environment Hailsham
Part of document: Option 18g

Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Gradual loss of strategic gap between Hailsham and Polegate

Consultee ID: Mr King 106456 Environment Hailsham
Part of document: Option 18h

Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Gradual loss of strategic gap between Hailsham and Polegate
Consultee ID: Mr King 106456
Environment Hailsham

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King 106456
Environment Hailsham

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King 106456
Environment Hailsham

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Providing they can only be re-sold to people needing affordable housing and not for profit

Consultee ID: Mr King 106456
Environment Hailsham

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Overcramming resulting in social problems

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr King
106456, Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 25b
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456, Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 25c
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456, Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 25f
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456, Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 25g
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr King 106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King 106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King 106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Option 27b

Your View: Yes
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Option 27c

Your View: No
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Option 27c

Your View: Yes
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr King
106456 Environment Hailsham

Option 27d

Your View: No
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mr King  
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King  
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King  
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr King  
106456 Environment Hailsham

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Mr King
Environment Hailsham

Option 28c

Section of Document:

Agree

Reason for comment:

Agree with 30b,c,d,h,k,p and s. No pinion on the others.

Ms Yates

Question One

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Disagree

Reason for comment:

The Weald's AONB is under great pressure from housing development, but we have the planning policies to safeguard the countryside, the villages and the views of the villages from surrounding hills. PPS7 must be adhered to in all cases.
Option 2a

Reason for comment:
10% of energy by renewable sources is a good start. I hope we can go on from there with solar and wind generating.

Option 2b

Reason for comment:
I think this is possible, together with insulation and energy saving.

Option 3c

Reason for comment:
Small businesses including farming need to be encouraged.

Option 5a

Reason for comment:
Settlement boundaries should be maintained. They are the key to keeping the Weald's balance between countryside and small scale village character.
Option 20a

Reason for comment:
To even think of Rotherfield and Town Row as having 'Growth Potential'!

Option 20b

Reason for comment:
Wadhurst has already taken more than it is able of new, particularly large houses for well-off commuters. It is becoming a large sprawling mess. We must preserve what there is left of this Wealden village.

Option 20c

Reason for comment:
Mayfield, one of the finest villages could follow Wadhurst in becoming a suburbia surrounding a sad, once beautiful ancient place. It is a matter of SPACIAL sense: villages need to have open spaces around them.
Consultee ID: Ms Yates
Agent ID: 106457

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 20d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

We are being asked to choose between a set of false options. Wealden Council is being asked by a government who does not care about England or the countryside, to build thousands of houses and there is no place for them. Wealden Council has a duty to preserve the countryside and the villages and it should say so.

Consultee ID: Mrs Goodwin
Agent ID: 106461

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Because of water shortages, flooding, traffic, landscape and biodiversity issues.
I object very strongly to residential and employment development on this site for the following reasons: 1. There seem to be no 'material considerations' within the terms of section 54A Town & Country Planning Act 1990 which could reasonably indicate that this appeal should be determined other than in accordance with the East Sussex County Structure Plan, the adopted Wealden Local Plan and the Non-Statutory Wealden Local Plan. 2. It is close to the neighbouring High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). Both can be seen clearly from the other. An intrusive major development next to an AONB is likely to have a detrimental effect on the AONB itself. 3. It would obliterate the strategic green countryside gap between Uckfield and Maresfield leading to unsightly urban sprawl. 4. It would inflict permanent aesthetic and ecological damage on the landscape, with its unspoilt rural countryside, which also currently acts as a natural defensive shield to Uckfield. The proposal would significantly increase trampling as well as noise, light, CO2 and toxic chemical pollution locally. The adjoining Woodland Trust SNCI at Lake Wood already suffers vandalism even though there are no homes in the vicinity. The children from 750 new homes would quickly find that Lake Wood, the woodland ghylls, ancient woodland, wetland, unimproved grassland and rock outcrops on the neighbouring site of the proposed development provided them with a convenient playground. Even innocent adventures such as building camps, lighting bonfires, picking wild flowers, climbing trees and damming streams would cause unsustainable and progressive physical, chemical and biological degradation of the habitat. The proposal to develop the ancient woodland as a public-access country park would increase the environmental disturbance. Hundreds of domestic cats would further endanger wildlife by annually killing thousands of small birds, mammals, reptiles and amphibians which would otherwise help to support the food chain for rarer predator animals. A healthy wood is not just a collection of trees, it is a balanced community of many hundreds of different species of plants and animals. There would be a progressive damaging effect on the biodiversity of a large area of healthy ancient woodland: leaving us with trees and little else in a few years time. The rocks, water, plants and animals of Downlands Farm, Budletts Common and Lake Wood are of exceptional quality. For example some key species on the site include wood warbler, lesser spotted woodpecker, common sandpiper, turtle dove, great crested newts, dormice and badgers: they should be conserved, not put at risk. 5. It would fragment existing habitats which would make it more difficult for wildlife to range widely either during the mating season or for feeding. 6. The hydrology of the site is such that it yields unusually pure spring water which helps support the extraordinary biodiversity of the site and surrounding area. 7. There has been no attempt by the developer to assess the potential ecological impacts on the Shortbridge Stream which the proposed development will drain into. This is a particularly sensitive environment and given that the minor streams flowing through the development site are tributaries of it, there is clear connectivity between the development site and the Shortbridge Stream itself. Therefore it seems to be important that in determining this planning appeal the consideration of impacts should extend downstream from the development site to include the full length of the ghyll streams and also the Shortbridge Stream from the point of entry of the ghyll streams downstream as far as its confluence with the main River Ouse. In view of the ability of the Ouse sea trout stock to use very small streams for spawning, the ghyll streams (including those stretches downstream of the site boundary) should be regarded as potential sea trout spawning habitat and should be properly and independently surveyed to establish whether they are used by sea trout. 8. The developer has made wholly inadequate proposals for protection of the landscape, habitats, flora, fauna and geological features of the site. For example the developer wants to pipe the ghyll streams which beggars belief as a protective measure! 9. Uckfield’s infrastructure struggles to cope with its present population. The High Street is usually congested and the car parks are usually
full during working hours. There is not even an adequate station car park for rail passengers. This development would produce about 4,000 - 9,000 extra traffic movements per day and many of them would be to escape from the development via the A22 and rat runs like Snatts Road to circle round and re-enter the town. The developer seems to have ignored or cynically underestimated this issue. 10. The proposed industrial/employment area seems superfluous in view of the many vacant premises in Uckfield's Bellbrook Business Estate and the new business estate currently being developed in nearby Maresfield. Most of the residents of the proposed 750 houses would commute to work outside Uckfield. 11. The likely presence on the site of archaeological remains related to John of Gaunt make it essential that a thorough professional independent archaeological assessment be undertaken.

Consultee ID: MR MAILLEY-SMITH

Part of document: ☐ document ☒ chapter ☐ section ☐ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View ☒ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I feel that residential or employment development at or near Ringles Cross would be highly undesirable for the following reasons: 1. There seem to be no 'material considerations' within the terms of section 54A Town & Country Planning Act 1990 which could reasonably indicate that this appeal should be determined other than in accordance with the East Sussex County Structure Plan, the adopted Wealden Local Plan and the Non-Statutory Wealden Local Plan. 2. It is close to the neighbouring High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). Both can be seen clearly from the other. An intrusive major development next to an AONB is likely to have a detrimental effect on the AONB itself. 3. It would erode the strategic green countryside gap between Uckfield, Five Ash Down and Maresfield leading to unsightly urban sprawl. 4. It would inflict permanent aesthetic and ecological damage on the landscape, with its unspoilt rural countryside, which also currently acts as a natural defensive shield to Uckfield. The proposal would significantly increase trampling as well as noise, light, CO2 and toxic chemical pollution locally. The site is near to the Woodland Trust SNCI at Lake Wood which already suffers vandalism even though there are no homes in the vicinity. The children from hundreds of new homes would quickly find that the woodland ghylls, ancient woodland, wetland, unimproved grassland and rock outcrops neighbouring the site of the proposed development provided them with a convenient playground. Even innocent adventures such as building camps, lighting bonfires, picking wild flowers, climbing trees and damming streams would cause unsustainable and progressive physical, chemical and biological degradation of the habitat. The proposal to develop the ancient woodland as a public-access country park would increase the environmental disturbance. Hundreds of domestic cats would further endanger wildlife by annually killing thousands of small birds, mammals, reptiles and amphibians which would otherwise help to support the food chain for rarer predator animals. A healthy wood is not just a collection of trees, it is a balanced community of many hundreds of different species of plants and animals. There would be a progressive damaging effect on the biodiversity of a large area of healthy mature and ancient woodland: leaving us with trees and little else in a few years time. 5. It would fragment existing habitats which would make it more difficult for wildlife to range widely either during the mating season or for feeding. 6. Uckfield's infrastructure struggles to cope with its present population. The High Street is usually congested and the car parks are usually full during working hours. There is not even an adequate station car park for rail passengers. This development would produce about thousands of extra traffic movements per day and many of them would be to enter the town centre.
Consultee ID: MR MAILLEY-SMITH  
Consultee ID: 106462  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 14c  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Consultee ID: MR MAILLEY-SMITH  
Consultee ID: 106462  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 14d  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Consultee ID: MR MAILLEY-SMITH  
Consultee ID: 106462  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 14e  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Consultee ID: MR MAILLEY-SMITH  
Consultee ID: 106462  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 14f  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR MAILLEY-SMITH</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106462</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR MAILLEY-SMITH</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106462</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR MAILLEY-SMITH</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106462</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
I think this proposal is a terrible idea so I object to it for the following reasons: A. There seem to be no 'material considerations' within the terms of section 54A Town & Country Planning Act 1990 which could reasonably indicate that this appeal should be determined other than in accordance with the East Sussex County Structure Plan, the adopted Wealden Local Plan and the Non-Statutory Wealden Local Plan. B. It is close to the neighbouring High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). Both can be seen clearly from the other. An intrusive major development next to an AONB is likely to have a detrimental effect on the AONB itself. C. It would obliterate the strategic green countryside gap between Uckfield and Maresfield leading to unsightly urban sprawl. D. It would inflict permanent aesthetic and ecological damage on the landscape, with its unspoilt rural countryside, which also currently acts as a natural defensive shield to Uckfield. The proposal would significantly increase trampling as well as noise, light, CO2 and toxic chemical pollution locally. The adjoining Woodland Trust SNCI at Lake Wood already suffers vandalism even though there are no homes in the vicinity. The children from 750 new homes would quickly find that Lake Wood, the woodland ghylls, ancient woodland, wetland, unimproved grassland and rock outcrops on the neighbouring site of the proposed development provided them with a convenient playground. Even innocent adventures such as building camps, lighting bonfires, picking wild flowers, climbing trees and damming streams would cause unsustainable and progressive physical, chemical and biological degradation of the habitat. The proposal to develop the ancient woodland as a public-access country park would increase the environmental disturbance. Hundreds of domestic cats would further endanger wildlife by annually killing thousands of small birds, mammals, reptiles and amphibians which would otherwise help to support the food chain for rarer predator animals. A healthy wood is not just a collection of trees, it is a balanced community of many hundreds of different species of plants and animals. There would be a progressive damaging effect on the biodiversity of a large area of healthy ancient woodland: leaving us with trees and little else in a few years time. The rocks, water, plants and animals of Downlands Farm, Budletts Common and Lake Wood are of exceptional quality. For example some key species on the site include wood warbler, lesser spotted woodpecker, common sandpiper, turtle dove, great crested newts, dormice and badgers: they should be conserved, not put at risk. E. It would fragment existing habitats which would make it more difficult for wildlife to range widely either during the mating season or for feeding. F. The hydrology of the site is such that it yields unusually pure spring water which helps support the extraordinary biodiversity of the site and surrounding area. G. There has been no attempt by the developer to assess the potential ecological impacts on the Shortbridge Stream which the proposed development will drain into. This is a particularly sensitive environment and given that the minor streams flowing through the development site are tributaries of it, there is clear connectivity between the development site and the Shortbridge Stream itself. Therefore it seems to be important that in determining this planning appeal the consideration of impacts should extend downstream from the development site to include the full length of the ghyll streams and also the Shortbridge Stream from the point of entry of the ghyll streams downstream as far as its confluence with the main River Ouse. In view of the ability of the Ouse sea trout stock to use very small streams for spawning, the ghyll streams (including those stretches downstream of the site boundary) should be regarded as potential sea trout spawning habitat and should be properly and independently surveyed to establish whether they are used by sea trout. H. The developer has made wholly inadequate proposals for protection of the landscape, habitats, flora, fauna and geological features of the site. For example the developer wants to pipe the ghyll streams which beggars belief as a protective measure! I. Uckfield’s infrastructure struggles to cope with its present population. The High Street is usually congested and the car parks are usually full during working hours. There is not even an adequate...
Consultation Responses

station car park for rail passengers. This development would produce about 4,000 - 9,000 extra traffic movements per day and many of them would be to escape from the development via the A22 and rat runs like Snatts Road to circle round and re-enter the town. The developer seems to have ignored or cynically underestimated this issue. J. The proposed industrial/employment area seems superfluous in view of the many vacant premises in Uckfield's Bellbrook Business Estate and the new business estate currently being developed in nearby Maresfield. Most of the residents of the proposed 750 houses would commute to work outside Uckfield. K. The likely presence on the site of archaeological remains related to John of Gaunt make it essential that a thorough professional independent archaeological assessment be undertaken.

Consultee ID: 106464

Mrs Mailley-Smith

Part of document: Option 14a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

I object very strongly to development on this site for the following reasons: 1. There seem to be no 'material considerations' within the terms of section 54A Town & Country Planning Act 1990 which could reasonably indicate that this appeal should be determined other than in accordance with the East Sussex County Structure Plan, the adopted Wealden Local Plan and the Non-Statutory Wealden Local Plan. 2. It is close to the neighbouring High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). Both can be seen clearly from the other. An intrusive major development next to an AONB is likely to have a detrimental effect on the AONB itself. 3. It would erode the strategic green countryside gap between Uckfield, Five Ash Down and Maresfield leading to unsightly urban sprawl. 4. It would inflict permanent aesthetic and ecological damage on the landscape, with its unspoilt rural countryside, which also currently acts as a natural defensive shield to Uckfield. The proposal would significantly increase trampling as well as noise, light, CO2 and toxic chemical pollution locally. The site is near to the Woodland Trust SNCI at Lake Wood which already suffers vandalism even though there are no homes in the vicinity. The children from hundreds of new homes would quickly find that the woodland ghylls, ancient woodland, wetland, unimproved grassland and rock outcrops neighbouring the site of the proposed development provided them with a convenient playground. Even innocent adventures such as building camps, lighting bonfires, picking wild flowers, climbing trees and damming streams would cause unsustainable and progressive physical, chemical and biological degradation of the habitat. The proposal to develop the ancient woodland as a public-access country park would increase the environmental disturbance. Hundreds of domestic cats would further endanger wildlife by annually killing thousands of small birds, mammals, reptiles and amphibians which would otherwise help to support the food chain for rarer predator animals. A healthy wood is not just a collection of trees, it is a balanced community of many hundreds of different species of plants and animals. There would be a progressive damaging effect on the biodiversity of a large area of healthy mature and ancient woodland: leaving us with trees and little else in a few years time. 5. It would fragment existing habitats which would make it more difficult for wildlife to range widely either during the mating season or for feeding. 6. Uckfield’s infrastructure struggles to cope with its present population. The High Street is usually congested and the car parks are usually full during working hours. There is not even an adequate station car park for rail passengers. This development would produce about thousands of extra traffic movements per day and many of them would be to enter the town centre.
I object very strongly to development on this site for the following reasons: 1. There seem to be no 'material considerations' within the terms of section 54A Town & Country Planning Act 1990 which could reasonably indicate that this appeal should be determined other than in accordance with the East Sussex County Structure Plan, the adopted Wealden Local Plan and the Non-Statutory Wealden Local Plan. 2. It is close to the neighbouring High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). Both can be seen clearly from the other. An intrusive major development next to an AONB is likely to have a detrimental effect on the AONB itself. 3. It would erode the strategic green countryside gap between Uckfield, Five Ash Down and Maresfield leading to unsightly urban sprawl. 4. It would inflict permanent aesthetic and ecological damage on the landscape, with its unspoilt rural countryside, which also currently acts as a natural defensive shield to Uckfield. The proposal would significantly increase trampling as well as noise, light, CO2 and toxic chemical pollution locally. The site is near to the Woodland Trust SNCI at Lake Wood which already suffers vandalism even though there are no homes in the vicinity. The children from hundreds of new homes would quickly find that the woodland ghylls, ancient woodland, wetland, unimproved grassland and rock outcrops neighbouring the site of the proposed development provided them with a convenient playground. Even innocent adventures such as building camps, lighting bonfires, picking wild flowers, climbing trees and damming streams would cause unsustainable and progressive physical, chemical and biological degradation of the habitat. The proposal to develop the ancient woodland as a public-access country park would increase the environmental disturbance. Hundreds of domestic cats would further endanger wildlife by annually killing thousands of small birds, mammals, reptiles and amphibians which would otherwise help to support the food chain for rarer predator animals. A healthy wood is not just a collection of trees, it is a balanced community of many hundreds of different species of plants and animals. There would be a progressive damaging effect on the biodiversity of a large area of healthy mature and ancient woodland: leaving us with trees and little else in a few years time. 5. It would fragment existing habitats which would make it more difficult for wildlife to range widely either during the mating season or for feeding. 6. Uckfield’s infrastructure struggles to cope with its present population. The High Street is usually congested and the car parks are usually full during working hours. There is not even an adequate station car park for rail passengers. This development would produce about thousands of extra traffic movements per day and many of them would be to enter the town centre.
Consultee ID: Mrs Stenning  
Consultee ID: 106465  
NHS

Part of document: Option 14a
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I disagree primarily because I believe in the importance of green space to people's physical and mental wellbeing. This open space is particularly valuable because of its ecology and landscape and proximity to other important sites. The numbers of people with mental health problems is increasing and expected to increase further and. large areas of green space will become more valuable. This area could be opened as a country park for the benefit of the local population as well as visitors to the area because the landscape and views are outstanding.

Consultee ID: Mr Brooke  
Consultee ID: 106466

Part of document: Part 1
Section of Document: Context

Reason for comment:
This section clearly sets out the purpose of the framework and this is understood. However it is clear that the levels of housing proposed for the area have been dictated by SERO, a non elected body and are not based upon the organic housing needs of the area but on an objective of shifting population from large towns and suburbs of London to the rural areas of the South East.

Consultee ID: Mr Brooke  
Consultee ID: 106466

Part of document: Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
Generally disagree except for small scale, sympathetically designed units to meet needs within villages and towns for the people who wish to stay in a rural/semi-rural area, including in particular the provision of bungalows for the elderly who wish to downsize. However development that does not meet this broad criteria should be strongly discouraged not just in AONB but in the majority of rural areas in order to maintain that characteristic..
Option 2a

Reason for comment:
Idealistic aims but is it feasible. Is it 10% (or 20%) of new development that has to meet this requirement or new development to make up 10% of the South East target? In either event I think that much further thought needs to be given to a) the effect on house building costs for the young and the elderly in Wealden who should be the targets for any housing development and b) the achievable environmental and economic benefits of such local schemes against national policy, for instance, of utilising nuclear power for electricity provision, as in France.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
Only if site was a previously identified brown field site and then only when there is a clear local need for additional housing.

Option 3b

Reason for comment:
In principle agree that existing business areas/premises should be retained for this purpose but what is the test for a clear market existing for business premises?
There are more factors applicable to business location that plentiful housing in any one area. I would have thought that organic business creation and expansion will lead to a gradual increase in local housing demand. Relocation of an established enterprise to a new location normally occurs as previous large scale industries or undertakings cease operations and this leaves a large labour market already housed. The notion that new housing should occur only with some kind of immediate and compensating balance between that and business development seems a bit unrealistic. The principle of bringing commuters back into local employment is a laudable sustainability objective but without detailed knowledge of where people commute to and who they work for this may be difficult to achieve.
Mr Brooke

Option 5a

Section of Document:

But only subject to strict criteria

Consultee ID: 106466

Part of document: option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

But what will these criteria be? Brown field sites only? Development potential only for local growth? Development which does not cause pressure to fill in space between existing separate settlements? How will the criteria be developed and how will they be subject to public support or scrutiny?

Consultee ID: 106466

Part of document: option

Question 5c

Section of Document:

East Hoathly's proposed development on the brown field site at South Street and the green field site at Church Marks Lane should now be regarded as the permanent settlement boundaries for development. Further expansion of the village is not in line with the strategic objectives to maintain village character and will inexorably lead to further green field development to the west of the Church Marks Lane development and lead to gradual merging of the settlement with Halland. The natural green barrier between the existing settlement boundary and the bypass and also that between the village and the attractive rolling, forested countryside towards Chiddlingly should be maintained.
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Consultee ID: Mr Brooke

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document: Agree in principle because towns and urban areas generally will have more cost effective infrastructure and transport links as well as the likely employment opportunities for new settlement. However it would be impractical to be overly prescriptive and each case must be considered on merits and its adherence to established development principles.

Consultee ID: Mr Brooke

Part of document: Option 6b

Section of Document: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Brooke

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:

These figures are arbitrary although appear reasonable. However the number of units allowed per village should be the number that will match the organic growth of the village and not to neatly divide up the impact on the area of providing additional housing by central government dictat.
Halland has no current infrastructure and an 1800 unit development is too small by your own criteria to bring enhanced infrastructure to make this a self sufficient village. The site if developed would then led to inexorable pressure to subsume East Hoathly by further ribbon development and would lead to it becoming a dormitory commuter suburb of a larger Uckfield with poor transport links and no train service.

Reason for comment:

Halland has no current infrastructure and an 1800 unit development is too small by your own criteria to bring enhanced infrastructure to make this a self sufficient village. The site if developed would then led to inexorable pressure to subsume East Hoathly by further ribbon development and would lead to it becoming a dormitory commuter suburb of a larger Uckfield with poor transport links and no train service.

Reason for comment:

12e Sandy Cross Lane is a narrow country lane quite unsuitable for the fast and heavy traffic already using it, making it hazardous for pedestrians. Any additional traffic which would inevitably be occasioned by building works is unthinkable. The area south of Sandy Cross Lane is an AONB and as such should be preserved for the enjoyment and sanity of walkers and the residents of the Lane who have chosen to live there and for future generations of country lovers.
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:

Any new development in an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty would ultimately destroy this status, the outline of the landscape would be altered, not only local to the development but the surrounding area also, amenities and roads would sub-divide and ultimately lead to more encroachment and therefore loss of what is an economic asset (tourist destination) for the region.

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner
106469

Part of document: Option 3d

Your View
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

If it would provide employment for local residents and thus stop unnecessary commuting, stop needless car journeys, etc.

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner
106469

Part of document: Option 2a

Your View
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This should be a higher percentage, why not 50%, we should be setting an example. Any new developments bordering AONB and meeting this target should be given preference.
Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner  
106469

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This should be a higher percentage, why not 50%, we should be setting an example and any new developments bordering AONB and meeting this target should be given preference. Making this higher would in the long term save money and reduce our reliance on the already growing costs of mainstream power. The added bonus would be to reduce the costs of the newer more sustainable alternatives due to the increase in demand.

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner  
106469

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

If land allocated for employment is used for other proposes e.g. housing, then you have to assume the residents of these new houses are going to have to commute to work as the opportunities to develop and build new businesses will be reduced.

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner  
106469

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

If not on new land!

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner  
106469

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

If not on new land!
Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
This question is contradictory, keeping boundaries AND expanding??? Boundaries should be maintained. If villages were to expand into other villages or towns, then you would be destroying and shifting the centre of communities. Any development should take this fully on board to avoid this scenario!

Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Towns have grown because of their communication links and employment opportunities. Growth should be within the boundaries of the town for these reasons.

Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Any development outside the boundaries would expand the boundaries by default!

Part of document: Question 5c
Section of Document:
Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The questions in this section are contradictory, where is the "Retain the boundary, no expansion" option?
Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner

Part of document: Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

More housing would put a greater demand on the infrastructure and lead to villages transforming into small towns, end of village!

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner

Part of document: Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

More housing would put a greater demand on the infrastructure and lead to villages transforming into small towns, end of village!

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner

Part of document: Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Businesses and services come and go!

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner

Part of document: Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

If a village grows too much then the character and its status as a village would be lost!
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Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner
106469

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Surely if we want to keep villages 'Villages' then development should be restricted.

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner
106469

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Non of these questions pose the possibility of village communities dying or changing due to expansion.

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner
106469

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 7e

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
For villages this depends on the land available. Large developments of 15+ can change the character of any village large or small and restrict the possibility of development on 'old' land. Also we need to ensure affordable housing kicks in with even smaller developments, a development of 3 houses should include one as 'affordable' otherwise we could end up with no affordable housing in the villages.
Reason for comment:
Any development should be within the current boundaries of the village. In Forest Row there is limited room for development to the west of the village. Any development North would be on the flood plains and due to recent events would be un-wise. The Village has a vibrant character and diversity because it has limited potential for growth within its borders. There is a close community and this and the fact that we are surrounded by beauty attract people on a tourist and regular basis. The Village has a vibrant character and diversity because it has limited potential for growth within its borders. There is a close community and this and the fact that we are surrounded by beauty attract people on a tourist and regular basis. The Village would be severely degraded as its character and status as a Village would be put in jeopardy affecting tourism and businesses, which exist because of the unique character of the Village. Development North would: a. Create a development prone to flooding; b. Create more flooding elsewhere in the village, as the ground would be unable to then absorb as much water causing the water to collect elsewhere; c. Create undesirable/unmarketable housing prone to flood damage raising insurance premiums locally; d. Infringe on the character and beauty of the village; e. Ultimately join up with Ashurst Wood as a step to turning Forest Row into a suburb of East Grinstead;

Reason for comment:
Let the people who live in the Villages decide!

Reason for comment:
The density of housing should reflect the character of the area, accessibility and the housing needs. There will obviously be a higher density with 2 bedroom houses than with a 5 bedroom house. These questions do not take any of this into account. We should be looking at creating housing that frees up existing housing e.g. more retirement settlements that free up more family homes which thus allows growing families to move locally freeing up smaller properties for first-time buyers!
Option 24b

Reason for comment:
The density of housing should reflect the character of the area, accessibility and the housing needs. There will obviously be a higher density with 2 bedroom houses than with a 5 bedroom house. These questions do not take any of this into account. We should be looking at creating housing that frees up existing housing e.g. more retirement settlements that free up more family homes which thus allows growing families to move locally freeing up smaller properties for first-time buyers!

Option 24c

Reason for comment:
The density of housing should reflect the character of the area, accessibility and the housing needs. There will obviously be a higher density with 2 bedroom houses than with a 5 bedroom house. These questions do not take any of this into account. We should be looking at creating housing that frees up existing housing e.g. more retirement settlements that free up more family homes which thus allows growing families to move locally freeing up smaller properties for first-time buyers!

Option 24d

Reason for comment:
The density of housing should reflect the character of the area, accessibility and the housing needs. There will obviously be a higher density with 2 bedroom houses than with a 5 bedroom house. These questions do not take any of this into account. We should be looking at creating housing that frees up existing housing e.g. more retirement settlements that free up more family homes which thus allows growing families to move locally freeing up smaller properties for first-time buyers!
Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner
Part of document: Option 25a
Reason for comment:
We should be looking at creating housing that frees up existing housing e.g. more retirement settlements that free up more family homes which thus allows growing families to move locally freeing up smaller properties for first-time buyers!

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner
Part of document: Option 25b
Reason for comment:
Allocations should be higher!

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner
Part of document: Option 25c
Reason for comment:
Should be 50/50 split. Local services would benefit more!

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner
Part of document: Option 25e
Reason for comment:
There should be no exceptions!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gardiner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106469</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25d
- Option 25f
- Option 25g
- Option 26a

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
- We should not be creating ghettos, development should be mixed!
- Another loop hole, what happens when the is no longer needed for this purpose?
- Another loop hole for later sales at high prices. Workers should live within the community!
Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner  
106469  

Option 27a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
More houses does not mean more public transport, generally more cars!

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner  
106469  

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Reinstatement of the Uckfield-Lewes link is essential but it does not mean excessive development should follow. We need to get people of the road or using their cars more efficiently (more than 1 occupent)!

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner  
106469  

Option 27d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner  
106469  

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
More cheap, regular Public Transport please!
Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner  
Part of document: Option 27f  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
We shouldn't be planning for increased car usage, the opposite should be encouraged!

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner  
Part of document: Option 28a  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Gardiner  
Part of document: Option 28b  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Wallace  
Part of document: Question One  
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty  
Reason for comment: communities have to live and some limited development is OK if it meets strict guidelines
Option 14a

Reason for comment:
this is an area of high landscape value and further development is inappropriate here. Also, the infrastructure is inadequate to support further development.

Option 14a

Reason for comment:
Development here would damage the rural setting of Uckfield and Maresfield and the rural character of the surrounding villages and countryside. This area is a strategic countryside gap between Uckfield and villages to the north. The area is clearly visible from two AONBs. It is a diverse and ecologically viable habitat, including ancient woodlands and ghyll valleys. Development would degrade this habitat, which is irreplaceable. The area has a poorly developed infrastructure and most of the roads (e.g. Snatts Road) are narrow and unable to take further traffic.

Option 14b

Reason for comment:
Ringles Cross is surrounded by attractive countryside, some of which is of high landscape value. The roads are generally at capacity, and some (e.g. Snatts Road) are narrow and unsuitable to take further traffic.
Consultee ID: Mr Wallace
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: 106470

Part of document: Option 21a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Maresfield is surrounded by rural countryside. Further development is inappropriate and would cause damage to these surroundings and would also overload the inadequate local roads network. The village does not have the infrastructure to support further development.

Consultee ID: Mr Wallace
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: 106470

Part of document: Option 21b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Five Ash Down is a small rural community. The landscape surrounding it is of high value. The roads serving it are already congested. Further development will damage the rural aspect and will add to traffic congestion.

Consultee ID: Mr Wallace
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: 106470

Part of document: Option 21c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Buxted has already seen a good deal of development. It is situated in a sensitive landscape area. The infrastructure is limited and the road volume on the A272 through the village is already a major issue. Further development of any size would be inappropriate.
Consultee ID: Mr Burton
Agent ID: 106471
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The AONB must be preserved and I could not agree to any but limited affordable housing for local people. The view from the South Downs should not be of settlements, especially west of the existing Polegate/Williongdon development.

Consultee ID: Mr Burton
Agent ID: 106471
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 2b
Your View ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:
We should not focus solely on generation but also on all types of improving energy afficiency including heat pumps if they prove to be realistic.

Consultee ID: Mr Burton
Agent ID: 106471
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 3a
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The need is for local employment to avoid the need for commuting. Only consider housing where the site is really suitable for it and there is absolutely no prospect of employment use within a long period, say 20 years.

Consultee ID: Mr Burton
Agent ID: 106471
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 3b
Your View ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:
Option 3c

Subject to the overriding concern of preserving the AONB and its surroundings.

Option 3d

Such a policy would lead to importing people rather than improving employment prospects for those already here.

Option 4a

I do not wish to see development extending to the west of the A22 into greenfield sites.

Option 4b

Again, I do not wish to see development west of the A22.
Consultee ID: Mr Burton
Agent ID: 106471

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Burton
Agent ID: 106471

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Burton
Agent ID: 106471

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Maintain the boundaries but only expand where the environment is really suitable. The existing boundaries were drawn up with careful thought and should not be discarded lightly.

Consultee ID: Mr Burton
Agent ID: 106471

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I could not agree to any semblance of extending boundaries in all places and would need to see specific instances first.
Consultee ID:  Mr Burton

Part of document:
- Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Burton

Part of document:
- Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Burton

Part of document:
- Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Burton

Part of document:
- Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Tautological!
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Strongly opposed to expanding existing Polegate/Willindon area west of the A22. It is marvellous that the South Downs are so free of surrounding development except in the existing ares near eastbourne & Brighton. Every attempt should be made to preserve this beauty.

Option 8b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

see reply to 8b

Option 8c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

see reply to 8b

Option 8d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Preserve the open country of the Low Weald.
Consultee ID: Mr Burton  
Agent ID: 106471

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option

Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I don't agree with the plus signs in the statement. They wouldn't be thresholds. I agree with the spirit of the question.

Consultee ID: Mr Burton  
Agent ID: 106471

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option

Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Unsuitable for housing and any industrial use must proceed with great care to avoid encroaching on the surroundings of Abbottswood & Michelham Priory.

Consultee ID: Mr Burton  
Agent ID: 106471

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option

Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Burton  
Agent ID: 106471

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Do not extend the existing conurbation westwards to despoil the surroundings of the South Downs.
Option 16b

Reason for comment:
As for 16a; and moreover it is even more divorced from Polegate town!

Option 16d

Reason for comment:

Option 16e

Reason for comment:

Option 16f

Reason for comment:
However, a large part of this area should be kept as open space for recreational purposes.
Part of document: Option 16g
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
But Polegate is not now a rail junction!

Part of document: Question 16i
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
But Polegate is not now a rail junction!

Part of document: Question 18k
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
I am forced by your site either to agree or disagree! For Heaven’s sake do not extend the urban corridor west of the A22 at the foot of the South Downs

Part of document: Option 18h
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Burton
106471

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Toptally unsuitable area for development so near the South Downs. isn't this area now recommended by the Inspector to be in the proposed National Park?

Consultee ID: Mr Burton
106471

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Amy new settlement here, and especially if so big, will greatly harm the South Downs area and put much pressure on road capacity including the A27 and narrow, winding roads through Alfriston & Litlington.

Consultee ID: Mr Burton
106471

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Overloading of the C39 must be avoided.
Consultee ID: Mr Burton
106471

Part of document: option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Some increases in density could occur in appropriate places.

Consultee ID: Mr Burton
106471

Part of document: option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Only if there is good transport!

Consultee ID: Mr Burton
106471

Part of document: option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
But only in existing urban areas.

Consultee ID: Mr Burton
106471

Part of document: option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Affordable housing is needed in towns.
Consultee ID: Mr Burton

Option 25e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Shouldn't there be no exceptions?

Consultee ID: Mr Burton

Option 25f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
only in very exceptional circumstances. If permitted it lays the door open to unintended creeping development.

Consultee ID: Mr Burton

Option 27a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Burton

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
But is unlikely to prove an attractive alternative route to London.
To be on the route from Brighton & Lewes to Eastbourne it (& associated development) would have to encroach on greenfield land to the west of the existing A27.

As well as leading to an urban corridor at the foot of the South Downs, it would greatly increase traffic in the area, including through Alfriston, along unsuitable roads.

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Burton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106471</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 28a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Burton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106471</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 28b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Burton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106471</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 28c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Burton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106471</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question Twenty Nine

#### Section of Document: Housing Delivery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Mr Burton  Agent ID: 106471

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question Thirty
Section of Document:  Infrastructure Requirements
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Any new roads or railway station west of the A22 at Poelgate must be avoided.

Consultee ID: Mr Burton  Agent ID: 106471

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 31a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Burton  Agent ID: 106471

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 32a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
In general
Westham village services do not have "good access", especially from the north, so this value judgement is unjustified in the absence of any details of the possible development. Objectively, most of the new dwellings would be "Within easy driving distance of a small high street having very few parking spaces". The high street was not built to be the focus of a group of modern housing estates and it is difficult to see how the junction with Peelings Lane could take more traffic and parking could be provided without detriment to the existing village centre and the quality of life of its residents. It is particularly difficult to see how the access to the primary school could cope with a larger intake. It would make sense to move the primary school out to a location where adequate parking and safe road crossing could be provided, and to build homes in the village centre where the school now is. (NB If the flood risk is considered too great for homes, then it's too great to leave the primary school there anyway.) The castle and adjoining high streets of Pevensey and Westham are picturesque, but do not suffice to support much tourist industry, as evidenced by the planning application for change of use of the Priory Court Hotel. With the coast a mile or so southeast and the so-called "trunk" roads west to Lewes and Brighton, east to Hastings and north to London all single-carriageway with the exception of the stretch between Dittons and Boship, communications with other regions are too poor for the area to attract other wealth-creating industries. New homes in the area are therefore unlikely to lead to new local jobs for the new house purchasers. Building on Westham farms principally to assist Eastbourne workers to move out into the country and commute back into the town should have no place in a "sustainable development" strategy.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106474</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 2b
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ✓

#### Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree: ☑
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☐

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106474</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 3a
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ✓

#### Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree: ☑
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☐

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106474</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 3b
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ✓

#### Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree: ☐
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☑

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106474</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 3c
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ✓

#### Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree: ☑
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☐

#### Reason for comment:
To provide smaller attractive flats for the elderly who can then give up their larger houses and free up existing space.
Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Depending on infrastructure and character of village or town
Consultee ID: Ruse
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ruse
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ruse
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Character and community spirit to be maintained, therefore smaller settlements to be preferred.

Consultee ID: Ruse
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ruse
106474

Part of document: Option 19a
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Forest Row: Infill would be acceptable but real growth would be disastrous.

Consultee ID: Ruse
106474

Part of document: Question 19f
Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ruse
106474

Part of document: Option 25a
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ruse
106474

Part of document: Option 24c
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106474
Part of document: Option 24d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106474
Part of document: Option 25c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106474
Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106474
Part of document: Option 25e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ruse
106474

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ruse
106474

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ruse
106474

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ruse
106474

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ruse  
106474  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 26b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Ruse  
106474  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 27a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Ruse  
106474  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 28a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Ruse  
106474  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 28b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
For Forest Row any house building should be eco friendly. No farmland should be used as we shall need it to feed ourselves in the future. for new energy supply I would suggest a wind farm on tip of Ashdown Forest where radio masts used to be. Why not buy private estates with plenty of land to build community centres or transform large buildings into flats as they come onto the market? Decisions over where development could take place can only come from inside the district and those who understand the needs of our communities and the existence of character of Wealden landscape not from anybody outside it, although financially it has to fit into the whole of the country. X housing.

But very limited - in AONB’s only brownfield sites, such as farm building conversions etc, again encouraging sustainable material use and renewable energy etc...

Absolute must! The visual impact of wind turbines on the South Downs or indeed on the High Weald may be undesirable to many, but at least it is reversible! If we find in years to come that wind turbines are obsolete owing to other technological advances, energy saving measures, or other solutions to climate change, they can be dismantled and removed. The potential effects of climate change on the landscape and the people on this planet will not be that easy to rectify! We must do EVERYTHING we can, NOW to curb climate change. I therefore, wholeheartedly, support this policy.
Option 2b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Absolute must! The visual impact of wind turbines on the South Downs or indeed on the High Weald may be undesirable to many, but at least it is reversible! If we find in years to come that wind turbines are obsolete owing to other technological advances, energy saving measures, or other solutions to climate change, they can be dismantled and removed. The potential effects of climate change on the landscape and the people on this planet will not be that easy to rectify! We must do EVERYTHING we can, NOW to curb climate change. I therefore, wholeheartedly, support this policy.

Option 19a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Traffic congestion; use of Greenfield sites; risk of worsening run off and concomitant flooding; pressure on village resources; pressure on village community etc.

Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
makes sense, but public transport should also be improved

Option 18a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Mrs Richardson
106477

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If this refers to the field with public footpath running along the edge and nearly opposite Tilsmore Road, then I would refer you to a previous planning application made some years ago, to build in this field. It was turned down, as it was in an ANOB, no development would be allowed. I hope this is the case today. Ghyll Road and Sheepsetting Lane are already dangerously busy - especially with the school nearby.

Consultee ID: Mr Huikeshoven
106480

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We should try to add more housing to existing larger towns in the form of highrise buildings.

Consultee ID: Mr Huikeshoven
106480

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I think we should set the target higher if at all possible. After all we do not really want the large numbers of houses forced upon us by central government. If we have to have some, let them at least be models of energy efficiency even if this adds to the initial cost. Solar panels seem to be the best solution at the moment: they are efficient and non-invasive. Windturbines should be avoided : they are eyesores and a source of noise pollution.
Option 2b

Reason for comment:
This requirement should be the starting point and subject to upward review periodically, as and when technology progresses. In addition, in order to further reduce the carbon footprint of new housing, these should be built to a minimum standard of 3 Star as defined by The Code for Sustainable Homes with immediate effect, and this standard be raised gradually to the maximum standard of 6 Star by 2012. This appears to be possible in continental Europe, so why not here?

Option 19a

Reason for comment:
Many parts of the F.R. infrastructure are already strained and could not possibly cope with a 20% increase in population. Healthcare, schools, roads/traffic, to name a few. Traffic is my biggest worry: the A22 goes right through the village and, even before a 20% increase, we frequently get clogged up already. Crossing the A22, in order to get from one side of the village to the other will become very difficult.

Option 24a

Reason for comment:
It is an arbitrary figure, creating a straitjacket. Development in individual villages should be allowed to reflect the individuality of each village; not a "hamfisted" catch-all percentage.
Consultee ID: mr Huikeshoven
106480

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Too restrictive. This could lead to developments completely out of character with existing communities. Also: is this supposed to be a yardstick for high-rise apartments as well as villas?

Consultee ID: mr Huikeshoven
106480

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Much too restrictive.

Consultee ID: mr Huikeshoven
106480

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This makes sense where there are GOOD public transport facilities. But where are those? I consider them so poor that, even as an OAP who travels free on the local bus service, I never use them.

Consultee ID: mr Huikeshoven
106480

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Strict measures to avoid "buy to let" will be required.
Option 25c
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Another hamfisted dictat. Not all villages can be treated in the same way.

Option 25d
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Rural areas are not the same as towns, whether one likes it or not.

Option 25f
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 25g
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
And the figures used for "cars per household" should take into account the -whether we like it or not- continuing growth in car ownership. Over the years I have seen kerbside parking increase to such an extent that many streets in our towns and villages start to feel like ski slalom courses.

It is nice if you can get it (as was the case with the cricket/tennis pavilion in Forest Row) but in general house building and provision of sports facilities are really to separate issues.
Consultee ID: Mrs Mayhew
106482

Part of document: Option 13a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The edge of town location makes it unsustainable and the biodiversity of the area would be compromised by such a large scale development.

Consultee ID: Mr Foley
106483

Part of document: Part 10

Section of Document: Details of How to Comment

Reason for comment:
I believe that the South East has borne more than its share of residential development over the last few years and that, in particular, the wrong type of housing has been built in Wealden. Previous development has been incentivised by the wish to maximise profit to developers and does not cater to the needs of the communities themselves. The crying need for villages in Wealden, as elsewhere, is for low cost starter homes so that children who have been brought up in those communities have a chance of finding affordable housing within them, if they so wish. Without a balance of age groups, including those in starter homes, primary schools will find their rolls declining. The inherent problem seems to be the cost of the underlying land which pushes up the cost of houses. It is made worse by the impotence of local councils to influence what planning applications that come before them. What is needed is some form of positive discrimination in favour of low cost housing - directed towards the needs of the people in the communities. Surely it should be possible to build houses of a size to suit those buying for the first time and, if necessary, include covenants that restrict their sale to people who have lived or worked in the village for, say, 5 years. This approach is common place on the Isles of Scilly, for example, so why not use it here? I believe also that the Wealden infrastructure would need to be vastly improved to sustain any significant level of residential building. For instance, our local village pub in Rotherfield, the Kings Arms, is struck by large vehicles almost every month and little seems to have been done to redirect such vehicles elsewhere. Any large scale building, with the consequent extra traffic on our already congested and sometimes narrow roads would simply make the traffic congestion worse. Whilst I recognise that Rotherfield, like all villages in Wealden, must bear some share of extra homes, the proposal to build another 150 new houses in Rotherfield seems completely out of proportion with the capacity of the infrastructure and would destroy the nature of the village.
I accept that new housing in the affordable and open market is required. Wealden has a variety of locations where development could take place. This could provide large numbers of affordable houses in numerous rural locations where 'locals' complain they are squeezed out due to unaffordable prices. In addition higher value houses will attract investment to those areas. Whichever, it needs to be a proportionate mix. So why do I DISAGREE? Having my own concerns regarding Fresh water supply, Sewage disposal, Road infrastructure and Medical facilities and not fully understanding the whole process, I had to read the full Consultation Document [well most!]. It is fairly apparent without reading the Consultation Document that the supply of fresh water to South Wealden is stretched at the moment, 2005 and 2006 saw hosepipe bans in force. Even the year 2026 does not look far enough ahead for untreated fresh water storage, yet it appears South East Water are not looking anywhere near that far. It was interesting to read the comments from Southern Water and the Environment Agency regarding water and sewage treatment. Essentially, unless either new treatment works are built or current ones expanded, then for a number of reasons those systems cannot cope beyond other than a slight increase in new houses. The road infrastructure is in serious need of improving for current surfaces and creating new roads. The A27(T) west of Polegate is appalling bad. Whilst the Polegate by Pass new A22 has been a welcome improvement, it has only partially addressed the whole area road problem. [If the traffic lights and road markings at Cophall roundabout are an indication of what is to come, can we rely on the Highways Agency judgement?] Medical facilities from GP surgery to Hospital are in questionable ability to deal with large increases of population. In our area we have a constant battle with ward closures, cancelled operations and, the potential of withdrawing the Accident and Emergency unit or Maternity [perhaps both] from the EDGH. BEFORE a sod is turned or a brick laid the people of the area should be told how many new houses are actually going to be built. THEN and BEFORE any housing development takes place the Utilities companies and Facility providers should upgrade to the proposed new capacity PLUS 10%. Thank you.

A blanket ban on development is too inflexible. There should be strict regulation but this should be fine-tuned to allow a response to local circumstances and local needs. Small scale developments to meet the need for affordable housing (including first time buyers) should be allowed.
Part of document: Option 2a
Section of Document: Option 2a
Reason for comment: All new development should meet government targets

Part of document: Option 2b
Section of Document: Option 2b
Reason for comment: Wealden should aim for a higher target wherever this is viable.

Part of document: Option 3a
Section of Document: Option 3a
Reason for comment: Land allocated for employment purposes should not be left unused indefinitely but there needs to be strict criteria on alternative uses to prevent profit-maximising behaviour by landowners and developers. E.g. Planning permission for affordable housing only?

Part of document: Option 3b
Section of Document: Option 3b
Reason for comment:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 3c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The possibility of attracting large scale employment on a suitable site should not be ignored.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 3d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 4a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 4b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
106485 Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is the most appropriate site. Good new road infrastructure exists and housing is planned to match jobs.

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
106485 Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Although 70% would be preferable to 80% or 75% it still pre-judges the issue of where houses should go without reference to need and demand.

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
106485 Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Some new employment in this area is required but large amounts of greenfield land should not be used.

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
106485 Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Question 4e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
More employment development in Uckfield should be considered to match the amount of new housing planned.
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This statement is contradictory. You cannot maintain boundaries and expand them at the same time.

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This policy would allow judgments to be made on a case by case basis without giving carte blanche to developers. The criteria should not be so strict as to make incremental growth impossible.

Question 5c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
All boundaries should be reviewed, taking into account such factors as the optimum size and shape of individual settlements, natural and man-made boundaries and proximity to other settlements.
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This question needs to be viewed in the context of other policies. If there are to be restrictions on development inside the AONB this rules out quite a large number of towns and villages. Any distribution of development is therefore not between urban and rural areas in general but between urban and rural areas outside the AONB. This would result in an even greater urban focus. There is both a need and a demand for housing in the villages which would not be met with this distribution. At the same time, focusing 80% of planned development in the few towns outside the AONB would lead to large-scale growth that would change the nature of the existing communities.

Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This question needs to be viewed in the context of other policies. If there are to be restrictions on development inside the AONB this rules out quite a large number of towns and villages. Any distribution of development is therefore not between urban and rural areas in general but between urban and rural areas outside the AONB. This would result in an even greater urban focus. There is both a need and a demand for housing in the villages which would not be met with this distribution. At the same time, focusing 75% of planned development in the few towns outside the AONB would lead to large-scale growth that would change the nature of the existing communities.

Question 6d

Reason for comment:

Question 6 ignores other alternatives to this approach; for example, the development of a new settlement to take the majority of the planned growth or the distribution of a percentage of growth to each individual settlement.
Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis
106485 Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: Option 7a
Section of Document:
Your View   Agree   No opinion   Disagree
Reason for comment:
A system of classification is useful and this one seems rational.

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis
106485 Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: Option 7b
Section of Document:
Your View   Disagree
Reason for comment:
Agree with the principle but not the criteria proposed. Classifying villages within 5km of towns as having more growth potential is inviting the suburbanisation of the countryside and the spread of ‘urban sprawl’ as towns and villages grow towards each other.

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis
106485 Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: Option 7c
Section of Document:
Your View   Disagree
Reason for comment:
Wealden has already discovered that planning housing development is easier said than done. Development should take place in line with local needs and demand. These are likely to change over the next twenty years so planning according to too strict criteria is doomed to failure.

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis
106485 Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View   Agree
Reason for comment:
Such services are vital to village life and should be protected as far as possible. If they are uneconomic subsidies should be provided.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- **Option 8a**: 
  - Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
  - Reason for comment:
  - [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

- **Option 8b**: 
  - Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
  - Reason for comment:
  - [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

- **Option 8c**: 
  - Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
  - Reason for comment:
  - [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

- **Option 8d**: 
  - Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
  - Reason for comment:
  - This would be an innovative approach allowing development of infrastructure and planned growth according to need.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106485</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Uckfield Town Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106485</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Uckfield Town Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106485</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Uckfield Town Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reason for comment:
The reason given for these thresholds is that ‘There is a risk of the examination into site allocations becoming bogged down with hundreds of small site omission objections.’ Another, unstated, reason is that larger sites will generate higher infrastructure provision and affordable housing. There are also reasons against such a policy. Smaller, more distributed, sites may be preferable in terms of their impact on the landscape, infrastructure and character of a town or village. They also tend to be more deliverable. If sites are not included on the proposals map it should be made clear that the housing nevertheless counts towards any overall target for an area – and that pro-rata developer contributions to infrastructure development will be expected.

Your View
☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Your View
☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered
Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis
106485 Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis
106485 Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 11g

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis
106485 Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 12a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis
106485 Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 12b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered
Consultee ID: 106485  Mr. Serpis  Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

All potential sites should be considered.

Consultee ID: 106485  Mr. Serpis  Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Development to the west of the A22 would breach the boundaries of the town allowing subsequent unchecked expansion.
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Unless, and until, infrastructure development takes place, UTC considers that sites to the north of the town centre are preferable to those to the south. There are, nevertheless, concerns raised by the proposal as outlined. Parts of the site are of ecological value and some doubts have been expressed as to the developer’s ability to protect these adequately – especially if both sections go ahead. Ease of access to the by-pass is a point in favour of this site but the scale of the development, especially if both sections were to go ahead, would increase traffic congestion caused by residents accessing town centre services. This would be worsened if other northern sites were also developed and town centre road improvements to alleviate these problems would be required.

Option 14b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Unless, and until, infrastructure development takes place, UTC considers that sites to the north of the town centre are preferable to those to the south. There would be good access off the A22 and moderately good access to the town centre. Nevertheless the scale of development would exacerbate current traffic congestion problems and town centre road improvements to alleviate these would be required. The extent of development should be confined to those areas closest to Ringles Cross so as to maintain the gap between Uckfield and Five Ash Down and Coopers Green.

Option 14c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Unless, and until, infrastructure development takes place, UTC considers that further sites to the south of the town should not be developed. Vehicular access to the town centre from this site is poor and the development would add to current traffic congestion problems along Framfield Road and at the junction of Framfield Road and the High Street. The council is also concerned to protect the landscape and vistas in this area and to maintain the natural boundary between Uckfield and Framfield.
Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  Agent ID:
106485  Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

Unless, and until, infrastructure development takes place, UTC considers that further sites to the south of the town should not be developed. Although there would be good access to southern road networks the scale of this development would exacerbate current traffic congestion problems in the town centre and road improvements to alleviate these would be required. There are also concerns that the ‘long term gradual extension of the town Southwards’ would lead to urban sprawl and change the current compact nature of the town. If the development linked through to Ridgewood Road, Ridgewood village, which is now on the fringe of Uckfield, would be completely swallowed up and lose its separate identity.

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  Agent ID:
106485  Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

Unless, and until, infrastructure development takes place, UTC considers that further sites to the south of the town should not be developed. There is not ‘Good access via Ridgewood to Uckfield’ as claimed in the consultation paper. Ridgewood Road is already congested and access to the town via the High Street would cause increased congestion at the Framfield Road and Bell Lane traffic lights. Development of this site would change the nature of Ridgewood from that of a rural village to that of a suburb of Uckfield. The landscape of the southern slopes is worthy of preservation and only the northern section could be developed without destroying important vistas.

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  Agent ID:
106485  Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View  

Reason for comment:

Unless, and until, infrastructure development takes place, UTC considers that further sites to the south of the town should not be developed. This looks attractive as an infill site but access via Bridge Farm Road would cause increased congestion at the Framfield Road traffic lights suggesting the need for a new river crossing giving direct access onto Bell Lane.
UTC is keen to see the redevelopment of brown-field sites in the town centre to provide mixed retail, community and residential use. This redevelopment would provide services for the planned increased population and give the opportunity for road improvements to ease traffic congestion. Residential development on the flood plain should only take place if flood prevention measures are in place and/or appropriate building design is used.

These objectives are an ideal to be aimed at that fits in well with the vision for Uckfield emerging through public consultation over the last six months.

All potential sites should be considered

All potential sites should be considered
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
☑️ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
All potential sites should be considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
☑️ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
All potential sites should be considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
☑️ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
All potential sites should be considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
☑️ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
All potential sites should be considered
Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
Agent ID: 106485  
Uckfield Town Council  

Part of document:  
- Option 15h  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
Agent ID: 106485  
Uckfield Town Council  

Part of document:  
- Option 16a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
- All potential sites should be considered  

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
Agent ID: 106485  
Uckfield Town Council  

Part of document:  
- Option 16b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
- All potential sites should be considered  

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
Agent ID: 106485  
Uckfield Town Council  

Part of document:  
- Option 16c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
- All potential sites should be considered
Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis
Agent ID: 106485
Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis
Agent ID: 106485
Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis
Agent ID: 106485
Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis
Agent ID: 106485
Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered
### Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
Agent ID: 106485  
Uckfield Town Council

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

**Option 16i**

**Your View**  Yes  
**Reason for comment:**

#### Option 17a

**Your View**  Yes  
**Reason for comment:**

- All potential sites should be considered

#### Option 17b

**Your View**  Yes  
**Reason for comment:**

- All potential sites should be considered

#### Option 17c

**Your View**  Yes  
**Reason for comment:**

- All potential sites should be considered
Mr. Serpis  
Uckfield Town Council

Option 18a

Isfield should remain rural. A new settlement so close to Uckfield would put too great pressure on services.

Option 18b

Agree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered. Good access to the A22. The Lower Dicker area is already partly built up with ribbon development. Planned new development would improve infrastructure and services.

Option 18c

Agree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered. Good access to the A22. The Lower Dicker area is already partly built up with ribbon development. Planned new development would improve infrastructure and services.

Option 18d

Agree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered. Good access to the A22. The Lower Dicker area is already partly built up with ribbon development. Planned new development would improve infrastructure and services.
Part of document: Option 18e
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered. Good access to the A22. The Lower Dicker area is already partly built up with ribbon development. Planned new development would improve infrastructure and services.

Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered. Good access to the A22. The Lower Dicker area is already partly built up with ribbon development. Planned new development would improve infrastructure and services.

Part of document: Option 18g
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Part of document: Option 18h
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered
Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
106485  Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
106485  Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
106485  Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
106485  Uckfield Town Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered
Consultee ID: 106485  Mr. Serpis  Agent ID:
Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: 106485  Mr. Serpis  Agent ID:
Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: 106485  Mr. Serpis  Agent ID:
Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: 106485  Mr. Serpis  Agent ID:
Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Maresfield retains a geographical separation from Uckfield which would not be jeopardized by the proposed growth.

Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development in Five Ash Down might take some of the pressure off the need to find more sites in Uckfield. There are some fears that if this development takes place as well as the Downlands Farm and Ringles Cross proposals this would destroy the gap between the village and Uckfield as well as adding to traffic congestion and putting pressure on Uckfield town centre.
Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
Agent ID:  
Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  
Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View  
Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed development is relatively small scale for a village with good rail and road connections. Proposals for other villages exceed those in the Draft Settlement Strategy so why should this not be the case in Buxted?

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
Agent ID:  
Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree

Reason for comment:
Your View

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
Agent ID:  
Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
Agent ID:  
Uckfield Town Council

Part of document:  
Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered
Mr. Serpis
Uckfield Town Council

Option 22c
All potential sites should be considered

Option 22d
All potential sites should be considered

Option 23a
All potential sites should be considered

Option 23b
All potential sites should be considered
Option 23c

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered.

Option 23d

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered.

Option 25d

Reason for comment:
Affordable housing should be integrated with other types.

Option 23e

Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106485</th>
<th>Uckfield Town Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 24a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** | | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

Higher densities would reduce green space to an unacceptable degree.

### Option 24b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** | | | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

Higher densities would reduce green space to an unacceptable degree.

### Option 24c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** | | | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

These densities may be appropriate for town centre development but not greenfield sites.

### Option 24d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** | | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
There is a need for smaller dwellings for single people and first time buyers. Housing should be appropriate for the site. Flats should be low rise and designed to fit in with the environment. (e.g. mansion-style).

Option 25b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The same figure should be applied to all towns.

Option 25c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 25e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
106485  Uckfield Town Council
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 25f
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
106485  Uckfield Town Council
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 25g
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
106485  Uckfield Town Council
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 26a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
All potential sites should be considered

Consultee ID: Mr. Serpis  
106485  Uckfield Town Council
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 26b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Agree with the distribution throughout the District close to towns but consider sites within towns unsuitable.
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Bus services should follow development not the other way round. Current services reflect current not future need.

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
New development will take place anyway. Instatement of transport services is a prerequisite for this and development can help to fund it. This should be an immediate not a long term priority, not dependent on prior development.

Option 27d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Safety considerations are as important as the impact on the South Downs. The A27 runs along the foot of the downs for much of this route and could be dualled without great damage.

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Would ease town centre congestion.
Large households need more car parking spaces. The criteria should be discussed and tested. For example, the policy requiring fewer parking spaces for town centre dwellings is based on the erroneous assumption that such dwellings requires fewer spaces than similar size households in fringe locations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Serpis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106485</td>
<td>Uckfield Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Thirty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Version One of the Town Council’s ‘Master Plan’ will be sent to you shortly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Butcher</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106486</td>
<td>Crowborough Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Given the size of the buffer zones around the major towns in the AONB, no case has been made for development within the AONB, though in some places the extent of the AONB covers areas that seem to be of little importance with regard to their environmental benefit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Butcher</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106486</td>
<td>Crowborough Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>This is a must. We need to stop the need for travelling distances to work and this strategy would maximise the likelihood that residents in the new areas will be employed close to their place of residence.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Despite the natural beauty of the area, its low population density and extensive potential for sustainable and renewable power generation suggest the 10% target is not ambitious enough and therefore we should strive to achieve the highest possible renewable target.

There is no benefit to leave land undeveloped if a more realistic prospect comes forward.

Seems redundant. If the market need is significant enough, the pressure for redevelopment will not exist.

is just verbiage. It seems unlikely that circumstances will arise where there is a conflict between the stated new business development 'focus' and other alternatives (presumably large business development).
Section of Document:

General agreement to this principle but should be done with sympathetic consideration to the surroundings and the AONB.

Reason for comment:
The options here propose rather arbitrary proportions (on what basis are we to make the decision that one particular percentage split is more appropriate than another?). The majority of development should be based on need and available amenities and therefore each decision would depend on the infrastructure, employment opportunities, and housing demand in the proposed locations. Paragraph 4.26 supports this view. This philosophy would assist in reducing the need to travel distances.

Reason for comment:
Growth can only come with a properly structured plan to develop and improve infrastructure and services.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Butcher
106486 Crowborough Town Council

Part of document: Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
Whilst Crowborough is not a village, the viewpoint given represents a good guide for classification.

Consultee ID: Butcher
106486 Crowborough Town Council

Part of document: Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
Whilst Crowborough is not a village, the viewpoint given represents a good guide for focussing development in villages with the greatest capability for supporting growth in a reasonable strategy.

Consultee ID: Butcher
106486 Crowborough Town Council

Part of document: Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
If premises are not used then they should be considered for change of use because change of use in many of these cases is likely to be due to the business becoming uneconomic.

Consultee ID: Butcher
106486 Crowborough Town Council

Part of document: Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
Less impact on AONB and the shared approach is best to ease the burden on an already overstretched Wealden infrastructure.
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
As long as it can be demonstrated that there is a housing need. Thirty dwellings (the threshold applicable to Crowborough) may be far too low. Again, the size of the development must be proven and be in line with the local infrastructure.

Option 11a

Reason for comment:
Affordable housing near town centre providing there are sensible densities.

Option 11b

Reason for comment:
Crowborough Town Council has already identified this area for recreation. Any development to this area would likely involve the loss of further recreation land. PPG 17 is quite clear that Crowborough is woefully short of such land. This site would also impact on land designated as AONB.
Option 11c

Reason for comment:
Development would open up the town. The development could bring other benefits such as new facilities, infrastructure, localised shopping by the addition of a parade of shops and allotments, all built into the cost of the development. Easy access onto the main roads network.

Option 11d

Reason for comment:
Currently there is no road infrastructure that satisfactorily serves this area now, let alone sufficient to support more housing development.

Option 11e

Reason for comment:
Currently there is no road infrastructure or amenities that satisfactorily serves this area now, let alone sufficient to support more housing development.

Question 11g

Reason for comment:
Question 11h

Reason for comment:
Suggest that Crowborough relocates the current industrial site from Jarvis Brook to the area in and around the MOD camp site. Re-develop the current industrial areas for housing. This will open up Jarvis Brook for additional housing that is near the railway station and heavy vehicles will no longer have to come through the town. The new industrial area would be a modern industrial development, with direct access onto the main roads, all of which would serve as an inducement to attract new business to the area.

Option 13a

Reason for comment:
We must look for suitable housing sites wherever possible. This as long as it is demand driven and either the infrastructure can sustain it or the development addresses that issue.

Option 24a

Reason for comment:
This is the highest density that should be allowed to maintain the look and feel of Wealden's rural aspect and mix of development, amenities space and communities. The only possible exception could be that higher densities could be considered in some 'inner town' locations.
Consultee ID: Butcher
106486 Crowborough Town Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Surely all development must have considered transport links prior to development.

Consultee ID: Butcher
106486 Crowborough Town Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Butcher
106486 Crowborough Town Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Surely all development must have considered transport links prior to development.

Consultee ID: Butcher
106486 Crowborough Town Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
Would consider higher densities for terraced housing but would be very concerned over 'blocks of flats' changing the character of Wealden. Any higher density development must maintain sufficient quality and special requirements within the dwellings.
Consultee ID: Butcher 106486  Crowborough Town Council

Part of document:  Option 25b  

Section of Document:
Your View  Agreed  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
40% affordable housing for developments in Crowborough but query why different in other areas. Consideration should be given to reduce the threshold on the number of dwellings built in any given development that would then trigger affordable housing. We believe that this should be reduced to five dwellings. This would more likely get affordable housing built.

Consultee ID: Butcher 106486  Crowborough Town Council

Part of document:  Option 25e  

Section of Document:
Your View  Agreed  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree as long as the need for housing can be demonstrated.

Consultee ID: Butcher 106486  Crowborough Town Council

Part of document:  Option 25d  

Section of Document:
Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Disagree strongly. This would lead to a divided social structure.

Consultee ID: Butcher 106486  Crowborough Town Council

Part of document:  Option 25f  

Section of Document:
Your View  Agreement  No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106486  
Butcher  
Crowborough Town Council

Part of document: Option 25g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Your View:           | ✅ Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree |
| Reason for comment:  | As long as the need for housing can be demonstrated. |

Consultee ID: 106486  
Butcher  
Crowborough Town Council

Part of document: Option 26a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree |
| Reason for comment:  |  |

Consultee ID: 106486  
Butcher  
Crowborough Town Council

Part of document: Option 26b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Your View:           | ✅ Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree |
| Reason for comment:  | This would give the best spread of amenities and services to support such a scheme, but consideration should still be given to demonstrating the current need for such sites and not to just build them. |

Consultee ID: 106486  
Butcher  
Crowborough Town Council

Part of document: Option 27a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Your View:           | ✅ Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree |
| Reason for comment:  | Development and transport services should support each other. |
Consultee ID: Butcher  
106486 Crowborough Town Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: 

Option 27b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Butcher  
106486 Crowborough Town Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: 

Option 27e

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Butcher  
106486 Crowborough Town Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: 

Option 27f

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
Should be maintained at two per household.

Consultee ID: Butcher  
106486 Crowborough Town Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: 

Option 28a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
PPG 17
Consultee ID: Butcher 106486 Crowborough Town Council
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Strongly agree. All large developments should enter into section 106 agreements to obtain developer contributions to help fund facilities and amenities in their local area. PPG 17 shows huge shortfalls throughout Wealden.

Consultee ID: Butcher 106486 Crowborough Town Council
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
New facilities should be provided before old facilities are demolished. PPG 17 shows huge shortfalls throughout Wealden.

Consultee ID: Butcher 106486 Crowborough Town Council
Part of document: ☑ option
Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Planning consents should be changed so that developers have to break ground within two years and complete developments within five. This would stop developers sitting on land where planning permission has been granted.

Consultee ID: Butcher 106486 Crowborough Town Council
Part of document: ☑ option
Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Question 31a

Reason for comment:

Business start-ups should be beneficially environmentally benign.

Question 31b

Reason for comment:

Question 32a

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Reilly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>H.M &amp; A.C Reilly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Reilly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>H.M &amp; A.C Reilly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Reilly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>H.M &amp; A.C Reilly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 12a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Reilly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>H.M &amp; A.C Reilly</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 12b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 12c
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
I think the land at the top of Mutton Hall Hill would be a good location for new housing and a possible Health Centre.

Option 12d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Option 12e
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Option 12f
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:

I concur with the views of the Ashdown Friends of the earth. There is no evidence that these plans are sustainable and they certainly are not in the interests of our villages which is already over-burdened with traffic etc. I have yet to find one local person who is in favour and given that we live in a democracy ( although you'd never believe it given that local community views are not taken into account ) it would be appreciated if those of us who live here and will be badly affected could have our views acted upon over the views of developers and big business greed.
Reason for comment:
New domestic housing should be built to minimum standard of 3 star (defined by Code for Sustainable Homes) with immediate effect, and this standard be raised by one star grade each year reaching the max. standard of 6 star by 2012.

Reason for comment:
New domestic and commercial development should meet requirements of generating AT LEAST 20% of its energy from renewable resources with immediate effect, and that this target be the subject of upward review after 3 years.

Reason for comment:
I think an analysis should be done as to whether or not new housing in Forest Row is sustainable or necessary according to local needs and requests. I think there may be room for affordable sustainable housing but only up to about 50 on brownfield sites, if there are any and not in any areas of outstanding natural beauty.
Consultee ID: Ms Wyatt
106489

Part of document: ☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option
Part 5

Section of Document: Wealden's Places

Reason for comment:
We have personally suffered from flooding and run offs of water from the Ashdown Forest and other areas open areas must be taken into account. Increased rin-off from new development might add to flooding risk and we do not, either, have the infrastructure to cope with yet more development. We are already over-run with traffic which is damaging our community. The A22 could not carry increased traffic loads from the A237 anyway. 80% of traffic in East Grinstead is local traffic. It does not need to "be relived" and a new relief road will simply encourage more unlocal heavy traffic THROUGH our village.

Consultee ID: Ms Wyatt
106489

Part of document: ☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option
Part 6

Section of Document: Wealden's Communities

Reason for comment:
There is a tendency in Wealden for developers to pursue a more profitable market for luxury 5 bed £1 million plus value homes, which tent to draw in wealthy clients from other areas outside to the detriment of local lower income families who have to move across boundaries because of a shortage of affordable housing. Existing policy has attempted to redress this by requiring develop. of over 15 dwellings to include 30% affordable or social housing but this has brought limited success. This threshold shouulds be lowered to prevent piecemeal applications of fewer than 15 dwellings ducking under this requirement.

Consultee ID: Ms Groves
106490

Judith Norris Ltd

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
As such large areas of the district are within the AONB, to prevent development in these areas would lead to economic and social decline. Careful consideration must be given to design; not all development should be assumed to have a negative impact on the landscape.
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A small number of houses should be built in all villages (5-60) with at least 60% affordable as this would help to retain services and be in keeping with the character of the area.

Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There should be no development on the floodplain areas of Uckfield

Question 18k

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A number of smaller new settlements would be more appropriate than one larger one these should include all village facilities.
Consultee ID: Mr Whitmarsh  Agent ID: 106491
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 21b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
There should be no further development in Five Ash Down, because of traffic, flooding schooling and biodiversity issues.

Consultee ID: Mr Whitmarsh  Agent ID: 106491
Part of document:  section
Section of Document: Housing Needs - Dwelling Mix and Density
Your View  ☑ No opinion
Reason for comment:
Lower density housing in villages will be in keeping with the rural environment.

Consultee ID: Mr Whitmarsh  Agent ID: 106491
Part of document:  option
Option 25c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:
In villages there should be at least 60% affordable units.

Consultee ID: Dutnall  Agent ID: 106492
Part of document:  option
Option 18j
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Mrs Ewing

I live in Sandy Cross Lane. It has changed in the past 14 years that I have lived here, from a quiet country lane, into a fast through road to the two schools. It is a very narrow lane, with nowhere to walk, which at the moment is dangerous to my two children, and for me when I walk my dogs. We also have a terrible problem with flooding, as the water from Cherry Gardens runs straight down our private road, across into Monkhurst Farm and the other houses near it. The added traffic and lack of natural drainage that would result from building this amount of houses is very unfriendly to the local wildlife and to the existing residents. I therefore disagree most strongly with the proposal to build in Sandy Cross Lane. Mrs Janet Ewing

Mr Deacon

SANDY CROSS LANE is a very narrow road which Wealden District Council propose 120-200 new houses, if any one were to come to our road during school term time in the morning or afternoon they would see just how busy this little road is, and wherer many residents DO NOT have off road parking and with 120-200 more houses that could mean 240-400 more cars as most houses are 2 car family’s these days it would make our road a DEATH TRAP for residents and pedestrians alike as there are no pavements. As noted in the core study which already points out accessibility to the town is poor as well as access to the area which has a limited due to the narrow lane passing the site. Also notes in the study is the towns poor transport links and limited bus service and most roads are 'B' roads or narrow country lanes. Surely more houses would be better placed on brown field sites and not in AONB and where wild life and trees would not be comprised, also wherre access would not be so much of a problem.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106495</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>[x] Disagree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>ANOB are to be protected for the benefit of future generations. They should not be built on as this would be the &quot;thin end of the wedge&quot;. If a decision was taken to build on ANOB this should be as a last resort ie. after all other sites had been built on. If left till last (at the end of the period) it may not be needed if other &quot;infill&quot; meets the housing demand.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106495</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106495</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Better to use than leave empty particularly in view of housing needs. Why not use for affordable housing for teachers, firemen, nurses etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106495</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 5b
Reason for comment:

Option 7b
Reason for comment:

Option 7c
Reason for comment:

Option 9a
Reason for comment:
There are more opportunities for development in Ukfield.
Consultee ID: Mr Blake
106495

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I made a mistake on my previous submission.

Consultee ID: Mr Blake
106495

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Blake
106495

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Blake
106495

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 11c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Blake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 11d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Blake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 11e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Blake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Blake</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106495</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Blake</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Blake
Agent ID: 106495
Part of document: Option 21a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Blake
Agent ID: 106495
Part of document: Option 21b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Blake
Agent ID: 106495
Part of document: Option 21c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Blake
Agent ID: 106495
Part of document: Option 21d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Blake  
106495  

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Blake  
106495  

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Blake  
106495  

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Blake  
106495  

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Blake

Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Blake

Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Blake

Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Blake

Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Seaton  
106496

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
Disagree except for small numbers of affordable houses in existing villages.

Consultee ID: Mr Seaton  
106496

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 2b

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Seaton  
106496

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 3a

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Seaton  
106496

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 3b

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106496</th>
<th>Mr Seaton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106496</th>
<th>Mr Seaton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106496</th>
<th>Mr Seaton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Development should not straddle main roads; it is likely to affect traffic flow.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106496</th>
<th>Mr Seaton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: 106496 Mr Seaton
Agent ID: 

Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106496 Mr Seaton
Agent ID: 

Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106496 Mr Seaton
Agent ID: 

Part of document: Option 7a
Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106496 Mr Seaton
Agent ID: 

Part of document: Option 11b
Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
It would be a pity to urbanise the approach to Crowborough along the A26 - both for reasons of amenity and its effect on traffic flow.
Consultee ID: Mr Seaton

Option 11c

Reason for comment:
It would be a pity to urbanise the approach to Crowborough along the A26 - both for reasons of amenity and its affect on traffic flow.

Consultee ID: Mr Seaton

Question 11g

Reason for comment:
Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Seaton

Option 14h

Reason for comment:
The A22 should continue to act as a boundary to Uckfield's growth.

Consultee ID: Mr Seaton

Question 18k

Reason for comment:
The integrity of this rural district is best maintained by keeping development in existing towns and villages. New settlements should not be created.
Consultee ID: 106496
Mr. Seaton

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106496
Mr. Seaton

Part of document: Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106496
Mr. Seaton

Part of document: Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106496
Mr. Seaton

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

The line of the track should certainly be protected as we cannot predict how economic forces may change in favour of railway travel in the future but the price of re-instatement should not be the creation of a new settlement.
Consultee ID: Mr Seaton
106496

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 31a

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Kaemena
106497

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 12e

Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:
The existing highway network that would be used to access this site is unsuitable for the increased traffic and pedestrian movements that would be associated with the proposed residential development. What has happened to the council's policy of not building in the AONB and protecting Wealden's rural character? This particular development would show complete disregard for both these principles?

Consultee ID: Mrs Osborne
106499

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 16d

Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:
These are fields that are accessed from the cuckoo trail and are very popular with many people walking their dogs. It is the nearest countryside to Polegate and within walking distance of the town. Walking keeps people healthy. It will be a great shame if all the little green spaces are filled in with more houses. Polegate usually suffers from a water shortage It also has a lack of doctors, school places, and waiting lists at the hospital. The roads are often congested around here.
Mr. Dowsett from Dowsett Associates expresses his view on Option 23a of the document.

Reason for comment:

My clients consider that the Herstmonceux option should be adopted as the area of approximately 5 acres close to the existing development in Buckwell Rise, which would be ideal for residential development to assist in meeting the possible target of 100 dwellings as envisaged in the Consultation Paper.

Ms. Aitken from Aitken Associates provides feedback on Option 8c of the document.

Reason for comment:

Ms. Aitken's view on Option 18h of the document is also provided.

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Aitken</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106502</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Aitken</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106505</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 8c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Aitken</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106505</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Aitken</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106505</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 106507
Rees
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106507
Rees
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106507
Rees
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106507
Rees
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Rees  
106507

Part of document:  
Option 18a

Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Rees  
106507

Part of document:  
Option 18b

Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Rees  
106507

Part of document:  
Question 18k

Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Any development should be for local families and should mainly be of an affordable nature, not large developments as the area cannot support with its current infrastructure.

Consultee ID: Rees  
106507

Part of document:  
Option 18c

Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Rees</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Rees</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Rees</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Rees</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Rees
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Rees
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Rees
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Keeling
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document: Settlement Boundaries

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Keeling
106509

Part of document: Option 6a
Option 6a

Section of Document:
Your View
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The majority of development should take place in towns for service provision and to reduce work / school related travel

Consultee ID: Mr Keeling
106509

Part of document: Option 7a
Option 7a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Keeling
106509

Part of document: Option 7b
Option 7b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Keeling
106509

Part of document: Option 7c
Option 7c

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Mr Keeling  
106509

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Keeling  
106509

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Apart from small scale developments, say 10 to 20 houses, most should be in towns (as above)

Consultee ID: Mr Keeling  
106509

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Keeling  
106509

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Keeling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106509</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 14a

**Section of Document:**
- Option 14b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Keeling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106509</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 14c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Keeling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106509</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 14d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Uckfield is already quite a linear town and the proposals for developments north of the town would extend this. Further development in Five Ash Down and Maresfield will link then to the town giving a north/south spread of around four miles. Proposals for Ridegwood (Cysleys farm) and Halland would extend this spread.
### Traffic problems in Uckfield occur only at peak times and even then are not major. No sledge hammer to crack a nut required. Less traffic would use the bypass.

### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

### Reason for comment:
Traffic problems in Uckfield occur only at peak times and even then are not major. No sledge hammer to crack a nut required. Less traffic would use the bypass.
Option 21b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
WDC has just lost a battle to stop housing development on the Grampian site for up to 140 houses plus business units and possibly a care home. Since the village currently has only about 100 properties this development will already impact heavily on the community. It is at odds with WDC’s position to now have put forward suggestions for up to another 400 or so houses. In effect we would be looking at something approaching a six fold increase in the size of the village over the next 19 years. There are not the schools or services to support this and all the evidence suggests that children would have to be driven to school (the distance and lack of footpaths preventing young children walking) and that most people would have to commute to London, T. Wells or the coastal towns, thus creating more traffic problems.

Option 24a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Option 25a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Keeling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106509</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 26a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Keeling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106509</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 26b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Keeling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106509</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Keeling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106509</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 28a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Mr Keeling 106509
Option 28b

Option 28c

Question Thirty

Infrastructure Requirements

Mrs Abey 106510
Question One

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

I understand that some development must be allowed within AONB - it is just choosing the appropriate places!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Abey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106510</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 4a

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**  
I think this rural area should remain rural, a buffer for the downland villages against the vision of a connected subregion of Hailsham/Polegate/Willingdon.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Abey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106510</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 4b

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**  
I think this rural area should remain rural, a buffer for the downland villages against the vision of a connected subregion of Hailsham/Polegate/Willingdon. It would be sad to lose some of the forest around Little Cophall Farm and the neighbouring land.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Abey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106510</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 4c

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Abey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106510</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 6c

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Abey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106510</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 4d

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment:**
  
  I think this makes sense; to increase development around Hailsham/Polegate/Willingdon, and to bring younger people and hopefully economic growth to the area is very much in tune with the Council's strategy.

### Option 6a

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment:**
  
  To expand and regenerate existing towns must surely be more sensible than expanding villages in rural areas.

### Option 6b

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**: Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**
  
  The A27 is already intolerably crowded; if there is to be an increase in traffic, it makes sense for it to be on the A22.
Option 8b

Reason for comment:
So long as development is stopped westwards of Polegate to preserve the AONB and the South Downs National Park.

Option 16a

Reason for comment:
This would create a mini-town, separated from Polegate and Hailsham, adding vastly to the traffic problems on the A27 without helping as much as development elsewhere with the much needed rejuvenation of Polegate and Hailsham town centres.

Option 16b

Reason for comment:
Again, the housing area would be separated from Polegate and Hailsham and not help with the planned regrowth of these areas.

Option 16c

Reason for comment:
This starts to link up Polegate and Hailsham, encourages use of the A22 rather than the A27, and seems sensible.
Consultee ID: Mrs Abey

Part of document: Option 16d

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree

Reason for comment:

I disagree strongly. * Development on this greenfield site would spoil the character of the historic village of Wilmington. * The Long Man is an important cultural, historical and tourist attraction. People come from all over the world to see him, and any development which impacts on the appeal of the approach to, and view from, the site must be resisted. * Alfriston's popularity with tourists is partially because of the Long Man; less people visiting that will impact on businesses there. * Further traffic on this section on the A27 is almost unthinkable! * The Inspector has recommended that the South Downs National Park boundary is extended to the railway line, so that the village is not cut in half. Whether the proposal goes ahead or not (which it probably will) it reinforces that this is a very special area, and not suitable for development of any kind.
Consultee ID: Mrs Abey

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Abey

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Abey

Part of document: Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Abey

Part of document: Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Abey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106510</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Abey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106510</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Abey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106510</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Abey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106510</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I think it cannot be duelled in its current location, but creating a dual carriageway running west from the Polegate bypass roundabout north of the railway line, so long as it is far away enough, and preferably sunken from view, of the South Downs National Park, might be sensible.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Kaye</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106512</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly disagree any development in 16g and 16f; this area should remain for recreational use for the local Community. Currently we are already short of facilities and inadequate infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms Kaye</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106512</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I strongly disagree any development in 16g and 16f; this area should remain for recreational use for the local Community. Currently we are already short of facilities and inadequate infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Ledward</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The site is not appropriate because of the ecological damage to it and the surrounding areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Virgo  
106514  
Part of document: Option 18j  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
There is no infrastructure to support such a large steelement at Berwick Station, the local roads & A27 are insufficient to support existing traffic, let alone new development. It would also ruin what is a quiet and small village in a unique area of rural beauty, & destroy the character of this small community. Building a new town here is not the best way of dealing with the requirement for new housing as it will bring little employment and create the sorts of social problems I wish to get away from here in Eastbourne. Why not build the houses at the place they are actually wanted: ie, in the towns and existing settlements where the jobs and infrastructure already exist.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Levett  
106515  
Part of document: Option 18a  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Our attractive, historical, rural community would be totally destroyed by this enormous proposed settlement. Isfield would cease to exist and will be engulfed in a continues development from Uckfield southwards.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Levett  
106515  
Part of document: Option 27b  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
We notice that part of the key to the acceptability of the above proposed development, is the potential to raise funds towards the restoration of the Wealden line link between Uckfield and Lewes by developing the land in and around Isfield as mentioned on page 18 of the summary guide to the Core Strategy Issues.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hancock
106516
Part of document: Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Our attractive, historical, rural community would be totally destroyed by this enormous proposed settlement. Isfield would cease to exist and will be engulfed in a continues development from Uckfield southwards.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hancock
106516
Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
We notice that part of the key to the acceptability of the above proposed development, is the potential to raise funds towards the restoration of the Wealden line link between Uckfield and Lewes by developing the land in and around Isfield as mentioned on page 18 of the summary guide to the Core Strategy Issues.

Consultee ID: Ms Hazelden
106517
Part of document: Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Our attractive, historical, rural community would be totally destroyed by this enormous proposed settlement. Isfield would cease to exist and will be engulfed in a continues development from Uckfield southwards.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Ms Hazelden
106517

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We notice that part of the key to the acceptability of the above proposed development, is the potential
to raise funds towards the restoration of the Wealden line link between Uckfield and Lewes by
developing the land in and around Isfield as mentioned on page 18 of the summary guide to the Core
Strategy Issues.

Consultee ID: Cllr Wilson
106518
Crowborough District Councillors

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
support appropriate expansion of villages, even if they are in AONB

Consultee ID: Cllr Wilson
106518
Crowborough District Councillors

Part of document: □ document ✓ chapter □ section □ option

Part 5

Section of Document: Wealden’s Places

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Strongly support landscape setting protection areas (para 5.7)

Consultee ID: Cllr Wilson
106518
Crowborough District Councillors

Part of document: □ document □ chapter ✓ section □ option

Section of Document: Why Have Certain Broad Search Areas for New Development been
Included or Excluded at this First Stage?

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
would prefer this to be expressed in average, not number of dwellings.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Wilson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106518</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 11a**

**Your View** | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

We have divided thoughts about this as it would set precedent in AONB. However, it is reasonably close to town centre and would be sustainable.

**Option 11b**

**Your View** | No opinion | Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

Too remote

**Option 11c**

**Your View** | Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

On Kemp's farm side but not on Walsh Manor side.

**Option 11d**

**Your View** | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

On Kemp's farm side but not on Walsh Manor side.
Consultee ID: Cllr Wilson
106518 Crowborough District Councillors

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No merit in this site

---

Consultee ID: Cllr Wilson
106518 Crowborough District Councillors

Part of document: ☑️ option
Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑️ No opinion

Reason for comment:
issues to consider alongside development in Crowborough:- 1. Volume of traffic. 2. Public transport. 3. Pedestrian routes. 4. Loss of Crowborough's identity. 5. Need more allotments and teenage facilities. also: We don't recognise statements in para 5.18 regarding spare capacity in Crowborough's infrastructure - especially at Beacon Community College.

---

Consultee ID: Cllr Wilson
106518 Crowborough District Councillors

Part of document: ☑️ option
Question 11h

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑️ No opinion

Reason for comment:
Rochester House and Stone Cross Road. Encourage living accommodation above shops. Retain small units of accommodation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Wilson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106518</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 24a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 24a

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [✓] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Higher densities can only be tolerated if a mix of accommodation is offered.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Wilson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106518</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 27b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 27b

**Your View:**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr Wilson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106518</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 27f

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 27f

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [✓] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
agree in principle to relaxation of car parking standards but only if it does not result in areas being cluttered by parked cars and car dominated.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Vine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106519</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 4a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 4a

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Strongly opposed
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Vine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106519</td>
<td></td>
<td>Long Man Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 4b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Vine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106519</td>
<td></td>
<td>Long Man Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 4c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Vine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106519</td>
<td></td>
<td>Long Man Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 4d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Vine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106519</td>
<td></td>
<td>Long Man Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Strongly oppose - landscape rural and attractive – A27 cannot take more traffic. Strongly oppose all development West of A22 due to impact of any development on the setting of the South Downs.
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Strongly oppose – Pelham Homes development already rejected by Planning Members. Strongly oppose all development West of A22 due to impact of any development on the setting of the South Downs.

Option 18k

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Support judicious infill in certain scheduled villages avoiding damage to their character. Similarly modest adjustments could be made to certain larger villages.

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Strongly opposed. This new settlement would conflict with the AONB and the proposed National Park. Furthermore, this would be contrary to the proposal by the Inspector to extend the National Park Boundary to include all Wilmington Green. Common Land is protected. Landscape at the foot of the downs would be gravely damaged. South East Regional Plan does not promote new towns or settlements. Parkway station would depend on track capacity and impact on services to existing station.
| Consultee ID: | Mrs Vine | Agent ID: | | | | | Part of document: | □ document | □ chapter | □ section | ✓ option | | | Option 18j | | | | | | | Section of Document: | | | | | | | Your View | □ Agree | □ No opinion | ✓ Disagree | | | | Reason for comment: | Strongly opposed. The statement on page 100 that the new settlement would not be seen from the Downs is inaccurate. New settlement would destroy attractive farmsteads and small areas of woodland. There would be an adverse effect on traffic infrastructure – There would be no employment opportunities and people would have to commute. There should be no new settlement in open country. | | | | | | | Consultee ID: | Mrs Vine | Agent ID: | | | | | Part of document: | □ document | □ chapter | □ section | ✓ option | | | Option 24a | | | | | | | Section of Document: | | | | | | | Your View | ✓ Agree | □ No opinion | □ Disagree | | | | Reason for comment: | Depends on location - higher in towns lower in rural areas. | | | | | | | Consultee ID: | Mrs Vine | Agent ID: | | | | | Part of document: | □ document | □ chapter | □ section | ✓ option | | | Option 24b | | | | | | | Section of Document: | | | | | | | Your View | □ Agree | □ No opinion | ✓ Disagree | | | | Reason for comment: | too heavy a density – although could be acceptable in a town location. | | | | | | | Consultee ID: | Mrs Vine | Agent ID: | | | | | Part of document: | □ document | □ chapter | □ section | ✓ option | | | Option 24c | | | | | | | Section of Document: | | | | | | | Your View | □ Agree | □ No opinion | ✓ Disagree | | | | Reason for comment: | Strongly opposed to the level of density – although could be acceptable in a town location. |
Consultee ID: Mrs Vine
106519 Long Man Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Vine
106519 Long Man Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Bus services should be organised before development.

Consultee ID: Mrs Vine
106519 Long Man Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Vine
106519 Long Man Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Strongly opposed – see your comments on Page 87 under 16a transport accessibility 2nd paragraph. Furthermore the Parkway Station was part of the Pelham Homes application in 2006 which was rejected by Wealden District Council Planning.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Vine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Long Man Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>A27 now comes within the proposed National Park Boundary. No informed decisions can be taken until the future of A27(T) is determined.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Vine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Long Man Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Challenge whether service would be used.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Vine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Long Man Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Thirty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Oppose the following elements of the infrastructure – 30a; 30b; 30o. However details of infrastructure cannot be identified until housing needs are established.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

There is no LOCAL need for large scale development in Isfield and development on this scale would entirely destroy the existing fabric of our community. The character and environment of villages like Isfield should be preserved, cherished and sympathetically enhanced with SMALL scale development to allow for low cost housing and gradual growth. New towns or settlements in rural villages are not the best way of dealing with the requirements of housing in Wealden District, they will bring little employment and create socal and other problems, whilst not actually providing housing where it is wanted. New development on the massive scale proposed should be absorbed in existing towns where the infrastructure, jobs, shops and facilities already exist and could be improved and maintained.

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

The Isfield Level Cross is on a junction ill-equipped to deal with the increased traffic that would build up as and when the gates were open. If the train DOES NOT stop at Isfield it would be of no benefit to our community. If the train DOES stop at Isfield parking for cars from outlying areas would presumably have to be provided and if a charge were levied, cars would inevitably park on the road. Isfield has very few pavements, generally people walk by the side of the road or on grass verges if available. Cars parking on the village roads would obviously be a danger to the public – would we then have to have double yellow lines!!? If the reinstatement of the railway line is essential to our future transport needs both locally and nationally surely the reinstatement of the line should be funded by Central Government and not by turning Isfield into a “New Town”.

Page 2483 of 6217
In relation to development on and just over the borders of Wealden District, the wording of the consultation paper is inaccurate. This may be due to the on-going preparation of other documents by neighbouring authorities. However, it should be amended to accurately reflect not only the current position, but also the policies and intentions of neighbouring authorities and strategic documents including the Regional Spatial Strategy. In referring to the A22, paragraph 6.41 sets out the District Council’s concerns re increasing traffic. It goes on to make a number of statements which should be corrected. A letter setting out these points has been posted to the District Council.

The future of our CHILDREN! The need to reduce the use of fossil fuels and the potential that this area has to make a big contribution to the renewable energy sector.

Only if the development is mixed/ balanced and has everything that people need in their daily lives, with GOOD public transport links, affordable housing, green spaces, work opportunities etc.
Part of document: Option 3b

Reason for comment:
I would NOT like to see massive industrial parks especially on flood plains!

Part of document: Option 3c

Reason for comment:
New Developments NEED to include work opportunities, schools, parks, public transport, shops, entertainment venues - everything that people need. This creates better places to live, less crime and antisocial behaviour and reduces the need for people to make journeys, therefore reducing the impact on our climate.
Option 5a
Reason for comment:
Settlement boundaries SHOULD be maintained! Redevelopment of existing sites should always be the first port of call, with old properties being redeveloped and actual brown field sites being developed first. Smaller houses which fit the needs of local families should be built instead of massive 5 bedroom houses.

Option 5b
Reason for comment:

Question 5c
Reason for comment:
Development in this area needs to be controlled and monitored to protect the reasons that we all love to live here. Ie small towns and villages, green spaces and open countryside. A delicate balance is needed so that people who live here can continue to live in homes that meet their actual needs. Developments have to be balanced with all the things that people need in their everyday lives and this MUST include GOOD and RESPONSIVE public transport.
Option 6c

Reason for comment:
I agree that a small amount of development would be beneficial here BUT this town needs more than just new homes. It needs investment, entertainment for the towns young people, green spaces, better public transport, new jobs which give people hope and worth and all the things that make for better and more vibrant towns. It also needs to keep that which gives it is individuality and history, ie links to cattle market!

Option 8b

Reason for comment:

Option 8a

Reason for comment:

Option 8c

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: miss bryant
Agent ID: 106522 n/a

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss bryant
Agent ID: 106522 n/a

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss bryant
Agent ID: 106522 n/a

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss bryant
Agent ID: 106522 n/a

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: miss bryant  
106522 n/a

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The theory behind this type of thinking is good BUT individual places are just that and each has a limit to what it can handle. How many of these 'add ons' would be allowed? Could they be 30+ 5 bedrooms houses or 30+ affordable/ housing association houses? Please don't just add houses, think about the other things people need.

Consultee ID: miss bryant  
106522 n/a

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss bryant  
106522 n/a

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss bryant  
106522 n/a

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If this is small in size and house type
Consultee ID: miss bryant
Consultee ID: miss bryant
Consultee ID: miss bryant
Agent ID: n/a
Agent ID: n/a
Agent ID: n/a
Part of document: document chapter section option
Part of document: document chapter section option
Part of document: document chapter section option
Section of Document: Option 14d
Section of Document: Option 14e
Section of Document: Option 14g
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
If this means redeveloping the flats and houses that are already there then YES I agree, if it means more then NO I don't agree, think about the flooding we already get and how this set to increase with climate change.

Consultee ID: miss bryant
Consultee ID: miss bryant
Consultee ID: miss bryant
Agent ID: n/a
Agent ID: n/a
Agent ID: n/a
Part of document: document chapter section option
Part of document: document chapter section option
Part of document: document chapter section option
Section of Document: Option 14h
Section of Document: Option 14g
Section of Document: Option 14h
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment: Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Consultee ID: miss bryant
106522 n/a

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

No development on Green field sites or development that will lead to Uckfield, Maresfield, Framfield, Buxted or another place being joined up by housing!!

Consultee ID: miss bryant
106522 n/a

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Protect the Lewes to Uckfield railway link

Consultee ID: miss bryant
106522 n/a

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

I do not feel comfortable with the idea of suggesting development of other towns and villages and think that the people who live in these areas should be asked what they think is appropriate and needed. I understand that this can lead to not in my back yard thinking but believe if handled correctly each town and village can make sensible and forward thinking decisions for themselves

Consultee ID: miss bryant
106522 n/a

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

The forest MUST be protected from development at all costs this is our childrens inheritance!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss bryant</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>n/a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Too many</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss bryant</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>n/a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To many</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss bryant</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>n/a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To many and they need to be sighted within the village NOT in small lanes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss bryant</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>n/a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher if you can get it!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: miss bryant  
106522 n/a  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Option 24a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Other things will need to go on the land that is being developed such as schools, bus stops and shops so there will not be room for a higher density  

Consultee ID: miss bryant  
106522 n/a  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Option 24d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Yes BUT all areas should have better public transport links!  

Consultee ID: miss bryant  
106522 n/a  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Option 25a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
VERY STRONGLY  

Consultee ID: miss bryant  
106522 n/a  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Option 25d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
If they are good developments with all the things that people need and why restrict these to rural areas those who live in town need affordable housing too
Consultee ID: miss bryant
106522 n/a

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
More roads = more cars!

Consultee ID: miss bryant
106522 n/a

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Evidence suggest that park and ride schemes create more traffic. We should be spending our money on improving public transport and encouraging people out of their cars

Consultee ID: miss bryant
106522 n/a

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss bryant
106522 n/a

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss bryant</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>n/a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 28c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Protect them anyway
Kent County Council’s has concerns regarding the option to develop a small urban extension at the south eastern fringe of Tunbridge Wells around Hawkenbury. Prospective capacity for up to 490 dwellings is indicated (Table 4). These concerns relate to: i. The efficacy of the proposal in meeting housing needs and demand arising within Wealden as opposed to those more directly related to the Tunbridge Wells urban area situated outside the District ; ii. The relationship of this greenfield proposal to the search sequence for locating new residential development at the Tunbridge Wells urban area contained within the Kent and Medway Structure Plan; iii. The impact on infrastructure and services in Tunbridge Wells, particularly with respect to highways, of a prospective development lying beyond the Tunbridge Wells Borough and Kent County administrative boundaries; iv. The impact of any proposals on the strategic policy priority to conserve the setting of Tunbridge Wells ( South East Plan Policy CC8c and Kent and Medway Structure Plan Policy TW1) On (i) The option is peripherally located within Wealden on its northern edge and unrelated to the principal urban centres contained within Wealden District. The I and O consultation document does not appear to advocate that the proposition would be a response to need and demand arising beyond the district i.e. from the Tunbridge Wells area and within the context of the current South East Plan there is no joint assessment of housing provision nor provision for joint LDF working to address housing demand and supply for the Tunbridge Wells urban area as a whole. On (ii) As a prospective urban extension to the Tunbridge Wells urban area the option should be considered in the context of prevailing strategic policy for the urban area and its housing land supply characteristics. Policy emphasises reliance on urban capacity in view of the prevalence of important policy constraints at much of the urban periphery. Strategic housing provisions for the Borough are being fully met and current indications are of a modest surplus of housing supply relative to policy requirements to 2016 [ Kent Housing Land Study 2006: Tunbridge Wells Borough]. Provisions are being met through the yield of urban capacity and a continuing strong flow of windfall sites. Given the wide incidence of Green Belt and other high level constraints at the periphery of the Tunbridge Wells urban area the County Council considers that evidence of the continuing and significant contribution of windfall sites to housing supply at the urban area should legitimately be taken into account. KMSP Policy HP2 recognises the role that settlement extensions can play in delivering housing but places this below other alternatives, such as re-use of land within the urban areas. An early and substantial release of greenfield land at the periphery of the Tunbridge Wells urban area would be premature and unnecessary in the context of the policy requirements applicable to Tunbridge Wells Borough and its principal urban area. On (iii) The impacts of development on infrastructure requirements and appropriate mitigation measures are central themes of the South East Plan (Policy CC5) and the Kent and Medway Structure Plan (Policies QL12 and IM1). Infrastructure and servicing requirements associated with an urban extension in the vicinity of Hawkenbury would predominantly impinge on the wider urban area located within Kent/Tunbridge Wells Borough. The County Council as highway and transport authority for the urban area has concerns regarding access to the area. For example, Hall's Hole Road, between Pembury Road and Forest Road/Bayhall Road would be the automatic choice of route for traffic heading east and north, but does not have the capacity and there is already a poor safety record at the junction with Pembury Road. Because Halls Hole Road and Forest Road have become an unofficial 'southern by-pass', measures have been taken to reduce its attractiveness, including some calming measures and a short length of one way. Any infrastructure demands arising from major new development that would undermine this approach would be regrettable and impinge directly on Kent. The Kent and Medway Structure Plan policies provide a clear expectation that funding for infrastructure will accompany new development where it generates additional demands on infrastructure. Policy QL12 establishes that
new community services and infrastructure should be provided within new residential developments in response to needs generated by the new development or growth in demand from the community as a whole. Policy IM1 requires appropriate and proportionate contributions from developments to meet the costs of providing infrastructure associated with the needs from the new development. Given the impact on infrastructure that the development option proposed in the Core Strategy would have on Tunbridge Wells, KCC seeks reassurance that adequate funding would be provided to mitigate the impact on infrastructure across the boundary. Further information on our policy to contributions can be found in our Guide to Development Contributions and Provision of Community Infrastructure, available from http://www.kent.gov.uk/publications/community-and-living/guide-to-dev-contributions.htm. On (iv) Policy TW1 of the Structure Plan (and CC8c of the draft South East Plan) place great importance on the conservation of the natural and built environment of Tunbridge Wells and any proposal for development of the urban fringe must ensure that the town’s setting is carefully protected. KCC would welcome discussions with Tunbridge Wells Borough Council and Wealden District Council to explore some of these matters and consider the cross-boundary issues raised by this option if it were to be taken forward. In the interim, and pending clear identification and appropriate mitigation of cross boundary impacts Kent County Council objects to the option for urban extension to the south east of Tunbridge Wells on the grounds outlined above.

Consultee ID: Miss Tipping
106523 Kent County Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Kent County Council welcomes and supports the reference to the reinstatement of the Uckfield to Lewes line and the Tunbridge Wells to Eridge link.

Consultee ID: Miss Tipping
106523 Kent County Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Kent County Council would welcome renewed and joint consideration with Wealden and Tunbridge Wells Borough of the case for, and options for, a Park and Ride facility to serve the southern/ south western approach to Tunbridge Wells. The Tunbridge Wells Transport Strategy (2003) recognised that are four main corridors of movement into Tunbridge Wells, including from the south where quality Park and Ride facilities could benefit the management of travel demand. Options for the siting of Park and Ride have been identified on the three approaches (from the north, east and west) lying within Tunbridge Wells. The County Council recognises the constraints on the southern corridor imposed by environmental considerations but also notes the commitment of the Wealden Local Plan (1998) to continue to examine the site potential.
Reason for comment:
I feel that there is a need for extra housing in Heathfield and this site because of its location lends itself perfectly for this use.

Reason for comment:
"I feel that this would be a good area for development because of its close location to the town center and other amenities."
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

It’s hard to see what ‘powerful justification’ (Core Strategy, Section 4.20) there could be for a new settlement at Berwick Station. - Although there is a station, it is very small, has limited car parking, and currently few trains stop there. The level crossing already causes traffic problems, so a bridge would almost certainly be necessary. I don’t know about the bus service (I’ve never spotted a moving bus in the area, only those parked at the station), but have doubts that it’s adequate for commuters’, school children’s or homemakers’ needs. - It is acknowledged (Section 5.39) that proximity to a station does not necessarily lead to reduced car use. The lack of employment and absence of schools (or any amenities) in the area would inevitably give rise to thousands of extra car journeys daily (with a concomitant increase in the CO2 emissions that we are all being exhorted to reduce). - Road transport links are atrocious. The A27 is already a cause célèbre – close to or at (above?) capacity at peak times and notoriously dangerous. The A22 isn’t much better. The A22 isn’t much better. - The area is increasingly short of water ... - ... and also prone in parts to flood, due to the high water table and poor drainage – the latter likely to be greatly exacerbated by the loss of permeable surface inherent in a development of the size proposed. - Such large-scale development would impact detrimentally on local SSSIs, and lead to the destruction of important wildlife habitats. - The area is clearly visible from the Downs (forgive any scepticism that such 'folds in the land' as there are will largely hide a development of the proposed magnitude) and is an important gateway to them. The landscape – with Arlington Reservoir set like a jewel in an age-old patchwork of fields, woods and small settlements – is some of the most beautiful to be found anywhere, and is a major factor in attracting the many visitors who are so important to our local economy. The far-reaching views are something which we should cherish and safeguard. Whereas Hailsham and Polegate are on the periphery of the view from the Wealden section of the Downs, Berwick Station New Town and Wilmington Parkway would be centre-stage, and a blight forever. Finally, experience points overwhelmingly to the conclusion that new settlements simply don’t work. In an area with such scant employment opportunities as Wealden, the need for housing must be primarily for those who already have family/friends and/or existing employment here. In neither case would Berwick Station be a particularly relevant location for the majority. There is an existing small community which has evolved over time and will continue to evolve. It will doubtless grow over the coming years, but any growth must be sustainable and proportionate. To swamp it with a new town for people who have no particular need (or even desire) to be there – and for which almost all services will have to be provided at huge cost – seems perverse. Given that ‘a higher proportion (than 30%) of rural housing … could be considered to be contrary to national policy’ (Section 4.23), and that Berwick Station undoubtedly is rural (with very few services), this proposal to site 59–88% of the new housing requirement for the whole District there seems deeply flawed.
I, too, would like to register my dismay at the way in which this consultation is being conducted. The timescale would in any circumstances be inadequate. As it is, at peak holiday time and with the complete lack of attendant publicity, the process is woefully undemocratic and appears positively furtive. These are matters that affect Wealden residents deeply, and we deserve to be given greater opportunity to learn what is afoot and to voice our opinions than has been the case. On the proposals in general, I am in favour of locating the majority of the new housing in the existing towns. In a district with such poor infrastructure, it seems the more sensible option to take Mohammed to the mountain rather than attempting the reverse. I would also, however, favour a fairly high proportion (up to, say, the 30% mentioned in the Core Strategy) being located in the rural areas - but in a manner that reflects the 'dispersed settlement pattern' which characterises rural Wealden. The only sustainable way to add significant housing in these rural areas is to build a small number of new houses in a large number of the villages. Even the smallest village could absorb, say, 5 new houses, and the larger ones more. It is my view that this would be to the detriment of none and to the advantage of some (where it might just be enough to tip the balance in cases where village shops/schools/post offices are currently facing closure). I would not favour a 'new settlement' approach (in any location), as I don't think it works. Communities evolve and grow over time, and cannot just be created - even if all the promises on infrastructure provision were to be kept, which is seldom the case. Far too many new towns remain, decades after they were built, soulless and disaffected places where people simply don't want to live.

There is an obvious need for housing in this area and this site would appear to be appropriate for this use.
Despite the natural beauty of the area, its low population density and extensive potential for sustainable and renewable power generation suggest the 10% target is not ambitious enough, and option 2b, requiring a higher power generation target, is more appropriate.

Option 3a makes good sense. Where land has been allocated for development, but the anticipated use is unlikely to be practical, the resource that the land represents should be used for an alternative development. Option 3b seems redundant. If the market need is significant enough, the pressure for redevelopment will not exist. Option 3c is just verbiage. It seems unlikely that circumstances will arise where there is a conflict between the stated new business development 'focus', and other alternatives (presumably large business development). Option 3d must be a core element of the strategy, since it maximises the likelihood that residents in the new areas will be employed close to their place of residence.
Option 5a is the safest route to follow. This allows for settlement growth where necessary, but ensures that there are barriers to prevent the growth being 'unchecked'. Q6. The options here propose rather arbitrary proportions (on what basis are we to make the decision that one particular percentage split is more appropriate than another?). This makes 6d seem most appropriate, but would require more detail than a simplistic proportion, as most of the options seem to suggest. Each decision would depend on the infrastructure, employment opportunities, and housing demand in the proposed locations. Paragraph 4.26 supports this view. Q7. The option 7a classification seems like a good basis for classifying settlements. Although most of the criteria in option 7b are not relevant to Crowborough (since it constitutes a town rather than a village), the criteria provide a good guide for classification. As with all these issues, the criteria involve some arbitrary limits. In particular, the restrictions on the amount of growth could be unduly restrictive in the case of very small conurbations, which may be able to take relatively high percentage increases in size (though that may be unpopular locally). Option 7c is also not relevant to Crowborough, but focussing development in villages with the greatest capability for supporting growth is a reasonable strategy. Option 7d is also inapplicable to Crowborough, but some village services need protecting. The issue is problematic, however, because change of use in many of these cases is likely to be due to the business becoming uneconomic, and there is little the development framework can do about that in the short term. Q9 Option 9c distributes the required development across the largest geographical area. This ensures that there will be the least tendency to encroach on the AONB, that the load on local infrastructure will be most evenly spread, and the impact on local character will be minimised. From the perspective of Crowborough, infill development is already significant, and emphasising Crowborough in preference to the other areas puts unreasonable growth pressure on the town. Although Crowborough is well served for shops and important amenities, it is becoming crowded in many areas, and public transport is already stretched or deficient. Note. Paragraph 4.53 suggests that areas such as Crowborough, which are already seeing extensive development, may be meeting the required growth targets anyway. If that is the case, imposition of further development seems hard to justify.
Part 5
Section of Document: Wealden's Places

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Q10 Thirty dwellings (the threshold applicable to Crowborough) may be too low. A planning site with only thirty houses on it is modest in terms of the development plans. Q11 Option 11a. Pine Grove and the town centre are good candidates for development, so long as any change of use of Wealden Council's offices at Pine Grove results in replacement by an equally significant employer. Option 11b includes a strip of land adjacent to the A26 that also looks ideal for development. Here, any development should not be at the expense of the existing recreational facilities at Goldsmiths. Option 11c. This plot of land, although notionally within the AONB, is enclosed by major roads, and little of it supports any landscape of significant merit. Development of the most north-eastern areas would require improvements to, and creation of, footpaths alongside Steel Cross. Option 11d. Inspection of maps clearly shows that Crowborough's development is already heavily biased towards the South of the town centre. Walshes Road and Western Road are a major traffic bottleneck, and increasing housing provision in this area would cause traffic chaos. Option 11e. This suffers from the same problems that beset option 11d. Question 11h. The area north of London Road and Pleasant View Road adjoins a major road and, although strictly within the area of the AONB, would allow for some development without reaching as far as the woodland. It is also closer to the town centre than the developments proposed to the South and South East of the town.

Part 6
Section of Document: Wealden's Communities

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Q24 The higher density of 40 dwellings per hectare makes for very small plot sizes. The Non-Statutory plan recommendation of 30 dwellings per hectare is more appropriate. The extent of public transport links, particularly in Crowborough, does not justify a higher building density. Q25 Option 25a. Flats are generally family-unfriendly dwellings, so would be less acceptable as a general housing type than houses. Terraced houses, however, make good use of available space, and should be encouraged. Option 25b. Crowborough has large areas of high value housing, so requiring 40% of new build to be affordable is reasonable. Option 25f. Planning applications have already come before the planning committee for ancillary dwellings, and the committee has recommended restrictions on future use. Option 25g. It is hard to think of any examples where this might be needed.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Stocks
Agent ID: 106538

Part of document: ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option
Part 6

Section of Document: Wealden’s Communities

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Q24 The higher density of 40 dwellings per hectare makes for very small plot sizes. The Non-Statutory plan recommendation of 30 dwellings per hectare is more appropriate. The extent of public transport links, particularly in Crowborough, does not justify a higher building density. Q25 Option 25a. Flats are generally family-unfriendly dwellings, so would be less acceptable as a general housing type than houses. Terraced houses, however, make good use of available space, and should be encouraged. Option 25b. Crowborough has large areas of high value housing, so requiring 40% of new build to be affordable is reasonable. Option 25f. Planning applications have already come before the planning committee for ancillary dwellings, and the committee has recommended restrictions on future use. Option 25g. There are no businesses where this would be applicable. Q26 Few residents in any area would welcome typical traveller encampments. If sites are provided, they should be as isolated as possible, and be provided with facilities that ensure there is minimum reason for the travellers to engage with the main conurbation. Q27 Option 27a must make sense - improving public transport is rarely detrimental. Option 27b. The reinstatement of the Lewes/Uckfield/Tunbridge Wells line would transform rail access in this area of Wealden. Option 27f. The difficulty with restricting parking provision this way is that it favours higher income families. The figures for car ownership are statistically derived, and there is the danger that in some areas, higher levels of car ownership would result in undesirable on-street parking. Q28, P133. Option 28a. Crowborough is well provided with open spaces, so no additional provision is needed, given the current population. Option 28b. It is essential to require developer contribution to ensure all local amenities are maintained at a level commensurate with the population. Option 28c. Existing sport and recreation facilities should always be protected.

Consultee ID: Mr Stocks
Agent ID: 106538

Part of document: ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option
Part 7

Section of Document: Making it Happen - Implementation

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Q29 It is very important that developers, already benefiting from an excess demand situation that inflates property prices, do not further benefit through manipulation of their land holdings. Q30 30c. The ongoing water delivery problems in the South East make reservoir expansion very important. 30m. Road transport links to the South East of Crowborough are an ongoing problem, but should not be viewed in isolation. While it is desirable to take vehicles off the road, where there is a demand for road transport, congestion does no good. Realistically, the presence of the industrial areas in Jarvis Brook provide employment, and are currently dependent on a significant amount of road-based deliveries. 30n. Possibly the most worthwhile infrastructure development in the Wealden area. 30t. The Crowborough Multi-Purpose Community Hall is a very large White Elephant.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stocks</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106538</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☑ chapter ☐ section ☐ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Developing a Spatial Vision for Wealden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Q31 The themes presented are all worthy, but businesses that start up in the area should be locally beneficial and environmentally benign. Q32 SO2 makes for dwelling plots that are too small. Regarding SO10 (specifically in Crowborough), there seems to be little need for more retail outlets. Otherwise, the objectives are laudable.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DOORIS</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106539</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☑ chapter ☐ section ☐ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Location Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>I agree more should be done with empty properties and multiple ownership.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DOORIS</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106539</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>We should aim for as high as possible, ie 10% as a minimum.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DOORIS</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106539</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>again as high as is practicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR DOORIS</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

*we should respect development boundaries wherever possible*

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DOORIS</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DOORIS</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

*we should respect development boundaries wherever possible*

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DOORIS</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 5b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

*this risks creating urban sprawl. any development should be exceptional and not a regular occurrence*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DOORIS</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 6a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

village character must not be roughly changed

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DOORIS</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 6b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

80% or more is better

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DOORIS</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 6c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

80% or more is better

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DOORIS</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 9b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MR DOORIS
106539

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I agree with the comment "this should not be done until empty houses, second homes and holiday homes issues have been addressed"

Consultee ID: MR DOORIS
106539

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR DOORIS
106539

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR DOORIS
106539

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
expansion of the station car park risks creating a drive through village.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DOORIS</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106539</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 21e  
- Option 24c  
- Question 31a

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Overall new development should be minimised I feel focus should be given to reducing new building by reuse of derelict properties. I also feel the Council should look into statutory powers to enforce the reuse of abandoned or unused properties.
Consultee ID: Combes
106541

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is no infrastructure to support any significant increase in housing at Berwick Station, the local roads are insufficient to support the existing traffic and there is limited access onto the A27, which in any event is overcrowded and dangerous. The railway station has no capacity for expansion, and has a very limited service. Furthermore, your own document notes that it is unlikely to reduce the number of people using cars to commute. It would also ruin what is a quiet and small village in a unique area of rural beauty and destro the character of this small community. This area is used by many people for walking, cycling and other recreational activities, and any development here would be to the detriment of the entire community of the wider area. Building a new town here is not the best way of dealing with the requirement for new housing in Wealden as it will bring little employment. They should be built at the place they are actually wanted; the towns and existing settlements where jobs and the infrastructure already exist.

Consultee ID: Ms Ruse
106543

Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Ruse
106543

Part of document: Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106543</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 2b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106543</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 3a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106543</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 3b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106543</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 3c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Ms Ruse

Part of document: Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Ruse

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Ruse

Part of document: Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Any new homes to be counted towards compulsory target.
Brownfield sites to be considered where possible.
Consultee ID: Ms Ruse  
Agent ID: 106543

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☑ section  ☐ option

Section of Document: Classifying Villages

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Ruse  
Agent ID: 106543

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 7b

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Ruse  
Agent ID: 106543

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 7d

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Ruse  
Agent ID: 106543

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 7e

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Any growth must consider character of village and amenities and infrastructure before houses are built.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106543</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106543</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 19a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106543</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Question 19f

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

There is no room for growth in Forest Row within existing settlement boundaries.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106543</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 25a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106543</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 25d

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106543</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 24a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106543</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 24d

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106543</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 25b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Ms Ruse  
106543

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Ms Ruse  
106543

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Ms Ruse  
106543

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Ms Ruse  
106543

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106543</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106543</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106543</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Ruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106543</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section of Document: Where We Want to get to

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Ruse
Part of document: section

Question 32a

Your View ✅ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Ruse
Part of document: section

Question 32b

Your View  ☐ Agree  ✅ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Quesion Two: New domestic housing should be built to a minimum standard of three star as defined by code for sustainable homes immediately reaching the maximum standard of six star by 2012. Question Seven: Forest Row should concentrate on houses for people who commute to the village. Question Six: Additional development must be managed in accordance with existing infrastructure. Question Five: Forest Row is already full; there is no room for development within existing settlement boundaries. Question Twenty-Four: Higher density acceptable on a small scale if well designed and satisfies needs and is sustainable; consider flats. Question Two: Wealden should consider harnessing energy sources independently of buildings i.e. small wind farm high on Ashdown Forest. Other sites for wind turbines to be considered. Sustainable homes should be given priority especially on sensitive sites.
The Core Strategy offers an opportunity for settlement boundaries to be reviewed and for changes to be made where appropriate. Boundary changes may provide scope for small-scale development.

Option 5c is for comment rather than for agreement/disagreement. Paragraph 4.6 proposes suggested criteria for defining boundaries - primarily to draw a distinction between the towns and villages and open countryside. A simple visual assessment associates the buildings at Kings Ride Farm, Kings Ride, Alfriston with the built form of neighbouring development, rather than the open countryside. The Core Strategy offers an opportunity to establish settlement boundaries in line with the suggested criteria. The inclusion of the buildings at Kings Ride Farm within the Alfriston settlement boundary would be consistent with the pattern of development and would lead to the removal of unsightly buildings, thereby enhancing both the built and natural environment. A plan will be provided showing the suggested modification.

This potential development area offers a real opportunity for major housing provision without extending the outer urban boundaries into the countryside. Several of the spatial objectives could be met through the release of the land - by making a significant contribution toward increasing Polegate's housing stock; providing new parks, open spaces and other community facilities whilst respecting and protecting the setting of Polegate and Willingdon against the South Downs.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106545</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>□</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td>□</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 11a**

**Your View**            | ✓ Agree | □ No opinion | □ Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**
It makes sense to develop in the Town Centre if possible. This would be a good place for multi-storey blocks of flats as already exist in the vicinity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106545</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>□</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td>□</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 11b**

**Your View**            | ✓ Agree | □ No opinion | □ Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**
This would be acceptable provided: 1. Building was restricted to a maximum of two stories 2 Adequate landscaping was provide so that the buildings were not visible from the AONB 3 Development of larger properties (more than 4 bedrooms) was not permitted 4 High proportion (50% or more) of the development was for RSL and/or "affordable housing"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106545</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>□</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td>□</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 11c**

**Your View**            | ✓ Agree | □ No opinion | □ Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**
Only within the existing Setlement Boundary and then with the conditions 1, Development should be restricted to a maximum of two stories 2 There should be adequate landscaping so that the buildings were not visible from the AONB 3 There should be no development of 4 or more bedroom houses 4 There should be a minimum of 50% RSL/"affordable housing"
Consultee ID: Mr Edwards  Agent ID:
106545

Part of document: Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This would be an ideal place for development if there were an adequate road system. However the combination of Western Road and Whitehill Road would in practice rule it out.

Consultee ID: Mr Edwards  Agent ID:
106545

Part of document: Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Acess to the rest of Crowborough is restricted due to the inadequacy of Western and Whitehill Roads.

Consultee ID: Mr Edwards  Agent ID:
106545

Part of document: Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The general objectives would be benificial for Crowborough. However if Tourism is to be developed, it should be focused to identify which tourists are to be attracted and where they are to be accommodated.

Consultee ID: Mr Edwards  Agent ID:
106545

Part of document: Question 11h

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If Development Areas are to designated, it is important that it is done in conjunction with an enforcable housing policy and does not merely become a "Developers Charter"
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

16f. One feels about this area that Eastbourne is creeping North to swallow up various hamlets, villages and green spaces. Hindsland, Willow Farm and Mornings Mill Farm are green spaces here. It would make complete connection by landscape to Polegate, also preventing Polegate from remaining the same as it is now. Surely it would be in everyone’s interest in Polegate or passing through that these developments were not granted.

Option 16g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Figure 6 main options, 16g. Polegate I believe has adequate housing development already, including a great variety of types and sizes of buildings that suit a small township. All these buildings help Polegate have a pleasant environment. More population, cars and heavier traffic would take away the village effect.

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:

Wealden’s Renewable and Low Carbon Potential

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  Agent ID: 106547

Part of document: Option 2b

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  Agent ID: 106547

Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  Agent ID: 106547

Part of document: Option 3b

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  Agent ID: 106547

Part of document: Option 3c

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman    Agent ID:
106547

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman    Agent ID:
106547

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman    Agent ID:
106547

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman    Agent ID:
106547

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✓ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Settlement Boundaries should be maintained, but should NOT be expanded. There should be no development.
Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  Agent ID: 106547

Part of document: 

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☑ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No development.

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  Agent ID: 106547

Part of document: 

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☑ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  Agent ID: 106547

Part of document: 

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☑ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No development.

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  Agent ID: 106547

Part of document: 

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☑ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 8b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 8c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 8d

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 9a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman Agent ID: 106547

Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman Agent ID: 106547

Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman Agent ID: 106547

Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman Agent ID: 106547

Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Part of document: Option 11c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 11d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 11e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Part of document: Question 11h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

No development.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106547</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 12e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 12f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 12g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 12g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No development.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 12h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 12h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms. Spillman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14h

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 14h

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14a

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 14a

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14b

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 14b

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14c

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 14c

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
Agent ID: 106547

Part of document:  
- Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
Agent ID: 106547

Part of document:  
- Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
Agent ID: 106547

Part of document:  
- Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
Agent ID: 106547

Part of document:  
- Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

No development.

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ■ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ■ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ■ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Question 15g

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

No development.

---

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: Option 16c
Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: Option 16d
Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: Option 16e
Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: Option 16f
Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman 106547
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- document □
- chapter □
- section □
- option ☑

Section of Document: Option 16g

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman 106547
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- document □
- chapter □
- section □
- option ☑

Section of Document: Question 16h

Your View ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment: No development.

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman 106547
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- document □
- chapter □
- section □
- option ☑

Section of Document: Question 16i

Your View ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman 106547
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- document □
- chapter □
- section □
- option ☑

Section of Document: Option 17a

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman

Part of document:

Option 17b
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman

Part of document:

Option 17c
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman

Part of document:

Option 18a
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman

Part of document:

Question 17d
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106547
Ms. Spillman
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 106547
Ms. Spillman
Agent ID:

Part of document: Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:
No development.

---

Consultee ID: 106547
Ms. Spillman
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 106547
Ms. Spillman
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Option 18i**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

- **Option 18j**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

- **Question 18k**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

No development.

- **Option 19a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  
106547

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Question 19f

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No development.

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  
106547

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  
106547

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Question 21g

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No development.

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  
106547

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106547
Part of document: Option 20c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106547
Part of document: Question 20d
Section of Document:
Your View: No development.
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106547
Part of document: Option 21a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106547
Part of document: Option 21b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman
106547

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 22a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 22b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 22c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 22d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman

Part of document: Option 23a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman

Part of document: Option 23b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Option 23c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Option 23d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Option 25d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Option 23e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 23f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>No development.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms. Spillman</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 24d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25f**

**Section of Document:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25g**

**Section of Document:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 26a**

**Section of Document:**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106547</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 26b**

**Section of Document:**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong></td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong></td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong></td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Ms. Spillman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106547</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong></td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  Agent ID: 106547

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  Agent ID: 106547

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  Agent ID: 106547

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Spillman  Agent ID: 106547

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  ✓ section  □ option

Section of Document:  Where We Want to get to

Reason for comment:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Question 31b

Reason for comment:
No development.

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Question 32a

Reason for comment:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Question 32b

Reason for comment:
No development.
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Consultee ID:  Mrs Upton  Agent ID: 106548

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question One
Section of Document:  Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Allowing development within an AONB runs contrary to the principles of AONBs. To do so once - even in a minor way - will amount to scope creep. Having made an exception on one occasion, there will be no way of restricting further development. The boundaries will be meaningless.

Consultee ID:  Mrs Upton  Agent ID: 106548

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question One
Section of Document:  Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Given the statement below, taken from the consultation paper, I do not understand how allowing development in the AONB can be considered a viable option. "The spatial strategy must be based on delivering a spatial vision and a number of objectives that derive from this - such as ... protecting key environmental assets." [para1.45] Even taking into account another stated objective of meeting housing needs, on land within an AONB the priority lies with the protection of the environmental legacy. That is precisely why areas are allocated AONB status. To reinforce this point please see the Government definition of an AONB below. "an area of high landscape value of national importance, within which the conservation and enhancement of its natural beauty is the priority"

Consultee ID:  Mrs Upton  Agent ID: 106548

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 4a
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I strongly oppose any encroachment onto the greenfield site west of the A22. This acts as a buffer to protect the South Downs, and is a highly sensitive landscape setting. The cumulative environmental threat to the area should this buffer disappear would be disastrous.
Consultee ID: Mrs Upton
Agent ID: 106548

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A far more appropriate solution for the location of new employment/business development opportunities for the local economy.

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton
Agent ID: 106548

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I completely agree that settlement boundaries should be maintained. The additional clause here suggesting that they be expanded 'where needed to allow for necessary growth' is far too vague. Who will define and police the definition of 'necessary'?

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton
Agent ID: 106548

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I am not clear why it is not possible to offset the allocations in the Rest of Wealden (where para 4.53 states that housing targets are being exceeded) with the Sussex Coast Policy area, which is acknowledged in the document as being a more difficult area to identify new sites for development.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Again, who will define and police the definition of &quot;small scale development&quot;? This again opens up the possibility of scope creep and the eventual position of settlement boundaries being made redundant.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Whilst I appreciate the attempt to make the process of analysing a large geographical area in a more systematic way, I think the approach is too simplistic as it fails to consider either the character of a town/village or the opinions of residents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>I am afraid I am not clear on what is 'problematic' [para 4.34] about limiting the percentage increase in population size in any one village over a fixed period.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>As noted in comment on question 7a, the approach for classifying settlements is too simplistic and therefore it should not be used solely as a foundation for distribution of development.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Upton</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 8b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 8a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 8c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 8c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Upton
Agent ID: 106548

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 8d

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
Too simplistic - needs to consider individual villages, for example in smaller villages 10+ could equate to a significant addition.

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton
Agent ID: 106548

Part of document: ☑ option
Question Ten

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton
Agent ID: 106548

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11a

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton
Agent ID: 106548

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11b

Reason for comment:
Part of document:  ☑ option
Question 12h
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 11c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 11d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 11e
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11f</td>
<td>Option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11g</td>
<td>Option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11h</td>
<td>Option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12a</td>
<td>Option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Upton  
Agent ID: 106548

Part of document:  
Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton  
Agent ID: 106548

Part of document:  
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton  
Agent ID: 106548

Part of document:  
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton  
Agent ID: 106548

Part of document:  
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Upton  Agent ID: 106548
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 12f
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton  Agent ID: 106548
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 15a
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Provided adequate consideration is given to the possible negative impacts on the Cuckmere Valley.

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton  Agent ID: 106548
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 14c
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton  Agent ID: 106548
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 14d
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 14g**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15c**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15d**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The areas that have previously been developed are an option, although consideration needs to be given to that areas of scrub and regenerating woodland should be thoroughly assessed to understand the impacts. In addition, the scheduled ancient monument should not be sacrificed for any development.

Option 15f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 15h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
On a practical level, this would create effectively create a new town that would be attached to Polegate in name only, as the A22 & A27 will act as a barrier to creating the community vision that is discussed elsewhere in the document (e.g. references to 'Enquiry by Design'). The additional residents will need to rely on cars for transport, increasing the pressure on the A27. Finally, the environmental impacts should be considered. The Council has previously acknowledged the area as a possible habitat of bats, and Great Crested Newts have been found nearby. These two species are top of the list of creatures to protect in the UK, and any such development would increase the risk to their continued survival in this area.

Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 17b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Upton</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

need to retain the geographical separation between Hailsham and Hellingly

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18g**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Reason for comment:

Strongly disagree. The consultation paper states that there are certain key factors in deciding on the appropriateness of an area as a new settlement. One of these us ensuring that the location is acceptable in transport terms. Possibly the most talked about transport issue in Wealden is the capacity of the A27 approaching Polegate. A new settlement in this location would add further pressure to this issue. A second key factor is whether the landscape impact would be less than other alternatives. This would have a 360 degree impact on the ground, along with the additional dimension provided by the views from the South Downs, which make this not only less favourable than a town extension, but also less favourable than a similar new settlement away from the South Downs, where it is not looked down on also. A third key factor given in the paper is that it should divert development away from the attractive countryside towards less sensitive areas. With the South Downs in the process of being made a National Park there is no argument that it is not a sensitive area. In addition DEFRA has proposed that the boundary of the National Park be extended to the railway line, i.e. adjacent to where this development is proposed. I cannot imagine a more sensitive landscape to select in light of this. I would also be interested to know of a new community of this size and position that has been successfully established rather than the failures that the consultation paper quite rightly quotes.

Reason for comment:

I cannot see how a town of the size proposed could hope to be hidden in the folds of the land, as suggested in the consultation paper. The settlement would be a highly visible and degrading sight from the South Downs. This is aside from the ecological and community aspects already raised in earlier objections.

Reason for comment:

I cannot see how a town of the size proposed could hope to be hidden in the folds of the land, as suggested in the consultation paper. The settlement would be a highly visible and degrading sight from the South Downs. This is aside from the ecological and community aspects already raised in earlier objections.
Consultee ID: Mrs Upton

Part of document: Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: all areas within AONB

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton

Part of document: Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Within High Weald AONB

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton

Part of document: Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: all areas within AONB

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton

Part of document: Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Within High Weald AONB
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Upton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td>Option 22d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Within High Weald AONB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Mrs Upton</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>On High Weald AONB boundary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Mrs Upton</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td>Option 23d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>On High Weald AONB boundary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Mrs Upton</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td>Option 23e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Upton
Agent ID: 106548

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:
Tricky to answer as one question. 30a - Disagree. Impact on South Downs and traffic noise 30b - Disagree. Do not agree with Folkington/Cophall link 30o - Disagree. Improve Polegate station rather than build new one.

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton
Agent ID: 106548

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:
Again tricky to answer as one discreet question. SO2 - a blanket 60% with a 40 dwellings per hectare could conflict with SO1, which aims to have appropriately integrated development. Challenge to ensure that such densities do not lead to unsympathetic new builds in historical areas. SO5 - nice to have, not a key objective.

Consultee ID: Mrs Upton
Agent ID: 106548

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:
SO13 - in the pursuit of the objective of creating open space and leisure facilities, let us not forget and in the process spoil an area that we are already blessed with, being the South Downs.
Consultee ID: Mrs Upton  
106548

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Question 32a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
SO10 - greater retail offerings not required

Consultee ID: Mr Barker  
106553

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Option 5a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Village boundaries should be reviewed to allow for the delivery of much needed new homes. New housing development can help support local facilities and services as well as provide affordable housing. Boundaries should be expanded to allow for both immediate and reserve housing sites.

Consultee ID: Mr Barker  
106553

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Question 5c

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Settlement boundary changes in Five Ash Down, in the area to the east of the existing development boundary, should be considered favourably, for the reasons set out in the representation document submitted on behalf of Mrs Jane Brown.

Consultee ID: Mr Barker  
106553

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Option 6a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
(submitted on behalf of Mrs Jane Brown)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 6b</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>New housing development can help support local facilities and services as well as provide affordable housing. (submitted on behalf of Mrs Jane Brown)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 6c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>New housing development can help support local facilities and services as well as provide affordable housing. (submitted on behalf of Mrs Jane Brown)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 7a</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 7b</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Barker</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106553</td>
<td>DMH Stallard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>(submitted on behalf of Mrs Jane Brown)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106553</th>
<th>DMH Stallard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>A threshold of 15 minimum would bring about greater benefits in terms of affordable housing and infrastructure provision. (submitted on behalf of Mrs Jasne Brown)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106553</th>
<th>DMH Stallard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 21b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Suitable new housing site at land rear of 71-72 Five Ash Down for the reasons set out in representation document submitted on behalf of Mrs Jane Brown.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106554</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Bateman  
Agent ID: 106554  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 4c  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman  
Agent ID: 106554  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 4d  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman  
Agent ID: 106554  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 5a  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman  
Agent ID: 106554  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 5b  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Bateman

Part of document: Document  Chapter  Section  Option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman

Part of document: Document  Chapter  Section  Option

Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman

Part of document: Document  Chapter  Section  Option

Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman

Part of document: Document  Chapter  Section  Option

Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106554</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 7c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106554</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 7d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106554</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 8b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106554</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 8c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Bateman

Part of document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Section of Document: Option 14h

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman

Part of document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Section of Document: Option 14a

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman

Part of document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Section of Document: Option 14b

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106554
Mr Bateman
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106554
Mr Bateman
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106554
Mr Bateman
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106554
Mr Bateman
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106554</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 14g

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106554</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15a

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106554</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15b

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106554</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15c

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Bateman  
106554

Part of document:  
Option 15d  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman  
106554

Part of document:  
Option 15e  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman  
106554

Part of document:  
Option 15f  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman  
106554

Part of document:  
Option 16a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106554</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [√]

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Bateman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106554</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [√]

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Bateman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106554</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [√]

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Bateman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106554</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [√]

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Bateman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree  ☒ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

There is enough new housing in Polegate area.

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 17b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 17c
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Bateman 106554

Part of document:  
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman 106554

Part of document:  
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman 106554

Part of document:  
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman 106554

Part of document:  
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  
Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: ☑️ section  ☐ option
Section of Document: Village Strategy and Broad Locations

Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106554</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106554</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106554</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106554</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Bateman</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106554</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consulted Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 20b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 20c**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 21b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 21f**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Bateman  
106554  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 23a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman  
106554  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 23b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman  
106554  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 23c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman  
106554  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 23e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✓ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✓ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✓ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✓ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bateman
106554

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106554</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106554</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106554</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bateman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106554</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Bateman  
106554

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands  
106557

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands  
106557

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands  
106557

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands
Part of document: Option 3c
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands
Part of document: Option 3d
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands
Part of document: Option 4a
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands
Part of document: Option 4b
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 4c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 5a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 5b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 6a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Rowlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 6b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rowlands</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 6c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rowlands</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rowlands</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands
106557
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 7c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands
106557
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands
106557
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 8a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands
106557
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 8b
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands

Part of document: Option 8c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands

Part of document: Option 8d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands

Part of document: Option 9c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rowlands</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔️ option</td>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔️ option</td>
<td>Option 15f</td>
<td>✔️ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔️ option</td>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td>✔️ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔️ option</td>
<td>Option 16b</td>
<td>✔️ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulatee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Rowlands</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rowlands</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rowlands</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rowlands</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 16i**

- Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rowlands</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18b**

- Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rowlands</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18i**

- Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rowlands</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18j**

- Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands  
Agent ID: 106557

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands  
Agent ID: 106557

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands  
Agent ID: 106557

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Rowlands  
Agent ID: 106557

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rowlands</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 25g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rowlands</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 26a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rowlands</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Rowlands</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106557</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Part of document:  
Option 27d

Section of Document:
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 28a

Section of Document:
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 28b

Section of Document:
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 28c

Section of Document:
Your View  
Reason for comment:
Question One
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Option 18j

Reason for comment:
Re 18i: A new settlement in this area is quite out of the question given the existing road structure - C39 and A 27. Also the services currently available (hospitals, schools, fire service, ambulance, police) would be unable to cope. The water supply would be stretched and there is very little main drainage.

Potential for a New Settlement or Concentrated Growth

Reason for comment:
**Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Lumsden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106562</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:** Potential for a New Settlement or Concentrated Growth

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

From any point of view the establishment of a new town at Berwick would lead to very many problems—not least of which is the A27, the C39, no railway crossing apart from the gates (and do not forget what is going on at Beddingham). Also, it must be relayed that there is a good, old brickyard near the station, which could support perhaps 10 or 15 new homes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Lumsden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106562</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pestell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106567</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:** Location Plan

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Access is excellent, impact would be minimal, as additional housing is needed in the area, this would appear to be the ideal location.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pestell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106567</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Freeman

Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Destruction of the character of the area No employment in area Lack of facilities Lack of Further and Higher education

Consultee ID: Freeman

Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Halland only has growth potential on a very small scale. Development should be on brownfield sites only.

Consultee ID: Freeman

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We are strongly in favour of the re-opening of the Lewes - Uckfield and Eridge - Tunbridge Wells lines.

Consultee ID: Brine

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Question too vague - what is 'some' ?
Consultee ID: Brine

Part of document: □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✔ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Brine

Part of document: □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✔ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Brine

Part of document: □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✔ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Brine

Part of document: □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✔ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106569</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 9c</td>
<td>🅚 document, ☑ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion, Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>It seems the fairest option to spread it around, avoiding AONBs at all costs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106569</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 11a</td>
<td>🅚 document, ☑ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion, Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106569</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 11d</td>
<td>🅚 document, ☑ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>No opinion, Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Roads in this area are already inadequate viz Western Rd, Whitehill Rd and Hurtis Hill. Any sizeable new development needs easy access to the A26.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106569</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 11d</td>
<td>🅚 document, ☑ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>No opinion, Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Road access in the area already inadequate now, viz Western Rd, Whitehill Rd and Hurtis Hill. New developments need easy access to A26.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Brine</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106569</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106569</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106569</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106569</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Brine
106569
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Brine
106569
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Brine
106569
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Brine
106569
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Brine
106569
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Brine
106569
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Brine
106569
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Brine
106569
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106569</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106569</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106569</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106569</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Brine  
106569

Part of document:  
Option 25d

Section of Document:  
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
This would have a huge and beneficial impact on road use and thus the environment.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106569</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 28a**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106569</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 28b**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106569</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question Thirty**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[x]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Badly phrased question

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106569</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 31a**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

But seems totally unrealistic.......... Nice sentiments though.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Miss Ryland 106573

Part of document: Option 13a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Green belt, urban fringe is not compatible with Tunbridge Wells own policy of infilling brown field sites. Moreover much of this area is unimproved grassland, a priority habitat covered by a Biodiversity Action Plan.

Consultee ID: Miss Ryland 106573

Part of document: Question 13b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Landscape & Biodiversity; without you showing a plan your reference to a Northern part and a Southern part cannot be understood. If the northern part is the Meadow which was considered and not taken forward into the Non Statutory Local Plan (Chapter 20, Policy TW1) then it was established during that process (see para. 20.32) that the entire site has SNCI status, an error having been made when the boundary was changed in 1993. Plan 2 in your Chapter 20 decision (2005) shows the extent of the SNCI. It appears to cover all the "Northern" part and some of the "Southern" part (presumably land at Little Mount Farm?) in your current option. All of the points raised by local residents in 2004 remain valid. I repeat my previous submissions. I refer also to the ecological reports by Dolphin Ecological Surveys commissioned by local residents in 2004 and Middlemarch Environmental's report commissioned by Wealden DC in August 2005. No significant change is possible in 2 years since then. Transport & Accessibility; no recent and full independent transport survey has been done on Benhall Mill Road and Forest Road for KCC to assess the impact of this proposed option on top of recent developments in the road and on Forest Road nearby. Also need to take into account other proposed nearby sites such as Birchlands (Application WD/2007/0621/MAJ). The impact of a further 490 units will be hugely significant in an area of rural character on the urban fringe. Infrastructure & Accessibility; local primary schools in Tunbridge Wells are increasing their class sizes and number of classes already, to cope with increased demand. There is no provision locally to cope with children from another 490 units. A new school, doctors and dentist would be required for the local community, not just a financial contribution.
Consultee ID: Mrs Doyle

Part of document: Option 8b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
To have further development in this area seems wrong when there is not enough infrastructure in place i.e. more hospital beds, better access through roads.

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 12a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 12b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake

Part of document: Option 12c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
106581

Part of document: Option 12d
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
106581

Part of document: Option 12e
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
106581

Part of document: Option 12f
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Blake
106581

Part of document: Question 12h
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Its difficult to agree or disagree with all the objectives in the spatial view of Heatfield. The swimming pool, for example, would be nice, but not at the Community College. I would generally agree with the other objectives.
Consultee ID: Mr Stone  
106585  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Question One  

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty  

Your View ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Development into AONB should not be allowed, but in-filling between the edges of built up areas and up to the edge of an AONB is perfectly acceptable.

Consultee ID: Mr Stone  
106585  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 2a  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
We should aim for a higher target - option 2b.

Consultee ID: Mr Stone  
106585  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 2b  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Stone  
106585  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 3a  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree  

Reason for comment:
This land should be used for housing (see option 16a). Business development should take place a bit further north, as proposed in option 4b.
Consultee ID: Mr Stone
106585

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Stone
106585

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
An excellent area for business development - which already has a few industrial units nearby.

Consultee ID: Mr Stone
106585

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I would prefer Polegate to have more business areas to boost the local economy - Hailsham has enough already.

Consultee ID: Mr Stone
106585

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 7c</th>
<th>Option 7d</th>
<th>Option 8b</th>
<th>Option 9b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Stone</td>
<td>Mr Stone</td>
<td>Mr Stone</td>
<td>Mr Stone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Uckfield & Crowborough yes - they have reasonable transport links (inc. train service which would get even better if link to Lewes is reopened). Heathfield looses out with no train service.
Consultee ID: Mr Stone

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Absolutely. This area should be prioritised for housing. Hence I voted AGAINST option 4a which was for business development in this location.

Consultee ID: Mr Stone

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This area should be prioritised as an area for a business park, hence I voted FOR option 4b.

Consultee ID: Mr Stone

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106585  Mr Stone  Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 16d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
In-filling up to the bypass is a good idea.

Consultee ID: 106585  Mr Stone  Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 16e  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 106585  Mr Stone  Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 16f  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 106585  Mr Stone  Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 16i  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 17a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

An excellent choice. This would kick-start the reopening of the Lewes-Uckfield rail line - hopefully with some money from the developers as well.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 17b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 17c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Page 2634 of 6217
Consultee ID: Mr Stone  
106585

Part of document:  
- Option 18i

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:  
An excellent way of making use for underused but existing transport infrastructure (i.e. the railway station)

Consultee ID: Mr Stone  
106585

Part of document:  
- Option 18j

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:  
If we want affordable housing then we need higher densities.

Consultee ID: Mr Stone  
106585

Part of document:  
- Option 24a

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:  
Reasonable density to aid "affordable housing" but without being too packed in.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106585</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Reason for comment: |       |       |       |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106585</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

I would not want Polegate town centre to risk a possibly reduction in train service.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106585</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Question 31a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106585</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

It is perfectly reasonable!

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stone</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106585</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 28a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Stone 106585

Option 28b

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Stone 106585

Option 28c

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Stone 106585

Question Thirty

Infrastructure Requirements

Your View

Reason for comment:

Especially item 30 o - but emphasising again that I would not wish to see a reduced train service serving Polegate town centre

Consultee ID: Mr Stone 106585

Question 31b

Your View

Reason for comment:

I am in general agreement with this document, but any possibility of approving lots of new housing in the Polegate/Eastbourne area before they make the decision on closing the maternity unit of Eastbourne DGH? No - I thought not! Well, perhaps they might reopen it one day ;-)
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
AONB designated areas should not be subject to any development other than reusing existing "brownfield sites" although these rebuilds should not be significantly different in character and size to existing buildings, as this would change the nature of existing settlement.

Option 5a

Reason for comment:
Settlement boundaries should be maintained without any changes. There are many other variables in the Background Paper so that any change to this well defined boundaries could cause confusion. There must be some established "givens". Changing this means that the whole consultation process would need to be completed yet again if new boundaries were to be established.

Option 5b

Reason for comment:
"Strict Criteria" needs definition before agreeing to this option.

Option 7a

Reason for comment:
Criteria seems to be too arbitrary. Not having a good service really means no service.
Looking at figure 9 of the paper, I cannot see how you have classified certain villages as having growth potential since, from local knowledge of the area, there appears to me to be no difference between those designated as "with growth potential" and those designated "with intermediate and limited potential" in terms of being able to support further development. Also, why are other villages not considered?

Too arbitrary. 15 could be too many in villages. Each plan should be viewed case by case

Encroachment on AONB should be avoided.

it is inappropriate to plan large scale development in an AONB
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Durne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106587</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 12e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- ✔️ Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Within AONB

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Durne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106587</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 12f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- ✔️ Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Development would be within the AONB

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Durne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106587</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 12g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- ✔️ Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Any development in Heathfield should be confined to existing brownfield sites and should be in keeping with existing usage. Any infringement on greenfield and the AONG should not be considered.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Durne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106587</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 12h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- ✔️ Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Provided that this plan can be accomplished without infringing on the AONB.
Option 22a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
This would be a development within the AONB. The services (a garage, bakery and a primary school at the eastern end of the village that is almost at full capacity and very limited public transportation) will not support this scale of development which appears to be a significant percentage increase in the population of this small settlement. How much of this increase would be re-use of existing land and how much would be greenfield?

Option 8d
Reason for comment:

Option 18j
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Kennard
106588

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Unless appropriate feasibility studies are carried out and it is proven to be realistic for the public transport systems, already in place, to be improved to cope with the expected additional demands.

Consultee ID: Mr Walker
106589

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Great idea. We have 2 children who will be looking for starter homes in the next few years so bare this in mind. Great position close to town centre and no chance of flooding. Road structure in place.

Consultee ID: Mr Smith
106591

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Our attractive, historical, rural community would be totally destroyed by this enormous proposed settlement. Isfield would cease to exist and will be engulfed in a continuous development from Uckfield southwards.
Reason for comment:
We notice that part of the key to the acceptability of the above proposed development is the potential to raise funds towards the restoration of the Wealden line link between Uckfield and Lewes by developing the land in and around Isfield as mentioned on page 18 of the summary guide to the Core Strategy Issues.
We welcome the opportunity to make representations on behalf of our client Miletus Ltd to the Core Strategy Issues and Options Consultation Paper. In particular we encourage the point at 1.11 that sets out that the Local Development Framework must meet minimum requirements set out at a regional level from the South East Plan—principally the provision of levels of new housing as well as identifying and allocating over time, specific sites to meet strategic and local requirements for development of all kinds, including housing and for business. In respect of Sustainable Development in Wealden, we support the premise that Wealden is fully committed to the principles of sustainable development. Sustainable development is a key theme identified in national planning policy, in particular PPS1 sets out the Government's objectives for delivering sustainable development. One of the Government's key objectives as set out in paragraph 1 is to ensure that planning shapes the places where people live and work ensuring the right development in the right place at the right time. Accordingly, we would expect to see sustainable development as a constant theme running through the Wealden Local Development Framework. Paragraph 1.15 highlights a number of themes that have already been identified through the consultation process, we support the following themes: - Ensuring adequate infrastructure is in place for new housing - Sustainability as a key objective in all development - Need for some development in and on the edge of villages (including in designated Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty to sustain vitality) particularly for affordable housing - Need for employment development and mixed uses, not just housing. The South East Plan is an important document that sets the context for the Local Development Framework for Wealden. In terms of housing provision there are demanding figures for the South Coast Policy Area to be met to 2026. In addition to those figures identified in the South East Plan there is a need to meet any delivery shortfall from the Structure Plan to be carried forward which in the case of Wealden is significant. We welcome the fact that Wealden have identified that the Core Strategy will need to make provision to accommodate any shortfall in housing provision. Wealden is a large and very rural district and it is recognised that many local residents travel to work or shop outside the District. Indeed the 2001 Census shows that 43% of the workforce travel outside the District to work. There is a limited amount of brownfield land which means that in order to meet targets set out in the South East Plan there will be a need for a significant amount of new development to occur on greenfield sites. We recognise that fact set out in paragraph 1.31 that the District's economy is heavily dependent on the service sector and whilst this has helped to generate high levels of employment, median salaries are relatively low. This has contributed towards high levels of out-commuting. It is clear that there is a need to provide high quality employment and business land in sustainable locations within Wealden District to limited the levels of out-community and to ensure that the local economy stays strong.
We welcome the opportunity to comment upon Part 2 of the Core Strategy - Issues and Options Consultation Paper on behalf of our client's Miletus Ltd. It is recognised that Wealden is a beautiful district with diverse landscapes in particular the South Downs and the High Weald are nationally protected landscapes and are designated as 'Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty' (AONB). Indeed Wealden contains more of the total High Weald AONB than any other district. Other areas identified as valued and important landscapes are Low Weald and the Coastal Levels. We concur with the view at paragraph 2.12 that a broad policy approach will be required in the new plan for the whole of the District which reflects the four main landscape character areas. In terms of Question One regarding Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty we consider that advice contained in PPS7 'Sustainable Development in Rural Areas' should be considered. In terms of AONB's that are nationally designated sites, paragraph 22 of PPS7 states that major developments should not take place in these designated areas except in exceptional circumstances. Applications for major developments in these areas should be subject to rigorous examination and planning permission for major developments should be carried out to high environmental standards. PPS7 goes onto suggest at paragraph 24, that there are areas of landscape outside nationally designated areas that are particularly highly valued locally. The Government believes that carefully drafted criteria-based policies in LDDs, utilising tools such as landscape character assessment, should provide sufficient protection for these areas, without the need for rigid local designations that may unduly restrict acceptable sustainable development and the economic activity that underpins the vitality of rural areas. In light of advice contained in PPS7 we suggest that a sensible approach forward in terms of locating development and economic activity in Wealden is to identify sites outside the nationally designated AONB’s first, i.e. in sustainable locations in areas such as Low Weald and the Coastal Levels before allocating development within the High Weald and South Downs AONB’s. This will ensure that protection of the most important landscape areas is met.
We welcome the opportunity to make representations on behalf of our client Miletus Ltd to Part 3 of the Core Strategy -Issues and Options Consultation Paper. In respect of Wealden’s working patterns it is important to note at paragraph 3.11 that many people work in places that bear no geographical relationship to where they live. Furthermore this unsustainable pattern of travel is further highlighted at paragraph 3.13 that identifies significantly large numbers of actual journeys to work within the District itself in terms of both in-commuting and out-commuting. In respect of Question Three- Employment Land, we agree with Option 3d that states new housing development should be balanced with an appropriate level of new business development. In respect of the Sussex Coast Economy, paragraph 3.25 identifies the area around Hailsham and Polegate together with the adjoining Borough of Eastbourne as economically different from the rest of Wealden. This area has been termed the Eastbourne/Hailsham triangle and has been identified as an area lagging in terms of economic performance at a regional scale with housing growth not being matched by job growth. We agree that the ‘triangle’ area has the opportunity of being a dynamic, attractive and connected sub-region with the A27/A22 as its development spine. We agree that it is an area suitable of attracting inward investment as key to broadening the local economy and there needs to be a concerted effort to bring sites forward especially in Hailsham and Polegate and improve infrastructure which has been holding up the development of sites. In respect of Question 4 - Hailsham/Eastbourne Triangle we agree with Option 4d as the area around the A22 in Hailsham as a suitable option for additional new employment/business development.
We welcome the opportunity to make representations on behalf of our client Miletus Ltd to Part 4 of the Core Strategy -Issues and Options Paper. In respect of Settlement boundaries paragraph 4.4 states that there is a strong planning case for retaining village boundaries. We however concur with the view set out at paragraph 4.5 that boundaries could be amended to be drawn more broadly to include scope for new development in those settlements considered suitable for some growth. In respect of Question 5- Settlement Boundaries we agree with Option 5a that states settlement boundaries should be maintained, but should be expanded where needed to allow for necessary growth. In respect of Development In and On the Edge of Towns, paragraph 4.15 has identified that the Structure Plan proposed a doubled rate of house building in Wealden between 2006-2011 principally on greenfield sites. Paragraph 4.17 identifies that over the past 5 years a mean of 112 dwellings on previously developed land in the Rest of Wealden have come forward - if this trend carries forward then around 88% of the target for the Rest of Wealden will come from brownfield sites. In contrast 46 dwellings per year in the Sussex Coast policy Area in the same period were on previously developed land, and if continued then a brownfield target here of only 25% or so would be achievable. We concur with the view at paragraph 4.19 that the South East Plan is clear that greenfield housing allocations will be necessary in Wealden, furthermore we consider that the premise set out at paragraph 4.21 that focussing development in a limited number of locations, particularly in the Sussex Coast Policy Area where development patterns and infrastructure are less dispersed will have sustainability benefits of locating a large amount of new development in one place. In respect of Question 6- The Urban/Rural Split we disagree with options 6a, 6b and 6c. In respect of 6d we consider that growth of some villages near towns, particularly Hailsham might meet an urban rather than a rural need. In respect of Classifying Villages, we note at paragraph 4.28 that the 2007 Parish Planning Conference that there is a need for some villages to accept some appropriate and gradual development. This was because of the need to support and reinvigorate local services and communities and make housing more affordable. Paragraph 4.29 has identified that villages in Wealden along A roads and villages in more isolated locations seem to offer a higher level of services relative to their size. Paragraph 4.31 identifies that villages close to towns and/or rail stations may be fairly sustainable, despite having a limited range of services, or even lack of shop because of short overall journeys. In respect of Question 7e - Settlement Classification and Settlement Strategy, we suggest that the settlement of Lower Dicker given its sustainable location adjacent to the A22 in the Hailsham/Eastbourne triangle and location of the Swallow Business Park for employment purposes is a suitable location for additional growth. In respect of Question 8- Options for the Sussex Coast Policy Area we agree with Option 8a the Hailsham Focus. We concur with the view set out in paragraph 4.42 that in this option with the majority of growth being focussed on Hailsham, with less growth around Polegate/Willingdon, that the argument for Hailsham would include the potential for carefully conceived and located development to help regenerate the town and greater strategic capacity and road capacity than Polegate (with the A22 being less congested than the A27.)
We welcome the opportunity to make representations on behalf of our client Miletus Ltd to Part 5 of the Core Strategy Issues and Options Consultation Paper. In terms of Hailsham we consider that the growth of this location is an important sustainable option to meet housing and employment requirements set out in the South East Plan. In response to Question 15h, in particular we consider that the first Spatial Objective set out under paragraph 5.33 is important being the need to improve Hailsham's Economic performance and accessibility as part of the Hailsham-Eastbourne Triangle programme. Furthermore, it is considered that the improvement of the quality of entrances/approaches to the A22 gateways to the town is also an important spatial objective to meet in Hailsham. Question 15i asks for any other broad areas for consideration, we consider that Lower Dicker provides a suitable sustainable location for additional development. It has already been identified as a suitable location for employment development and we consider that further mixed use development in this area would meet sustainable development objectives set out in PPS1 and PPS3. Further consideration is given to Lower Dicker below in relation to responses to question 18. In respect of the Potential for a New Settlement or Concentrated Growth we agree with the identification at paragraph 5.41 of Lower Dicker to the north-west of Hailsham as a potential location for development. This paragraph states that Lower Dicker is disparate in form, but is accessible along the A22 and has relatively few planning constraints on development. The Core Strategy document has identified three potential locations in this broad area for a 'new village' of modest size which would need to be of exemplar quality - a true village with all attendant services not suburbanisation of the countryside. In response to question 18 we agree with option 18d at Lower Dicker New Village - Hackhurst Lane as a new settlement option. We consider that this is a sustainable location for additional mixed uses including housing and employment purposes. The Local Development Framework suggests that this option maybe suitable for an additional 1,300-1950 dwellings. It describes the area as currently comprising a mix of employment and residential uses lacking visual form. The A22 is described as a bottleneck at this point but does have the opportunity to be improved considerably through funding. It is described as currently having a poor bus service. The land to the west of Hackhurst Lane Industrial Estate is correctly described as having an unimplemented employment land allocation. However we would clarify that the site benefits from outline planning consent and there is a current reserved matters planning application ( ref WD/2007/1504/MAJ) being considered by the Local Planning Authority and this confirms that the applicants are committed to the development of the site for employment purposes. The principle of development in the Hackhurst Lane area of Lower Dicker has already been established in the currently adopted and Non-Statutory Wealden Local Plans being proposed as a suitable site in the 1998 Plan under Policy VB22.2 and designated under Policy BS2 in the 2005 Non-Statutory Plan. Government guidance also supports economic development in this location under PPS1 which seeks the promotion of a strong, stable and productive economy that aims to bring employment. PPG4 confirms that planning authorities should aim to ensure sufficient land is available to accommodate Industrial and Commercial Uses. The introduction of further development in the form of a new village at Lower Dicker will provide a sustainable option to assist in meeting the demanding housing and employment requirements set out in the South East Plan. Lower Dicker is identified within the Hailsham-Eastbourne Triangle programme as a suitable location for additional development. The issues identified in the the LDF Core Strategy relating to the current poor public transport provision and road improvements required at the A22 could be funded through investment into this area. Indeed the location of Lower Dicker is not subject to restrictive landscape designations such as AONB as much of the District is and offers...
an excellent location for future sustainable development opportunities.

Consultee ID: Mrs Jones
Agent ID: 106592
Consultee ID: Miletus Limited
Agent ID: 102483

Part of document: ☐ document ☑ chapter ☐ section ☐ option
Part 6

Section of Document: Wealden's Communities

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

We welcome the opportunity to make representations on behalf of our client Miletus Ltd to Part 6 of the Core Strategy Issues and Options Consultation Paper. In respect of housing need paragraphs 6.7-6.10 of the Core Strategy Issues and Options Consultation Paper are important considerations. These paragraphs state that small units, flats and terraced houses comprise 22.9% of existing stock compared to the national mean level of 45%. Historically most units built were larger units. This is set against the backdrop of low overall delivery and with most proposals for greenfield sites being predominantly larger units not meeting overall needs and not providing mixed and balanced communities. A Strategic Housing Markets Assessment has been undertaken for the District and this shows that the indicative need for market housing is as follows: 31% one bed, 32% two bed, 29% three bed, 8% 4+ beds. The main need in terms of social housing is for small units for the elderly and larger 3/4 bedroom family units. The Assessment has identified that encouraging smaller units in rural areas could help younger families with less equity to afford to live there. If more smaller units were built then this could be one of several factors allowing densities to increase. Mean densities of new development in Wealden, at 35 dwellings per hectare are below the 40+ dwellings per hectare achieved in surrounding rural districts, they are also sometimes below historical densities of development. In terms of Question 24- Housing Density, it is clear that there is a requirement for smaller units to meet housing need, on this basis we consider that option 24d that in locations accessible to public transport provision higher densities should be permitted to assist in meeting this need. In respect of Affordable Rural Housing Needs the Core Strategy has suggested that historically there have been problems in bringing forward sufficient affordable housing in the District to meet demand. The Authority have suggested that there may be a need to move away from the current rural 'exceptions sites' policy as this is fairly strict and only allows them on the edges of the most accessible villages. On this basis Option 25d allowing sites solely for affordable housing should be allocated in rural areas. A further way of meeting the affordable housing needs would be to adopt Option 25g allowing ancillary dwellings to businesses providing key rural services if appropriate controls are in place. In respect of Transport Needs paragraph 6.41 states that the A22 is the main North-South route in the District. Paragraph 6.42 suggests that the County Council's current policy is to restrict all new junctions onto the A22, with major development using existing junctions. We object to this policy on the basis that it is considered that a flexible approach is required if the housing and employment requirements set out in the South East Plan are to be met for the low weald Towns. It is considered that the County Council policy is too strict and could prevent a number of growth options for development as well as removing the possibility of less environmentally damaging access road alignments to potential growth options to the North of Hailsham and west of Uckfield. In respect of a response to Question 27a we agree that development should be located where it might improve the viability of bus services to and between towns. In particular investment into locations that are currently less well served by public transport provides the opportunity to improve the sustainability credentials and benefits to new and existing locations.
Reason for comment:
Our attractive, historical, rural community would be totally destroyed by this enormous proposed settlement. Isfield would cease to exist and will be engulfed in a continuous development from Uckfield southwards.

Reason for comment:
We notice that part of the key to the acceptability of the above proposed development is the potential to raise funds towards the restoration of the Wealden line link between Uckfield and Lewes by developing the land in and around Isfield as mentioned on page 18 of the summary guide to the Core Strategy Issues.

Reason for comment:
Housing is necessary in the area. However it must take into account the AONB and be low density and not alter the character of the region.

Reason for comment:
Housing is necessary in the area. However it must take into account the AONB and be low density and not alter the character of the region.
Consultee ID: Mr Abey
Agent ID: 106594

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The two go together

---

Consultee ID: Mr Abey
Agent ID: 106594

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Towns are better suited to the developments necessary to provide additional housing on a larger scale. Additional housing is required in villages but must be on a smaller scale

---

Consultee ID: Mr Abey
Agent ID: 106594

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Abey
Agent ID: 106594

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Abey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106594</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 7c

**Section of Document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Your View:** [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Seems a logical location

---

### Option 8b

**Section of Document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Your View:** [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Seems a logical location

---

### Option 16f

**Section of Document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Your View:** [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This is a logical location for further development with little impact on surrounding areas

---

### Option 18i

**Section of Document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This proposal would significantly impact on the Downs and the Long Man as well as serving no local need. The area attracts and relies on the tourism of the Downs and to build with little or no thought to the effect of such building on the area is frankly crass.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Abey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106594</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 18j

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
There is no local need for a "new village". The need for housing in Wealden appears to be a need spread across the region not just concentrated in one area.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Abey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106594</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 27b

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Would greatly enhance the economy of the region.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Abey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106594</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 27d

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
The dualling of the existing road would alter the area immeasurably. If dualling is required the alternative routes must be considered to take the road away from the Downs. This aonb deserves to be preserved and respected.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Robertson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106595</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 18a

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Our attractive, historical, rural community would be totally destroyed by this enormous proposed settlement. Isfield would cease to exist and will be engulfed in a continuous development from Uckfield southwards.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Robertson
106595

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
We Notice that part of the key to the acceptability of the above proposed development is the potential to raise funds towards the restoration of the Wealden line link between Uckfield and Lewes by developing the land in and around Isfield as mentioned on page 18 of the summary guide to the Core Strategy Issues.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Potter
106596

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Our attractive, historical, rural community would be totally destroyed by this enormous proposed settlement. Isfield would cease to exist and will be engulfed in a continuous development from Uckfield southwards.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Potter
106596

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Potter
106596

Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  ✓ section  □ option

Section of Document: Transport Needs

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

We Notice that part of the key to the acceptability of the above proposed development is the potential to raise funds towards the restoration of the Wealden line link between Uckfield and Lewes by developing the land in and around Isfield as mentioned on page 18 of the summary guide to the Core Strategy Issues.

Consultee ID: Mr Hooper
106597

Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document  ✓ chapter  □ section  □ option

Part 10

Section of Document: Details of How to Comment

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I appreciate that Wealden is obliged to create this development strategy, however are we not already over-developed in this area? It will not stop at Willingdon or even Polegate. It seems that no-one will be happy until the entire area between the South Coast and a line drawn through Gatwick Airport is brimming with concrete from seaside to runway. What chance the Downs National Park then? I do not support the central government demand regarding housing requirements at all, and seriously dispute the building requirements which they wish to impose on this region. Unelected bodies MUST NOT continue to hold the power to inflict the Government's housing figures on us. There are hardly any GREEN FIELD sites left in this area, only brownfield. S.E. England is already overpopulated, bursting at the seams and there seems little in the plan regarding improvements to local services, infrastructure etc, Even plans announced by local health service trusts run counter to the needs of an increase in population as outlined in this document. The only building that should be permitted anywhere within Wealden is in small development areas of *verifiably* AFFORDABLE DWELLINGS built and sold ONLY to truly LOCAL people. I DO NOT agree with any new town developments particularly in A. of O.N.B. I do not support large scale expansion of towns such as Polegate, Heathfield and Uckfield, or any development that will lead to the “City South of Gatwick” and which will destroy the tranquility of the region for future generations. It is the existing features that make Wealden such an attractive place in which to live and work and to visit. Surely it is Wealden Council’s duty to uphold these values and to act as guardian of the countryside. We are fortunate to live in one of England’s most attractive regions. The Council must find the courage to tell Central Government that in this part of the South East we have “standing room only” on this bus and there’s another one behind going somewhere else… I would also like to take this opportunity to protest most vigourously about the denial of my democratic right to express my opinion via my elected Councillor, Stephen Shing. Having taken part in a democratically organised poll I would like an explanation as to why the disparate wishes of his constituents are to be combined and will be used as a SINGLE SUBMISSION . I ELECT my councillors and expect them to be able to represent MY VIEWS INDIVIDUALLY - not as part of a “group submission” that is (it seems to me) ILLEGALLY being lumped together with those of my fellow residents.
I appreciate that Wealden is obliged to create this development strategy, however are we not already over-developed in this area? It will not stop at Willingdon or even Polegate. It seems that no-one will be happy until the entire area between the South Coast and a line drawn through Gatwick Airport is brimming with concrete from seaside to runway. What chance the Downs National Park then? I do not support the central government demand regarding housing requirements at all, and seriously dispute the building requirements which they wish to impose on this region. Unelected bodies MUST NOT continue to hold the power to inflict the Government's housing figures on us. There are hardly any GREEN FIELD sites left in this area, only brownfield. S.E. England is already overpopulated, bursting at the seams and there seems little in the plan regarding improvements to local services, infrastructure etc, Even plans announced by local health service trusts run counter to the needs of an increase in population as outlined in this document. The only building that should be permitted anywhere within Wealden is in small development areas of *verifiably* AFFORDABLE DWELLINGS built and sold ONLY to truly LOCAL people. I DO NOT agree with any new town developments particularly in A. of O.N.B. I do not support large scale expansion of towns such as Polegate, Heathfield and Uckfield, or any development that will lead to the “City South of Gatwick” and which will destroy the tranquility of the region for future generations, It is the existing features that make Wealden such an attractive place in which to live and work and to visit. Surely it is Wealden Council’s duty to uphold these values and to act as guardian of the countryside. We are fortunate to live in one of England’s most attractive regions. The Council must find the courage to tell Central Government that in this part of the South East we have “standing room only” on this bus and there’s another one behind going somewhere else… I would also like to take this opportunity to protest most vigourously about the denial of my democratic right to express my opinion via my elected Councillor, Stephen Shing. Having taken part in a democratically organised poll I would like an explanation as to why the disparate wishes of his constituents are to be combined and will be used as a SINGLE SUBMISSION. I ELECT my councillors and expect them to be able to represent MY VIEWS INDIVIDUALLY - not as part of a “group submission” that is (it seems to me) ILLEGALLY being lumped together with those of my fellow residents.

ID number 22076 is on behalf of my wife Elizabeth Hooper who is also a registered voter in this area and who also wishes to express her identical views.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
106598

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
106598

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
106598

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
106598

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
106598
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree
☑ No opinion
☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
106598
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree
☑ No opinion
☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
106598
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree
☑ No opinion
☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
106598
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  Agent ID: 106598

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  Agent ID: 106598

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  Agent ID: 106598

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  Agent ID: 106598

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 4e

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
None. No further developments at all-in any area!
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

No to changes.

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  Agent ID: 106598

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  Agent ID: 106598

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  Agent ID: 106598

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 6d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment: No to any developments.

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  Agent ID: 106598

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 7b
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 7c
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 7d
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Question 7e
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Local businesses should be able to trade fairly in whatever trade they choose.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106598</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms. Capielles</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8b</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms. Capielles</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8c</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms. Capielles</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8d</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms. Capielles</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:** Options for the Rest of Wealden

**Your View**
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 9a

**Your View**
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 9b

**Your View**
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 9c

**Your View**
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Question Ten
- Site Allocation Thresholds

**Reason for comment:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 11a

**Reason for comment:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 11b

**Reason for comment:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 11c

**Reason for comment:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  Agent ID: 106598
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  Agent ID: 106598
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  Agent ID: 106598
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ No opinion
Reason for comment:
No development.

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  Agent ID: 106598
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 12a**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 12b**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 12c**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 12d**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option
Question 12g

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [✓] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

No development.

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option
Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms. Capielles</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>勾选</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>勾选</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>勾选</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>勾选</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
106598

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
106598

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
106598

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 14j

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
106598

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 14k

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

No development.
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Agent ID:
Agent ID:
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 15a
Part of document: Option 15b
Part of document: Option 15b

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree
Your View  Disagree
Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106598</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td>Option 15d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106598</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106598</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td>Option 15f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106598</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td>Question 15g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  
106598

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  
106598

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  
106598

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  
106598

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Agent ID: 106598

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 16d

Section of Document: 
Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Agent ID: 106598

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 16e

Section of Document: 
Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Agent ID: 106598

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 16f

Section of Document: 
Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Agent ID: 106598

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 16g

Section of Document: 
Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Agreement: Disagree
Reason for comment: [Your View]

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Agreement: Disagree
Reason for comment: [Your View]

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Agreement: Disagree
Reason for comment: [Your View]
Ms. Capielles

Option 18a

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Ms. Capielles

Option 18b

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Ms. Capielles

Option 18c

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Ms. Capielles

Option 18d

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Consultee ID: 106598

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Consultee ID: 106598

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Consultee ID: 106598

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Consultee ID: 106598

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  
Agent ID: 106598

Part of document:  
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  
Agent ID: 106598

Part of document:  
Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  
Agent ID: 106598

Part of document:  
Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  
Agent ID: 106598

Part of document:  
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 20c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms. Capielles</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 21d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 21e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 21f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 22a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID: 106598</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document: 0</td>
<td>Chapter: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 22b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree: 0</td>
<td>No opinion: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulee ID: 106598</td>
<td>Ms. Capielles</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document: 0</td>
<td>Chapter: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 22c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree: 0</td>
<td>No opinion: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulee ID: 106598</td>
<td>Ms. Capielles</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document: 0</td>
<td>Chapter: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 22d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree: 0</td>
<td>No opinion: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulee ID: 106598</td>
<td>Ms. Capielles</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document: 0</td>
<td>Chapter: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 23a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree: 0</td>
<td>No opinion: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Agent ID: 106598

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Agent ID: 106598

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Agent ID: 106598

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Agent ID: 106598

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  
106598

Part of document:  
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  
106598

Part of document:  
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  
106598

Part of document:  
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles  
106598

Part of document:  
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles
Consultee ID: Ms. Capielles

Part of document: Option 24d
Part of document: Option 25a
Part of document: Option 25b
Part of document: Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106598</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 25e
- Option 25f
- Option 25g
- Option 26a

**Section of Document:**

- Your View
  - □ Agree
  - □ No opinion
  - ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106598</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106598</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106598</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106598</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 31a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms. Capielles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106598</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106598</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Thirty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms. Capielles</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106598</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 31b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>✓ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No development at all.
No to any development at all. Time to stop building in the UK. Once developers start they will not cease our countryside needs to be protected. Over development does not bring wealth, jobs or better communities-only more money for local councils via council tax. Essential facilities are stretched to limits; hospitals and schools; doctor surgeries. Unless the government enforces developments there should be no major housing or business developments. Businesses bring jobs in themselves and money for local people. Government of EU should be more accountable/responsible to US the people.

1) Ref and Broad Area: I do not support the proposal that will change Halland’s current status to that of an ‘Expanded Village’ 2) Potential Scale of Development. The construction of 2800 dwellings in Halland is unrealistic and unacceptable because the current infrastructure will not support a project of this magnitude 3) Landscape and Biodiversity. Given the proportional car to new home ration has WDC considered the issue concerning Pollution? 4) Transport and Accessibility. WDC’s risk assessment identifies that the A22 within the Parish is both poor and dangerous. Any future expansion within the District will impact dramatically on Halland and will only serve to exacerbate the problem of congestion. The volume of traffic has increased to unacceptable levels and one side of the road has little or no pavement. Halland is in urgent need of a bypass now. 5) Infrastructure, Services and Other. Services are minimal, the bus service is inadequate and noise levels reach unacceptable levels during the 24 hour period.
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
There should be a policy which allows for settlement boundaries to be expanded where necessary in order to allow for future growth. In addition, policy should allow for appropriate development to take place outside of settlement boundaries.

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Within this area the majority of growth should be focused on Hailsham given its strategic and road capacity, and the potential for development to regenerate the town.

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Within the rest of Wealden district, some future development should be focused in Uckfield, given the town’s existing services and its potential for expansion.
It is recognised that the town is not serving local needs and therefore, we support the growth of Heathfield. Appropriate retail facilities should be provided to support the sustainable growth of Heathfield.

We agree that, as part of a joint approach with Tunbridge Wells Borough Council consideration should be given to an urban extension to the south east of Tunbridge Wells (an area which falls within Wealden district boundary).

We support the growth of Uckfield in improving its role as one of the main service centres in the district and to support the recognised need to improve the existing retail offer in the town centre. As such, the growth of Uckfield should ensure that there is sufficient flexibility for suitable retail provision in the future.
Question 15g

Reason for comment:
The growth of Hailsham should ensure that there is sufficient flexibility for suitable retail provision in the future.

Agree

Question 18k

Reason for comment:
It would be entirely sustainable for any planned future growth to make suitable provision for retail facilities.

Agree

Question 32a

Reason for comment:
We fully support the objective (SO10) to broaden the weak retail offer currently provided by the district’s towns through meeting the need for additional comparative goods floorspace and additional convenience goods floorspace by 2016.

Agree
I appreciate that Wealden is obliged to create this development strategy, however are we not already over-developed in this area? It will not stop at Willingdon or even Polegate. It seems that no-one will be happy until the entire area between the South Coast and a line drawn through Gatwick Airport is brimming with concrete from seaside to runway. What chance the Downs National Park then? I do not support the central government demand regarding housing requirements at all, and seriously dispute the building requirements which they wish to impose on this region. Unelected bodies MUST NOT continue to hold the power to inflict the Government's housing figures on us. There are hardly any GREEN FIELD sites left in this area, only brownfield. S.E. England is already overpopulated, bursting at the seams and there seems little in the plan regarding improvements to local services, infrastructure etc. Even plans announced by local health service trusts run counter to the needs of an increase in population as outlined in this document. The only building that should be permitted anywhere within Wealden is in small development areas of *verifiably* AFFORDABLE DWELLINGS built and sold ONLY to truly LOCAL people. I DO NOT agree with any new town developments particularly in A. of O.N.B. I do not support large scale expansion of towns such as Polegate, Heathfield and Uckfield, or any development that will lead to the “City South of Gatwick” and which will destroy the tranquility of the region for future generations. It is the existing features that make Wealden such an attractive place in which to live and work and to visit. Surely it is Wealden Council’s duty to uphold these values and to act as guardian of the countryside. We are fortunate to live in one of England’s most attractive regions. The Council must find the courage to tell Central Government that in this part of the South East we have “standing room only” on this bus and there’s another one behind going somewhere else… I would also like to take this opportunity to protest most vigourously about the denial of my democratic right to express my opinion via my elected Councillor, Stephen Shing. Having taken part in a democratically organised poll I would like an explanation as to why the disparate wishes of his constituents are to be combined and will be used as a SINGLE SUBMISSION. I ELECT my councillors and expect them to be able to represent MY VIEWS INDIVIDUALLY - not as part of a “group submission” that is (it seems to me) ILLEGALLY being lumped together with those of my fellow residents.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106603</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☑ section ☐ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Wealden’s Renewable and Low Carbon Potential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106603</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106603</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106603</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Hamilton</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---
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Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID: 106603

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID: 106603

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID: 106603

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID: 106603

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID: 106603

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID: 106603

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID: 106603

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID: 106603

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7a
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7b
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7b
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106603</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106603</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106603</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106603</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106603</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion

**Reason for comment:**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 15f

- **Section of Document:**
  - Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
  - Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Question 15h

- **Section of Document:**
  - Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
  - Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 16a

- **Section of Document:**
  - Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
  - Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 16b

- **Section of Document:**
  - Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
  - Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106603</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>Miss Hamilton</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>Miss Hamilton</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>Miss Hamilton</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Option 16g

**Consultee ID:** 106603

**Miss Hamilton**

**Reason for comment:**

I have placed comments at end of the questionnaire regarding our area.

### Option 17a

**Consultee ID:** 106603

**Miss Hamilton**

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 17b

**Consultee ID:** 106603

**Miss Hamilton**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 17c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- **Disagree** [✓]

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- **Agree** [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- **Agree** [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- **Disagree** [✓]

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
Agent ID:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106603</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106603</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106603</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106603</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
106603

Part of document: 
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
106603

Part of document: 
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
106603

Part of document: 
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton
106603

Part of document: 
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 28a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 28b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Below are my enlarged comments on section 16f, area between Lower Willingdon and Polegate i.e. that which immediately concerns myself: This is a large area of fields and at present is not being farmed to any great extent. However I feel VERY strongly that it is not suitable for large numbers of houses, nor any buildings, as it is well recognised as a flood plain. There is not the surrounding road structure to absorb the additional huge number of vehicles which would come with the houses. Nor the general infrastructure of community provision; schools, doctors etc. which would be needed. However, I do think that the land could be put to very good use for the EXISTING population. I am told that a new primary school is needed for one thing. There is also a VERY great need for open land for sports and recreation-this area is at present very badly served. The "Hindusland" already exists and should be incorporated. Sports fields are an obvious addition to a school and there could also be a leisure and sports centre, perhaps a small lake, "wildlife trails", cycle trails and much more, all already badly needed. It is reported that Wealden District Council has won a £237,000 grant from the Big Lottery fund for play activities-then this is where some of that money could go. This type of use would place a minimum of interference on the nature of the land for absorbing surplus water and I feel this great opportunity for the future of Willingdon and Polegate should not be lost. Once the area disappears under acres of concrete and houses, it will have been lost forever.
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Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
Consultee ID: 106604
Resident

Part of document: Option 14h
Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
Currently the SE Plan requires Wealden DC to find land to build 8000 new homes over the next twenty years. This equates to 400 homes each year, 230 in the Sussex Coast sub region (South Wealden) and 170 in the rest of Wealden. The initial challenge is to identify sites for these homes, but regrettably it is virtually certain that the government will considerably increase the number of dwellings required in the South-East and we can expect many of them to be in Wealden. For the 8000 sites that must be identified now, we have no hesitation in saying that the right course of action is to spread the burden as evenly as possible between the five towns and the other principal existing settlements. In the Uckfield area we very strongly oppose option 14h, areas to the West of Uckfield (A22) Bypass and would urge that Wealden DC does everything possible to facilitate option 14g, Uckfield Town Centre Opportunity Area. Uckfield was disastrously developed in the 1960’s and 70’s, with exceptionally low quality buildings and a great waste of space. Bold policies, involving demolition of much of what was built then, could revitalise the town and provide much new sustainable housing. The aim of the Core Strategy must be to maximise brown field opportunities and if green field development cannot be avoided, it must be as close as possible to established settlements in areas of low landscape attractiveness – in other words in areas that have already been spoilt by earlier development. We are strongly opposed to any new settlement option for the 8000 homes required now. We are extremely disappointed to see option 18a, the Lavender New Settlement at Isfield. It is made quite clear that this would be dependant on the restoration of the rail link and could provide the funding needed to restore the line. Whilst we consider that it is most unlikely that Wealden would include this option in the Core Strategy, we are seriously concerned that its inclusion is a pointer for what could happen in the future, if, as expected, the number of homes to be built in Wealden is drastically increased by the government. Isfield, even with a restored rail link, is not the right place for these homes. An 8200 settlement would be the size of Uckfield and would virtually merge with it – especially if option 14h was accepted as part of the Core Strategy. New Towns have virtually always been unsuccessful. Even today, long after their establishment, the centres trade very badly in comparison with established towns and the residential areas are bland with very few local services. Whilst the restored rail link is being touted as ‘a green option’ the new homes along the line seem unlikely to be occupied by local people, most of who work in areas not served easily by the rail line. More long distance commuting is not what Wealden needs. We would hope that the expanded population of existing towns is matched by expansion of local employment opportunities. A settlement of over 6000 homes, such as suggested for Isfield (18a) and Berwick (18j) could at least be expected to have major new infrastructure. Smaller settlements such as those proposed along the A22 at Halland (18b) and Lower Dicker (18c) would have none. There are increasing numbers of accidents along the A22 and such settlements would massively add to the risks. The Consultation Document asks for views on building a new ‘parkway’ station in the Polegate area and on the priority that should be given to restoration of the Uckfield-Lewes rail link. Given all the other constraints that exist in Wealden, it is inevitable that a considerable number of the homes needed will be in the Hailsham/Polegate axis. We note that Eastbourne is a major employment generator for many residents of Wealden. We therefore reluctantly support the majority of options put forward for the Hailsham and Polegate areas, with the notable exceptions of option 15f, area west of Hailsham bypass, and options 16b and 16a, also areas west of the A22. We therefore feel that the building of Polegate Parkway station should be a priority. We do not support the restoration of the Uckfield /Lewes rail link. The subsidy of ‘major housing development alongside’ is too high a price to pay, especially as much of the line runs through the AONB. Finally on affordable housing we commend Wealden for already having targets above most other neighbouring authorities. We agree that 40% of all developments of 10 houses or more should
be affordable and that there should be a 50% requirement in smaller settlements for sites of two dwellings or more. We add that there is a need for affordable homes of three, or even four bedrooms. Too many of the affordable homes built today are single bed roomed flats.
Currently the SE Plan requires Wealden DC to find land to build 8000 new homes over the next twenty years. This equates to 400 homes each year, 230 in the Sussex Coast sub region (South Wealden) and 170 in the rest of Wealden. The initial challenge is to identify sites for these homes, but regrettably it is virtually certain that the government will considerably increase the number of dwellings required in the South-East and we can expect many of them to be in Wealden. For the 8000 sites that must be identified now, we have no hesitation in saying that the right course of action is to spread the burden as evenly as possible between the five towns and the other principal existing settlements. In the Uckfield area we very strongly oppose option 14h, areas to the West of Uckfield (A22) Bypass and would urge that Wealden DC does everything possible to facilitate option 14g, Uckfield Town Centre Opportunity Area. Uckfield was disastrously developed in the 1960’s and 70’s, with exceptionally low quality buildings and a great waste of space. Bold policies, involving demolition of much of what was built then, could revitalise the town and provide much new sustainable housing. The aim of the Core Strategy must be to maximise brown field opportunities and if green field development cannot be avoided, it must be as close as possible to established settlements in areas of low landscape attractiveness – in other words in areas that have already been spoilt by earlier development. We are strongly opposed to any new settlement option for the 8000 homes required now. We are extremely disappointed to see option 18a, the Lavender New Settlement at Isfield. It is made quite clear that this would be dependant on the restoration of the rail link and could provide the funding needed to restore the line. Whilst we consider that it is most unlikely that Wealden would include this option in the Core Strategy, we are seriously concerned that its inclusion is a pointer for what could happen in the future, if, as expected, the number of homes to be built in Wealden is drastically increased by the government. Isfield, even with a restored rail link, is not the right place for these homes. An 8200 settlement would be the size of Uckfield and would virtually merge with it – especially if option 14h was accepted as part of the Core Strategy. New Towns have virtually always been unsuccessful. Even today, long after their establishment, the centres trade very badly in comparison with established towns and the residential areas are bland with very few local services. Whilst the restored rail link is being touted as ‘a green option’ the new homes along the line seem unlikely to be occupied by local people, most of who work in areas not served easily by the rail line. More long distance commuting is not what Wealden needs. We would hope that the expanded population of existing towns is matched by expansion of local employment opportunities. A settlement of over 6000 homes, such as suggested for Isfield (18a) and Berwick (18j) could at least be expected to have major new infrastructure. Smaller settlements such as those proposed along the A22 at Halland (18b) and Lower Dicker (18c) would have none. There are increasing numbers of accidents along the A22 and such settlements would massively add to the risks. The Consultation Document asks for views on building a new ‘parkway’ station in the Polegate area and on the priority that should be given to restoration of the Uckfield-Lewes rail link. Given all the other constraints that exist in Wealden, it is inevitable that a considerable number of the homes needed will be in the Hailsham/Polegate axis. We note that Eastbourne is a major employment generator for many residents of Wealden. We therefore reluctantly support the majority of options put forward for the Hailsham and Polegate areas, with the notable exceptions of option 15f, area west of Hailsham bypass, and options 16b and 16a, also areas west of the A22. We therefore feel that the building of Polegate Parkway station should be a priority. We do not support the restoration of the Uckfield /Lewes rail link. The subsidy of ‘major housing development alongside’ is too high a price to pay, especially as much of the line runs through the AONB. Finally on affordable housing we commend Wealden for already having targets above most other neighbouring authorities. We agree that 40% of all developments of 10 houses or more should
be affordable and that there should be a 50% requirement in smaller settlements for sites of two dwellings or more. We add that there is a need for affordable homes of three, or even four bedrooms. Too many of the affordable homes built today are single bed roomed flats.

Consultee ID: 106606
Mrs Taylor
Part of document: □ document  ✓ chapter  □ section  □ option
Part 5
Section of Document: Wealden’s Places
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Scale and type of development out of keeping in rural setting. Ancient woodland and a unique wildlife habitat would be lost to future generations. Infrastructure is inadequate and in the light of global warming concerns green spaces between settlements are a high priority as well as helping to drain excess water away. Uckfield is a relatively small town and this would further exacerbate road congestion problems and damage the amenities of local residents. Access on to roundabout on A26 unsuitable.

Consultee ID: 106606
Mrs Taylor
Part of document: □ document  ✓ chapter  □ section  □ option
Part 5
Section of Document: Wealden’s Places
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
14 B Area around Ringles Cross Road links are poor. Rocks Road from Piltdown through Shortbridge is used by golfers, fishermen, people crossing to the pub, deer crossing the road, cyclists and walkers and is narrow with dangerous bends. The junction with Snatts Road opposite Buckwood Grange is already extremely dangerous. Snatts Road is a narrow winding road with parking on either side already used as a rat run and turning right out into London Road can be extremely dangerous with fast approaching traffic from Budlets roundabout and low visibility. Housing development is unsuitable in this location with poor road links and would mean the loss of more green space and woodland. It would generate more noise and light pollution and put the current infrastructure in the town under too much pressure. There are currently no NHS dentists I am aware of and plans are in the pipeline to downgrade the Princess Royal Hospital in Haywards Heath with the loss of accident and emergency and maternity services. Uckfield is a relatively small town and this would cause worse problems of congestion and damage the amenities of local residents.

Consultee ID: 106607
Mr Adams
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106607</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 2a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106607</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 2b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106607</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106607</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Mr Adams 106607

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ✅ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams 106607

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ✅ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams 106607

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✅ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams 106607

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✅ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Adams  
106607  
Part of document: Option 4c  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment: 
Small scale development should be considered within existing developments.

Consultee ID: Mr Adams  
106607  
Part of document: Option 4d  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Adams  
106607  
Part of document: Question 4e  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Adams  
106607  
Part of document: Option 5a  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:
Option 5b

Reason for comment:
Areas within bounderies should be used for small scale developments.

Option 6a

Reason for comment:

Option 6b

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Adams
106607
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
106607
Part of document: ☑ option
Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
Small scale development within existing towns.

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
106607
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
106607
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 7c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 7d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 11a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 11b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Adams

Part of document:    ☑ option
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams

Part of document:    ☑ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams

Part of document:    ☑ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Adams  Agent ID: 106607
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 11g
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams  Agent ID: 106607
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 11h
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  ✓ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Crawley/north of Horam.

Consultee ID: Mr Adams  Agent ID: 106607
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 12a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams  Agent ID: 106607
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 12b
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Mr Adams
106607

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
106607

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
106607

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
106607

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 12h</th>
<th>Consultee ID: 106607 Mr Adams</th>
<th>Option 13a</th>
<th>Consultee ID: 106607 Mr Adams</th>
<th>Option 14h</th>
<th>Consultee ID: 106607 Mr Adams</th>
<th>Option 14a</th>
<th>Consultee ID: 106607 Mr Adams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106607 Mr Adams

Part of document: Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106607 Mr Adams

Part of document: Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106607 Mr Adams

Part of document: Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106607 Mr Adams

Part of document: Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Adams

Part of document: Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams

Part of document: Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams

Part of document: Question 14j

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 15a

Consultee ID: 106607
Mr Adams

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 15b

Consultee ID: 106607
Mr Adams

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 15c

Consultee ID: 106607
Mr Adams

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Section of Document:

☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 15d

Consultee ID: 106607
Mr Adams

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Section of Document:

☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Adams
Part of document:  ☒ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
Part of document:  ☒ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment: The boundary between Hailsham and Polegate should remain.

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
Part of document:  ☒ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:
### Part of document: Question 15h
- **Option 16a**
- **Option 16b**
- **Option 16c**

### Section of Document:
- **Your View**
  - [ ] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [x] Disagree

### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Adams</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 17a</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td>(document)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(chapter)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(section)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(option)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 17b</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td>(document)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(chapter)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(section)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(option)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 17c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td>(document)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(chapter)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(section)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(option)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Adams
Agent ID: 106607

Part of document:  
- [☐] document  
- [☐] chapter  
- [☐] section  
- [☑] option

Section of Document:

Option 18a

Your View  
- [☐] Agree  
- [☐] No opinion  
- [☑] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
Agent ID: 106607

Part of document:  
- [☐] document  
- [☐] chapter  
- [☐] section  
- [☑] option

Section of Document:

Option 18b

Your View  
- [☐] Agree  
- [☐] No opinion  
- [☑] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
Agent ID: 106607

Part of document:  
- [☐] document  
- [☐] chapter  
- [☐] section  
- [☑] option

Section of Document:

Option 18c

Your View  
- [☐] Agree  
- [☐] No opinion  
- [☑] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
Agent ID: 106607

Part of document:  
- [☐] document  
- [☐] chapter  
- [☐] section  
- [☑] option

Section of Document:

Option 18d

Your View  
- [☐] Agree  
- [☐] No opinion  
- [☑] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consilee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consilee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18f**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consilee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18g**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consilee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18h**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Question 18k</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option  
**Section of Document:** Option 19b  
**Your View**  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option  
**Section of Document:** Option 19c  
**Your View**  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option  
**Section of Document:** Option 19d  
**Your View**  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option  
**Section of Document:** Option 19e  
**Your View**  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

---

Page 2738 of 6217
Consultee ID: Mr Adams  
106607

Part of document:  
- Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams  
106607

Part of document:  
- Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams  
106607

Part of document:  
- Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams  
106607

Part of document:  
- Question 20d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- No opinion

Reason for comment:

Villages are big enough.
Consultee ID: Mr Adams

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Adams 106607

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 21e

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Adams 106607

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 21f

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Adams 106607

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 22a

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Adams 106607

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 22b

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment: 
Consultee ID: Mr Adams
Consultee ID: Mr Adams
Consultee ID: Mr Adams
Consultee ID: Mr Adams
Part of document: Option 22c
Part of document: Option 22d
Part of document: Option 23a
Part of document: Option 23b
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Your View
Your View
Your View
Your View
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID: 06607</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 23d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID: 06607</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID: 06607</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 23e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID: 06607</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 24b

Section of Document:
Your View  

Reason for comment:

Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View  

Reason for comment:

Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View  

Reason for comment:

Option 25a

Section of Document:
Your View  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106607  Mr Adams

Part of document:  ☑️ option
Option 25b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑️ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106607  Mr Adams

Part of document:  ☑️ option
Option 25c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑️ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106607  Mr Adams

Part of document:  ☑️ option
Option 25e
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑️ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106607  Mr Adams

Part of document:  ☑️ option
Option 25f
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑️ Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 25g

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 26a

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 26b

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 27a

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106607  Mr Adams

Part of document:  Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106607  Mr Adams

Part of document:  Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106607  Mr Adams

Part of document:  Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106607  Mr Adams

Part of document:  Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Adams
106607

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
106607

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
106607

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Adams
106607

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

- Option 28c

**Your View**

- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

- Question Twenty Nine

**Your View**

- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

- Housing Delivery

**Your View**

- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

- Question Thirty

**Your View**

- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

- Infrastructure Requirements

**Your View**

- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Adams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

- Question 32a

**Your View**

- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Mr Adams

Question 32b

Reason for comment:
Small scale developments and all other options should be considered before large scale developments start. It is in the interest of the developers to build as many dwellings as they can to increase their profits. Their interests should be of the least importance. The housing should reflect the area. High occupancy flats should not be built next to bungalows.

Mr Kemp

Option 16a

Reason for comment:
No more Housing in this area

Option 16b

Reason for comment:
No more Housing in this area

Option 16c

Reason for comment:
No more Housing in this area
Consultee ID: Mr Kemp
106608

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No more Housing in this area

Consultee ID: Mr Kemp
106608

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No more Housing in this area

Consultee ID: Mr Kemp
106608

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No more Housing in this area

Consultee ID: Mr Kemp
106608

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
| Consultee ID: | Mr Kemp | Agent ID: | 106608 | |
| Part of document: | □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option |
| Option 16g | |

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
No more Housing in this area

| Consultee ID: | Mr Kemp | Agent ID: | 106608 | |
| Part of document: | □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option |
| Question 16h | |

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
I do not believe the infrastructure i.e. transport, water, schools, roads is at all adequate to support extra housing in Polegate, Willingdon & Stone Cross. Also I don't believe we should be taking away the beautiful countryside we have around us. Once it is gone there is no going back and as keen ramblers we are definitely against any further development.

| Consultee ID: | Mr Kemp | Agent ID: | 106608 | |
| Part of document: | □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option |
| Option 17a | |

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
No more Housing in this area

| Consultee ID: | Mr Kemp | Agent ID: | 106608 | |
| Part of document: | □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option |
| Option 17b | |

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
No more Housing in this area
Consultee ID: Mr Kemp
106608

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree
No opinion

Reason for comment:
No more Housing in this area

Consultee ID: Mr Kemp
106608

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 17d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree
No opinion

Reason for comment:
I do not believe the infrastructure i.e. transport, water, schools, roads is at all adequate to support extra housing in Polegate, Willingdon & Stone Cross. Also I don't believe we should be taking away the beautiful countryside we have around us. Once it is gone there is no going back and as keen ramblers we are definitely against any further development.

Consultee ID: Mr Kemp
106608

Part of document: ☑ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View  ☑ Disagree
No opinion

Reason for comment:
No more Housing in this area
Part 10

Section of Document: Details of How to Comment

Reason for comment:
9th August 2007 Wealden District Council Vicarage Lane Hailsham BN27 2AX (submitted through www.wealden.gov.uk) Dear Sir or Madam: Core Strategy Consultation I write with regard to the above document on which you are currently consulting. I am a resident of Isfield and consider the proposal for a new settlement of between 5300 and 8200 new homes in this area to be hugely misguided. If it were to go ahead as things stand not only would it represent a massive over development of an area lacking in basic infrastructure but it would also destroy the character of one of the villages which this part of England has for many years fought to maintain. The principle of development of large numbers of affordable homes in the South East is a sound one and one which all local authorities will have to embrace whether they agree or not due to the directives from central government. However one of the key points made by the minister was that we should learn from the mistakes of developments in 1960s such as poorly thought out and designed new towns. Wealden is fortunate to have areas of outstanding natural beauty to the north and south of the district but this should not lead to the remainder being regarded as developable land. There are important views to and from the Southdowns for example that any development must be sensitive to. All good development involves a contextual analysis of its site and location and responds accordingly. This principle of good design is at the heart of national planning policy and is wholeheartedly supported by the likes of the RIBA and CABE. The principle of a new settlement at Isfield is fundamentally flawed in that its immediate response to context is completely brutalist – without even considering the aesthetics or urban planning issues it would require the complete destruction of the existing village as we know it – and this is completely at odds with the largely rural character of Wealden outside its major population centres. The requirements of a new, good quality new town with a healthy and sustainable centre, appropriate infrastructure and suitable amenities would all have to be sited and constructed from scratch around the existing village effectively destroying it. Isfield is a farming village and a ribbon development winding along the route of the road to Uckfield, it has no clearly defined village centre that would make a suitable core to build up the base of a new town, and is served only by a pub and a part time post office. New development should augment and respect the character of the area into which it is to sit. It is clear from looking at the post war new town developments such as Milton Keynes, East Kilbride and Glenrothes that huge errors in urban design were permitted on the basis that large numbers of homes were needed very quickly. Contemporary dogma meant these large numbers of homes were designed around the car with soul-less shopping malls and offices that lie dark and empty in the evenings at their centres and suburban sprawl beyond. They lack vibrancy and community often lead to alienation and the resultant social issues this raises. One might argue that lessons have been learnt from this first wave of new towns and that they are on a much larger scale than those proposed for the new settlement at Isfield. However a more recent example of a new town development of a similar scale and completed in the late 1990’s illustrates that lessons have not been learned. Prince Charles’ Poundbury in Oxfordshire is a soul-less, dogmatic faux ‘town’ more akin to acres of suburban sprawl than to a community focused, well planned and serviced town with a vibrant heart. Poundbury has more in common with the gated communities or retirement villages found throughout the United States of America than what we regard as a town. The proximity of Uckfield to Isfield also makes the creation of a new settlement in this location inappropriate and unsustainable. Isfield and Uckfield’s competing commercial centres would mean neither would have completely satisfactory facilities and amenities and slowly the two would be absorbed into one another. One of the key issues that accompanies the desperate need for good quality new housing stock in the South East is desperate need for the infrastructure to support it. In the South East we have the busiest roads and railways in the country. The A26 is unable to cope with the volume of traffic to which it is
subjected to daily, the railway that runs from Brighton to London is at saturation point and railways that run from other large population centres along the south coast are slow and out dated and all aimed at travel to London rather than travel through out the region. It is clear that despite government efforts people have chosen the individual freedom of their cars over the railways and buses. But the roads remain woefully inadequate. The railways only seem to serve larger towns and cities with no meaningful discussion over the reinstatement of the large network of former lines that served more rural communities. In Isfield, despite campaigning for the reinstatement of the Lewes to Uckfield line for many decades, the vast majority of village residents are now against it. The most recent Parish Council meeting where the announcement of a feasibility study into the Lewes to Uckfield line by Network Rail was announced was witness to this. This is almost entirely attributable to the identification of Isfield in the draft Core Strategy document as being suitable for a large new settlement (subject to the reinstatement of the Lewes to Uckfield railway line). This is unfortunate as the railway would serve the village and its population well in its current state – especially as the bus service is being cut from two buses and hour to one an hour in September. It seems that country wide infrastructure comes to rural communities when their land is required and not when local community needs require it. In line with proposals elsewhere in the draft Core Strategy the interests of Wealden district would be best served in targeting development proportional to each town or village in the district and targeting investment in regeneration and master planning of the larger town centres in the region. By doing this sustainable growth can be managed, existing centres improved and importantly the rural character of Wealden can be maintained. This is essential to safeguard the character of the district whilst looking to the future. Yours faithfully Olli Blair RIBA cc. Colin Webb – Chairman, Isfield Parish Council Charles Hendry – MP for Wealden The Editor, Sussex Express

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ferguson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ferguson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106610</td>
<td>White Young Green Planning</td>
<td>102485</td>
<td>White Young Green Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>How to Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 3a: Agree Land which has been allocated for employment use for some time but has remained undeveloped should be considered for housing, mixed use or other alternative development. By retaining allocations which are undesirable to either local businesses and/or fail to attract investment from outside of the district/county, sites are effectively redundant. Such sites could provide a valuable source for new housing land and, in accordance with PPS3 (2007) guidance, could be used more efficiently. The Local Authority should analyse this policy approach further because of the district’s ‘limited legacy’ (paragraph 1.3.14) of brownfield land.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reason for comment:
Question 2: Disagree New developments are continually becoming more energy efficient with the advent of BREEAM/EcoHomes standards, the Code for Sustainable Homes, and tighter Building Regulations. A development which proposes a renewable energy scheme is an improvement to existing building practises, however, a policy stipulating a blanket 10% or 20% renewable energy target for all new development may not appropriate given that the viable use of such technologies can be very site specific in both their practical application and financial viability. A more general policy should be adopted which encourages developers to analyse their sites in this context and to demonstrate whether or not a scheme can accommodate any renewable energy technologies.

Reason for comment:
Question One
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
Some development should be allowed in the High Weald AONB, certainly in the larger towns. It is important not to rule out settlements which could be made more sustainable by reducing the need for residents to rely on other towns for services and employment. Some planned development for towns and villages within the AONB will also help to address the affordability of housing, which is an issue unlikely to be sufficiently tackled through piecemeal windfall sites. Development should, however, be commensurate with the size of settlement and the availability of appropriate sites to accommodate new development. For instance, in smaller villages, any housing allocations should comprise a sufficient number of dwellings so as to maintain existing local services and shops and to meet rural housing needs.
Reason for comment:

New developments are continually becoming more energy efficient with the advent of BREEAM/EcoHomes standards, the Code for Sustainable Homes, and tighter Building Regulations. A development which proposes a renewable energy scheme is an improvement to existing building practises, however, a policy stipulating a blanket 10% or 20% renewable energy target for all new development may not appropriate given that the viable use of such technologies can be very site specific in both their practical application and financial viability. A more general policy should be adopted which encourages developers to analyse their sites in this context and to demonstrate whether or not a scheme can accommodate any renewable energy technologies.

Reason for comment:

New developments are continually becoming more energy efficient with the advent of BREEAM/EcoHomes standards, the Code for Sustainable Homes, and tighter Building Regulations. A development which proposes a renewable energy scheme is an improvement to existing building practises, however, a policy stipulating a blanket 10% or 20% renewable energy target for all new development may not appropriate given that the viable use of such technologies can be very site specific in both their practical application and financial viability. A more general policy should be adopted which encourages developers to analyse their sites in this context and to demonstrate whether or not a scheme can accommodate any renewable energy technologies.
Option 3a

Reason for comment:
Land which has been allocated for employment use for some time but has remained undeveloped should be considered for housing, mixed use or other alternative development. By retaining allocations which are undesirable to either local businesses and/or fail to attract investment from outside of the district/county, sites are effectively redundant. Such sites could provide a valuable source for new housing land and, in accordance with PPS3 (2007) guidance, could be used more efficiently. The Local Authority should analyse this policy approach further because of the district’s ‘limited legacy’ (paragraph 1.3.14) of brownfield land.

Option 3b

Reason for comment:
Adopted Local Plan Policy already seeks to protect existing employment premises where there is evidence of need, which is likely to be continued into the DPD. If there is a genuine market need for certain premises, then they would be safeguarded, however, some sites may not be attractive to businesses for various reasons and, as such, the Local Authority is recommended to adopt a flexible approach when analysing evidence on the viability of employment premises/sites and efforts made to attract new occupiers/investors over a period of time. Agree to this option only on the basis that there is a clear market requirement for the type of premises identified, which is realistic and viable. Too often, sites that would be better re-developed for non employment uses are retained and either remain vacant or do not attract investment.

Option 3d

Reason for comment:
New housing development should be planned alongside proposals for new employment development. The Issues & Options Consultation Paper acknowledges that a key challenge and priority of the district is stimulating economic regeneration and growth, such as in the South Coast policy Area (the Hailsham/Polegate-Eastbourne Triangle), and, if this is to be realised, new housing needs to be planned which is commensurate with the level of employment development proposed.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ferguson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ferguson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106610</td>
<td>White Young Green Planning</td>
<td>102485</td>
<td>White Young Green Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Option 4a

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Given the scale of growth envisaged in the South East Plan (which in any event is considered by most to have significantly underestimated the amount of housing required in the region) it is likely that all of the locations identified in the Issues & Options Consultation Paper will be required if appropriate levels of employment and housing are to be identified in the Core Strategy.

### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ferguson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ferguson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106610</td>
<td>White Young Green Planning</td>
<td>102485</td>
<td>White Young Green Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Option 4b

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Given the scale of growth envisaged in the South East Plan (which in any event is considered by most to have significantly underestimated the amount of housing required in the region) it is likely that all of the locations identified in the Issues & Options Consultation Paper will be required if appropriate levels of employment and housing are to be identified in the Core Strategy.

### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ferguson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ferguson</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106610</td>
<td>White Young Green Planning</td>
<td>102485</td>
<td>White Young Green Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Option 4c

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Given the scale of growth envisaged in the South East Plan (which in any event is considered by most to have significantly underestimated the amount of housing required in the region) it is likely that all of the locations identified in the Issues & Options Consultation Paper will be required if appropriate levels of employment and housing are to be identified in the Core Strategy.
Consultee ID: Mr Ferguson
White Young Green Planning

Agent ID: Mr Ferguson
106610
White Young Green Planning

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Agree that the vast majority of new development will need to be focussed in/around major settlements. This approach would accord with national planning policy guidance regarding sustainable development principles and would help to realise some of the districts regeneration objectives and needed infrastructure improvements. Areas of focus should be the around Hailsham/Polegate-Eastbourne Triangle and Uckfield. Obviously, it is not possible at this stage to define what level of development will be appropriate until the sites available to meet future growth have been more thoroughly examined.

Consultee ID: Mr Ferguson
White Young Green Planning

Agent ID: Mr Ferguson
106610
White Young Green Planning

Part of document: Question 6d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Agree that the vast majority of new development will need to be focussed in/around major settlements. This approach would accord with national planning policy guidance regarding sustainable development principles and would help to realise some of the districts regeneration objectives and needed infrastructure improvements. Areas of focus should be the around Hailsham/Polegate-Eastbourne Triangle and Uckfield. Obviously, it is not possible at this stage to define what level of development will be appropriate until the sites available to meet future growth have been more thoroughly examined.

Consultee ID: Mr Ferguson
White Young Green Planning

Agent ID: Mr Ferguson
106610
White Young Green Planning

Part of document: Option 4d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Given the scale of growth envisaged in the South East Plan (which in any event is considered by most to have significantly underestimated the amount of housing required in the region) it is likely that all of the locations identified in question 4 of the Issues & Options Consultation Paper will be required if appropriate levels of employment and housing are to be identified in the Core Strategy.
Comments on question 9: Agree that developing each of these towns further would be appropriate, given our response to question 1 and that development on their peripheries is the likely option depending on the level of growth. As to the distribution of development, Uckfield is a sustainable settlement within the district and its location outside of the High Weald AONB means the landscape impact of new development around the town is likely to be less. Expansion of Crowborough and Heathfield will require careful site selection paying a particular focus to landscape impact on the High Weald AONB.

Settlement Policy Boundaries (SPBs) are the correct means for establishing the principle of development and should be maintained. The Local Authority must look to expand SPBs as part of a strategy to identify sufficient sites in appropriate locations to meet the PPS3(2007) requirement to identify a five year housing supply. It will not be possible for urban capacity and windfall sites to contribute to the necessary identified supply, in which case it is important for Local Authorities to plan housing growth more proactively particularly in predominantly rural districts like Wealden. Where necessary, boundaries should be expanded to accommodate areas of planned growth appropriate to the size of settlement (see comments on question 1).

Notwithstanding comments on question 5a, Wealden is a district comprising scattered development where there are many rural settlements which do not have SPBs, but where appropriate infill development can help to sustain social networks and services in rural areas in accordance with guidance contained in paragraph 38 of PPS3 (2007). Therefore, local planning policy should allow for some infill development within well defined villages and hamlets without SPBs through a criteria based approach. This accords with the advice of paragraph 38 of PPS3 (2007).
Option 6b

Reason for comment:
Agree that the vast majority of new development will need to be focussed in/around major settlements. This approach would accord with national planning policy guidance regarding sustainable development principles and would help to realise some of the districts regeneration objectives and needed infrastructure improvements. Areas of focus should be the around Hailsham/Polegate-Eastbourne Triangle and Uckfield. Obviously, it is not possible at this stage to define what level of development will be appropriate until the sites available to meet future growth have been more thoroughly examined.

Option 6c

Reason for comment:
Agree that the vast majority of new development will need to be focussed in/around major settlements. This approach would accord with national planning policy guidance regarding sustainable development principles and would help to realise some of the districts regeneration objectives and needed infrastructure improvements. Areas of focus should be the around Hailsham/Polegate-Eastbourne Triangle and Uckfield. Obviously, it is not possible at this stage to define what level of development will be appropriate until the sites available to meet future growth have been more thoroughly examined.

Option 8a

Reason for comment:
Comments on question 16: As with Hailsham, Polegate will be an appropriate focus for growth given the employment aspirations of the ‘Triangle’ initiative and the sheer scale of housing and employment development that will be required.

Comments on question 15: We agree that Hailsham should be a major focus for development. It is recommended, however, that the Local Authority does not lose sight of smaller sites which can make a significant contribution to supply regardless of the eventual location(s) selected for major development. These will include small areas which could be taken into the SPB and identified for housing/mixed use development without giving rise to the strategic infrastructure considerations which go with large scale growth options. In the short/medium term, such sites can make an important contribution to ongoing housing land supply before supply from major development areas is delivered. An example of such a site is land south of Station Road, east of the existing industrial estate and north of New Barn Farm, Hailsham. These comments are particularly relevant given the draft South East Plan requirement for any shortfall in Structure Plan housing requirements to be carried forward into future housing targets.

PPS3 (2007) requires Local Authorities to identify a five year supply of housing on sites. Clearly, in the interests of certainty, the accepted split between large (10+ dwellings) and small sites (1-9 dwellings) is an appropriate starting point and the identification of housing sites of 10 units or more will provide certainty in terms of demonstrating a five year housing land supply.
Reason for comment:

Question 1: Agree Some development should be allowed in the High Weald AONB, certainly in the larger towns. It is important not to rule out settlements which could be made more sustainable by reducing the need for residents to rely on other towns for services and employment. Some planned development for towns and villages within the AONB will also help to address the affordability of housing, which is an issue unlikely to be sufficiently tackled through piecemeal windfall sites. Development should, however, be commensurate with the size of settlement and the availability of appropriate sites to accommodate new development. For instance, in smaller villages, any housing allocations should comprise a sufficient number of dwellings so as to maintain existing local services and shops and to meet rural housing needs.

Your View

Reason for comment:

I am only concur with what has already been said. Perhaps more to the point - why is no-one questioning the perceived need for so much additional housing in the South East? This 'need' would appear to have metamorphosed into a central government directive. Presumably the relevant local authorities are having some say. There can be very few residents of the South East who agree with this perception. With regard to Isfield - if a development of this magnitude were to proceed it would signal the virtual destruction of much of the rural environment of Sussex, paving the way for other such developments. People want to live in villages for a reason - they do not want their villages turned into towns.

Reason for comment:

Already heavy traffic during school pick up & drop off times. No opportunity to widen roads, as such the heavier traffic would be even more dangerous than it is currently. No pavements on Sandy Cross Lane. If given the go ahead this would possibly give a green light to further development into AONB & would set a precedent. Would irrevocably change the character of Sandy Cross Lane.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Sutton
106616

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Sutton
106616

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Sutton
106616

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Sutton
106616

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:

If small developments of 1 or 2 houses were allowed in each village in the Wealden area there would be no need for new town developments. The infrastructure required for the proposed new town would be devastating for the area and would increase taxes and rates throughout. Roads, schools, hospitals and doctors; I am sure all of this will not be paid out of private developers profits. It is a knee jerk, badly proposed and unthoughtout proposal. The numbers mentioned I'm sure are scare mongering in the extreme in the hope that when they are reduced to the numbers truly required there will be less objections from residents. This is normal local and central government thinking.

Question 18k

Reason for comment:

If small developments of 1 or 2 houses were allowed in each village in the Wealden area there would be no need for new town developments. The infrastructure required for the proposed new town would be devastating for the area and would increase taxes and rates throughout. Roads, schools, hospitals and doctors; I am sure all of this will not be paid out of private developers profits. It is a knee jerk, badly proposed and unthoughtout proposal. The numbers mentioned I'm sure are scare mongering in the extreme in the hope that when they are reduced to the numbers truly required there will be less objections from residents. This is normal local and central government thinking.
Consultee ID: Dr Horne
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12a
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Horne
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12a
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Horne
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12b
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Principle is sound, but need to have regard to local employment facilities

Consultee ID: Dr Horne
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Most of area within AONB Potential coalescence of development along Burwash Road -Potential visual impact if development North of Burwash Road encroached below the existing ridge line Enough said!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Dr Horne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106618</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 12d**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Scale of the development too large for the visual environment and infrastructure to cope with.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Dr Horne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106618</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 12e**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Its scarcely credible that this has even been considered. - Its AONB - The immediate road and drainage infrastructure is barely sufficient as it is; let alone the broader Heathfield education and primary healthcare facilities - It breaches the draft Spatial Vision in the Core Strategy document about protecting and preserving the local environment. A daft idea - drop it Wealden!

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Dr Horne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106618</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 12f**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
While it may have been in a planning document from years ago, this is a major development close to or within the AONB. Pursuing this is contrary to Wealden's own spatial vision
Question 12h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Agree for the most part but it is important to promote a thriving market town environment. Other parts of the country have made more progress on this in towns of a similar size than Wealden seems to have done. I am unconvinced that the last two bullet points are appropriate for a town like Heathfield.

Question 31a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
While these are fine words, they imply that there will be singing and dancing in the streets of Wealden - when the reality we face is a despoiled environment with insufficient infrastructure to support these expansion plans. And just what does "Growth will be focused where it will be accessible, most sustainable and most benefits the objectives set for that place" actually mean in practice?

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106619
Mr & Mrs Holmewood

Part of document: Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Our attractive, historical, rural community would be totally destroyed by this enormous proposed settlement. Isfield would cease to exist and will be engulfed in a continuous development from Uckfield southwards.

Consultee ID: 106619
Mr & Mrs Holmewood

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
We notice that part of the key to the acceptability of the above proposed development, is the potential to raise funds towards the restoration of the Wealden line link between Uckfield and Lewes by developing the land in and around Isfield as mentioned on page 18 of the Summary guide to the Core Strategy Issues.
The Issues and Options paper highlights the limitation of settlement boundaries in providing for future development requirements in the district. This concern is underlined by consultations, referred to in the paper, that found that some development boundaries ‘were drawn too tightly and are preventing small scale housing from being built’. Acute problems of housing affordability, through lack of supply, in Wealden’s rural areas provides an indicator of the effect these restrictive policies can have. The paper outlines the requirement for ‘8,000 new homes between 2006 – 2026’ in the district and expresses concerns about the limited growth areas for new development. Limited growth areas coupled with a lack of brownfield sites leads to the conclusion that some greenfield sites will have to be developed in order to help meet the economic and housing needs of the district. This is not to suggest a free for all and the urbanisation of the countryside should occur. Appropriate policy can enable suitable development through strict criteria and help ensure the spatial vision objectives of delivering housing, employment and protecting key environmental assets. Figure 12 in the paper provides suggestions for village growth potential and identifies infill schemes within development boundaries and allocated sites for affordable housing on the edge of villages without development boundaries. This does not seem to indicate much flexibility for appropriate small scale development outside of development boundaries. However, the paper does identify that smaller sites might not be allocated on the proposals map but could be considered through criteria based policies. Criteria based policy can and needs to allow for infill development on greenfield sites to provide much needed small scale sites in rural areas. This will help to provide for housing and employment provisions on a small scale in rural areas with a minimal impact due to surrounding development. We therefore suggest the following draft policy for inclusion in the Preferred Options Core Strategy: “Proposals for in-fill development outside of settlement boundaries will be permitted where the following are met: - The scale, form, design, materials and layout of any new development is appropriate to and complements the character of the existing residential area; - Particular care is taken to siting and design within AONBs; - The development is of a density appropriate to character of the existing residential area.” I would be grateful if the above could be taken into consideration when preparing the Preferred Options Core Strategy.
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Consultee ID: Youngson Parker Dann
106620 102486
Agent ID: Mr Youngson Parker Dann

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This land is a green field site on farm land within the High Weald Area Of Outstanding Natural Beauty and is located at a considerable distance from the existing built up area of Heathfield and the Town Centre. The development of this site for up to 90 dwellings would greatly increase the amount of traffic on the B2096 which at peak times during the school term, is already heavily congested with traffic associated with the Community College, as well as other traffic. In addition, the development of this site would be at odds with the Government's objectives for sustainable development, as set out in PPS1, PPS3, PPS7, and PPG13. It would also contradict existing Development Plan policies where they seek to protect the countryside from harmful forms of development. The site is also located directly opposite Heathfield Park and within a very rural area. In addition, without major expansion, the Community College simply could not cope with the additional demands that would be placed upon its existing resources. There is an overwhelming case therefore for not considering this land for residential development.

Consultee ID: Ms Dobell Resident
106621
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There should be no weakening of the AONB planning standards. There is provision for some development within the standards, so it isn't as if the area is set in aspic already.

Consultee ID: Ms Dobell Resident
106621
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
At least 10%, & in the high end, more expensive and larger houses why not make it more. Greywater systems as well, to minimise the use of drinking standard water when washing the windows / flushing the loo.
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This plan is set out over a long timescale - why not set a higher standard for a few years time.

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
But bear in mind that once the new houses go up land which wasn't wanted for employment purposes may suddenly become desirable for that usage.

Option 3b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
If better use could be made of business sites - e.g. going from single to 2 storey then why not.

Option 3d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The statement makes it sound as if people will be encouraged into the area by both new homes and new employment opportunities. The essential trick is to make the area suitable for the people who already live and work here; those who live here, work elsewhere & would like to move their business closer to home; those who work here & are commuting in because they can't find suitable (affordable) housing here.
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Consultee ID: Ms Dobell
106621 Resident

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 4e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Polegate is already part of the urban sprawl from Eastbourne. What planned developments does Eastbourne have, particularly on the boarder with Wealden? What open space will remain, if all the proposed buildings are built?

Consultee ID: Ms Dobell
106621 Resident

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
What is the point in having boundaries if you don't stick to them? Also, the fig. used as illustration shows a small built up area surrounded by other buildings. Am I missing something here? Without the boundary being shown on the map I would not have been able to define the 'limits' of the settlement.

Consultee ID: Ms Dobell
106621 Resident

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Small scale REdevelopment yes.

Consultee ID: Ms Dobell
106621 Resident

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I think ribbon development is worse than small pockets of development.
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Consultee ID:  Ms Dobell  
Agent ID:  
106621  Resident

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question 7e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I have lived in Polegate & Eastbourne for the last decade, but without a car I know very little about many of the villages & towns mentioned. It is difficult to reach many of the areas by public transport, and can be very expensive when you try. If further development takes place in any or all of them, without some cohesive public transport plan, there will be a great many more cars on the roads. Since I have been here, 3 small post offices which I have used have been closed, along with one of the large post offices in Eastbourne. If you are using the existence of Post Offices as part of the village criteria, what guarantee do you have that they will remain open over the duration of the plan, & into the future? How will you ensure that any new developments, or enlargements of smaller hamlets into villages, gain a Post Office?

Consultee ID:  Ms Dobell  
Agent ID:  
106621  Resident

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question Ten

Section of Document:  Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
Surely you have posed the question the wrong way round. Up to 30 houses tell us the extent of development, but 30 PLUS means that it could be any size.

Consultee ID:  Ms Dobell  
Agent ID:  
106621  Resident

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question 15g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
If I cycle along the Cuckoo trail (north from Polegate) the worst bit is going through Hailsham - traffic on one small section; going through housing estates; the trail in places looking more like an alleyway than a rural cycleway. The Cuckoo trail is a commuting route for people, as well as a leisure route, and a peak times it can get congested. Easy access onto it is good, but if more people use it then there could be problems. Also expansion of Hailsham bringing it into close contact with the expansion of Polegate leads the Eastbourne conurbation growing ever bigger.
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Consultee ID: Ms Dobell  
106621  Resident

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 16g

Reason for comment:
The big issue is carparking space - the station serves not just residents of Polegate, but also lots of people from the wider area. There isn't space at present, isn't a short-stay section for people dropping off / picking up people from the train, and there is a shortage of spaces on the street for shoppers. More houses means more cars.

Consultee ID: Ms Dobell  
106621  Resident

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 16j

Reason for comment:
As a Polegate resident I like the quiet nature of the place - until the bypass was built we could sit in the garden & not hear any human activity - despite living on a housing estate. Now we have the continuous drone of the vehicles, & any building in the area will make that worse. The groups of children who congregate in the town centre are generally easy going, & the groups are small by virtue of the size of the population. We have almost instant access to the countryside, and foxes / hedgehogs / bats / slow-worms all come into the garden. Enlarging Polegate will turn it from a very small town - more a village suburb of Eastbourne - into something that could have all the problems of an urban area. Modern developments cram as many people in as possible without using highrisers, but the problem with a highrise is the people not the architecture. You get the same problems on small estates with little or no parking, no verges or green spaces, no gaps between the houses, no gardens (or gardens so small that they are more like large window boxes). In practical terms they are close to the slums and terraces that used to dominate towns in the early 20th century - but the houses are small & yet so expensive that people on a halfway decent wage can't afford to buy. I'm sure a lot of people in all the picturesque villages will say the same: development bad, status quo good. I'm concerned that as Polegate isn't as pretty or touristy as many other places in Wealden it is already seen as a more attractive place to put more buildings. Given the way house prices seem to constantly rise, giving lucky householders end a windfall, but making the situation worse for those renting / looking to buy, is there any way that covenants could be used, to ensure that on resale any profit exceeding x% is returned to the council? Or a covenant to say that a 2 bed house has to remain a 2 bed house (so that attic / garage conversions & extensions which double the size of a house & thus price it out of the league of a first time buyer are more difficult to obtain planning permission for). Sky high prices are what is driving the need to build affordable homes.
Consultee ID: Ms Dobell
Agent ID:
106621 Resident

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 17d
Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Enlargement of Stone Cross is enlargement of the Eastbourne conurbation - where will Eastbourne end?

Consultee ID: Ms Dobell
Agent ID:
106621 Resident

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 18k
Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
one new settlement would relieve the need to drastically expand in other places, but would have an overwhelming impact on whichever location was chosen. The council would need to be certain that the infrastructure would be in place - schools / shops etc BEFORE the majority of the houses were built. The council would also have to ensure that the trains / buses were in place to serve the community - and services would remain in place even if they were not financially lucrative - particularly as it seems to be being sold to us as an ecotown. If you have one new town - even a small one - where are all the people going to work? There won't be many jobs in the new shop(s) / school, and you could end up with everyone departing the place first thing in the morning to drive to Eastbourne / Brighton / Lewes / London. Then you'll get complaints that there aren't any jobs local to the new settlement, as well as the transport infrastructure getting overcrowded at that point.

Consultee ID: Ms Dobell
Agent ID:
106621 Resident

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 19f
Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Any building in the north of the county could affect the flooding potential in the south - e.g. more tarmac means more surface runoff entering the drainage system immediately, rather than being able to soak into the ground & slowly filter through. This is true for all development, but you need to bear in mind that a development some miles away can still impact on people / the environment.
Part of document: Option 24d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
All locations where you are planning more than a handful of new properties need to have full public transport links

Reason for comment:
I have tried to answer the questions in as constructive manner as possible. I have had difficulty with some aspects of this consultation paper - it took a long time to set up so that I could answer questions, & then I have spent 3 hours 45 min in the library trying to answer things. You can only have a terminal for 1 hour at a time, & can't have 2 hours on the same day, so my answers have been quicker & had less thought as I have gone on. I am being timed out now.
Reason for comment:
Ensure that when the planning permissions are granted there are clauses so the council does not lose out - for instance if the housing developer agrees to built a Post Office / community centre but then says that their costs have increased & asks the council for funding. The council shouldn't be the one to fork out money if the builders can't meet the original spec. rather than having a fixed deadline you could state that any planning permission which hasn't been acted upon needs renewal each year (with this being an admin task rather than a resubmission of plans).

Reason for comment:
I agree with some points - generally some improvements are needed anyway, but others are a) only contingent upon developments & b) could add to the case for further developments (e.g. once a road is 'improved' more people may ask ofr housing to be built in a certain area). When looking at public transport you have featured the coastal route - Eastbourne through Polegate towards Lewes - & mentioned the reinstatement of the Uckfield / Lewes link, but there is little mention of the hinterland. It is difficult & expensive to visit more of the places mentioned in this plan.

Reason for comment:
I agree with the statements, but I don't think that this will reflect reality come 2026.
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Consultee ID: Ms Dobell
106621 Resident

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Have I missed the section on the importance of identifying potential windfall sites at the outset? If we knew about them know then they would count towards the governments targets, but if we don't then they will be additional developments, on top of everything already discussed. Have you advertised for people to contact you if they have land which they might want to sell for development? There may be a number of small plots around, & if you are serious about meeting the 400 houses per year, every opportunity to add a house here or there needs to be taken. It would be a terrible waste if, in 5 years time, someone asks for permission to develop a paddock or old yard.

Consultee ID: Ms Dobell
106621 Resident

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I agree with 1 but not 2 - I don't like the idea of having to build 40% of the new properties on greenfield sites. I agree with 3 & 4 but not 5 - I don't trust that the economics of the plan. I partly agree with 6, but I don't agree with second properties or large developments (e.g. static caravan parks, large hotels) I hope 7 means an improvement, not a change for the worse. I agree with 8 & 9. number 10 could be good or bad - depending on which retailers are involved. Generally speaking a small local retailer is better than a big one which employs lots of people at low wages, has no ties to the community, increases traffic flow from outlying areas. I don't know enough about no. 11 & 12 to know if I agree or if it is feasible. No. 13 - yes, open spaces are good, but we have lots of open space in Sussex. We will have less once all the developments are in place. Parks in towns are good, but being able to wander round a small forest or meadow are just as good. No. 14 is good, but how are you thinking of being carbon neutral - concrete, bricks & steel aren't the most carbon neutral things, and carbon off-set is just paying money to try & sweep the problem under the carpet.
Reason for comment:

1. Poor and limited employment opportunities in Wadhurst, therefore out-commuting increased. Roads, buses and trains already at capacity. Railway station is definitely not easily accessible to those without a car - a half hour walk from the centre of the village (and uphill on narrow, poorly lit pavement from station to village). ‘Rider’ bus service between Ticehurst and railway station is unreliable. Car park at railway station already full. Very poor bus service into Tunbridge Wells, run by ESCC, who have already cut one bus per day each way at busy work times. Road through village is congested and gets blocked during school run and commuter periods. Already inadequate parking facilities in village. 2. Schools (primary and secondary), one doctors' surgery and play facilities would be extremely stretched with an increase in housing development of this size. 3. Infrastructure would not support extra development. 4. Large amount of development would not be sustainable. 5. Wadhurst in AONB: therefore development should be limited to retain the natural beauty of its surroundings and contain the potential sprawl.

Reason for comment:

We are fortunate in Wealden to have such lovely picturesque villages in the shadow of some remarkable natural features. To build new developments near the South Downs would be desecration of our heritage. It would also impact negatively on the Cuckmere river, a site of great importance to both humans, for water, and wildlife. The infrastructure would not cope - the roads are already congested. Both Polegate and Lewes are difficult to get to using the A27 at rush hour. Building near an existing railway link would not mean the majority would use the train - there is no train to Hailsham and it's quicker to get to Crowborough by car. Keep the development around areas already served by transport and facilities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106623</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- option 23a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Road infrastructure around Herstmonceux is not adequate for further development of the village. More housing would spoil the village community.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>wrenn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106624</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- chapter

**Section of Document:**
Location Plan

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
The area of 12c would appear suitable because: 1 The school is within walking distance. 2 The town center is within walking distance. 3 The market, cafe and public house are within walking distance. All the above would ease the volume of car movements. The site would also have direct access to the main arterial route through the town, reducing traffic movements on more minor roads to the main road. A similar estate has just been completed in Ringmer. The area would be an obvious "fill in zone" along the main road. The area would allow a significant volume of houses to be built to help alleviate the pressure on the local housing supply.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Muddiman</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- option

**Section of Document:**
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
This should be kept to a minimum to preserve the environment for future generations and should consider real need and not blatant commercial gain.
Option 3a
Reason for comment:
This will divert an agency from creating land banks as has happened with some large supermarket concerns

Option 3b
Reason for comment:

Option 3c
Reason for comment:
Without some sort of control then the area will simply become a computer belt
### Option 4a

**Consultee ID:** Mr Muddiman  
106625

**Part of document:**  
- [] document  
- [] chapter  
- [] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 4a

**Your View:**  
- [] Agree  
- [] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
This will encroach on pristine green areas and ancient woodland and start the rot to fill the gaps between the villages.

---

### Option 4b

**Consultee ID:** Mr Muddiman  
106625

**Part of document:**  
- [] document  
- [] chapter  
- [] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 4b

**Your View:**  
- [x] Agree  
- [] No opinion  
- [] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Expansin should be limited but there is clear scope as development has already started

---

### Option 4c

**Consultee ID:** Mr Muddiman  
106625

**Part of document:**  
- [] document  
- [] chapter  
- [] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 4c

**Your View:**  
- [x] Agree  
- [] No opinion  
- [] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
This will be a natural expansion to the A27

---

### Option 4d

**Consultee ID:** Mr Muddiman  
106625

**Part of document:**  
- [] document  
- [] chapter  
- [] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 4d

**Your View:**  
- [] Agree  
- [] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
This will destroy a green seperation between the towns. Expansion here would denote a "Greater Eastbourne area" for the future with little or no employment.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Muddiman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106625</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Muddiman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106625</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Muddiman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106625</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>The villages need to grow with very careful control as generally the is little in the way of &quot;normal&quot; infrastructure such as gas, mains sewage, public transport or shopping. Some have pubs which meets a small portion of social needs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Muddiman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106625</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Towns have all the infrastructure to support expansion including the schooling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106625  
Mr Muddiman

Part of document:  
☐ option

Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This is a sensible approach because society demands the infrastructure to support modern living and many of the villages are unable to meet these aspirations. Expansion of rural sites will have a large impact on the road system which is not designed for a large increase in population.

Consultee ID: 106625  
Mr Muddiman

Part of document:  
☐ option

Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The some of the sites proposed will encroach on the green sites, will provide a blot on the National Park when viewed from the Downs which in turn will impact on tourism, one of the larger earners in our area. slow careful expansion will keep the balnce of development and green currently enjoyed from the Downs and preserve the area as one worth viewing.

Consultee ID: 106625  
Mr Muddiman

Part of document:  
☐ option

Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Little thought seems to be placed on the viability of the village to sustain its own organic growth it focuses on the thought that the rail link will provide the savour for all. The major roads are currently a driving challenge with peak times becomming a no go zone, the schooling, water, mains services, dental and medical services stretched with the real prospect of our local hospital becomming a sattelite of Brighton or Hastings. both of these areas are almost a no parking zone and poorly serviced by public transport.
Option 8a

Clearly the author of this report does not travel on the A22 which is as congested as the A27 outside the small dual carriageway area. At peak times it can be very difficult to enter or cross the carriageway. The report talks about regenerating Hailsham which is already a vibrant Country Town which will be blighted by the impact of the proposed Tesco Supermarket and the inevitable demise of the smaller shops.

Option 15f

This will lead to the expansion of the Hailsham boundary which the A22 provides a natural boundary line. This will impact on the green and ancient wooded areas.

Question Ten

The total number of increase for a village cannot be generalised because the impact will vary on the size and construction of the village. For some this will be okay but for many it will be unsuitable.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Muddiman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18c**

**Section of Document:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Infrastructure problems that may not be economical such as very poor road conditions, gas, mains sewer, public transport, medical and dental, schooling are all at a premium if indeed available. Although low cost housing desirable it will probably not be suitable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Muddiman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18d**

**Section of Document:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Muddiman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
The only attraction here is the railway. It would blight a village that has character, some development would be of value but not to the extent envisaged. Tourism may suffer as those visiting the National Park and the Long Man would not expect urban sprawl.
Option 18j

Reason for comment:
The railway is a bottleneck for traffic when the barriers are down and they are down for considerable periods. The station is an obvious attraction but a new settlement would have an adverse impact on the surrounding village creating rat runs in an already busy road network. Although the infrastructure is envisaged, but what would come first, the housing or the future society need? Sadly I suspect the housing with the rest following at a later date. This proposal will not meet local needs but will provide for cheap houses for Londons residents who will find it cheaper and perhaps quicker to commute from here rather than in town. I fail to see how this will generate the society the area needs to be vibrant.

Option 27d

Reason for comment:
They said this at Winchester we cannot live in a time warp we can have moving traffic or choked single carriageway, both have an environmental impact

Option 28a

Reason for comment:

Option 28b

Reason for comment:
In regard to option 12e, we have particular concerns on the overdevelopment and detrimental impact to the character and natural beauty of this area. We are concerned about loss of privacy and safety due to adverse traffic generation. We are also concerned about potential flooding. It is also in contradiction to the Council's own planning policy eg EN6 regarding development within the AONB, and only being permitted if it conserves or enhances the natural beauty etc., it clearly would be detrimental to the AONB. Policy EN17 applies to countryside gaps and firmly resists development that would reduce these gaps. This proposal represents a reduction of the Heathfield and Maynards Green gap. Policy GD2 states it seeks to resist development outside of development boundaries and Paragraph 15.31 of the Local Plan clearly illustrates why Sandy Cross Lane marks the edge of the boundary. Policy EN2 concerns the efficient location of development in relation to appropriate infrastructure. Sandy Cross Lane is clearly unable to accommodate the travel movements that would be generated by the proposal and Policy EN2 recognises that any new road provisions must be sensitive to their landscape, this proposal would certainly have an adverse environmental impact on the area alone.

As long as only in very small numbers,

House's should be spread over a wide area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fermor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106627</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 11h**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Crowborough should not have any more major developments until there is enough infrastructure to sustain it, the roads will not take much more traffic. What about schools etc. sewage and the like. Crowborough is short on land for sport, recreation, allotments and burial ground (as reported in the "Wealden Open Space Study") and if all the houses suggested in the consultation are built more open space will be needed and the short fall become greater.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Field</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106628</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question One**

**Section of Document:**
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Reason for comment:**
Whilst the High Weald is an AONB, it is also the home of the local population and as such needs organic growth. This should be strictly controlled to meet those needs and not just those of Developers and the rest of the world that demands to live here too.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Field</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106628</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 2b**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
All developments should incorporate renewable energy sources. If Wealden can develop these resources to this level then it is to be applauded. The availability of utilities should not be taken for granted and water especially needs close attention.
Consultee ID: Mr Field
106628

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I am concerned that Farms are specifically mentioned in this section. Whilst it is essential that farmers can diversify to stay economically viable, if there is not a market for industrial units in their area, then they need other options, not automatically offer up the land for housing. We need to consider the huge impact that commuting has on each area. There are a few large Brownfield sites left in Wealden, we do need to use those first and they should be mixed use and not just used to build homes for the wealthy who want isolation.

Consultee ID: Mr Field
106628

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We need to retain commercial property and ensure that it is affordable, which I believe is a major problem in this area.

Consultee ID: Mr Field
106628

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Generally in agreement but with reservations, farming should be the main industry in this area. We are not capable of feeding ourselves as a nation any more. Why? The economy may be global but is this policy of freighting in food sustainable? We do need a mixed industry base, just like we used to have. If the areas are very carefully chosen to improve existing facilities then that is acceptable.
Consultee ID: Mr Field
Consultee ID: 106628
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 3d
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Sorry but have issue here. All the new houses in my locality are being bought by people retiring to the area from London, Birmingham, Sheffield, Croydon etc. They don't want jobs and are driving house prices sky high. What is needed is stimulation of current population with organic growth in industry and housing. This is more difficult to pull off as developers can't make big bucks at it and it requires effort. It also stops huge swathes of countryside disappearing under concrete.

Consultee ID: Mr Field
Consultee ID: 106628
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 4a
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
In case you hadn't noticed we are grid locked in Polegate. The roads in the area are already congested. Unless you are planning to build a new road network, and I hope you aren't, we cannot cope with more traffic at peak times which is exactly what we will get.

Consultee ID: Mr Field
Consultee ID: 106628
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Virgin countryside, there is only a narrow buffer left between Polegate & Hailsham which should be left undeveloped.
Consultee ID: Mr Field 106628

Part of document: Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Isnt this area at risk of flooding?

Consultee ID: Mr Field 106628

Part of document: Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Already too much traffic in this area, virtually a car park already and I dont want to see more countryside disappear under roads

Consultee ID: Mr Field 106628

Part of document: Question 4e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
To be honest I would rather not see any development in this area at all. We are a very urban area now with few green corridors left to separate towns & villages. I dont want to see this area become a mass urban sprawl. The Hellingly hospital site is huge, and when it was operational contained a mix of industrial and residential dwellings as well as the hospital itself. This is the area that should be considered for mixed development and would complement Hailsham and its environs. BUT I fear it will be used for premium housing to the detriment of the area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Field</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106628</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Boundaries need to be maintained to preserve the identity of each town & village. If we start to rewrite the rule book every time it suits us where will it end, far too tempting for developers to abuse I think.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Field</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106628</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 5b**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
It should be subject to strict planning control and not railroaded through without proper consultation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Field</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106628</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 6d**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
If Wealden is 50% rural & 50% in towns then plans should reflect that. Housing for the local population that is affordable is what is required. I bet we get 3, 4, & 5 bedrooms whose price is beyond reach for many, and only serves to encourage commuters who take no active part in the local community.
Option 7a

Reason for comment:
Aging population and affordability issues prevent young families staying in villages. If this policy ensures villages don't die then it should be regarded as a good thing. It must be strictly controlled & monitored though to ensure that the quality of the community isn't lost. Services also need to be available & the County Council need to be pushed to provide them.

Option 7d

Reason for comment:
However it is essential that the businesses can afford to trade. What incentives are there to encourage shopkeepers to open in rural area? If we want communities to have services then we need to help with beneficial rates for those brave enough to risk their own money & provide them. How about encouraging the entrepreneurs you so bemoan the lack of.

Option 8a

Reason for comment:
Already overdeveloped
Option 8b

Reason for comment:
Polegate is a very busy thoroughfare already. There is immense pressure for the town to accept almost the entire housing being imposed on the district. This is ridiculous. All the land proposed is greenfield, with a network of springs and streams, which acts as a sponge containing heavy rainfall. We need to keep this land unbuilt on. Polegate should take some growth but not build a new town next door.

Option 8c

Reason for comment:
As mentioned before, overdeveloped & traffic congestion

Option 8d

Reason for comment:
Fail to see how this area meets any of the criteria previously referred to in this plan. Sheer lunacy
Option 9a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Whilst Uckfield town itself is blighted by congestion, so are all of the districts towns. However it has the rail link, with the possibility of the Lewes link reopening. The town has utilised many of its brownfield sites already and has a thriving town centre. The river runs through the bottom of the town and careful development at peripheral edges to the north & south would not be affected or subject to flood risk.

Option 9a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Whilst Uckfield town itself is blighted by congestion, so are all of the districts towns. However it has the rail link, with the possibility of the Lewes link reopening. The town has utilised many of its brownfield sites already and has a thriving town centre. The river runs through the bottom of the town and careful development at peripheral edges to the north & south would not be affected or subject to flood risk.

Wealden's Places

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Whilst I feel that the drive for housing is being driven by flawed Government policies, some expansion is vital for organic growth. Its a shame that it seems that the whole world wants to retire to Wealden, perhaps thats why we look so backwards when you compare us to the rest of the nation. After all when did you last meet a 70 year old entrepreneur still looking to start the next big thing. You have painted a picture of a stagnant district which will die without new blood which I believe is far from the truth. We are out here its just you chose not to see it. When you build those new houses I wager that over 50% of them get bought by people retiring to the area.
Option 16a

Reason for comment:
This area is totally disconnected from Polegate Town, it's very difficult crossing those roads on foot, I do it every day. There are too many cars already...... madness.

Option 16b

Reason for comment:
There is an issue with the proposed development becoming a 'Township', a phrase bandied about within the plan itself. If you've ever been to a Township you would understand why I have a problem with this. Was the Town Farm estate in Hailsham a Township? After all it was built with its own shops (vandalised and long out of business), school (Marshlands) Is this supposed to encourage me?

Option 16c

Reason for comment:
Risk of a monstrous urban sprawl joining Hailsham, via Polegate & Willingdon right through to Eastbourne
Consultee ID: Mr Field
106628
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
When the bypass was built this area was marked for Housing? Polegates services are overstretched already though, we shouldn't be building that amount of houses anyway.

Consultee ID: Mr Field
106628
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
No way, this should be the Park you all keep telling us we need

Consultee ID: Mr Field
106628
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
But isn't this too late? There is already an East European Barracks being built in the middle of this area?

Consultee ID: Mr Field
106628
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 16h
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
No NHS dentists, doctors fully stretched, Hospital downgraded, schools full, roads congested, sink estates turning into no-go areas, sewage & water at capacity, facilities for older people being closed. Need I say more, you need to sort out these things for those of us already here before you decide to try & import more. We deserve better from our Councils.
Consultee ID: Mr Field
106628

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Is this proposal to meet local need? Seems an excessive proposal given local infrastructure.

---

Consultee ID: Mr Field
106628

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Field
106628

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If 43% state reason for leaving the area is due to lack of affordable housing, the minimum should be 43%.

---

Consultee ID: Mr Field
106628

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Field</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106628</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Field</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106628</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Field</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106628</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Field</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106628</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Field 106628

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Field 106628

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Field 106628

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Disagree as insufficient detail, too vague & easy to fudge.

Consultee ID: Kong 106630

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Rotherfield can ill cope with an additional 150 houses. Additional housing should be in towns and definitely not greenfield sites outside village envelopes. It is vital to continue existing planning policy which advocates the continued separation of Rotherfield and Town Row especially New Rd.
Consultee ID: Mr Bareham  
106632 Lewis and Co Planning

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Option 5a as currently written is contradictory. It should be re-phrased as: "Settlement boundaries should be maintained where possible, but should be expanded where needed to allow for necessary growth."

---

Consultee ID: Mr Bareham  
106632 Lewis and Co Planning

**Option 5b**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Consultee ID: Mr Bareham  
106632 Lewis and Co Planning

**Question 5c**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
We believe that land to the south of Cherry Tree Cottages, South Street, East Hoathly, should be included within the development boundary for East Hoathly. Detailed representations relating to this site will be sent to the LPA through its Site Allocations process.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bareham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bareham</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106632</td>
<td>Lewis and Co Planning</td>
<td>102487</td>
<td>Lewis and Co Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 6a
- Option 6b
- Option 6c

**Reason for comment:**
There should not be a proscriptive figure for the allocation of development. Delays in sites coming forwards, reliance on enabling development and other factors are all likely to distort where housing is eventually provided. Some villages/local centres may be well placed to take additional development and reliance on an arbitrary figure will prejudice the ability of the LPA to deliver new housing in a flexible and appropriate manner.
There should not be a proscriptive figure for the allocation of development. Delays in sites coming forwards, reliance on enabling development and other factors are all likely to distort where housing is eventually provided. Some villages/ local centres may be well placed to take additional development and reliance on an arbitrary figure will prejudice the ability of the LPA to deliver new housing in a flexible and appropriate manner.

Classification should be based on the ranking of current services (4.8 of the background paper for Draft Settlement Strategy for the Villages) and not be distorted by estimates of population based on Census data from 2001. Allocations should be based on a village’s ability to accommodate additional development, and this is directly related to how many services benefit a particular village. For example, whilst East Hoathly is ranked 4th in the LPA’s table with regard to services, it is defined “only” as a Smaller Local Service Centre” when its ranking is weighted by population figures. The test should be accessibility to local services, not historic estimates of population.
Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Mr Bareham
Agent ID: Mr Bareham
106632 Lewis and Co Planning
102487 Lewis and Co Planning

Part of document: Option 7d

Your View: 
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

East Hoathly should be designated as a Larger Local Centre and as a Village With Growth Potential. The village scores highly in the Council's ranking of villages (in terms of access to services) meaning that the village is well placed to accommodate additional development in a sustainable manner.

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Mr Bareham
Agent ID: Mr Bareham
106632 Lewis and Co Planning
102487 Lewis and Co Planning

Part of document: Question 7e

Your View: 
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The proposed restriction on any further allocations over and above those contained in the Adopted and Non Statutory Wealden Local Plans ignores the fact that East Hoathly scores highly in terms of its access to services. Whilst we do not believe that an assessment of potential for growth should be distorted by population figures (access to services should be the main arbiter - meaning that East Hoathly should be identified as a village with Growth Potential), it can be seen that the Council's assessment of population as 923 persons only falls short of its arbitrary 1000 persons threshold (for being suitable for further growth) by about 7%. All other villages identified as being suitable for growth or limited growth appear to have provision made for new dwellings in the hundreds. There is no logic in therefore placing a restriction on East Hoathly to take no further dwellings above those already allocated in historic plans.
Option 25b

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Whilst the need for affordable housing is obviously accepted, extreme care should be taken in terms of adopting thresholds and ratios for the provision of affordable housing that may prevent sites being brought forwards for development. The Council's research for affordable housing relates solely to housing need. However, the Council should also robustly identify what impact its policies are likely to have on developers and landowners bringing sites forward for housing.

Option 2b

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Subject to restrictions on highly visible energy saving methods in sensitive areas.

Option 3d

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Willson  
Consultee ID: 106634

Part of document:  
Option 4a  
Option 4b  
Option 5a  
Option 5b  
Section of Document:

Your View:  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 4a:
It is creeping into the countryside

Option 4b:
It is creeping into the countryside

Option 5a:
Boundaries should be maintained and any development confined in order to try to protect open countryside

Option 5b:
Only when absolutely essential
Consultee ID: Mr Willson

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development in the villages should be severely restricted otherwise the nature of the village will be destroyed.

Consultee ID: Mr Willson

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View   ✔ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Subject to the infrastructure being in place to support any development. New towns should not be created from the villages.

Consultee ID: Mr Willson

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is wholly inappropriate for a development such as that proposed. Wilmington is an ancient settlement at the foot of the South Downs and is clearly visible from the Downs. The proposal would ruin the character and setting of the existing village which is for the main part a conservation area and is set in an AONB and the Heritage Coast area. If the National Park proposal becomes effective then Wilmington would be in the National Park and the new development immediately adjacent. There is no infrastructure to support any new development. Any new housing would add to the current traffic congestion on the A27 which is already over capacity. There is no need for a new railway station. The housing proposed would not justify it and so it would have to rely on people travelling from out of the immediate area to use it. This would necessitate a large car park which would be highly visible from the Downs and detrimental to the area generally.
Consultee ID: Mr Tate

106635

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑️ option

Option 11d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

This is a massive proposed development, and would disturb the balance of the town. There would have to be considerable infrastructural development to support such a plan, including new young peoples’ facilities--the Council has only just disposed of the Aldene Centre--: a considerable increase in medical facilities; and the local roads eg Western Rd (which would have to be a major access route) are totally inadequate, and appear difficult to upgrade) The recent rail upgrading has already put big new pressures on that line, with inadequate parking even for the current passenger load.

Consultee ID: Mr Tate

106635

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑️ option

Option 11e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Road access is poor, and land configuration unsuitable. The land around the Alder Brook must be kept clear of development. Any loss of recreation space must be resisted--there is a shortage of such space on this side of town already.

Consultee ID: Mr Tate

106635

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑️ option

Question 11f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Any developments must not intrude on to the AONB. The East Sussex countryside is made unique by its land configurations and natural wooded cover, resulting in narrow lanes, steep inclines, with quite small fields and and sudden intimate views. Even outside the AONB, developments must be of a scale to fit in to the surrounding countryside.
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Consultee ID: Ms Theroux
Agent ID: 106636

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A new village in the Wilmington Green area is an extremely bad idea. Not only would it spoil part of the nearby AONB, it would also destroy a tranquil rural area which has its own quiet beauty. And it makes little practical sense when there is no infrastructure, no nearby employment opportunities and already too much traffic on the A27.

Consultee ID: Feltham
Agent ID: 106637

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Feltham
Agent ID: 106637

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The area cannot handle more traffic congestion that the proposed development would create. Roads such as Western Road are already made unsafe by the high volume of traffic combined with on-street parking which would only be made worse by these proposals. These proposals would also destroy wildlife, fields and hedgerows in this area.

Consultee ID: Feltham
Agent ID: 106637

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
1. The whole town would benefit from development in the town centre especially with the proposed
spacial objectives. Crowborough desperately needs to become a more vibrant and interesting town
particularly for its young poplulation. 2. The basic infrastructure around the 11.d proposals could not
cope with more development. Particularly transportation e.g., poor links to Tunbridge Wells from this
area, problems with the Jarvis Brook railway bridge, safety issues arising from HGVs using
surrounding residential streets instead (Western Road, Walshes Road, Fermor Road etc).

Reason for comment:
Regarding the 1000 + new homes proposal in and around Crowborough 11b, 11c, 11d and 11e - I
object very strongly to this proposal. The congestion it will cause traffic wise and total destruction of
the areas of outstanding natural beauty will be devastating. I moved to Crowborough 2 years ago
because I wanted to live in an open beautiful part of the county, and have enjoyed the natural and
unspoil area immensely. I object to the gridlock caused by the extra traffic, I object to the destruction
of wildlife, fields and hedgerows which all make up the beauty of our area. I object to the industrial site
being moved and housing being allowed to be built on the site. It may keep the traffic away from the
town but will cause congestion and gridlock in Jarvis Brook, and Western Road, which is at times
heavy with traffic already. To suggest building to this massive scale is complete madness and with no
consideration what so ever to the local residents who enjoy living in Crowborough and Jarvis Brook.
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Consultee ID: miss harkness 106639

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment: if in keeping and size is appropriate

Consultee ID: miss harkness 106639

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Option 2a

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss harkness 106639

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Option 2b

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment: where achievable

Consultee ID: miss harkness 106639

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Option 3a

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>but not for housing, emplyment only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>miss harkness</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not to spread further onto green field site but better use of and developmen of existing areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>miss harkness</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>but strict checks on the type of business near to homes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Consultee ID: miss harkness 106639

#### Part of document: Option 4a  
- **Document**  
- **Chapter**  
- **Section**  
- **Option**

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**: Agree  
- **Reason for comment**: Accessible and achievable

---

### Consultee ID: miss harkness 106639

#### Part of document: Option 4c  
- **Document**  
- **Chapter**  
- **Section**  
- **Option**

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**: Agree  
- **Reason for comment**: Has existing transport links and development has happened

---

### Consultee ID: miss harkness 106639

#### Part of document: Option 4d  
- **Document**  
- **Chapter**  
- **Section**  
- **Option**

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**: Disagree  
- **Reason for comment**: No rail link in hailsham, roads congested at present levels of traffic. Public transport is awful regarding the amount of time it takes and cost.

---

### Consultee ID: miss harkness 106639

#### Part of document: Option 5a  
- **Document**  
- **Chapter**  
- **Section**  
- **Option**

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**: Disagree  
- **Reason for comment**: Don't believe that consultation would take place. If free access it could become one huge sprawl with no countryside
Consultee ID: miss harkness  
106639

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

as long as local people can still have a say and be heard over what and where

Consultee ID: miss harkness  
106639

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

people move to villages and small towns to 'escape' from all the pressures more housing, business etc some want a smaller place with a better sense of place, than a larger urban sprawl

Consultee ID: miss harkness  
106639

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

a town's infrastructure can be upgraded with less impact than a major development has on a small town or village

Consultee ID: miss harkness  
106639

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

question needs defining more. local services is not a good way to judge as the quality of service varies greatly
Consultee ID: miss harkness

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
no mention of reviews . the villages overall character should be taken into acount

Consultee ID: miss harkness

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
would lead eventually to urban sprawls with villages merging and with no sense of village identity and so the community would be lost as well.

Consultee ID: miss harkness

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss harkness

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
i do think that most of q7 is against the 'unpoilt countryside ect in you own document
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Consultee ID: 106639
Part of document: Option 8a
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
no rail link, local roads congested as is, major roads nearly to capacity now, too spread out as it is with no access to certain areas using public transport

Consultee ID: 106639
Part of document: Option 8c
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
poor transport links. need to maintain open country between hailsham and polegate, parts of hailsham are flood areas, even if the council does not recognise some as such. If building occurs on this and floods happen and water is displaced now, the new areas affected could have problems

Consultee ID: 106639
Part of document: Option 8d
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
a proper planned approach is achievable, there are new villages created and some of these have been very well thought out and work, but only if the emphasis is on the people and the numbers involved.

Consultee ID: 106639
Part of document: Option 9c
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
transport seems to be quite good, as has rail links though public transport could do with upgrading
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>but i cant make these numbers work with your proposed overall total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12a</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12a</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12b</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>miss harkness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106639</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- **Option 12b**
- **Option 12c**
- **Option 12e**
- **Question 12h**

**Section of Document:**

**Option 12b**

- **Your View**  
  - [ ] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

but not a large estate, housing inkeeping with the area

**Option 12c**

- **Your View**  
  - [ ] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

poor roads

**Option 12e**

- **Your View**  
  - [ ] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- **Question 12h**

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: miss harkness
106639

Part of document:  Option 13a

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss harkness
106639

Part of document:  Option 15a

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
think a high quality build sensitive to the areas other houseing[ so it blends not sticks out ] could be good for the long term future of the town, however think that the qantity of housing maentioned is too many mainly because ;- wildlife impact, sewage, increased number of vehicles on the road.

Consultee ID: miss harkness
106639

Part of document:  Option 15b

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
but think the number f houses would need to be reduced and the issue of bothe the sewage works with odour and the number of vehicles would need to be adressed before. also the proximity to farea prone to flooding.

Consultee ID: miss harkness
106639

Part of document:  Option 15c

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
again question the number of dwellings, as re traffic, primary care services, wildlife impact in that area
Option 15e

Reason for comment:
but think the number of houses is far too many for this area, with impact on services required, ie primary care etc, road access not after but before houses etc built and wildlife.

Option 15h

Reason for comment:
think the spatial objectives are not now achievable, which is a pity as some of them are good, but allowing tesco into the centre will, I believe and from past experience finish off the centre. roads should have been sorted out in the past and not now as an after thought. how are primary care services going to cope, they are almost at capacity now. You looking to significantly increase a population.

Option 16a

Reason for comment:
seems to be a reasonable choice

Option 16b

Reason for comment:
impact on ANOB and a flood plain, no point having houses that insurers won't insure
Consultee ID: miss harkness
106639

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
it would impact on the corridor between Hilsham and Polegate creating a ugly urban sprawl

Consultee ID: miss harkness
106639

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss harkness
106639

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss harkness
106639

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
the amount of suggested housing is unbelievable and would destroy the whole character of the area .
it would have a negative impact on the quality of live for existing population, increase the amount of
traffic by a vast number of vehicles. and impact greatly on the wildlife etc.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106639</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 22b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

if people have a bit more space there are less 'neighbour' problems every one has room to breathe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106639</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 23b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

higher the density the more problems you have. this is well documented maybe you should look at the research more and less at the £'s involved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106639</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 24a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

if people have a bit more space there are less 'neighbour' problems every one has room to breathe

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106639</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 24b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

higher the density the more problems you have. this is well documented maybe you should look at the research more and less at the £'s involved.
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss harkness Agent ID: 106639

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Agent ID:

Reason for comment:

Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

already given in previous 24 questions

Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

A good, reliable, public service should not be dependant on the number of people living in one place. People use this ie buses for two reasons have no choice in which case it doesn’t matter where you live you have to put up with what you get or the best reason is that the service is fast, reliable, at times when people want and need them, timetable doesn’t change every few months, however this is not what happens now if you are outside of Eastbourne

Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: miss harkness  
106639

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
but not high rise flats 2 or 3 stories so that they can be integrated into the community and not be apart from it

Consultee ID: miss harkness  
106639

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
affordable housing should be in response to demand and not set targets.

Consultee ID: miss harkness  
106639

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
should be in response to demand not targets, proper assessment needs to be carried out.

Consultee ID: miss harkness  
106639

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
between only where there is a demonstrated need and not for targets, but the infrastructure also needs to be in place
Consultee ID: miss harkness
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25f

Reason for comment:
with proper controls in place

Consultee ID: miss harkness
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25g

Reason for comment:
as long as the proper controld are in plcae together with the means to enforce them

Consultee ID: miss harkness
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 26a

Reason for comment:
should be spaced more evenly through county,

Consultee ID: miss harkness
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 26b

Reason for comment:
all of east sussex should share the responsibily or burden depending on your point of view
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

existing stations should not be reduced, all you do is increase traffic between these areas and in some cases people dont bother and continue to use cars.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 31a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>miss harkness</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>maximun of two per dwelling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>miss harkness</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106639</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>miss harkness</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106639</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Thirty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Elaine Wintle & Bryan Wintle aggress with the core strategy document for Wealden but all the previous points and representations that have been made by current residents of all areas must be taken into account. Areas must be considered very carefully, thus not intruding on current residents as in the East of Shepham Lane, Polegate (Wimpey) and North of Dittons Road, Polegate (Gldadel). This land was allocated by out so called Town Council for building, or was it Wealden District Council who allocated it? Anyway, a total of 510 homes plus a so called 'up market Business Park', if passed by the Planning Committees will have a huge detrimental impact on the 90% of retired residents in the immediate locality. And to the community as a whole if the correct infrastructure is not in place before any building commences. Thank you for the opportunity to comment but will our small punitive voices make any difference - we doubt it. Elaine & Vryan Wintle

Reason for comment:

Elaine Wintle & Bryan Wintle aggress with the core strategy document for Wealden but all the previous points and representations that have been made by current residents of all areas must be taken into account. Areas must be considered very carefully, thus not intruding on current residents as in the East of Shepham Lane, Polegate (Wimpey) and North of Dittons Road, Polegate (Gldadel). This land was allocated by out so called Town Council for building, or was it Wealden District Council who allocated it? Anyway, a total of 510 homes plus a so called 'up market Business Park', if passed by the Planning Committees will have a huge detrimental impact on the 90% of retired residents in the immediate locality. And to the community as a whole if the correct infrastructure is not in place before any building commences. Thank you for the opportunity to comment but will our small punitive voices make any difference - we doubt it. Elaine & Vryan Wintle

Reason for comment:

Elaine Wintle & Bryan Wintle aggress with the core strategy document for Wealden but all the previous points and representations that have been made by current residents of all areas must be taken into account. Areas must be considered very carefully, thus not intruding on current residents as in the East of Shepham Lane, Polegate (Wimpey) and North of Dittons Road, Polegate (Gldadel). This land was allocated by out so called Town Council for building, or was it Wealden District Council who allocated it? Anyway, a total of 510 homes plus a so called 'up market Business Park', if passed by the Planning Committees will have a huge detrimental impact on the 90% of retired residents in the immediate locality. And to the community as a whole if the correct infrastructure is not in place before any building commences. Thank you for the opportunity to comment but will our small punitive voices make any difference - we doubt it. Elaine & Vryan Wintle

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Halpern</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106641</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 2b**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 3a**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 3b**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 3c**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern

Option 3d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 18a

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 18b

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 18c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern                Agent ID: 106641
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern                Agent ID: 106641
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern                Agent ID: 106641
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern                Agent ID: 106641
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 19a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern
Agent ID: 106641

Part of document: Option 19b
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern
Agent ID: 106641

Part of document: Option 19c
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern
Agent ID: 106641

Part of document: Option 19d
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern
Agent ID: 106641

Part of document: Option 19e
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern 106641
Part of document:  
Option 20a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern 106641
Part of document:  
Option 20b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern 106641
Part of document:  
Option 20c  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern 106641
Part of document:  
Option 21a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern  Agent ID:
106641
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 21b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern  Agent ID:
106641
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 21c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern  Agent ID:
106641
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 21d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern  Agent ID:
106641
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 21e
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Halpern</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Yes/No</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106641</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 21f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Halpern</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106641</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Halpern</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106641</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Halpern</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106641</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Halpern</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106641</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Halpern</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106641</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Halpern</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106641</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Halpern</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106641</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern
Agent ID: 106641
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25g
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern
Agent ID: 106641
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 26a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern
Agent ID: 106641
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 26b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern
Agent ID: 106641
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern
Agent ID: 106641

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

-----------------------

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern
Agent ID: 106641

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

-----------------------

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern
Agent ID: 106641

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

-----------------------

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern
Agent ID: 106641

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern  Agent ID: 106641

Part of document: Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern  Agent ID: 106641

Part of document: Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern  Agent ID: 106641

Part of document: Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern  Agent ID: 106641

Part of document: Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Thirty</td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Thirty</td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Halpern  Agent ID: 106641
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 32a
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox  Agent ID: 106642
Cuckmere Valley Society
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox  Agent ID: 106642
Cuckmere Valley Society
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox  Agent ID: 106642
Cuckmere Valley Society
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 2b
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox 106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox 106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: Option 4c
Section of Document:

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox 106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:

Your View No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox 106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document:

Your View No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Disagree with the whole concept of the Eastbourne / Hailsham Triangle. The reason being there is a parallel Blueprint in operation between Eastbourne Borough Council, Wealden District Council, and East sussex County Council.

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 4e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Disagree with the whole concept of the Eastbourne / Hailsham Triangle. The reason being there is a parallel Blueprint in operation between Eastbourne Borough Council, Wealden District Council, and East sussex County Council.

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Part 4

Section of Document: Wealden's Settlement Pattern

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The Cuckmere Valley Society strongly believes that both housing and commercial development along the River and in the Valley should NOT be allowed for the following reasons: The Core Strategy document fully acknowledges the unspoilt nature of the river and valley. The area is one of tranquility, rural in nature and has picturesque views, both to and from the South Downs. Wealden opposed a building development by ESCC in the 1990s for similar reasons. The river is unspoilt from its source to the sea. The area floods. The quantity and quality (flows orange out of domestic taps) of the water supply is insufficient to sustain further development. Development will prove to be an ecological disaster to several species local to this area: birds, mammals and moths. The proximity of housing to the SSSI at the reservoir must be avoided. Any major settlements will see traffic congestion through local villages such as Alfriston, Arlington, Ripe & Chalvington, and vehilces taking an alternative route to Eastbourne via Littlington Ancient historical sites of Sessingham Moat and farmhouse, both mentioned in the Domesday Book, as well as Claverham Manor and moat would be equally threatened by developments.
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Small villages and hamlets should be protected as they add to the character of the Low Weald.

Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 7a
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 7b
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 7c
Section of Document:
Your View   ✓ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 8b
Section of Document:
Your View   ✓ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106642  Mr Fox  Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document:  ☑ option 
Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Small villages and hamlets should be protected as they add to the character of the Low Weald.

Consultee ID: 106642  Mr Fox  Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document:  ☑ option 
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106642  Mr Fox  Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document:  ☑ option 
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106642  Mr Fox  Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document:  ☑ option 
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree but only if there is a mix of low cost housing.

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: Option 15d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: Option 15e
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: Option 15f
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: Question 15h
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox 106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Fox 106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Fox 106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Fox 106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox Cuckmere Valley Society
106642

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox Cuckmere Valley Society
106642

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox Cuckmere Valley Society
106642

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox Cuckmere Valley Society
106642

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox
Agent ID: 106642
Cuckmere Valley Society

Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
Agent ID: 106642
Cuckmere Valley Society

Option 18b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
Agent ID: 106642
Cuckmere Valley Society

Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
Agent ID: 106642
Cuckmere Valley Society

Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox  
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18d

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Fox  
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18e

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Fox  
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18f

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Fox  
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18h

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106642</td>
<td>Cuckmere Valley Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [✓] option  

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106642</td>
<td>Cuckmere Valley Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [✓] option  

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106642</td>
<td>Cuckmere Valley Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [✓] option  

**Question 18k**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

We will object to new settlements in the Low Weald area, especially around the Cuckmere River and Arlington Reservoir.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106642</td>
<td>Cuckmere Valley Society</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [✓] option  

**Option 23e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Fox</th>
<th>Cuckmere Valley Society</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106642</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Question 23f

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

Disagree with any major development in Arlington or Berwick.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Fox</th>
<th>Cuckmere Valley Society</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106642</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Option 24a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Fox</th>
<th>Cuckmere Valley Society</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106642</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Option 24b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Fox</th>
<th>Cuckmere Valley Society</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106642</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Option 24c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox  
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox  
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox  
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox  
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox 106642 Cuckmere Valley Society
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 26a
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox 106642 Cuckmere Valley Society
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 26b
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox 106642 Cuckmere Valley Society
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox 106642 Cuckmere Valley Society
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox
Consultee ID: 106642
Cuckmere Valley Society

Option 27c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
Consultee ID: 106642
Cuckmere Valley Society

Option 27d
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
Consultee ID: 106642
Cuckmere Valley Society

Option 27e
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
Consultee ID: 106642
Cuckmere Valley Society

Option 27f
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
### Option 28a

**Consultee ID:** Mr Fox  
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 28b

**Consultee ID:** Mr Fox  
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 28c

**Consultee ID:** Mr Fox  
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Question Twenty Nine

**Consultee ID:** Mr Fox  
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Housing Delivery

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: ☒ option

Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: ☒ option

Question 31a
Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: ☒ option

Question 31b
Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It appears that there is no mention in the questions about protecting any of the Low Weald, i.e. the Cuckmere River which is unique in not being developed along its banks from source to sea.

Consultee ID: Mr Fox
106642 Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document: ☒ option

Question 32a
Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Fox  
106642  
Cuckmere Valley Society

Part of document:  

Section of Document: What Happens Next?

Your View  

Reason for comment:
The Cuckmere Valley Society is concerned that the statistical analysis of the consultation may not take into account the potential skew between town and rural communities, especially in the matter of new settlements. This potential skew could result in a small community having its way of life destroyed because it was numerically insignificant compared to a nearby town.

Consultee ID: Mr Collins  
106644  
Arlington Road West, the Glade, Robin Post Lane (north) Residents’ Association

Part of document:  

Section of Document: Context

Your View  

Reason for comment:
The housing targets set by SEERA are not based on local need.

Consultee ID: Mr Collins  
106644  
Arlington Road West, the Glade, Robin Post Lane (north) Residents’ Association

Part of document:  

Section of Document: Option 4a

Your View  

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106644</td>
<td>Arlington Road West, the Glade, Robin Post Lane (north) Residents' Association</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 4b

- **Your View**: □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
- **Reason for comment**: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106644</td>
<td>Arlington Road West, the Glade, Robin Post Lane (north) Residents' Association</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 4c

- **Your View**: □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
- **Reason for comment**: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106644</td>
<td>Arlington Road West, the Glade, Robin Post Lane (north) Residents' Association</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 4d

- **Your View**: □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
- **Reason for comment**: 

---
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Consultee ID: Mr Collins  
106644  
Arlington Road West, the Glade, Robin Post Lane (north) Residents’ Association  

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option  
Question 4e  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
The Association DOES NOT AGREE with the proposals for the Eastbourne / Hailsham Triangle. The principle reasons are the unneeded and unwanted expansion of Eastbourne, as well as the general urbanisation and commercialisation of rural areas. The proposal for the Triangle is at complete odds to the statement, in the Consultation document, stating ‘the Cuckmere Valley, the last unspoiled river estuary in the South East, near the famous cliffs of the Seven Sisters’. The Triangle will have precisely the opposite effect.

Consultee ID: Mr Collins  
106644  
Arlington Road West, the Glade, Robin Post Lane (north) Residents’ Association  

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option  
Question 6d  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
• The Association DOES AGREE with the development of small amounts of housing spread throughout the villages of the whole of Wealden where this can be achieved so as to protect and enhance the countryside as well as the character of the village. The strategies to be used in placing such housing should be to minimise the adverse impact on schools and roads, and to support community facilities such as shops.
Option 15f

Reason for comment:
The Association DOES NOT AGREE with any business / commercial / industrial development to the west of the A22 as proposed in Option 15f. The proposal represents a significant departure from the rationale behind the existing development boundary to Hailsham. It runs counter to the reasons as to why this part of the countryside has been recently moved into the Arlington Parish. There is no proof of need. There is likely to be an effect on the water table and increased likelihood of pollution to the nearby water courses.

Question Thirty

Reason for comment:
The Association DOES NOT AGREE with Options 30K or 30L in Table 15. Both will have a serious deleterious impact on the countryside and neither is based on need. The Association deplores the inadequate level of consultation available through Question 30 which attempts to summate four and half pages of options into a single Yes / No answer. Given the enormous adverse impact that some of the options could have on the countryside this is seen as a significant flaw in the process.
Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106644
Arlington Road West, the Glade, Robin Post Lane (north) Residents’ Association

Part of document: □ document ☑ chapter □ section □ option

Part 9
Section of Document: What Happens Next?
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The process of consulting on the strategy options is essential to ensure democratic participation. WDC has singularly failed to provide an inclusive process. The reasons are: • Timing and length of period of consultation • Failure to pro-actively promote non-internet based submissions The Association is also concerned over the statistical bias which may exist in the analysis of the consultation submissions. If unadjusted totals are to be used without consideration of the respondents’ geographic location, it is entirely possible to see a large urban town outvoting a small rural community with disastrous results to the latter’s way of life and a concomitant failure to protect and enhance the countryside.

Consultee ID: Mr Stone
106646

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We owe it to future generations to preserve what little is left of this nation’s heritage.

Consultee ID: Mr Stone
106646

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 2b
Section of Document: Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
As set targets rarely are met it would seem appropriate to set a target higher than the minimum required.
Option 3c

Reason for comment:
With the proviso that the use of the term "suitable" needs to be defined carefully. What is deemed suitable by a developer would probably not be in the interests of the local community!

Option 5a

Reason for comment:
If expansion is necessary then developments should allow for green spaces, ie gardens, to retain the essential countryside nature of villages, but such developments should be such as to be affordable for young people and first time buyers. When considering development the need of the local community should be paramount, not some imposed figure to meet the targets set by central government.

Question 6d

Reason for comment:
The question should not offer a choice between urban/rural placements, but rather be concerned with the infra structure of the area. This year there have been floods, due to water running off tarmac areas and not being absorbed into the ground, last year many areas were faced with drought conditions, due to water not being absorbed earlier in the year or the previous year. More thought concerning drainage and water provision needs to be given, as also do such issues as transport, community facilities, hospitals, doctors’ surgeries and schools. It would be more appropriate to ask whether the government targets set for Wealden are realistic and seek to find ways of continuing to challenge these!
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Consultee ID: Mr Stone

Part of document: Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

If villages are to become living units, and not just dormitory spaces far from changing existing facilities, which I understand to mean discontinue their present function, it would be preferable to include expansion of such facilities as an integrated part of any plan.

Consultee ID: Mr Stone

Part of document: Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Crowborough is already the largest town in East Sussex, and recently experienced floods, with surface water not being absorbed and running into the Jarvis Brook valley. Concreting over another large area, thus reducing water absorption still further seems to be short sighted. Last year there was insufficient water for the existing houses in Crowborough, so with additional housing making further demands whilst at the same time covering land which currently can absorb rainfall, inhabitants of Crowborough will face increased risks of either flood or drought on a regular basis.

Consultee ID: Mr Stone

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Housing should be based on the criteria of local need and be such as to meet the demands of present inhabitants. If additional housing simply creates properties for use as second homes or holiday use then any development is to be avoided. If there is a strong growth of young people within an area then properties should be made available at a suitable cost, and limits should not be set.
All these options suggest that there should be an expansion of Crowborough. There are many issues that need resolving before this can be taken as a fact.

Any improvement to transport links would be welcome, especially if the freight potential is considered. Removing lorries from rural roads would enhance village and town life, as well as helping to reduce pollution.

I feel that the purpose of this plan is to meet targets imposed by central government, and that Wealden is not fulfilling its role as representative of the needs of its own community.
Developers should acknowledge the needs of the local community, reducing profit margins if necessary to ensure costs of dwellings are kept at a level to meet local needs, and not just to produce properties that appeal to speculators.

Reason for comment:

Any new provision should seek to improve what is being replaced, not simply provide like for like.

Whereas I agree with some of these objectives, to ask for a simple agree/disagree response covering 14 statements seems to be an attempt to manipulate data for your own ends, and in some cases would over ride views expressed earlier.
Option 18i

Reason for comment:
Any development here will be in full view of the proposed National Park, and potentially restrict future plans for the A27. The proposal is in direct contradiction to Para 6.77 of WDC Local Development Framework, Core Strategy, Issues and Options Background Paper, 'Draft Settlement Strategy for the Villages' (this comment also applies to Berwick) Additionally, as was cited in the Pellam Homes application at Polegate the infrastructure for growth in the area is lacking in any surplus capacity, with particular reference to water. The WDC Core Strategy documents recognise this in the comments relating to the raised levels of pollutants in local water courses.

Option 18j

Reason for comment:
We are agreeing to this with major conditions. We entirely understand the advantages of new settlements in meeting the target of 4600 new homes to be built within the SCPA by 2026 however, as you state in para 5.39 of this document, growth at Berwick Station and Wilmington Green will impact on the already congested A27. If a new settlement were sited at either of these two locations, then the main requirement would be the wholesale upgrading of the A27 between Lewes and Polegate. This Parish supports the need for improvements to the A27, indeed they are long overdue even without new housing. We would also vigorously object to any new settlement unless the Highways Agency first commits to the upgrading of the entire stretch with the route and design clearly defined. Also this vital element of infrastructure must be in place before any new homes are built. Finally, as stated, these comments are based on the total of 4600 homes targeted by 2026 for the SCPA. If the figure of 4700-7000 new dwellings is proposed (as mentioned in 18(j) for Berwick Station, then obviously not only is the A27 upgrade even more important, but also a whole new series of objections would be forthcoming, concentrating on the impact on the South Downs coastal area generally. Alciston Parish Meeting.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106648</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This Parish strongly supports the provision of affordable housing given that suitable sites are identified. Alciston Parish Meeting

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106648</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This Parish strongly disagrees with this statement because, as previously submitted we support the wholesale upgrading of the A27 between Lewes and Polegate, whether or not new houses are built along this dangerous stretch of road. The past 12/18 months have seen a significant increase in the number of accidents and fatalities and this cannot be allowed to continue. We also believe that if, as part of the upgrade, the road were taken around Selmeston to join up with the Cophall roundabout at Polegate, then the Downland villages from Selmeston to Polegate would benefit hugely and the number of accidents would be dramatically reduced. The upgraded infrastructure would also allow for sensitive consideration of new houses which would go some way to meeting the target of 4600 for this area by 2026. Alciston Parish Meeting

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106648</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question Thirty**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This Parish wholeheartedly supports the major upgrading of the A27 from Lewes to Polegate and in the meantime, the adoption of a blanket 50mph limit along this stretch (with existing lower limits retained) and the extension of the 40mph limit eastwards from Selmeston to Drusilla's roundabout to include Alciston corner which is particularly dangerous when entering the village travelling west. Alciston Parish Meeting
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
What does 'some' development mean?

Option 20b

Reason for comment:
The infrastructure of Wadhurst village is already overburdened, particularly the highways. This must be addressed before new building can be considered. The B2099 through the village is impassable during termtime. Parking appears to be at capacity in both the village and at the station. How does the council intend to provide for the needs of the proposed additional 320 households?

Details of How to Comment

Reason for comment:
We write as the joint owners of the parcel of land at Coopers Croft known as Coopers Croft Garden Centre. We would suggest that our land can have an important and beneficial part to play in any development to the East of Hailsham. Mr Stuart Goslin & Mrs Maureen Thompson Coopers Croft Garden Centre New Road Herstmonceux BN27 1PX
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Traffic problems; full traffic survey is essential. Creation of road link between Walshes Road and Forningham Road to be considered (Commercial vehicles could be banned from Western Road). Area is prone to flooding. Sewage system is already overloaded. Infrastructure and services would be required.

Option 11e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Traffic problems; full traffic survey is essential. Creation of road link between Walshes Road and Forningham Road to be considered (Commercial vehicles could be banned from Western Road). Area is prone to flooding. Sewage system is already overloaded. Infrastructure and services would be required.

Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Reason for comment:
Concern expressed at the level of growth being proposed within Wealden District which, although very largely outside the Sussex Downs AONB, will still impact upon it through infrastructure requirements and recreational pressure.
Reason for comment:
The South Downs Joint Committee is particularly pleased to note the references to the South Downs and High Weald Management Plans.

Reason for comment:
Agree in part. The Committee believes that limited development can be appropriate, but only if necessary to meet local socio-economic needs. Any development must comply with the High Weald Management Plan Objective ‘To protect the historic pattern of settlement’.

Reason for comment:
Given the range of assets within the District, a higher target would seem appropriate. However, this should be subject to sensitive siting – in particular avoiding large-scale wind turbines within or adjacent to the AONBs.
Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Partially agree, but what timescale is to be applied?

Part of document: Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If there is evidence of market need, then premises should be protected. Again, the issue of the timescale applied is questioned by the Joint Committee. If there is no evidence of a current need, but there is a predicted need within a certain length of time, then such premises should be protected.

Part of document: Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Evidence seems to show that this is the type of employment space that is required. However, it is essential that great weight is given to the assessment of the suitability of any such locations.
Mr. Belderson, South Downs Joint Committee

Option 3d

Reason for comment:
Agree, but only if there is a need for such business development in the area (the needs vary between the north and south of the District)

Option 4a

Reason for comment:
The Joint Committee objected to a housing allocation in this area in the Wealden Local Plan, and also to a planning application (over a wider area than the allocation) in 2006 on the grounds of visual impact. As the current consultation does not identify a specific boundary (this would be the subject of a more detailed site allocation), it is not clear if the proposal would be limited to the area of the current housing allocation (which would have a more limited impact on the AONB). The Joint Committee therefore disagrees with the Option, unless it is limited to the area currently allocated for development.

Option 4b

Reason for comment:
As for Option 4a, development within this general area has the potential to have a detrimental impact on views from within the Sussex Downs AONB. SENSITIVELY located development may be acceptable, but as the exact site cannot be identified at this stage in the LDF process, the Joint Committee disagrees with the allocation.
| Consulatee ID: | Mr Belderson | Agent ID: | 106652 | South Downs Joint Committee |
| Part of document: | ☑ option | Option 5a |
| Section of Document: | | |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | The Joint Committee cautiously agrees (subject to appropriate public consultation), although the potential to tighten boundaries around some other settlements should also be considered (see the Joint Committee’s answer to 5c). |

| Consulatee ID: | Mr Belderson | Agent ID: | 106652 | South Downs Joint Committee |
| Part of document: | ☑ option | Option 5b |
| Section of Document: | | |
| Your View | ☐ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☑ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | This could weaken controls on development in the countryside. Existing policy allows exception sites to provide affordable housing where needs are identified. If the option is to be pursued, one criterion should be that the site is not within an AONB/National Park. |

| Consulatee ID: | Mr Belderson | Agent ID: | 106652 | South Downs Joint Committee |
| Part of document: | ☑ option | Question 5c |
| Section of Document: | | |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | It may be appropriate to draw tighter boundaries in some circumstances. For example, to exclude lower density plots along Old Willingdon Road, Friston, or to exclude rear garden land where it backs onto open countryside. |
Consultee ID: Mr Belderson
106652 South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
See response to 6d.

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson
106652 South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
See response to 6d.

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson
106652 South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
See response to 6d.
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Consultee ID: 106652
Mr Belderson
South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Rather than a relatively arbitrary split, the apportionment of planned growth should be based, initially at least, on the needs of the communities within the District for new development and their capacity to absorb that development. Any residual planned growth should be distributed on the basis of the sustainability of settlements. If an urban/rural split approach is adopted, it is suggested that there could be a higher proportion allocated to urban areas in that part of the District within the Sussex Coast Sub-Region (to reflect the requirements of the South East Plan) than in the rest of the District. Crucially, whatever the approach, any development within the AONBs should only be that necessary to facilitate the economic and social wellbeing of a settlement.

Consultee ID: 106652
Mr Belderson
South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This seems a more appropriate approach than that traditionally used.

Consultee ID: 106652
Mr Belderson
South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The Joint Committee Agrees with the approach used, but criteria should emphasise the assessment of the capability of the village/surrounding landscape to cope with expansion. The size limits seem appropriate.
Consultee ID: Mr Belderson South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:
Option 7c

Reason for comment:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:
Option 7d

Reason for comment:
Loss of such services would be unsustainable.

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:
Option 8a

Reason for comment:
The expansion of Hailsham could have less of a direct impact on the Sussex Downs AONB than further expansion of Polegate. In addition, the A22 has less congestion problems than the A27, which may reduce pressure for a new A27 relief road to the west of Polegate. The main disbenefit is the distance from railway stations, but there is the potential to overcome this through the extension the proposed Polegate-Eastbourne bus corridor to Hailsham.
Consultee ID: Mr Belderson  
South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
See response to 8a.

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson  
South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
See response to 8a.

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson  
South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson  
South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
The Joint Committee Agrees with the spatial objectives – particularly Improving Hailsham’s Economic performance and accessibility as part of the Hailsham-Eastbourne Triangle programme.
Consultee ID: Mr Belderson
106652
South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The inclusion of this site would result in an unacceptable landscape impact on the South Downs.

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson
106652
South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Although further away from the South Downs, and better screened than site 16a, it is still visible from the South Downs Way, and access issues may result in construction of a link road to Folkington, which would have a negative visual impact on the Sussex Downs AONB/National Park.

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson
106652
South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
Providing development does not extend westwards beyond the A22, development would be appropriate in terms of the effect on the AONB.
Mr Belderson  
South Downs Joint Committee

**Option 16d**

**Reason for comment:**
This option would seem to read as a logical extension to Polegate, although proximity to the A27 and high voltage power lines would suggest a mixed employment/residential development is the best option.

**Option 16e**

**Reason for comment:**
Development of this area would seem appropriate in terms of the effect on the Sussex Downs AONB.

**Option 16f**

**Reason for comment:**
Despite the size of the allocation, this would appear to comply with the proposed spatial objectives of protecting Willingdon’s village-like character (due to being on the other side of the A2270), and conserving the AONB, and so development would seem appropriate.
Consultee ID: Mr Belderson
106652 South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This would only meet a small proportion of housing requirement, but is supported by the Joint Committee. The proximity to the station and town centre location would suggest that the site could be developed to reduce the reliance on private cars (e.g., through reduced parking requirements).

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson
106652 South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It would seem preferable to limit development to within (south of) the A27 at Polegate and the east of the A22 at Hailsham.

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson
106652 South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Assuming this refers to the Spatial Objectives given in this document, The Joint Committee agrees, with the exception of references to the A27 Polegate bypass completion (due to the potential visual impact of some options on the Sussex Downs AONB/National Park).
Consultee ID: 106652  
Mr Belderson  
South Downs Joint Committee  

Part of document:  
- Option 18h
- Option 18i
- Option 18j

Section of Document:

Option 18h

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
This site is partly visible from within the Sussex Downs AONB, and would lead to erosion of open gap between Polegate and Hailsham.

Option 18i

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Although the argument is given that this proposal could meet some of the needs of villages in the South Downs, genuine need should be met within the villages themselves. This area is very visible from the higher land of the AONB to the south, and reads as part of the same landscape when looking from this higher ground. This is also one of the additional areas that the National Park Inspector has recently recommended for inclusion within the National Park.

Option 18j

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
The principle of a more limited development on the former brickworks and other land on north side of railway line may be acceptable to the Joint Committee and the principle of exploiting the railway (with matching disincentives for car use) is welcomed. However, the sheer scale of the option, combined with proximity to the South Downs, means that this cannot be supported. Any genuine need of villages within the South Downs should be met within the villages themselves.
It is important to maximise development outside the Sussex Downs AONB (to reduce pressure on the protected landscape) subject to the approach proposed by the Joint Committee in response to question 6d, and compliance with the settlement strategies proposed elsewhere in the paper.

Reason for comment:
It is important to maximise development outside the Sussex Downs AONB (to reduce pressure on the protected landscape) subject to the approach proposed by the Joint Committee in response to question 6d, and compliance with the settlement strategies proposed elsewhere in the paper.

Reason for comment:
It is important to maximise development outside the Sussex Downs AONB (to reduce pressure on the protected landscape) subject to the approach proposed by the Joint Committee in response to question 6d, and compliance with the settlement strategies proposed elsewhere in the paper.
Reason for comment:
It is important to maximise development outside the Sussex Downs AONB (to reduce pressure on the protected landscape) subject to the approach proposed by the Joint Committee in response to question 6d, and compliance with the settlement strategies proposed elsewhere in the paper.

Reason for comment:
It is important to maximise development outside the Sussex Downs AONB (to reduce pressure on the protected landscape) subject to the approach proposed by the Joint Committee in response to question 6d, and compliance with the settlement strategies proposed elsewhere in the paper.

Reason for comment:
The Joint Committee disagrees with all options. Rather than seeking a mean across the whole District, densities should vary according to location (eg Lewes District Councils proposal to seek a minimum of 30 dph, but with 40 dph or more in built-up areas).
Reason for comment:
The Joint Committee disagrees with all options. Rather than seeking a mean across the whole District, densities should vary according to location (eg Lewes District Councils proposal to seek a minimum of 30 dph, but with 40 dph or more in built-up areas).

Reason for comment:
The Joint Committee disagrees with all options. Rather than seeking a mean across the whole District, densities should vary according to location (eg Lewes District Councils proposal to seek a minimum of 30 dph, but with 40 dph or more in built-up areas).

Reason for comment:
Providing other criteria are met and the developments include disincentives to reduce car use. Should actively encourage higher densities in locations accessible by public transport, not merely permit.
Section of Document:

Option 25a

Reason for comment:
The Joint Committee generally agrees. The break up of families, longer life expectancy, and the number of concealed households in the area all suggest that smaller dwellings are in highest demand. Additionally, the construction of smaller dwellings leads to the more efficient use of land. However, there are lifestyle aspirations that will need to be overcome (ie the desire for additional spare rooms and multiple bathrooms). In the case of affordable housing provided by Housing Associations, there may often be a need for larger (3-bedroom) homes for families. Therefore, although more housing should be in the form of smaller dwellings, this must be subject to the caveats that (a) lifestyle aspirations will need to change, and (b) affordable housing provision should be a mix of sizes based on assessments of the local need. General point: any encouragement or requirement for the provision of smaller houses must be accompanied by a policy in the Core Strategy or General Development Control Policies DPD by a policy restricting extensions so as to retain a stock of smaller dwellings.

Option 25b

Reason for comment:

Option 25c

Reason for comment:
The Joint Committee agrees, but what is the definition of a ‘scheme’? What happens when a single market house is proposed?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Belderson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106652</td>
<td>South Downs Joint Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☑ option

**Option 25d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Provided that the sites are deliverable (as your consultation document suggests that previous attempts to allocate affordable housing sites may have hindered delivery of affordable housing as it may have deflected affordable housing providers from seeking out exception sites). Sites solely for affordable housing should also be allocated in urban areas.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Belderson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106652</td>
<td>South Downs Joint Committee</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☑ option

**Option 25e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Your View**

**Reason for comment:**

The Joint Committee cautiously agrees, but would wish there to be an emphasis on the provision of appropriate controls, in order to prevent the creation of independently-habitable dwellings. In rural areas it may be appropriate to seek such ancillary provision as conversion of outbuildings (eg from garages) rather than newbuild wherever possible. Is this not an issue that can be determined (in rural areas) on a case-by-case basis?
Option 25g

**Consultee ID:** 106652  
**Mr Belderson**  
**South Downs Joint Committee**

**Part of document:**  
- ☒ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☒ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Although the principle of supporting ‘key rural services’ is one that the Joint Committee supports, this proposal could potentially bring forward many more applications for new dwellings in the countryside. It may be difficult to establish whether a business is a ‘key rural service’ or not, it will also raise issues when either the original occupancy of the dwelling, or the business cease.

---

Option 26a

**Consultee ID:** 106652  
**Mr Belderson**  
**South Downs Joint Committee**

**Part of document:**  
- ☒ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☒ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This is where the greater need has been shown to be, subject to the provision meeting any additional requirements as a result of the partial review of the South East Plan. The District Council is reminded that if this consultation results in option (b) being the preferred option, the sensitive nature of the Sussex Downs AONB must be taken into consideration when allocating sites.

---

Option 26b

**Consultee ID:** 106652  
**Mr Belderson**  
**South Downs Joint Committee**

**Part of document:**  
- ☒ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☒ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

(The District Council is reminded that if this consultation results in option (b) being the preferred option, the sensitive nature of the Sussex Downs AONB must be taken into consideration when allocating sites.)
Consultee ID: Mr Belderson  
106652 South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: option

Reason for comment:
The joint Committee agrees, but this must not be the sole locational criterion.

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson  
106652 South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: option

Reason for comment:
Any enabling development should NOT take place prior to reinstatement being confirmed.

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson  
106652 South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: option

Reason for comment:
Although the principle may be acceptable, such a park and ride station would be likely to be to the west of Polegate and therefore in close proximity to the South Downs (as suggested in option 18i). In addition to the station itself, there would be a landscape impact in the form of large parking areas. It could also be counter productive as reducing stopping services at existing stations could lead to increased reliance on the car in those areas. If a park and ride is to be developed, evidence should be provided to show that it would not result in increased reliance on cars and reduced use of local shops.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Belderson</th>
<th>South Downs Joint Committee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106652</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 31a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The Joint Committee agrees in principle, although if a park and ride is to be developed, evidence should be provided to show that it would not result in increased reliance on cars and reduced use of local shops. There is potential to site a park and ride at the A22/A27 junction, linking in with the proposed Polegate-Eastbourne bus corridor, and this would seem appropriate.
For example car ownership levels are lower for those living in flats and rented affordable dwellings. New developments should include disincentives to reduce reliance on cars. Parking standards should also derive from location, particularly proximity to facilities and services or public transport.

The Joint Committee particularly welcomes the statement that “development of new parks/country parks at easier gradients outside but close to the South Downs can ease visitor pressure on the Downs and provide a more accessible and sustainable recreational experience for the local population”.

The Joint Committee particularly welcomes the statement that “development of new parks/country parks at easier gradients outside but close to the South Downs can ease visitor pressure on the Downs and provide a more accessible and sustainable recreational experience for the local population”.

The Joint Committee particularly welcomes the statement that “development of new parks/country parks at easier gradients outside but close to the South Downs can ease visitor pressure on the Downs and provide a more accessible and sustainable recreational experience for the local population.”
Consultee ID: Mr Belderson
106652 South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: ☑ option

Option 28c

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson
106652 South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: ☑ option

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Belderson
106652 South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: ☑ option

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

30a - Disagree - potential visual impact on the South Downs. 30b - Agree in part. If a roundabout at the entry of the A27 into Polegate would improve traffic flow along the A27 from Wilmington (and therefore air quality) then it would be welcomed, but options for a link road further westwards at Folkington would be of more concern. 30d - The discharge of treated wastewater to the Cuckmere would appear to be more sustainable, but this should only take place if the water is treated to a standard that would not degrade the quality of the river and would not exacerbate flooding within the valley. 30i - Agree 30k - No comment regarding general principle, but disagree with options that would affect flooding in Cuckmere River. 30n - Agree. Such improvements should be tied in with disincentives to reduce car use. 30o - Agree with town centre station, but not park & ride proposal (see comments elsewhere). 30s - Agree.
Consultee ID: Mr Belderson
106652
South Downs Joint Committee

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The South Downs Joint Committee Agrees with all objectives, and particularly welcomes Objective SO1 “To protect, properly manage and enhance the nationally designated landscapes .... Whilst appropriately integrating development to meet local needs”. The Joint Committee would, however, suggest an additional Objective that development be designed to reduce reliance on private car, including improved public transport provision, where appropriate.

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs
106653

Part of document: ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I agree that some development, particularly of affordable housing, should be allowed in areas of ANOB but it must be sympathetically designed and sited and must be strictly regulated to ensure that it is available for local people only.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106653</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☑ section ☐ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Wealden’s Renewable and Low Carbon Potential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Agree with both 2 a) and b). If potential is higher than current requirements then targets should be increased to take advantage of that potential.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106653</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106653</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>4 a) would be the least harmful to the environment generally and, in particular, to neighbouring residents. I feel that enlargement of business development around Uckfield or other sites on/near the dual carriageways should be preferred as the road network in the south of the country is inadequate and unable to take increased traffic generated by further development.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106653</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Current settlement boundaries should be maintained particularly in residential areas and any growth should be allowed only in accordance to strict criteria and in areas where such growth does not have immediate effect on existing residential areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Option 6c

**Consultee ID:** Miss Gibbs  
**Agent ID:**  
**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

### Option 7c

**Consultee ID:** Miss Gibbs  
**Agent ID:**  
**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

### Option 7d

**Consultee ID:** Miss Gibbs  
**Agent ID:**  
**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

### Option 8a

**Consultee ID:** Miss Gibbs  
**Agent ID:**  
**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:  Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:  Option 15a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:  Option 15b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:  Option 15c

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs

Option 15d

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs

Option 15e

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs

Option 16a

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs

Option 15f

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs

Part of document: Option 15h

Section of Document: Question 15h

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs

Part of document: Option 16b

Section of Document: Option 16b

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs

Part of document: Option 16b

Section of Document: Option 16c

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Option 16 b) seems most acceptable site for development being in a vasin and thus being much less obtrusive to observers high on the South Downs. The environment of residents should take precedence over those of visitors to neighbouring beauty spots.
Reason for comment:
Development would destroy an open area of beautiful countryside which forms a significant part of the countryside gap between Polegate and Hailsham. The environment of this area has already been marred by industrial development on the other side of Dittons Road and the remaining open aspect should be retained.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 16g
- Option 17a
- Option 17b

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: ☑ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 17c

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: ☑ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Potential for a New Settlement or Concentrated Growth

Reason for comment:
18 a) - g) agree 18 h) - j) disagree

Option 19a

Reason for comment:

Option 19b

Reason for comment:

Option 19c

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 20c**

**You View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21a**

**You View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21b**

**You View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21c**

**You View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
Consultee ID: 106653  

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option  
Option 22b  

Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
Consultee ID: 106653  

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option  
Option 22c  

Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
Consultee ID: 106653  

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option  
Option 22d  

Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
Consultee ID: 106653  

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option  
Option 23a  

Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
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Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs Agent ID:
106653

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs Agent ID:
106653

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs Agent ID:
106653

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs Agent ID:
106653

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 25c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 25g**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 25d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 25e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25f

### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 26a

### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 26b

### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27a

### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 27b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 27d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 27c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: Miss Gibbs  
106653  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 27e  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27f**

### Section of Document:
- **Open Space and Leisure Needs**

#### Your View
- **Agree**
- **No opinion**
- **Disagree**

#### Reason for comment:
- 

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question Twenty Nine

#### Section of Document:
- **Housing Delivery**

#### Your View
- **Disagree**

#### Reason for comment:
- 

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Gibbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106653</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Where We Want to get to

#### Section of Document:
- **Where We Want to get to**

#### Your View
- **Disagree**

#### Reason for comment:
- 

---
Consultee ID: Mr Clayton
Agent ID:
106654

Part of document: option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Clayton
Agent ID:
106654

Part of document: option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Clayton
Agent ID:
106654

Part of document: option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Clayton
Agent ID:
106654

Part of document: option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106654 Mr Clayton
Part of document: [] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Section of Document: Option 3b
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106654 Mr Clayton
Part of document: [] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Section of Document: Option 3c
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106654 Mr Clayton
Part of document: [] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Section of Document: Option 5a
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106654 Mr Clayton
Part of document: [] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Section of Document: Option 5b
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Clayton
Agent ID: 106654

Part of document: Option 7a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Clayton
Agent ID: 106654

Part of document: Option 7b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Clayton
Agent ID: 106654

Part of document: Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Clayton
Agent ID: 106654

Part of document: Option 20b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Developement within walking/cycling distance of mainline train station at Wadhurst should be prioritised so as to help reduce traffic increases from developement.
As a matter of principle settlement boundaries are a significant benefit in establishing the extent of policy provisions within any document seeking to establish appropriate new development locations. The practice should therefore be pursued in future, but only if it is handled in a far more pragmatic manner than in the past. Past practice has been to draw boundaries not only around the principle development areas (existing and proposed) of towns and villages but also to draw those boundaries so tightly that in some cases every potential piece of land such as rear and side gardens is specifically excluded from the development area so as to prevent development. This has often resulted in a situation that a village is stated to be appropriate for development but there is absolutely no possibility of such development occurring. If the Core Strategy is to allocate land for village development (and I consider that it should) then it should do so by establishing a development framework based on existing boundaries and other defensible lines and that where appropriate this should specifically include gardens of properties and other peripheral land considered suitable for development. The extent of such sites and available land falls to be considered on a strategic basis (the urban/rural split) but it should be possible on the basis of given need and agreed split to deal with village development in a much more suitable manner than in the past. In some villages merely allowing development on garden land currently excluded from the settlement boundary could provide for appropriate growth for the village - that is sometimes the extent of the lack of realism previously employed.
The purpose of the Core Strategy is to set down the council's strategic approach to development allocations and therefore it is agreed that it is necessary in an overall sense to decide generally on the balance to be drawn between urban and rural development. Question 6 is therefore fundamental to the approach to be adopted in the Strategy and the Council is entirely correct to seek the views of consultees on the general level at which the split should occur. That approach would in any case allow a degree of flexibility throughout the District to vary the local relationship between development - the Core Strategy is in any case intended as a policy overview within which local allocations will be made. There are considerable difficulties in a District such as Wealden in establishing appropriate locations for larger scale development and there is no doubt that that should be within or adjacent to the urban areas. There should be a balance between development in the Sussex Coast Policy Area and the Rest of Wealden and there should be recognition that in the latter there is pressure for development that should be met. There is undoubtedly potential for further growth at Uckfield and there is a greater justification for additional growth at Crowborough that would reflect and build upon its scale and status. There are however villages where additional development is equally needed to provide adequate housing for local people and the setting of a target for a proportion of village housing in the Strategy is the only realistic way of this being achieved. Unless there is a target local parish politics will always seek preservation, as evidenced by the variety of comments made in response to question 6 that seek no development at all. The purpose of the Core Strategy is to direct development overall and the basic approach is therefore appropriate. As to the proportion I consider that 20% - 25% of development in villages is appropriate, but in reaching a decision I would urge the Council to have regard to the responses to the suggestions in Part 5 as to potential village growth, to reach a realistic view as to the level of village development achievable and to build this proportion into the final figure. That would give the percentage a context and credibility to achievement of the percentage figures selected.
Option 9b

Reason for comment:
The most appropriate allocation of provision for new housing in the Rest of Wealden Area is between Uckfield and Crowborough because both are major settlements with good credentials for development as set out elsewhere in the consultation document. In particular Crowborough has in the past taken proportionately less development that is appropriate to its scale and status and as a result it has lacked the critical mass to enable the provision of adequate services and facilities commensurate with the local population needs. There is considerable land on the periphery of the town that would be suitable for development although there needs to be care taken as to access in particular. At present the employment development in Crowborough is inappropriately located, both on established sites and those currently being developed. There is other land that is much more suitably located to the A26 that should be considered for development ahead of other areas of the town that suffer from relative inaccessibility, but if the town is selected for development to meet the needs of the District a very sustainable and well located new neighbourhood could be created. While the relationship of the town to the AONB is clearly an important issue the land north of the town would provide such significant benefits without unacceptable adverse effect either on the landscape or, particularly, on established residential areas and main traffic generators that the advantages would clearly outweigh any disbenefits.

Question Ten

Reason for comment:
I agree that there is a need to ensure that the planning process is efficient and pays regard to the manner in which need for new dwellings will be fulfilled. The thresholds suggested are set at a paragmatic level and should ensure that the allocation stages of the plan process are completed more efficiently. Many respondents seem to misunderstand the nature of the question, and of course the possibility of smaller sites coming forward without being specifically allocated remains, allowing smaller settlements without allocations to grow at a smaller rate. The allocation thresholds are supported.
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

For many years the difficulty with allocation of land for development at Crowborough has been that the location of land considered to have potential is located in a place where access is very poor and where the prospect of large scale development could not be successfully promoted for that reason. Option 11d therefore remains inappropriate and there is no prospect of that situation changing because of the impossibility of encouraging large scale increases in traffic through the town between the site and the A26. It is therefore refreshing to see that the Council is prepared to consider other development options without the landscape status of the land being taken as an immoveable constraint to development. In this respect it is agreed that land at Steel Cross is in an excellent location in terms of access and it is considered that there is sufficient land available that could be promoted as a mixed commercial and residential site. This includes land that is former orchards and further land fronting Palesgate Lane in easy reach of the junction with Green Lane. The land is already in proximity to recreation uses and more could be included as proposed. The area has good access to the A26 and to Beacon School and there is no reason why additional bus service could not be created. This is an excellent opportunity and is the best of the options for development set out in the document.

Option 11d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

This level of development in an area of the town that has such poor accessibility (traffic to 1000 additional homes along Western Road!) is simply not a sensible option.
Reason for comment:
The development boundary of Crowborough at Steel Cross has long been a strange anomaly. The boundary follows Eridge Road along the frontage of houses east of the road and then inexplicably plunges eastwards, excluding the rest of the ribbon of development that is of entirely the same character and a lot of other land before joining Green Lane. Bizarrely the Council has granted planning permission for an island of development in the excluded area, confirming its suitability for development. It would be entirely logical, sensible and appropriate to redraw the development boundary so that it continued northeastwards along Eridge Road to Steel Cross Road, turned east along Steel cross Road and the turned south down Green Lane. In that simple process the boundary would become logical and would include other land suitable for development without any encroachment on the further outlying areas at all. It is precisely this sort of boundary correction that can enable the Council to increase housing provision without actually changing its basic policy approach.

Reason for comment:
The option to focus new development in the Sussex Coast Policy Area in Polegate/Willingdon and on the edge of Eastbourne is considered appropriate in view of the proximity to the higher level services and facilities of the town, and is an approach that has been very successful in the past, for instance with the expansion of Stone Cross. This is an area in which new housing provision would accord well with the advice in PPS3. There is land at Stone Cross that is appropriate for new development that is well related to the existing settlement, in particular on the northern side where there is land adjacent to the settlement boundary that could be included. It is noted that in Figure 13 Stone Cross is identified as both a larger Service Centre and a village with Growth Potential and these designations support further growth as suggested. The would ensure that the Core Strategy met sustainability credentials in a manner that would be more acceptable than with the other options.
Crowborough is not a 'high density' place and there is more than sufficient empty space in the town centre to meet 'local retail needs' without building more in a location that is poorly related to the High Street and would create a new town centre based on Morrisons. Think about more community space.

Increased community facilities are the most important of the options and should be given the greatest priority. More retail development is, however, the least sensible option because the centre of the town is all but dead already through lack of demand and the Morrison's and Lidl developments are so remote that they are never going to be of any assistance to the smaller retailers in the town centre - the retail policy of the Council for Crowborough seems to be to encourage shoppers to Tunbridge Wells for all non food development. Action is needed for town centre promotion, not more empty retail space out of the town centre that, if it benefits anyone, will only serve to increase the business of the supermarkets to which it will be closest. New business locations with good access would potentially be the greatest impetus for growth and improvement in Crowborough and therefore the proposed development at Steel Cross is the most important possible strategy for the town. I do not think that high tree cover and the network of Twittens area 'Spatial Objectives' - they should surely be at the heart of the development control process anyway. Jarvis Brook could also be improved with some benefit to the town overall but again there is the difficulty of access.
Question 17e

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Stone Cross is an excellent location for new development and this has been shown by the success of the recent developments in the area. There is additional land north of the settlement that is appropriate for further development. Two locations have been included in the document but there is land between the two that would be suitable for further housing, albeit on a smaller scale. This is land fronting the west side of Hailsham Road, north of existing development at The Crossways and St Michaels Close and south of the bypass. It is eminently suitable for development with either (or both) of the other options for development north of Stone Cross or indeed separately. It is sufficient in size to accommodate at least 30 dwellings and is therefore an appropriate site for allocation within the terms of the Council's proposed allocation thresholds.

---

Option 2a

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

---

Option 2b

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106661 Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Part of document:** | Document: [ ]  
Chapter: [ ]  
Section: [ ]  
Option: [✓] |
| **Section of Document:** | |
| **Your View** | Agree: [ ]  
No opinion: [ ]  
Disagree: [✓] |
| **Reason for comment:** | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106661 Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Part of document:** | Document: [ ]  
Chapter: [ ]  
Section: [ ]  
Option: [✓] |
| **Section of Document:** | |
| **Your View** | Agree: [ ]  
No opinion: [ ]  
Disagree: [✓] |
| **Reason for comment:** | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106661 Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Part of document:** | Document: [ ]  
Chapter: [ ]  
Section: [ ]  
Option: [✓] |
| **Section of Document:** | |
| **Your View** | Agree: [ ]  
No opinion: [ ]  
Disagree: [✓] |
| **Reason for comment:** | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106661 Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Part of document:** | Document: [ ]  
Chapter: [ ]  
Section: [ ]  
Option: [✓] |
| **Section of Document:** | |
| **Your View** | Agree: [ ]  
No opinion: [ ]  
Disagree: [✓] |
| **Reason for comment:** | |
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston 106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Question 4e

Your View: ☑ No opinion
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston 106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Question 4e

Your View: ☑ No opinion
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston 106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 5a

Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston 106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 5b

Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: option
Section of Document: Question 5c

Reason for comment:
1. No further development. 2. Try north of England (lakes district?)

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 6a

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 6b

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 6c

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Question 6d

Your View  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

None of the above. 0/0 split.

---

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Option 7a

Your View  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Option 7b

Your View  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Option 7c

Your View  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston               Agent ID:
106661               Michelham Farm
Part of document:                ☑ option
Option 7d
Section of Document:

Your View        ☑ Agree      ☐ No opinion     ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston               Agent ID:
106661               Michelham Farm
Part of document:                ☑ option
Question 7e
Section of Document:

Your View        ☑ Agree      ☐ No opinion     ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Settlements should not be classified for growth. Settlements should remain as they are (reclassified for stasis or declassified for growth).

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston               Agent ID:
106661               Michelham Farm
Part of document:                ☑ option
Option 8a
Section of Document:

Your View        ☐ Agree      ☐ No opinion     ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston               Agent ID:
106661               Michelham Farm
Part of document:                ☑ option
Option 8b
Section of Document:

Your View        ☐ Agree      ☐ No opinion     ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: option
Option 8c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: option
Option 8d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: option
Option 9a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: option
Option 9b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:   ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 9c

Your View   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:   ☑ option

Section of Document:

Question Ten

Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:   ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 11a

Your View   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:   ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 12b

Your View   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 11b</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 11c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 11d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 11e</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston</td>
<td>Agent ID: Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 11f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID: Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 11g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID: Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 11h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID: Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Wollaston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 12c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 12d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 12e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 12f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 13a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Question 13b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 14a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 14b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  Agent ID: 106661 Michelham Farm

Option 14c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 14d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 14e
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 14f
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✧ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✧ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✧ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✧ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✧ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✧ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✧ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✧ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✧ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✧ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 14k
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15a
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15b
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15c
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Mr Wollaston  
Michelham Farm  

Option 15d  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
No Growth (emphatic voice) without locally elected representative agreement.

Option 15e  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Question 15g  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Option 15f  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 15h**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[x]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 15i**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[x]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16a**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[x]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
Agent ID: 106661
Michelham Farm

Part of document: Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
Agent ID: 106661
Michelham Farm

Part of document: Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
Agent ID: 106661
Michelham Farm

Part of document: Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
Agent ID: 106661
Michelham Farm

Part of document: Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option

Section of Document:

Option 17b

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- Option 16e

Section of Document:  
Your View: ☐ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- Option 16f

Section of Document:  
Your View: ☐ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- Option 16g

Section of Document:  
Your View: ☐ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- Question 16h

Section of Document:  
Your View: ☐ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Page 2950 of 6217
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 16j

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston 106661
Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston 106661
Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston 106661
Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston 106661
Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106661</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106661</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 17d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106661</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 17d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106661</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 17d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106661</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 17d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Wollaston</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 17e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 17e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 17e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>106661 Mr Wollaston Michelham Farm</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>106661 Mr Wollaston Michelham Farm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>106661 Mr Wollaston Michelham Farm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>106661 Mr Wollaston Michelham Farm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: 106661  Mr Wollaston  Michelham Farm

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106661  Mr Wollaston  Michelham Farm

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106661  Mr Wollaston  Michelham Farm

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106661  Mr Wollaston  Michelham Farm

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm  

Part of document:  
Option 18c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm  

Part of document:  
Option 18d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm  

Part of document:  
Option 18e  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID:    Mr Wollaston
               106661 Michelham Farm
Agent ID:
Part of document:    ☐ document    ☐ chapter    ☐ section    ☑ option
Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View    ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:    Mr Wollaston
               106661 Michelham Farm
Agent ID:
Part of document:    ☐ document    ☐ chapter    ☐ section    ☑ option
Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View    ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:    Mr Wollaston
               106661 Michelham Farm
Agent ID:
Part of document:    ☐ document    ☐ chapter    ☐ section    ☑ option
Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View    ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:    Mr Wollaston
               106661 Michelham Farm
Agent ID:
Part of document:    ☐ document    ☐ chapter    ☐ section    ☑ option
Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View    ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 18k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661  Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661  Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661  Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661  Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Option 20c

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Question 20d

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Option 21d

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Option 21e

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106661</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✅ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106661</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✅ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106661</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✅ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106661</th>
<th>Michelham Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✅ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 22e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661  
Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
- Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661  
Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
- Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661  
Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
- Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661  
Michelham Farm

Part of document:  
- Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 24b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 24c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 24c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 24d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661  Michelham Farm  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Option 25a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661  Michelham Farm  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Option 25a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661  Michelham Farm  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Option 25b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661  Michelham Farm  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option  
Option 25b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:
Your View    ✓ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
106661 Michelham Farm

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:
Your View    ✓ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
Agent ID: 106661
Michelham Farm

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
Agent ID: 106661
Michelham Farm

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston
Agent ID: 106661
Michelham Farm

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  Agent ID: 106661  Michelham Farm

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  Agent ID: 106661  Michelham Farm

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  Agent ID: 106661  Michelham Farm

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  Agent ID: 106661  Michelham Farm

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document:  Housing Delivery
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment: Agree- only if the majority of local people agree.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option

**Question Thirty**

**Section of Document:**  Infrastructure Requirements

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option

**Question 31a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option

**Question 31b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wollaston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106661</td>
<td>Michelham Farm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option

**Question 32a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Wollaston  
106661 Michelham Farm  

Section of Document: Question 32b  

Reason for comment:  
1. I disagree with the concept that local housing policy should be dictated by central government, who are not local and do not understand the pressure on land in the South East. 2. The infrastructure of the South East is under massive strain. Develop in the North of England instead. I would support new arrivals and inward immigration to settle in the North only (coming here taking our jobs). 3. I am dissatisfied with the way this questionnaire has been designed. It gives the impression that major housing projects have already been approved (I didn't vote for them). 4. It is high time that local civil servants listened to the voice of the (socially acceptable) grassroots people and their democratically elected representatives (who hold the same views as me). 5. I want to and paid to live in a rural environment (what about what I want)! 6. Your website is terrible, I spent nearly two hours attempting to find this survey online. I failed and its your fault! 7. What about Brown Field Sites (Arlington Stadium)?

Consultee ID: Mrs Bassnett  
106663  

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty  

Reason for comment:  
We should protect the AONB and use all available brownfield sites in surrounding areas before destroying the heritage of the next generation.

Consultee ID: Mrs Bassnett  
106663  

Section of Document: Option 2a  

Reason for comment:  
Increased insulation and solar panels together with good housekeeping will all save energy. Wind farms on the High Weald will destroy the ANOB.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bassnett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Bassnett

**Part of document:** Option 2b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [X] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Increased insulation and solar panels together with good housekeeping will all save energy. Wind farms on the High Weald will destroy the ANOB.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bassnett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Bassnett

**Part of document:** Option 3a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Only if land is already a brownfield site.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bassnett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Bassnett

**Part of document:** Option 3b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bassnett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Bassnett

**Part of document:** Option 3c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bassnett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106663</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:
- Option 6a

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bassnett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106663</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:
- Option 6b

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bassnett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106663</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:
- Option 6c

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bassnett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106663</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:
- Option 13a

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
I support comments of Mr Neil Rose: Local employment opportunities fragile and limited, so any development will increase commuting in particular to Tunbridge Wells and London. Wadhurst railway station parking now full to capacity and no room to expand. Road infrastructure is poor, very congested at times. B2100 link road from Tunbridge Wells towards A21 and A268 to Rye (used as A21 diversion when shut): B2099 cross country route from Crowborough towards Ashford: both roads neglected by ESCC. Kent Public carpark taken over by Uplands Community College in term time, so only parking available is kerbside. Water supply poor (on top of a hill we have no water pressure and situation worsens with any development). One stretched doctors' surgery with limited vehicular access. Bus service to Tunbridge Wells but only of use to those within walking distance of routes. Wadhurst is a ridge village in an AONB. One of its pleasures is occasional fields breaking up existing developments. If these disappear then the village will lose much of its character.

Reason for comment:
House building should reflect local need.

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Bassnett
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bassnett
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24d
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Largely disagree, development within the ANOB should only be allowed if it is intended to supply the needs of the local community not the developers. All buildings should be designed to have minimal environmental and visual impact on the landscape. The presumption should be against such development with the onus being on developers to both show a genuine need for local housing and meet it.

Consultee ID: Wright
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
As an absolute minimum, the standard is far too low.
Developers should be required to incorporate best available technology into all new homes. This will include but not be limited to; photovoltaics and solar hot water on all south facing roofs, passive solar heating designed into the buildings, combined heat and power boilers, micro wind turbines where conditions are suitable. All these technologies are mature enough to be used and there should be a requirement for the developer to demonstrate that the technologies are not suitable if they do not wish to incorporate them. This would add to the cost of the housing but is far cheaper than retrofitting to existing stock, would pay for itself relatively quickly and would allow residents to sell back to the grid. There is no excuse given the imminent global warming crisis for councils and developers not to take this seriously.

With reservations- this should not be used as an excuse for planting housing on land which may be used for creating employment in the medium term.

With reservations- this should not be used as an excuse for planting housing on land which may be used for creating employment in the medium term.
Consultee ID: Wright
106664

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
We need sustainable communities with local employment and facilities not car dependent commuter dormitories.

Consultee ID: Wright
106664

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
If there is a need for development this area has the best transport links.

Consultee ID: Wright
106664

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This area will create an out of town development with limited access except by car and risks creating ribbon development linking Polegate and Hailsham.

Consultee ID: Wright
106664

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Option 4d

Reason for comment:
Could create much needed local employment in hailsham

Option 5a

Reason for comment:
with reservations. development should be needed locally and any expansion must be consulted on. This should not be used to create high density housing developments with no gardens or open space in order to hit quotas for number of households "if we build rabbit warrens we will create people with a rabbit warren mentality" we must avoid creating future social problems by building slums.

Option 5b

Reason for comment:
Broadly disagree-this may be desirable within strict limits but establishing it as a general principle would lead to abuse.

Option 6a

Reason for comment:
the decision should be made on a case by case basis without proscribing. All development should be sustainable and made with regard to local housing need and availability of public transport.
Consultee ID: Wright
106664

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment: As above

Consultee ID: Wright
106664

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment: as above

Consultee ID: Wright
106664

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment: This seems eminently sensible

Consultee ID: Wright
106664

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 7c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Should help protect village infrastructure and communities. However it is pointless to protect amenities which are not economically viable in the long term.

Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Should help protect village infrastructure and communities. However it is pointless to protect amenities which are not economically viable in the long term.

Option 8a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
But only if public transport links can be much improved—Hailsham needs local development.

Option 8b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Runs the risk of creating continuous strip development along the railway line. Focus should be on reducing transport needs by developing sustainable towns and improving public transport rather than shoe horning in development where links already exist. This plan risks protecting the countryside by producing extensive suburban belts. People in the Polegate/Willingdon area need space to breathe between built up areas.
Part of document: Option 8c
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Would create a continuous strip development along the A22 splitting development means both areas would suffer additional development without gaining enough benefits and infrastructure to support them.

Part of document: Option 8d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
If there is a possibility of creating limited and carefully planned development while reducing pressure on other areas it should be considered but exploring the possibility should not automatically lead to it going ahead if it is found to be unsuitable.

Part of document: Option 9a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
provided transport problems can be solved

Part of document: Option 9b
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>106664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 9c

**Section of Document:**
- Option 9c

**Your View**
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- So long as this does not lead to large scale development by stealth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>106664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Question Ten

**Section of Document:**
- Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**
- Agree

**Reason for comment:**
- So long as this does not lead to large scale development by stealth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>106664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 11a

**Section of Document:**
- Option 11a

**Your View**
- Agree

**Reason for comment:**
- Should only be considered if the whole development could be integrated with polegate. the roads cut this area off so there would need to be a good well lit and well maintained foot +cycle route into the town.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>106664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 16a

**Section of Document:**
- Option 16a

**Your View**
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Should only be considered if the whole development could be integrated with polegate. the roads cut this area off so there would need to be a good well lit and well maintained foot +cycle route into the town.
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
creates strip development from Polegate to Hailsham. Poor public transport links.

Option 16c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Again spreads Polegate too far north towards Hailsham. Access to this area would be a problem.

Option 16d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This area has very limited access to the rest of Polegate and would need Shepham Lane to be much larger to provide access with knock on effects to people living along the access routes there is not enough drainage or water treatment, the site is highly visible and would degrade the amenity value of a wide area. This is the least viable option of all the suggestions for Polegate and would not provide very much housing unless the density were unacceptably high.

Option 16e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
We should not infill to the bypass just because it is there. This area already has some industrial use set aside no housing should be built alongside it.
Option 16f

Reason for comment:
Closes the open space between Eastbourne and Polegate, the area is becoming overdeveloped as it is.

Question 16i

Reason for comment:
Broadly agree. Bear in mind that much expansion beyond the present boundaries will be visible for long distances either from the downs or across the levels. Polegate should not have large developments attached to it purely to protect its neighbours from expansion. There has not been a rail junction at Polegate for many years - it is unfortunate that the consultation document did not get this basic fact right.

Option 18h

Reason for comment:
This would link Polegate and Hailsham as a single urban corridor. Unacceptable.
Risks spreading Polegate towards Lewes. Both the road and railway services would need to be drastically improved before this became an option.

Have you gone completely mad?

or more
Consultee ID: Wright  
106664

Part of document: Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
unacceptable except for limited urbanised areas.

Consultee ID: Wright  
106664

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright  
106664

Part of document: Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright  
106664

Part of document: Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106664</td>
<td>Wright</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Create mixed developments not slum estates.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106664</td>
<td>Wright</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 26a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106664</td>
<td>Wright</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106664</td>
<td>Wright</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---
Option 27c

Reason for comment:
The rail services are congested already any park and ride services must be additional. Perhaps there should be a dedicated shuttle between parkway and eastbourne if this plan goes ahead.

Option 27d

Reason for comment:
Your View

Option 27f

Reason for comment:
Parking standards should attempt to drive down the amount of car use. If people wish the community to bear the costs of more than one car in terms of congestion etc. they should expect to pay excess to park them.

Option 28a

Reason for comment:
Para. 1.20 We neither agree nor disagree. Clearly the Panel’s recommendations and Secretary of State’s Proposed Changes to RSS9 will need to be reflected in forthcoming stages of the Core Strategy. Given recent Govt. announcements with regard to the provision of housing it seems highly likely that housing requirements will generally be increased across the South East, including Wealden District.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106665  Hallam Land Management Ltd
Agent ID: 102489  DLP Planning Ltd

Part of document:  
- Document  
- Chapter  
- Section  
- Option

Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
1.21 We neither agree nor disagree. The difficulty with infrastructure constraints generally is noted. It should not be forgotten that development is often a means by which these may satisfactorily be resolved.

Consultee ID: 106665  Hallam Land Management Ltd
Agent ID: 102489  DLP Planning Ltd

Part of document:  
- Document  
- Chapter  
- Section  
- Option

Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
1.24 The housing requirement figures set out in this paragraph are confusing as is the use of terminology. Housing land must be allocated to ensure the required annual level of provision; this may well require a greater level of allocation than would be derived from a simple multiplication of that annual rate over the remaining years of the plan period. In a similar vein the figures noted are not clearly derived from the referenced background paper.

Consultee ID: 106665  Hallam Land Management Ltd
Agent ID: 102489  DLP Planning Ltd

Part of document:  
- Document  
- Chapter  
- Section  
- Option

Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
1.29 We neither agree nor disagree. Simply to note that RSS9’s proposed definition of small towns (Section D8 - paragraph 6.1) of 3,000 – 10,000 population, would suggest that all of the listed towns, but for Heathfield, are larger than ‘small towns’ as defined in draft RSS9.
2.16 It is clear that there are considerable environmental constraints that impact upon Wealden. However, insofar as the Council is consulting upon options for a new settlement in the Low Weald, this would suggest that there is potential for the accommodation of strategic scale development in the District.

2.27/2.28 We neither agree nor disagree. In the circumstances outlined and in order to meet the vision and aspirations for the Sussex Coast Policy Area (RSS9) and the Hailsham / Eastbourne / Polegate / Willingdon Triangle; it will be essential for WDC to take a strong lead in the delivery and implementation of new WTW to serve the area. This should include mechanisms to bring forward planning and funding for the required infrastructure in conjunction with development at the earliest opportunity.
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
We neither agree nor disagree. The approach to housing delivery in the AONB should accord with national guidance and policy, unless WDC are able to strongly justify an alternative approach based on local circumstances.

Option 2a

Reason for comment:
There are many variables that will impact upon a development’s particular ability to meet its energy requirements from renewable sources. This is also an area of considerable change in terms of emerging national planning policy guidance; the recently published Green Paper points towards a review of Building Regulations as the most appropriate mechanism for addressing energy efficiency and the use of renewables; it also indicates that further guidance will be contained in the supplement to PPS1 on climate change. In this context and given the early stage in the process, the Council should review its options on targets for renewable energy in the light of the guidance that emerges.
Reason for comment:

We support all of the proposed ‘options’ identified in this question. There is little purpose in retaining sites identified for employment use if there is no realistic prospect of it coming forward (a); existing employment premises should be retained for employment uses where there is evidence of need (b) albeit individual site circumstances should be taken into account, e.g. local amenity and redevelopment for alternative employment uses should not be precluded; the type of new provision should be led by policy requirements, albeit not to an extent that disregards the needs of existing businesses (c); finally, land should be identified and allocated to meet the prospective employment needs of the occupiers of new housing, although slow delivery in this regard should not be seen as a reason to slow down housing provision, only a proportion of new jobs are delivered on land specifically allocated for B Class uses and the delivery of housing can be a means of securing other important policy objectives that are not directly related to employment provision, e.g. new and improved infrastructure.
We support all of the proposed ‘options’ identified in this question. There is little purpose in retaining sites identified for employment use if there is no realistic prospect of it coming forward (a); existing employment premises should be retained for employment uses where there is evidence of need (b) albeit individual site circumstances should be taken into account, e.g. local amenity and redevelopment for alternative employment uses should not be precluded; the type of new provision should be led by policy requirements, albeit not to an extent that disregards the needs of existing businesses (c); finally, land should be identified and allocated to meet the prospective employment needs of the occupiers of new housing, although slow delivery in this regard should not be seen as a reason to slow down housing provision, only a proportion of new jobs are delivered on land specifically allocated for B Class uses and the delivery of housing can be a means of securing other important policy objectives that are not directly related to employment provision, e.g. new and improved infrastructure.
Option 4a

Reason for comment:
This question deals with the four broad locations as alternatives, our inference being that the identification of one will preclude the identification of any of the others. This is not a sound basis on which to progress, the simple fact of the matter is that the Council has already identified the need for different types of employment land provision and it may well be the case that more than one of the broad locations will be necessary to meet differing land requirements of different business sectors. Much more work needs to be undertaken, including an Employment Land Review in accordance with Govt. guidelines if one is not in hand, before choices should be posed about differing locations for new employment development. Having said this, we are of the view that employment land provision should relate well to wherever new housing development is to be focussed. One of the options proposed later in the Issues & Options report identifies a Hailsham / Polegate / Willingdon axis for development. In this context it would seem appropriate to make provision for employment land at Hailsham and Polegate / Willingdon in the A22 corridor.

Option 4b

Reason for comment:
This question deals with the four broad locations as alternatives, our inference being that the identification of one will preclude the identification of any of the others. This is not a sound basis on which to progress, the simple fact of the matter is that the Council has already identified the need for different types of employment land provision and it may well be the case that more than one of the broad locations will be necessary to meet differing land requirements of different business sectors. Much more work needs to be undertaken, including an Employment Land Review in accordance with Govt. guidelines if one is not in hand, before choices should be posed about differing locations for new employment development. Having said this, we are of the view that employment land provision should relate well to wherever new housing development is to be focussed. One of the options proposed later in the Issues & Options report identifies a Hailsham / Polegate / Willingdon axis for development. In this context it would seem appropriate to make provision for employment land at Hailsham and Polegate / Willingdon in the A22 corridor.
Reason for comment:
This question deals with the four broad locations as alternatives, our inference being that the identification of one will preclude the identification of any of the others. This is not a sound basis on which to progress, the simple fact of the matter is that the Council has already identified the need for different types of employment land provision and it may well be the case that more than one of the broad locations will be necessary to meet differing land requirements of different business sectors. Much more work needs to be undertaken, including an Employment Land Review in accordance with Govt. guidelines if one is not in hand, before choices should be posed about differing locations for new employment development. Having said this, we are of the view that employment land provision should relate well to wherever new housing development is to be focussed. One of the options proposed later in the Issues & Options report identifies a Hailsham / Polegate / Willingdon axis for development. In this context it would seem appropriate to make provision for employment land at Hailsham and Polegate / Willingdon in the A22 corridor.
Reason for comment:

This question deals with the four broad locations as alternatives, our inference being that the identification of one will preclude the identification of any of the others. This is not a sound basis on which to progress, the simple fact of the matter is that the Council has already identified the need for different types of employment land provision and it may well be the case that more than one of the broad locations will be necessary to meet differing land requirements of different business sectors. Much more work needs to be undertaken, including an Employment Land Review in accordance with Govt. guidelines if one is not in hand, before choices should be posed about differing locations for new employment development. Having said this, we are of the view that employment land provision should relate well to wherever new housing development is to be focussed. One of the options proposed later in the Issues & Options report identifies a Hailsham / Polegate / Willingdon axis for development. In this context it would seem appropriate to make provision for employment land at Hailsham and Polegate / Willingdon in the A22 corridor.

Reason for comment:

4.16 PPS7 does not ‘require’ certain villages to be classified as ‘local service centres’, paragraph 3 notes that such a function may be delivered by a small town, a village or a group of villages.

Reason for comment:

4.25 The final sentence of this paragraph notes that a Hailsham / Polegate axis would result in the reduction of gaps between the towns. However, this is a criticism that might be levelled at a Hailsham focus that sought to locate the bulk of development to the south of the town; or a Polegate / Willingdon focus that sought to locate development generally to the north of the urban area.
4.50 We would support this 'direction of travel', which has been reinforced by the publication of the recent Green Paper.

4.9 We neither agree nor disagree. See our comments in response to 1.29 above.

4.2 The interpretation of PPS12 Annex A guidance is not correct. The Core Strategy proposals are to be shown on a Key Diagram in the Core Strategy itself (para A1) the Proposals Map will be the mechanism by which Core Strategy proposals are shown on an OS base (A2) but this can only be once the detailed boundaries of the Core Strategy proposals have been considered and determined through an appropriate allocations / area action DPD. This Core Strategy should not be endeavouring to deal with such detailed issues as site boundaries for urban extension areas.
Option 5a

Reason for comment:
We would support Option 5A. The definition of settlement boundaries is a generally a well understood and unambiguous policy mechanism for the application of appropriate development plan policies. The Core Strategy, however, should provide some indication of the basis on which such boundaries may be subject to review for the purposes of the LDF; secondly, it should identify the settlements where those boundaries will be reviewed with the specific objective of accommodating land to be allocated for housing and / or employment growth through an allocations or area action DPD.

Option 6a

Reason for comment:
In our view the proposed options promoting alternative urban / rural splits to housing provision would be both simplistic and mechanistic; and are not a reasonable response to the debate set out in the supporting text. Sustainable development principles and RSS9 dictate a predominantly urban focus to the delivery of housing and employment development. However, this has to be balanced against other competing policy objectives, e.g. affordable housing provision and access to employment opportunities in rural communities, as well as the maintenance of an even supply of housing over the plan period and the need to address infrastructure requirements, which will also dictate certain locational aspects of development. The implications in terms of housing and / or employment land distribution of alternative splits in provision (which are unlikely to be that significantly different in any event, given the narrow 10% band) should be presented as options, rather than crude percentages.
Reason for comment:
In our view the proposed options promoting alternative urban / rural splits to housing provision would be both simplistic and mechanistic; and are not a reasonable response to the debate set out in the supporting text. Sustainable development principles and RSS9 dictate a predominantly urban focus to the delivery of housing and employment development. However, this has to be balanced against other competing policy objectives, e.g. affordable housing provision and access to employment opportunities in rural communities, as well as the maintenance of an even supply of housing over the plan period and the need to address infrastructure requirements, which will also dictate certain locational aspects of development. The implications in terms of housing and / or employment land distribution of alternative splits in provision (which are unlikely to be that significantly different in any event, given the narrow 10% band) should be presented as options, rather than crude percentages.
In our view the proposed options promoting alternative urban / rural splits to housing provision would be both simplistic and mechanistic; and are not a reasonable response to the debate set out in the supporting text. Sustainable development principles and RSS9 dictate a predominantly urban focus to the delivery of housing and employment development. However, this has to be balanced against other competing policy objectives, e.g. affordable housing provision and access to employment opportunities in rural communities, as well as the maintenance of an even supply of housing over the plan period and the need to address infrastructure requirements, which will also dictate certain locational aspects of development. The implications in terms of housing and / or employment land distribution of alternative splits in provision (which are unlikely to be that significantly different in any event, given the narrow 10% band) should be presented as options, rather than crude percentages.

We consider the emerging approach to be a sound basis for the classification of towns and villages within a hierarchy.

In a similar vein we also agree with the emerging approach to classifying villages insofar as they may well display growth potential. However, we do not consider that the suggested ‘capping’ is a suitable mechanism for controlling that growth. This would be a difficult policy to operate from a development control perspective. The most sensible approach to this issue, in our view, will be the specific allocation of land for development purposes through a suitable allocations / area action DPD.
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
See our response to Question 6 above. The choice proposed is not dissimilar. In short the distribution of development must respond to a myriad of competing policy objectives and only in arriving at decisions in respect of these will a cogent understanding of the relative merits of distributing development be possible.

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Such policies are common currency in many rural LPA policy documents. Provided that they are appropriately justified and applied accordingly they are a useful weapon in the armoury of policy approaches to the maintenance of rural services.

Part 5

Section of Document: Wealden’s Places

Reason for comment:
5.10 We neither agree nor disagree. See our comments in response to 1.29 & 4.9 above. WDC must establish a clear vision for the Core Strategy's content and direction. It may be inferred from aspects of the Issues & Options report that it will serve to allocate specific sites for development purposes. This is not the case, unless they are of a scale and location over which there can be little argument given constraints elsewhere; and even then the Core Strategy should not endeavour to define site boundaries. That is not to say that the Core Strategy should not be giving a strong lead in the levels of development that should be allocated to individual settlements, only that in doing so, it should not endeavour to allocate sites other than in exceptional circumstances, i.e. where the scale and location of growth are most appropriately determined at the strategic level.
Reason for comment:

We would strongly support a Hailsham / Polegate axis of development. We believe that this will maximise opportunities to address infrastructure constraints in the A22 corridor, both in terms of waste water treatment and highways; we also consider that such an approach will maximise housing delivery over the plan period, by introducing a degree of choice to the market with housing sites at either end of the corridor. We do not consider that a focus at one or the other end of the A22 corridor will maximise opportunities for housing delivery or to address infrastructure constraints most effectively. A new settlement option is not a credible short or medium term alternative to the expansion of existing settlements, given the very long lead in period to delivery, once a choice of location has been settled upon. Inevitably the infrastructure costs associated with a new settlement and a scale of development to ensure adherence to sustainable development principles will dictate a scale of development that will contribute to housing requirements beyond the current plan period. In this context, i.e. a long term development option for the period beyond 2021, a new settlement option in the Low Weald may be worthy of pursuit.

Reason for comment:

Possible Spatial Objectives for Hailsham We question the need for spatial objectives for Hailsham or indeed any of the five towns to be included in the Core Strategy. This is a level of detail that would most appropriately be included in a area action DPD for the town. The Planning Officers' Society publication Policies for Spatial Plans refers at 4.5 to spatial objectives being derived from the spatial vision and identified issues. We note that Spatial Objectives for Wealden are set out later in the Issues & Options report. It is these that should be included within the Core Strategy, not settlement specific spatial objectives as may be inferred from the Issues & Options report.
5.33 We neither agree nor disagree. We generally acknowledge that should significant development be introduced at Hailsham an additional review of the existing local highway network will be required. That said, East Sussex County Council Highways have confirmed in the past that provided the LATS improvements identified within the current Non-Statutory Local Plan are implemented, then there will be additional capacity on the local highway network for a proportion of any future development. It is therefore important that existing highway infrastructure is fully utilised in any sequential ranking process when reviewing potential development areas. This will ensure development will proceed in a sustainable and deliverable manner.
This approach would be confusing, for the public, developers and anyone else who needs to be involved in the development process. The Core Strategy should be capable of giving a strong steer on the levels of growth to be sought from individual settlements, whether towns or villages suitable considered suitable for growth. WDC’s responsibility must then be to allocate sufficient land to meet that identified level of housing growth through appropriate allocation site / area action DPD. To do as suggested flies in the face of PPS3 guidance, effectively the Council would be endeavouring to meet its housing requirement in part through a criterion based ‘windfall’ approach. This will lead to uncertainty and quite probably a significant level of planning through appeal.

We neither agree nor disagree. • Developable but not deliverable within short term • Reliant on office relocation • Doubt marketability of high density development in this location

• Impact upon AONB, landscape character and setting of Crowborough • The relocation / replacement of the formal open space may have an impact upon deliverability
**Consultee ID:** Hallam Land Management Ltd  
**Agent ID:** Mr Hyde  
**Part of document:** ☑ option  
**Section of Document:** Option 11c

**Reason for comment:**  
- Impact upon AONB and Landscape Character  
- Impact upon Ancient Woodland  
- Difficulties surrounding accessibility to the Town Centre and local facilities  
- Loss of recreation allocation – although acknowledge that this could be delivered as part of the scheme.

---

**Consultee ID:** Hallam Land Management Ltd  
**Agent ID:** Mr Hyde  
**Part of document:** ☑ option  
**Section of Document:** Option 11d

**Reason for comment:**  
- Impact upon Landscape Character although not in AONB  
- Issues regarding the topography of the site and developability  
- Constraints on local highway network  
- Difficulties surrounding accessibility to town centre services

---

**Consultee ID:** Hallam Land Management Ltd  
**Agent ID:** Mr Hyde  
**Part of document:** ☑ option  
**Section of Document:** Option 11e

**Reason for comment:**  
- Impact upon Landscape Character although outside AONB  
- Difficulties securing access into the site – is likely to require the demolition of an existing residential property  
- Difficulties surrounding accessibility to town centre services  
- Impact upon Ancient Woodland  
- Impact upon setting of listed building  
- Impact upon areas of flood risk  
- Issues regarding deliverability – land is in separate ownerships / development may also involve relocation of an existing recreation ground.
### Option 12b

**Consultee ID:** Hallam Land Management Ltd  
**Agent ID:** 106665  
**Reason for comment:**  
- Deliverability issues surrounding the loss of existing employment and segregated landownership.

### Option 12c

**Consultee ID:** Hallam Land Management Ltd  
**Agent ID:** 106665  
**Reason for comment:**  
- Impact upon AONB and Countryside Gap  
- Coalescence Issues  
- Difficulties securing access into the site  
- Local highways safety issues  
- Loss of employment space  
- Setting of listed buildings  
- Detached from main built up area boundary: beyond countryside gap which abuts built up area

### Option 12e

**Consultee ID:** Hallam Land Management Ltd  
**Agent ID:** 106665  
**Reason for comment:**  
- Part within AONB and part within Countryside Gap  
- Landscape impact – particularly long distant views  
- Difficulties surrounding accessibility to town centre / services  
- Difficulties with access into the site
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 12f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Impact upon AONB
- Issues regarding the topography of the site and impact upon the landscape
- Accessibility to town centre and services

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- We neither agree nor disagree.
- Distance from existing services and facilities
- Continues expansion to the south will result in development being remote from the existing town centre, areas of employment etc.
- Consideration needs to be given to lead in times and realistic annual deliverability rates
- Impact upon aquifer

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- We neither agree nor disagree.
- Potential employment opportunity
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑️ option

**Option 15a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑️ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

> With reference to our earlier representation. In terms of the capacity of the water treatment plant:

- The assessment of the existing capacity should be made on the basis of current commitments not allocations in the Non Statutory Plan which may not be delivered.
- The Water Industry Act requires water companies to fund improvements to Sewage Treatment Works through the AMP process to meet development growth and environmental improvements. On this basis the existing capacity should not be used to constrain development and growth to the North of Hailsham.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑️ option

**Option 14a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Countryside Gap
- Impact upon landscape and rural setting of Uckfield
- Impact upon Ancient Woodland
- Poor access into the site, impact upon highways safety and local road network
- Detached from built up area of Uckfield
- Little opportunity for permeability into existing urban fabric

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑️ option

**Option 14b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Countryside Gap between Uckfield and Maresfield
- Impact upon Historic Park and Garden
- Impact upon SSSI
- Divorced from existing built up area
- Impact upon setting of listed building
Consultee ID: 106665  Hallam Land Management Ltd
Agent ID: 102489  DLP Planning Ltd

Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
• Impact upon important view of old town and river valley • Sensitive topography and landscape impact
• Capacity of existing road network • Physically divorced from the existing built up area

Consultee ID: 106665  Hallam Land Management Ltd
Agent ID: 102489  DLP Planning Ltd

Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
• Limited capacity having regard to landscape sensitivity • Impact upon aquifer

Consultee ID: 106665  Hallam Land Management Ltd
Agent ID: 102489  DLP Planning Ltd

Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
• Impact upon the landscape – visually prominent • Poor access into the site • Difficulties regarding landownership and impact upon deliverability • Impact upon aquifer • Odour problems associated with adjacent sewage works
Consultee ID: Hallam Land Management Ltd
Agent ID: Mr Hyde
106665 Hallam Land Management Ltd 102489 DLP Planning Ltd

Part of document: Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We neither agree nor disagree. • Developable but not deliverable within short term • Reliant on relocation and replacement of existing employment premises • Issues surrounding land ownership and impact upon deliverability • Marketability of high density development • Impact upon conservation area • Area of flood risk

Consultee ID: Hallam Land Management Ltd
Agent ID: Mr Hyde
106665 Hallam Land Management Ltd 102489 DLP Planning Ltd

Part of document: Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
• Potential to accommodate development on land adjacent to the Cuckoo Trail including new areas of public open space adjacent to the Cuckoo Trail as part of a comprehensive scheme without serious impacting upon the landscape character of the area or biodiversity. • Potential to use and enhance the Cuckoo Trail as a sustainable pedestrian and cycle route linking the site to Hailsham Town Centre and to the railway station at Polegate. • Potential to accommodate either a modest sustainable urban extension or a comprehensive scheme, which delivers a northern relief road if the need is proven. • Potential to deliver a new primary school site / doctors’ surgery and other community uses as appropriate. • Accessible to existing local services and facilities • Potential to sustain new and improved public transport routes. We reiterate our comments to paragraph 5.3.17 above, particularly with regard to quantum of development. We suggest that when reviewing the actual quantum of housing in Hailsham, a sensitivity analysis is carried out to test the actual threshold of development numbers that would be viable across Hailsham before major highways infrastructure is required in the form of relief roads etc.
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

- Impact upon ecology – adjacent to SSSI
- Local highway safety issues and impact of additional traffic routing through the town centre in the absence of a northern relief road
- Proximity of sewerage works
- Limited opportunities to introduce sustainable transport provision
- Flood risk potential
- Impact upon landscape setting of the town.

Option 15c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

We neither agree nor disagree:
- A significant development in this location a detrimental impact upon the landscape character of the area
- Impact upon ecology – adjacent to SSSI
- Impact on archaeology
- Local highways safety issues particularly in the absence of a northern relief road
- Impact on the setting of listed buildings and the conservation area
- Constraints on deliverability
- Marketability of high density development

Option 15d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

- Detrimental impact upon landscape character
- Impact upon ecology – adjacent to SSSI / affect on Ramsar levels
- Local highways safety issues and impact of additional traffic routing through the town centre
- Close proximity to wastewater treatment works
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td></td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 15e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Detrimental impact upon landscape character and countryside gap
- Impact upon ecology – affect on Pevensey Levels Ramsar site
- Loss of woodland
- Difficulties securing access into the site
- Problems associated with integration of proposed development with existing built up area, services and facilities
- Difficulties delivering sustainable transport opportunities and specifically pedestrian links
- Problems associated with deliverability – land ownerships and loss of employment opportunities
- Impact upon the setting of a Schedule Monument

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td></td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 15f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Unsuitable for housing development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td></td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 16a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Impact upon AONB and Countryside Gap
- Detrimental impact upon landscape character – view from South Downs
- Impact upon Historic Park and Garden
- Impact upon ecology and biodiversity
- Impact upon setting of Listed Building
- Area of archaeological sensitivity
- Poorly integrated into existing built fabric
- Difficulties delivering sustainable transport opportunities and specifically pedestrian links
- Deliverability dependent upon A27/A22 link
- Constraints associated with highway capacity
- Limited capacity in terms of wastewater treatment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr</th>
<th>Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Impact upon Countryside Gap
- Impact upon landscape character – views from South Downs
- Impact upon ancient woodlands
- Impact upon ecology and biodiversity
- Impact upon setting of Listed Building
- Area of archaeological sensitivity
- Poorly integrated into existing built fabric
- Difficulties delivering sustainable transport opportunities and specifically pedestrian and cycle links
- Constraints associated with highway capacity
- Limited capacity in terms of wastewater treatment
- Difficulties securing access into the site
- Impact of high voltage power line
- Impact of noise
- Site best suited to employment development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr</th>
<th>Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Impact upon Countryside Gap
- Landscape character of area and views across the levels
- Flood risk
- Impact upon ecology and biodiversity
- Poorly integrated into existing built fabric – remote and poorly related to existing facilities and services
- Difficulties delivering sustainable transport opportunities and specifically pedestrian and cycle links
- Constraints associated with highway capacity
- Limited capacity in terms of wastewater treatment
- Difficulties securing access into the site
- Impact of noise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr</th>
<th>Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Impact upon landscape character of area and views
- Difficulties securing access
- Impact of noise
- Impact of high voltage power lines
- Limited capacity in terms of wastewater treatment
**Option 16e**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

- Problems with deliverability associated with multiple landownership
- Difficulties securing access into the site
- Remote from existing facilities and services
- Potential loss of employment opportunity

---

**Option 16f**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

- Impact upon landscape character, Countryside Gap and prominent views
- Detrimental impact upon important green wedge between Polegate and Willingdon
- High density scheme out of keeping with surrounding area
- In parts remote from existing services and facilities
- Problems associated with securing access into the site
- Impact of flood risk and drainage constraints
- Highway safety and local highway capacity constraints
- Constraints on deliverability include relocation of existing playing fields

---

**Option 16g**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

- We neither agree nor disagree
- Constraints on deliverability – multiple landownership
- Marketability of high density development in this location
- Constraints associated with the treatment of wastewater
### Option 17a

**Consultee ID:** 106665

**Agent ID:** 102489

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Impact upon landscape character
- Noise
- Location divorced from Eastbourne / Polegate and constraints associated with delivering sustainable development in this location.

### Option 17b

**Consultee ID:** 106665

**Agent ID:** 102489

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
We neither agree nor disagree
- Impact upon conservation of coastal levels and setting of church

### Option 17c

**Consultee ID:** 106665

**Agent ID:** 102489

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Impact upon landscape character and countryside gap
- Impact upon the conservation of the coastal levels
- Constraints regarding drainage capacity
- Accessibility to Eastbourne and constraints associated with delivering sustainable development in this location
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:****
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 18k

**Reason for comment:**

See our comments in response to Question 8 above. A number of the 'new settlement' options would not, in our view, constitute sustainable developments, as they are of insufficient scale to provide a suitable degree of self-containment. In our experience anything less than 5,000 units is unlikely to provide an adequate range of services and community provision (‘critical mass’) to meet sustainable development principles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:****
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**
- Wealden's Communities

**Reason for comment:**

6.41 We would support the principle of a high quality bus corridor linking Hailsham with Eastbourne via Polegate / Willingdon. This corridor should serve potential and existing development areas to the north of Hailsham, thereby maximising potential patronage. Hence allowing any service to be self sustaining and viable in economical terms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:****
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 24a

**Reason for comment:**

PPS3 para 47 sets a national minimum density of 30 dwgs/ha. However, in our experience, a range of 35 – 40 dwgs/ha would be an appropriate assumption for large scale housing development areas. Higher densities may well be appropriate in locations well served by public transport, but this is unlikely to approach 50 dwgs/ha other than in suitable town centre locations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 106665

**Agent ID:** 102489

**Hallam Land Management Ltd**

**Mr Hyde**

**DLP Planning Ltd**

**Option 25a**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

We neither agree nor disagree. Any policy that seeks a particular mix of housing should be derived from a suitable evidence base (PPS3, para 22) that must include Strategic Housing Market Assessments and Housing Needs Studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 106665

**Agent ID:** 102489

**Hallam Land Management Ltd**

**Mr Hyde**

**DLP Planning Ltd**

**Option 25b**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Policy H4 of the emerging RSS9 seeks 35% affordable housing provision, whilst Policy SCT8 of the Sussex Coast Sub-Region sets a target of 40%, adopting a site threshold of 15 units. Any policy requirement for affordable housing provision must be founded on a suitable evidence base, but furthermore targets should also be tested against the advice contained in PPS3 (para 29) particularly if higher levels of provision or lower thresholds than contained in emerging guidance are proposed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 106665

**Agent ID:** 102489

**Hallam Land Management Ltd**

**Mr Hyde**

**DLP Planning Ltd**

**Option 25c**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

We neither agree nor disagree. In a similar vein, whilst it is acknowledged that Govt. guidance may allow for much lower thresholds in rural areas, PPS3 (para 29) guidance must be reflected, particularly issues of viability, in the development of a sound evidence base.
Option 25d

Reason for comment:
The ‘allocation’ of sites for affordable housing is relatively untried and tested, whereas ‘exceptions scheme’ policies have operated relatively successful for nearly twenty years. If the former is to be pursued, we would suggest that it should not be at the expense of a policy provision that also allowed for exceptions schemes to come forward where need could be demonstrated.

Option 27a

Reason for comment:
We support the need for development to be located where viable bus services can be sustained between and into town centres.

Option 27d

Reason for comment:
The potential for dualling of the A27 (T) west is welcome. It is important to confirm to the community that the highway improvements are not just for future development, but also to assist in resolving existing congestion scenarios.
We believe that deriving parking standards from current car ownership levels is a step in the right direction. However we do express our concern that this is not applied consistently as per the 1.5 average suggested in the PPG13. We believe it is important to review local conditions while identifying ways of encouraging the use of other forms of sustainable transport while not taking away the perceived right of owning a motor vehicle.

We would generally support all three ‘options’ subject to the caveat that proposals were supported by a suitable evidence base that would at the very least include a PPG17 Open Space Assessment.

We would generally support all three ‘options’ subject to the caveat that proposals were supported by a suitable evidence base that would at the very least include a PPG17 Open Space Assessment.
Option 28c

Section of Document:
Housing Delivery

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We neither agree nor disagree. The Council appears to be proposing a broad swathe of control / intervention measures to address the perceived difficulty in housing delivery. Whilst in some respects their introduction might well be an appropriate response to an identified difficulty, it would appear that the principal blockage to delivery has already been identified by the Council in paragraph 7.6, i.e. the unlocking of infrastructure barriers. These are matters that can only be addressed by the public authorities / statutory undertakers, although Circular 5/2005 does envisage circumstances where monies secured through S106 Obligations might be utilised to ‘unlock’ programmed infrastructure. However, in terms of several of the approaches suggested the Council should be cautious, there is little purpose in stepping beyond the bounds of current practice and guidance in the absence of support from Govt. for the simple fact that to do so is likely to lead to challenge and further delay.
We neither agree nor disagree. We understand and agree with the need for improved infrastructure in terms of highways, public transport and traffic management improvements. We do, however, recommend that the authorities review this more closely than in the previous local plan requirements and ensure that each development contributes to improvements that have a direct benefit to them together with a reasonable proportion of appropriate contributions. For example we believe that developments north of Hailsham will obtain little, if any, benefit from highway improvements as far as Polegate such as (30b) A27 (T), but will benefit from the A22 (T) link and/or other junction improvements together with (30h) Hailsham Town Centre traffic relief and traffic management. As stated previously, the question of development within the various locations should be addressed. Furthermore utilisation of the additional capacity created in the non statutory local plan LATs studies should be given priority ahead of new highway schemes in the first instance. Thus a sequential ranking study of sites should be undertaken before moving forward with the LDF.

Reason for comment:

We would acknowledge that the setting of a ‘Vision’ for Wealden should be a matter that is heavily influenced by local views. However, the draft that has been prepared for inclusion in the Issues & Options report, at face value, seems lengthy and tending towards the inclusion of jargon. We are very surprised that the identified themes do not explicitly address housing issues, in particular affordable housing.
**Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hallam Land Management Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hyde</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106665</td>
<td>Hallam Land Management Ltd</td>
<td>102489</td>
<td>DLP Planning Ltd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

We neither agree nor disagree. SO2) The requirement to deliver 75% of new residential development at a density of at least 40 dwgs/ha will be very difficult to achieve. It is noted that this is not expressed as an average density across the District and that it is well above the national minima established in PPS3 and would have considerable character implications for the District’s towns and villages given that the bulk of new housing planned for will have to be in greenfield locations. The Council should not underestimate the consequent implications for the delivery of public open space within housing development areas in useable formats and such initiatives as SUDS. A more credible approach would be to achieve an average density of 35 dwgs/ha across all new greenfield site development. SO11) Jargon that will go over the heads of most readers. SO14) This should be expressed as an objective to move towards the carbon neutrality of all new development, but within the context of Govt. guidance and emerging regulations.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bourne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106666</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

To get from Crowborough to Gatwick and the M23 (where most of us go when going anywhere west) the roads are crowded and inevitably mean more traffic on the Ashdown Forest. There is no public transport link in that direction. New housing should go where the transport links are sufficient.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bourne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106666</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Inadequate transport links in every direction. Everybody talks about using bicycles and walking more, but the narrow roads (eg White Hill Road, Alice Bright Lane) are far too dangerous already, and further development will make them more so.
Part of document: Option 2a

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
These precious and beautiful areas must be preserved at all cost. Any development is undesirable.

Reason for comment:
Any renewable resources which mar the unique landscape of the South East of England are undesirable. The South East of England is such a relatively small area and so precious that the landscape should be preserved at all costs.

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Morley
106667
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
106667
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
106667
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
106667
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106667 Mr Morley

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is not a question but an invitation to contribute an observation. My observation is that any development of a village, and particularly historic and unspoiled villages, causes unwelcome encroachment on to our beautiful countryside.

Consultee ID: 106667 Mr Morley

Part of document: Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106667 Mr Morley

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
I am opposed to increasing the size of villages at all.

Consultee ID: 106667 Mr Morley

Part of document: Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

It is appropriate when considering the possibility of development in villages that the first consideration should be the potential for growth that exists, based upon an analysis of the scale, location and ability to absorb growth that exists. It does not automatically follow that villages with a good level of services will have appropriate land or that or vice versa, and a balanced view in respect of each village needs to be taken. In all cases the level of growth should be commensurate with the scale of the existing settlement. The biggest benefit of establishing growth potential is that where opportunity is identified on a sufficient scale it is possible to include provision of affordable housing for local village need. On this basis the opportunity for additional development for Groombridge is considered to be appropriate in terms of both scale and general location and identification of the village as having potential for intermediate growth is appropriate.

Option 7c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

The approach to village development needs to be reasonably flexible to support a range of new housing from this source. In the past there has been a rigid approach to the range and disposition of village development based on the premise that further development will be allocated to places where there is already development, thus increasing the diversity of villages and perpetuating a 'have and have not' approach to affordable housing and other village services. While that has in some cases been acceptable to those who move to villages with a view to closing the door to others behind them it has failed to support the needs that all viable settlements have for organic growth at appropriate levels. If Wealden is to be a vibrant District throughout instead of an area of suburban 'countryside' surrounding the towns then development at villages is going to have to continue. If there is appropriate land available then development should be permitted at an acceptable rate compatible with the ability of the village to absorb it.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stevens</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stevens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106668</td>
<td>A S Planning on behalf of Carol Navis</td>
<td>102488</td>
<td>AS Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 19d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Groombridge is an excellent location for modest new development of the scale envisaged, which would greatly assist in the maintenance of and improvements to community infrastructure, including the school. While there are constraints to development as a result of the setting of the village it is agreed that land south and east of the village is the most suitable for new housing. There is an area here that has access to the village and particularly to the school via bridges over the railway track adjacent to the school and via Back Lane and this would encourage residents to walk rather than use their cars - an important consideration in view of the comment in the document regarding the nature of the roads, although at the level suggested it is not considered that there will be such an increase in traffic that there should be any concern over this aspect. It is possible to access the land easily from Station Road. The land is available for development and should be allocated as soon as possible.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Winter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106669</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Question One**

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Central Government guidance on development in the AONB’s is clear. PPS7 states that AONB’s have the highest status of protection in relation to landscape and scenic beauty, and the conservation of such areas should be afforded great weight in planning policy. It continues that major developments should not take place in AONB’s, except in exceptional circumstances, following their most rigorous examination, which must demonstrate that they are in the public interest before being allowed to proceed (paragraphs 21 and 22 refer). On this basis, no significant new development should be provided for within the settlements and wider area of the High Weald and Sussex Downs AONB.
Reason for comment:
The preferred approach would be the maintenance of settlement boundaries, with any change considered, having regard to the full range of alternative options for providing land for additional housing growth. This could include the provision of significant growth in any self-contained new settlement and settlement boundary extension.

Reason for comment:
The use of defined settlement boundaries is a nationally-recognised, effective tool for guiding and controlling development. The abolition of this approach in favour of a criteria-based policy would result in a rush of applications, against which it would be difficult for the Local Authority to ensure a consistency of decision-making whilst delivering appropriate levels of growth, set against annual housing targets. Delivery of development can be best achieved by the preservation of settlement boundaries and the allocation of specific development through the LDF process.

Reason for comment:
Land to the East of Pevensey would be suitable for development and the alteration of the settlement boundary. Whilst the area has flooding issues the land could include flood storage and other mitigation measures in accordance with paragraph D9 'Exception Test' set out in PPS25 that together with new development will help to elevate some of the problem in the area. Additionally, Berwick offers the opportunity for a new settlement centred on and around the train station. New development can be sensitively landscaped on the south side of the railway land new development can proportionally contribute toward the cost of improving to the A27. New development in this location will help to serve the needs of the south downs villages in this area.
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This approach fails to recognise the potential negative impacts that such housing development could have on the character and service provision that exists within the District's towns, particularly given that many have experienced significant housing growth through the last Local Plan period, and require a period of assimilation prior to any decision-taking on any further phased growth. Instead, the focus should be on delivering a planned new settlement which can more readily provide the balance between housing, employment and infrastructure, and services provision with the remaining level of development going to villages that could benefit from additional development to make them more sustainable.

Option 6b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This approach fails to recognise the potential negative impacts that such housing development could have on the character and service provision that exists within the District’s towns, particularly given that many have experienced significant housing growth through the last Local Plan period, and require a period of assimilation prior to any decision-taking on any further phased growth. Instead, the focus should be on delivering a planned new settlement which can more readily provide the balance between housing, employment and infrastructure, and services provision with the remaining level of development going to villages that could benefit from additional development to make them more sustainable.
Option 6c

Reason for comment:
This approach fails to recognise the potential negative impacts that such housing development could have on the character and service provision that exists within the District’s towns, particularly given that many have experienced significant housing growth through the last Local Plan period, and require a period of assimilation prior to any decision-taking on any further phased growth. Instead, the focus should be on delivering a planned new settlement which can more readily provide the balance between housing, employment and infrastructure, and services provision with the remaining level of development going to villages that could benefit from additional development to make them more sustainable.

Question 6d

Reason for comment:
The focus should not be on defining a specific percentage of new development provided just around the existing towns by whatever degree. Assessment should be made of the location of new development with regard to the benefit it can have on the District rather than through additions to exiting settlements. It should also consider a significant amount of the requisite new development being provided in the form of a new settlement, to enable benefit from the ability to plan for new housing growth with an appropriate level of employment infrastructure and service provision. Once an appropriate-size growth of new settlement has been identified, the remaining available requirement for housing growth can more readily be identified and planned for across the District’s towns and villages.
PPS3 requires Local Development Documents to set out their strategy for the planned location of new housing to contribute to the aims of sustainable development, including providing housing in rural areas, not only in market towns and local service centres, but also in villages, in order to enhance their sustainability. Whilst the proposed settlement classification broadly accords with this aim, the strategy does not go far enough. It should be expanded to take account of the benefits to services, accessibility and sustainability that could result from identifying areas for development that might currently not score highly against sustainability matrix. By doing this, rather than maintaining areas which might not score well in sustainability terms, they could be positively enhanced and made more sustainable. The settlement classification should emphasis those areas that score low on the existing checklist and what deficiencies they have in order to prescribe a level of development that will make them more sustainable.

It is acknowledged that village classification should be broadly based on provision of services and accessibility. However, to truly accord with PPS3, the classification should also consider the enhancement in services and accessibility that might result from identifying currently less well-served villages as suitable for significant growth.

The distribution of development in villages should take account of the benefit that might result from significant growth in villages that currently score less well in sustainability matrix, via the enhancement in services and accessibility that would result from significant development. Conversely, those villages that already benefit from such services might not necessarily benefit from further growth, where such services be actually placed under stress.
Reason for comment:
Local village services should, as a general rule, be preserved and enhanced wherever possible. The Plan should acknowledge that this may sometimes require significant additional development to maintain and improve services, which would be better than allowing existing services to be lost through alternative re-use.

Reason for comment:
The settlement classification fails to refer to Berwick, thereby failing to reflect the significant provision a railway station would have on meeting sustainable development objectives should the village be allowed to grow. The settlement strategy should acknowledge villages that would benefit from growth and become vibrant following additional development.

Reason for comment:
Hailsham should be allowed a period of assimilation, prior to consideration of further development. Infrastructural capacity will be placed under further stress through windfall development. The town should only have limited extensions in accordance with the aims of the regeneration of the town, which can be achieved without the requirement for significant additional development. As a result, there should be no Hailsham focus within the emerging LDF Core Strategy.
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

In Polegate/Willingdon the Core Strategy acknowledges that both of these places would be likely to lead to commuting to Eastbourne for accessing jobs and services. This does not foster the creation of vibrant and self-sufficient communities. As a consequence, there should be no Polegate/Willingdon/edge of Eastbourne focus for significant residential development. Any new development in this area should be employment focused to address this balance.

Option 8c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Significant development on this axis would, as admitted in the Core Strategy, reduce the gaps between 2 towns. Whilst this should not always be seen as a bar to development, concern is raised in this instance given the existing coalescence of towns, comprising the conurbation of Eastbourne/Willingdon/Polegate/Stone Cross etc. In the interests of creating identifiably different communities, this should not be encouraged to coalesce further. Furthermore, significant development between the two towns is likely to encourage out-commuting to the existing centres, rather than encourage development around a new development focus, failing to create vibrant communities. On this basis, there should be no Polegate/Hailsham axis.
Option 8d

Reason for comment:
The Low Weald does not benefit from the same protective landscape designation that covers much of the remainder of the District to the north and south. It is therefore correct that significant development should be focused within this landscape area where Greenfield allocations are required. Given the significant growth experienced in the existing Low Weald towns over the current Local Plan period, this should be given the opportunity of assimilation into the respective communities prior to assessment for the potential for further growth. It is equally true for the provision of services so that these can be balanced to meet the needs of the existing expanded population, and possibly allow the employment and service sector to now expand following the recent growth in population. As such, whilst the focus of significant development should be on the Lower Weald, this should be focused away from the existing towns in the form of a new settlement. This would enable an appropriate quantum of development to be brought forward that would provide for self-sufficient, vibrant and balanced community, providing for the relevant needs of housing, employment, service provision and infrastructure. It is considered that this settlement should be located to the south of Berwick Station.

Option 9a

Reason for comment:
In Uckfield, the Core Strategy acknowledges that the town suffers from significant infrastructure problems, including flooding and travel congestion, which is currently blocking all major development. Even if solutions could be found for this, it is likely to lead to a contrived form of development, and one that absorbs such significant expenditure that insufficient funds are left over from development to ensure satisfactory provision of the full range of services required for successful integration of significant development. As such, there should be no Uckfield focus for major development.
A split of development between Uckfield and Crowborough would be likely to lead to significant development, either within or affecting the High Weald AONB. PPS7 makes clear that the greatest level of protection is afforded to these statutory protected landscape designations, and significant development should only be allowed in exceptional circumstances. Land exists outside of the AONB within the High Weald to cater for the requisite development, and consequently there can be no justification for significant development around the fringes of Crowborough.

Both Crowborough and Uckfield are tightly constrained by the High Weald AONB designation. As such, any development which is part split between these two towns is likely to result in development either within or on the edge and affecting the AONB. PPS7 makes clear that the statutory protected national landscape designations are afforded the greatest protection, where development should only be allowed in exceptional circumstances. Land beyond the AONB in the Low Weald exists to provide for the requisite development within the Core Strategy, and there can be no justification for significant development using an Uckfield/Crowborough/Heathfield focus.
Setting size thresholds for housing allocations of 30+ dwellings in or on the edge of towns, and 15+ dwellings in or on the edge of villages is tantamount to seeking significant amounts of housing development to come forward in the form of windfall sites. Such an approach directly conflicts with PPS3, which states that allowances for windfalls should not be included in the first 10 years of land supply unless LPAs can provide robust evidence of genuine local circumstances that prevent specific sites being identified. No such circumstances exist in this instance, as land can be identified, particularly in the Berwick area, to meet the requisite development requirements of the strategy.

Crowborough is tightly constrained by the High Weald AONB. Significant development is likely to be located in or on the edge of the AONB, resulting in harm to this nationally-protected landscape. Such development is contrary to the aims of Central Government as set out in PPS7, which should only be permitted in exceptional circumstances. Alternative locations for development are available within the Low Weald, which would not be harmful to the AONB.

Significant development in or around Uckfield is likely to be required to be located either within or impacting on the AONB. This is contrary to Government guidance which states that significant development in such locations should only be granted in exceptional circumstances, as alternative land is available to meet the requisite development needs of the District within the Low Weald.
Question 12i

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Significant development in or around Heathfield is likely to be required to be located either within or impacting on the AONB. This is contrary to Government guidance which states that significant development in such locations should only be granted in exceptional circumstances, as alternative land is available to meet the requisite development needs of the District within the Low Weald.

Question 13b

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Whilst a small section of the District to the south-east of Tunbridge Wells is countryside, but outside the High Weald AONB, it is closely bordered by this national landscape designation. Significant development in this location is likely to impact on the AONB, contrary to the aims of PPS7, which affords such areas the highest protection. Added to this, the draft Core Strategy acknowledges there are access problems over the sensitivity of the setting of Tunbridge Wells, and impact on biodiversity, which would further weigh against development in this area. There are other locations for development, particularly within the Low Weald part of the District, which would meet the requisite development needs of the District without compromising the aims of the AONB.

Question 14k

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Crowborough should not be identified for significant further residential development. It has been the subject of significant development during the current Local Plan period, and there should be a period of assimilation to enable this housing to mesh into the town and ensure services and infrastructure are adequate, prior to consideration of further significant development in or around the town. Furthermore, many parts of the town are subject to limitations due to traffic congestion and flooding issues, which may well be prohibitively expensive to prevent adequate delivery of housing development with requisite services and provision to meet the needs of future residents. As such, there should be no significant development identified within Uckfield.
Consultee ID: 106669

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 15i

Reason for comment:
Whilst it is considered the Low Weald should be considered for significant development in principle, it is considered this would be better achieved via a new settlement, followed by incremental development around the edge of the town. A new development would afford the opportunity to balance the needs for housing, employment and infrastructure provision in a single location. The remaining development should be in those location that could benefit from development to improve facilities and service making them more sustainable.

Consultee ID: 106669

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 16j

Reason for comment:
Whilst the Low Weald is considered suitable for significant residential development in principle, it is considered this should not be located within and around Polegate/Willingdon. Significant development has been identified in the current Local Plan period in this area, and this should be given the opportunity of assimilating prior to consideration of future significant development to meet the requisite needs of the emerging Core Strategy. Furthermore, the areas broadly experience a period of coalescence with each other and the town of Eastbourne to the south. Further development would be likely to further erode the identity and vitality of each individual area. It is considered that significant development can be successfully located, but this should be in the form of a new settlement preferably in the Berwick area south of the railway line, followed by identification of settlements that require additional development to improve facilities and services such as Pevensey.
Whilst the Low Weald is considered suitable for significant residential development, it is not considered that it should be located within or around Stone Cross. The area has experienced significant residential development in recent years, and further period of assimilation is required before significant additional development is considered. Furthermore, this location is close to the existing built-up areas of Polegate/Willingdon/Eastbourne, and further development here could encourage the coalescence of these areas, failing to provide a satisfactory identity and self-containment to Stone Cross.

The principle of providing a significant contribution to the development needs of the District over the Plan period in the form of a new settlement is strongly supported. It is considered that this would enable development to be provided at such a scale as to ensure the appropriately balanced mixed community, providing housing, employment, services and infrastructure provision, which could readily assimilate into its own character. Such self-containment would also positively contribute to the aims of sustainability. It is considered that the area of Berwick, and in particular to the south of the railway line should be identified for significant development that will incorporate appropriate landscaping. A new settlement in this location would benefit from proximity to Berwick Station with good access to high order facilities at Brighton and Eastbourne. It is also well removed from AONB designations to the north and south, ensuring no impact on the statutory protected landscapes. A new settlement would provide the LPA with the best opportunity of ensuring an adequate level of housing provision and an appropriate mix, at an appropriate level of phasing, to meet the requirements of the emerging Regional Spatial Strategy. Meeting such targets would be considerably more difficult with a more dispersed housing strategy. It is therefore considered that the strategy should identify land to the south of Berwick.
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Whilst the concept of village categorisation is supported, it is considered the assessment should consider the potential benefits that would result from identifying strategic development in a wide range of villages. In particular, those villages which may not initially score well on a sustainability matrix, in terms of access to services and facilities. They could benefit greatly from allocation of significant development to improve the vitality and viability of these communities.

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

The use and expansion of existing train line services should be promoted along with the use of new bus services.

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Why have this designation if a Local Authority can ride rough-shod over it? The High Weald AONB is stated as being unique in Britain and Europe and, possibly, worldwide; it has represented the best protection our communities have. Paragraph 2.3 specifically calls for Landscape Conservation; paragraph 2.6 points to national policy PPS7 specifically calling for protection of AONB's; paragraph 2.14 to AONB's being very sensitive to cumulative and small-scale change. The only development that should be considered within the AONB should be confined to infill sites of no landscape impact.
Either settlement boundaries are maintained or they should be re-drawn, surely. Allowing for 'expansion where necessary' obviates the necessity for a policy, surely.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Mackenzie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Dr Pryce</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106671</td>
<td>Old Heathfield &amp; Cade Street Society</td>
<td>102490</td>
<td>Old Heathfield &amp; Cade Street Society</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 7a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Mackenzie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Dr Pryce</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106671</td>
<td>Old Heathfield &amp; Cade Street Society</td>
<td>102490</td>
<td>Old Heathfield &amp; Cade Street Society</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 7b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Mackenzie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Dr Pryce</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106671</td>
<td>Old Heathfield &amp; Cade Street Society</td>
<td>102490</td>
<td>Old Heathfield &amp; Cade Street Society</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 7c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Mackenzie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Dr Pryce</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106671</td>
<td>Old Heathfield &amp; Cade Street Society</td>
<td>102490</td>
<td>Old Heathfield &amp; Cade Street Society</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 7d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
SEERA Consulting forecasting model 2004 shows that businesses consider road infrastructure to be inadequate - this number of dwellings so close to a major site for business expansion and fronting an already congested road would be a disaster, even if this area did not encroach into the AONB adjoining a Park of national significance.
Consultee ID: Mr Mackenzie  
106671 Old Heathfield & Cade Street Society  

Option 12d  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Paragraph 4.8 states that ridge-top development has a detrimental visual impact. This site is unrelated to any settlement and would comprise a prominent intrusion into the AONB, let alone the proximity of Heathfield Park (contradicting the spirit of paragraph 5.6 relating to the exclusion of historic parks and gardens from development proposals). Furthermore, this proposal goes against all previous planning policy and would be totally unsustainable due to lack of infrastructure (public transport, shops, services) in everything but the nearby College.

Consultee ID: Mr Mackenzie  
106671 Old Heathfield & Cade Street Society  

Option 12d  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
It is incorrect to describe this site as 'already part urbanised land with good potential access'; the site is a green field in the AONB and the only access is from a notoriously fast and dangerous stretch of the B2096 Heathfield - Battle road. What is described as 'potential for small well designed linear cluster of housing of Cade Street-like form' would be better described as 'ribbon development', completely changing the present pleasant rural character of the area.

Consultee ID: Mr Mackenzie  
106671 Old Heathfield & Cade Street Society  

Question 12g  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Infrastructure for the town and surrounding area is woefully inadequate now - let alone if 600 -1,000 new dwellings were to be allowed. Paragraph 5.21 details the very poor transport links - no railway and very limited bus service. Development as suggested in 12c, 12d or 12e would be in sites remote from the town centre and in locations prominent within the High Weald AONB; development should be firmly resisted.
In general agreement, but if a 'new multi-purpose community facility' is not to include a new swimming pool to which most residents of the town could walk (which is now proposed to be built one mile east of the town on the Leisure Centre site, inaccessible by public transport), we question what it will comprise and what land 'it will free for affordable housing'. Mystifying.

I wish to make a general comment. I believe that the publicity surrounding the issue of development in Wealden is not well understood. I believe that many people are not familiar with the concept of the square kilometre. My calculations tell me that 863 sq km = 207 272 acres. I understand, from the document, that we are talking about 8000 homes in Wealden by the year 2020. This works out at about 4 additional homes per 100 acres. I accept that the Downs and the High Weald make up a large part of the total area, but we are still talking about a relatively low density of dwellings. I believe that much of the current unease that is exploited by various sectional interests could be eased if the public were better informed about proposed developments. Today I have read about 846 homes in the Hailsham area - how does that fit into the overall plan?
Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
Agent ID: 106673
Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document: Option 2a

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
Agent ID: 106673
Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document: Option 2b

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
Agent ID: 106673
Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document: Option 3a

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
Agent ID: 106673
Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document: Option 3b

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673
Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673
Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673
Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673
Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ellis 106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis 106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis 106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis 106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Question 5c

Reason for comment:
Settlement boundaries should be maintained - expansion or criteria for exceptions would be too difficult to manage

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 6a

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 6b

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 6c

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ellis 106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis 106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis 106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis 106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ellis  
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 18d

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis  
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 18e

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis  
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 18f

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis  
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 18g

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ellis 106673 Alfriston Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ellis 106673 Alfriston Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ellis 106673 Alfriston Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ellis 106673 Alfriston Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 18k</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>I don't believe the creation of a new settlement is the best way to solve the housing situation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106673  Mr Ellis  Alfriston Parish Council

Section of Document:

Option 24a
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106673  Mr Ellis  Alfriston Parish Council

Section of Document:

Option 24b
Reason for comment:
Density should only be higher in urban areas

Consultee ID: 106673  Mr Ellis  Alfriston Parish Council

Section of Document:

Option 24c
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106673  Mr Ellis  Alfriston Parish Council

Section of Document:

Option 24d
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ellis  
106673  
Alfriston Parish Council

Option 25a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis  
106673  
Alfriston Parish Council

Option 25b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis  
106673  
Alfriston Parish Council

Option 25c

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis  
106673  
Alfriston Parish Council

Option 25d

Your View  ☑ Disagree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
106673 Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 26b

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 27a

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Option 27b

Consultee ID: Mr Ellis
Alfriston Parish Council

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Question One

Consultee ID: Hallings-Pott

Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

The Council’s approach to providing housing in the AONB should reflect Central Government guidance. PPS7 states that AONBs have the highest status of protection in relation to landscape and scenic beauty, and the conservation of such areas should be afforded great weight in planning policy. It continues that major developments should not take place in AONBs, except in exceptional circumstances, following their most rigorous examination, which must demonstrate that they are in the public interest before being allowed to proceed (paragraphs 21 and 22 refer). On this basis, no significant new development should be provided for within the settlements and wider area of the High Weald and Sussex Downs AONB.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106674 Hallings-Pott
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

It is acknowledged that PPS3 (para 44) indicates it is appropriate to consider whether sites that are currently allocated for industrial or commercial use could more appropriately be reallocated for housing development, as amplified by guidance set out in Employment Land Reviews: Guidance Note ODPM – 2004. However, the key issue is whether these sites could “more appropriately” be reallocated. It is considered inappropriate therefore to apply a test of whether there is “a realistic prospect of employment development coming forward”. The LPA are unlikely to be in the best position to judge the prospects of employment land development. Furthermore, reaching such judgements within the context of the current Local Plan, the potential for such areas to come forward as part of future development, in conjunction with other land being allocated for housing development. On this basis, the approach should be to retain existing land identified for employment use, where there is a need for this, particularly in conjunction with housing development allocated in close proximity such that this linkage would provide balanced and sustainable communities as required by paragraph 10 of PPS3.

Consultee ID: 106674 Hallings-Pott
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Paragraph 10 of PPS3 fosters housing development in locations which offer a good range of community facilities, with good access to jobs etc. Given the RSS targets for additional housing growth within Wealden, the quantum of employment land will need to be increased. To ensure an appropriate level of supply and provision to balance housing growth, existing employment premises should be protected from alternative forms of redevelopment, except in exceptional circumstances. Evidence of “market need” should take account not only of existing circumstances, but planned levels of growth within the emerging LDF.
Paragraph 10 of PPS3 promotes housing development in locations which offer a good range of community facilities with good access to jobs. On this basis, new housing development should be balanced with an appropriate level of new business development. Furthermore, emphasis should be towards providing new housing development in close proximity to existing or allocated employment sites to maximise the synergy of sustainable travel movements, and ensure the likelihood of the maintenance and delivery of employment sites.

Comment – the identification of additional land for new employment / business development should not be at the expense of existing and allocated employment sites. The emphasis should be on retaining existing locations, prior to the expansion of alternative locations, particularly where these might be less sustainable locations, or have greater environmental impact.

The implication of this question is that whilst settlement boundaries should be maintained, they should generally be expanded to provide for growth throughout the District on a dispersal-based strategy. The most appropriate approach would be the maintenance of settlement boundaries, with any change considered, having regard to the full range of alternative options for providing land for additional housing growth. This could include the provision of significant growth in any self-contained settlement.
Reason for comment:
The use of defined settlement boundaries is a nationally-recognised, effective tool for guiding and controlling development. The abolition of this approach in favour of a criteria-based policy would result in a rush of applications, against which it would be difficult for the Local Authority to ensure a consistency of decision-making whilst delivering appropriate levels of growth, set against annual housing targets. Delivery of development can be best achieved by the preservation of settlement boundaries and the allocation of specific development allocation sites through the LDF process.

Reason for comment:
The provision of 80% of the District’s housing development needs within and around existing towns fails to recognise the potential negative impacts that such housing development could have on the character and service provision that exists within the District’s towns, particularly given that many have experienced significant housing growth through the last Local Plan period, and require a period of assimilation prior to any decision-taking on any further phased growth. Instead, the focus should be on delivering a planned new settlement which can more readily provide the balance between housing, employment and infrastructure, and services provision.

Reason for comment:
The provision of 75% of the District’s housing development needs within and around existing towns fails to recognise the potential negative impacts that such housing development could have on the character and service provision that exists within the District’s towns, particularly given that many have experienced significant housing growth through the last Local Plan period, and require a period of assimilation prior to any decision-taking on any further phased growth. Instead, the focus should be on delivering a planned new settlement which can more readily provide the balance between housing, employment and infrastructure, and services provision.
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The provision of 70% of the District’s housing development needs within and around existing towns fails to recognise the potential negative impacts that such housing development could have on the character and service provision that exists within the District’s towns, particularly given that many have experienced significant housing growth through the last Local Plan period, and require a period of assimilation prior to any decision-taking on any further phased growth. Instead, the focus should be on delivering a planned new settlement which can more readily provide the balance between housing, employment and infrastructure, and services provision.

Question 6d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The focus should be on a significant amount of the requisite new development being provided in the form of a new settlement, to enable benefit to be taken of the ability to plan for new housing growth with an appropriate level of employment infrastructure and service provision. Once an appropriate-size growth of new settlement has been identified, the remaining available requirement for housing growth can more readily be identified and planned for across the District’s towns and villages.
PPS3 requires Local Development Documents to set out their strategy for the planned location of new housing to contribute to the aims of sustainable development, including providing housing in rural areas, not only in market towns and local service centres, but also in villages, in order to enhance their sustainability. Whilst the proposed settlement classification broadly accords with this aim, the strategy does not go far enough. It should be expanded to take account of the benefits to services, accessibility and sustainability that could result from identifying areas for development that might currently not score highly against sustainability matrix. By doing this, rather than maintaining areas which might not score well in sustainability terms, they could be positively enhanced in sustainability as required by PPS3. The settlement classification should therefore take account of the contribution to sustainability, particularly for existing residents, that might result from identifying lower-category villages as suitable for significant growth.

It is acknowledged that village classification should be broadly based on provision of services and accessibility. However, to truly accord with PPS3, the classification should also consider the enhancement in services and accessibility that might result from identifying currently less well-served villages as suitable for significant growth. The distribution of development in villages should take account of the benefit that might result from significant growth in villages that currently score less well in sustainability matrix, via the enhancement in services and accessibility that would result from significant development. Conversely, those villages that already benefit from such services might not necessarily benefit from further growth, where such services be actually placed under stress.
Local village services should, as a general rule, be preserved and enhanced wherever possible. The Plan should acknowledge that this may sometimes require significant development to maintain and improve services, which would be better than allowing existing services to be lost through alternative re-use.

The settlement classification fails to refer to Lower Dicker, thereby failing to reflect the significant provision of employment and services that exist in this area. The settlement strategy should acknowledge these existing services, and the synergy that would result from identifying significant growth potential for this area, not only in ensuring the maintenance and enhancement of these services, but also in the sustainability that would flow from a balanced community of housing, employment and service provision.

Hailsham has already experienced significant housing development in recent years, and should be allowed a period of assimilation, prior to consideration of further development. Coupled with this is the stress currently being placed on local services, including highway infrastructure capacity and the impact further development within the town may have on this. The aims of regeneration of the town can be achieved without the requirement for significant additional development. As a result, there should be no Hailsham focus within the emerging LDF Core Strategy.
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Polegate/Willingdon and the edge of Eastbourne has experienced significant development as part of the current Development Plan. It should be given a period of assimilation prior to consideration of further significant additional development. The Core Strategy acknowledges that both of these places would be likely to lead to commuting to Eastbourne for accessing jobs and services. This does not foster the creation of vibrant and self-sufficient communities. As a consequence, there should be no Polegate/Willingdon/edge of Eastbourne focus for significant development.

Option 8c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Significant development on this axis would, as admitted in the Core Strategy, reduce the gaps between 2 towns. Whilst this should not always be seen as a bar to development, concern is raised in this instance given the existing coalescence of towns, comprising the conurbation of Eastbourne/Willingdon/Polegate/Stone Cross etc. In the interests of creating communities, this should not be encouraged to coalesce further. Furthermore, significant development between the two towns is likely to encourage out-commuting to the existing centres, rather than encourage development around a new development focus, failing to create vibrant communities. On this basis, there should be no Polegate/Hailsham axis.
Reason for comment:
The Low Weald does not benefit from the protective landscape designation that covers much of the remainder of the District to the north and south. It is therefore correct that significant development should be focused within this landscape area. Given the significant growth experienced in the existing Low Weald towns over the current Local Plan period, this should be given the opportunity of assimilation into the respective communities prior to assessment for the potential for further growth. It is equally true for the provision of services so that these can be balanced to meet the needs of the existing expanded population, together with maybe a period of new character for these towns to emerge. As such, whilst the focus of significant development should be on the Lower Weald, this should be focused away from the existing towns in the form of a new settlement. This would enable an appropriate quantum of development to be brought forward that would provide for self-sufficient, vibrant and balanced community, providing for the relevant needs of housing, employment, service provision and infrastructure. It is considered that this settlement should be located to the north of the Lower Dicker/A22.

Reason for comment:
The representation is Uckfield has experienced significant development in recent years, and should be allowed a period of assimilation prior to assessment for significant further development. Added to this, the Core Strategy acknowledges that the town suffers from significant infrastructure problems, including flooding and travel congestion, which is currently blocking all major development. Even if solutions could be found for this, it is likely to lead to a contrived form of development, and one that absorbs such significant expenditure that insufficient funds are left over from development provide to ensure satisfactory provision of the full range of services required for successful integration of significant development. As such, there should be no Uckfield focus for major development.
A split of development between Uckfield and Crowborough would be likely to lead to significant development, either within or affecting the High Weald AONB. PPS7 makes clear that the greatest level of protection is afforded to these statutory protected landscape designations, and significant development should only be allowed in exceptional circumstances. Land exists outside of the AONB within the High Weald to cater for the requisite development, and consequently there can be no justification for significant development around the fringes of Crowborough.

Both Crowborough and Uckfield are tightly constrained by the High Weald AONB designation. As such, any development which is part split between these two towns is likely to result in development either within or on the edge and affecting the AONB. PPS7 makes clear that the statutory protected national landscape designations are afforded the greatest protection, where development should only be allowed in exceptional circumstances. Land beyond the AONB in the Low Weald exists to provide for the requisite development within the Core Strategy, and there can be no justification for significant development using an Uckfield/Crowborough/Heathfield focus.

Both Crowborough and Heathfield are tightly constrained by the High Weald AONB designation. As such, any development which is part split between these two towns is likely to result in development either within or on the edge and affecting the AONB. PPS7 makes clear that the statutory protected national landscape designations are afforded the greatest protection, where development should only be allowed in exceptional circumstances. Land beyond the AONB in the Low Weald exists to provide for the requisite development within the Core Strategy, and there can be no justification for significant development using an Uckfield/Crowborough/Heathfield focus.
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
Setting size thresholds for housing allocations of 30+ dwellings in or on the edge of towns, and 15+ dwellings in or on the edge of villages is tantamount to seeking significant amounts of ?? housing development to come forward in the form of windfall sites. Such an approach directly conflicts with PPS3, which states that allowances for windfalls should not be included in the first 10 years of land supply unless LPAs can provide robust evidence of genuine local circumstances that prevent specific sites being identified. No such circumstances exist in this instance, as land can be identified, particularly in the Low Weald, to meet the requisite development requirements of the strategy.

Section of Document: Crowbroough

Reason for comment:
Crowbroough should not be identified as a location for significant residential development. It is tightly constrained by the High Weald AONB. Significant development is likely to be required to be located in or on the edge of the AONB, resulting in harm to this nationally-protected landscape. Such development is contrary to the aims of Central Government as set out in PPS7, which should only be permitted in exceptional circumstances. Alternative locations for development are available within the Low Weald, which would not be harmful to the AONB.

Section of Document: Uckfield

Reason for comment:
Significant development in or around Uckfield is likely to be required to be located either within or impacting on the AONB. This is contrary to Government guidance which states that significant development in such locations should only be granted in exceptional circumstances, as alternative land is available to meet the requisite development needs of the District within the Low Weald.
Question 12i

Significant development in or around Heathfield is likely to be required to be located either within or impacting on the AONB. This is contrary to Government guidance which states that significant development in such locations should only be granted in exceptional circumstances, as alternative land is available to meet the requisite development needs of the District within the Low Weald.

Your View  ■ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Significant development in or around Heathfield is likely to be required to be located either within or impacting on the AONB. This is contrary to Government guidance which states that significant development in such locations should only be granted in exceptional circumstances, as alternative land is available to meet the requisite development needs of the District within the Low Weald.

Question 13b

Whilst a small section of the District to the south-east of Tonbridge Wells is countryside, but outside the High Weald AONB, it is closely bordered by this national landscape designation. Significant development in this location is likely to impact on the AONB, contrary to the aims of PPS7, which affords such areas the highest protection. Added to this, the draft Core Strategy acknowledges there are access problems over the sensitivity of the setting of Tonbridge Wells, and impact on biodiversity, which would further weigh against development in this area. There are other locations for development, particularly within the Low Weald part of the District, which would meet the requisite development needs of the District without compromising the aims of the AONB.

Your View  ■ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Whilst a small section of the District to the south-east of Tonbridge Wells is countryside, but outside the High Weald AONB, it is closely bordered by this national landscape designation. Significant development in this location is likely to impact on the AONB, contrary to the aims of PPS7, which affords such areas the highest protection. Added to this, the draft Core Strategy acknowledges there are access problems over the sensitivity of the setting of Tonbridge Wells, and impact on biodiversity, which would further weigh against development in this area. There are other locations for development, particularly within the Low Weald part of the District, which would meet the requisite development needs of the District without compromising the aims of the AONB.

Question 14k

Crowborough should not be identified for significant further residential development. It has been the subject of significant development during the current Local Plan period, and there should be a period of assimilation to enable this housing to mesh into the town and ensure services and infrastructure are adequate, prior to consideration of further significant development in or around the town. Furthermore, many parts of the town are subject to limitations due to traffic congestion and flooding issues, which may well be prohibitively expensive to prevent adequate delivery of housing development with requisite services and provision to meet the needs of future residents. As such, there should be no significant development identified within Uckfield.

Your View  ■ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Crowborough should not be identified for significant further residential development. It has been the subject of significant development during the current Local Plan period, and there should be a period of assimilation to enable this housing to mesh into the town and ensure services and infrastructure are adequate, prior to consideration of further significant development in or around the town. Furthermore, many parts of the town are subject to limitations due to traffic congestion and flooding issues, which may well be prohibitively expensive to prevent adequate delivery of housing development with requisite services and provision to meet the needs of future residents. As such, there should be no significant development identified within Uckfield.
The town has experienced significant development under the current Local Plan period, and should be allowed an opportunity for this to assimilate into the fabric of the town prior to consideration for future significant development. Whilst it is considered the Low Weald should be considered for significant development in principle, it is considered this would be better achieved via a new settlement, rather than incremental development around the edge of the town. A single new development would afford the opportunity to balance the needs for housing, employment and infrastructure provision in a single location.

Whilst the Low Weald is considered suitable for significant residential development in principle, it is considered this should not be located within and around Polegate/Willingdon. Significant development has been identified in the current Local Plan period in this area, and this should be given the opportunity of assimilating prior to consideration of future significant development to meet the requisite needs of the emerging Core Strategy. Furthermore, the areas broadly experience a period of coalescence with each other and the town of Eastbourne to the south. Further development would be likely to further erode the identity and vitality of each individual area, and encourage dormitory-style settlements commuting to the centre of Eastbourne to the south. It is considered that significant development can be successfully located, but this should be in the form of a new settlement.
Reason for comment:

Whilst the Low Weald is considered suitable for significant residential development, it is not considered that it should be located within or around Stone Cross. The area has experienced significant residential development in recent years, and further period of assimilation is required before significant additional development is considered. Furthermore, this location is close to the existing built-up areas of Polegate/Willingdon/Eastbourne, and further development here could encourage the coalescence of these areas, failing to provide a satisfactory identity and self-containment to Stone Cross.
The principle of providing a significant contribution to the development needs of the District over the Plan period in the form of a new settlement is strongly supported. It is considered that this would enable development to be provided at such a scale as to ensure the appropriate balanced mix community, providing housing, employment, services and infrastructure provision, which could readily assimilate into its own character. Such self-containment would also positively contribute to the aims of sustainability. It is considered that the area of Lower Dicker, and in particular to the north of the A22 and east of the Hackhurst Lane Business Area should be identified for significant development. This area comprises a Low Weald landscape, which is typically flat and uninteresting. Furthermore, it is characterised by ribbon development along the A22 to the south, and the existing business area at Hackhurst Lane to the west. The A267 is located to the east. There is an existing range of employment provision and services within the area, which would benefit from significant development to improve their viability and vitality. This is particularly true for the Hackhurst Lane Business Area which has been allocated but undeveloped in the last Local Plan period. The enhancement of these services would strongly foster and contribute to the self-containment and sustainability of the settlement, setting this new settlement option above the others identified for consideration. Development on a significant scale could readily meet the infrastructure requirements to ensure adequate highway capacity, and would be of a scale to ensure adequate provision of non-car modes of public transport. Given the existing provision of employment, and its remote proximity from Eastbourne, it is considered there would be no undue risk of out-commuting, as may exist with alternative options to the south. It is also well removed from AONB designations to the north and south, ensuring no impact on the statutory protected landscapes. A new settlement would provide the LPA with the best opportunity of ensuring an adequate level of housing provision and an appropriate mix, at an appropriate level of phasing, to meet the requirements of the emerging Regional Spatial Strategy. Meeting such targets would be considerably more difficult with a more dispersed housing strategy. It is therefore considered that the strategy should identify land to the north of the A22 at Lower Dicker and to the east of the Hackers Lane industrial area for significant dwellings, preferably at the upper end of the identified range (ie 1950) or beyond this figure for development over the Plan period.
### Reason for comment:

Whilst the concept of village categorisation is supported, it is considered the assessment should consider the potential benefits that would result from identifying strategic development in a wide range of villages. In particular, those villages which may not initially score well on a sustainability matrix, in terms of access to services and facilities, could benefit greatly from allocation of significant development to improve the vitality and viability of these communities. Conversely, those villages which are already of a critical mass to benefit from such services, may not be best suited for further additional strategic development.

---

### Reason for comment:

The decision on the most appropriate location for development should not be solely on the desire to improve bus services to and between towns. Towns already benefit from good levels of non-car modes of travel, and the District should give equal weight to consider improving accessibility of other areas to non-car modes of transport. Furthermore, the key aspiration of development should be to ensure that in its own right, it is or can be made accessible by non-car modes of transport. Development should not therefore be a tool merely to improve bus services generally. This would not otherwise be a sensible or logical location for development.

---

### Reason for comment:

Whilst the reinstatement of the Lewes/Uckfield/Tonbridge Wells train service is laudable, the allocation of development at certain sections along the route help fund missing links, should not in its own right be a reason for development in these locations. The most appropriate location for development must be based on a wide range of issues, and inappropriate weighting to the benefit of a new railway link could result in the identification of land for development that would otherwise be considered inappropriate.
The objections of SO2a are not supported. Development should not be allocated on previously-developed land if it is not otherwise the most suitable location. In particular, achieving a target of 60% of housing on previously-developed land is likely to be difficult in a District which does not benefit from a heritage of derelict industrial and other-used land. This could result in allowing development in locations that are not otherwise appropriate, merely to meet an arbitrary target. The location for development should be based on a set of spatial criteria, not on the existing land-use of areas alone. Similarly, a target of 75% of new residential development at a density of at least 40 dwellings per hectare is unnecessarily arbitrary. The aim should be to achieve high design quality at the national minimum target of between 30-50 dwellings per hectare. This will enable a range of housing forms to come forward to meet all the development needs of the District, and not a narrow sector, by setting an arbitrary target.

The roads around this area are already congested and this will only add to the problem.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106675</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16c

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**
  - [ ] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

For the same reason as Option 16b

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106675</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16g

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**
  - [ ] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Edwards</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106675</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question 16i

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**
  - [ ] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Jones</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106676</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question One

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**
  - [ ] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

Housing need should be met outside the AONB. Once small developments are allowed it encourages more and the area is reduced in size. We need to protect our beautiful landscapes.
Option 2a

Reason for comment:
It is good to use renewable sources but we need to be careful that we do not put an ugly blot on the landscape. For example wind farms are ugly and noisy and need to be avoided.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
It is pointless to have land/buildings deignated if it is clear there is little demand for them.

Option 3b

Reason for comment:
What is a 'suitable' area needs to be considered very carefully.
Option 3d

Reason for comment:
It is a waste of time to build houses in an area where there are no jobs. It's not a good idea to build houses on the supposition that businesses will want to locate there.

Option 5a

Reason for comment:
One must be very careful when seeking to expand. Pressure from developers is not an adequate reason.

Option 5b

Reason for comment:
One should be able to keep within specified boundaries.

Question 5c

Reason for comment:
There are problems with Travellers who want to live in the most beautiful parts. It is unfair that they should be given preferential treatment. Where the general populous is concerned they have to pay significantly more to live in a more desirable area. This should apply to all people.
Consultee ID: Jones

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Jones

Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Jones

Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Jones

Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Jones
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Jones
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Jones
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Jones
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Hailsham has potential for growth.

Too close to Eastbourne to be a separate entity.

Uckfield can sustain more development. Centrally placed within the county.
Crowborough has developed substantially in recent years. It's good to keep its small town ambience. It is too close to Tunbridge wells for it to develop many shops as shown by many of them converting to charity shops or estate agents. Uckfield is further from other big towns resulting in more shops.

The development of Crowborough is limited by its proximity to Ashdown Forest. Heathfield and Uckfield would both benefit from more development.

To encourage tourism Crowborough is in need of a decent hotel. I am doubtful if many shops will be encouraged to come here. It is too close to Tunbridge Wells and its extensive shopping area for people to think Crowborough might be profitable. Internet shopping will also have an impact. Many in recent years have closed down.
Option 11b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Crowborough benefits greatly from the position of its leisure centre and I would be very opposed to it being demolished. Participants have excellent and therapeutic views over the countryside. Its closeness to the A27 is emphasised but to put a commercial/industrial area there would not enhance visitors' entrance into the town and would destroy the magnificent views across to the North Downs. Children would lose their excellent facilities. There are better areas to put housing.

Question 11g

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I am doubtful that many new shops will appear in Crowborough.

Option 24a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Overdevelopment isn't healthy.

Option 24d

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It doesn't follow that such people will use public transport.
Consultee ID: Jones  
Agent ID:  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option 
Option 25a  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Crowborough already has much social housing.

Consultee ID: Jones  
Agent ID:  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option 
Option 25b  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Too great an emphasis is being put on affordable housing.

Consultee ID: Jones  
Agent ID:  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option 
Option 25c  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
However when the relative died it should be the choice of the houseowner not the council who should live there.
Reason for comment:
It is unfair that there should be a preponderous of such sites in AONB. Many people cannot afford to live in the north of Weald so why should Travellers be regarded as a special case.

Reason for comment:
This is much fairer.

Reason for comment:
Sensible idea. It would mean people from Crowborough could go by train to the coast.
Consultee ID: Jones  
106676  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Question 31a  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: Jones  
106676  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 28a  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: Jones  
106676  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 28b  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: Jones  
106676  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 28c  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---
Consultee ID: Jones
Part of document: question 32a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Robertson
Part of document: part 5
Section of Document: Wealden’s Places
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Robertson
Part of document: option 14b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Edwarde
Part of document: question one
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
I only agree to the redevelopment of brown field sites within the AONB. Even within the villages it would be possible to achieve this, especially if it were to be affordable housing for local people.
Option 2a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
This target seems reasonable especially as Wealden has a greater potential for success than some other areas.

Option 2b
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Too high. Let's see how we get on with 10% first.

Option 3a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Land allocated for employment was done so for a reason. Sometimes the take-up might be slow but once it is given to mixed use, the possibilities of a balanced development of housing and employment are lost.

Option 3b
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
But this is difficult to achieve when the premises are privately owned and includes private accommodation. Often the income from a shop, for example, would not pay the motgage of a new buyer and the retiring shop keeper cannot be compelled to continue business.
If the settlement boundaries are to be redrawn, this should be a coherent process, not piecemeal as perceived need arises. There should be consultation with the local communities at all stages and, once redrawn, they should be strictly maintained.

There is already too much erosion of green-field.

Infrastructure and amenities are all important and it must be more economic to build on the edges of towns.

Seems to be the better option but it would have to be reviewed regularly as the local bus service, for example, could alter as could "the compelling local need for some growth to boost local services..."
Consultee ID: Miss Edwarde

Part of document: Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
None of these towns could absorb expansion with existing infrastructures but it seems the best option in a bad situation. The pressure on infrastructure which development brings will not only impact on the towns. Increased population in the area will impact on us all, as newcomers seek to use the wider road network and leisure activities in and beyond the area.

Consultee ID: Miss Edwarde

Part of document: Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If the two current proposals for affordable housing were to go ahead, this would provided the majority of houses proposed. If the remainder were also on brown field sites, the number proposed is achievable.

Consultee ID: Miss Edwarde

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The cost of housing in the villages is creating a poor social mix of residents. Affordable housing schemes would help to redress this balance and attract low income people, including those in low paid professions like young teachers, nurses and care workers. In time, it might be necessary to review this policy as the balance of social diversity is achieved.
Consultee ID: Miss Edwarde
Consultee ID: 106678

Part of document: Option 32a

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. Dean
Consultee ID: 106679

Part of document: Chapter 1

Section of Document: Context

Your View

Reason for comment:
The whole plan is based on false assumptions.

Consultee ID: Mr. Dean
Consultee ID: 106679

Part of document: Option 6d

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment:
Distance travelled to work should be a minimum. Country villages should be conserved and not expanded with new development.

Consultee ID: Mr. Lyons
Consultee ID: 106680

Part of document: Option 14a

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes
Agent ID: 106681

Part of document: ☑ option

Reason for comment:
There should not be development in an area of outstanding natural beauty. The whole point of the latter is to keep it thus.

Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes
Agent ID: 106681

Part of document: ☑ option

Reason for comment:
This would be over development, there could be drainage problems and there is not the infrastructure. The road is already busy and not in good shape, more houses would prove hazardous.

Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes
Agent ID: 106681

Part of document: ☑ option

Reason for comment:
Most unsuitable for further houses. The road is already narrow and there are enough houses in this area.

Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes
Agent ID: 106681

Part of document: ☑ option

Reason for comment:
There are enough houses already in this area and it would be overcrowding. The road is not suitable and is already busy enough. It is also a rural area and an area of outstanding natural beauty.
Option 20a

Reason for comment:
It would be highly unsuitable to have more houses in Rotherfield. Already the road is congested and there is not enough room to accommodate more housing, nor is the infrastructure adequate.

Option 20c

Reason for comment:
This village is not suited to more housing, there would be overdevelopment and the infrastructure is not adequate.

Option 21c

Reason for comment:
Buxted has already grown enough and has already taken more housing. More housing would be overdevelopment and again the infrastructure would not be adequate.

Option 21d

Reason for comment:
Framfield is large enough already and is in an area of outstanding natural beauty. More houses would spoil the character of the village, it would be over development and again the infrastructure would not be adequate.
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Consultee ID: 106681  Mrs Kentish Barnes
Agent ID: 

Part of document: Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Blackboys is in an area of outstanding natural beauty. To allow the village to grow would completely spoil the character of the village, it would be over development and again the infrastructure is certainly not adequate.

Consultee ID: 106681  Mrs Kentish Barnes
Agent ID: 

Part of document: Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It would be totally unsuitable to have more housing in Cross in Hand. There is a rising accident rate on the A267, there is the busiest Esso station in the south east, it would be over development, the infrastructure is not adequate, there would be problems with drainage and surface water.

Consultee ID: 106681  Mrs Kentish Barnes
Agent ID: 

Part of document: Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is a fault in the escarpment of the village, the land moves and there would be terrible drainage problems. There are already enough houses in Broad Oak. Further housing would be overdevelopment.

Consultee ID: 106681  Mrs Kentish Barnes
Agent ID: 

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID:  Mrs Kentish Barnes  Agent ID: 106681

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Kentish Barnes  Agent ID: 106681

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Kentish Barnes  Agent ID: 106681

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Kentish Barnes  Agent ID: 106681

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes

Part of document: Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes

Part of document: Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes

Part of document: Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes

Part of document: Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes  
Agent ID: 106681

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes  
Agent ID: 106681

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes  
Agent ID: 106681

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes  
Agent ID: 106681

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes  
Part of document: Option 28a  
Section of Document: 
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes  
Part of document: Option 28b  
Section of Document: 
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Kentish Barnes  
Part of document: Option 28c  
Section of Document: 
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Garland  
Part of document: Question 17e  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 
Land known as Standard Green Field, Rattle Road, Stone Cross We have been instructed by the owners of the land to promote part or all of it for residential development. Further details in submitted supporting statement, map and letter submitted to WDC Planning Policy.
Reason for comment:
The AONB is such a large area that it is difficult to see how the required number of houses can be built without encroaching on it. Additional housing should consist of small developments around many existing settlements, rather than just a few large new developments.

Reason for comment:
The 10% target seems low. We should at least aim higher.

Reason for comment:
Aim high. This will mean lifestyle and environmental change, but we must bite the bullet.

Reason for comment:
However, attracting jobs should still be a priority. Employment is provided by many other types of organisation as well as factories and offices, e.g. tourist attractions, shops, charities, schools, churches, restaurants, etc.
Reason for comment:
However, the redevelopment should be permitted if it leads to greater employment.

Reason for comment:
This is too narrow a description of the type of business. Many organisations create employment, not just factories and offices.

Reason for comment:
Definitely. Unless there is local balance between new housing and well-paid employment opportunities, the consequence will be a large increase in commuting travel.

Reason for comment:
Development in this area would fill in the quadrant between Lewes Road and the old Polegate bypass, once occupied by the honey farm and now by a haulage yard. It would be the "least worst" extension to the present built up area. It must include the folkington link part of the Polegate by-pass.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4b

**Section of Document:**
Option 4b

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Development here would push the built up area too far into open countryside, threatening Abbots Wood.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4c

**Section of Document:**
Option 4c

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
It is preferable to extend Polegate eastwards towards the growing Stone Cross, retaining the existing width of countryside between Polegate and Hailsham.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4d

**Section of Document:**
Option 4d

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
The built up area should not extend west of the A22.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Question 4e

**Section of Document:**
Question 4e

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consider extending the present Chaucer trading estate in Polegate southwards, with better access and preserving the cycle-track to Eastbourne.
Consultee ID: Cooper
106683

Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
This appears to provide no control at all.

Consultee ID: Cooper
106683

Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:
This will be necessary if the required number of new houses are to be built. New housing should be affordable for local people.

Consultee ID: Cooper
106683

Part of document: Question 5c
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:
Settlement areas should include small areas of public open land for walking, sitting and children to play.

Consultee ID: Cooper
106683

Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
80% is too high. 67% (two-thirds) would be better. Reasonable development in villages might encourage better village facilities, e.g. for shopping.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 6c**

**Reason for comment:**
Reasonable development in villages might encourage better village amenities such as more viable shops, post offices, churches, etc.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8a**

**Reason for comment:**
Development would enable Hailsham to grow as a distinct centre, separate from Eastbourne, but the present road and public transport links are inadequate to support this.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8b**

**Reason for comment:**
Polegate/Willingdon should not grow to the exclusion of Hailsham, otherwise the centre of gravity of south Wealden will shift even more towards Eastbourne.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8c**

**Reason for comment:**
Significant growth for both Polegate/Willingdon and Hailsham could provide increased opportunities for both areas. However, a significant countryside separation should be maintained between the two.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 8d

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View:** Agree, No opinion, Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Berwick station area is the obvious candidate because of the railway and the proximity of the A27. However, both the A27 and the rail services would need considerable improvement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 15a

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View:** Agree, No opinion, Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Involves only limited thrust into open countryside.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 15b

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View:** Agree, No opinion, Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Fills in between existing built-up areas but leave a good sized open parkland area for the town centre adjacent to Vicarage Field.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 15c

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View:** Agree, No opinion, Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Fills in between existing built-up areas but leave a good sized open parkland area for the town centre adjacent to Vicarage Field.
Consultee ID: 106683
Cooper

Part of document: Option 15e
Section of Document:

Your View
Disagree
Reason for comment:
Too much encroachment into open countryside.

Consultee ID: 106683
Cooper

Part of document: Option 15e
Section of Document:

Your View
Disagree
Reason for comment:
Encroaches too much into open countryside.

Consultee ID: 106683
Cooper

Part of document: Option 15f
Section of Document:

Your View
Disagree
Reason for comment:
Encroaches too much into open countryside.

Consultee ID: 106683
Cooper

Part of document: Question 15h
Section of Document:

Your View
Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106683</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

### Option 16a

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Extends development less far into open countryside than some other options. Must include the Folkington link part of the Polegate bypass.

### Option 16b

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Encroaches too far into open countryside.

### Option 16c

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Encroaches too far into open countryside.

### Option 16d

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Difficult access through existing narrow residential roads.
Consultee ID: Cooper

Part of document: Option 16e
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Prefer growth east from Polegate towards Stone Cross, rather than extending the built-up area north.

Consultee ID: Cooper

Part of document: Option 16f
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Keep most of Hindslands playing fields as a public access parkland area. Polegate and Willingdon needs this "green lung".

Consultee ID: Cooper

Part of document: Question 16i
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Polegate town centre shops need to be somewhat larger to provide more viable retail businesses. All utilities, public services and transport facilities must match enlarged housing.

Consultee ID: Cooper

Part of document: Question 16j
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Consider the area south of Dittons Road and north of the railway to Hampden Park, but preserve the cycle track to Eastbourne.
Consultee ID: Cooper
Agent ID:
106683

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cooper
Agent ID:
106683

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cooper
Agent ID:
106683

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Although this is Langney rather than Stone Cross

Consultee ID: Cooper
Agent ID:
106683

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Opportunity to use a brown-field site.
Consultee ID: 106683

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The open countryside that exists between Polegate and Hailsham should be retained.

Consultee ID: 106683

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Some scope for small scale development.

Consultee ID: 106683

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Good development potential provided considerable improvements are made to the A27 and rail services at Berwick.

Consultee ID: 106683

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Herstmonceux is reasonably convenient for Eastbourne and reasonably scaled development could bring improved village facilities.
Reason for comment:
This is too simplistic. A mix of affordable housing for local people and larger housing attractive to quality employment is needed.
Consultee ID: 106683
Agent ID: Cooper

Part of document: Option 25a
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Extensons to accommodate elderly or disabled residents should be encouraged.

Consultee ID: 106683
Agent ID: Cooper

Part of document: Option 25b
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: 50% of new dwellings should be aimed at low-middle income people.

Consultee ID: 106683
Agent ID: Cooper

Part of document: Option 25f
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Extensons to accommodate elderly or disabled residents should be encouraged.

Consultee ID: 106683
Agent ID: Cooper

Part of document: Option 26a
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Option 27a
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Extend parking facilities (north side of Black Path) at the present Polegate station. Build on the present station rather than establishing a Parkway station.

Option 27b
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 27c
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Extend parking facilities (north side of Black Path) at the present Polegate station. Build on the present station rather than establishing a Parkway station.

Option 27d
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Dualling and consequent access and safety improvements on the A27 are more important than the visual effect from the South Downs.
Consultee ID: Cooper  
Agent ID:  
106683

Part of document:  
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Unrealistic. Almost no-one uses park and ride facilities unless the facilities are free of charge and the "ride" is quick and very frequent.

Consultee ID: Cooper  
Agent ID:  
106683

Part of document:  
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It is most important for built up areas to include public "green lung" areas. Several small open areas provide better public access than one large area.

Consultee ID: Cooper  
Agent ID:  
106683

Part of document:  
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cooper  
Agent ID:  
106683

Part of document:  
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: PROBERT  Agent ID: 106684

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The AONB is exactly what it says! Build on it and it ceases to be! Losing it would be a crime against future generations.

Consultee ID: PROBERT  Agent ID: 106684

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT  Agent ID: 106684

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I believe this is unrealistic and would not wish to see wind turbines accross the AONB.

Consultee ID: PROBERT  Agent ID: 106684

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:
106684

Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:
106684

Part of document: Option 4c
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:
106684

Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:
106684

Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
This is cart blanche to build where you like. |Keep the boundries
Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID: 106684

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
build a major new settlement at Isfield.

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID: 106684

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID: 106684

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID: 106684

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: PROBERT
106684

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:
Question 6d

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
60% should be for the towns and 40% for the villages. Towns are already congested.

Consultee ID: PROBERT
106684

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT
106684

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT
106684

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: PROBERT  Agent ID:
106684

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Stick to the towns and leave the country side alone.

Consultee ID: PROBERT  Agent ID:
106684

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Spreads the load over three Towns and makes things much fairer.

Consultee ID: PROBERT  Agent ID:
106684

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT  Agent ID:
106684

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 11b

Reason for comment:

Massive congestion exists now. Why add to it?

Option 11c

Reason for comment:

Any encroachment on the recreation area should be resisted at all costs. The area is already desperately short of open play spaces. To increase the population would seriously overstretch the already creaking infrastructure.
Consultee ID: PROBERT

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Take the Mill Brook and Farningham Road Industrial Estates up to the Army Camp on the A26 and build houses on the vacated site. This will give easy access to the Railway Station and remove lorry movements from the residential area. This option should be supported by careful planning for the increased traffic.

Consultee ID: PROBERT

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Do not add to the congestion.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106684</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106684</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106684</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106684</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 20a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>PROBERT</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106684</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️ document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Build on the Traveller camp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 21a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106684</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️ document</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Build on the Traveller camp</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 21b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106684</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️ document</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Good rail link</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 21c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106684</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑️ document</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Good rail link</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 106684</td>
<td>PROBERT</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 22a</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** | Agree | No opinion | Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106684</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 22b</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** | Agree | No opinion | Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106684</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 22c</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** | Agree | No opinion | Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106684</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** | Agree | No opinion | Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

For estate developments only.
Consultee ID: PROBERT
Consultee ID: 106684

Part of document: Option 24b
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Your View

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Consultee ID: 106684

Part of document: Option 24c
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Your View

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Consultee ID: 106684

Part of document: Option 24d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Your View

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Consultee ID: 106684

Part of document: Option 25a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Your View

But only in terms of flats for Town Centres.
High density building near Railway Stations will attract a community of commuters. High density building should be restricted to affordable homes for local people and key worker.
We need more starter homes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106684</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 25b
- Option 25c
- Option 25d
- Option 25f

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** 106684

**Part of document:**  
- Option 25b
- Option 25c
- Option 25d
- Option 25f

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** 106684

**Part of document:**  
- Option 25b
- Option 25c
- Option 25d
- Option 25f

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** 106684

**Part of document:**  
- Option 25b
- Option 25c
- Option 25d
- Option 25f

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** 106684

**Part of document:**  
- Option 25b
- Option 25c
- Option 25d
- Option 25f

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 25b

- Reason for comment: Should be 30% at most.

### Option 25c

- Reason for comment: Your View

### Option 25d

- Reason for comment: Your View

### Option 25f

- Reason for comment: Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106684</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 25g

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106684</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 26a

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106684</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 26b

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>PROBERT</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106684</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 27a

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View  □  Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Corporat tosh and drivell

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  □  Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Sounds like statistics gone mad. Minimum of 2 per household!
Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: PROBERT
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Question Thirty

Infrastructure Requirements

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question 32a

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Country side is finite, the insane need to build on it sadly isn't. People should be questioning whether we do, actually need as many homes as we're told we do (see the report issued by the CPRE prepared by Europe Economics). This showed that the Barker review does not offer compelling reasons to show there are too few houses built in the UK. This aside, lets not forget who are the prime motivators behind all this, the property developers. They and the government they are hand-in-hand with. The whole issue is about City boys and sleazy politicians lining their pockets at the expense of our once 'green and pleasant land'.

Option 11a

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Swan
106685

Part of document: Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This area already suffers from excessive traffic; Western Road and Walshes Road, a relatively narrow residential route, is in effect, a main road, with HGV's part of a constant stream of vehicles. This is of concern to parents with children visiting the local school at Jarvis Brook. Any increase in traffic, which would surely arise from this proposed development, would make matters worse. There are no traffic controls in place here, the increase in vehicles would increase the risk of accidents. No likely house purchaser would consider this a benefit. Parking in the area is already difficult; the lower cost of travel from Crowborough over Tunbridge Wells attracts out of town commuters, further parking and cars would mean gridlock. The excessive rain this year has caused localised flooding in the area, exacerbated by the area being at the bottom of the hill and the locality of various watercourses. Wildlife in the locality, already impacted by human activity would be affected. The surrounding countryside is rich in unique flora and dependent fauna that would, as usual when development occurs, suffer. Given the sensitivity of such issues at present, this enough should be a major factor in rejecting this proposal. Despite this areas proximity to picturesque countryside, it can not be considered attractive visually. The industrial nature of it's past, together with ill conceived building practices in the past would no doubt deter potential buyers anyway.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: mrs whiteman  Agent ID: 106686  personal resident

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
NARROW UNSUITABLE LANE FOR HEAVY TRAFFIC AND NO FOOTPATHS FOR THE MAINY PEOPLE WHO WALK [ WE ARE BEING ENCOURAGED TO WALK AND USE PUBLIC TRANSPORT !!] THE LANE CERTAINLY CAN'T COPE WITH MORE TRAFFIC FROM 120 - 200 NEW HOMES. DO WE WANT CHILDREN AND ADULTS LIVES TO BE PUT AT RISK !!. SERVICES AND FACILITIES IN THE AREA WOULD BE UNABLE TO SUPPORT THE EXTRA DEVELOPMENT AND THE LAND WOULD BE MORE LIABLE TO FLOODING BECAUSE RAIN SOAKS INTO SOIL BUT NOT CONCRETE AND TARMAC WHEN GREEN LANE WAS DEVELOPED THERE WERE PROBLEMS WITH FLOODING AND DRAINAGE. ON TOP OF ALL THIS IT IS AN AREA OF OUTSTANDING NATURAL BEAUTY WHICH SUPPORTS AN ARRAY OF BEAUTIFUL WILDLIFE WHICH ARE ALREADY UNDER THREAT IN OUR COUNTRY. ANY FUTURE DEVELOPMENT TO THE AREA IN TERMS OF THESE HOUSES WILL SEROUSLY EFFECT A LOCAL COMMUNITY AS WELL AS THE WIDER COMMUNITY AS SANDY CROSS DOES NOT HAVE THE FACILITIES AVAILABLE HEATHFIELD DOES NOT EVEN HAVE A BUS SERVICE SUNDAYS OR BANK HOLIDAYS.

Consultee ID: Ms Hutchby  Agent ID: 106687

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Consultee ID: Ms Hutchby
Agreement: 
Reason for comment:
 Whilst a modest amount of development at Berwick may be acceptable, the scale proposed (4 700 - 7 000 houses) would be extremely detrimental to the landscape and character of the surrounding area. It does not seem sensible to build on land with such a high water table. Creating a small town at Berwick is likely to increase demands for the A27 to become a dual-carriageway. This would drastically change the character of the surrounding countryside. The road that runs through Berwick is currently a country lane. Transforming Berwick into a town will increase traffic levels which will inevitably lead to this road being "upgraded". This will be the final act of urbanising this attractive Low Weald landscape at the foot of the South Downs.

Consultee ID: Mrs Macro
Agreement: 
Reason for comment:
 I live on Western Road which is already heavily used and generally congested, obviously peak times are the worst but congestion can be a problem throughout the day! Heavy vehicles and buses frequent this road. I believe that the proposed sites:11d and 11e will greatly add to traffic problems around this area of Crowborough, it would mean the loss of fields therefore destroying the habitat of many types of wildlife, also if the riding school were forced to close we would lose a valuable asset to the community. The riding school will soon be the only one in Crowborough! It is very popular and a great many adults and children would lose out without this valuable local facility. With regard to the town centre, there are so many empty units and charity shops! Shouldn't businesses be attracted to these rather than expanding it further?! 

Consultee ID: Mr Cruse
Agreement: 
Reason for comment:
 Any developments in ANOB's should be very carefully monitored but not necessarily discounted as development over the years has already taken place to a certain extent.
Any developments in ANOB’s should be very carefully monitored but not necessarily discounted as development over the years has already taken place to a certain extent.

With the current environmental problems this has got to be the way to go.

Short term snap decisions could permanently change the nature of an area, (closure of Polegate to Tunbridge Wells rail line).
Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document: 
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 3b

Consultee ID:  
106690

Part of document: 
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 3c

Consultee ID:  
106690

Part of document: 
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 3d

Consultee ID:  
106690

Part of document: 
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 3d

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

There seems to be more scope for small business start up in the South-East than large corporations.

Consultee ID:  
106690

Part of document: 
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 3d

Consultee ID:  
106690

Part of document: 
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 3d

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The South-East has a greater elderly population than anywhere else in the country (your ref. 1.36) so therefore that percentage of retired people do not require employment.
Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Plenty of scope elsewhere round Polegate, development in this area would require lots of road alterations.

Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This area would probably start ribbon development along the A22.

Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This area seems to be a natural progression for Polegate with the A27 as a boundary.
Consultee ID: mr cruse
106690
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 4d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
There is a serious question of need, commercial development is imminent at Hackhurst Lane. There are also many commercial premises on Diplocks and Station Roads industrial estates with 'for sale' or 'to let' signs in evidence. Hailsham high street is littered with empty shops and office premises. The chemical depot opposite Chandlers Mini showroom has been empty and disused for several years. If any commercial development were to take place in this area the Bushy Wood Scout camp would be impacted and also any pollution/spillages would drain into the Cuckmere half a mile away then to Arlington reservoir.

Consultee ID: mr cruse
106690
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question 4e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
See my comments for 4d,

Consultee ID: mr cruse
106690
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
"Necessary growth" should be what it says, i.e. suitable and sensible growth to support the local communities without changing the nature of the area.
Consultee ID: mr cruse

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
A strong policy would be needed to control development in these areas.

Consultee ID: mr cruse

Part of document: Question 5c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The merits of any developments in these areas should be very strictly controlled.

Consultee ID: mr cruse

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Most towns are less likely to be appreciably altered by development than villages.

Consultee ID: mr cruse

Part of document: Option 6b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:
Option 6c

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:
Option 7a

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:
Option 7a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:
Option 7c

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

See my comments in 7b.

Growth potential on any area be it village or town should be very carefully handled so as not to destroy or unbalance the type of community that has developed there. "Growth potential" is probably not the best approach in many villages or towns if it comes to that.
Your View [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Ticked the wrong box on this question, my comments on 7b will cover this.

---

Part of document:  
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Controlled development in this area would have less impact on the area though it has to be remembered that all waste water from Polegate is treated at Hailsham South sewage treatment plant, which then drains onto the Pevensey Levels. The capacity of which is in question -see note 2.27.

---

Part of document:  
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
The merging of Hailsham and Polegate would lead to a loss of identity for both communities.
Consultee ID: mr cruse

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 8d

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
As before there is "no cap fits all" solution.

Consultee ID: mr cruse

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Question Ten

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
As before there is "no cap fits all" solution.

Consultee ID: mr cruse

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 15a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Hailsham has grown dramatically over the last twenty years. Between thirty and forty years ago the huge Town Farm housing estate was built with the majority of residents coming from outside Hailsham. Any similar large additions to Hailsham would impact on all aspects of infrastructure especially roads and waste water.

Consultee ID: mr cruse

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 15b

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The problem with this area is the close locality of Hailsham North sewage works. Probably a very small development would be appropriate.
Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Development in this area would have to be limited as it borders on very low lying land, could be prone to flooding. Present road structure wouldn't be able to cope and building of any suitable extra road systems would upset the balance of the town.

Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This is the area bordering the huge Town Farm Estate, the land drops away to Pevensey Levels close by to the East with Hailsham South sewage treatment works to the South.

Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

As with previous question, A27 is a good Northern boundary. Again waste water creates serious questions.

Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

See my comments to question 4d.
Question 15g

Reason for comment:
The roads infrastructure cannot withstand much more traffic. The town centre cannot be altered dramatically to create an increase traffic flow. Both sewage treatment plants discharge onto the Pevensey Levels and have questionable capacity (see 2.27) for any increase in use.

Question 15h

Reason for comment:

Question 15i

Reason for comment:
This must be a question for people with an axe to grind.

Option 16a

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mr cruse

Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cruse

Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cruse

Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This area would appear to be the only area around Polegate which could possibly be developed should the need be proven. The A27 being a good boundary. Waste water questions I have mentioned in previous questions.

Consultee ID: mr cruse

Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I would only agree with this suggestion if development is very limited thereby retaining some gap between Willingdon and Polegate. I fear once started there would be no stopping.
Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 16g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Parking in Polegate town centre is a serious problem, especially in regards to the railway.

Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 17a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Small development only.

Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 17b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mr cruse  
106690

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 17c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106690  
mr cruse  

Part of document: Option 18b  
Section of Document: 

Your View:  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Question of need?

Consultee ID: 106690  
mr cruse  

Part of document: Option 18c  
Section of Document: 

Your View:  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Agian question of need. This is already a viable community and could easily be destroyed by ill thought out expansion.

Consultee ID: 106690  
mr cruse  

Part of document: Option 18d  
Section of Document: 

Your View:  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Same comments as for previous question apply.

Consultee ID: 106690  
mr cruse  

Part of document: Option 18g  
Section of Document: 

Your View:  
☒ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
This area seems to be an obvious choice but the same old questions of need, waste water treatment, water supply, roads and affect on existing community as prominent as ever.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106690</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 18h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Natural gap between Hailsham and Polegate should be maintained as long as possible for the benefit of both communities.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106690</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Wilmington has its own unique character, any development would have to be very limited as it would destroy the character and nature of the area. p.s. I don't live there.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mr cruse</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106690</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
All the usual questions of need, water supply, waste water treatment and disposal coupled with the loss of acres of perfectly productive farmland frequently overlooked in all planning questions and one which will come back to haunt us in not too many years distant. Floods in Northern England and loss of up to 25% of this country's pea crop highlight how little we already grow. A bad harvest from one of our foreign food suppliers and we will rue the day so much badly planned and unneeded development has taken place in Britain.
This poorly publicised document would appear to be politically motivated. Britain is losing the biggest percentage of its core producers and exporters, the best way to keep unemployment down would be to propose lots of building required thereby keeping lots of the populace employed not just in building but products for building. The ultimate short termism. Maybe Wealden District council would be better employed questioning this policy on our behalf!

- **Option 23a**
  
  Some very carefully controlled development only to settle unsatisfied local need.

- **Option 23b**
  
  Some very carefully controlled development only to settle unsatisfied local need.

- **Option 23c**
  
  Some very carefully controlled development only to settle unsatisfied local need.
Consultee ID: mr cruse
106690

Part of document: ☒ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View    ☒ Agree     ☐ No opinion    ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Some very carefully controlled development only to settle unsatisfied local need.

Consultee ID: mr cruse
106690

Part of document: ☒ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View    ☒ Agree     ☐ No opinion    ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Some very carefully controlled development only to settle unsatisfied local need.

Consultee ID: mr cruse
106690

Part of document: ☒ option
Question 23f

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree     ☐ No opinion     ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Wealden district council offices, turn it into a super casino. (Obviously the question for daft comments)

Consultee ID: mr cruse
106690

Part of document: ☒ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree     ☐ No opinion     ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Greenfield sites are too valuable, best use must be made if really necessary.
Option 24b

Reason for comment:
Previous comments 24a apply.

Option 24c

Reason for comment:
Previous comments for questions 24a and b apply.

Option 24d

Reason for comment:
Any planning should be based on local need primarily, a rail link would be an obvious benefit but is not a be all and end all.

Option 25a

Reason for comment:
Housing should be based on need in the local area in towns possibly, small dwellings would be a benefit but all proposals should be judged on a needs basis.
Again all based on need in the areas proposed. Infrastructure also of paramount importance.

It is wholly unappropriate to make broad judgements such as this without reference to local need, infrastructure etc...
Consultee ID: 106690  
Mr Cruse

Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 27a

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Who passed the plan for building on Polegate's centre car park? A Parkway Station is probably imminent.

Option 27b

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 27c

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question 31a

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 27d

Consultee ID: mr cruse
Part of document: document chapter section option
Section of Document: Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:
When the Beddingham fly over is completed hopefully traffic flow will be improved. A spur from the Cophall roundabout to the A27 would surely be of real benefit.

Option 28a

Consultee ID: mr cruse
Part of document: document chapter section option
Section of Document: Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 28b

Consultee ID: mr cruse
Part of document: document chapter section option
Section of Document: Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 28c

Consultee ID: mr cruse
Part of document: document chapter section option
Section of Document: Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID:    mr    cruse     Agent ID: 106690

Part of document:  ☒ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document:  Housing Delivery

Your View  ☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:    mr    cruse     Agent ID: 106690

Part of document:  ☒ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document:  Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:    mr    cruse     Agent ID: 106690

Part of document:  ☒ option
Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment: Development only to meet proven local need.

Consultee ID:    mr    cruse     Agent ID: 106690

Part of document:  ☒ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106690

Part of document: Option 32b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106691

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

This is the best way to prevent large-scale and insensitive additions

Consultee ID: 106691

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

The majority of new building must be sited close to existing towns if Wealden is to retain its rural areas

Consultee ID: 106691

Part of document: Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Isepp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106691</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Isepp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106691</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Isepp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106691</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 16b

**Reason for comment:**
This side of Polegate towards the Downs should not be extended

Option 16c

**Reason for comment:**
This is unremarkable land and could sustain some housing

Option 16f

Option 18d
Consultee ID: Mrs Isepp

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Wilmington is not suitable for growth, any station and housing North of the Village would ruin this village and also the character of Arlington to the North which are jewels in the local landscape.

Consultee ID: Mrs Isepp

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Although Berwick has some good services in place, the village is highly visiable from the downs and would be quite unable to take the volume of housing suggested without ruining the rural areas to east and west of it, and also old Berwick Village to the South.

Consultee ID: Matson

Option 14a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
How can anyone believe that the destruction of this beautiful and peaceful area is acceptable?
In landscape terms any development here would cause unacceptable harm to the rural setting of Uckfield and Maresfield and the visual quality, continuity and rural character of the surrounding villages and countryside. This area provides the strategic countryside gap between Uckfield, Maresfield, Five Ash Down and Buxted. The proposed site is clearly visible from 2 AONBs (South Downs and Ashdown Forest). The area is a highly biodiverse ecologically valuable habitat including ancient woodlands, ghyll woodland, old herb-rich grassland, ponds, streams, ancient common. Development would result in the unacceptable loss of ancient woodland (an irreplaceable resource for its diversity of species and longevity as woodland), and wetland habitats, lead to unsustainable biodiversity and habitat degradation, and the unacceptable fragmentation, isolation and disturbance of natural habits of acknowledged nature conservation importance. The site supports a number of European protected species together with a range of other species protected by UK legislation and supports priority species listed in the UK Biodiversity Action Plan, together with other bird species of nature conservation concern.
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
In landscape terms any development here would cause unacceptable harm to the rural setting of Uckfield and Maresfield and the visual quality, continuity and rural character of the surrounding villages and countryside. This area provides the strategic countryside gap between Uckfield, Maresfield, Five Ash Down and Buxted. The proposed site is clearly visible from 2 AONBs (South Downs and Ashdown Forest). The area is a highly biodiverse ecologically valuable habitat including ancient woodlands, ghyll woodland, old herb-rich grassland, ponds, streams, ancient common. Development would result in the unacceptable loss of ancient woodland (an irreplaceable resource for its diversity of species and longevity as woodland), and wetland habitats, lead to unsustainable biodiversity and habitat degradation, and the unacceptable fragmentation, isolation and disturbance of natural habits of acknowledged nature conservation importance. The site supports a number of European protected species together with a range of other species protected by UK legislation and supports priority species listed in the UK Biodiversity Action Plan, together with other bird species of nature conservation concern.
Consultee ID: Mrs Matson
106694

Part of document: Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Matson
106694

Part of document: Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Smith
106695

Part of document: Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

It is desirable to aim for the higher target of 20% use of renewable energy use, given Wealden resources for wood burning and wind energy.

Consultee ID: Mr Smith
106695

Part of document: Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Housing should be located where there are existing or new employment opportunities.
Option 4a

Reason for comment:
Option a is not desirable: It is preferable that business developments in the Hailsham-Eastbourne triangle are located east of the A22. Development to the west would threaten wildlife in the adjacent woodland areas and is likely to trigger proposals (as in 16a and 16b) to extend housing into the greenfield areas to the west.

Option 5a

Reason for comment:
It is preferable to maintain development with settlement boundaries.

Option 6a

Reason for comment:
It is preferable that 80% of new housing should be located within and around existing towns, rather than a lower percentage. Housing should be close to employment. To do otherwise is to impose further strain on our overcrowded transport links, and contribute additionally to global warming.
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Development west of the A22 is not desirable as it would be a threat to nature conservation in the ancient woodland areas.

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Among the new settlement options in the Sussex Coastal Area, the priority should be to avoid those that are close to the proposed National Park, and favour those that offer the possibility of local employment. These criteria favour 18d, e or f, around Lower Dicker, or 18h. All of these options threaten major difficulties for road transport and all development at any of these sites should therefore be on a very modest scale unless there were cast-iron guarantees that the local infrastructure could be improved enough to cope with the increased housing in the area. The proposed development 18j at Berwick is particularly unwise for all of these reasons, plus the scale of development proposed, the inadvisability of development close to a reservoir and a river, and the threat to nature conservation, with the reservoir and Middle Gate marsh being SSSIs, plus the distinctive wildlife in the former Berwick brickworks, and in the ancient woodland in Ludlay Copse, which is a site among other things for dormice.

Option 25a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
More housing in the form of flats and terraced housing is highly desirable, given the need for affordable accommodation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106695</td>
<td>106695</td>
<td>Selmeston Parish Meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Rural sites should have provision for affordable housing but should not be solely in that category. A mix is preferable.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106695</td>
<td>106695</td>
<td>Selmeston Parish Meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

It would be inappropriate to create a dual carriageway A27 at the edge of or within the proposed national park.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Tappenden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106696</td>
<td>106696</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question One**

**Section of Document:**  
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
The development of 20-25 houses in this 'AREA OF OUTSTANDING NATURAL BEAUTY' is inappropriate. An additional 25 houses in the village would put strain on resources. The infrastructure for example current education and primary health care is near to capacity. In practical terms there is no provision of mains gas, there are no shopping facilities within walking distance and very little public transport. To add to this economically there are few opportunities for employment within this area. The impact of these houses would increase the carbon footprint of this area as extra vehicles on the roads would be enevitable. The proposed area would have an impact on community safety with access on to a road to which the 40MPH speed limit is rarely adhered to. Also crossing a footpath used by primary school children. This is a rural area with no street lighting creating problems in the winter months. This proposed development would also have a devastating affect on the landscape and environment. At present this area is inhabited by badgers,hedgehogs, field mice, snakes, owls, bats, woodpeckers and many other birds that are named on the RED/AMBER LIST SPECIES of the Birds of Conservation Concern. The development would distroy a natural habit and increase noise and pollution.

Development of exisiting properties and some in-fill could be acceptable if in character, aesthetically compatible and where property values are maintained.
Reason for comment:
The key identity marker for a district such as Wealden is sustainability - particularly energy and environment. It will produce, at the very least a solid platform for future business opportunities.

Reason for comment:
The basis of business/employment development is not entirely because of available land. Given a full set of appropriate incentives the market forces will drive the allocation of employment opportunities within the guidelines set. ie sustainable development with a pro-active consideration for the environment.

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Option 3d

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Option 4a

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Option 4b

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Option 4d

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Strict criteria is the key. What strict criteria? If it were along the lines eco housing based on aesthetically compatible designs ie materials, house shapes etc then development of new housing would be acceptable. There have been many developments in the past of affordable housing. There is no reason similar designs could not be used with a contemporary input. The central issue is of not disturbing but rather complementing the character of the district's villages and hamlets. In Wiltshire there is development in keeping with the old buildings which positively enhances the traditional character.

Villages and hamlets are communities. Parishes should decide what level of development they will accept and what criteria they wish to apply to that development.
Consultee ID: Mr Taylor 106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor 106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor 106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor 106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106697</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="true" alt="option" /></td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td>As I have previously indicated, villages and hamlets, through their parishes should establish criteria for and extent of any development.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106697</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="true" alt="option" /></td>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td><img src="true" alt="Disagree" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106697</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="true" alt="option" /></td>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td><img src="true" alt="Disagree" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106697</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><img src="true" alt="option" /></td>
<td>Option 16b</td>
<td><img src="true" alt="Disagree" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: Option 16c

Section of Document:
Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: Option 16d

Section of Document:
Your View Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: Option 16g

Section of Document:
Your View Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: Option 16c

Section of Document:
Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Taylor
106697 Attitude Matters

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
### Option 18j

**Consultee ID:** Mr Taylor  
106697 Attitude Matters

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Flood plain is clearly not acceptable for development. The A27 is already compromised. A very poor option altogether.

---

### Option 19a

**Consultee ID:** Mr Taylor  
106697 Attitude Matters

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 19b

**Consultee ID:** Mr Taylor  
106697 Attitude Matters

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 19c

**Consultee ID:** Mr Taylor  
106697 Attitude Matters

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106697</th>
<th>Attitude Matters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106697</th>
<th>Attitude Matters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Consultee ID: | Mr. & Mr. Palmer | Agent ID: | 106698 | | |
|-------------|-----------------|----------|-------|-----|
| Part of document: | □ document | □ chapter | □ section | ☑ option |
| Question One | | | | |
| Section of Document: | Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty | | | |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree | | |
| Reason for comment: | If permission is given for 'some' development it could set a precedent for over development. Holiday homes and second homes should no longer be allowed where there is housing need for local people. | | | |

<p>| Consultee ID: | Mr. &amp; Mr. Palmer | Agent ID: | 106698 | | |
|-------------|-----------------|----------|-------|-----|
| Part of document: | □ document | □ chapter | □ section | ☑ option |
| Option 2a | | | | |
| Section of Document: | | | | |
| Your View | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree | | |
| Reason for comment: | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 2b**

**Reason for comment:**

We agree providing the schemes do not impact on the AONBs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 2b**

**Reason for comment:**

We agree providing the schemes do not impact on the AONBs, e.g. wind farms on the South Downs would be unacceptable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3a**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment: The premise implies a large housing development which is unacceptable

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment: This should remain as green open space.

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment: This creates a danger of ribbon development joining Hailsham to Polegate.
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This would encroach on green open space.

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is ambiguous, the boundaries should be maintained. We agree with first half but disagree with the second half

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The limitations of such developments are not defined
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer 106698

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Question 5c

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:
This too is ambiguous it is neither an Agree or Disagree situation. Developments should not be allowed where there will be negative impact on the character of a village or its environment.

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer 106698

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 6a

Your View Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer 106698

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 6b

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer 106698

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 6c

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Option 7b

**Consultee ID:** Mr. & Mr Palmer  
**Agent ID:** 106698  

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 7c

**Consultee ID:** Mr. & Mr Palmer  
**Agent ID:** 106698  

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 7d

**Consultee ID:** Mr. & Mr Palmer  
**Agent ID:** 106698  

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:** Option 8a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:** Option 8b

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:** Option 8c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:** Option 8d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑  Agree   ☐  No opinion   ☑  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑  Agree   ☐  No opinion   ☑  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View   ☐  Agree   ☐  No opinion   ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question Ten

Section of Document:   Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View   ☐  Agree   ☐  No opinion   ☑  Disagree

Reason for comment:

This another ambiguous question. Agree with 30+ on the edges of towns, but disagree with 15+ on the edges of villages
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Agent ID:

106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Agent ID:

106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Agent ID:

106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Agent ID:

106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Part of document: □ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Part of document: □ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Part of document: □ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Agent ID:
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Agent ID:
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Agent ID:
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Agent ID:
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Part of document: Option 14h
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Part of document: Option 14a
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Part of document: Option 14b
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
106698

Part of document: Option 14c
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 14e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 14f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 14g

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Question 14j

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer 106698

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer 106698

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer 106698

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer 106698

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16c**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16d**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer

Part of document: ☑️ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑️ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer

Part of document: ☑️ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑️ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer

Part of document: ☑️ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑️ Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 17b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer  
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer  
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer  
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer  
106698

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Part of document: Option 18g
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Part of document: Option 18h
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Part of document: Option 18i
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer
Part of document: Option 18j
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:

The villages of Alfriston, Wilmington, & Litlington would be overwhelmed with traffic; imagine several thousand families heading for the seaside on a summer day; the foundations and structure of medieval buildings damaged and the character of these villages ruined for all time. The proposed development is totally unacceptable, and the AONB will be no more than a narrow strip of green between urban developments.
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 19e

Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 20a

Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 20b

Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 20c

Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

#### Option 21a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

#### Option 21b

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

#### Option 21d

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

#### Option 21e

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Option 21f

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

- **Part of document:**
  - □ document
  - □ chapter
  - □ section
  - ✔ option

#### Your View

- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

### Option 22a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

- **Part of document:**
  - □ document
  - □ chapter
  - □ section
  - ✔ option

#### Your View

- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

### Option 22b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

- **Part of document:**
  - □ document
  - □ chapter
  - □ section
  - ✔ option

#### Your View

- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

### Option 22c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

- **Part of document:**
  - □ document
  - □ chapter
  - □ section
  - ✔ option

#### Your View

- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr. &amp; Mr. Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 23d
- Option 23e
- Option 24a
- Option 24b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr. & Mr Palmer  
**Agent ID:**

**Part of document:**  
- Option 23d
- Option 23e
- Option 24a
- Option 24b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 106698  Mr. & Mr Palmer  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 24c

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 106698  Mr. & Mr Palmer  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 24d

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 106698  Mr. & Mr Palmer  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25a

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 106698  Mr. & Mr Palmer  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25b

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 25d

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Reason for comment:**
Providing this is SOLELY for local needs, and not for social housing to enable urban councils to relocate problem families

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 25e

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 25f

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. &amp; Mr Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 25g

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Reason for comment:**

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106698  
Mr. & Mr Palmer  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- Option 27c  
Section of Document:  

Your View  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

The theory is great but why the need to create more business opportunities in the South East which inevitably attracts larger numbers of people and creates the need for more and more housing, infrastructure and services. This is essentially a rural area of outstanding beauty and should remain so.

Consultee ID: 106698  
Mr. & Mr Palmer  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- Question 31a  
Section of Document:  

Your View  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

The theory is great but why the need to create more business opportunities in the South East which inevitably attracts larger numbers of people and creates the need for more and more housing, infrastructure and services. This is essentially a rural area of outstanding beauty and should remain so.

Consultee ID: 106698  
Mr. & Mr Palmer  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- Option 27d  
Section of Document:  

Your View  
- Agree  

Reason for comment:  

It is not the present road which is the problem but the impatience and arrogance of many car drivers.

Consultee ID: 106698  
Mr. & Mr Palmer  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- Option 27e  
Section of Document:  

Your View  
- Agree  

Reason for comment:  
But not if a developer's criterion is to have a large minimum housing contract.
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer  
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer  
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer  
Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Palmer

Part of document: ☑ option  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Housing Delivery  
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements  
Section of Document:  
Section of Document: 

Agent ID:  
Agent ID:  
Agent ID:  
Agent ID: 

Reason for comment: Otherwise what is the point of this entire exercise?

Reason for comment: Unreasonable to group together so many diverse proposals. We agree generally but with the exception of 30a - dualling of the A27 would have an adverse impact on the South Downs AONB.

Reason for comment:  
Reason for comment: Once again this is impossible to answer Yes or No. We agree with SO1-4. We disagree with the continuous development and expansion of the South East; more businesses encourage more people, more people means a requirement for more housing, thus more traffic, more pollution, more infrastructure and thus the irreversible deterioration of this AONB.
Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106699
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106699
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106699
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106699
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15a
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15b
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15c
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15d
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106699

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106699

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Collins
106699

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Option 18b

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Option 18c

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Option 18c

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Option 18g

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106699</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106699</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18d Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106699</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106699</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18f Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Collins</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18h  
  - □ document  
  - □ chapter  
  - □ section  
  - ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18i  
  - □ document  
  - □ chapter  
  - □ section  
  - ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18j  
  - □ document  
  - □ chapter  
  - □ section  
  - ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 27a

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 27a

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 27b

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 27c

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- option
  - Option 27c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- option
  - Question 31a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- option
  - Option 27d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106699</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- option
  - Option 27d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Option 27e

**Consultee ID:** 106699  
Mr Collins

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 27e

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Question Thirty

**Consultee ID:** 106699  
Mr Collins

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**  
Infrastructure Requirements

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Question 32a

**Consultee ID:** 106699  
Mr Collins

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**  
Question 32a

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 11a

**Consultee ID:** 106700  
Mrs Arthur

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 11a

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Arthur</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 11b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
- Increase in traffic

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Arthur</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 11c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
- Increase in traffic

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Arthur</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 11d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td>[x]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
- Increase in traffic

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Arthur</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 11e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td>[x]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
- Increase in traffic
Consultee ID: Mrs Arthur  
106700

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Question 11f

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ No opinion  
Reason for comment:  
Crowborough camp MOD

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Question One

Section of Document:  Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View  ☑ Agree  
Reason for comment:  
The Liberal Democrat group agrees that there should be some development based upon existing settlements to satisfy proven local demand particularly for affordable housing for rent by local people

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 2b

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  
Reason for comment:  
A higher target such as 20% should be set in light of Wealdens potential if this is viable

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 3b

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Clark</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106703</td>
<td>Liberal Democrat Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- **Option 3c**
  - [x] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [ ] Disagree
  - Reason for comment: Very important for sustainability

- **Option 3d**
  - [x] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [ ] Disagree

- **Option 4a**
  - [ ] Agree
  - [x] No opinion
  - [ ] Disagree

- **Option 4b**
  - [x] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [ ] Disagree
Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703 Liberal Democrat Group  

Part of document:  
Option 4c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703 Liberal Democrat Group  

Part of document:  
Option 4d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703 Liberal Democrat Group  

Part of document:  
Option 5a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

But with strong reservations. Any extension of boundaries for housing must be to enable local housing to be built only where there is proven local need and must be either affordable or for smaller homes.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703 Liberal Democrat Group  

Part of document:  
Option 5b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

But with strong reservations. This must be small scale. We are not in favour of urbanisation of the countryside.
Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: ☑️ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑️ Agree

Reason for comment:
We support a new settlement. This would ensure through planning a viable community with all the necessary facilities either at Berwick or Isfield. We believe this option should be fully investigated and evaluated before more housing is allocated to Crowborough, Uckfield, Hailshm, Polegate, Willingdon.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Question 6d

The balance of the population is currently split 50% between towns and rural parts. We would like this balance to be retained.

Reason for comment: We wish to see growth where there is proven local need.
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

We consider that the classification of villages might be acceptable to form a basis for improving infrastructure BUT we do not support this for method for controlling development. We believe development should only be in areas where there is proven need and should be for affordable housing only. We wish to see organic growth in all villages. Development should be controlled to ensure that villages can grow but can retain their character.

Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:


Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
There is already significant development

Option 8d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
We agree that we would like Wealden to explore the potential for a new settlement within a suitable location where there is good transport access either by road or transport.

Option 9c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
But we prefer to see the growth shared across the District to meet proven local need

Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
BUT the strategy must contain clear policy to encourage smaller sites for affordable housing where there is a proven need
Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  Libeeral Democrat Group
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
We do not support residential development but the site allocated in the Non Statutory Local Plan for sports development should be reinstated

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  Libeeral Democrat Group
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
We support development on Kemps Farm side of Walshes Rd but there must be NO roundabout as this will add to the intrusion of urban development in a rural setting. There must be very careful protection of the landscape. Any development would have to address the retention of a gap between the villages of Jarvis Brook and Crowborough
Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This area is too far away from any facilities

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Can we have a serious look at the traffic issues facing Crowborough? Is there a possibility of a one way system?
Reason for comment:
Largely Community facility - while we agree that community facilities need to be increased, especially places for young people - we expect THE Community Facility in the town centre to be provided before the Core Strategy is approved. Quality and mix of shops. A great aspiration but how is this to be achieved. We welcome the retail study findings. We would like a study to investigate the pedestrianization of the High St to be a priority. Tourism. We would like the provision of a museum to be addressed. Crowborough has a significant history. Business provision. We do not support further business growth in JB, because of the poor access especially along Western Rd. Future business development should be encouraged along the A26 to the south of Crowborough Respecting the existing character. Twittens are much valued and need to be kept clean and clear of undergrowth. They should be seen as providing an opportunity for safe walking - links to health, sustainability etc We wish to see the existing trees and hedges retained and more planted to enhance and retain in future the arcadian feel to the Town. We refer you to the "pride in Crowborough aspirations" of the Crowborough healthcheck EN1 Jarvis Brook Gateway. We are unclear what this means? We are unclear as to what development could take place to enhance it. Currently development has made it a much worse place to live. Please note EN3 in the Crow Healthcheck. Other gateways to the Town are important and are very poor. They do not reflect the aspirations of the residents as evidenced in the Crow Health Check nor the High Weald Forums stated objectives. The routes from the public car parks into the town are not inviting. There is no mention of the cultural or spiritual needs of a growing populations. Currently much is provided out of Crowborough.

Reason for comment:
The small industrial estate off Western Rd could be developed for housing with a link to the Station. It's presence exasperates the heavy traffic along Western Road. It has good access to public transport. Stone Cross Road and Rochester House should be looked at for redevelopment, better use of land and for enhancement. We support the reopening of the Uckfield -Lewes line and support a community bus that goes around Crowborough. This might reduce traffic. Traffic issues need to be addressed. The pedestrian is given a very low priority. We do not support greater urbanization of Crowborough but believe many issues of access can be achieved by imaginative design not with harsh hard landscape engineering solutions..
Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: option

Section of Document:
Option 14d

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We support future housing development in Heathfield to satisfy proven local need for affordable housing for rent

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: option

Section of Document:
Option 14h

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: option

Section of Document:
Question 13b

Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
We support future housing development on the edge of Tunbridge Wells if it satisfies local demand for affordable housing
Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID: 106703  
Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID: 106703  
Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID: 106703  
Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

But there must be a divide between the old and the new

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID: 106703  
Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
Agent ID: 106703
Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: option
Question 14i

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
We believe that Uckfield can take no more development except in the Town Centre. There are severe problems with the infrastructure- no defense works carried out to prevent future flooding, the secondary school needs a new site, there needs to be radical reform of the health service to meet need. We support future housing in Uckfield that meets proven local housing needs for affordable homes.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
Agent ID: 106703
Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: option
Option 15a

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
The area is close to the Cuckmere floodplain and further development will exasperate flooding elsewhere. It is important to keep the gap between Hailsham and Hellingly.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
Agent ID: 106703
Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: option
Option 15b

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
We oppose further development which would inevitably result in the merging of Hailsham and the Hellingly hospital development.
Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID: 106703  
Liberal Democrat Group

Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We disagree with any further development in the area south of Amberstone/Battle. This area is part of a wildlife corridor linking Park Wood to the Pevensey Levels, is close to SSI, on the edge of the Pevensey Marsh and close to the flood plain.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID: 106703  
Liberal Democrat Group

Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We oppose further development as it would have a significant impact upon Hialsham Town Centre. It lacks infrastructure to deal with present development let alone any more.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID: 106703  
Liberal Democrat Group

Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID: 106703  
Liberal Democrat Group

Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
But we do not support any development West of the A22. Hailsham should be allowed to develop as Hailsham and not as part of an artificial greater Eastbourne. There is extremely poor infrastructure in this area. We do not believe that Southern Water will be able to cope with the demand from currently agreed development let alone future development.
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Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
People would be marooned

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is already too much housing. The numbers will merge Eastbourne, Hampden Park with Polegate. We must retain the gaps between the towns, villages and Eastbourne.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
But development should meet proven local need for affordable housing
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  Agent ID: 106703  Liberal Democrat Group
Part of document: Option 16h

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
Willingdon should not be linked with Polegate. They have different characters. This was well argued during the debates on the non statutory plan and it is disappointing to find the towns linked again together with this consultation. We seek improvements of the rail link to solve parking problems in Polegate. It is imperative that there is regeneration of the High St in Polegate.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  Agent ID: 106703  Liberal Democrat Group
Part of document: Option 16j

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
5.36 implies that land in Willingdon and Polegate may be used to meet Eastbournes needs for employment and housing. We have grave concerns about this.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  Agent ID: 106703  Liberal Democrat Group
Part of document: Option 17a

Section of Document:
Your View  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  Agent ID: 106703  Liberal Democrat Group
Part of document: Option 17e

Section of Document:
Your View  Agree
Reason for comment:
We support future housing and business development in Polegate and Willingdon only which satisfies proven local demand.
Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We support development to the West of Berwick St.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 19f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We support the development of affordable housing where there is a proven local need. We support the development of smaller units which can not be extended to again meet local need. Villages where there are local infant - primary schools should also be included for small development to keep these sustainable.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 20d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We support the provision of small dwellings or affordable housing which meets local proven need.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 21g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We support the investigation of a new settlement where there are or could be good transport links. We believe this work should be undertaken before any further work is done on identifying sites in the towns or villages.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 21g
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
We support housing development for small units or affordable housing where there is proven need and where there are infant and junior schools.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 22e
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
We support any future housing development that addresses proven local housing needs for affordable homes.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 23f
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
We disagree with further housing in Hertsmoneux and Lower Horsebridge as they are close to provision identified in the Non Statutory Local Plan. Otherwise we support affordable housing which satisfies local proven need.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24a
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
But they must be of high quality design

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
We support small dwellings. We prefer housing to flats but it must address proven local need.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark
106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document: Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Disagree generally as we are not convinced that ancillary dwellings tied to a main property can in future be enforced and once the immediate needs of that family have passed there may be pressure over time for that unit to become a separate home.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Disagree generally as we are not convinced that ancillary dwellings tied to a main property can in future be enforced and once the immediate needs of that family have passed there may be pressure over time for that unit to become a separate home.

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group

Part of document:  
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consulatee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID:  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document:

Option 27c

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consulatee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID:  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document:

Option 27d

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consulatee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID:  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document:

Option 27e

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consulatee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID:  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document:

Option 27f

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
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Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID: 106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Option 28a
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: 
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID: 106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Option 28b
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: 
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID: 106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Option 28c
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: 
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID: 106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Option 28c
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Question Twenty Nine
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
Agent ID: 106703 Liberal Democrat Group

Option 28c
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs. Clark  
106703  Liberal Democrat Group  

Part of document:  
☑ option  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Disappointed that not more evidence has been placed on the healthchecks or parish plans. There is little attention addressed to the spiritual or cultural needs of the communities. For example, in a recent survey, Crowborough was identified as a place where there was very little tranquility and that this was spreading out. And yet Crow is a market town surrounded by AONB. How can we plan in some peace? How can we ensure that those living in the town can access areas of quiet within walking distance of the towns. Much of the surrounding areas are AONB or SSSI or quiet countryside.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby  
106704  housewife  

Part of document:  
☑ option  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Only very limited building should be allowed to maintain the way of the countryside. Crowborough's envelope should not be extended into the AONB. Any building that is permitted should be architecturally sympathetic and build in the wealden styles e.g. timber framed, sandstone, tile hung or wooden boarded. The AONB is there for a reason, to protect our countryside and above all this should be adhered to.
Reason for comment:
I thing this is a very low threshold and it would be quite easy for building regulations to stipulate a much higher use of renewable energy, for example triple glazing, very good insulation. A method of re-using water for flushing the toilet and a way of storing rain water for domestic use for say the toilet and washing machine. If we are to have as much building in the south east as government says we will come to a point where there is a permanent water shortage and with global; warming - hotter drier summers this things will have to be taken into account.

Reason for comment:
As in my answer to 2A I think that 20% is low and could easily be improved by using better building methods that incorporate the latest in insulations and ways of re-using and storing water
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Selby 106704 housewife</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Really this should depend on the type of business that requires accommodation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Selby 106704 housewife</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What should be looked at is that if housing is provided and business accommodation is to be provided near by it has to be proved that business can be encouraged to come and that public transport is available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Selby 106704 housewife</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 5a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This could lead to different interpretations depending on who is making the decision and about what type of development.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Selby 106704 housewife</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 5b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The criteria should strictly adhered too once decided.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby  
106704  
housewife  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Question 5c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
I feel each settlement should be taken on its own merit, however trying to balance the High weald as a whole, for instance large settlements in the AONB should not be extended, but small hamlets could be extended to include six to ten affordable houses for the young of that area.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby  
106704  
housewife  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Option 6a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby  
106704  
housewife  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Option 6b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby  
106704  
housewife  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Option 6c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
There are a number of criteria that have to be taken into account when working out distribution, for example, infrastructure - are there enough school places, doctors, dentist etc., if not then a section 106 must be implemented and made to be carried out that these are provided in some way by developers. Also public transport has to be looked at, if large settlements are extended access to shops and trains would have to be taken into account. I don't think a definite overall percentage can be implemented, each settlement having its own.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Selby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106704</td>
<td>housewife</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 7d

**Reason for comment:**
I cannot say yes or no on this one, I think it depends on the village and what the premises is.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Selby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106704</td>
<td>housewife</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 9a

**Reason for comment:**
Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Selby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106704</td>
<td>housewife</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 9b

**Reason for comment:**
Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Selby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106704</td>
<td>housewife</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 9c

**Reason for comment:**
Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. Selby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106704</td>
<td>housewife</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 11a

Reason for comment:
In principal this seems alright but what about the wooded area such as Blue Bell Wood, these areas must be retained to keep the character of Crowborough centre.

Option 11b

Reason for comment:
development of this size should not encroach on the AONB, it is there for a purpose so that designation must be recognised and maintained.

Option 11c

Reason for comment:
This is a very large amount of housing, if the proposal is for family housing they need decent gardens not pocket handkies. Also this means enlarging the building envelope into the AONB which is not good practise. The designation is there for a purpose.
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Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 housewife

Part of document: Option 11d
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
i agree with this with reservations, it is an awful lot of housing that encroaches on 'countryside'. There would need to be good public transport to the town, there would need to be infrastructure of school, doctors, shops etc., which must be catered for. Family houses need reasonable garden. This would be an opportunity for a reasonable number of affordable houses, not just the odd one or two.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 housewife

Part of document: Option 11e
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
It is not necessary to encroach on ancient woodland (there's hardly enough as there is) and the steep ghyll land is gradually being used as it is - so no to this area.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 housewife

Part of document: Question 11g
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
A community centre at Pine Grove is long over due. Crowthorugh need to go on the road signs from Lewes. When I moved here ten years ago there was a comprehensive range of shop, that has now gone, a boost to the shops is needed and i think an opportunity lost with the Morrisons development as it could have enhanced the high street by incorporating the old Fernbank into the high street in a better manner.
Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby 106704 housewife
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby 106704 housewife
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby 106704 housewife
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby 106704 housewife
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106704 Mrs. Selby housewife
Agent ID: 

Part of document: 
☐ document   ☐ chapter   ☐ section   ☑ option

Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☑ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
nothing within the AONB

Consultee ID: 106704 Mrs. Selby housewife
Agent ID: 

Part of document: 
☐ document   ☐ chapter   ☐ section   ☑ option

Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☑ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106704 Mrs. Selby housewife
Agent ID: 

Part of document: 
☐ document   ☐ chapter   ☐ section   ☑ option

Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☑ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106704 Mrs. Selby housewife
Agent ID: 

Part of document: 
☐ document   ☐ chapter   ☐ section   ☑ option

Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☑ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Mrs. Selby
housewife

Part of document: option

Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
I agree with these proposals, and feel it is an ideal opportunity to incorporate a good number of affordable housing.
Option 22b

Although some areas are within the AONB it is recognised that there is very limited scope for increased housing again giving the opportunity for affordable housing. Good public transport links should be a must.
Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 Housewife

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 Housewife

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 Housewife

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 Housewife

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby 106704 housewife

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This would allow for family houses (3 bedrooms perhaps 4) to have decent size gardens and not to have the 'rat pack' feeling of being too close to one's neighbours.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby 106704 housewife

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This would mean that most of the developments would be high rise flats, these are not suitable for families. Although some flats for single people may be needed.
Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby  
106704  housewife  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☑ section  ☑ option  
Option 24d  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Why should the availability of public transport determine how much density is allowed? Public transport should be within easy reach of all, density should be on what's best for the people that are going to live in a particular place which takes many other things into account, such as schools, open spaces, shops etc.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby  
106704  housewife  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☑ section  ☑ option  
Option 25a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
I think there should be a good mix of small dwellings and family size houses, mansions should be avoided, too many large are built for the prophet of the developer.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby  
106704  housewife  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☑ section  ☑ option  
Option 25b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
I strongly think affordable housing is most important.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby  
106704  housewife  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☑ section  ☑ option  
Option 25c  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Match one for one is ideal
Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 housewife

Part of document: Document  Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
This is a difficult one again, from your survey the need is to the north of the district, but perhaps some distribution in the lowe weald, so there is district coverage would be useful, with more being towards the north.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 housewife

Part of document: Document  Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 housewife

Part of document: Document  Option 26a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 housewife

Part of document: Document  Option 26b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106704  Mrs. Selby  housewife
Agent ID: 

Part of Document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document: Option 27a

Reason for comment:
This seems a strange critiera for building houses, transport, and the inferstructure that goes with housing i should be a package.

Consultee ID: 106704  Mrs. Selby  housewife
Agent ID: 

Part of Document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document: Option 27b

Reason for comment:
This is long overdue and would reduce a lot of road use on the A26 and A27. and allow easier access to the universities at Brighton and to the coast for those without cars.

Consultee ID: 106704  Mrs. Selby  housewife
Agent ID: 

Part of Document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document: Question 31a

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106704  Mrs. Selby  housewife
Agent ID: 

Part of Document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document: Option 27d

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby 106704 housewife
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby 106704 housewife
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby 106704 housewife
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby 106704 housewife
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 housewife

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 housewife

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 housewife

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It is very important that Crowborough gets its community centre at Pine Grove, the town need this facility to keep it alive.

Consultee ID: Mrs. Selby
106704 housewife

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Consultee ID: Mrs Staples 106708

#### Part of document: Option 14a

**Reason for comment:**

not enough infrastructure to support this many people

### Consultee ID: Mrs Staples 106708

#### Part of document: Option 14b

**Reason for comment:**

### Consultee ID: Mrs Holmes 106709

#### Part of document: Question One

**Reason for comment:**

we need to keep our green ares

### Consultee ID: Mrs Holmes 106709

#### Part of document: Option 2a

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: mrs holmes Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

it is important to keep local jobs in the area

Consultee ID: mrs holmes Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

over housing creates too may problems
Consultee ID: 106709
Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
look for area further afield not in wealden are, also tackle the problem of housing left to dacy and new business development where there a large number of industrial sites lying unused

Consultee ID: 106709
Part of document: Question 4e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106709
Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106709
Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
as long as it IS under strict criteria
Consultee ID: mrs holmes Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: 
- Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: 
- Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: 
- Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: 
- Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question Ten

Section of Document:  
Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106709</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 11a
**Section of Document:**
- Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
- Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106709</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 11b
**Section of Document:**
- Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
- Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106709</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 11c
**Section of Document:**
- Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
- Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106709</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 11d
**Section of Document:**
- Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
- Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option

Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  
✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 14e
Section of Document:
Your View

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 14f
Section of Document:
Your View

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 14g
Section of Document:
Your View

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID:

Part of document: Question 14j
Section of Document:
Your View

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106709</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 15a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106709</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 15b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106709</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 15c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106709</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 15d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 15d

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 15e

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 15e

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16e

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

more housing development would create a concrete jungle with all the problems that brings
Consultee ID:  mrs holmes  
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Section of Document:  Option 15f
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID:  mrs holmes  
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Section of Document:  Question 15h
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID:  mrs holmes  
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Section of Document:  Option 16a
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID:  mrs holmes  
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Section of Document:  Option 16b
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  traffic congestion already!
Option 16c

Reason for comment: traffic congestion already

Option 16d

Reason for comment: we need open countryside areas not a concrete jungle

Option 16f

Reason for comment:

Option 16g

Reason for comment:
NO more housing development needed, the co-op carpark has already been built on
Question 16i

Option 17a

Option 17b

Option 17c

Reason for comment:
we must keep some open countryside
Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID:
106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID:
106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID:
106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID:
106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Part of document: Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: only if a lower number of dwellings

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Part of document: Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: definitively not

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Part of document: Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
106709

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs holmes  Agent ID:
106709

Part of document:  
Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  Agent ID:
106709

Part of document:  
Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  Agent ID:
106709

Part of document:  
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  Agent ID:
106709

Part of document:  
Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Agent ID: 106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
Agent ID:  
106709  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Option 24b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
Agent ID:  
106709  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Option 24c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
Agent ID:  
106709  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Option 24d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: mrs holmes  
Agent ID:  
106709  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Option 25a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☒ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

only if terraced housing
Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
106709

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 25g</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 26a</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 26b</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Why should sites be provided in rural area these people do not contribute to local or rural taxes</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>If only one site is provided and they have to pay rent on them</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>mrs holmes</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106709</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>mrs holmes</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106709</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question 31a</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>mrs holmes</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106709</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>mrs holmes</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106709</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part of document:  
Option 27e
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:  

Part of document:  
Option 27f
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:  

Part of document:  
Option 28a
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:  

Part of document:  
Option 28b
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:  


Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Part of document: Option 28c
Section of Document: Agent ID:
Your View ☒ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Part of document: Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Part of document: Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs holmes
Part of document: Question 32a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Nissel
106711

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option

Section of Document:
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The target is 8000 homes over the next 20 years across Wealden. Space for 30% of this expansion has already been allocated. There is no need to encroach on AONB to meet housing needs.

Consultee ID: Mr Nissel
106711

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option

Section of Document:
Option 4a

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Until the Highways Agency decide on the future of the A27 plans for any future developments are pointless.

Consultee ID: Mr Nissel
106711

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option

Section of Document:
Option 16a

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No development should be considered West of the A22 to preserve views from the downs.

Consultee ID: Mr Scantlebury
106713

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option

Section of Document:
Option 27b

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This would increase housing in Isfield. Increase commuting- not sustainable. Development which follows would increase flooding problems. New roads and parking spaces would be required. Need to conserve countryside.
Consultee ID: Mr Henson  
106715  
Retired  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 13a  

Section of Document: 

Reason for comment: 
detrimental to infrastructure and services of Tunbridge Wells. Traffic density in Benhall Mill Road and access into Frant Road. Decisions by Wealden District Council impact upon Tunbridge Wells council tax payers.

Consultee ID: Mr Clark  
106717  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 11a  

Section of Document: 

Reason for comment: 
This would benefit the town centre if the development is tied into a community centre and other public amenities.

Consultee ID: Mr Clark  
106717  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 11d  

Section of Document: 

Reason for comment: 
I see the congestion caused by the already inadequate roads to the station and industrial park every day. Imagine another 2000 cars (my estimate) in the area. I have objected to 11d and 11e as they would result in gridlock on Western Road, Walshes Road, Fermor Road, Hurtis Hill and Whitehill Road. These proposals would also destroy the fields and hedgerows in the area, destroy wildlife and remove the riding school.
Consultee ID: 106717  Mr Clark  

**Option 11e**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

I see the congestion caused by the already inadequate roads to the station and industrial park every day. Imagine another 2000 cars (my estimate) in the area. I have objected to 11d and 11e as they would result in gridlock on Western Road, Walshes Road, Fermor Road, Hurtis Hill and Whitehill Road. These proposals would also destroy the fields and hedgerows in the area, destroy wildlife and remove the riding school.

---

Consultee ID: 106717  Mr Clark  

**Option 18a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This would allow the Wealden area to provide most of the new houses it needs, although there will still be a need for limited development in the major towns, especially in affordable housing. The new settlements would have new infrastructure and not over stretch the existing towns. The development at Isfield could also force the extension of the Crowborough/Uckfield railway line resulting in a better service.

---

Consultee ID: 106717  Mr Clark  

**Option 18c**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This would allow the Wealden area to provide most of the new houses it needs, although there will still be a need for limited development in the major towns, especially in affordable housing. The new settlements would have new infrastructure and not over stretch the existing towns.
Consultee ID: Mr Clark 106717

Part of document: ☑ option Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This would allow the Wealden area to provide most of the new houses it needs, although there will still be a need for limited development in the major towns, especially in affordable housing. The new settlements would have new infrastructure and not overstretch the existing towns.

Consultee ID: Mr Clark 106717

Part of document: ☑ option Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This would allow the Wealden area to provide most of the new houses it needs, although there will still be a need for limited development in the major towns, especially in affordable housing. The new settlements would have new infrastructure and not overstretch the existing towns.

Consultee ID: Mr Upton 106719

Part of document: ☑ option Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

An Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty is defined by the National Association for AONBs as "a precious landscape whose distinctive character and natural beauty are so outstanding that it is in the nation's interest to safeguard them". Surely any development in an AONB reduces this national (not just local) interest, both for the current population and also for future generations? I want my children and my children's children to have access to this invaluable resource. There are only 35 AONBs in England (representing just 18% of the finest countryside in England) - we should do all we can to protect this scarce resource. Once developed upon, these areas will be lost forever.
Option 8b

Reason for comment:

This site is highly visible from the South Downs. Any building development here would have a significant impact on the area of natural beauty and diminish the quality and attractiveness of the local valley.

Option 16a

Reason for comment:

This site is highly visible from the South Downs. Any building development here would have a significant impact on the area of natural beauty and diminish the quality and attractiveness of the local valley.

Option 16b

Reason for comment:

Any building development here would have a significant impact on the area of natural beauty.
1. Development in this area will seriously undermine the unique heritage of the village of Wilmington. Furthermore, the impact the beauty of the Downs, with the world famous Long Man, would be shattered. This area of the South Downs is recognised as one of the most important chalk landscapes in England. Maintaining the quality of the aspects from the Long Man and Wilmington Hill must be key in any decision making process. 2. Any development in this area will contravene the South Downs Draft Management Plan Objectives regarding "an unspoiled landscape", "an historic and cultural heritage conserved for posterity as an essential component of local distinctiveness", "a serene and peaceful landscape with extensive dark night skies" and "pure and unpolluted air". 3. Any development in this area will reduce the ability of the land to soak up rainwaters, resulting in a potentially significant impact on the flood water levels in the Cuckmere valley. This area is already identified as at risk from flooding. 4. Attracting further pedestrians to walk up the unlit Street in Wilmington, which does not have a pavement is likely to increase the accident rate in this area. 5. The "steep topography" of the AONB (ref. 2.15 in the Issues and Consultation Paper) accentuates the negative visual impact of any proposed development at the foot of the Downs.
Consultee ID: Mr Upton
106719

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A27 - Additional road building will have a dramatic effect on the AONB and the South Downs. Additional road capacity is quickly matched with new-found demand. Significant potential impact on the environment both as a result of construction and pollution following higher usage.

Consultee ID: Mr Ferguson
106720

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Of all the areas around Heathfield this seems the area which could most easily be developed with these least impact upon the surrounding countryside. It is a natural extension of the existing town and gives easy access to educational and local amenities.

Consultee ID: Mrs Myers
106721

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The A22 is already congested and unable to cope with the amount of traffic. The road leading to th A27 would be through Upper Dicker which is already used as a race track. The recent Safety and Environment Group survey caught people going as fast as 67mph in a 40mph zone. The road is unsuitable for the amount of traffic a development like this would create. The doctors surgeries in Hailsham are already overloaded and it is difficult at best to get an appointment to see a GP the day you call. I strongly disagree to this proposal. We must protect our rural environment. If we continue to spoil our villages all we will be left with is urban sprawl. Our countryside is beautiful and the villages within it must be protected from being ruined by housing estates and busy roads.

Option 18f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Option 18j

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Berwick is not the ideal site as the roads leading to Berwick are not suitable to support this amount of housing. It would completely ruin the surrounding villages which would become rat runs. It would require the A27 to be made into dual carriageway to support this amount of housing and the route to the A22 would be through Upper Dicker. The road in Upper Dicker which is currently 40mph already has numerous people speeding through the village as recorded by the Safety and Environment Group using the East Sussex Speed Indicator Device. We must protect our rural environment or we will be left with no beautiful countryside. Berwick is a close community which would be lost with this kind of huge development. I strongly disagree with this proposal.

Option 23e

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

The statement that there is a need for housing by St Bedes is confusing as they have just completed building new housing for staff and students. I believe there is an agreement in place that the school will not purchase further housing within the village as there is a feeling by some of the people who live there that the school is overdeveloping and taking over the community of Upper Dicker. In my opinion no need for housing in Upper Dicker as there are no daily bus links, the roads are already dangerous for our children and pets due to people speeding through the village. The park is used as a car park during cricket matches as the road is thought to be to dangerous to park on. We should be protecting this village and preserving it not developing it further.

Question One

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Some housing could be allowed - but only to meet genuine LOCAL needs. Increasingly that means affordable homes - that stay affordable after the first occupants move on. So either for Housing Association or Local Authority tenants or - if shared ownershi - with a formula that allows the next buyer also to be a low income buyer.
but only if it really adds to the renewable energy totals. Wind power needs conventional backup - so should not count.

unless it is really renewable and cost effective [ie does not need conventional back up]

Mixed use if realistic

Rebuilding FOR EMPLOYMENT PURPOSES should be allowed
Option 3c

Reason for comment:
All but individual developments should be associated with employment possibilities to decrease the need for commuting.

Option 5a

Reason for comment:

Option 5b

Reason for comment:
provided that the criteria are strict - and preserve the AONB environment
Consultee ID: Harte
106722
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 6a
Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Harte
106722
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 7a
Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
So far as it goes. Wadhurst scores highly on every count - as it should. BUT there is no measure in the current methodology of the extent to which the local services are fully utilised. For Wadhurst, sewage, water, car parking - in the centre and at the station, schools, medical etc are all full or overflowing. Any further expansion therefore must be matched IN ADVANCE with more service provision.

Consultee ID: Harte
106722
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 7b
Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
as for 7a

Consultee ID: Harte
106722
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 7c
Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
but additional services must come first
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

A new town in the Berwick area would be a real plus - taking the pressure off the rest of the area. But infrastructure must be funded and delivered first.

Option 13a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 14d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Harte 106722

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A new town with ALL the needed infrastructure

Consultee ID: Harte 106722

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Harte 106722

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Wadhurst cannot cope with what would be a 20% increase; it would cease to be Wadhurst - even if the infrastructure issues [sewage, water, schools, medical, car parking etc] were fully satisfied. A limited development of genuinely [and continuing] affordable housing for locally employed key workers is all that should be contemplated.

Consultee ID: Harte 106722

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
in the Wadhurst area, development should be limited to affordable housing, which means a higher density
Consultee ID: Harte  
106722  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 24d  
Section of Document:  

Your View:  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Harte  
106722  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25a  
Section of Document:  

Your View:  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Harte  
106722  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25c  
Section of Document:  

Your View:  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  at least one for one - ideally more affordable homes than general ones  

Consultee ID: Harte  
106722  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25d  
Section of Document:  

Your View:  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Harte</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 25f

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

- Your View:  
  - ☑ Agree
  - ☐ No opinion
  - ☐ Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Harte</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 26a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

- Your View:  
  - ☑ Agree
  - ☐ No opinion
  - ☐ Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Harte</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 27a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

- Your View:  
  - ☑ Agree
  - ☐ No opinion
  - ☐ Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Harte</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 27b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

- Your View:  
  - ☑ Agree
  - ☐ No opinion
  - ☐ Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Harte</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 31a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[x] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Generally yes - but so much depends on the timely provision of additional infrastructure.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Harte</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106722</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Option 27d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 27e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 27f**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Harte
106722

Part of document: Option 28b
Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
This should be mandatory for all developers

Consultee ID: Harte
106722

Part of document: Option 28c
Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Harte
106722

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
Developers should not be allowed to build up land banks, hoping for future higher prices. Once authorised, there should be a time limit on completions

Consultee ID: Harte
106722

Part of document: Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reasons to disagree to NW Uckfield (Downlands farm/Budletts Common) in landscape terms any development here would cause unacceptable harm to the rural setting of Uckfield and Maresfield and the visual quality, continuity and rural character of the surrounding villages and countryside. This area provides the strategic countryside gap between Uckfield, Maresfield, Five Ash Down and Buxted. The proposed site is clearly visable from 2 AONBs (South Downs and Ashdown Forest). The area is a highly biodiverse ecologically valuable habitat including ancient woodlands, ghyll woodland, old herb-rich grass land, ponds, streams and ancient common. Development would result in the unacceptable loss of ancient woodland (an irreplaceable resource for its diversity of species and longevity as woodland), and wetland habitats, lead to unsustainable biodiversity and habitat degradation, and the unacceptable fragmentation, isolation and disturbance of natural habits of acknowledged nature conservation importance. The site supports a number of European protected species together with a range of other species protected by UK legislation and supports priority species listed in the UK Biodiversity Action Plan, together with other bird species of nature conservation concern.
Option 21a

Consultee ID: Mrs woodhams
Agent ID: 106724

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs woodhams
Agent ID: 106724

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs woodhams
Agent ID: 106724

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. Langley-Essen
Agent ID: 106726

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The lack of public transport and inadequacy of shopping facilities. Limited school capacity. Lack of parking facilities and/or controls. Inadequate policing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr. Langley-Essen</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106726</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The lack of public transport and inadequacy of shopping facilities. Limited school capacity. Lack of parking facilities and/or controls. Inadequate policing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Aronson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106727</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Aronson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106727</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Aronson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106727</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uckfield and Crowborough both have train stations and are therefore better placed to cope with a large population expansion.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE ROAD - The entire lane is narrow and winding and there is no scope for widening it without removing trees and hedges and intruding on residents' gardens. - There are no pavements in the lane, which is extremely busy in the week with commuters and school traffic. Any additional traffic resulting from new development will make safety a big issue, particularly because the lane itself is used by children walking to school. - The only way to alleviate these problems would be to build new roads to allow access to the proposed development, further impacting on the AONB. AONB - The area to the south of Sandy Cross Lane is an AONB and is used daily by residents, locals and dog walkers accessing the many public footpaths in the AONB. HOUSES - Development south of Sandy Cross Lane will have a negative impact on views and landscape, which are the principle distinguishing features of the area. - When moving into the lane in 2006 we were assured by Wealden Council that the area south of Sandy Cross Lane would not be developed. - We live in one of the many 19th century houses on the lane and we believe that the impact of altering the landscape, the increased traffic and the erosion of the semi-rural charm of the area will significantly decrease the value of our home. Wealden Council themselves summarised our objections perfectly in the 1998 Adopted Local Plan, where they explain that no development of land south of Sandy Cross Lane should be considered due to "...the rural character of the gently undulating landscape beyond Sandy Cross Lane." They also state that: "The rural character of the lane itself is emphasised by its narrowness, and the mature tree cover and hedgerows. It therefore forms a well defined southerly edge to development beyond which any intensification of development would not be appropriate." We believe that this remains true today.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Aronson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✅ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Aronson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 12h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✅ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Aronson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Aronson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✅ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Dr Aronson
Agent ID: 106727

Part of document: option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Douglas
Agent ID: 106729

Part of document: option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Significant development in recent years, including homes in pipeline for Isfield Camp. Development of this scale is totally inappropriate for Isfield.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Douglas
Agent ID: 106729

Part of document: option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Existing roads already busy and congested at peak times. No facilities available at present. Proposed development unrealistic for this village.

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson
CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group
Agent ID: 106730

Part of document: option Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development allowed in the AONB to meet housing needs should, however, be restricted to that necessary to satisfy only the requirements of those settlements that are within the AONB.
Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 3d

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Renewable Energy - Although new development should meet ever more stringent requirements for energy saving, it should not be linked to micro generation from renewable energy sources. Site suitability and planning controls relevant to the various types of energy generation are entirely different to that for residential development.

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 2a

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Renewable Energy - Although new development should meet ever more stringent requirements for energy saving, it should not be linked to micro generation from renewable energy sources. Site suitability and planning controls relevant to the various types of energy generation are entirely different to that for residential development.

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 2b

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Renewable Energy - Although new development should meet ever more stringent requirements for energy saving, it should not be linked to micro generation from renewable energy sources. Site suitability and planning controls relevant to the various types of energy generation are entirely different to that for residential development.
Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson
CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson
CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson
CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson
CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 7a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 7b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 7c

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 7d

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

**Question Ten**

**Section of Document:**  Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 106730  
CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

**Option 11a**

**Reason for comment:**
Town centre - this would be the most appropriate site in terms of any negative impacts on the rural-urban fringe since this is a brownfield site with good overall access and high density housing would be appropriate in the CBD.

**Option 11b**

**Reason for comment:**
North west/north A26 - visual impact in a beautiful landscape would be threatened and there would be a loss of AONB.

**Option 11c**

**Reason for comment:**
Area north of Crowborough cross - Serious threat to the rural-urban fringe and loss of AONB, some ancient woodland and visual amenity. Building to the east should be discouraged.
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

SE of Crowborough between Alderbrook and Jarvis Brook - traffic key problem. Western Road and Walshes Road are narrow and suffer from high levels of on-street parking. Current volume of traffic is high including commercial vehicles and is a rat run for traffic joining the A26. Rotherfield road would also receive extra traffic in the area already congested near to the station in Jarvis brook. Any development should be limited to the area north of Walshes Road - the area to the south of Walshes Road lies "more" in the rural - urban fringe and any development there would have a visual impact.

Option 11e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Not in AONB but some wet woodland and ancient woodland would be threatened - hence some loss of rural character - also flood risk and poor access makes this area unsuitable. Possible quiet lanes issue in part of area.

Question 11f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Visually-intrusive incursions into the AONB being in a ridge-top position.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:
- Question 11g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:
- Option 12a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:
- Option 12b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:
- Option 12c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 12d

### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☑ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 12e

### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☑ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 12f

### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Question 12h

### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
We are however concerned that the existing SNCI is protected and managed properly. The areas have also had significant brownfield development and infill in recent years which has placed increasing pressure on the local infrastructure, particularly roads. These areas need to be addressed if the site is to be developed.
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Framfield Road suffers badly with congestion. The other sites have access to the A22, Framfield Road does not. The impact of traffic on the local lanes network would be detrimental as not only does Framfield Road lead to outlying villages, the local lanes are already used as rat runs to bypass/access to the A272 and A267.

Option 14c

Reason for comment:

Option 14e

Reason for comment:

Option 14f

Reason for comment:
Option 14g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
New development in Uckfield Town Centre must be conditional upon major flood relief works being carried out.

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
New development in Uckfield Town Centre must be conditional upon major flood relief works being carried out.

Reason for comment:

Question 14i

Reason for comment:
New development in Uckfield Town Centre must be conditional upon major flood relief works being carried out.

Reason for comment:

Option 18a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document:

Your View: ![ ] Agree   ![ ] No opinion   ![ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

| Consultee ID: | Mrs Robinson | Agent ID: | 106730 |              |           |
|--------------|--------------|-----------|

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document:

Your View: ![ ] Agree   ![ ] No opinion   ![ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

| Consultee ID: | Mrs Robinson | Agent ID: | 106730 |              |           |
|--------------|--------------|-----------|

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document:

Your View: ![ ] Agree   ![ ] No opinion   ![ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

| Consultee ID: | Mrs Robinson | Agent ID: | 106730 |              |           |
|--------------|--------------|-----------|

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![ ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document:

Your View: ![ ] Agree   ![ ] No opinion   ![ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106730</th>
<th>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106730</th>
<th>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106730</th>
<th>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106730</th>
<th>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Robinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document [ ]</th>
<th>Chapter [ ]</th>
<th>Section [ ]</th>
<th>Option [ ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The poor infrastructure in and surrounding the rural settlements within Wealden make all the proposed areas totally inappropriate for new settlements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document [ ]</th>
<th>Chapter [ ]</th>
<th>Section [ ]</th>
<th>Option [ ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document [ ]</th>
<th>Chapter [ ]</th>
<th>Section [ ]</th>
<th>Option [ ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 18k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The poor infrastructure in and surrounding the rural settlements within Wealden make all the proposed areas totally inappropriate for new settlements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document [ ]</th>
<th>Chapter [ ]</th>
<th>Section [ ]</th>
<th>Option [ ]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [✓]

**Option 19b**

**Select Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View [✓]
- Agree [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [✓]

**Option 19c**

**Select Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View [✓]
- Agree [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [✓]

**Option 19d**

**Select Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View [✓]
- Agree [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [✓]

**Option 19d**

**Select Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View [✓]
- Agree [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

---

Page 3336 of 6217
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 19e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Rotherfield's restricted village centre road junctions flanked by listed properties in a conservation areas severely impair traffic movement, which is already overloaded by traffic coming through the village to reach Jarvis Brook's industrial estates.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Robinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 19f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Robinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 20a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 20d

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Rotherfield's restricted village centre road junctions flanked by listed properties in a conservation areas severely impair traffic movement, which is already overloaded by traffic coming through the village to reach the Jarvis Brook Industrial Estates.

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultant ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106730</th>
<th>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
<td>[ √ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>[ √ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Robinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
<td>[ √ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>[ √ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Robinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
<td>[ √ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21d</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>[ √ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultant ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Robinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
<td>[ √ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21e</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>[ √ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson
CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Section of Document: Option 21f

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson
CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Section of Document: Option 22a

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson
CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Section of Document: Option 22b

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson
CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Section of Document: Option 22c

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 22d</th>
<th>Option 24a</th>
<th>Option 24b</th>
<th>Option 24c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong> 106730</td>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong> 106730</td>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong> 106730</td>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong> 106730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Robinson</td>
<td>Mrs Robinson</td>
<td>Mrs Robinson</td>
<td>Mrs Robinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong> option</td>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong> option</td>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong> option</td>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong> option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Robinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Option 24d

### Section of Document:

### Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Option 25a

### Section of Document:

### Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Option 25b

### Section of Document:

### Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Option 25c

### Section of Document:

### Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option  
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option  
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option  
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option  
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [x]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [x]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Question 31a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [x]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [x]

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [x]

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 27e  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 27f  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 28a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  
106730 CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group  
Agent ID:  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 28b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Option 28b

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Option 28c

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Question Twenty Nine

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson  CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group

Question Thirty

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Robinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106730</td>
<td>CPRE Wealden NORTH District Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Question 32a

- **Part of document:**
  - ☑️ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ☑️ option

- **Section of Document:**
  - Question 32a

- **Your View:**
  - ☑️ Agree
  - ☐ No opinion
  - ☐ Disagree

- **Reason for comment:**

#### Question 32b

- **Part of document:**
  - ☑️ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ☑️ option

- **Section of Document:**
  - Question 32b

- **Your View:**
  - ☑️ Agree
  - ☐ No opinion
  - ☐ Disagree

- **Reason for comment:**

Settlements in the High Weald AONB - Development allowed in the AONB to meet housing need should, however be restricted to that necessary to satisfy only the requirements of those settlements that are within the AONB. **Renewable Energy** - Although new development should meet ever more stringent requirements for energy saving, it should not be linked to micro-generation from renewable sources. Site suitability and planning controls relevant to the various types of energy generation are entirely different to that for residential development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clark</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106734</td>
<td>Elyssium Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Question One

- **Part of document:**
  - ☑️ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ☑️ option

- **Section of Document:**
  - Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

- **Your View:**
  - ☐ Agree
  - ☐ No opinion
  - ☑️ Disagree

- **Reason for comment:**

Whilst I do not want to see further housing in the AONB, I consider that it is essential that some areas of the AONB be properly designated for public recreation and sports. Land is very valuable in Wealden and by allowing development, particularly for selective and public sports facilities in the AONB, it means that clubs will be able to afford the necessary land to provide these much needed additional recreation. In particular, where there are area where sports clubs are already in situ (such as the area around Steel Cross, north of Crowborough), consideration should be given to allowing further development so that clubs can share some facilities, such as clubhouses.
Consultee ID: Mr clark 106734 elysium ltd

Part of document: Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
I think that for any housing or business development in the AONB, a mandatory use of renewable energy sources is required. Housing in particular should require proper insulation and other careful attention, and the continued development of low grade farm buildings which do not have credentials in this respect should be actively discouraged.

Consultee ID: Mr clark 106734 elysium ltd

Part of document: Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
It should be possible to build a limited number of small-scale exemplar residential units with (say) higher than 30% use of renewable energy sources in areas (for example in accessible new sites within the AONB) where otherwise development would be forbidden by planning policy, in order to encourage this policy.

Consultee ID: Mr clark 106734 elysium ltd

Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
I think that whilst this is broadly acceptable, there should be a lot of weight given to what the acceptable 'mixed use' is, with high priority given to usage that provides facilities for general public usage, such as cinemas, sports facilities, surgeries, dentists etc. It is unacceptable to take a source of land that was used to employ people in Wealden and simply replace it by housing, which then means a further drain on the already poor availability of the suggested public facilities mentioned within Wealden. Any housing allowed on a large site should be required to have a measured level of these public facilities included, to provide some planning gains.
Part of document:  
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Otherwise we will lose the few remaining facilities such as dentists, cinemas, theatres etc that we already have...

Part of document:  
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
These should however be in appropriate places, where there is access from public transport, and employees have access to shops etc on foot. Continued development of brown and green field sites in the AONB for this purpose should be highly discouraged. The word "suitable" in the question should have examples cited.

Part of document:  
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Here new business should have a defined meaning, with additional weight given to important (and likely to be lacking) facilities such as dentists, surgeries, post offices, general stores, newsagents, play schools etc.
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
However particular support should be given to adding facilities that are of use and relevance to the local settlement, such as small shops, play schools, dentists, surgeries etc., and may have been missed out when the original planning application was decided.

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
It is essential to permit public sports and recreation facilities to be recreated in the area just outside settlement boundaries, where they are easily accessible (for example to children on foot or with bikes), and are still affordable to sports clubs and the like that have very restricted funding, costs being lowered because they could not otherwise be developed (for example because of AONB restrictions). The area around Steel Cross, north of Crowboprough is a good example where such development is already happening.

Question 6d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
A key factor in permitting small scale local development should be the presence of a local school. Many rural schools have difficulty in finding enough numbers to be viable, and additional development of (ideally low-cost) family units in villages such as Buxted and High Hurstwood should be encouraged, to keep these excellent schools viable.
Consultee ID: Mr clark
106734 elysium ltd

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Firstly can I say the use of an SVG based popup to disguise the suggested growth potential (almost unreadable on my screen) is a disgrace! This should have been in free text like the remaining document. A key criteria should be the local school, and ensuring its viability. I would however have included High Hurstwood (why is this missing on the map, I wonder??) as having limited growth potential - it has an excellent school and church and could easily accommodate some more family units to keep these viable.

Consultee ID: Mr clark
106734 elysium ltd

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All villages with schools with open school rolls should be encouraged to have more small family units in order to keep these schools viable. An overall priority should be given to these, not just linking them to villages with 'limited growth potential'

Consultee ID: Mr clark
106734 elysium ltd

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Essential - and adding an emphasis on low-cost family units would probably make these more viable. Local industry needs to be able to house its staff, and there is very little affordable housing for young couples in north Wealden.
Consultee ID: Mr clark
106734 elysium ltd

Section of Document: Option 9c

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The best choice of the three in my view. We need to have locally based staff, and the poor availability of low cost family units is a great disincentive to running a business in Crowborough, which as noted is the best base to allow us to support clients in a wider area, including London.

Consultee ID: Mr clark
106734 elysium ltd

Section of Document: Option 11a

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This implies that the Library and WDC offices would disappear. It is difficult enough getting policy changes, which benefit business in Crowborough with half WDC based here. If it all moves to Hailsham, as this implies, I can see Crowborough policy becoming totally irrelevant to Wealden. And we would lose yet another amenity, no doubt replacing it with more housing.

Consultee ID: Mr clark
106734 elysium ltd

Section of Document: Option 11b

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Total disgrace! "potential loss of existing recreational facilities" - these are poor in Crowborough in any event. We do not need to lose more...
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The comment "identified in Non-Statutory Plan for recreation, but which has not come forward" is factually incorrect, and should never have been made in my opinion. A planning application submitted for a tennis and sports club (moving the existing club to much better facilities) was rejected by WDC on technical grounds, and has as I understand it been resubmitted. CADSA and others have continually tried to get further development, as have Boars Head GC (lighting) and the rugby club (new clubhouse) so far as I am aware. This whole area is ideal for sports (attracting out of Wealden users as well as Wealden), has existing sporting facilities (BHGC, rugby, Bowles, Jarvis Brook juniors etc), and would benefit significantly from a coordinated policy to improve sports facilities here (eg in sharing some facilities such as car parking). If housing is allowed, the surrounding land will become unaffordable to sports clubs. It should be dedicated to improved sporting facilities only, ideally with additional public transport at weekends, and improved cycleways.

Option 11d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This seems the best area for development - it is a natural fill in and is convenient for the Station etc. However significant improvement to access through Western Road (one way system possible?) and improved public transport (particularly to the station) are key.

Option 11e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Completely inappropriate. Alice Bright Lane has minor accidents on almost a daily basis, the ghyll floods regularly, and has ancient woodland, and the area is not accessible to the rest of town. This is a quiet lane (or should be) and the area is not naturally part of Crowborough. Disruptions to the new recreation ground are inappropriate, and the housing would not match or fit in with the neighbouring properties.
Reason for comment:
Please use the word Arcadian correctly... From the standard Oxford dictionary "arcadian" means "Of, pertaining to, or furnished with arcades". Whilst the new Morrisons give Crowborough this distinct aura, I suggest you meant "Arcadian" (the capital letter is significant) which means "Of or pertaining to Arcadia; ideally rustic". Arcadia is a mountainous area in Greece, and not tree-lined as far as I know. And Crowborough is anything but "ideally rustic". If you are going to use such floral language, at least get it right!

Reason for comment:
Improvements to Palesgate Lane (to allow better access to the industrial estates from the A26) could permit development to the South of Palesgate Lane, moving Jarvis Brook football club to the North of the lane for example as part of the planning gain, with better facilities, or even linking it into the other sports facilities at Steel Cross. This would also allow for properties in range to the station to be developed, and the whole large area in the triangle between Palesgate Lane, Green Lane and Crowborough Hill to be given some sensible consideration.

Reason for comment:
The need for low cost family units in Wealden means that all local villages with schools should be given appropriate allocations.
The need for low cost family units in Wealden means that all local villages with schools should be given appropriate allocations.

Reason for comment:
The need for low cost family units in Wealden means that all local villages with schools should be given appropriate allocations.

Reason for comment:
The need for low cost family units in Wealden means that all local villages with schools should be given appropriate allocations.

Reason for comment:
The need for low cost family units in Wealden means that all local villages with schools should be given appropriate allocations.
Question 19f

Reason for comment:
Why is High Hurstwood not included - it has good facilities (school, church, pub etc) and seems most appropriate to receive its full allocation of new units? The need for low cost family units in Wealden means that all local villages with schools should be given appropriate allocations. Fairwarp too could perhaps have some small extension?

Option 20a

Reason for comment:
The traffic situation in Rotherfield alone should dissuade planners from adding any new property here

Option 21a

Reason for comment:
The need for low cost family units in Wealden means that all local villages with schools should be given appropriate allocations.

Option 21b

Reason for comment:
The need for low cost family units in Wealden means that all local villages with reasonable amenities should be given appropriate allocations.
Consultee ID: Mr clark  
106734  
elysium ltd  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 21c
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The need for low cost family units in Wealden means that all local villages with reasonable amenities should be given appropriate allocations.

Consultee ID: Mr clark  
106734  
elysium ltd  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 24a
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr clark  
106734  
elysium ltd  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 24d
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
And conversely, much lower densities (ideally none) where public transport is not available

Consultee ID: Mr clark  
106734  
elysium ltd  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25a
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Essential if local businesses are to attract suitable long term employees.
Option 25b

Reason for comment:
Essential if local businesses are to attract suitable long term employees

Option 25c

Reason for comment:
Essential if local businesses are to attract suitable long term employees

Option 25d

Reason for comment:
Essential if local businesses are to attract suitable long term employees

Option 25e

Reason for comment:
Essential if local businesses are to attract suitable long term employees, and also for "Green" renewable energy demonstrators.
Consultee ID: Mr clark  
106734 elysium ltd

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Much better than having to provide alternate care facilities. However should not be seen as a way to increase housing that would not otherwise be permitted - unit should form an integral part of an existing accommodation and should not be allowed to be separately re-sold (or as a way to get around agricultural property restrictions!)

Consultee ID: Mr clark  
106734 elysium ltd

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Possibly for business - but no change of use and only as an integral part of an existing unit, not to be re-sold.

Consultee ID: Mr clark  
106734 elysium ltd

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr clark  
106734 elysium ltd

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr clark  
106734 elysium ltd

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Absolutely key to business in this area...

Consultee ID: Mr clark  
106734 elysium ltd

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

It would be good to provide effective park and ride facilities that covered more than just Tunbridge Wells - for instance both Eridge and Tunbridge Wells could be covered by a Park and Ride scheme based at Goldsmiths - this would help significantly with the problems at Eridge with overparking in the lanes around the station. Especially if the buses actually met the trains - with an hourly service it would be much more important to link this to the Eridge train timetable than the T Wells one (where commuters could shop etc briefly)

Consultee ID: Mr clark  
106734 elysium ltd

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Could just mean improvements to the area behind Jarvis Brook which already exists.
Consultee ID: Mr clark
106734 elysium ltd

Part of document: ☑ option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Essential - but should be ring-fenced for this purpose

Consultee ID: Mr clark
106734 elysium ltd

Part of document: ☑ option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There appears to be no appropriate place to make a general comment in this section! I think the suggestion that priority should be given to opening up school courts should be challenged. Whilst schools may be fine for their indoor facilities, these outdoor courts are typically used as playgrounds, and are often low grade surfaces, with poor netting, marking etc and might even be dangerous for higher level sports usage. The changing facilities (and the ability for any social contact after a game (drinks, food etc)) are very limited too. Much better to provide these through a properly managed and funded sports club in my opinion. The report that is referred to is one of the worst pieces of writing I have seen in a long while. There is no need for indoor tennis courts because of the effects of global warming? No wonder we produce so few tennis players of international merit in this country..

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735

Part of document: ☑ chapter Part 1

Section of Document:  Context

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The bulk of future development needs to be in the Coast sub-region, but some must be in the rest of Wealden. This may require some development at the margins of the AONB, but that must be very carefully planned and controlled.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby  
106735
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby  
106735
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby  
106735
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby  
106735
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4a
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735
Part of document:  
Section of Document:
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735
Part of document:  
Section of Document:
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735
Part of document:  
Section of Document:
Your View  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Mr & Mrs Kerby

Option 7c

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Option 7d

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Option 8a

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Option 8b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:
Your View:  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:
Your View:  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:
Your View:  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I agree with the basic analysis. But the scope for new development in Heathfield is limited - because of already stretched infrastructure, lack of employment, and the need to avoid excessive sprawl into the AONB.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question Ten

Section of Document:  Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View:  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I don't have the detailed knowledge of Crowborough to comment.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This area should remain commercial - though any possibilities for up-grading would be welcome.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 12d
Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
This area should be left essentially rural.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 12e
Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
This would be an undesirable encroachment on attractive landscape and the AONB. Road access to the area indicated is utterly inadequate.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 12f
Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 12g
Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Because of Heathfield's poor transport links, pressure on schools and medical facilities, poor employment opportunities, and valued rural surroundings, development should be on a small scale and tightly controlled.
The area at the North West corner of Heathfield Park, next to Tower Road, would be a logical additional location to consider. The infrastructure problems would be less than elsewhere and it could be associated with public access to Gibraltar Tower (if refurbished).

Insufficient knowledge of Uckfield to comment in detail.
Consultee ID: 106735 Mr & Mrs Kerby

**Part of document:** 
- Document: 
- Chapter: 
- Section: 
- Option: ✓

**Section of Document:** Question 15g

**Your View:** ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Insufficient knowledge of Hailsham to comment in detail.

---

Consultee ID: 106735 Mr & Mrs Kerby

**Part of document:** 
- Document: 
- Chapter: 
- Section: 
- Option: ✓

**Section of Document:** Question 16h

**Your View:** ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Insufficient knowledge of Polegate area to comment in detail. But it does look the least unsuitable part of the district for major development.

---

Consultee ID: 106735 Mr & Mrs Kerby

**Part of document:** 
- Document: 
- Chapter: 
- Section: 
- Option: ✓

**Section of Document:** Question 17d

**Your View:** ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Insufficient knowledge of Stone Cross to comment in detail.

---

Consultee ID: 106735 Mr & Mrs Kerby

**Part of document:** 
- Document: 
- Chapter: 
- Section: 
- Option: ✓

**Section of Document:** Question 18k

**Your View:** ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
All these possibilities merit consideration. But the scale of many of them looks excessive.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
Agent ID: 106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 19f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No problem in principle, but the scale needs careful consideration in each case.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
Agent ID: 106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 20d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No problem in principle, but the numbers look too high.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
Agent ID: 106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 21g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Here again, reasonable in principle, but some of the numbers are too high.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby
Agent ID: 106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed scale is disproportionate.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 23f

**Reason for comment:**
- Reasonable in principle.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 24a

**Reason for comment:**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 24b

**Reason for comment:**
- Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 24c

**Reason for comment:**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

---

**Reason for comment:**
- But would accept if there really is no alternative to avoid encroaching on rural areas.
### Option 24d

- **Consultee ID:** Mr & Mrs Kerby
- **Agent ID:**
- **Part of document:** Option 24d
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:** Agree
- **Reason for comment:** Provided it is regular and reliable public transport.

---

### Option 25a

- **Consultee ID:** Mr & Mrs Kerby
- **Agent ID:**
- **Part of document:** Option 25a
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:** Agree
- **Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 25b

- **Consultee ID:** Mr & Mrs Kerby
- **Agent ID:**
- **Part of document:** Option 25b
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:** Agree
- **Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 25d

- **Consultee ID:** Mr & Mrs Kerby
- **Agent ID:**
- **Part of document:** Option 25d
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:** Agree
- **Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Kerby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 3376 of 6217
The dualling of this road deserves high priority. If on or near the present alignment it would not have any impact on the Downs.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby

Part of document: Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby

Part of document: Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby

Part of document: Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby  
106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby  
106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question 32a

Section of Document:  

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Kerby  
106735

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question 32b

Section of Document:  

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
I am not at all sure that all these objectives are fully reconcilable, but good luck!

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Monk  
106736

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 14a

Section of Document:  

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
The traffic situation in Uckfield is already stretched to it's full capacity, by adding further to the housing and resultant population, it will only get worse.
Consultee ID: Mrs Monk

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The area in and around Ringles Cross is a haven for wildlife, and a joy for ramblers. By increasing the amount of housing the balance of natural habitats will be depleted.

Consultee ID: Mr Millett

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The idea of developing 120 to 200 houses in this area totally ridiculous and would create many more problems than it aims to resolve. From a practical point of view the country lanes that would connect this development with local amenities would not be able to support any further growth in traffic, which could be an additional 400 cars. Such a situation would no doubt bring with it additional pressure to widen roads or create new ones which would totally disrupt what is already classified as an AONB. The English countryside is under serious threat from ill conceived developments affecting our wonderful biodiversity and rural history. The area under question is an AONB for good reason. Let us not destroy this valuable asset for ever just to meet some government housing target.
Option 14a

Reason for comment:
In landscape terms any development here would cause unacceptable harm to the rural setting of Uckfield and Maresfield and the visual quality, continuity and rural character of the surrounding villages and countryside. This area provides the strategic countryside gap between Uckfield, Maresfield, Five Ash Down and Buxted. The proposed site is clearly visible from 2 AONBs (South Downs and Ashdown Forest). The area is a highly biodiverse ecologically valuable habitat including ancient woodlands, ghyll woodland, old herb-rich grassland, ponds, streams, ancient common. Development would result in the unacceptable loss of ancient woodland (an irreplaceable resource for its diversity of species and longevity as woodland), and wetland habitats, lead to unsustainable biodiversity and habitat degradation, and the unacceptable fragmentation, isolation and disturbance of natural habits of acknowledged nature conservation importance. The site supports a number of European protected species together with a range of other species protected by UK legislation and supports priority species listed in the UK Biodiversity Action Plan, together with other bird species of nature conservation concern.

Option 14b

Reason for comment:
This area bordering the old A22 is relatively attractive countryside forming a gap between Uckfield and Maresfield. Extends a ribbon development on Eastern side of road creating a "dog leg". Impacts on historic park to East. Overloads the road infrastructure into and away from Uckfield. Infrastructure of Uckfield (water, sewage, drainage, medical cover, social services) totally inadequate for ANY further residential development, let alone one of this size.
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Mr Harland
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option

Reason for comment:

With the bypass running to the west of Uckfield, development should be confined to sites that have direct access to it; not areas to the east of the town. Development along the Framfield Road - itself narrow and parked up - would see additional traffic added to the already congested junctions of the lower High Street or forced onto narrow country lanes. To suggest access from the north doesn't seem realistic. This would simply shift the traffic problem to the unsuitable roads of the Hempstead Fields or Manor Park estates. Or, it would require a lengthy eastern bypass that would blight the Uck valley and Buxted Park.

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:

• There are locations within the ANOB that could possibly be enhanced by future development. This might be the case, for example, where previous developments have become run down or have been carried out inappropriately. There also may be locations within or attached the ANOB that are questionably labelled ANOB; these may be possible sites for development. The availability of appropriate services may, of course, make some such sites inappropriate. • So, our considered opinion is that in the ANOB some development that would not necessarily conflict with the areas' status could take place.

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 3d

Reason for comment:
Option 2a

Reason for comment:

- Should every new development include a source of renewable energy? Yes, that seems a good idea. This renewable energy could be obtained from an on-site or local source or it could be obtained by developing a remote power source that feeds into the national grid. Maybe the inclusion of the latter in the quoted percentage is not intended. Could you clarify this point.
- It is very difficult to know whether 10%, 20% or any other percentage is a reasonable figure to achieve but assuming the 'experts' consider that 10% is reasonably achievable then we would agree with the above requirement.

Option 2b

Reason for comment:

- We would not know whether 20% is a practically achievable target at present. One assumes that the 'experts' consider it is a possibility. Presumably there is an issue of increasing cost issue as the percentage increases. Also current technology will impose its limits. So, without investigating the detail, we cannot say whether 20% is a reasonable target to set at present. It is likely that the achievable target will change with time and our view is that the target would be better reviewed periodically.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 3b

**Your View**
- ☑️ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 3c

**Your View**
- ☑️ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 4a

**Your View**
- ☑️ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- It would however be important that any future development did not cause detriment to the Downs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 4b

**Your View**
- ☑️ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- 
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 4c
- Option 4d
- Question 4e
- Option 5a

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 4c**

**Reason for comment:**

This road already has severe access problems with the capacity of the road nearly at maximum. There are no options to redevelop this road to make it more suitable for increased vehicle numbers therefore development along this road would only increase traffic congestion, pollution and create severe bottle necks.

**Option 4d**

**Reason for comment:**

We see no further areas suitable for development within the parish or surrounding areas.

**Option 5a**

**Reason for comment:**

This would allow towns and villages to develop naturally as they have done historically, while maintaining control over the development to ensure aspects such as flooding and infrastructure are taken into consideration.
Establish a new settlement with access to the eastbourne - Lewes Rail link (Berwick Area). Forming a new station up the line from present station providing adequate parking facilities. In addition a by pass of the existing village could then be created. The A27 would be far easier to redelvelop/upgrade than the A22
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 7c  
- Option 7d  
- Option 8d  
- Option 9c

Your View  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
In the interests of efficiency, thresholds will no doubt be useful. 30+ for towns and 15+ for villages seem reasonable numbers.

---

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 11c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 11d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 11e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [✓]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 11e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts  
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts  
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council  
102492 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Question 11h

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
No further broad areas

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts  
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts  
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council  
102492 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Option 12a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts  
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts  
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council  
102492 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Option 12b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts  
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts  
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council  
102492 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Option 12c

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts  
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts  
Consultee ID: 106740  
Agent ID: 102492  
Mrs Roberts  
Chiddingly Parish Council  

Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree  
Reason for comment:

No further broad areas

Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Question 12i

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Roberts</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Roberts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Roberts</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Roberts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Roberts</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Roberts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts
Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts
Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts
Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts
Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts
Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts
Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts

Option 14h
Section of Document: Option 14h
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Avoid the flood plaina at ALL costs

Question 14i
Section of Document: Question 14i
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Avoid the flood plaina at ALL costs

Question 14j
Section of Document: Question 14j
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Although it would be important to ensure that development was outside any flood zone risks and would not exacerbate current flooding issues either up or downstream.
Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts 106740 Chiddingly Parish Council
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts 102492 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document: Option 15b
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts 106740 Chiddingly Parish Council
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts 102492 Chiddingly Parish Council
Part of document: Option 15c
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts 106740 Chiddingly Parish Council
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts 102492 Chiddingly Parish Council
Part of document: Option 15d
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
The risk of flooding is too high

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts 106740 Chiddingly Parish Council
Agent ID: Mrs Roberts 102492 Chiddingly Parish Council
Part of document: Option 15e
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Chiddingly Parish Council</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Chiddingly Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102492</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 16e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

For industry only

---

**Part of document:**  
- Option 16e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The Town centre needs regeneration with incentives for larger national chains selling clothes. One of the supermarkets established or proposed could be encouraged to move to any new village development freeing up buildings for some of the larger clothing chains.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 15h

### Your View
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 16a

### Your View
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 16b

### Your View
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 16c

### Your View
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

It is felt that an extension to the A27 is essential as is an upgrade to dual carriageway.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18a</th>
<th>Option 18b</th>
<th>Option 18c</th>
<th>Option 18g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>New development in and around Golden Cross would add to already severe A22 traffic congestion. A new village would result in a community split by the A22.</td>
<td>New development in and around Golden Cross would add to already severe A22 traffic congestion. A new village would result in a community split by the A22.</td>
<td>New development in and around Golden Cross would add to already severe A22 traffic congestion. A new village would result in a community split by the A22.</td>
<td>New development in and around Golden Cross would add to already severe A22 traffic congestion. A new village would result in a community split by the A22.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
New development in and around Hackhurst Lane would add to already severe A22 traffic congestion. A new village would result in a rather isolated community.

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Lower Dicker is a long strung-out village. Such villages lack a community feeling. Infill will only add to this character and feeling. New infill development in and around Lower Dicker would add to already severe A22 traffic congestion.

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
This looks a possibility to us, but, along with all other possible developments in and around the A22, it will add to the congestion problems on the A22, even with the suggested access onto the A267.

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts  
Chiddingly Parish Council  
106740

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The Beddingham road improvements will support new development in the region of Berwick. There would be a clear advantage of immediate access to the A27 for commuters to Brighton, Lewes, Newhaven and Eastbourne. A new development in Berwick would have the additional advantage of splitting the traffic loading between the A27 and the A22; probably most of the new traffic would be biassed towards the A27, not greatly increasing the congestion on the A22. Clearly, the rail links to Eastbourne, Lewes, Brighton and Newhaven are potentially a beneficial means of moderating increases in local road traffic.

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts  
Chiddingly Parish Council  
106740

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Any development in and around lower Dicker on or near the A22, because of increased congestion on the A22, will have the consequent effect of increasing traffic on local village roads, which get used as short cuts to avoid the A22 traffic jams. In particular, the narrow residential roads through Gun Hill and through Chiddingly Village get heavily used by short-cut commuter traffic. Remedial action is needed now to combat this problem, which would become critical with any Lower Dicker/ Golden Cross development. If the Lower Dicker/Golden Cross area is to expland/develop the A22 needs to be diverted as it would split the two communities. It is suggested that the A22 be diverted at Park Corner, continue to the BOAT and bear east to meet up with the Boship roundabout. This would remove the bottle neck and allow communities to develop which should include a sizeable food store and other essential facilities for a new settlement. It could also include a Gypsy settlement where the current unauthorised settlement is sited north off B2124 at BROOMHAM.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 19a

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 19b

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 19c

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 19d

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

The A21 and A23 will never be a preferred route in the eyes of the motorist or haulier. Therefore Nutley should be by-passed in some sort of way.

Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 20c

**Reason for comment:**

- **Agree**
- **No opinion**
- **Disagree**

### Option 21a

**Reason for comment:**

- **Agree**
- **No opinion**
- **Disagree**

### Option 21b

**Reason for comment:**

- **Agree**
- **No opinion**
- **Disagree**

### Option 21c

**Reason for comment:**

- **Agree**
- **No opinion**
- **Disagree**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
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<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 21d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 21e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 21f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 22a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document, ☐ chapter, ☐ section, ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 22b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document, ☐ chapter, ☐ section, ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 22c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document, ☐ chapter, ☐ section, ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 22d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document, ☐ chapter, ☐ section, ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council

Agent ID: Mrs Roberts
102492 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council

Agent ID: Mrs Roberts
102492 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council

Agent ID: Mrs Roberts
102492 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council

Agent ID: Mrs Roberts
102492 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 24a

Reason for comment:

Current housing is already too cramped with lack of green space/gardens provided for communities. Increasing density would only exacerbate this problem. Families want gardens or green space to play/exercise/relax.

Option 24b

Reason for comment:

Very Strongly - same reason as 24b applies

Option 24c

Reason for comment:

Very Strongly - same reason as 24b applies

Option 24d

Reason for comment:
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Although there must be adequate provision of off road parking spaces for these properties, bearing in mind the suggestions of increased density. In addition it should be taken into consideration that many of the these types of dwellings will still have two occupants both owning cars!

Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
With incentives for all those home owners to use the excellent(?) public transport links possibly in the form of community tax refunds (number of cars v working adult ratio)

Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Quite often individuals requiring affordable housing do not have access to private transport. By siting all these houses in rural locations they become virtually cut off from everyday facilities, schools, doctors and shops, due to the ever reduced public transport service. For example the housing currently provided in Muddles Green, Chiddingly no longer has a bus service resulting in access difficulties for some residents.

Reason for comment:
Although careful enforcement should be in place to ensure that any ancilliary housing (mobile homes/caravans) are removed promptly on any change of circumstance.

Reason for comment:
However carful controls need to be in place to ensure that these ancilliary residences are actually required and not a ploy to gain permission in the long term for residential housing. Businesses must be able to provide evidence of of requirement and evidence of the viability of the business and its long term prospects.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
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</tr>
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<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
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<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
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</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: [ ]  
- Chapter: [ ]  
- Section: [ ]  
- Option: [x]  

**Option 26a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree: [x]  
- No opinion: [ ]  
- Disagree: [ ]  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: [ ]  
- Chapter: [ ]  
- Section: [ ]  
- Option: [x]  

**Option 26b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree: [ ]  
- No opinion: [ ]  
- Disagree: [x]  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: [ ]  
- Chapter: [ ]  
- Section: [ ]  
- Option: [x]  

**Option 27a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree: [x]  
- No opinion: [ ]  
- Disagree: [ ]  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Roberts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106740</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
<td>102492</td>
<td>Chiddingly Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: [ ]  
- Chapter: [ ]  
- Section: [ ]  
- Option: [x]  

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree: [x]  
- No opinion: [ ]  
- Disagree: [ ]  

**Reason for comment:**
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Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council

Agent ID: Mrs Roberts
102492 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 27c

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council

Agent ID: Mrs Roberts
102492 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 27d

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council

Agent ID: Mrs Roberts
102492 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 27e

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Roberts
106740 Chiddingly Parish Council

Agent ID: Mrs Roberts
102492 Chiddingly Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 27f

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

A minimum of two parking spaces per household should be provided as the norm in any proposed development.
Reason for comment:

With an emphasis on higher density housing suggested sufficient provision of green space within these developments and easily accessible parks close by is essential. There should also be adequate provision of cycleways and public routes to these green spaces away from the roads.

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:
12.08.2007 Dear Sirs,

I wish to object in the strongest terms possible to option 18a the proposed plan to build 5-8000 homes adjoining the village of Isfield and option 27b the proposed development of a new railway link from Uckfield to Lewes. The village of Isfield comprises of approximately 300 homes with a pub and post office serving the local community. Whilst I totally disagree with central governments edict to build millions of homes in the South East which is the most densely populated area in the UK to start with, if local government is powerless or unwilling to do anything to prevent this I accept that an increase of housing for every town and village is inevitable. Central government has stated that the housing should be first targeted at brown field sites and Isfield has consent for some forty new homes on the army campsite in the centre of the village. This is a sustainable development and fits in superbly well with the manner in which the village itself has evolved and means that Isfield is already growing in size by 13%. Furthermore this increase in housing will have been achieved without detriment to the village or encroachment into the open countryside. If each town and village grew by the same percentage this would accommodate all local housing needs without destroying the character and community of the current residents. Due to the incompetence of national government and the local planning system being archaic, slow and at the mercy of some members placing personal views above the needs of the community, we now face the prospect of a town larger than Uckfield being dumped on a village to purely meet targets and support a railway that will do nothing for local residents other than allow them easier commuting to Brighton and London. Salaries for those working in Wealden remain lower than the national average and what is needed is creation of further job opportunities in our towns to achieve a local and retained benefit. Should additional housing then be created within the towns and cities this could be achieved on brown field sites and sites where regeneration is needed and would be a further boost to local business and employment. The current proposed development will create a dangerous situation where Uckfield and Isfield will be practically joined and this will pave the way for continuous future development through Ringmer and Lewes. It also appears that the fact that Isfield is a flood zone has conveniently been ignored in these proposals. All of the land along the Uck floods on a regular basis and you only have to look at the front page of the local paper showing the Isfield section of the railway line underwater to realise what folly it is to build a new rail link or 8000 houses in such an area. Even at the present time flooding in Isfield is far from irregular. Farmland along the Uck floods at least two or three times each year and not just in peak rainfall as seen in 2000 and 2007. The farming community realises that the land will flood each winter and take advantage of the fertile pasture created by the land acting as natural flood defense but building further houses in a flood zone will only exasperate the chaos caused to homeowners seen in the year 2000. Having seen the local chaos caused by flooding in 2000 it is insane to consider known flood zones for future large scale housing developments especially as it is now accepted that the effects of global warming will make flooding worse and more regular as sea levels rise. The old railway line has not been used since 1969 and is currently a green haven for all manner of flora and fauna and creates a safe corridor where species can spread and recolonise areas in line with new environmental farming schemes. Building a link from Uckfield to Lewes will result in thousands of hardwood native trees being felled and substantial loss of flora and fauna. The result will not be good for the local communities and will just provide easier access to London for current and future local residents. Improved access to London will further increase local house prices which are still like it or not far cheaper than the equivalents in the London commuter belt and drain further residents and young people away from making their lives here and supporting local commerce and community. I urge the planning department to reconsider the proposals and spread the housing amongst all communities not in large blocks as suggested and realise the rail link will have the...
opposite effect to what is envisaged. Yours sincerely, Mr. John Lewin, Constantia Manor, Isfield, East Sussex, TN22 5XU. Work No: +44 1825 750453 Fax No: +44 1825 750443 Mob No: +44 7860 533373 Email: mail@johnlewin.com
12.08.2007 Dear Sirs, Options 18a and 27b to open new rail link and build 5-8000 homes in Isfield. I wish to object in the strongest terms possible to the above proposals. The village of Isfield comprises of approximately 300 homes with a pub and post office serving the local community. Whilst I totally disagree with central governments edict to build millions of homes in the South East which is the most densely populated area in the UK to start with, if local government is powerless or unwilling to do anything to prevent this I accept that an increase of housing for every town and village is inevitable. Central government has stated that the housing should be first targeted at brown field sites and Isfield has consent for some forty new homes on the army campsite in the centre of the village. This is a sustainable development and fits in superbly well with the manner in which the village itself has evolved and means that Isfield is already growing in size by 13%. Furthermore this increase in housing will have been achieved without detriment to the village or encroachment into the open countryside. If each town and village grew by the same percentage this would accommodate all local housing needs without destroying the character and community of the current residents. Due to the incompetence of national government and the local planning system being archaic, slow and at the mercy of some members placing personal views above the needs of the community, we now face the prospect of a town larger than Uckfield being dumped on a village to purely meet targets and support a railway that will do nothing for local residents other than allow them easier commuting to Brighton and London. Salaries for those working in Wealden remain lower than the national average and what is needed is creation of further job opportunities in our towns to achieve a local and retained benefit. Should additional housing then be created within the towns and cities this could be achieved on brown field sites and sites where regeneration is needed and would be a further boost to local business and employment. The current proposed development will create a dangerous situation where Uckfield and Isfield will be practically joined and this will pave the way for continuous future development through Ringmer and Lewes. It also appears that the fact that Isfield is a flood zone has conveniently been ignored in these proposals. All of the land along the Uck floods on a regular basis and you only have to look at the front page of the local paper showing the Isfield section of the railway line underwater to realise what folly it is to build a new rail link or 8000 houses in such an area. Even at the present time flooding in Isfield is far from irregular. Farmland along the Uck floods at least two or three times each year and not just in peak rainfall as seen in 2000 and 2007. The farming community realises that the land will flood each winter and take advantage of the fertile pasture created by the land acting as natural flood defense but building further houses in a flood zone will only exasperate the chaos caused to homeowners seen in the year 2000. Having seen the local chaos caused by flooding in 2000 it is insane to consider known flood zones for future large scale housing developments especially as it is now accepted that the effects of global warming will make flooding worse and more regular as see levels rise. The old railway line has not been used since 1969 and is currently a green haven for all manner of flora and fauna and creates a safe corridor where species can spread and recolonise areas in line with new environmental farming schemes. Building a link from Uckfield to Lewes will result in thousands of hardwood native trees being felled and substantial loss of flora and fauna. The result will not be good for the local communities and will just provide easier access to London for current and future local residents. Improved access to London will further increase local house prices which are still like it or not far cheaper than the equivalents in the London commuter belt and drain further residents and young people away from making their lives here and supporting local commerce and community. I urge the planning department to reconsider the proposals and spread the housing amongst all communities not in large blocks as suggested and realise the rail link will have the opposite effect to what is envisaged. Yours sincerely, Mr. Caroline Lewin, Constantia Manor, Isfield, East Sussex, TN22 5XU.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Aldridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106744</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 14a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Aldridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106744</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 14b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Dear Sirs,

I totally disagree with the proposals to build both a rail link between Uckfield and Lewes and 5-8000 new homes in Isfield. The village of Isfield comprises of approximately 300 homes with a pub and post office serving the local community. Whilst I totally disagree with central governments edict to build millions of homes in the South East which is the most densely populated area in the UK to start with, if local government is powerless or unwilling to do anything to prevent this I accept that an increase of housing for every town and village is inevitable. Central government has stated that the housing should be first targeted at brown field sites and Isfield has consent for some forty new homes on the army campsite in the centre of the village. This is a sustainable development and fits in superbly well with the manner in which the village itself has evolved and means that Isfield is already growing in size by 13%. Furthermore this increase in housing will have been achieved without detriment to the village or encroachment into the open countryside. If each town and village grew by the same percentage this would accommodate all local housing needs without destroying the character and community of the current residents. Due to the incompetence of national government and the local planning system being archaic, slow and at the mercy of some members placing personal views above the needs of the community, we now face the prospect of a town larger than Uckfield being dumped on a village to purely meet targets and support a railway that will do nothing for local residents other than allow them easier commuting to Brighton and London. Salaries for those working in Wealden remain lower than the national average and what is needed is creation of further job opportunities in our towns to achieve a local and retained benefit. Should additional housing then be created within the towns and cities this could be achieved on brown field sites and sites where regeneration is needed and would be a further boost to local business and employment. The current proposed development will create a dangerous situation where Uckfield and Isfield will be practically joined and this will pave the way for continuous future development through Ringmer and Lewes. It also appears that the fact that Isfield is a flood zone has conveniently been ignored in these proposals. All of the land along the Uck floods on a regular basis and you only have to look at the front page of the local paper showing the Isfield section of the railway line underwater to realise what folly it is to build a new rail link or 8000 houses in such an area. Even at the present time flooding in Isfield is far from irregular. Farmland along the Uck floods at least two or three times each year and not just in peak rainfall as seen in 2000 and 2007. The farming community realises that the land will flood each winter and take advantage of the fertile pasture created by the land acting as natural flood defense but building further houses in a flood zone will only exasperate the chaos caused to homeowners seen in the year 2000. Having seen the local chaos caused by flooding in 2000 it is insane to consider known flood zones for future large scale housing developments especially as it is now accepted that the effects of global warming will make flooding worse and more regular as sea levels rise. The old railway line has not been used since 1969 and is currently a green haven for all manner of flora and fauna and creates a safe corridor where species can spread and recolonise areas in line with new environmental farming schemes. Building a link from Uckfield to Lewes will result in thousands of hardwood native trees being felled and substantial loss of flora and fauna. The result will not be good for the local communities and will just provide easier access to London for current and future local residents. Improved access to London will further increase local house prices which are still like it or not far cheaper than the equivalents in the London commuter belt and drain further residents and young people away from making their lives here and supporting local commerce and community. I urge the planning department to reconsider the proposals and spread the housing amongst all communities not in large blocks as suggested and realise the rail link will have the opposite effect to what is envisaged. Yours sincerely, Miss. Harriet Lewin, Constantia Manor, Isfield,
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
There are plenty of other areas for development. We must protect the AONB for future generations. Only low density 'brownfield' development should be permitted, with recycled materials.

Option 3d

Reason for comment:
Absolutely. Otherwise there will be an undue increase in traffic as people have to travel further afield for their work.

Option 2a

Reason for comment:
We should meet or exceed the target for the South East in general. Making this compulsory will help the development of the market for appropriate products.
We should be aiming higher than the modest general South East target. A 20% average could allow a varying targets for different types of housing, e.g. low-cost developments in a range of 10%-20% and higher-end developments with more ambitious targets. This would give flexibility to meet concerns about affordability whilst still striving to reach a high overall standard.

Subject to adequate improvements to transport infrastructure.

Subject to adequate improvements to transport infrastructure.

Subject to adequate improvements to transport infrastructure.
Consultee ID: Mr Baylis
Agent ID: 106746

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Hailsham is a budding business area well served by road, but development should not impinge too far West of the A22.

Consultee ID: Mr Baylis
Agent ID: 106746

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Some development of villages must be encouraged to ensure their survival and viability as communities.

Consultee ID: Mr Baylis
Agent ID: 106746

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Wherever possible, basic community facilities must be retained for villages.

Consultee ID: Mr Baylis
Agent ID: 106746

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A Hailsham-only focus seems pointless due to lack of rail connections.
Consultee ID: Mr Baylis

Part of document: Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
This area has good transport infrastructure and develops the potential of the Eastbourne area as a major centre.

Consultee ID: Mr Baylis

Part of document: Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
The distinction between Hailsham and the Polegate/Eastbourne area needs to be maintained in order to avoid a 'sprawl' of creeping urbanisation.

Consultee ID: Mr Baylis

Part of document: Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Baylis

Part of document: Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Split the load between Uckfield (with some road improvements) and Crowborough with its good roads and facilities BUT do not encroach on AONB.
Option 9c
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Heathfield / Cross In Hand is already a major traffic bottleneck. Whilst Heathfield has been designated a 'town', it does not have the facilities of Uckfield or Crowborough to support expansion.

Question 12h
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Many admirable objectives to improve the quality of life in and around Heathfield. But emphasis should be on improvements for current residents rather than further housing development with all the associated infrastructure demand.

Option 12a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
As a Heathfield resident, I agree with any moves to improve the centre of the town.

Option 12b
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Agree to carefully planned usage, balancing housing and employment use.
Consultee ID: Mr Baylis
106746

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Would lead to more ribbon development and urban sprawl. More and busier junctions on the main road. AONB should be sacrosanct.

Consultee ID: Mr Baylis
106746

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Although this is AONB, as a last resort a "small well designed linear cluster of housing of Cade Street like form" nowhere near the figure of 90 mentioned might be agreeable, subject to traffic calming around all of Old Heathfield and Sandy Cross.

Consultee ID: Mr Baylis
106746

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The local lanes - already dangerously overused as ratruns - could not support the extra traffic load and there is no alternative larger road to give access to the town and main routes. The land to the south of Sandy Cross Lane is a fine example of the Wealden countryside. To quote Section 2 of the Consultation Document, the Management Plan objectives include: - to maintain the pattern of small irregularly shaped fields bounded by hedgerows and woodlands; - to enhance the environmental function of field and heath as part of the complex mosaic of High Weald habitats; - to protect the historic features of field and heath. Building here would not make any contribution to increasing local employment opportunities.
Consultee ID: Mr Baylis  
106746

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

1. This area is AONB. 2. Traffic bottlenecks at Cross In Hand and Heathfield would only get worse. 3. Ghyll Road and Sheepsetting Lane are already busy and at times dangerous.

Consultee ID: Mr Baylis  
106746

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Question 12g

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Para 5.12 of the Consultation Document sums it up neatly: "The town’s poor transport links, no railway and very limited bus services, make it a poor candidate for growth of a strategic scale... The roads around much of the periphery of the town are B roads or narrow country lanes creating access difficulties... current education and primary health care facilities are at near capacity..."

Consultee ID: Mr Baylis  
106746

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

A very neat and logical extension to existing residential areas with excellent facilities and transport links and little impact on rural settings.

Consultee ID: Mr Baylis  
106746

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Agree with them as a broad framework.
Consultee ID: Mr Baylis 106746
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 32a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
But would like to see a higher figure than 60% in objective SO2.

Consultee ID: Walters 106748
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 14a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
damage to country side and also roads and infrastructure unable to handle

Consultee ID: Walters 106748
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 14b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: O'Neill 106749
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>O'Neill</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 106749</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 7c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Would adversely affect sustainability of 'ancient' wood, lose further green space and put further pressure on services.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>O'Neill</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 106749</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>O'Neill</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 106749</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question Ten**

**Section of Document:**

**Site Allocation Thresholds**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>O'Neill</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 106749</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 17b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Would adversely affect sustainability of 'ancient' wood, lose further green space and put further pressure on services.
Consultant ID: O’Neill
Consultee ID: 106749

Part of document: Option 27d
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultant ID: O’Neill
Consultee ID: 106749

Part of document: Option 28c
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultant ID: Mr Gleeson
Consultee ID: 106750

Part of document: Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
I agree with the proposition, provided that .i. Any development is in keeping with other buildings in the area. .ii. Developments are focused on keeping local communities intact, rather than encouraging an influx of commuters. .iii. All developments focus on providing affordable local housing, rather than making as much profit for building companies as possible.

Consultant ID: Mr Gleeson
Consultee ID: 106750

Part of document: Option 3d
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
The aim should be to provide for a good quality of residential development, without impact from commercial premises, but close enough to help reduce the level of commuting and dependency on private transport.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
10% does not represent a figure which will make sufficient impact. Encouragement should be made in the form of subsidies to help individuals convert to alternative power supplies.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The figure of 20% should be a minimum requirement given the projected future problems in supply of sufficient power to communities.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
In some cases it may be agreement is appropriate, in others disagreement. Regrettably, I have to give an answer in one or the other. There are keys to this: Infrastructure may not be strong enough to warrant the area to be sufficiently attractive to employers. If this is the case, what impact would housing have on the same infrastructure? When was the area designated as suitable for commercial use rather than residential, and how has the local community changed since then? It is simplistic to just look at this as a ‘black and white’ issue, there are far too many variables. One thing is certain, that any alteration of use would need to be based on sustainable local planning and not just convenience and profit. As such I have chosen to disagree, as I do not feel guarantees would be put in place to ensure the conditions I have laid out.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson

Part of document:   ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Existing premises should be protected from redevelopment unless it is evident that there is no current or potential future demand.

---

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson

Part of document:   ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View   ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Any company that is willing to set up premises within the Wealden area should be encouraged to do so, provided this does not adversely affect the local area. We should not exclude large businesses from this, as they may provide much needed employment opportunities within the area, whilst potentially reducing the level of commuting.

---

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson

Part of document:   ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Of the 3 Polegate options, I feel this is the best. It should be noted that this is fairly unlikely to attract substantial investment until much needed improvements to the A27 take place.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson | Agent ID: 106750

**Option 4b**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
The wrong choice in the wrong area. 4a is a better option for the Polegate area, but Hailsham is even better suited for such developments.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson | Agent ID: 106750

**Option 4c**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
I feel this would increase the level of commercial usage over and above what would be acceptable to local residents, especially with the other options available.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson | Agent ID: 106750

**Option 4d**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
This would be a good option, but only if much needed improvements to the A27 take place. Without these, it is a pointless exercise.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson | Agent ID: 106750

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Yes, but only in the case of affordable housing to enable local people to remain in the area, ensuring that communities are maintained.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Yes, but only for proven local need. Existing countryside gaps between settlements must be retained.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It seems that the sensible approach is one of an entirely new settlement with good access to roads and rail to facilitate development in the South Coast Sub Region. This should be properly researched before further urban extensions are imposed on the Hailsham/Polegate/Willingdon area. This would also give the opportunity to develop fresh infrastructure without overloading already taxed existing routes.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Q6 asks whether 80%, 75% or 70% of the housing requirement should be in towns, with the balance in villages. As has been noted in the LDF, the population in Wealden is 50% in towns and 50% in villages. LDFs are supposed to be relevant to the demographics of the area. Therefore the ideal solution would seem to be either a new settlement which does not impact on the current towns and villages, or failing that development which maintains the 50/50 split between towns and villages in line with the current distribution of the population.

Option 7a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

A good tool for use in defining necessary infrastructure improvements. Not comprehensive enough for any growth decisions, however.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
106750

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Open to misinterpretation dependent on criteria used, which could be distorted to suit any required response.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
106750

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Growth should be to meet proven local need for affordable homes for rent or shared ownership in all villages.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
106750

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It is important that local communities are kept with the relevant services available.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
Agent ID: 106750

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document: Option 8b

Reason for comment:
I object to Polegate and Willingdon being considered together for most purposes. The two places are quite different in character as described in the Non Statutory Local Plan and development potential both for business and housing is considerably different. Development in Polegate should be linked to the regeneration and revitalisation of the Town Centre and to the improvement in transport both road and rail and other infrastructure. Development at 8b is not sustainable if access is to the A2270.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
Agent ID: 106750

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document: Option 8a

Reason for comment:
Development in Hailsham should be linked to the regeneration and revitalisation of the Town Centre and to the improvement in transport both road and rail and other infrastructure.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
Agent ID: 106750

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document: Option 8c

Reason for comment:
The gap should be maintained between towns, or we risk creating an urban sprawl which reaches for many miles inland from the coast.
Option 8d

Reason for comment:
A new settlement such as at Berwick (with existing mainline railway station already in place) should be investigated fully first.

Option 9a

Reason for comment:

Option 9b

Reason for comment:

Option 9c

Reason for comment:
Whilst this is the best of the 3 options, development needs to be evenly distributed throughout towns and villages across the District to meet proven local needs.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Affordable housing provision would seem to be a good option here.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID:  Mr Gleeson  
106750  

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Question 11f  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Development should be located to meet local demand and in accordance with residents wishes and views.

Consultee ID:  Mr Gleeson  
106750  

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Question 11g  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID:  Mr Gleeson  
106750  

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 12a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID:  Mr Gleeson  
106750  

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 12b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
Part of document: Option 12c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
Part of document: Option 12d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
Part of document: Option 12e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
Part of document: Option 12f
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Part of document: Option 13a
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
There appears to be an excellent opportunity to provide affordable/small housing on the southern edge of Tunbridge Wells.

Part of document: Option 14a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Subject to local need, and the views of local residents, provision of new infrastructure is needed and no development should be allowed on areas liable to flooding.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
106750

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
106750

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The future well being of the Town Centre is important to the whole area and full consideration needs to be given to proper rather than piecemeal overall development opportunities for both residential and business. This option should not be ruled out at this stage.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
106750

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
106750

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Provided countryside gap between Hailsham and Polegate is maintained.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: Option 15f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Possibly the best option.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: Question 15h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: Option 16a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The only way this could work would be with a dramatic improvement in road and rail infrastructure. A new 'Parkway' station and vastly improved A27 links, with a dual carriageway to Lewes. Given that this is unlikely in the extreme to ever occur, this is not an option.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: Option 16b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The only way this could work would be with a dramatic improvement in road and rail infrastructure. A new 'Parkway' station and vastly improved A27 links, with a dual carriageway to Lewes. Given that this is unlikely in the extreme to ever occur, this is not an option.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:

Option 16c  
Reason for comment:  

Option 16d  
Reason for comment:  

Option 16e  
Reason for comment:  

Option 16f  
Reason for comment:  

Option 16f is unsustainable with access on to the A2270 and if the option was changed to access that area from the A22 new route or Dittons it would result in an entirely new and separate settlement in the Parish of Willingdon and Jevington, to which I am also opposed. I object to the potential loss of the green gap both at the A2270 and as viewed from the A22 new route and railway line.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16g**

**Reason for comment:**

There is already substantial development in this area in the non Statutory Local Plan. Further development should only be to satisfy local demand, subject to the views of residents, and to support the regeneration of Polegate Town Centre.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 16h**

**Reason for comment:**

There is already substantial development in this area in the non Statutory Local Plan. Further development should only be to satisfy local demand, subject to the views of residents, and to support the regeneration of Polegate Town Centre.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 17a**

**Reason for comment:**

Stone Cross looks to be an ideal location for further expansion with a good road link in to Eastbourne. A new and long awaited Railway Station needs to be provided as part of this area being further developed. This would reduce pressures on Polegate Railway Station and on parking in that town.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 17b**

**Reason for comment:**

Stone Cross looks to be an ideal location for further expansion with a good road link in to Eastbourne. A new and long awaited Railway Station needs to be provided as part of this area being further developed. This would reduce pressures on Polegate Railway Station and on parking in that town.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Stone Cross looks to be an ideal location for further expansion with a good road link in to Eastbourne. A new and long awaited Railway Station needs to be provided as part of this area being further developed. This would reduce pressures on Polegate Railway Station and on parking in that town.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>I support looking at all new single settlement options with the proviso that they be linked to improved road and rail services and that all other new infrastructure be provided.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>I support looking at all new single settlement options with the proviso that they be linked to improved road and rail services and that all other new infrastructure be provided.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>I support looking at all new single settlement options with the proviso that they be linked to improved road and rail services and that all other new infrastructure be provided.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
106750

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I support looking at all new single settlement options with the proviso that they be linked to improved road and rail services and that all other new infrastructure be provided.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
106750

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I support looking at all new single settlement options with the proviso that they be linked to improved road and rail services and that all other new infrastructure be provided.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
106750

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I support looking at all new single settlement options with the proviso that they be linked to improved road and rail services and that all other new infrastructure be provided.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
106750

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I support looking at all new single settlement options with the proviso that they be linked to improved road and rail services and that all other new infrastructure be provided.
Option 18h

Reason for comment:
This appears to be little more than an alternative way of looking at 16c

Option 18i

Reason for comment:
I support looking at all new single settlement options with the proviso that they be linked to improved road and rail services and that all other new infrastructure be provided.

Option 18j

Reason for comment:
This new development would need and must produce big improvements to all levels of the local infrastructure. It may be that two nearby sites, along with the spreading of new development across the District, might actually produce those major infrastructure improvements.
Option 19a

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes. The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.

Option 19b

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes. The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.

Option 19c

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes. The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
Agent ID: 106750

Part of document: Option 19d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes. The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
Agent ID: 106750

Part of document: Option 19e
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes. The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
Agent ID: 106750

Part of document: Option 20a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes. The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.
Option 20b

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.

Option 20c

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.

Option 21a

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson

Part of document: Option 21b

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson

Part of document: Option 21c

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson

Part of document: Option 21d

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes. The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes. The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
Agent ID: 106750

Option 22d

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes. The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
Agent ID: 106750

Option 23a

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes. The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
Agent ID: 106750

Option 23b

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes. The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.

Option 23d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.

Option 25b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This is essential to be considered as MINIMUM figures, not as targets.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson  
Consultee ID: 106750

**Option 23e**

**Section of Document:**

Reason for comment:
The split of 50/50 housing must be met with growth in villages in the Wealden area, together with growth in the towns. I support future housing development in these areas that would meet local housing needs for affordable homes. The only alternative to this would be the provision of a new settlement as proposed in the choices in question 18.

**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

Reason for comment:
This is not in keeping with providing affordable housing, more in keeping with providing profitable housing for the builders.

**Option 24b**

**Section of Document:**

Reason for comment:
More suitable for rural areas for affordable housing.

**Option 24c**

**Section of Document:**

Reason for comment:
Affordable housing in more urban areas would appear to fit the criteria.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 24d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>It is important that any development should reflect infrastructure in the area, and also that any developer should provide for improved infrastructure as part of the building process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 25a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Yes as affordable housing for local people, not for the buy to let market.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 25c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>It is essential that local residents have the ability to stay within a local area should they wish to do so, especially younger families who may not be able to afford full market prices for housing in the South-East.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 25e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106750  Mr Gleeson  Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consideration should be given to each case, not just set as a convenient overall target.

Consultee ID: 106750  Mr Gleeson  Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
With appropriate controls in place.

Consultee ID: 106750  Mr Gleeson  Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The importance here would be the distinction of what is considered ‘key’.

Consultee ID: 106750  Mr Gleeson  Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If the need is shown to be there, then that is where they should be.
Option 26b

Reason for comment:
The sites should be put where there is a need, rather than forcing travellers to go where they don't want to go.

Option 27a

Reason for comment:

Option 27b

Reason for comment:

Option 27c

Reason for comment:

With the possible changing of the Eastbourne leg of the railway line into a light railway stopping at Eastbourne, Hampden Park, Polegate and possibly being extended to Hailsham. This would offset the need to exclude the smaller stations on the line to Lewes from trains stopping. There would be a need to create a link between the Friday Street area & Polegate for main line trains to bypass Eastbourne, which would be served by the light railway link.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The improvement to the A27 is urgently required to facilitate the economic growth sought in the South Coast area. Without it, businesses will look elsewhere. The existing A27 from Polegate to Lewes has one of the worst safety records in the whole of the South-East, developing this into a dual carriageway would improve the safety and save thousands of working hours for all wishing to use the road.

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson
106750

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

However much we may wish to encourage public transport use, it is blinkered to think that people will give up cars, especially in an area as rural as Wealden. Even in the urban areas there is often a need to use cars on a regular basis, and the cost of housing is driving up the prevalence of 'house sharing' and therefore of the number of cars per household.
Consultee ID: 106750  Mr. Gleeson

Part of document: Option 28b

Section of Document:
Your View: 

Reason for comment:
It should be a condition of any permission to build houses. Failure to do so should result in the developer forfeiting the rights to a number of properties over and above the value they have gained, thus acting as a disincentive.

Consultee ID: 106750  Mr. Gleeson

Part of document: Option 28c

Section of Document:
Your View: 

Reason for comment:
Any new facilities should be built, examined and accepted before the old facilities are removed from public use. At no stage should the public have to suffer loss of amenity to provide developers with additional profit.

Consultee ID: 106750  Mr. Gleeson

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View: 

Reason for comment:
There should be time frames in place and land should not be able to be sold with the planning permission on. Planning permission that has not been used should expire with the sale of the land, thus ensuring that those who wish to apply, wish to build. Failure to start a buiding project within a year should result in a fresh application having to be made, with the developer meeting all costs of officers and council officials.
Consultee ID: Mr Gleeson

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Fine words, but without the provision of an improved A27 link, the South East area will never develop. Investing businesses are looking for good road links, we can offer them a heavily congested road which becomes a car park at commuting peak hours. Everyone seems to realise this except those who oppose any road building at all due to the ‘evil of the car’ or those who wish to see no development whatsoever.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hatcher

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 3d

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

There are several scarce resources in the area, most notable of which is water (and the disposal of effluence), it is essential therefore that every effort is made to conserve these scarce resources. Water supply will be particularly vulnerable, global warming or not. The local water companies are amongst the least wasters of water supply (I believe they loose only 15%) and thus have only a relatively small amount to gain by replacing old/inefficient pipage.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hatcher 106751

Part of document: Option 5a

Agent ID:

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Strongly agree with the first part of this statement that "Settlement boundaries should be maintained" but strongly disagree that development should be allowed outside the boundaries. We believe that settlement areas should be clearly defined as they exist now, ring-fenced and no development should be allowed in non-settlement areas unless it can be proved beyond doubt that the rural nature will not be impaired in any way.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hatcher 106751

Part of document: Option 5b

Agent ID:

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree

Reason for comment:

Agree but only within the criteria described in our comment to Option 5a.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hatcher 106751

Part of document: Option 6a

Agent ID:

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree

Reason for comment:

Agree but 80% should be regarded as a minimum. Development in rural areas in excess of this target would result in a greater proportion of housing in rural areas than urban areas that currently exists.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hatcher  
106751

Part of document: Option 7a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Disagree because this would mean that those settlements that are fortunate to have hung on to a service (eg a shop or a Doctor's surgery) or accessibility (eg bus service) will, under this policy, be targeted for destruction whilst those that have not would appear to be completely immune. Whenever development is demand led, economic principles apply and appropriate services and accessibilities tend to follow. Surely the policy should be to encourage development in the existing towns (where all services, accessibility and employment already exists) and not to destroy the smaller local communities by inundating them with development.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hatcher  
106751

Part of document: Option 7b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

See our comments to 7a

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hatcher  
106751

Part of document: Option 7c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

See our comments to 7a
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hatcher
106751
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Would love to agree, but living in the real world how would these shops and public houses be supported if they could not survive commercially?

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hatcher
106751
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 9c
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hatcher
106751
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Disagree, see our comments to Q 5a. We strongly believe that no development should be on the edge of any settlement (town or village) until all possibilities for development within that settlement have been exhausted.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hatcher
106751
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 21b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Disagree. the prospect of having 260-430 extra houses imposed on Five Ash Down, over and above the 140 already approved for the Grampian site (ie a potential 570), would be nothing short of the rape of what is at the moment a small community. It would thus become indistinguishable from Uckfield itself.
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Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hatcher 106751
Part of document: ☑ option Option 21c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Disagree, as stated in our answer to Q 5a, we consider that before any further development is even contemplated, the boundaries of Buxted settlement as they currently exist should be clearly defined and ring-fenced. Any future development outside this boundary should only be approved when it can be proved beyond all reasonable doubt that the development could not reasonably be expected to be within the boundaries there not could it in any way impair on the rural nature of the area in general. We believe that once this boundary has been established it will become apparent that there is plenty of scope for infilling within its confines without ruining the nature of the community. Whilst we have no land that could possibly be used for infilling, we have had infilling around us and have lost none of our amenities.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hatcher 106751
Part of document: ☑ option Option 21c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Any future development within Buxted or any of the other settlements in the High Weald should be on a community-led demand basis only and not to satisfy the ever increasing greed of the larger developers. A rough count of the number of houses that have been imposed on Buxted Parish by the larger developers over the past 20 years would amount to around 150. The Parish is about to have a further 140 imposed upon it at the Grampian site, almost as many, in one hit, as its had over the past 20 years. The plan itself proposes a further 460 in Five Ash Down plus another 150 in Buxted Village itself over the next 15 years or so. It must therefore be well beyond time to call a halt to any large scale development (say more than 3 or 4 house on any one site) that does not count against the numbers rimposed within the Wealden Plan.

Consultee ID: MR HARPER 106752
Part of document: ☑ option Option 14a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Not enough local facilities to cope
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR HARPER</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106752</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter □ section  ✔️ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Not enough local facilities to cope. This would destroy Ringles Cross which already has too much traffic.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Baxter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106753</td>
<td>Arlington Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  ✔️ chapter □ section  □ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Wealden's Landscape

Your View  ✔️ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Baxter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106753</td>
<td>Arlington Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter □ section  ✔️ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ✔️ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Baxter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106753</td>
<td>Arlington Parish Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter □ section  ✔️ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

A general comment on the Hailsham/Eastbourne Triangle Arlington Parish Council was somewhat surprised to learn of an “action plan” for a Hailsham Eastbourne triangle. This concept has not as far as this Council is aware been subject to any previous planning consultations and was not included in any of the consultations leading up to the adoption of the non statutory local plan in December 2005. Arlington Parish Council is opposed to the imposition of development upon this area which includes Arlington without a full planning consultation as is envisaged under current planning legislation.

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter             Agent ID:
106753           Arlington Parish Council
Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 8b
Section of Document: 
Your View   ✓ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter             Agent ID:
106753           Arlington Parish Council
Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View   ✓ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter             Agent ID:
106753           Arlington Parish Council
Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 15a
Section of Document: 
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter             Agent ID:
106753           Arlington Parish Council
Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 15b
Section of Document: 
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106753 Mrs Baxter Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106753 Mrs Baxter Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106753 Mrs Baxter Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106753 Mrs Baxter Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter

Part of document: Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter

Part of document: Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter

Part of document: Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter

Part of document: Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter  
106753 Arlington Parish Council  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16c

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter  
106753 Arlington Parish Council  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16d

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter  
106753 Arlington Parish Council  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16f

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter  
106753 Arlington Parish Council  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16g

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Page 3478 of 6217
Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter  
106753  Arlington Parish Council

Part of document:  ☒ option

Section of Document:

Question 16i

Your View  ☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter  
106753  Arlington Parish Council

Part of document:  ☒ option

Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter  
106753  Arlington Parish Council

Part of document:  ☒ option

Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter  
106753  Arlington Parish Council

Part of document:  ☒ option

Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Baxter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106753 Arlington Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Baxter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106753 Arlington Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Baxter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106753 Arlington Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Baxter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106753 Arlington Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
f) Upper Dicker Arlington Parish Council consider that between 25 -30 new houses – a balance between low cost market housing and affordable housing could be sustained in this village. Any new development should be targeted to benefit existing residents and their offspring. Arlington Parish Council also consider that Arlington Village could also sustain a small increase of low cost market housing benefiting existing residents and their offspring. This could be achieved through in filling.
Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 25b
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25e
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25d
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter</th>
<th>Agent ID: Arlington Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 25f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter</th>
<th>Agent ID: Arlington Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 25g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter</th>
<th>Agent ID: Arlington Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 26a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter</th>
<th>Agent ID: Arlington Parish Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 26b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter  
Arlington Parish Council

Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Baxter
106753 Arlington Parish Council
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 28c

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Your View

Reason for comment:

It is vitally important to maintain the existing characteristics of the Wealden villages and towns. The local landscape features and environment should be preserved whenever possible and there is a need to try and encourage as much housing as possible for local people in rural areas.
Arlington Parish Council would like to see the Cuckmere River Valley -from Michelham Priory to the sea – protected in order to preserve its unique characteristics. Arlington Parish Council is of the opinion that any monies generated from 106 Agreements should stay in the areas or locality in which it was generated for the improvement of local amenities/infrastructure.

It is vitally important to maintain the existing characteristics of the Wealden villages and towns. The local landscape features and environment should be preserved whenever possible and there is a need to try and encourage as much housing as possible for local people in rural areas.

Please leave our countryside alone -more and more of it is being lost to these developments and in the long run no one will benefit - least of all the wildlife etc.
Consultee ID: Mrs Holloway  
106754

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 14b

Reason for comment:
Why spoil such a beautiful area - the roads and services will simply not be able to cope with any more developments of this nature.

Consultee ID: Ms Holzherr  
106756

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question 5c

Reason for comment:
Respondent proposes that the garden of 17 Post Horn Lane is included in the development boundary of Forest Row and is considered for development.

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow  
106758

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question One

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
Only certain buildings should be allowed that are in keeping with the surrounding areas.

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow  
106758

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 3d

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Consultee ID: 106758

Option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View: [☑ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☐ Disagree]
Reason for comment: Everyone should try and do their bit to improve the carbon footprint.

Option 2b
Section of Document:
Your View: [☑ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☐ Disagree]
Reason for comment:

Option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View: [☑ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☐ Disagree]
Reason for comment: Farmers need to diversify to make a living.

Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View: [☑ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☐ Disagree]
### Option 3c

**Consultee ID:** 106758
**Mrs Dumelow**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 4a

**Consultee ID:** 106758
**Mrs Dumelow**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 4b

**Consultee ID:** 106758
**Mrs Dumelow**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 4c

**Consultee ID:** 106758
**Mrs Dumelow**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Consultee ID: 106758

Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Consultee ID: 106758

Part of document: Question 4e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Consultee ID: 106758

Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Only within reason.

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Consultee ID: 106758

Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: With strict conditions.
Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document: 
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document: 
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Part of document: Option 7a
Section of Document: 
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Part of document: Option 7b
Section of Document: 
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View
   ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View
   ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View
   ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View
   ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow  Agent ID: 106758

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow  Agent ID: 106758

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow  Agent ID: 106758

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow  Agent ID: 106758

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option
  
**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option
  
**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option
  
**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option
  
**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow  
106758

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow  
106758

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow  
106758

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow  
106758

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
106758

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
106758

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
106758

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
106758

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>Tick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>Tick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>Tick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>Tick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
106758

Part of document:  ■ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View  ■ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
106758

Part of document:  ■ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  ■ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
106758

Part of document:  ■ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  ■ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
106758

Part of document:  ■ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ■ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106758</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106758</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106758</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106758</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
106758

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
106758

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
106758

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
106758

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Agent ID: 106758

Part of document: 
_option

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Agent ID: 106758

Part of document: 
_option

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Agent ID: 106758

Part of document: 
_option

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Agent ID: 106758

Part of document: 
_option

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Dumelow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Dumelow</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Dumelow</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Dumelow</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 28b

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Part of document: ☑ option
Reason for comment:

Option 28c

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Part of document: ☑ option
Reason for comment:

Question Twenty Nine

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Part of document: ☑ option
Reason for comment:

Question Thirty

Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
Part of document: ☑ option
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Dumelow
106758

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown
106759

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Part 2

Section of Document: Wealden's Landscape

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Increased traffic produces increased pollution which negates any renewable energy benefits

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown
106759

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown
106759

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Existing settlement boundaries should be maintained in small villages.
Consultee ID: Mr. Brown 106759

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:
Question 14i

Option 16c

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Uckfield is more suitable for large scale development.

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown 106759

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 16a

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Major development should be confined around existing public transport corridors.

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown 106759

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:
Question 15g

Option 16a

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Hailsham is more suitable for large scale development.

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown 106759

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 16a

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Major development should be confined around existing public transport corridors.
Consultee ID: Mr. Brown
106759
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16b
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Major development should be confined around existing public transport corridors.

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown
106759
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16d
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Major development should be confined around existing public transport corridors.

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown
106759
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Major development should be confined around existing public transport corridors.

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown
106759
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Major development should be confined around existing public transport corridors.
Major development should be confined around existing public transport corridors.

Polegate is more suitable for large scale development.

After the reinstatement of the Lewes - Uckfield line.

This would increase traffic on the A22.
Consultee ID: Mr. Brown
106759

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document: Option 18b

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
This would increase traffic on the A22.

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown
106759

Part of document: Option 18d

Section of Document: Option 18d

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
This would increase traffic on the A22.

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown
106759

Part of document: Option 18e

Section of Document: Option 18e

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
This would increase traffic on the A22.

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown
106759

Part of document: Option 18f

Section of Document: Option 18f

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
This would increase traffic on the A22.
Consultee ID: Mr. Brown  
106759

Part of document:  
Option 18i  
Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
Confine major development around existing public transport corridors.

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown  
106759

Part of document:  
Option 18j  
Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
continue major development around existing public transport corridors

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown  
106759

Part of document:  
Part 6  
Section of Document:  
Wealden's Communities

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☑ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
The A22 cannot support significant development unless it is substantially upgraded.

Consultee ID: Mr. Brown  
106759

Part of document:  
Option 24a  
Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
No greater
Mr. Brown

Option 25d

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree

Reason for comment:

Mr & Mrs Withers

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
We disagree in principle because AONB’s are vital to preserve the character and health of our country and once they start to be eroded we will never regain them. HOWEVER, if proven without doubt, that additional housing is needed to sustain communities and ensure their future eg local young people can grow up, marry and raise their families in the same area where they themselves were brought up, then there should be some scope for small scale sympathetic development within existing village or town boundaries.

Mr & Mrs Withers

Option 3d

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree

Reason for comment:

Mr & Mrs Withers

Option 2a

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree

Reason for comment:
agree in principle but not if this will increase basic cost of properties or have a detrimental visual affect on the area.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Insufficient information to even consider whether this is possible

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All depends on the local needs. If the industry is "clean" ie light commercial and not heavy industrial, and there is proven need of additional housing in the locality then it would seem sensible to use part of the allocated area for dwellings rather than encroach on other greener sites. We would however stress that any such option should be pursued with the utmost care in balancing the employment needs of the area with that of accomodation.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 5a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑  Agree  
☐  No opinion  
☐  Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Boundaries should only be expanded if there is a real local need and then only after extensive sympathetic local consultation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 5b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑  Agree  
☐  No opinion  
☐  Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

#### Question 5c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐  Agree  
☐  No opinion  
☑  Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Each case must be treated on its merits and decisions made only after intensive discussion with existing local inhabitants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 6a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑  Agree  
☐  No opinion  
☐  Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

...
Whilst services and accessibility are important, each village has a different balance of features that are more crucial to their particular structure and character.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 7b  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
see previous comment. This is a recursive question because the growth criteria include an important contribution from service and compatibility components.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 7d  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
There should be incentives or other approaches to endeavour to maintain existing usage of properties fulfilling local services.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Question 7e  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Any credence in the classifications detailed was nullified when we reviewed the "Draft Settlement Strategy for the Villages". See Matrix B page 45 in relation to Cross in Hand and compare this with the current situation in the village. Also other data relating to Waldron obviously relates to Waldron/Heathfield and is therefore not a true representation of the facts.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 9a  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 9b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 9c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>It is not possible to make such generalisations. Each situation must be judged on its own particular needs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Question 12h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>perhaps these objectives could be prioritised before considering housing schemes as they will clarify employment potential and consequently housing needs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106760
Mr & Mrs Withers

Part of document: Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
It is impractical to even consider an additional 20 dwellings on the High Street as it is already a bottleneck for traffic.

Consultee ID: 106760
Mr & Mrs Withers

Part of document: Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
although again subject to extensive local consultation

Consultee ID: 106760
Mr & Mrs Withers

Part of document: Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
In principle but the lower figure only (150) and with sensitive design and siting.

Consultee ID: 106760
Mr & Mrs Withers

Part of document: Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Not a practical proposition as commented on by other respondents
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 12e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

With reluctance, but if this has been cited in the past, would appear more appropriate than some other more sensitive options.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 12f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

If new housing is needed this would seem one of the least offensive areas but the number of houses suggested seems excessive. Care must be taken if siting the development on an aquifer especially in the current concerns about water shortages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

If new housing is needed this would seem one of the least offensive areas but the number of houses suggested seems excessive. Care must be taken if siting the development on an aquifer especially in the current concerns about water shortages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It seems that government has decided that a football ground can be built at Falmer. Perhaps a new village would be more appropriate on that site instead!!!!!!!!!!! Where are the priorities.....?

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Limited potential only

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106760
Mr & Mrs Withers

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 106760
Mr & Mrs Withers

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 106760
Mr & Mrs Withers

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 106760
Mr & Mrs Withers

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:
agree in principle for sensitive development

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers  
106760

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Cannot make such generalisation
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

The logical answer is to agree to this statement but it does not qualify the actual number of dwellings and will clearly depend on additional factors relevant to individual cases.

Option 24d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

The logical answer is to agree to this statement but it does not qualify the actual number of dwellings and will clearly depend on additional factors relevant to individual cases.

Option 25a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

type of housing required depends on demand in each particular area.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers
106760

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers
106760

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers
106760

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Withers
106760

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conseree ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**
Option 25g

**Your View**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conseree ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**
Option 27b

**Your View**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conseree ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**
Option 28a

**Your View**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conseree ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Withers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106760</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**
Option 28b

**Your View**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---
Option 28c

Reason for comment:
Development should not be purely sports focused. What about the arts, music, theatre etc. There are very few appropriate performance spaces for community groups in the High or Low Weald towns and villages.

Question Twenty Nine

Reason for comment:
Agree, but only if the development is preceded by development of appropriate infrastructure.

Question Thirty

Reason for comment:
Not possible to comment on all parts of these proposals in a single answer.
Reason for comment:

It is almost impossible to disagree with the sentiments in the 'preliminary spatial vision' - they are 'motherhood and apple pie' statements that it is impossible to contradict. We are more concerned about the practicality of this vision and whether it can be delivered in an environment which will be largely driven by developers, higher authorities, national government etc. The continued character of Wealden will depend critically on the 'sensitive integration' of developments into local areas, and considerable profit- and greed-driven pressures will work against this. How are we to be assured of the continued attention to 'sensitive integration' over a ten-year period? How will the inevitable setting of precedents with unforeseen detrimental consequences be managed?

Reason for comment:

Unable to comment sensibly on 14 objectives within one question, and no option for 'no opinion'.
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Consultee ID: Mrs. Tebutt
Consultee ID: 106761
Part of document: Chapter
Section of Document: Context
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Copwood Farm Uckfield East sussex TN22 5TY. Head of Planning & Environmental policy Wealden District Council Council Offices Pine Grove Crowborough East Sussex TN6 1DH 12th August 2007
Dear Sir, Wealden District Council: Proposed structure plan I am writing on behalf of my family to comment favourably on the recently published structure plan. I understand that Copwood Farm is designated as one of the 'main areas of search for development' to the west of the Uckfield bypass (A22). Clearly any expansion on this site has the following advantages: Existing infrastructure which can be utilised to facilitate access into any new development from the bypass at Copwood roundabout Proximity to existing industrial and housing developments which have taken place in the past thirty years The potential to facilitate projected requirements for mixed use developments through a strategy of consolidation, rather than piecemeal development. In broader terms, I understand that Isfield is also identified as one village among a number of possible sites which may be suitable for a planned increase in housing. I further understand that Wealden District council is required to respond to central government planning policy which: 'requires development in rural areas to take place primarily in those villages which are the focal points for local services.' Isfield appears to have a particular advantage in this respect as it will benefit from the proposed reinstatement of the rail link between Uckfield and Lewes. This will add considerable value to any local policy initiatives designed to reduce dependence upon private vehicle use. Naturally, however any proposed development at this site would need to be adequately supported by infrastructure, including a new primary school and an upgraded village hall and under fives play area. Yours Faithfully Mrs G Tebbutt

Consultee ID: Mrs. Wills
Consultee ID: 106762
Part of document: Option 18i
Section of Document: Option 18i
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:

Infrastructure is not adequate. A27 is unable to cope with existing traffic Addition medical facilities would be required
Consultee ID: Mrs. Wills
Part of document: Option 18j
Section of Document: 
Reason for comment: Infrastructure is not adequate A27 is unable to cope. Additional medical facilities would be required.

Consultee ID: newman
Part of document: Option 18b
Section of Document: 
Reason for comment: I feel that Halland needs some kind of community which would improve the services for everybody.

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON
Part of document: Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Reason for comment: No point in designating AONB areas and developing them.

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON
Part of document: Option 2a
Section of Document: 
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON  
Part of document: Option 2b
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON  
Part of document: Option 4a
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON  
Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON  
Part of document: Option 4c
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: 


Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON 106766
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4d
Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
But not to West of A22

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON 106766
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 6a
Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
It should be at least 90/95% as towns already have an Infrastructure to accommodate the increases.

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON 106766
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 6d
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
See 6a at least 90% should be added to towns as the infrastructure is already in place.

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON 106766
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7a
Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106766</th>
<th>MR HOUSTON</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106766</th>
<th>MR HOUSTON</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106766</th>
<th>MR HOUSTON</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106766</th>
<th>MR HOUSTON</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON
Agent ID: 106766

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON
Agent ID: 106766

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON
Agent ID: 106766

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON
Agent ID: 106766

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106766

Part of document: option
Options:
- Option 15f
- Option 16a
- Option 16b
- Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106766

Part of document: option
Options:
- Option 16a
- Option 16b
- Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106766

Part of document: option
Options:
- Option 16b
- Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106766

Part of document: option
Options:
- Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON Agent ID: 106766

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 16d

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON Agent ID: 106766

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 16f

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON Agent ID: 106766

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 16g

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON Agent ID: 106766

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Question 16i

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR HOUSTON</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106766</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 17a**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View:** [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

- **Reason for comment:** [ ]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR HOUSTON</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106766</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 17b**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View:** [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

- **Reason for comment:** [ ]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR HOUSTON</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106766</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 17c**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View:** [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

- **Reason for comment:** [ ]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR HOUSTON</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106766</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18c**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View:** [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

- **Reason for comment:** [ ]
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON 106766
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON 106766
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON 106766
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON 106766
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON
106766
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON
106766
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Already over congested area, close to proposed national park and existing AONB

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON
106766
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Totally inappropriate. Berwick is a small rural community and these proposals would destroy the whole area within at least a 5 mile radius. Many of the new dwellings would be purchased as holiday/second homes thus adding nothing and taking away a great deal.

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON
106766
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Those seeking affordable housing need to be close to work opportunities and not 'out in the sticks' which will only increase transport costs, carbon footprint and road traffic in their efforts to get to employment opportunities.
Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option  

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option  

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option  

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- document  
- chapter  
- section  
- option  

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON  
Agent ID: 106766  
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 28a  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON  
Agent ID: 106766  
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 28b  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON  
Agent ID: 106766  
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 28c  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: MR HOUSTON  
Agent ID: 106766  
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question Twenty Nine  
Section of Document: Housing Delivery  
Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment: Planning approval should include infrastructure development in tandem
Consultee ID: Mr Rowling
106767 Local Resident

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All new building must meet a requirement of generating at least 20% of its energy from renewable sources and should be increased after 3 years

Consultee ID: Mr Rowling
106767 Local Resident

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The village is built up to the current development boundaries. Any further building will mean using green field sites and add to the general erosion of the area as it now exists. Traffic through the village is very heavy at most times of the day and completely up to the limit during the weekends. Evening rush hour has the village isolated, with jams extending up to the Brambletye Bends (I have commuted to Horsham for the last 3 years and have had daily experience of these traffic horrors!). The main roads systems in the immediate area cannot take any permanent additional load. The East Grinstead Bypass will only push the same traffic problems further South to Forest Row and Nutley.
Consultee ID: Mr Rowling
106767
Local Resident

Part of document: Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View
☒ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I ticked the wrong circle when I filled in the question just now. Please amend my representation to DISAGREE for the reasons I have already stated.

Consultee ID: Mr Bottomley
106768

Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View
☒ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If it accepted that 8,000 - 10,000 houses are needed in Wealden they should be distributed as widely as possible throughout the area to minimise the impact on any one area. The designation of AONB is highly subjective and its allocation has been with a very broad brush. New housing should be predominately "affordable". The market can take care of the desires of people in a position to look for larger or second homes. However developments should be on a small scale to avoid the creation of sink estates.

Consultee ID: Mr Bottomley
106768

Part of document: Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View
☒ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The mix between towns and villages should remain broadly unchanged. Villages must be allowed some development or they will stagnate, but development of both towns and villages should be in proportion to their present size.
Option 8d

**Reason for comment:**
Development of this area would seriously damage the utility of the new South Downs National Park, ruining the view northwards from most of Wealden's section of the Park. Large new towns rarely add value to an area as they are created without a supply of local employment or infrastructure to attract wealth.

Option 15f

**Reason for comment:**

Option 16a

**Reason for comment:**

Option 16b

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bottomley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106768</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bottomley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106768</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bottomley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106768</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bottomley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106768</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Bottomley</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106768</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18b
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**
**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [x]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bottomley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106768</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18d
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**
**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [x]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bottomley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106768</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18e
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**
**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [x]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bottomley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106768</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18f
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [x]

**Section of Document:**
**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [x]

**Reason for comment:**
Large new developments that meet the need for affordable housing in Wealden will be created without considering local employment. They are not likely to enhance Wealden.
Consultee ID: 106768
Part of document: Option 23e
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106768
Part of document: Option 27d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106769
Part of document: Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Yes, very limited development subject to Parish Council approval

Consultee ID: 106769
Part of document: Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
We agree with option 3b
Consultee ID: Mr Bower
106769 Ninfield Parish Council
Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We agree with Option 2a, provided it does not include wind turbines.

Consultee ID: Mr Bower
106769 Ninfield Parish Council
Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree with option 2a

Consultee ID: Mr Bower
106769 Ninfield Parish Council
Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We agree with option 3b

Consultee ID: Mr Bower
106769 Ninfield Parish Council
Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We agree with option 3b
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106769</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
<td>102493</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3c**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
We agree with option 3b

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106769</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
<td>102493</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 4a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
We understand that you have already chosen Option 4b

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106769</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
<td>102493</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 6a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
We prefer Option 6a

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106769</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
<td>102493</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 6b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
We prefer option 6a
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Bower Agent ID: Mr Bower
106769 Ninfield Parish Council 102493 Ninfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 6c

Reason for comment:
We prefer option 6a

Consultee ID: Mr Bower Agent ID: Mr Bower
106769 Ninfield Parish Council 102493 Ninfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 7e

Reason for comment:
We don't have sufficient information to answer this question

Consultee ID: Mr Bower Agent ID: Mr Bower
106769 Ninfield Parish Council 102493 Ninfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
We can't answer this question as it is open ended

Consultee ID: Mr Bower Agent ID: Mr Bower
106769 Ninfield Parish Council 102493 Ninfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 18g

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106769</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
<td>102493</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 18k**

**Reason for comment:**
The only new settlement option that we would support is 18g, Hellingly Hospital. All other options should be referred to the local Parish Councils for their recommendations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106769</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
<td>102493</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 19f**

**Reason for comment:**
We would support any development decisions taken by the Parish Councils involved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106769</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
<td>102493</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 20d**

**Reason for comment:**
Dont know - Ask the Parish Councils

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106769</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
<td>102493</td>
<td>Ninfield Parish Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 21g**

**Reason for comment:**
Dont know - Ask the Parish Councils
Consultee ID: 106769  Mr Bower  Ninfield Parish Council
Agent ID: 102493  Mr Bower  Ninfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 22e

Reason for comment:
Dont know - Ask the Parish Councils

Consultee ID: 106769  Mr Bower  Ninfield Parish Council
Agent ID: 102493  Mr Bower  Ninfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 23d

Reason for comment:
Suitable for very small scale development only. Local Primary School already full. Infrastructure poor. No gas, limited water supply and village roads overloaded (Ninfield is the Bexhill By-Pass).

Consultee ID: 106769  Mr Bower  Ninfield Parish Council
Agent ID: 102493  Mr Bower  Ninfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 24a

Reason for comment:
We prefer option 24a for towns with a very much lower density for villages

Consultee ID: 106769  Mr Bower  Ninfield Parish Council
Agent ID: 102493  Mr Bower  Ninfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 25a

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Bower  
106769 Ninfield Parish Council 
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option 
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree 

Reason for comment: 
We think this option is preferable 

Consultee ID: Mr Bower  
106769 Ninfield Parish Council 
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option 
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree 

Reason for comment: 
We think option 26a is preferable 

Consultee ID: Mr Bower  
106769 Ninfield Parish Council 
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option 
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree 

Reason for comment: 
The A27 should be dualled - this is perfectly realistic 

Consultee ID: Mr Bower  
106769 Ninfield Parish Council 
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option 
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree 

Reason for comment: 
Providing it is a manned car park (CCTV not sufficient)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27f</th>
<th>Option 28a</th>
<th>Option 28b</th>
<th>Option 28c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Don't understand the question</td>
<td></td>
<td>If possible, after cost of affordable housing and other planning gain is taken into account</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr Bower

Ninfield Parish Council

Part of document: Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:
Yes - and much more required

Mr Bower

Ninfield Parish Council

Part of document: Question 31a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Too many new houses - but infrastructure improvements are sorely needed for the existing population of wealden

Mr Bower

Ninfield Parish Council

Part of document: Question 32a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Yes - except for SO5 and we dont understand SO11

Mr Bower

Ninfield Parish Council

Part of document: Part 10

Section of Document: Details of How to Comment

Reason for comment:
I have found filling in this consultation very difficult, as on a number of questions are hard to answer given the options you are given or difficult to interpret given limited information. I am writing on behalf of Ninfield parish Council, who have held a meeting to discuss the document, and have found it difficult to comment on a number of areas - and on a number wanted to record a 'no comment' or 'dont know' response - which would give you a more rounded picture of the respondents feelings.
Consultee ID: Mr Rogers  
Agent ID: 106770

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rogers  
Agent ID: 106770

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rogers  
Agent ID: 106770

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Rogers  
Agent ID: 106770

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I disagree to any developments. I disagree that this has good services of public transport. In fact for a rural area I would say one bus into Crowborough an hour is poor and although the train service has improved only Uckfield to the south and East Croydon to the north offer the consumer anything. Walshes Road leading into Western Road is already a "rat run" for vehicles including large HGV vehicles for access to industrial estates in Jarvis Brook. Travelling down Western Road is at the best of times difficult. Even the slightest increase in traffic in this area would be catastrophic. The council should investigate traffic control methods in this area as a matter of urgency as the road is congested enough as it is.
Mr Rogers  

Part of document:  
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Public transport has to be improved. HGV and heavy traffic using Walshes Road is unacceptable. Western Road traffic situation is unacceptable as it stands. Amenities in Jarvis Brook are poor. Charity Shops and take away restaurants offer the community a service but I would not accept that these facilities offer varied consumer choice as it is without the proposed development a further 1200 dwellings in the area

Mr Tullett  

Part of document:  
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

there are no words that can express why this shouldn't happen. have the planners noticed the hill carving.
Option 18j

Reason for comment:
this is an area of natural beauty with views too and from the long man of willmington a developement of this size would totally destroy the village and the surrounding countryside, with an increase in road use. i am toatally against this suggestion for the following reasons:- there is not the infra structure to accomodate this sort of developement. there is not the health care services locally to cope. the train service cannot cope at present any increase would have a dramatic effect. there are no local services (i suppose the brown enveleopes from tesco's cannot be far behind) building on a flood plain ( again )

Question 18k

Reason for comment:
all these proposals show that the planners sole solution is to concrete over Sussex. perhaps they should learn that we are reaching saturation point and that we should stop creating the supply. the reason why there is a demand for housing is because this is a nice place to live and would be totally destroyed by further building, i have witessed the destruction of parts of dorset by these sort of short sighted developements with whole indiginous populations be ostichised by the new comers and then dying out. This is a recipe for disaster we are here to protect the countryside for future generations not turn it into shoddy housing estates.

Part 1

Reason for comment:
Very pleased that Wealden has enabled stakeholders to respond at the very earliest stage.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Clark</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106773</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Reason for comment:**
There is already too much creeping development in the AONB. There is a loss of tranquility and even the sky is bright at night from intruding light spillage from the towns.

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Clark

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 3d

**Reason for comment:**
Its the only way to provide for sustainable communities.

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Clark

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 2b

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Clark

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 3a

**Reason for comment:**
Reason for comment:

Option 3b
But this is not an excuse to allow buildings of no merit in the countryside to become offices or industrial units. This encourages urbanization of the countryside. It encourages extra traffic and as lorries get bigger and bigger they cause damage to overhanging vegetation and to the edge of the lanes. It’s getting difficult to walk the lanes safely now.

Reason for comment:

Option 5a
But only to provide for proven affordable housing for local people.

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Clark</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106773</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>As it will be the thin edge of the sword!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Clark</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106773</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question 5c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>It is important that the market towns and villages retain their individual identity. And that they do not merge. Nor that we allow for creeping urbanization.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Clark</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106773</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question 5c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Generally settlement boundaries should stay unless it is the residents wish to expand their town or village in which case it should be done in such a way as to ensure the character of the place is not lost and should only be done to provide affordable housing or smaller houses to meet proven local need.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Clark</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106773</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question 6d</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>The growth should be 50% in towns and 50% in the rural areas. This is the current split of population and that should be retained in order to retain the current feel to Wealden of a rural district with a number of market towns.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New housing should be 50% in the towns and 50% in the villages. This is the current division of population and it would maintain the distinctive feel of Wealden as a rural area with a few market towns and a number of villages.

Villages with infant and junior schools should take some growth. This will sustain the viability of the local school. Also it will decrease traffic which often travels considerable distance in order to attend a rural school. This is not sustainable.
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Part of document:  

Consultee ID:
Mrs Clark
106773

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✓ option

Option 9c

Section of Document:

Agent ID:

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

Reason for comment:

Your View

Consultee ID:
Mrs Clark
106773

Part of document: □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✓ option

Question Ten

Section of Document:

Agent ID:

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

Reason for comment:
But only if the higher density units are really well designed to allow for tranquil enjoyment.

Your View

Consultee ID:
Mrs Clark
106773

Part of document: □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✓ option

Option 11a

Section of Document:

Agent ID:

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

Reason for comment:
Should be seen as an integral part of an emerging plan to regenerate Crowborough which is looking very uninviting at present.

Your View

Consultee ID:
Mrs Clark
106773

Part of document: □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✓ option

Option 11b

Section of Document:

Agent ID:

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 11c

Reason for comment:
But no housing on this site. The agreed non statutory plan designation of this area for sports should be reintroduced. Crowborough is an expanding town and lacks quality sports facilities. It is unsustainable to say that residents can access sporting facilities in T Wells and Eastbourne. The PPG 17 document is extremely poor.

Option 11d

Reason for comment:
Generally there is poor access. The roads already take heavy traffic already. A roundabout would increase the urbanization of this area of Crowborough and would be totally unacceptable. This area lacks amenities. This area is too far from the Town Centre. Any development would have to be on the Kemps Farm side only and would have to be carefully designed with maximum attention to the landscape.

Option 11e

Reason for comment:
There are significant social problems already for this area. It lacks facilities. It is too far away from the Town Centre facilities. It is too far away from Beacon Community College.
Reason for comment:
Crowborough has already taken much extra new housing already. Much of it inappropriate and not addressing housing need. 5.18 I do not recognise as being correct. The infrastructure has no spare capacity. Beacon Community College we understand will be full in two years. There are long delays for traffic around the Cross which makes the High St horrible to walk along. There has been considerable infill. The loss of the fairground to development will remove a green lung. Few new trees or hedges are being planted. The country market town feel is being whittled away.
Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

but I would hope that THE community facility would be achieved long before the core strategy is approved and not be dependent on it. The community has waited long enough. The aspiration of providing choice and quality of shops is great but how will this be achieved? The building of Morrsions with the car park between the shop and the town's High St was a dreadful planning mistake. The Fernbank is a large festering boil. I suspect the shops will remain empty as they are too large and therefore expensive for small specialist shop owners to hire. The ground floor entrance through the car park and the design of the Fernbank is reminiscent of the worst of 60s urban design and has no place in a market town in Wealden. I find it difficult to be positive about the High St. I would like to see a museum. I think future business should be developed south of Crowborough on the A26. Crowborough can take no further development which impacts on Green Lane, Western Road, Hurtis Hill, Fermor Road - life is miserable for residents as it is. Crowboroughs special qualities should be enhanced. It's Arcadian I think with a capital please! I think we need more trees to be planted and more trees that in 25 to 50 years will become much loved focal points to developments. I don't see what can be done to improve the gateway at JB. It's a complete mess and disappointing as this is a historical area with a magnificent Church which is despoiled by a local business that has turned the corner into an industrial waste. Its always dirty. Please dont forget the other entrances to Crowborough which are also important and I believe look dreadful and certianly dont give the visitor any impression of Crowborough possibly being a quality market town. I support the extension of the Uckfield Lewes line and hope that we can achieve a later evening train service to Crowborough. Crowborough is dominated by the car. There is no positive message about putting the pedestrian first. There is nothing here about limiting the light over Crow which now spills well into the AONB. There is nothing here about providing tranquility. The main roads are very busy and in the evening and nighttime cars are driven at extremely fast speeds. I would like to see some imaginative thinking about the traffic situation with a one way system to the worst effected parts but with suitable design to ensure each road does not become a race track! Crowborough suffers from the worst urban blight which highways calls improvements. These have no place in a market town and have just increased the feel of unsympathetic urbanisation which have resulted in it being more difficult for the pedestrian to walk around town. The town lacks TLC - see the healthcheck aspirations. For example the town signs are all dirty!

Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I would like to see a study undertaken to see if it is a plausable option to build a new settlement before sites are allocated for housing in the current settlements. I think it would be an exciting opportunity and could lead to a coherent design and development rather than the ad hoc developments we are currently getting.
Reason for comment:
All villages and especially those with primary schools should take some development. This should be for affordable housing to meet proven need for local residents.

Reason for comment:
All villages especially with junior schools should take some housing. This should be affordable and provide for local proven need.

Reason for comment:
All villages should take some housing. Especially where there is a local primary school as these need to remain viable. This should be affordable housing to meet local proven need. Or could be small family units. We need to retain the viability of our villages.
All villages should take housing to provide for local proven need, especially where there is a local primary school. This will allow for sustainable communities and enable the retention of amenities. The housing should be affordable or smaller family homes.

Reason for comment:
Housing for elderly relatives should form an integral part of the property. We lack the ability to enforce once the particular need for that family has passed. This could be abused though I am in principle sympathetic.
### Option 27b

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Clark  
**Agent ID:** 106773

**Part of document:** ![ ] document  
![ ] chapter  
![ ] section  
![ ] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![ ]</td>
<td>![ ]</td>
<td>![ ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

> While I would agree in principle the siting is likely to be intrusive. I would prefer a better transport service.

### Option 27d

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Clark  
**Agent ID:** 106773

**Part of document:** ![ ] document  
![ ] chapter  
![ ] section  
![ ] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![ ]</td>
<td>![ ]</td>
<td>![ ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 27e

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Clark  
**Agent ID:** 106773

**Part of document:** ![ ] document  
![ ] chapter  
![ ] section  
![ ] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![ ]</td>
<td>![ ]</td>
<td>![ ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 28a

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Clark  
**Agent ID:** 106773

**Part of document:** ![ ] document  
![ ] chapter  
![ ] section  
![ ] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>![ ]</td>
<td>![ ]</td>
<td>![ ]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Clark</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106773</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 28b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Clark</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106773</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 28c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Clark</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106773</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 31a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Clark</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106773</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 31a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

brpadly
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Consultee ID: Mrs Clark  
106773

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Section of Document: Question 31b

Reason for comment:
There is nothing about providing for the cultural or spiritual quality of life. More emphasis needs to be placed on providing tranquility. This is especially so in the countryside. But we need more large green spaces in our Towns. There is no mention of Quiet Roads or for some lanes being primarily for pedestrians, horse riders, cyclists. Currently many lanes are used by fast moving traffic not prepared to wait for extra lights etc on the main roads. For example if traffic is heavy there are long tail backs to the A26 in Crowborough so people come off at High Hurstwood and use the lanes parallel to access Crowborough. Traffic is a major issue for all residents. ESCC lacks initiative in acquiring monies from development either for its libraries which should become cultural centres and Crow library is very small and also for adult education both to enable local residents to update skills but also to provide opportunity for learning skills that are purely for leisure and relaxation. The local leisure centre needs revitalizing. There is nothing that addresses an aging population.

Consultee ID: Mrs Miles  
106774

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 14a

Reason for comment:
Any development here would cause unacceptable harm to the rural setting of Uckfield and Maresfield and the visual quality, continuity and rural character of the surrounding villages and countryside. Development would result in the unacceptable loss of ancient woodland and native flora and fauna. Uckfield currently has insufficient facilities to support the existing population without further development taking place.

Consultee ID: Mrs Miles  
106774

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 14b

Reason for comment:
Any development here would cause unacceptable harm to the rural setting of Uckfield and Maresfield and the visual quality, continuity and rural character of the surrounding villages and countryside. Development would result in the unacceptable loss of ancient woodland and native flora and fauna. Uckfield currently has insufficient facilities to support the existing population without further development taking place.
Consultee ID: 106776  Mr Clark  
Consultee ID: 106778  Mr Trippett  

Part of document: Option 14a
Option 14b
Option 20b

Reason for comment:

My wife and I are alarmed by the prospect of relatively large housing projects in the village of Wadhurst. The proposed developments within and around the centre of Wadhurst would be served by an infrastructure that is already overstretched. The traffic situation is bad at rush hour periods and for some time before and afterwards as people travel through and from the village. Crossing roads for parents with children involves taking risks with fast moving traffic on the main and side 'rat-run' routes, such routes appearing to be situated in or adjoining sites of proposed development. Supplies of gas, water and electricity will be needed in far greater quantity than at present. We seem to have a large number of works and disruption to the roads by the repairs on roads, and the cables and pipes lying beneath them, this may be exacerbated. We worry that local businesses will suffer as the village gets more clogged up with traffic travelling through Wadhurst. The car parking facilities are not great and frequently are insufficient, so people will travel through wadhurst to get to a larger town or out of town supermarket able to serve their needs. This will add to wear and tear on the town in terms of traffic pollution and noise pollution whilst detracting from the 'Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty' status that hitherto has protected the area. Would the local service centre of Wadhurst become a sterile characterless environment? We fear that the suggestions made by Wealden district Council would make it more so, indirectly is not directly.
Consultee ID: Mr Miles

Part of document: Option 14a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Any development here would cause unacceptable harm to the rural setting of Uckfield and Maresfield and the visual quality, continuity and rural character of the surrounding villages and countryside. Development would result in the unacceptable loss of ancient woodland and native flora and fauna. Uckfield currently has insufficient facilities to support its existing population without further development taking place, particularly in view of cutbacks to our local hospital and lack of NHS dentists etc.

Consultee ID: Mr Miles

Part of document: Option 14b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Any development here would cause unacceptable harm to the rural setting of Uckfield and Maresfield and the visual quality, continuity and rural character of the surrounding villages and countryside. Development would result in the unacceptable loss of ancient woodland and native flora and fauna. Uckfield currently has insufficient facilities to support its existing population without further development taking place, particularly in view of cutbacks to our local hospital and lack of NHS dentists etc.

Consultee ID: Mr Cornish

Part of document: Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The statutory building lines were established back in 1988, and the various changes that will have gradually taken place over the past 18 years make it no longer automatically right that previous criteria for a particular area should simply be rolled forward into what will become de-facto a new statutory plan. The cumulative effect of changes made should be a factor taken into account in any new plan.
A specific example: the amount of in-fill development over the past 20 years in the Warren area of Crowborough - around Old Lane, Glenmore Road, GR East, Goldsmiths Avenue etc - is such that the routing of the building line to exclude properties such as 'Hawkwood South' from being within the development area is all the more incongruous and inconsistent. The line should more sensibly and realistically follow the route of the Ashdown Forest Medieval Pale, ie protecting the Forest itself from developers, but not discriminating against the development of the larger garden sites along Old Lane in a way that is in keeping with the gradual infilling that has taken place since the 1988 Statutary Plan.
I would like to object in the strongest possible terms to the proposed new rail link through Isfield as well as to the proposal for a new settlement in the village. In the case of the railway, either the trains would not stop in the village and would therefore be of no benefit to local people, or there would be a station in Isfield, leading to far more traffic on already over burdened roads and the need to provide a large car park in the centre of the village. The level crossing would make the traffic problem considerably worse as well as effectively cutting the village in half. For these and other reasons the rail link has next to no support in the village.

In the matter of the settlement; Isfield is a truly rural village of a type that is becoming rare in the South East. To develop it in the manner suggested would be entirely to change its character, without benefit either to the current inhabitants or to the putative residents of such a development. There is no prospect of employment in the village so any new residents would have to travel some distance to work, putting further pressure on the road system. There is also the question of insufficient amenities, such as the provision of water which, even if the proposed reservoir at Clayhill is approved, will continue to be inadequate. Apart from the fact that development on the edge of larger villages and towns is a more efficient and energy saving way of connecting new residents with employment and leisure facilities, my understanding is that some of these areas such as Buxted and Polegate would welcome new development and have the existing infrastructure to cope with it. This seems to fit in at the same time with the pattern business investors prefer. We understand the need for a certain amount of growth and for the provision of some affordable housing in the immediate area. A modest number of new dwellings would not be vigorously opposed as long as such a development did not change the essential character of the village.
Part of document:  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The current infrastructure for such a development simply could not cope with the influx of residents that such housing would incur. Halland suffers continuing power cuts, has no main gas supply and with the continuing threat from global warming leading to long hot summers and dry winters the water supply is already an issue in the South East that cannot be ignored. Local GP surgeries, local hospitals, schools and supermarkets within the area are already struggling with the current population. Bringing more people into the local vicinity will only add to their already overstretched capacity. Transport continues to be a huge and contentious issue around Halland. The A22 is the main route in and out of East Sussex and is extremely busy. If each dwelling proposed has two cars, which the average family now have, then the additional cars to our roads will be an enormous strain and lead to even more congestion and pollution. The likelihood is that the people who will live in the new housing development will have to commute as the public transport links are practically non existent. Due to this it will only encourage commuters and will not be for the need of local people who need affordable housing. The knock-on effect of a busy main route will be that people seek alternative routes to beat the congestion and this will only overstretch the smaller local roads, in particular the B2192 that has already become increasingly busy and dangerous in the past few years. Also with no easy public transport links and a huge housing estate harbouring teenagers with nowhere to go, the concern has to be with what this younger generation will have to do that could potentially lead to social problems within the area. Nowhere in the document that proposes the Halland Village expansion does it state where they plan to put the additional new homes. All that has been stated is that ‘open fields around most of Halland not outstanding, especially to the South’. We absolutely contest this idea and feel that the countryside around Halland is outstanding and only adds to the countryside and character of East Sussex. In particular walks to the South of Halland offer uninterrupted views of the South Downs and would be totally ruined with a new housing development. With many changes being made to our countryside there is concern for our already beleaguered wildlife population. The local habitats surrounding Halland are rich and diverse with flora, fauna and wildlife that would be devastated by such a huge expansion to our village. Having looked at the potential proposals for such a housing expansion it would appear that there are other sites that could address some of the issues and concerns we have regarding the area of Halland. Berwick, for example, has good transport links both public and private with its own train station and good links to the A27 and is also near Arlington reservoir. While we appreciate the need for affordable housing and that villages in East Sussex will have to grow to cope with this need, we cannot understand the need for expanding Halland to almost triple its population with a housing plan that seems flawed and so destroying the very essence of Halland itself.
Consultee ID: Mrs Burchett  
Consultant ID: 106785

Part of document: ☑️ option  
Option 18b

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree  ☑️ Disagree

Your View  ☑️ Agree  ☑️ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The current infrastructure for such a development simply could not cope with the influx of residents that such housing would incur. Halland suffers continuing power cuts, has no main gas supply and with the continuing threat from global warming leading to long hot summers and dry winters the water supply is already an issue in the South East that cannot be ignored. Local GP surgeries, local hospitals, schools and supermarkets within the area are already struggling with the current population. Bringing more people into the local vicinity will only add to their already overstretched capacity. Transport continues to be a huge and contentious issue around Halland. The A22 is the main route in and out of East Sussex and is extremely busy. If each dwelling proposed has two cars, which the average family now have, then the additional cars to our roads will be an enormous strain and lead to even more congestion and pollution. The likelihood is that the people who will live in the new housing development will have to commute as the public transport links are practically non existent. Due to this it will only encourage commuters and will not be for the need of local people who need affordable housing. The knock-on effect of a busy main route will be that people seek alternative routes to beat the congestion and this will only overstretch the smaller local roads, in particular the B2192 that has already become increasingly busy and dangerous in the past few years. Also with no easy public transport links and a huge housing estate harbouring teenagers with nowhere to go, the concern has to be with what this younger generation will have to do that could potentially lead to social problems within the area. Nowhere in the document that proposes the Halland Village expansion does it state where they plan to put the additional new homes. All that has been stated is that ‘open fields around most of Halland not outstanding, especially to the South’. We absolutely contest this idea and feel that the countryside around Halland is outstanding and only adds to the countryside and character of East Sussex. In particular walks to the South of Halland offer uninterrupted views of the South Downs and would be totally ruined with a new housing development. With many changes being made to our countryside there is concern for our already beleaguered wildlife population. The local habitats surrounding Halland are rich and diverse with flora, fauna and wildlife that would be devastated by such a huge expansion to our village. Having looked at the potential proposals for such a housing expansion it would appear that there are other sites that could address some of the issues and concerns we have regarding the area of Halland. Berwick, for example, has good transport links both public and private with its own train station and good links to the A27 and is also near Arlington reservoir. While we appreciate the need for affordable housing and that villages in East Sussex will have to grow to cope with this need, we cannot understand the need for expanding Halland to almost triple its population with a housing plan that seems flawed and so destroying the very essence of Halland itself.
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Having looked at the potential proposals for such a housing expansion it would appear that Berwick has good transport links both public and private with its own train station and good links to the A27 and is also near Arlington reservoir.

Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

For any scale of development the incursion into the AONB should be avoided. Exceptionally small scale development at the edge of a village where justified as important to the future vitality and viability of local services might be considered

Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Hillreed support the integration of renewable energy in housebuilding where the technology is maintainable and therefore truly sustainable.
Employment land should be protected. Advice from commercial agents based on past trends should not unduly influence the future spatial provision of employment land in the LDF. The south of the district in particular has long been recognised as underperforming in economic terms but this could easily change in the future if the core strategy vision to stimulate economic interest alongside housebuilding in the south of the district is achieved. The district should look to the future optimistically and not jettison employment land and current allocations based on a pessimistic view from historic trends and a desire for a quick fix of brownfield land to satisfy regional performance targets.

Agree and the assessment of market need should take into account a positive future for employment prospects, based on a regenerative vision, rather than relying on pessimistic past trends. This is exactly the way that Rother has approached the allocation of employment land at North Bexhill despite its poor attractiveness to investors in the past. In Thanet in East Kent this approach has proved successful at the implementation stage too.
Consultee ID: Mr Hume  
106786  
Hillreed Developments Ltd  
Part of document: ☑ option  

Question 4e  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Land east of Battle Road at Hailsham within option 15c could be suitable for office use related to the centralisation of the councils buildings. Also some related workspace related to the proposed 6th form college and adult learning centre could create some synergy between education and the reskilling of the workforce/technological research.

Consultee ID: Mr Hume  
106786  
Hillreed Developments Ltd  
Part of document: ☑ option  

Option 6a  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
The urban focus approach is most consistent with government guidance and planning research.

Consultee ID: Mr Hume  
106786  
Hillreed Developments Ltd  
Part of document: ☑ option  

Option 6c  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Hume  
106786  
Hillreed Developments Ltd  
Part of document: ☑ option  

Question 6d  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hume
106786 Hillreed Developments Ltd

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This will result in effectively a peripheral expansion of Eastbourne and will serve to abandon Hailsham and the smaller rural settlements in more central parts of the district which will become increasingly reliant on this urban centre, leading to longer commuting and congestion, the effects of which will be more acutely felt because of the A27 trunk road.

Consultee ID: Mr Hume
106786 Hillreed Developments Ltd

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
The Core Strategy acknowledges the dependency of Hailsham and Polegate on the Eastbourne economy. Rather than accepting the secondary status of Hailsham relative to Eastbourne the strategy should strive to regenerate Hailsham and make it a much more self contained settlement. The Hailsham focus approach will achieve this. Such an approach is even more important given the dispersed settlement pattern in Wealden district which would mean that if Hailsham becomes a dynamic centre and its status increased it will serve the wider rural catchment area. Hailsham geographically could become the main "node" serving the southern half of the district with Crowborough serving the north, it is better placed to do this than Polegate. This approach also seems logical given that Hailsham will become the administrative centre of the district and growth can help address the recognised deprivation in the south and east wards of the town. The concentration of development at Hailsham has a far better chance of reducing the high amounts of outcommuting of the workforce and loss of household retail expenditure to settlements beyond the district. The past underperformance and lack of economic growth could be changed with an ambitious vision to regenerate the town allied to mixed use development of a significant scale. Alternative approaches that continue to promote the dependency of Hailsham on Eastbourne will lead to future lack of investment and decline.
Option 8d

Reason for comment:
Given the current housing shortfall and long lead in times for new settlements, Hillreed strongly disagree with this option when edge of centre growth opportunities are available notably at Hailsham which sequentially represents the first planning choice.

Option 15a

Reason for comment:

Option 15b

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hume
106786 Hillreed Developments Ltd

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This land is the most accessible to the town centre and therefore in line with Government guidance should be examined before all other greenfield options. Its accessibility to the centre will allow the town to expand and community facilities sited here will therefore be accessible to the widest catchment. This is why the land was allocated in part for mixed development under Policy HA12 of the Non Statutory Local Plan. Proximity to the town centre will result in greater travel on foot and by bike and public transport, with less need for car based journeys thereby reducing congestion. Research by Regeneration Specialists Roger Tym Associates identified that for this site far greater household retail expenditure will be spent in Hailsham's town centre compared with alternative peripheral edge of town sites. This difference could amount to around £1.5million pounds per annum a significant boost to the regeneration of the town. The expansion of the town in this direction will also allow the new community to be better socially integrated with existing residents compared with peripheral alternatives. Opportunities for growth at the edge of settlements are very rare and favoured by the planning system. The town strategically should expand and become more self contained to arrest the dependency on Eastbourne and to stimulate confidence and investment, which in the past has leaked outside the district. This direction of growth allows the town to expand from the centre which will stimulate confidence and allows effective “visionary” land use planning. Public transport improvements could be integrated in this approach with a new route to the centre secured from Battle Road. In landscape terms key views and ecology will be protected and highway studies have shown that a significant scale of development can be accommodated technically within the highway network. No other alternative growth option offers these advantages.

Consultee ID: Mr Hume
106786 Hillreed Developments Ltd

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hume
106786 Hillreed Developments Ltd

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hume
Agent ID: 106786 Hillreed Developments Ltd

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hume
Agent ID: 106786 Hillreed Developments Ltd

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15e
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hume
Agent ID: 106786 Hillreed Developments Ltd

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15f
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hume
Consultee ID: MR DAY
Consultee ID: MR DAY
Consultee ID: MR DAY

Agent ID: Hillreed Developments Ltd
Agent ID: Agent ID:
Agent ID: Agent ID:

Part of document: option
Part of document: option
Part of document: option
Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 16a
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Section of Document: Option 3d
Section of Document: Option 2a

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:

Agree if exactly as phrased in the question- new development should generate 10% of energy savings by insulation values and solar/ground preheating. No invasive constructions allowed.
Consultee ID: 106787

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Until 10% is proven and practical, more is going to add too much to construction costs.

Consultee ID: 106787

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Employment zoned land should be retained as just that - the region needs to attract more commerce. If some is lost the price of remaining zones will increase, making it less viable.

Consultee ID: 106787

Option 3b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106787

Option 4a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Unacceptable encroachment into an undeveloped area.
Consultee ID: 106787

Option 4b
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Unacceptable encroachment into undeveloped area.

Consultee ID: 106787

Option 4c
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Hailsham has a good labour pool and should be encouraged to make use of it locally. Development areas should be infill and not obtrusive.

Consultee ID: 106787

Option 5a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Within tight rules, ie style of development, visibility in attractive areas and local facilities.

Consultee ID: 106787

Option 4d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Hailsham has a good labour pool and should be encouraged to make use of it locally.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MR DAY</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106787</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>In order to meet the large number of new homes (it is claimed there needs to be constructed) to avoid a few large-scale developments there needs to be a modest number of small communities encouraged, which ideally link to and revitalise an existing developed area. The centre lowland area of the region is the obvious target, away from sensitive AONB zones.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MR DAY</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106787</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Towns are better prepared to cope with an increase in population, and in years to come 'personal' transport options may become so limited that towns are the only viable option for many families.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MR DAY</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106787</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MR DAY</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106787</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106787  
Part of document: ☒ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Agree with proviso that local residents are consulted as to their wishes.

Consultee ID: 106787  
Part of document: ☒ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Agree with proviso that local residents are consulted as to their wishes.

Consultee ID: 106787  
Part of document: ☒ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Disagree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Agree  
Reason for comment:  
Should be based on individual circumstances.

Consultee ID: 106787  
Part of document: ☒ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Disagree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Agree  
Reason for comment:  
This area of East Sussex has no infrastructure to support massive growth in any one area.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR</th>
<th>DAY</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106787</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Option 8a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Hailsham should grow at a natural pace - not be faced with a huge allocation.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR</th>
<th>DAY</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106787</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Option 8c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This area of East Sussex has no infrastructure to support massive growth in any one area.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR</th>
<th>DAY</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106787</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Option 8d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

All areas under consideration are sensitive, and the A27 is up to full capacity, so any region which will increase traffic volume even slightly will be negative. Even upgrading the A27 will not fulfil the need as there is considerable backlog of potential volume - for example if made easier to commute to the Brighton area, many journeys will be encouraged which are not at present practical.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR</th>
<th>DAY</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106787</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Option 9a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Subject to considerable consultation and effort to make the Town an efficient 'hub'
Consultee ID: MR DAY 106787

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR DAY 106787

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR DAY 106787

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The issue is too complex to have a general rule

Consultee ID: MR DAY 106787

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Development west of the A22 will encroach on unspoilt land and be impossible to link with existing facilities in Polegate. Also rejected recently at a planning application.
### Option 16b

**Consultee ID:** MR DAY 106787  
**Agent ID:**  
**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option  
**Section of Document:** Option 16b  
**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**  
Development west of the A22 will encroach on unspoilt land and be impossible to link with existing facilities in Polegate or Hailsham.

---

### Option 16c

**Consultee ID:** MR DAY 106787  
**Agent ID:**  
**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option  
**Section of Document:** Option 16c  
**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**  

---

### Option 16g

**Consultee ID:** MR DAY 106787  
**Agent ID:**  
**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option  
**Section of Document:** Option 16g  
**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**  
Polegate, with consultation at all times with residents, could be evolved into a more attractive area, and it's major advantage is the railway which in times to come will prove to be indispensable.

---

### Option 18i

**Consultee ID:** MR DAY 106787  
**Agent ID:**  
**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option  
**Section of Document:** Option 18i  
**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**  
This proposed development is too close to the South Downs and important landmarks such as the Long Man. A new station would not be logical, between Berwick and Polegate, and would result in the devastation of what is an unsuitable location for development.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DAY</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106787</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Berwick has been targeted as it has a railway station. It is otherwise not well served, and has no employment. The impact of a sizeable settlement would be felt in Alfriston, which is already subject to overload in traffic and visitors.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DAY</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106787</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DAY</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106787</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Subject to local conditions - Flats need to be higher density in locations such as Polegate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR DAY</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106787</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: MR  DAY  
106787

Part of document:  
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Unless flats in urban areas

Consultee ID: MR  DAY  
106787

Part of document:  
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR  DAY  
106787

Part of document:  
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Some should be restricted to owner-occupiers, giving better value and a sense of responsible communities

Consultee ID: MR  DAY  
106787

Part of document:  
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Base % on local needs and consultation, and restrict some to owner-occupiers
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 27c

Reason for comment:
This would require more virgin land and the same effect could be achieved by developing the station area in Polegate.

Option 27d

Reason for comment:
The requirement is for an upgraded A27 with some dual carriageway and by-passes. The entire section should not be made motorway style due to visual effect, cost and encouragement of new development, which, with latent demand, will make the section to Lewes incredibly busy. It is obvious if a full motorway style road is approved then vast housing developments will follow, and the character of the area will suffer.

Option 27e

Reason for comment:
Eastbourne would benefit, and the schemes in other parts of the country reduce traffic. Office and Council workers should be provided with efficient bus services to their workplaces.
Consultee ID: MR DAY 106787

Part of document: Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The provision of carports rather than garages will create more used off-road spaces.

Consultee ID: MR DAY 106787

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR DAY 106787

Part of document: Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The statement is obviously geared to what people want to hear, the rejection of the government policy of thrusting the housing allocation on the area is what should be the primary mission.

Consultee ID: MR DAY 106787

Part of document: Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree SO1 Disagree SO2 Agree SO 3 Agree SO 5 Disagree SO6 Disagree SO7 Agree SO8 Agree SO 9 Disagree SO10 Disagree SO 12 Agree SO 13 Agree SO 14

Page 3600 of 6217
Consultee ID: Mrs van Maurik  
106788 Hartfield Parish Council

Section of Document: Housing Needs - Affordable Housing

Reason for comment:
We very much support affordable housing within the development boundary, not on green field sites. Over the whole of the Wealden area, we would hope that the brown field sites would always be used as a first choice for development.

Consultee ID: Mr Reed  
106789

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Reed  
106789

Section of Document: Option 2a

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Reed  
106789

Section of Document: Option 2b

Reason for comment:
Settlement boundaries should be maintained and not expanded once the boundary has been established during this process.

NW Uckfield should be in High Weald AONB, please look to redraw this boundary as this area has all the characteristics. Dr Martyn Stenning can provide details.
Wealden currently split 90% towns and 10% rural, this option was not provided for comments. Even though 50% of currant population is rural if Wealden had a building target of 10000 homes that would mean 5000 to be divided to the villages which is unsustainable and would not be in line with stated objectives such as protecting the countryside.
Option 7c

Reason for comment:
This question has been asked BEFORE readers actually read how many dwellings some villages are being proposed for. EG. Five Ash Down is currently 100 or so dwellings and the proposal is for 260-430 which is completely disproportionate to that village’s size. To expand villages above 10-20% there current size is fool hardy and will turn those villages into mini towns. Wealden has not offered the option to let all villages have some share of the housing burden rather than hoisting it all on a few. Wealden need to spread development and disappate it, rather than be developer lead with 'larger easier to make money' developments. EG, approx 70 villages in Wealden should be able to take on average 20-30 homes each to meet the targets. Some of the larger villages could take more with a cap set at 10 or 20%.

Option 9a

Reason for comment:
Needs to be divided between Crowborough, Heathfield and Uckfield. NW Uckfield has all the characteristics of the High Weald and should be redefined as such.

Option 9b

Reason for comment:
Should be divided between Crowborough, Uckfield and Heathfield but not into AONB.
Consultee ID: Mr Reed
106789

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Shared between the 3 towns as long as sensitive to ecology, landscape, AONB and existing residents. this way the burden is shared.

Consultee ID: Mr Reed
106789

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Crowborough, Heathfield and Uckfield should all share the burden of development within Wealden. Any areas to be developed should preferably be brownfield with a reluctance for greenfield. If greenfield sites are chosen these should not 'nibble' away at the AONB, not breach any significant landscape horizons, be sensitive to existing residents and be sites which are the least ecologically sensitive for the town.

Consultee ID: Mr Reed
106789

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Crowborough, Heathfield and Uckfield should all share the burden of development within Wealden. Any areas to be developed should preferably be brownfield with a reluctance for greenfield. If greenfield sites are chosen these should not ‘nibble’ away at the AONB, not breach any significant landscape horizons, be sensitive to existing residents and be sites which are the least ecologically sensitive for the town.

Reason for comment:
All the issues I wish in to raise in disagreement have been covered in the existing/previous disagreement statements
Consultee ID: Mr Reed  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Section of Document: Option 14b  

Reason for comment:  
Will close strategic countryside gap between Five Ash Down, Buxted and Maresfield leading to a loss of an individual identity of these communities. Snatts Road is already too dangerous and this will increase traffic. Too close to SNCI and SSSI  

Consultee ID: Mr Reed  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Section of Document: Option 14g  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Reed  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Section of Document: Option 14g  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Reed  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Section of Document: Option 14h  

Reason for comment:
Crowborough, Heathfield and Uckfield should all share the burden of development within Wealden. Any areas to be developed should preferably be brownfield with a reluctance for greenfield. If greenfield sites are chosen these should not ‘nibble’ away at the AONB, not breach any significant landscape horizons, be sensitive to existing residents and be sites which are the least ecologically sensitive for the town.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106789</th>
<th>Mr Reed</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Option 16e
- **Part of document:**  
  - Document: [ ]  
  - Chapter: [ ]  
  - Section: [ ]  
  - Option: [✓]  

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [✓] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

### Option 15f
- **Part of document:**  
  - Document: [ ]  
  - Chapter: [ ]  
  - Section: [ ]  
  - Option: [✓]  

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [✓] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

### Option 16c
- **Part of document:**  
  - Document: [ ]  
  - Chapter: [ ]  
  - Section: [ ]  
  - Option: [✓]  

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [✓] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

### Option 16d
- **Part of document:**  
  - Document: [ ]  
  - Chapter: [ ]  
  - Section: [ ]  
  - Option: [✓]  

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [✓] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106789 Mr Reed</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106789 Mr Reed</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106789 Mr Reed</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 17a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106789 Mr Reed</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 17b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106789
Mr Reed

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106789
Mr Reed

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106789
Mr Reed

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106789
Mr Reed

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Reed
Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Reed
Part of document: Option 21a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Inappropriate scale of development in relation to size of village

Consultee ID: Mr Reed
Part of document: Option 21b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Five Ash Down is about 100 dwellings, this size of development is completely disproportionate and can only have a major damaging impact on the community as a whole. Should be capped at 10-20% dwellings compared to size of village

Consultee ID: Mr Reed
Part of document: Option 21c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Even though Buxted has been proposed for 120 which is many fewer than Five Ash Down and Maresfield this is still too many dwellings for a village. Recognise some need to be built and this number needs to be reduced to 10-20% of current village size
Consultee ID: Mr Reed

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Mr Reed

Option 28b

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Mr Reed

Option 28c

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:

AONB seems to apply to the whole area of the north of WDC - even though many parts would not typically be regarded as AONB such as the existing main towns. It seems that to prejudice other parts of WDC in favour of the High Weald is to ignore some reasonably harmless areas of development potential.
Option 2a

Reason for comment:
Pointless - if the people living in the new "green" houses then have then to drive 1-2 hours away to find work as there will be no suitable work locally and insufficient rail links to allow them to use the train.

Option 2b

Option 3a

Consultee ID: 106791

Consultee ID: 106791

Consultee ID: 106791

Consultee ID: 106791

Consultee ID: 106791
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit

Part of document: Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit

Part of document: Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit

Part of document: Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit

Part of document: Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 4c

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 5a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 4d

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Question 4e

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Land to the east of Eastbourne which will in the longer term provide an improvement in living standards for Eastbourne residents and remove the seasonality of its trade
Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
106791

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree     □ No opinion     □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
106791

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree     □ No opinion     □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
106791

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree     □ No opinion     □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
106791

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree     □ No opinion     □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 8b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agreement options provided

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 8a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agreement options provided

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 8c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agreement options provided

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 8d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agreement options provided

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Bendit 106791

Part of document:  
- Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit 106791

Part of document:  
- Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit 106791

Part of document:  
- Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit 106791

Part of document:  
- Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
106791

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Reference: Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
106791

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Reference: Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
106791

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Reference: Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
106791

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Reference: Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
106791

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
106791

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
106791

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question 11g

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
106791

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 12a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Bendit

Part of document: Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit

Part of document: Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit

Part of document: Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit

Part of document: Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 12f**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 13a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14d**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 14b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 14c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 14f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 14g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Bendit</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 14h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Question 14j</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 15a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 15b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Bendit</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106791</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15c</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15d</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16e</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- **Agree**
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 106791
Mr Bendit

Part of document: Option 15f
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 106791
Mr Bendit

Part of document: Question 15h
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 106791
Mr Bendit

Part of document: Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 106791
Mr Bendit

Part of document: Option 16b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 106791  
**Mr Bendit**  
**Agent ID:**  

**Reason for comment:**  
**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16f</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**  
**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16g</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**  
**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16i</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**  
**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 17a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 17b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Bendit</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106791</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The concept of creating a "New Town" roughly the size of Hailsham in Berwick is fundamentally flawed. There are many examples of successful post war new towns - Stevenage and Welwyn in Hertfordshire are both on excellent road and rail links into London where many of its residents commute to work. Milton Keynes benefits on being positioned close to the M1 and on the main London - Birmingham railway and is strategically positioned as a commercial centre as well as being commutable to the Capital. And Crawley's success has come from Gatwick which is its life-blood. And all these new towns were built at least 12 miles from any rival conurbation. Conversely Harlow, which has always had poor links into London, except for the poorly accessible M11 is an example of a socially failed new town with unemployment and crime traditionally high. A new town of Berwick would be some 80 minutes commute to London by train - more than most are prepared to endure and neither the A27/ M23 or A22 routes to London is likely to make business strategically attractive. So residents of an enlarged Berwick will have to rely on employment in the Eastbourne and Brighton areas - there is little if any evidence that some 5,000 new jobs will come available in these areas to enable people to work reasonably locally. And the concept of creating jobs with new business parks is questionable as it will take enormous tracts of countryside to be concreted over to provide this level of employment. So the alternative is to have the new residents of Berwick finding work in other counties - none of the roads in this area of East Sussex show signs of being able to meet this increased traffic - nor are the rail links suitable. It therefore defies logic to build a new town so close to other conurbations such as Lewes, Eastbourne, Polegate and Hailsham. Then there is the issue of services. Water rates payers, despite there being no reduction in water bills were denied last year the use of their hoses. It would be naive to think this is the last time a hose ban will prevail. Long term there is not enough water in the area to meet demand every year. Full stop. And no water company is going to finance a new reservoir (more appropriation of the countryside) just for another 4-700-houses. Second - the local hospital facilities are under retreat. Eastbourne Hostpital's A&E has a threatened foreclosure notice. Where will 10000 new residents be expected to go to get treatment? Haywards Heath - Oh no that one's at risk too. Then there's the issue of timing of the introduction of services. For example - how many houses does it take to warrant the first primary school, secondary school, surgery etc. 1000? 1500? So until these quotas are built - say over a period of 2-3 years, the residents will have no support services and will need to travel considerable distances to take their kids to school or visit the doctor.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 19b</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Bendit</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 19c</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Bendit</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 19d</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Bendit</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 19e</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Bendit</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Bendit</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 20a**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 20b**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 20c**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 21a**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑  Agree  □  No opinion  □  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑  Agree  □  No opinion  □  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View  □  Agree  □  No opinion  ☑  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View  □  Agree  □  No opinion  ☑  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
Agent ID: 106791

Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View
[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
Agent ID: 106791

Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
Agent ID: 106791

Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit
Agent ID: 106791

Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 22d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 23b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106791</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Bendit</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 24a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 24b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Option 24c

Reason for comment:

Presumably people in need of affordable housing don't have cars or can't afford to be driving to and forth to towns for their needs. So sticking them in the countryside will disadvantage them.

Option 24d

Option 25d

Option 26a
Consultee ID: Mr Bendit  
Agent ID: 106791

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit  
Agent ID: 106791

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Any investment in rail links is a good thing - even just to relieve road traffic

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit  
Agent ID: 106791

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Berwick and Glynde provide an important link to Brighton, Eastbourne and London. Concentration of services in Polegate will only add to the road congestion on the A27

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit  
Agent ID: 106791

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr  Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr  Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr  Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr  Bendit</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: |
Consultee ID: Mr Bendit, Agent ID: 106791
Part of document: ☑ option
Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit, Agent ID: 106791
Part of document: ☑ option
Question 31a
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The so called Eastbourne Triangle is something that has not been put to public consultation. It is therefore inappropriate for Wealden to present this concept in this paper without more information.

Consultee ID: Mr Bendit, Agent ID: 106791
Part of document: ☑ option
Question 32a
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
What a ridiculous question. What was the point of asking all the previous questions if you then have a catch all question at the end? The answer is in the curate's egg. Some good bits - some bad. At the end of the day the countryside has to be preserved. Because once it's gone, there's no going back and will be the thin end of the wedge. Eastbourne, Polegate and Hailsham will in a generation's time be the thin end of the wedge. Eastbourne, Polegate and Hailsham will in a generation's time be at risk of becoming like the sprawling urban conurbation of Bournemouth, Christchurch or Brighton, Hove, Southwick, Shoreham etc. ie an amorphous mass of concrete with little if any definable heart. Best answer is to make better the existing towns more viable and vibrant.
The concept of creating a "New Town" roughly the size of Hailsham in Berwick is fundamentally flawed. There are many examples of successful post war new towns - Stevenage and Welwyn in Hertfordshire are both on excellent road and rail links into London where many of its residents commute to work. Milton Keynes benefits on being positioned close to the M1 and on the main London - Birmingham railway and is strategically positioned as a commercial centre as well as being commutable to the Capital. And Crawley’s success has come from Gatwick which is its life-blood. And all these new towns were built at least 12 miles from any rival conurbation. Conversely Harlow, which has always had poor links into London, except for the poorly accessible M11 is an example of a socially failed new town with unemployment and crime traditionally high. A new town of Berwick would be some 80 minutes commute to London by train - more than most are prepared to endure and neither the A27/ M23 or A22 routes to London is likely to make business strategically attractive. So residents of an enlarged Berwick will have to rely on employment in the Eastbourne and Brighton areas - there is little if any evidence that some 5,000 new jobs will come available in these areas to enable people to work reasonably locally. And the concept of creating jobs with new business parks is questionable as it will take enormous tracts of countryside to be concreted over to provide this level of employment. So the alternative is to have the new residents of Berwick finding work in other counties - none of the roads in this area of East Sussex show signs of being able to meet this increased traffic - nor are the rail links suitable. It therefore defies logic to build a new town so close to other conurbations such as Lewes, Eastbourne, Polegate and Hailsham. Then there is the issue of services. Water rates payers, despite there being no reduction in water bills were denied last year the use of their hoses. It would be naïve to think this is the last time a hose ban will prevail. Long term there is not enough water in the area to meet demand every year. Full stop. And no water company is going to finance a new reservoir (more appropriation of the countryside) just for another 4-700 houses. Second - the local hospital facilities are under retreat. Eastbourne Hospital's A&E has a threatened foreclosure notice. Where will 10000 new residents be expected to go to get treatment? Haywards Heath - Oh no that one's at risk too. Then there's the issue of timing of the introduction of services. For example - how many houses does it take to warrant the first primary school, secondary school, surgery etc. 1000? 1500? So until these quotas are built - say over a period of 2-3 years, the residents will have no support services and will need to travel considerable distances to take their kids to school or visit the doctor. The best solution is to make strategic additions to the existing 5 Wealden towns where there are existing facilities that can be used by new residents. These might typically be in groups of 1-200 houses. This will bring an added vibrancy and energy to the towns, helping existing local business in having a greater number of residents to serve. New residents will be able to move into existing communities rather than the bleak prospect of moving into a new town without a soul.
Consultee ID: Mr Katon

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
So long as any development is small scale and in character

Consultee ID: Mr Katon

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 3d

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Unless this is done, more new housing occupants will need to commute, adding traffic to roads that are already inadequate in Wealden

Consultee ID: Mr Katon

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 2a

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 2b

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Let's not fool ourselves, wind power can never develop useful amounts of energy. Wave power is a difficult technology, although can potentially produce far more. In the short term, nuclear power is the only viable option. In the long term, only a breakthrough in nuclear fission will save us.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Katon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>We must make the best use of all suitable land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Katon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Katon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Katon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Mr Katon

Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon

Part of document: Option 4c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon

Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon

Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Road access must first be improved
Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
Agent ID: 106793

**Part of document:**  
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

**Section of Document:**  
Option 5b

**Your View:**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
This would be an unwise policy, inevitably leading to creeping developments.

---

Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
Agent ID: 106793

**Part of document:**  
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

**Section of Document:**  
Option 5b

**Your View:**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Most employment is in and around towns. If we are to limit increased commuting, it follows that the most possible development should be in and around towns. It is fatuous to propose making new housing carbon neutral, if this new supposedly carbon neutral housing leads to large increases carbon emissions due to increased commuting.

---

Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
Agent ID: 106793

**Part of document:**  
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

**Section of Document:**  
Question 5c

**Your View:**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Most employment is in and around towns. If we are to limit increased commuting, it follows that the most possible development should be in and around towns. It is fatuous to propose making new housing carbon neutral, if this new supposedly carbon neutral housing leads to large increases carbon emissions due to increased commuting.

---

Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
Agent ID: 106793

**Part of document:**  
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

**Section of Document:**  
Option 6a

**Your View:**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
The maximum possible development should be in and around towns, to reduce commuting. Wealden roads are already too busy at peak times.
Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
106793

Part of document:  
- Option 6b
- Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
106793

Part of document:  
- Option 7a
- Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
106793

Part of document:  
- Option 7b
- Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
106793

Part of document:  
- Option 7c
- Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Polegate/Eastbourne both have rail links and, by Wealden standards, relatively good road access. However, it must be said that the whole of this ill-considered, central government imposed plan, takes no account of that the road, rail and public service infrastructure in Wealden will not support large scale development and only scant information from East Sussex County Council, Southern Water, NHS etc is available at present. We are getting into detailed comments on the housing plans without any knowledge of the essential infrastructure improvements.

There is no rail link to Hailsham and access by road is poor.
Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
106793

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 8d

Section of Document: 

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Has there ever been a successful creation of a “new town”? Character and community cannot be created artificially by planners and builders. In any event, the choice of Hellingly Hospital (18g) and surrounding fields is an unsuitable choice unless direct road access to the A267 is provided.

Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
106793

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 9a

Section of Document: 

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
106793

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 9b

Section of Document: 

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
106793

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 9c

Section of Document: 

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Question 12h

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 12a

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 12b

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 12c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Your View: Disagree

Option 12e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Completely unsuitable location

Option 13a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Why so sensitive about the AONB here? You seem to be prepared to ignore it elsewhere where this plan considers other towns. Tunbridge Wells is a relatively large town by Wealden standards, with services well able to support extra housing. It has a good rail link to London and many people currently commute some distance to the town for this purpose.

Option 15a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
Agent ID: 106793

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
Agent ID: 106793

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon  
Agent ID: 106793

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Katon</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106793</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 15e</strong> Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Katon</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106793</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16e</strong> Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Katon</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106793</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16a</strong> Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Katon</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106793</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16b</strong> Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: option
Option 17b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The site might be suitable, but the access roads definitely are not. Once again, this aspect seems to be completely ignored. No doubt, this is a matter for East Sussex County Council, so WDC can pretend it is not an issue for them. This is a theme that runs through the whole of this plan, in that infrastructure issues are sidelined. If Hellingly Hospital is to be seriously considered, a direct road link towards the A267 is essential before the development takes place. If this is not implemented, future residents will develop various rat runs at peak times to avoid congestion at Horsebridge and Bowship Roundabout, including through Hellingly Village.

Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The site might be suitable, but the access roads definitely are not. Once again, this aspect seems to be completely ignored. No doubt, this is a matter for East Sussex County Council, so WDC can pretend it is not an issue for them. This is a theme that runs through the whole of this plan, in that infrastructure issues are sidelined. If Hellingly Hospital is to be seriously considered, a direct road link towards the A267 is essential before the development takes place. If this is not implemented, future residents will develop various rat runs at peak times to avoid congestion at Horsebridge and Bowship Roundabout, including through Hellingly Village.

Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: option
Option 22b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Proposed development is too large
Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Katon
106793

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Katon Agent ID: 106793

Part of document: option

Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It is interesting that this question, as opposed to others, is posed to encourage a negative response. Clearly, any adverse effect on the South Downs is to be discouraged, but this could be said for the whole of this unrealistic plan, as WDC knows well. To support the planned number of houses, major road improvements would be required, including a number of dual carriageways. These will not be built and the result will be major traffic congestion and associated pollution. Yet, the plan talks of "carbon neutral" housing!

Consultee ID: Mr Katon Agent ID: 106793

Part of document: option

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Particularly around Tunbridge Wells for London commuters

Consultee ID: Mr Katon Agent ID: 106793

Part of document: option

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposals are totally inadequate to support the size of development proposed. This is unsurprising in that the targets set are totally unrealistic.
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This is an important environment worth saving. Many species of plant and animal life would be lost if this was developed. The infrastructure is already overloaded.

Option 14b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This is a small area of green belt that should be preserved.

Option 21b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
There is a great danger of turning a country location into one huge development. The road would not cope with the extra traffic. The infrastructure is insufficient.

Option 21f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
A development of this size would obliterate the character and community of the village.
Your View    ☑ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
AONB is a very wide designation and there will be degrees of landscape value. Very careful consideration needs to be given before giving permission for building but there might be occasions when it can be considered for particular purposes.

---

Consultee ID:    Mrs. Holmes    Agent ID: 106795
Part of document:    ☐ document    ☐ chapter    ☐ section    ☑ option
Section of Document:    Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View    ☑ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Balance as ever important

---

Consultee ID:    Mrs. Holmes    Agent ID: 106795
Part of document:    ☐ document    ☐ chapter    ☐ section    ☑ option
Section of Document:    Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View    ☑ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Balance as ever important

---

Consultee ID:    Mrs. Holmes    Agent ID: 106795
Part of document:    ☐ document    ☐ chapter    ☐ section    ☑ option
Section of Document:    Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View    ☑ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Balance as ever important
Because many farm buildings were allowed to be erected without planning permission, it often means a building in the countryside would be in an unsuitable position for any other purposes and could lead to sporadic housing development in the AONB.

Market housing is usually much more attractive to developers than commercial and the work living mix must be protected.
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Keeping the local identity of villages is very important or they could expand to become much larger villages and then mini towns. The reason most people choose to live in a village is because of its lifestyle, and community feel. Villages cannot expect to compete with towns for facilities. Villages however need to be sustainable with a happy life work balance mix ideally with a shop, school and church.

Option 19e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Agree with the designation that Hartfield can take only limited growth bearing in mind its status as a conservation village. My understanding that the MAXIMUM number of houses allocated would be 20 during the period covered by the LDF BUT NOT ALL IN ONE PLACE. Two sites have already been identified; Old Crown Farm (9) and the Old Coalyard (8) which is well on the way to the total number. Hartfield already has a large council estate and further development of social housing beyond that specified above would unbalance the village and threaten the AONB. We do not have the infrastructure to support more housing in the village. Hartfield is a very desirable place to live in and through the right to buy families stay in the same house very long term so one could never satisfy such a desire as first choice for all families. Hartfield is a lively village and any additional housing should be small infill sites protecting greenfield sites in the AONBI sites presently a good mix.

Question 19f

Reason for comment:
Any form of development must be closely scrutinised to protect the very special areas surrounding the Forest.
Consultee ID: Mr Bristow

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Once a green area is built on one never gets it back in the future - it is gone forever. Any housing development should take place on previous factory / retail / residential land that is now derelict. The A27 is insufficient and unsafe at the moment. The A27 cannot support the extra traffic that would be generated by housing development without significant improvement. ie dual carriageway from Lewes to Polegate. Building Houses also means there is a need for extra health, educational, recreational, religious, retail and recycling facilities. The whole infra-structure would have to be developed. It would mean a loss of area for the wildlife. Who is going to live in the new houses and where are those people now? If it is "affordable housing" how much would a house cost? what would the rent be per week? I do support the idea of Wilmington having a Railway Halt for railway services as this would ease traffic on the road and help South Downs walkers and ramblers. I would like an individual response to these points please.

Consultee ID: Mrs Bond

Part of document: Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Local infrastructure unable to support additional traffic/bus system. Snatts Road is in effect a lane and not built to handle heavier traffic of buses/access to Downlands Farm. This road is already becoming a race track and it is only a matter of time until a very serious accident happens since the road is not built nor has the ability to be widened to take additional traffic. Additionally, any development would cause unacceptable harm to a rural setting that provides a strategic countryside gap break between Uckfield and Maresfield that is of ecological value to the local ancient woodland habitat. This site already supports a number of EU protected species with others also protected by UK legislation - consequently any development issues would require involvement of the EU given the protected status of certain species.
Consultee ID: Mrs Bond
106798

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Uckfield does not have the infrastructure in the North End of town to support any development of this magnitude. Additionally, such development will also see the strategic countryside gap between Maresfield and Uckfield erode.

Consultee ID: Mr Potter
106799

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
But only where the need is predicted to exist.

Consultee ID: Mr Potter
106799

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The question is how a 'realistic prospect' is defined. The proof threshold should be quite high - otherwise we risk loss of diversity and supporting business local to residential development.

Consultee ID: Mr Potter
106799

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The very concept of defining a development boundary indicates that a natural limit of expansion has been reached. To simply redefine the boundary is in effect moving the goalposts to meet needs other than those of the settlement itself and renders the whole concept flawed.
Reason for comment:
A development boundary exists to prevent sprawl of a settlement beyond its accepted limits. While there may be a case for fine tuning from time to time this should be strictly controlled to ensure that there is no adverse effect on the existing settlement and should not be seen as precedent to open up new areas of land for development. A case in point is proposals under Hope project to build affordable housing outside the development boundary of Forest Row which would have opened up land to the North and West to further development and also presented the prospect of two cul de sacs being connected and becoming a 'rat run'. Once infrastructure is put in place the case for expansion may become irresistible - neither planners nor the plan can guarantee complete protection...

Reason for comment:
Just because a village is reasonably well served at its current population does not necessarily mean it has capacity to accommodate expanded population. I believe there is a size limit to villages beyond which the sense of community can be lost. The large village then becomes a small town which is likely to result in fragmentation of community and creation of 'sub-communities' and alienation and all the social problems this can bring. The housing expansion pressure should be seen as an opportunity to attract services to smaller villages where services are being denuded (eg closure of sub post offices) or where they don't exist and people have to travel further to get them.

Reason for comment:
See comment to 7a
Consultee ID: Mr Potter
Part of document: Option 7c
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
See answer to 7a - there are limits to growth of villages before they become towns...

Consultee ID: Mr Potter
Part of document: Option 7d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
For smaller villages to retain any chance of survival as self supporting entities basic services need to be maintained. It is these villages where services are at serious risk that should be the focus of population retention and expansion, not where existing population is sufficient.

Consultee ID: Mr Potter
Part of document: Question 18k
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
I support the concept of promoting significant expansion of settlements that are suffering from depopulation and degradation that will ensure that local services are retained and bring vibrancy back into communities where it has been lost.
Forest Row is a village with an extraordinarily vibrant community that is largely self sustaining. This comes from there being shops and services that both act as a focus but is also of small enough size for people to recognise each other on a day to day basis. A significant increase of population would put the community feeling at risk - creating a small town rather than a large village. Surely it is better to preserve and support the existing community and use it as a model for other smaller villages to grow to the similar size where they can also be self sustaining. Existing services such as schools, health care, parking etc are operating at full stretch. Expansion of 20% would mean that new facilities would be required to serve the population and this would fragment the village. Furthermore the proposed locations have a number of questionable features. They begin the process of physically linking Forest Row to East Grinstead losing the character of the village, both locations are of a hilly nature with serious risk of run off causing flooding lower down, and most importantly a major portion is agricultural land. It is a well known issue in national news that the present system of relying on long distance food is unsustainable. We need to preserve as much agricultural land for future food requirements as possible.
Part of document: Option 25a
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
With an ageing population, making more provision for older people needs to be given priority. This would then release houses being occupied by single elderly people that would be more suited to family occupation or multiple occupation and reduce pressure to build more smaller houses for first time buyers.

Your View
☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Potter
Agent ID: 106799

Part of document: Option 25c
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Safeguards need to be put in place to ensure property developers and speculators do not hog infill sites - building expensive new houses that attract commuters at the expense of provision for the existing population. The threshold for providing affordable housing with other housing development should be lower - maybe one per every 5 permitted.

Your View
☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Potter
Agent ID: 106799

Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Affordable housing should be provided where it is genuinely needed regardless of context. This may mean some is needed in some urban areas while some rural areas may not require it. It needs to be determined on a case by case basis.

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☑ Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Potter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- **option**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 25f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Potter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- **option**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 25g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Potter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- **option**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 27b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Potter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- **option**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 27d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
and needs... although this should not mean that communities become dependant on permitting development in order to have needs met.

Reason for comment:

There is no reason why this should not be higher. New domestic and commercial development should meet a requirement of generating AT LEAST 20% of its energy from renewable sources with immediate effect, and that this target be the subject of upward review after 3 years

Reason for comment:

Flood Plain to the North of Forest Row, Ashdown Forest to the South would indicate that single plot would not really be possible without significant impact on existing infrastructure and the AONB. What with the proposed East Grinstead ByPass funelling all traffic through Forest Row this really would destroy the village. I really can't understand the relief road at all to funnel traffic past a larger town through to Forest Row. The recent flooding to the North of the village showed the flood plain in action!! More houses would exaserbate the problem. Who now wants to buy on flood plains now!!
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The paper comments about the proximity to the railway: The railway car park is full. The trains are full. There are limited taxis available if you do not drive. Few people wanting "affordable" housing will be able to afford it if it is within driving distance of the railway. Wadhurst high street is grid locked most days. There is no parking available. For Wadhurst to support additional housing it needs an improved infrastructure. There is no room for improved infrastructure.

Option 12e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Presently approximately 50 dwellings are served by Sandy Cross Lane. The siting of up to 200 further houses will massively overload this lane which is already barely adequate. It is, in many places, single track, particularly near the junction with Hailsham Rd where most houses do not have off-road parking. Here, the presence of vehicles such as bin / delivery lorries regularly brings traffic to a complete halt for extended periods. The lane is geographically closely bounded by embankments on both sides which would preclude easy widening. There are many blind bends further down the lane where the absence of pedestrian provision causes great concern to walkers, particularly children, when the lane is used as a 'rat run' by some traffic. Furthermore there is barely adequate surface water drainage in the vicinity of Sandy Cross Lane, which would prevent its' use by a heavier traffic load. The development of more housing will intolerably exacerbate this problem. The area immediately to the south west of the lane is an AONB, which fundamentally should not be developed, particularly when other 'non-AONB' sites have not yet been developed. Heathfield does not possess adequate infrastructure to support such a large development, both immediately in the vicinity of Sandy Cross Lane, and more generally. Lack of local employment will force high levels of commuting which will further burden traffic blackspots like those in Cross in Hand.

Question One

Reason for comment:
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106804</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3d

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Supply & demand the cost should come down if we all demand energy efficient housing - it saves everyone in the long run.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106804</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 2a

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Disagree, or we will see supermarkets or gated enclaves popping up in former agricultural sites. The document already states that there is a lack of business units for small business & start ups. This smells of looking for additional spaces for housing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106804</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 2b

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Supply & demand the cost should come down if we all demand energy efficient housing - it saves everyone in the long run.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106804</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3a

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Disagree, or we will see supermarkets or gated enclaves popping up in former agricultural sites. The document already states that there is a lack of business units for small business & start ups. This smells of looking for additional spaces for housing.
Consultee ID: Ms Dell
Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I agree that small/medium premises should be encouraged, the question should not be misrepresented to say that these needs will be developed in greenfield sites because of demand.

Consultee ID: Ms Dell
Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Dell
Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Dell
Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms Dell
Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:
All this 'subject to strict criteria' I just don't buy! It is plain to see how developers time and again manipulate said strict criteria. Let's protect our boundaries and stop fooling ourselves that developers are altruistic and responsive to a communities needs.

Consultee ID: Ms Dell
Part of document: Option 7a
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:
Criterion is not clear enough - how about the character of a village?

Consultee ID: Ms Dell
Part of document: Option 7c
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Dell
Part of document: Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View
Reason for comment:
Too open-ended. Every development, no matter how many houses, should have a percentage that is 'affordable' housing. This would negate the need to have a minimum of 10 dwellings for 'affordable' housing quotas to kick in.
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Consultee ID: Ms Dell Agent ID:
106804

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The cultural 'soul' of the town must be part of the overall strategy. A design board should be appointed to include architects, artists, landscape architects, local historians, Ashdown Forest specialists etc as well as deploying up to the minute town planning psychology studies - perhaps with a link up to CABE?

Consultee ID: Ms Dell Agent ID:
106804

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The cultural 'soul' of the town must be part of the overall strategy. A design board should be appointed to include architects, artists, landscape architects, local historians, Ashdown Forest specialists etc as well as deploying up to the minute town planning psychology studies - perhaps with a link up to CABE?

Consultee ID: Ms Dell Agent ID:
106804

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

20% increase is not possible unless it becomes a dormitory of East Grinstead for commuters - totally destroying the village as we know it. We had severe flooding here recently. What about our fantastic local primary, it was also flooded - if they tried to expand we would lose the playing fields and what about the brook? Besides, big primary schools are not conducive to community learning and cohesiveness. Forest Row will not withstand this much development.

Consultee ID: Ms Dell Agent ID:
106804

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106804</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There shouldn't be a 'typical' density for the whole of Wealden, different site locations suit different needs. Every planning application should be sensitively looked at in respect of location and character of its setting. I am all for high density where appropriate, but there should be a good range of cyclical housing - from starter homes and 1-2 person dwellings, to family homes, to retirement homes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106804</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There shouldn't be a 'typical' density for the whole of Wealden, different site locations suit different needs. Every planning application should be sensitively looked at in respect of location and character of its setting. I am all for high density where appropriate, but there should be a good range of cyclical housing - from starter homes and 1-2 person dwellings, to family homes, to retirement homes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Dell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106804</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There shouldn't be a 'typical' density for the whole of Wealden, different site locations suit different needs. Every planning application should be sensitively looked at in respect of location and character of its setting. I am all for high density where appropriate, but there should be a good range of cyclical housing - from starter homes and 1-2 person dwellings, to family homes, to retirement homes.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There shouldn't be a 'typical' density for the whole of Wealden, different site locations suit different needs. Every planning application should be sensitively looked at in respect of location and character of its setting. I am all for high density where appropriate, but there should be a good range of cyclical housing - from starter homes and 1-2 person dwellings, to family homes, to retirement homes.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106804 Ms Dell  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 25g
  - Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106804 Ms Dell  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 26a
  - Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106804 Ms Dell  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 26b
  - Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106804 Ms Dell  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 27b
  - Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 28a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 28b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 28c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question 31a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Ms Dell

106804

Part of document: ☑️ option

Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Please include artists and architects (incl Landscape architects) in your strategies and project teams etc. Please link with the creative communities concerned with the built environment: Architecture Centres, Arts Council & Arts & Business, plus individual artists in the region, like myself, who work specifically in the public realm promoting the needs of the body and soul and strong design-led solutions to issues within health, education and general well-being and serotonin-inducing artistic interventions!

Consultee ID: Mrs Plank

106805

Part of document: ☑️ option

Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:

When we purchased our home we were informed this area was protected woodland. When the woodland was chopped the owners put lots of signs up saying they were just making way for new growth. This was clearly a misrepresentation and raises concerns about the owners trustworthiness. London road is already extremely busy and the traffic very fast and this number of houses will clearly worsen this situation. This level of building will completely change the aspect and rural setting in Ringles Cross not to mention more damage to ancient, protected woodland, some of which has already been hacked down.
Consultee ID: Mrs Plank 106805

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
When we purchased our home we were informed this area was protected woodland. When the woodland was chopped the owners put lots of signs up saying they were just making way for new growth. This was clearly a misrepresentation and raises concerns about the owners trustworthiness. London road is already extremely busy and the traffic very fast and this number of houses will clearly worsen this situation. This level of building will completely change the aspect and rural setting in Ringles Cross not to mention more damage to ancient, protected woodland, some of which has already been hacked down.

Consultee ID: Mrs Plank 106805

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Plank 106805

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Serpell 106807

Part of document: ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development should be sensitive to areas of outstanding natural beauty.
Option 2a

I would also support a higher target if feasible.

Option 3a

Option 3b

Larger areas should not be precluded from consideration if there is evidence supporting their need.
Consultee ID: Serpell
Consultee ID: Serpell
Consultee ID: Serpell
Consultee ID: Serpell

Option 3d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
It must be taken into consideration that many Wealden residents live a large distance away from their work.

Option 4a

Reason for comment:
I am concerned that there has not been a full planning consultation on this subject as required under current planning legislation.

Option 4c

Reason for comment:
Additional route, besides Dittons Road, should be built.

Option 4b

Reason for comment:
There should be a green belt maintained between Hailsham and any developed settlement; furthermore improvements should be made to the A27 and Cophall roundabout.
Consultee ID: Serpell  
106807

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
There are current developments in this area.

Consultee ID: Serpell  
106807

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Serpell  
106807

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Must retain greenbelt in order to check urban sprawl.

Consultee ID: Serpell  
106807

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Question 5c

Reason for comment:
I believe that a new Settlement is the best option; further development will be restricted by the non statutory plan, therefore a new settlement, and the reasearch thereof, should become priority.

Question 6d

Reason for comment:
I understand the current distribution of housing to be roughly a 50/50 split. This works well and I am in favour of the continuation of this policy.

Option 7a

Reason for comment:

Option 7b

Reason for comment:
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Any such growth should be capped (i.e 10% over 5 years) to protect the character of settlements.

Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The definition between Large/Small Local Service centres seems to be fluid; why are Buxted, East Hoathly and Westham shown as Smaller Local Service centres, when all three are larger than Herstmonceux and Horam?
Consultee ID: Serpell
106807
Part of document: Option 8a
Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I disagree most vehemently! Infrastructure already over burdened and further development will exacerbate this problem.

Consultee ID: Serpell
106807
Part of document: Option 8d
Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
A new settlement with good transport links and good infrastructure is the best option.

Consultee ID: Serpell
106807
Part of document: Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Agent ID:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Serpell
106807
Part of document: Option 11a
Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The development is a matter for the Crowborough residents to decide.
Consultee ID: Serpell  Agent ID: 106807

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 12a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
This is a matter that the residents of Heathfield should decide.

---

Consultee ID: Serpell  Agent ID: 106807

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
If evidence for local demand is produced.

---

Consultee ID: Serpell  Agent ID: 106807

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Green Belt!

---

Consultee ID: Serpell  Agent ID: 106807

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
This is a matter for the residents of Uckfield to decide. However the problems of infrastructure and flooding should be taken into account.
Consultee ID: Serpell  
106807

Part of document: ☑️ option  
Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Significant further development on the Cuckmere flood plain will exacerbate flooding; furthermore this area is an important green belt.

Consultee ID: Serpell  
106807

Part of document: ☑️ option  
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The threatened coalescence of Hailsham and Hellington Hospital should be stopped, therefore I am opposed to this.

Consultee ID: Serpell  
106807

Part of document: ☑️ option  
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development would be detrimental to the green belt and SSSI.

Consultee ID: Serpell  
106807

Part of document: ☑️ option  
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development around inadequate infrastructure would turn a problem into a disaster.
Reason for comment:
The A22 forms a natural, logical, geographical and cartographical boundary between the urban and pastoral.

Reason for comment:
With the exception of Hailsham which must develop its infrastructure urgently, to cope with current strain and to cope with further development.

Reason for comment:
Dittons road cannot cope with the increased traffic this would cause.
Option 17a
Reason for comment:
This is for the residents of Stone Cross to decide.

Option 18a
Reason for comment:
Appropriate infrastructure would have to be put into place first.

Option 18j
Reason for comment:
I believe that a dual program should be undertaken, building new settlements at Berwick and Polegate.
Option 20a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Serpell
Agent ID: 106807

Part of document: option

Option 21a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Serpell
Agent ID: 106807

Part of document: option

Option 22a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Serpell
Agent ID: 106807

Part of document: option

Option 23a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Serpell
Agent ID: 106807

Part of document: option

There is no need for development at Herstmonceux and Lower Horsebridge, which are both in close proximity to major new developments detailed in the non statutory plan.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106807</th>
<th>Serpell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A density of 30-35 dwellings per hectare for rural parts and perhaps a proportionatly higher rate of dwellings for more urban areas.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106807</th>
<th>Serpell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>However flats are not the only option for small dwellings.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106807</th>
<th>Serpell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106807</th>
<th>Serpell</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Serpell Agent ID: 106807

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Serpell Agent ID: 106807

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Serpell Agent ID: 106807

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The ancillary dwelling should be tied to the dwelling by an enforceable planning condition.

Consultee ID: Serpell Agent ID: 106807

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The ancillary dwelling should be tied to the business by an enforceable planning condition.
Consultee ID: Serpell  
106807

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Serpell  
106807

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Serpell  
106807

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Serpell  
106807

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A light railway link could be built between Hailsham and Polegate and linked to the national rail.

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Serpell  
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Serpell  
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Serpell  
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Serpell  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 28a
Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 28b
Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 28c
Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document:  
Housing Delivery

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree
Reason for comment:

Land should be subject to a vacant land tax, similar to the tax charged on vacant properties, to discourage land banking.
The existing infrastructure must be improved in such places as water and sewerage. However new roads will not resolve the problem.
Consultee ID: Barry
Agent ID:
106808
Part of document: Option 12e
Section of Document:
Your View agree disagree
Reason for comment:
Rural access and enjoyment by many existing residents that live in the area will be affected adversely. The character of the lane with its narrow width & blind corners is unsuitable for significant increased traffic loads. Proximity to ancient meadows and woodland by so many new properties with storm drain infrastructure will further degrade the natural water course flows (witness the ghyll valley adjacent to the last development of Persimmon homes in Green Lane just upstream of proposed location). Pollution loads of the local streams will increase and damage the headwaters feeding the last natural river in Sussex: namely the Cuckmere. Further additional consequent predatory pet populations will degrade the local wildlife value.

Consultee ID: Barry
Agent ID:
106808
Part of document: Option 14a
Section of Document:
Your View agree disagree
Reason for comment:
Unacceptable harm to Landscape & wildlife value of the ecologically valuable & irreplaceable resource.

Consultee ID: Barry
Agent ID:
106808
Part of document: Option 14b
Section of Document:
Your View agree disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Rogers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106809</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>✓ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Wealden’s Landscape</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>There is a danger in classifying The High Weald and The South Downs as outstandingly beautiful in that anywhere not within these boundaries may be considered not so worthy of protection. There are other areas in the Wealden District that also need protection.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Rogers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106809</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Any change in boundaries must be a public consultation process more rigorous than a planning application. Since I disagree with new settlements I must agree to this because it allows natural growth where necessary rather than artificial growth planned by committee.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Rogers</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106809</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Makes the concept of a boundary meaningless. This is woolly thinking, compromise and the backdoor get-out clause.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
About 90% should be focused in and around towns with the remainder distributed to villages. This because of the particular character of the rural areas in the Wealden District. I believe Wealden should evolve into an area of dense conurbations with largely empty areas between them.

Far too simplistic. One village shop/garage/station is not the same as another. It may not serve local needs; it could be badly run; in the wrong location; etc... Public perception of the service is difficult to codify, especially from the comfort of the council offices. Additionally a commercial enterprise could close down (or open up) the day after the survey.

Until the method of defining 'growth potential' is workable, flexible and compares like with like, the whole approach is flawed.
Consultee ID: Mr Rogers  
Part of document: Option 8c  
Reason for comment:  
Would eventually lead to development crossing the A22 westward.

Consultee ID: Mr Rogers  
Part of document: Option 8d  
Reason for comment:  
The entire concept of new settlements in the Wealden District is profoundly wrong-headed. We are not living in the Wild West, there are no endless prairies and no Indians to kick out. Urban areas always expand and once developers have a stepping-stone into the rural area there will no going back. Agricultural, Rural and open landscape is not being made any more and we will need it in the future.

Consultee ID: Mr Rogers  
Part of document: Question Ten  
Reason for comment:  
15 houses could double the size of some villages. Thresholds should be considered as a percentage of the size of the town or village.
Option 16a

Reason for comment:
The area around the Cuckmere Valley which surrounds Arlington Reservoir, Abbots Wood and Michelham Priory should be seen as part of the larger area (often called the Heritage Coast) which stretches from Upper Dicker to the sea with Berwick at its centre. This area is shown on tourism and leisure guides, pamphlets and maps published by, among many others, East Sussex County Council, Tourism South-east, The South Downs Joint Committee and even Wealden District Council. It is a tourist destination and the centre of a leisure area much used by the surrounding conurbations. National Cycle Network Routes, the Vanguard Way and the Wealden Way all pass directly through the Berwick area because it is an area of outstanding natural beauty which represents a leisure playground which must be preserved for future generations of the surrounding towns.

Option 16b

Reason for comment:
The area around the Cuckmere Valley which surrounds Arlington Reservoir, Abbots Wood and Michelham Priory should be seen as part of the larger area (often called the Heritage Coast) which stretches from Upper Dicker to the sea with Berwick at its centre. This area is shown on tourism and leisure guides, pamphlets and maps published by, among many others, East Sussex County Council, Tourism South-east, The South Downs Joint Committee and even Wealden District Council. It is a tourist destination and the centre of a leisure area much used by the surrounding conurbations. National Cycle Network Routes, the Vanguard Way and the Wealden Way all pass directly through the Berwick area because it is an area of outstanding natural beauty which represents a leisure playground which must be preserved for future generations of the surrounding towns.
Option 18i

Reason for comment:
The area around the Cuckmere Valley which surrounds Arlington Reservoir, Abbots Wood and Michelham Priory should be seen as part of the larger area (often called the Heritage Coast) which stretches from Upper Dicker to the sea with Berwick at its centre. This area is shown on tourism and leisure guides, pamphlets and maps published by, among many others, East Sussex County Council, Tourism South-east, The South Downs Joint Committee and even Wealden District Council. It is a tourist destination and the centre of a leisure area much used by the surrounding conurbations. National Cycle Network Routes, the Vanguard Way and the Wealden Way all pass directly through the area because it is an area of outstanding natural beauty which represents a leisure playground which must be preserved for future generations of the surrounding towns.
The Berwick proposal is based on several untrue and self-contradictory statements. There is a "need to retain the attractive farmsteads and areas of woodland" but the first phase of the proposal would destroy one of the largest and most important areas of woodland in the area - namely the so-called "brownfield" site. This area of dense and boggy woodland is the only part of the proposed "New Berwick City" that might possibly be masked from the South Downs by the "folds in the land". It is high time this important wildlife site was reclassified as greenfield and left out of development considerations. Given a standard urban rate of 30 dwellings-per-hectare the proposal requires over 200 hectares (2 square kilometers) - without factoring in shops, a school, community buildings, a bigger railway station, a new junction and bridge over the railway. Anyone who has walked along the top of the South Downs and admired the sight of Arlington Reservoir would know that the idea that a development four times the size of that Reservoir can be concealed in any way is ludicrous. The settlement, unable to expand eastward because of the river floodplain, would suck up Selphaston, surround the reservoir northward and spill over the railway towards the A27 (where more spaghetti junctions and road widening would be required). Given a Suburban rate of 1.17 cars-per-household New Berwick City would have over 8,000 commuter cars most of which the new A27 junction might be expected to handle every morning and evening (unless we are going to build some large industries/offices). One of WDC's aims is to build affordable housing. Any developer allowed to build in the Cuckmere Valley would want to build luxury homes because of the desirability of the (shrinking) area of outstanding natural beauty. No developer respecting its shareholders would produce so-called social housing. Any affordable housing built here would swiftly become unaffordable when re-sold. The statistical analysis of work patterns in villages should draw an obvious conclusion. Commuters tend to be among the higher income earners. Affordable housing should not be built in areas high in commuters because such housing will very quickly become unaffordable - assuming the new housing does not have the affect of reducing the attraction of the area and driving all house prices downwards. The area around the Cuckmere Valley which surrounds Arlington Reservoir, Abbots Wood and Michelham Priory should be seen as part of the larger area (often called the Heritage Coast) which stretches from Upper Dicker to the sea with Berwick at its centre. This area is shown on tourism and leisure guides, pamphlets and maps published by, among many others, East Sussex County Council, Tourism South-east, The South Downs Joint Committee and even Wealden District Council. It is a tourist destination and the centre of a leisure area much used by the surrounding conurbations. National Cycle Network Routes, the Vanguard Way and the Wealden Way all pass directly through the Berwick area because it is an area of outstanding natural beauty which represents a leisure playground which must be preserved for future generations of the surrounding towns. The entire concept of new settlements in the Wealden District is profoundly wrong-headed. We are not living in the Wild West, there are no endless prairies and no Indians to kick out. Urban areas always expand and once developers have a stepping-stone into the Cuckmere area there will no going back. Agricultural, Rural and open landscape is not being made any more and we will need it in the future. At all public consultation meetings the public always stress the need to preserve the countryside and encourage agriculture. This aspiration is directly contradicted by a large new settlement in the middle of the Cuckmere Valley. Protecting local environmental quality is a high priority for residents along the Sussex Coast. Most agree that the quality of the local environment should be protected at all costs, even if this means that some needs for jobs and housing will not be met (this from one of WDC's own surveys). When asked where new housing should be put respondents to surveys said (in order of importance):- Where job prospects are strongest, where there is least congestion, where housing is in short supply and where regeneration is most needed. The Cuckmere valley does not qualify in any
respect. The proposed development would provide no new employment nor would it be close to employment opportunities except insofar as any commuter town is. The democratic process is at fault in the consultation process, since inevitably more opinions will be garnered from the urban population and fewer from the rural population who actually inhabit the target region. All public consultation has taken place in urban areas outside the target region but even here urban residents are aware of the necessity of preserving their rural surroundings. There is some suggestion of a ‘chipping away’ method of development starting with infill and limited expansion. This too is the thin end of a large wedge and should also be resisted for all the above reasons. Wealden District does have a problem with Government housing quotas because it is a largely rural district with an AONB in the north and a potential national park in the south - the area in between should not therefore be considered as fair game. It must be considered on its own merits.

Consultee ID: Mr Rogers
106809

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Any affordable housing built in the popular rural areas of Wealden would swiftly become unaffordable when re-sold.

Consultee ID: Mr Rogers
106809

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Why do we like East-West (A27) traffic and dislike North-South (A22) traffic. There is no explanation or justification for this statement in the documentation.

Consultee ID: Mr Coleman
106810

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
This development is situated next to an area of local importance as a Nature Reserve, namely Lake Wood, and an area of barrier land between town and country. There has already been a savage attack on the area due to the impact of the bypass, and any further developing of this sensitive area would, of course, have a negative impact on wildlife, local biodiversity and water, light and air. These impacts are important and must be born in mind here.
Consultee ID: Mr Coleman
Part of document: option 14b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
It must be obvious to anyone looking at this proposed area of development, that the impacts would be serious on the stability of the area in respect of its undoubted value ecologically, and biodiversity of the natural features here. Everyone knows that when areas are concreted or covered in tarmac etc. the nature of the land changes, and this, coupled with the human impact of pollution, both in the air and on the ground due to abuse of the countryside degrades the area and affects all who live around the site. The greatest respect should be shown to the value of open spaces and they should be preserved as the lungs and life of the community.

Consultee ID: Mrs Fuggle
Part of document: option 14b
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Small, limited developments on village outskirts in keeping with the character of neighbouring buildings would allow the village to develop and grow in a positive manner.

Consultee ID: Mrs Fuggle
Part of document: option 14b
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Small, limited developments on village outskirts in keeping with the character of neighbouring buildings would allow the village to develop and grow in a positive manner.

Consultee ID: Mrs Fuggle
Part of document: option 14b
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Small, limited developments on village outskirts in keeping with the character of neighbouring buildings would allow the village to develop and grow in a positive manner.
Option 21c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor

Part of document: Option 3d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor

Part of document: Option 2a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Proctor 106812

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor 106812

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor 106812

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor 106812

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
106812

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th><strong>option</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
106812

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th><strong>option</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
106812

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th><strong>option</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

### Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
106812

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th><strong>option</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td><strong>Disagree</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Proctor

Part of document: 
Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View: 
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor

Part of document: 
Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View: 
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor

Part of document: 
Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View: 
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor

Part of document: 
Question 5c
Section of Document:
Your View: 
Reason for comment:
Settlement boundaries for villages eg Upper Dicker should remain and should also prevent change of use of countryside to sports facilities. Ensure Brownfield sites used first.
Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 6b

Your View
* Agree
* No opinion
* Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 6b

Your View
* Agree
* No opinion
* Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 6c

Your View
* Agree
* No opinion
* Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 7a

Your View
* Agree
* No opinion
* Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Proctor

Part of document:  
- Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor

Part of document:  
- Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor

Part of document:  
- Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
106812
Part of document: Option 9a
Section of Document:
Your View
Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 11a

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 11b

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 12h

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
Agent ID: 106812

Part of document:  
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
Agent ID: 106812

Part of document:  
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
Agent ID: 106812

Part of document:  
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
Agent ID: 106812

Part of document:  
Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 12a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document:</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 12b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document:</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 12c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document:</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 12d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document:</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
Agent ID: 106812

Part of document: Option 12e
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
Agent ID: 106812

Part of document: Option 12f
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
Agent ID: 106812

Part of document: Question 12g
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  ☑ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
There needs to be a "buffer zone" if housing is to be sited near ancient woodland. "Fly tipping" of garden waste etc often a problem in woodland edges near housing.

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
Agent ID: 106812

Part of document: Option 13a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 14a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 14b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 14c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 14d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

14d-A smaller development here would be preferable.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106812</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Section of Document: Option 14j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>But more limited development than suggested.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 106812</td>
<td>Miss Proctor</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Section of Document: Option 15a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 106812</td>
<td>Miss Proctor</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Section of Document: Option 15b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 106812</td>
<td>Miss Proctor</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Section of Document: Option 15c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
Agent ID: 106812

Part of document: Option 15d
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
Agent ID: 106812

Part of document: Option 15e
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
But no disturbance to Bolney’s Wood.

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
Agent ID: 106812

Part of document: Option 15f
Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
Agent ID: 106812

Part of document: Question 15g
Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The land east and north of the leisure centre and car parks should not be developed. This is outstandingly beautiful countryside which should be preserved-Brownfield sites within town centre could be redeveloped.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 15h**

**Your View**

- ✔ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Option 16a**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Option 16a**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Option 16b**

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Option 16c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Option 16d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Option 16e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Option 16f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 16h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Adequate flood defences needed. Also regular clearance of culverts to prevent flooding.

Option 18a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 18b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Proctor Agent ID: 106812

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
But only on existing industrial site to convey to housing.
Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
106812

Part of document:  
抗生素 chapter  no section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
106812

Part of document:  
抗生素 chapter  no section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

A22 needs improving especially road junctions before further development become very congested in rush hours.

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
106812

Part of document:  
抗生素 chapter  no section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
106812

Part of document:  
抗生素 chapter  no section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Proctor

Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

i) Wilmington Green is common land, so should never be developed. ii) Would agree to use of brownfield site only at Berwick Station, NOT a new town.

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor

Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Your View

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor

Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I agree with some development; i) but fewer houses ii) within existing development boundaries iii) car parking needed for existing residents in terraced cottages iv) possible development sites-cheese factory, wise coach site, Malvern House.

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor

Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 24b
- **Reason for comment:** Your View
- **Agent ID:** Agree

### Option 24c
- **Reason for comment:** Your View
- **Agent ID:** Disagree

### Option 24d
- **Reason for comment:** Your View
- **Agent ID:** Agree

### Option 25a
- **Reason for comment:** Your View
- **Agent ID:** Agree
Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 25b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 25c  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 25e  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 25d  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
Agent ID: 106812  

Option 25f  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
Agent ID: 106812  

Option 25g  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
Agent ID: 106812  

Option 26a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
Agent ID: 106812  

Option 26b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Proctor</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✓

**Section of Document:**
- Option 27e

**Your View:**
- Agree: ✓
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: □

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✓

**Section of Document:**
- Option 27f

**Your View:**
- Agree: ✓
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: □

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✓

**Section of Document:**
- Option 28a

**Your View:**
- Agree: ✓
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: □

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Proctor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106812</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✓

**Section of Document:**
- Option 28b

**Your View:**
- Agree: ✓
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: □

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View
Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
106812

Part of document: Option 28c

Section of Document: 

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
106812

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
106812

Part of document: Question 31a

Section of Document: 

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Proctor
106812

Part of document: Question 32a

Section of Document: 

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Proctor  
106812

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☒ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

More car parking needed for existing residents (and visitors) with no parking space. Many houses are being extended. Therefore emphasis for new housing needs to be on affordable and low cost market housing. I would like to see better (i.e. more frequent) bus connections to/from railway stations to places of work eg Eastbourne, Polegate and houses in order to reduce commuter use on A27. A bus service from houses in Upper Diccer to connect with trains at Berwick Station for Eastbourne and Lewes and Brighton could reduce car usage, but probably more incentives needed to use public transport. Alternatively free car parking could be provided at stations. The Cuckmere Valley in the Low Weald deserves AONB status and should be protected from development.

Consultee ID: Mr Maddock  
106813

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☒ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

New dwellings should provide 10% as minimum and embrace as many aspects of sustainable design as possible

Consultee ID: Mr Maddock  
106813

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☒ option  

Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

-traffic impact -erosion of town character -strain on infrastructure
Consultee ID: Mr Maddock  
Agent ID: 106813

Part of document:  
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:
- traffic impact
- erosion of town character
- strain on infrastructure

Consultee ID: Mr Maddock  
Agent ID: 106813

Part of document:  
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Maddock  
Agent ID: 106813

Part of document:  
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:
New settlement at Isfield and lower Dicker could provide an opportunity for Wealden to be at the forefront of sustainable design

Consultee ID: Miss Pickering  
Agent ID: 106814

Part of document:  
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Pickering  
Part of document:  
Option 4b  

Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Pickering  
Part of document:  
Option 4c  

Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Pickering  
Part of document:  
Option 4d  

Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Pickering  
Part of document:  
Question 4e  

Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:  

There is too much traffic. We disagree with any more so called business parks in Polegate.
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Consultee ID: Miss Pickering
Agent ID: 106814

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View   ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Pickering
Agent ID: 106814

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View   ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Pickering
Agent ID: 106814

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View   ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Pickering
Agent ID: 106814

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View   ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Pickering  
106814

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Pickering  
106814

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Pickering  
106814

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Pickering
Agent ID: 106814

Part of document:  
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Pickering
Agent ID: 106814

Part of document:  
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Pickering
Agent ID: 106814

Part of document:  
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Polegate and local area need a leisure area for all age groups, this is very URGENT.

Consultee ID: Miss Pickering
Agent ID: 106814

Part of document:  
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Pickering
Consultee ID: 106814

Section of Document:

Question 16j

Reason for comment:

A site found for the Leisure Complex end of Black Path, that is central

Your View

☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The area known as Hindslands Playing Fields 16f should be kept as green fields. It was used for all sports and was paid for with rate payers money. I have lived at 39 Brightling Road behind Hindslands since the day I was born, 6 Sept. 1943. My parents Mr & Mrs Ernest Pickering still live here. They had their bungalow built here in 1939 in what was countryside and farmland. We have been hemmed in sides and front and we will not accept any more building behind us. I have played hockey on Hindslands as a member of Ladies Hockey Club Saffrons Eastbourne, as did other clubs and schools. Under this Government 187 School Sports Fields have been sold off. We still have the chance to keep this area. The now Prime Minister, Gordon Brown, has announced on 13th July 2007 that he will be allotting 100 million pounds for sports and more time for sports in schools. So I suggest Brighton University apply for the money they need and give back Hindslands to the local communities for sports and leisure. For young and the elderly. There is a strong local feeling that Hindslands field should be retained a green open space, and this area should not be included for housing. Leave villages as they are. You have ruined Polegate Village. We don't like it as a so called Town. Build where Towns are Towns and Brown areas. We have attended many meetings since 1998 regarding Hindslands. I cannot comment on other areas.
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Consultee ID: Mr Cowie  
Agent ID: 106818

Part of document: ☑ chapter ☐ section ☐ option

Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Crowborough and other areas of the district are woefully and seriously short of infrastructure. The is recognised in PPG17. No further house building should be contemplated until the infrastructure problems have been addressed. AONB land is just that. Such land should not be considered for housing or industry. Housing should be built close to the likely employment areas. Car parking near the Uckfield/London rail stations is now a serious problem and needs addressing. In short further development for housing in most parts of Wealden is premature.

Consultee ID: Ms White  
Agent ID: 106819

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☑ section ☐ option

Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I've lived in this area for over 20 years and in particular Snatts Road over the last 2 years, it is simply a beautiful place to live, the fields, woods and wetlands are a pleasure to walk through at any time of day, on our walks we see some many different types of birds, butterflies, flowers and animals, the deer are often also seen. Many many people in the area use this land to relax and appreciate, it is good for our physically well being as well as our mind and souls. My children in particular get alot of stimulation from playing and exploring this area, I ask "What stimulation will anyone get from yet more housing ?" I think it will bring just further frustrations that modern day life brings from over populated areas, bad schooling, poor medical service, over stretched emergency services, bored teenagers, unemployment, congested roads etc etc the list goes on. Please stop distroying the courtsyide that Englands offers, it is becoming a rare beauty that everyone will miss once its all built on, I'm sure even people from the Panning and Building Department love the countryside, do not be responsoble for its distruction. Think of other ways to increase housing, redevelop rundown areas of cities, towns, and industrial wasteland. Success has been seen with such places like the docklands in London, this was an area that could provide many units of housing and has been thought through very well, do the same, look at areas of Brighton which are very run down and revelop them into affordable housing. Can I also be very politically incorrect and very British and ask someone to look at the over populated, illegal immigrants that are in the country and the amount of housing they are taking up, more control should be adherd to and thus I'm sure the demand for additional housing will lessen. Likewise with non-British passport holders buying and renting property in the UK if this was more controlled and limited it would give UK citizens more opportunities.
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Consultee ID: Dr Cann  
106821

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 20b

Section of Document: 

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Strongly disagree this proposal totally disregards the local environment and infrastructure and is based on ill founded arguments. It is also unlikely that Wadhurst has in place the additional infrastructure and services to support such an influx of people and homes. Schools, medical facilities, mains services, policing, public transport, roads etc would all require significant improvements and increases in capacity. Parking and traffic congestion in the High Street is already a serious problem. The relevance of the railway station to housing development at Wadhurst is difficult to understand. It would suggest that the new housing is for commuters rather than for those in local employment. If the intent were to provide affordable housing for those in local jobs it would make more sense for developments to be sited away from the commuter routes and the consequent high prices these areas command. Any significant increase in the number of commuters will lead to serious over crowding both on the trains and in the car park. At present there is no spare capacity for parking at the train station with many cars being dumped at the side of the road.. Large numbers of new homes will inevitably spoil the character of the village and encroach on the surrounding countryside as such development could only be accommodated on green field sites. Section 1.15 of the document identifies areas of ‘key concern’ voiced by local people, which includes ‘Protecting the quality and unique character of the area’ – this would appear to be totally disregarded by the proposal.

Consultee ID: Mr. Edwards  
106823

Part of document: ☐ document ✓ chapter ☐ section ☐ option
Section of Document: How to Comment

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Regarding the proposal for Crowborough, further development would overburden medical and utility resources and an already inadequate road infrastructure. Access from some of the proposed sites, particularly 11d and 11e are via narrow lanes or roads with significant on-road parking. Proposed sites would eliminate what little green field remains between Crowborough and Jarvis Brook. Town Centre facilities are limited with apparent little opportunity to expand the retail outlets. With limited employment opportunities in Crowborough, further development will necessitate increased commuting by private transport as local public transport services are being reduced. Rail services to London are slow and inadequate and there is no direct rail service to the South Coast. The conclusion must be to re-appraise the requirements and build the necessary homes in closer proximity to employment areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option

**Section of Document:**
- Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View:**
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3d

**Section of Document:**
- Option 3d

**Your View:**
- Agree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 2a

**Section of Document:**
- Option 2a

**Your View:**
- Agree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 2b

**Section of Document:**
- Option 2b

**Your View:**
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 3a</th>
<th>Option 3a</th>
<th>Option 3b</th>
<th>Option 3c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood Planning
Part of document: Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood Planning
Part of document: Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood Planning
Part of document: Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View
☒ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood Planning
Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View
☒ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106824 Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: 102494 Sellwood Planning

Part of document: Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106824 Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: 102494 Sellwood Planning

Part of document: Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106824 Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: 102494 Sellwood Planning

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106824 Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: 102494 Sellwood Planning

Part of document: Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Levels of development above "necessary growth" are inappropriate and unsustainable.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 6b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 6c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Consultee ID:** Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd  
**Agent ID:** Sellwood Planning  
**Consultee ID:** Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd  
**Agent ID:** Sellwood Planning  
**Consultee ID:** Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd  
**Agent ID:** Sellwood Planning  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Option 7c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Option 7d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Option 7d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Option 8b</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question 7e

Reason for comment:
Any policy restricting the change of use of village shops and pubs should recognise issues of market demand and viability.

Option 8a

Reason for comment:

Option 8c

Reason for comment:

Option 8d

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

### Option 9a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 9b

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 9c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

### Question Ten

**Section of Document:**

- Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  Option 11a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  Option 11b

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  Question 12h

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 11c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
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**Reason for comment:**

---
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**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
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**Section of Document:** Option 11d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
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<thead>
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**Your View**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
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**Your View**
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**Reason for comment:**
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Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106824 Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
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Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 12d

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Section of Document:** 
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**Your View:**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:** 
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Part of document:  
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- ☐ chapter  
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**Section of Document:** 
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**Section of Document:** 
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**Your View:**  
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**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
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**Your View**
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**Your View**
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**Part of document:**
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- [ ] chapter
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**Section of Document:**
- Option 15c

**Your View**
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- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
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Part of document:  
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Section of Document:

Your View  
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Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
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Part of document:  
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Part of document:  
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Part of document:  
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Section of Document:

Your View  
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**Part of document:**
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- [ ] chapter
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**Section of Document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
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**Your View**
- [x] Agree
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**Reason for comment:**
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**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
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**Section of Document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
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**Option 16a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
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- [ ] document
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**Section of Document:**
- [ ] document
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**Option 16b**

**Your View**
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**Reason for comment:**
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- [ ] document
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**Section of Document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
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**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
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Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Areas 16(d), the allocated PW2 site and the Polegate Business Park would make a sustainable urban extension to Polegate.
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Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
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Part of document: Option 17c
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Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood Planning

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood Planning

Part of document: Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
## Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18g</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18e</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18f</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood Planning

Part of document: Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood Planning

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood Planning

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood Planning

Part of document: Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

None of the new settlement options have both the critical mass to support the range of facilities and services necessary to create a sustainable new settlement and support public transport and vehicular access.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID: 102494</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 19a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID: 102494</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 19b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID: 102494</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 19c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID: 102494</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 19d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 19e

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 20a

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 20b

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 20c

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 21a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 21b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 21c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 21d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

| Your View | [ ] Agree | [ ] No opinion | [x] Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

| Your View | [ ] Agree | [ ] No opinion | [x] Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

| Your View | [ ] Agree | [ ] No opinion | [x] Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

| Your View | [ ] Agree | [ ] No opinion | [x] Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood Planning
Part of document: option

Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood Planning
Part of document: option

Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood Planning
Part of document: option

Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood Planning
Part of document: option

Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID: Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824 Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494 Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 24b**

**Your View** ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID: Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824 Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494 Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 24c**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID: Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824 Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494 Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 24d**

**Your View** ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID: Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824 Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494 Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25a**

**Your View** ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:** Option 25b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:** Option 25c

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:** Option 25e

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:** Option 25d

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
## Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106824 Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494 Sellwood Planning</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106824 Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494 Sellwood Planning</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106824 Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494 Sellwood Planning</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106824 Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494 Sellwood Planning</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 27c

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 27d

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 27e

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 27e

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 27e

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 27e

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 27e

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood

Part of document: Option 27f
Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood

Part of document: Option 28a
Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood

Part of document: Option 28b
Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
Agent ID: Sellwood

Part of document: Option 28c
Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Page 3777 of 6217
Reason for comment:
Housing delivery would be frustrated and not expedited by the measures set out in paragraph 7.7.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Sellwood Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106824</td>
<td>Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd</td>
<td>102494</td>
<td>Sellwood Planning</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 32a**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Edgar</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Miss Edgar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106825</td>
<td>Planning Perspectives</td>
<td>102495</td>
<td>Planning Perspectives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 3a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

When there is no realistic prospect of employment development coming forward on an allocated site, we would support the view that land should be used for other uses.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Edgar</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Miss Edgar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106825</td>
<td>Planning Perspectives</td>
<td>102495</td>
<td>Planning Perspectives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Question 7e

Reason for comment:
Paragraph 5.15 of the Issues and Options Consultation Paper states: “Where planning permission has not been granted for sites identified in the Non-Statutory Plan it will be necessary to reassess these areas as part of the Local Development Framework process to see if they are still deliverable”. We fundamentally disagree with this statement. The identified sites went through a long and thorough process of inspection. The principle of developing these sites has now been established. There is no need to reassess these areas, and the sites should remain as locations identified for new development.

Option 9c

Reason for comment:
We support the principle of providing affordable housing, but believe that the 40% target for Uckfield, Crowborough and Heathfield is excessive.
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:

As such a large proportion of the District is within the AONB, to prevent development in these areas would lead to economic and social decline. Careful consideration must be given to design; not all development should be assumed to have a negative impact on the landscape.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:

A more flexible approach to land allocation would allow alternative schemes to be considered taking into account the needs of the local community.

Option 5a

Reason for comment:

Settlement boundaries should be reviewed and expanded where appropriate.

Option 5b

Reason for comment:
Option 7c

Reason for comment:
Limiting development to those villages that already have facilities does not aid those existing settlements which lack essential facilities. Modest development which includes the provision of key services could help rejuvenate village life.

Option 22e

Reason for comment:
Proposed site for residential development at Horam.

Option 24a

Reason for comment:
Housing densities should be appropriate to the location.

Option 25a

Reason for comment:
There is an increasing elderly population which requires small single storey properties. Flats and terraced housing often do not meet their needs. Whilst there is a need for small dwellings there is also a continuing need for family housing.
Consultee ID: Ms Norris
106826 Judith Norris Ltd

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
We should be providing affordable housing where it is required and creating mixed communities.

Consultee ID: Mrs Ashford Medaney
106827

Part of document: Option 14a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Lack of infrastructure. Ruining of natural countryside/ancient woodlands etc

Consultee ID: Mrs Ashford Medaney
106827

Part of document: Option 14b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Lack of infrastructure and ruining of countryside and environment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Ashford Medaney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106827</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 21b
- Option 21c

**Section of Document:**
- Option 14a
- Option 14b

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Ashford Medaney
**Part of document:** Option 21b
**Section of Document:** Your View
**Reason for comment:**
- Disagree

**Consultee ID:** Mr Medaney
**Part of document:** Option 14a
**Section of Document:** Your View
**Reason for comment:**
- Disagree

**Consultee ID:** Mr Medaney
**Part of document:** Option 14b
**Section of Document:** Your View
**Reason for comment:**
- Disagree

Totally unacceptable to the town, countryside and environment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Medaney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106828</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Medaney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106828</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Medaney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106828</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106829</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms Holmes

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms Holmes

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Holmes

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Holmes

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Holmes

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

5 a/b is looking both ways - either a boundary is maintained or it isn't!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106829</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 7a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106829</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 7b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106829</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 7d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106829</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 8b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Ms Holmes
Agent ID: 106829

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 16b

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Holmes
Agent ID: 106829

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 16a

Reason for comment:
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 16f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 16g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Question 16h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Infrastructure & traffic congestion need to be taken into consideration.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106829</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[x] No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[x] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106829</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[x] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106829</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[x] No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[x] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106829</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[x] No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[x] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Ms Holmes

Part of document: Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

I feel there is a blurring of flood boundaries and not enough attention given to the infrastructure. Details are important. The balance of wildlife is also threatened.

Consultee ID: Ms Holmes

Part of document: Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Holmes

Part of document: Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Holmes

Part of document: Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms Holmes  
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID: 106829

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Holmes  
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID: 106829

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Holmes  
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID: 106829

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Holmes  
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID: 106829

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106829</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Question 31a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Issues so clearly impinge on one another that I feel we are left with little time to fully consider them, therefore some questions I have left.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Holmes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Question 32a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This is in line with the current Wealden Community Strategy priority to: Influence the Local Development Framework to allow villages to expand in order to provide small scale developments, and allow higher density dwellings (e.g., terraced houses) in rural areas providing the design and layout is appropriate and includes suitable provision for open space and parking.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Question One**

**Section of Document:**

**Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This is in line with the current Wealden Community Strategy priority to: Influence the Local Development Framework to allow villages to expand in order to provide small scale developments, and allow higher density dwellings (e.g., terraced houses) in rural areas providing the design and layout is appropriate and includes suitable provision for open space and parking.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller
Agent ID: 106830
Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 3d

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Preferred options to be in line with the current Wealden Community Strategy priorities to: Encourage a balanced approach between residential use and new or existing business sites, for example, by encouraging a more sympathetic approach to the conversion of redundant farm buildings for alternative business uses Support efforts to ensure that adequate employment opportunities are in place or under development where more houses are to be built Ensure that appropriate support and infrastructure is available for the small and micro businesses which tend to predominate in the area.

Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller
Agent ID: 106830
Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 2a

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
This option is in line with the current Wealden Community Strategy priorities to: Encourage sustainable design through the introduction of a Supplementary Planning Document which includes energy efficiency, greater use of renewables and sustainable transport Recognise and adapt to climate change and its impact on Wealden, including management of CO2 emissions, the demand for water and the provision of adequate water resources, including new resources where there is environmental capacity Encourage initiatives to promote the green agenda, working towards a reduction in carbon emissions, and the ‘eco-homes’ standards in the provision of both social and private sector housing.
Option 2b

Reason for comment:
This option is in line with the current Wealden Community Strategy priorities to: Encourage sustainable design through the introduction of a Supplementary Planning Document which includes energy efficiency, greater use of renewables and sustainable transport Recognise and adapt to climate change and its impact on Wealden, including management of CO2 emissions, the demand for water and the provision of adequate water resources, including new resources where there is environmental capacity Encourage initiatives to promote the green agenda, working towards a reduction in carbon emissions, and the ‘eco-homes’ standards in the provision of both social and private sector housing.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
Subject to the current Wealden Community Strategy priorities to: Encourage a balanced approach between residential use and new or existing business sites, for example, by encouraging a more sympathetic approach to the conversion of redundant farm buildings for alternative business uses Support efforts to ensure that that adequate employment opportunities are in place or under development where more houses are to be built Ensure that appropriate support and infrastructure is available for the small and micro businesses which tend to predominate in the area.
Option 3b

Reason for comment:
Market need for employment premises or market need for housing? Flexible solutions should be possible, may be a mix of employment premises and housing rather than one or the other. See priorities from the Community Strategy: Encourage a balanced approach between residential use and new or existing business sites, for example, by encouraging a more sympathetic approach to the conversion of redundant farm buildings for alternative business uses Support efforts to ensure that adequate employment opportunities are in place or under development where more houses are to be built Ensure that appropriate support and infrastructure is available for the small and micro businesses which tend to predominate in the area

Option 3c

Reason for comment:
Agree subject to the Community Strategy priorities below, particularly to include opportunities for micro businesses. Encourage a balanced approach between residential use and new or existing business sites, for example, by encouraging a more sympathetic approach to the conversion of redundant farm buildings for alternative business uses Support efforts to ensure that adequate employment opportunities are in place or under development where more houses are to be built Ensure that appropriate support and infrastructure is available for the small and micro businesses which tend to predominate in the area
Hailsham and Polegate seem already to be more or less at capacity without major infrastructure development. Infrastructure improvements would therefore be an essential element of any development in those areas. The LSP supports the concept of the Eastbourne – Hailsham Triangle business development ‘Blueprint’, but would encourage the WDC LDF team to liaise with the East Sussex Economic Partnership (ESEP) as the ‘Blueprint’ forms a key element of their new 10-year Economic Development Strategy and they envisage a ‘special development vehicle’ to deliver the vision. There needs to be coherence and alignment between the Wealden and Eastbourne LDFs and the strategies and plans of ESEP and, for example, SEEDA.
Hailsham and Polegate seem already to be more or less at capacity without major infrastructure development. Infrastructure improvements would therefore be an essential element of any development in those areas. The LSP supports the concept of the Eastbourne – Hailsham Triangle business development ‘Blueprint’, but would encourage the WDC LDF team to liaise with the East Sussex Economic Partnership (ESEP) as the ‘Blueprint’ forms a key element of their new 10-year Economic Development Strategy and they envisage a ‘special development vehicle’ to deliver the vision. There needs to be coherence and alignment between the Wealden and Eastbourne LDFs and the strategies and plans of ESEP and, for example, SEEDA.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
<td></td>
<td>document, chapter, section</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
<td></td>
<td>document, chapter, section</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
<td></td>
<td>document, chapter, section</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
<td></td>
<td>document, chapter, section</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consurnee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller
106830 Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The LSP believes that a maximum of around 15% of development should be distributed between villages, prioritising those which are most sustainable in the longer term. To encourage development in villages which are unsustainable goes against common sense and could be seen as an irresponsible use of scarce investment resource. Therefore, about 85% of development should be focused in and around towns.

Consurnee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller
106830 Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Disagree unless significant infrastructure improvements are included.

Consurnee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller
106830 Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The LSP believes that the classification of settlements and attendant strategy should aim for development which achieves long term sustainability, either by consolidating existing sustainable settlements or by contributing additional sustainability to settlements which are currently at the margins of sustainability.
Option 8a
Reason for comment:
Disagree unless significant infrastructure improvements are included.

Option 8c
Reason for comment:
Disagree unless significant infrastructure improvements are included.

Option 8d
Reason for comment:

Option 9c
Reason for comment:
Agree, but Uckfield’s situation needs particularly close study and review, see comments under each town later.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☑ section  ☑ option

**Question 11f**

**Reason for comment:**
Levels of development in and around Crowborough should to some extent be determined by the infrastructure improvements which can be achieved. However, considerable weight must also be given to designing in measures to assist in building social cohesion as this is already a cause for concern in the community. ’Planning for Real’ type exercises should be undertaken so that there can be real involvement and engagement around the decision-making process.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☑ section  ☑ option

**Question 12g**

**Reason for comment:**
Levels of development in and around Heathfield should to some extent be determined by the infrastructure improvements which can be achieved.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☑ section  ☑ option

**Question 14i**

**Reason for comment:**
The LSP believes that the complexities of the factors surrounding options for development in Uckfield demand a major, dispassionate review to include ‘outside the box’ thinking, considering really radical approaches and involving ‘Planning for Real’ – type exercises.
Question 15g

Reason for comment:
Hailsham seems to be very close to capacity without significant infrastructure improvements, although there may be room for marginal incremental development.

Question 16h

Reason for comment:
The Polegate / Willingdon area seems to be very close to capacity without significant infrastructure improvements, although there may be room for marginal incremental development.

Option 18a

Reason for comment:

Option 18b

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Option 18c

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Option 18g

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Option 18g

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Option 18g

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Option 18d

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: 106830  Mrs Cameron-Waller  Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option  Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106830  Mrs Cameron-Waller  Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option  Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106830  Mrs Cameron-Waller  Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option  Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106830  Mrs Cameron-Waller  Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option  Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller  
Wealden Local Strategic Partnership  

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option  
Option 18i  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller  
Wealden Local Strategic Partnership  

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option  
Option 18j  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller  
Wealden Local Strategic Partnership  

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option  
Option 18j  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller  
Wealden Local Strategic Partnership  

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option  
Option 18j  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
After careful consideration, the LSP concluded that they do not believe that a new settlement option is appropriate for Wealden.
A Community Strategy priority is to Influence the Local Development Framework to allow villages to expand in order to provide small scale developments, and allow higher density dwellings (eg terraced houses) in rural areas providing the design and layout is appropriate and includes suitable provision for open space and parking. The LSP supports dwelling densities of more than the 30-35 dwellings per hectare where this is appropriate, but is reluctant to support a blanket level for the entire District given the wide range of settlement types and sizes. Development should be in keeping with local character.
Option 25a

Reason for comment:
Agree – in rural areas as well as in towns

Option 25b

Reason for comment:
A Community Strategy priority is to Lobby for an increase in the percentage of affordable housing in developments, an extension of the requirement to include smaller schemes, and encourage affordable provision in all settlements The LSP supports mixed, balanced and sustainable development to meet the needs of existing and future communities. It is likely that some flexibility is required in order to achieve this.

Option 25c

Reason for comment:
A Community Strategy priority is to Lobby for an increase in the percentage of affordable housing in developments, an extension of the requirement to include smaller schemes, and encourage affordable provision in all settlements The LSP supports mixed, balanced and sustainable development to meet the needs of existing and future communities. It is likely that some flexibility is required in order to achieve this.
Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller  
106830 Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree provided settlement boundaries and exceptions criteria are reviewed, and retain flexibility for ‘common sense’ interpretation.

Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller  
106830 Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A Community Strategy priority is to Lobby for an increase in the percentage of affordable housing in developments, an extension of the requirement to include smaller schemes, and encourage affordable provision in all settlements The LSP supports mixed, balanced and sustainable development to meet the needs of existing and future communities. It is likely that some flexibility is required in order to achieve this.

Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller  
106830 Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree - a Community Strategy priority is to encourage greater provision of specialist accommodation, eg for older people and those with special needs, in the social and private housing sectors
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Option 25g**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Agree - a Community Strategy priority is to encourage a balanced approach between residential use and new or existing business sites, for example, by encouraging a more sympathetic approach to the conversion of redundant farm buildings for alternative business uses.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Option 27a**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Agree – this is in line with the Community Strategy vision to reduce the need to travel by car through better facilities for walking, cycling and public transport.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Cameron-Waller</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106830</td>
<td>Wealden Local Strategic Partnership</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Agree – this is in line with the Community Strategy priority to promote and lobby for the reinstatement of the Uckfield to Lewes railway line, and to support improvements in local strategic transport infrastructure.
Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller
106830
Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document: Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree – this is in line with the Community Strategy priority to lobby for and encourage more integrated public transport to allow interchange wherever possible between rail, bus, community transport, shared cars, cycling and walking, with appropriate facilities to promote their safe use

Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller
106830
Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document: Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree – this is in line with the Community Strategy priority to lobby for and encourage more integrated public transport to allow interchange wherever possible between rail, bus, community transport, shared cars, cycling and walking, with appropriate facilities to promote their safe use and to encourage improved access to rail stations by all modes of transport, including provision of adequate parking facilities

Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller
106830
Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document: Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree – this is in line with the Community Strategy priority to encourage suitable provision for parking in developments
These options are in line with Community Strategy priorities to § Encourage improved facilities for pedestrians and adequate provision of high quality, accessible open space, to include facilities for children’s recreation in safe, central locations with minimal nuisance to neighbours, a safe and comprehensive network of footpaths, and rationalised signage and street furniture § Maintain and improve public open space for people and wildlife, to include the general tidiness, maintenance of verges, removal of litter, tree planting and maintenance of existing trees and planting schemes

These options are in line with Community Strategy priorities to § Encourage improved facilities for pedestrians and adequate provision of high quality, accessible open space, to include facilities for children’s recreation in safe, central locations with minimal nuisance to neighbours, a safe and comprehensive network of footpaths, and rationalised signage and street furniture § Maintain and improve public open space for people and wildlife, to include the general tidiness, maintenance of verges, removal of litter, tree planting and maintenance of existing trees and planting schemes

These options are in line with Community Strategy priorities to § Encourage improved facilities for pedestrians and adequate provision of high quality, accessible open space, to include facilities for children’s recreation in safe, central locations with minimal nuisance to neighbours, a safe and comprehensive network of footpaths, and rationalised signage and street furniture § Maintain and improve public open space for people and wildlife, to include the general tidiness, maintenance of verges, removal of litter, tree planting and maintenance of existing trees and planting schemes
These options are in line with Community Strategy priorities to § Encourage improved facilities for pedestrians and adequate provision of high quality, accessible open space, to include facilities for children’s recreation in safe, central locations with minimal nuisance to neighbours, a safe and comprehensive network of footpaths, and rationalised signage and street furniture § Maintain and improve public open space for people and wildlife, to include the general tidiness, maintenance of verges, removal of litter, tree planting and maintenance of existing trees and planting schemes.
Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller  
106830 Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Agree in general terms with the themes

Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller  
106830 Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option

Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Agree in general terms with the themes

Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller  
106830 Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option

Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

As the paper states at 8.4 ‘The best plan visions are often fairly short’. The examples given are very short and succinct, but effective. Flowery language is inappropriate. Given current thinking about the importance of aligning Sustainable Community Strategies and LDF Core Strategies, the LSP would encourage the LDF team to liaise with the East Sussex LSP Chairs and Co-ordinators group overseeing the production of an East Sussex Integrated Sustainable Community Strategy to ensure that the visions expressed are in harmony with each other.
Consultee ID: Mrs Cameron-Waller
106830 Wealden Local Strategic Partnership

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question 32a

Reason for comment:
Agree, but it would also be good to see an objective linked to delivery of the spatial aspects of the Community Strategy.

Consultee ID: Mr Curran
106831

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Well structured multiple units that can be constructed to accommodate 2 bedroom small families. This has been achieved in Gateshead and on the continent. Shared gardens on top of underground parking.

Consultee ID: Mr Curran
106831

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Boundaries are there to be respected and define a natural limit to a settlement.

Consultee ID: Mr Curran
106831

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development outside any boundary can lead to further applications for further development which might not be conducive to the area.
Consultee ID: Mr Curran  
106831  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 19a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Forest Row is big enough. Expansion would require further services such as doctors, schools and sports facilities Potential for infilling development on floodplain should be investigated in preference to expanding beyond the boundaries.

Consultee ID: Mr Northcott  
106832  
Part of document: □ document  ✔ chapter  □ section  □ option  
Section of Document: Location Plan  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
1) The proposal for a new town at Berwick will visually impact the South Downs and The Cuckmere Valley, this being the last remaining undeveloped river in the South East. 2) Berwick and the surrounding villages are considered 'rural', any new building on the scale proposed would change the areas dynamics - the reason why so many of us choose to live and bring up our families in this environment is that it is safe and largely free from modern day influences - why spoil this! 3) As large scale building is also being considered for the A22 from Golden Cross to the Boship, my own village Ripe, is in danger of becoming a rat-run - consequently this will impact my ability to enjoy my own home.

Consultee ID: Mrs Smith  
106833  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 8b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Consultee ID: Mrs Smith Agent ID: 106833
Part of document: Option 8a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Smith Agent ID: 106833
Part of document: Option 8c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Smith Agent ID: 106833
Part of document: Option 8d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Smith Agent ID: 106833
Part of document: Option 18g

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106833</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document:   [ ]
- Chapter:    [ ]
- Section:    [ ]
- Option:     [✔]

**Option 18f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✔] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106833</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document:   [ ]
- Chapter:    [ ]
- Section:    [ ]
- Option:     [✔]

**Option 18h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✔] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106833</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document:   [ ]
- Chapter:    [ ]
- Section:    [ ]
- Option:     [✔]

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✔] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106833</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document:   [ ]
- Chapter:    [ ]
- Section:    [ ]
- Option:     [✔]

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✔] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Smith
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I feel that small developments in all suitable villages are better than one large settlement. Our infrastructure—roads, water, sewage—could not cope with 1000’s of people in one area.

Consultee ID: Gower
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Sandy Cross lane is a very narrow road, at places 2 cars cannot pass each other with ease. There are no pavements for anyone to walk on and I cannot see how these could be created. Sandy Cross lane and Weavers Brook are already used as a rat run, at parts of Weavers Brook 2 cars cannot pass each other. it is not safe to walk along them. Such a development would inevitably increase car movements through Old Heathfield. The building would be within the AONB and close to SSSIs and inevitably have a negative impact on them. I am not opposed to development within Heathfield per se but unless there is proper public transport or facilities to allow people to walk safely then such devepment would not enhance the town but make it worse. I do not think that many jobs can be created within Heathfield and those living in the new developments would need to use cars to get to work, there being virtually no alternative.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Grove</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106835</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>I totally disagree and feel that it is very important that boundaries are respected.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Grove</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106835</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Firstly I believe these plans make the usual mistake of thinking that expansion is always a good thing - this is an erroneous concept; within the province of the human body there is a term for unlimited growth: cancer. Besides this, a large percentage of housing in the area is utilised by the elderly - single occupants in 4 bedroom houses. If space is an issue, as it must be to even begin justifying additional housing, why not create attractive affordable one-bedroom apartments that act to draw the elderly, simultaneously freeing up a large number of family-sized properties without recourse to building new houses on green areas. Such areas usually constitute a primary attraction to new residents in the first place, so the less of them there are, the less interest there will be from potential new residents. As an aside, I'd like to point out that the very way the options offered here have been presented offers little scope to really speak our minds - where, I ask, is the option stating that there should be no expansion at all? Failure to present all possible options for consideration defeats the purpose of community discussion; it becomes subtly biased in favour of expansion whether we want it or not.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Grove</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106835</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>We have to draw a line and assess our priorities on what is a sustainable community.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Rachel Stelling said it all as far as I'm concerned: "A settlement boundary is there to protect the village from overdevelopment. If it can be ignored then it is meaningless, however strict the criteria...." I would also add again that as many four-bedroom houses are occupied by less than four people, the situation is akin to building bypasses for the increasing number of cars when the majority of these cars are capable of carrying four passengers but almost always seem to be used by individuals. "More" is not the answer - "better, more efficient use of what already exists" is. This is the story of our world, is it not?

Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Forest Row has a very special community. Could more infill development be accommodated in preference to expansion of the boundary? More local discussion is needed to determine what housing needs exist and how they might be met.

Foreword

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Specifically, but equally relevant elsewhere, Re Polegate and Willingdon. We do not want anything larger than options 16e and 16g until we have schools, roads, infrastructure that support these developments. East Sussex is a backwater in terms of travel.
Reason for comment:
The Council should also consider land lying north of the Millbrook Industrial Estate, south of the railway line and immediately west of Palesgate Lane. The land is in a sustainable location, well screened and bounded on three sides by existing development.

Reason for comment:
The proposed development would have an unacceptable impact on views to and from the Downs and on the historic landscape of Wootton park. This is a registered Park and Garden of Special historic interest and the landscape setting of the manor, a grade II* building of special historic architectural interest. Planning legislation requires special regard to be given to the impact of development on features of special architectural interest. Wootton park is a multi layered landscape extending over 2,000 years and notable features include; Doomsday Book reference 1086 a manor of 4.5 hides which had increased value by 400% since 1066 Hunting Charter of 1252 confirmed presence of road and substantial demesne with its own chapel. The field boundaries and names remain unaltered to present day Roman Road - Fanne Street and associated tree belt which passes north of the house John Speeds map of 1652 and Blaus map of 1645 show field boundaries and names, recent research suggests that the fields in the front Park have never been ploughed or fertilized. Parts of the house and chapel date from this period Manor House built in 1650 on foundations of older house and incorporating medieval features including chapel Lewes Toll road opened early 18th century with original tree belt South Coast railway built 1832 with further tree planting Extension of house, garden parkland and model farm built by Detmar Blow an important example of Arts and craft movement.
Any large-scale new settlement at Berwick would create a logistical time-bomb with regard to traffic flow on the A27. The present road through Berwick and Upper Dicker, joining the A27 and the A22 would also suffer a dramatic increase in traffic densities. There is a large school on this road at Upper Dicker, with children crossing the road regularly between different school buildings.

Development in the High Weald AONB should, however, be restricted to that necessary to satisfy only the housing needs of those settlements that are within the AONB.
Although new development should meet ever more stringent requirements for energy saving, it should not be linked to micro generation from renewable energy sources. Site suitability and planning controls relevant to the various types of energy generation are entirely different to that for residential development.
Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document: Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Primrose 106845

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Poor infrastructure outside the main towns make any higher rural proportion inappropriate.

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose 106845

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
As for 6(b)

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose 106845

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose 106845

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106845</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106845</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106845</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106845</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Primrose</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 9c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Allocation Thresholds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔️ Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Allocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔️ Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 11a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unacceptable, visually-intrusive incursion into the AONB being in a ridge-top position.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 11b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unacceptable, visually-intrusive incursion into the AONB being in a ridge-top position.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Unacceptable, visually-intrusive incursion into the AONB at a ridge-top position.

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [□]
- Chapter: [□]
- Section: [□]
- Option: [✓]

**Question 11g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [✓]
- No opinion: [□]
- Disagree: [□]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [□]
- Chapter: [□]
- Section: [□]
- Option: [✓]

**Option 12a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [✓]
- No opinion: [□]
- Disagree: [□]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [□]
- Chapter: [□]
- Section: [□]
- Option: [✓]

**Option 12b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [✓]
- No opinion: [□]
- Disagree: [□]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [□]
- Chapter: [□]
- Section: [□]
- Option: [✓]

**Option 12c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [□]
- No opinion: [□]
- Disagree: [✓]

**Reason for comment:**
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 12e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 12f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 13a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Development should, however, be conditional upon the conservation and appropriate assured future management of the SNCl.
Option 14a

Reason for comment:
Unacceptable incursion into the gap of countryside between Uckfield and neighbouring villages.
Proximity to highly-regarded SNCI.

Option 14b

Option 14c

Option 14d

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Primrose 106845

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose 106845

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose 106845

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
New development in Uckfield Town Centre must be conditional upon major flood relief works being carried out.

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose 106845

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106845
Mr Primrose

Part of document: Option 18a
Option 18b
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106845
Mr Primrose

Part of document: Option 18a
Option 18b
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106845
Mr Primrose

Part of document: Option 18a
Option 18b
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
106845

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
106845

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
106845

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
106845

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The poor infrastructure in and surrounding the rural settlements within Wealden make all the proposed areas totally inappropriate for new settlements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 19a

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 19b

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 19c

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 19d

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Rotherfield's restricted village centre road junctions flanked by listed properties in a conservation area severely impair traffic movement, which is already overloaded by traffic coming through the village to reach the Jarvis Brook industrial estates. Growth potential should therefore be significantly reduced.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 21a

- Option 21b

- Option 21c

- Option 21d

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Primrose

**Part of document:**

- Option 21a

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Primrose

**Part of document:**

- Option 21b

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Primrose

**Part of document:**

- Option 21c

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Primrose

**Part of document:**

- Option 21d

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree
Consultee ID: Mr Primrose

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Primrose  
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document:  
Option 24c

Section of Document:  
Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose  
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document:  
Option 24d

Section of Document:  
Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose  
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document:  
Option 25a

Section of Document:  
Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose  
Agent ID: 106845

Part of document:  
Option 25b

Section of Document:  
Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:  
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Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Part of document: Option 25c
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Part of document: Option 25e
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Part of document: Option 25f
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Most affordable housing needs to be in the towns within a reasonable distance of the services required by young families.

Existing permissions widely flouted. Controls impractical.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25g

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Control difficult.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 26a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 26b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID:
106845

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document: Option 27b

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID:
106845

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document: Option 27c

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID:
106845

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document: Option 27d

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Primrose
Agent ID:
106845

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document: Option 27e

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- box for option selected

**Section of Document:**

**Question Twenty Nine**

**Your View:**  
- box for option selected

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- box for option selected

**Section of Document:**

**Question Thirty**

**Your View:**  
- box for option selected

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- box for option selected

**Section of Document:**

**Question 31a**

**Your View:**  
- box for option selected

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Primrose</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106845</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- box for option selected

**Section of Document:**

**Question 32a**

**Your View:**  
- box for option selected

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Warren  
106846  
Phoenix Electrical  
Part of document: Option 14a  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
□ Agree  
□ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Warren  
106846  
Phoenix Electrical  
Part of document: Option 14b  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
□ Agree  
□ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Warren  
106846  
Phoenix Electrical  
Part of document: Option 14b  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
□ Agree  
□ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Ms Manser  
106848  
Part of document: Option 5a  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
□ Agree  
□ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
A line has been drawn and should be kept to - otherwise a boundary is not real.
Option 5b

Reason for comment:
A line should be drawn and kept to.

Option 19a

Reason for comment:
Forest Row is large enough. Focus should be on infill development and encouraging smaller villages in the District to reach critical mass to be self sustaining.

Option 5a

Reason for comment:
Rules are there to be stuck to.

Option 5b

Reason for comment:
Rules are there to be stuck to.
Consultee ID: Simmons

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 19a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I love Forest Row and feel safe here. If it got bigger I couldn't walk my dog on my own because there would be too many strangers. Also my school is a nice size so I know most of the children's names. I like this and feel like I know people here. We can walk to the shops and see my friends. Please don't spoil this by making it bigger. I moved from Southwater where the school got big and didn't like it there as much.
Absolutley not, sandy cross lane of all places is completly innappropiarat, the area of outstanding natural beauty is all ready under threat from global warming and other man made enviromental problems, out of all proposed sites there is no reason why the land and area around old heathfield, the area behind the proposed area has to be completely destroyed, along with various eco enviroments which would destroy the areas wildlife, and numerous habbitats which are already struggling to survive. Under no circumstances should the council even consider destroying the only remaining animal and wildlife habbitats in and around heathfield, Thedilution of people in the area would destroy the community, disruption to the highly unsuitable road network which is even unsuitable for the school run let alone a new housing estate as well. The small schools of Prkside and All saints would be swamped and overrun with new children needing convienient schooling, classes would increase in numbers and children already in education therefor cannot get the individual help they require, unless the council are planning to build a new school in the area aswell (even tho there is already three). Acess to the site would completly tear apart the historic enviroment, and is completly innapropriat when a brown feild site closer to town has been proposed. Extra police would be need to be situated in the immediate area, as the distance from town is in practical, transport and bus routes would have to be altered thus spreading the urban development accross all the way from town down to old heathfield. If the area was to be sentenced to this fate, i can predict that the remaining area of old heathfield, towards The Star would be developed shortly afterwards as it has nothing more than sandy cross lane has physicaly stopping it. Once the sandy cross area has been developed and all natural beauty, wildlife and last remaining sense of rural community has been completly destroyed, what is stopping the development and urban sprawl traveling further and further. Drainage in the area would be a problen because of its situation, the new houses would bring with them higher crime rates (with no one to police them) more theft from houses surrounding, noise pollution, sound pollution, loss of wildlife, loss of bird species, loss of community, more traffic using highly unsuitable road networks, choosing to destroy areas of natural outstanding beauty when it could so easily be done on Brown feild sites where it already has good reliable transprot links, no wildlife or community to be destroyed, easier to police as the areas of higher crime (the new development) could be policed along with town. Once the development had been built other services would pop up to cater for their needs, such as more small shops, then a bigger shop, then they would want fuel so a petrol station would be built, this would all compet for customers and lead to eventual degenration of the town area which would have to compete for the customers, once the town was run down the rest of heathfield would be soon to follow. Therefor i beleive the council would be making a huge mistake which cannot be put back by building any development on sandy cross lane or any part of the old heathfield area once built it would signal the rapid decline in community, complete devestation of the enviroment and ruin the lives of the inhabbitants in the area. This mistake has been made before in other areas.
Consultee ID: Mr Shaw

Part of document: Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The statement does not provide an effective framework for policy at all - to say that boundaries should be maintained but expanded where necessary means that anything becomes possible. Boundaries should be maintained and particularly in relation to large villages such as Forest Row, development should be encouraged within those boundaries.

Consultee ID: Mr Shaw

Part of document: Option 19a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Forest Row is already a large village. An extra 200 or so houses would make it a small town and increase the likelihood of it becoming 'joined up' with Ashurst Wood and East Grinstead. Forest Row has the feel of a lively and self-sustaining community and that identity would be endangered if the physical boundaries started to merge with other developments which are currently separate.

Consultee ID: Mrs Innes

Part of document: Part 10

Section of Document: Details of How to Comment

Reason for comment:
I strongly disagree with proposals for additional housing and development in and around Hailsham, Hellingly, Lower Dicker, Willingdon and Polegate. I believe any such developments would damage our existing wildlife and natural environment putting the whole area at severe risk of flooding; overload the already stretched facilities for social, health, welfare, medical and dental care. It would also impact adversely on our rural way of life. Public transport in these areas is slow and restricted forcing people to use their own cars to go about their daily business. Parking is already at a premium in the towns. The A27, A22 and A267 already have more than their fair share of serious/fatal accidents and these roads have to be shared with cyclists, slow moving farm vehicles and horse riders. In Hellingly we frequently suffer already from power failures and these can only worsen if more houses are built. Please say 'No' to government plans for further development in this beautiful part of out country. Stop the urbanization of our villages.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 4b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 4c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 4d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Ms Westall  
106856  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 5a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: Ms Westall  
106856  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: Ms Westall  
106856  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 8b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: Ms Westall  
106856  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 8a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option

**Option 8c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View:**  [✓] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option

**Option 8d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View:**  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option

**Option 9a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View:**  [✓] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option

**Option 9b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View:**  [✓] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Ms Westall

Option 9c

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment: Too many for small villages

Option 11a

Reason for comment:

Option 11d

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 12a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 12b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 15a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Ms Westall
106856

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Westall
106856

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Westall
106856

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Westall
106856

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18b  
- Option 18c  
- Option 18d  
- Option 18h

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Westall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23b</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>Section of Document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Westall</td>
<td>Option 23e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mrs Tullett</td>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Barker</td>
<td>Location Plan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

This is very unclear and the criteria used very contradictory as well as vague and subjective, just because a "service" is present, does not mean it is any good, in some cases. You classify Buxted as a "smaller centre" but then put it in the same growth potential catagory as "larger centres" by your listed definitions it would be "intermediate"

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

development should be spread accross the area, not concentrated so that all communities can grow, rather than swamping a few to "save" the rest
Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 7c

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This feels like "picking upon" a few places to "preserve" others. a spread of growth accross the board would be fairer

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 9c

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON  Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
An area of diverse wildlife habitat and species as listed above should be protected, not built upon. Would result in the loss of a very important boundary between uckfield and the surrounding villages.

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON  Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON  Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON  Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON  
Agent ID:  
106861

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON  
Agent ID:  
106861

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON  
Agent ID:  
106861

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON  
Agent ID:  
106861

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106861</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>its a brownfield site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106861</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106861</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106861</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Option 19b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

dis this is in addition to the Grampian site and would result in a very large increase (400% increase) very quickly
Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Loss of wildlife and habitat infrastructure may not cope, A272 is already very busy

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Loss of wildlife and habitat infrastructure may not cope, A272 is already very busy

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: miss RICHARDSON Agent ID: 106861

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Avey</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106862</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 11d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Disagrees due to concerns over infrastructure, the increased loss of countryside and the lack of formal parks for leisure (such as those in Tunbridge Wells) in Crowborough.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Avey</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106862</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 11e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Disagrees due to concerns over infrastructure, the increased loss of countryside and the lack of formal parks for leisure (such as those in Tunbridge Wells) in Crowborough.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106863</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Question One</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty should be protected from erosion by future development and retained as special areas for the benefit of all to enjoy. These areas should be properly designated to ensure that where there is potential/need for expansion of settlements, without detriment to the landscape, this can be accommodated without encroaching on the ANOB.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106863</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 3d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Wheeler
Agent ID: 106863

Option 8a

Reason for comment:
Hailsham could be a more vibrant local centre, although there is a lack of rail link.

Consultee ID: Mrs Wheeler
Agent ID: 106863

Option 8d

Reason for comment:
I believe we should focus on improving the settlements we already have, instead of ignoring them and creating yet more areas which will suffer from similar issues.

Consultee ID: Mrs Wheeler
Agent ID: 106863

Question 15h

Reason for comment:
Scale of development seems out of proportion. I agree with the reasons given in the table that the area 15f should not be considered for future development.

Consultee ID: Mrs Wheeler
Agent ID: 106863

Option 18c

Reason for comment:
Disagree with the size of development, although I agree that this area could provide more services for local residents.
Consultee ID: Mrs Wheeler

Part of document: Option 18d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Disagree with the size of development as too big for the area.

Consultee ID: Mrs Wheeler

Part of document: Option 18e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Disagree with the size of development as too big for the area.

Consultee ID: Mrs Wheeler

Part of document: Option 18f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Disagree with the size of development as too big for the area.

Consultee ID: Mrs Wheeler

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Disagree with the size of development as too big for the area.
Question 18k

Your View  ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I disagree with the idea of a new settlement for the area, as previously discussed in earlier questions.

Option 23e

Your View  ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We support these spatial objectives as they identify that parts of Hailsham are significantly deprived and have poor access to services. Some areas of Hailsham are within the top 15% most deprived areas in the UK. Government policy in PPS1 and PPS6 encourages social inclusion and the creation of safe, sustainable, liveable and mixed communities with good access to jobs and key services for all members of the community. The Core Strategy should ensure that development is accessible and delivered within urban areas close to the communities that they seek to serve. The document should appropriately support proposals that will provide services that will address social disparities within Hailsham. We are also supportive of the need to enhance Hailsham’s role as a service centre through the improvement of its retail offer. The Core Strategy states that there is a clear quantitative and qualitative need for comparison and convenience floorspace within Hailsham. Coupled with the levels of deprivation in the town, there is a need to provide a discount foodstore within the urban area at an accessible location for all. We are also supportive of the objective to improve local services and to maximise opportunities for town centre regeneration. The improvement of Hailsham’s retail offer and other services will assist in the enhancement of the town centre through regeneration and investment in infrastructure and through the redevelopment of underutilised previously developed land in central locations. Such an approach reflects the sustainable objectives of Government policy set out in PPS1, PPS3 and PPS6. We also support the objective of retaining the Cattle Market within the vicinity of the town and are supportive of efforts to identify an appropriate relocation site within the Parish boundary, as set by the Hailsham Cattle Market Act. The Cattle Market acts an important focus for the town and farming community, serving as an integral part of the local economy and also acting as a tourist attraction for the town. However, the Cattle Market site forms an important site on the edge of the town centre and, subject to a suitable relocation site for the market being secured, the current owners of the site should be supported in their efforts to redevelop the site for uses that are appropriate to is central location and supportive of the other spatial objectives for the town. This would allow for a modern Cattle Market facility to be provided at a more accessible location, providing a facility that will better serve the farming community and improve animal welfare. This will also allow the existing site, which is currently underutilised, to be redeveloped for appropriate uses at an edge of town centre location. We propose that the Cattle Market site be allocated for mixed use development, specifically retail and residential due to its accessible and edge of centre location, within the LDF process to assist its future redevelopment.
Consultee ID: Scadding  
Agent ID: Mr Scadding
106864  Aldi Stores Ltd  
102498  Planning Potential

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑️ option

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑️ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

We support objective SO10 which seeks the broadening of the weak retail offer of the District’s Towns through meeting the need for additional comparison and convenience goods floorspace by 2016. We believe that there is a significant quantitative and qualitative need for convenience floorspace within Hailsham. The current provision and the commitment for a new Tesco in the short term is recognised, however, there is considerable need for a qualitative improvement to the local offer to provide genuine choice for the community that the town serves. Significantly, there is a need for discount convenience facilities to meet the qualitative needs of the areas within Hailsham that are identified as deprived. Such an approach is supported by PPS6 which promotes social inclusion, ensuring communities have access to a range of shopping facilities, and that deficiencies in provision in areas with poor access to facilities are remedied. The provision of additional retail facilities will also provide investment to the town, crucially improving deprived areas and creating additional employment opportunities and an improved physical environment for the benefit of all who live in and visit Hailsham.

Consultee ID: mr dawes  
Agent ID:  
106865

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑️ option

Question One

Section of Document:  
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View   ☑️ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Only on the basis of limited sensitive infill or replacement of existing buildings. Good design should be a priority, and to relate to the Sussex design characteristics and not to the unsympathetic mistakes of the 1960’s. Design and development must relate to ruralism not to urbanism, and in small pockets.

Consultee ID: mr dawes  
Agent ID:  
106865

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑️ option

Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑️ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

UNTil such time that a better understanding is obtained and published, I believe that there is insufficient knowledge amongst many on what is the most efficient form in initial capital cost and payback period and the amount of energy generated. Ideas and targets are good and to be encouraged, but surely should be weighted with realism.
Reason for comment:
The protection of employment in rural Wealden areas is a high priority, because the lack of it can lead to problems elsewhere in the community framework, but if an allocated site has not been developed for the lifecycle of previous structure plans because businesses do not want to locate in that designated area, then the allocation should be reviewed for other development, say new settlements. Also, where old factories have laid empty but advertised for a reasonable while, they should be considered for affordable housing in accordance with PPG’s and enacted in other authority areas.

Reason for comment:
Agreed

Reason for comment:
Agreed

Reason for comment:
Agreed, but bring forward the long awaited and programmed highways improvement works
A cautious agreement. Generally town infrastructure is better than in the village. Shops, schools, health care, leisure and transport links have been evaluated for future growth capacity of housing, whereas villages have limited infrastructure and other social facilities. However there is a need to balance the type and quantity of housing to suit each individual area without destroying the social and community fabric, or letting it decline.

Agreed, but with the conditions of strict consultation, retention of settlement character, a good percentage of affordable housing to suit local needs, infrastructure, transport, employment and the countryside. Could undeveloped historic employment designated land take the pressure off villages?

Agreed. A balanced approach and delivery, instead of placing all eggs in one basket. A spread should be encouraged to enable a balanced sustainable community addressing the private housing needs, and those of the young and the elderly.
Consultee ID: mr dawes 106865

Part of document: Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agreed for the reasons stated

Consultee ID: mr dawes 106865

Part of document: Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Disagree. Why exacerbate an existing well documented and experienced problem? Resolve the problem with infrastructure first then reconsider the scale and where to go with what.

Consultee ID: mr dawes 106865

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree

Consultee ID: mr dawes 106865

Part of document: Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agreed
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: mr dawes</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106865</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density should be balanced against need, but not at the loss of social interaction, community place, and creating houses where people want to live not have to live.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: mr dawes</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106865</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagreed. A mixed community site is more favourable in many aspects, and does not create a division in perceived value.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Bivand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106867</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☑ section
- ☐ option

**Part:**
Part 1

**Section of Document:**
Context

**Reason for comment:**
Firstly a general comment about the timing of the consultation, once again at peak holiday time when many people are away from home. Secondly I do agree that we need affordable, sustainable and eco-friendly housing design and this might well be an opportunity for courage and innovation in design. However I fear that the only way these developments will be funded is by speculative developers reaping high profits. I am against the proposals for the rural villages on green field sites such as is proposed for Wilmington Green. At present we have the South Downs and the old village street, the A27 which cuts through the Village Green itself and the railway. As I understand from your draft proposals somewhere between the railway and the green at Monkyn Pynn you propose a development of 1-2000 homes and a railway station! This is at present agricultural land with the unspoilt green at Monkyn Pynn beyond which is Abbotts Wood within about a mile at Robin Post Lane. What is proposed is a large new village between the beauty spots of Abbotts Wood, Monkyn Pynn and the Village Green, Village of Wilmington and the South Downs, now about to become a National Park. As a railway user (from Berwick) I am not convinced that we need another railway station given that most inhabitants of the proposed development will almost certainly be car users commuting to Brighton and Eastbourne. Why not look at a more modest development or series of small developments say up to 500 dwelling units, at various such sites, of affordable well designed "green" dwellings such as can be found in Shropshire and the London Borough of Sutton, well integrated into the landscape and using existing brownfield sites or redundant farm sites which have existing farm buildings, perhaps utilising some of these in innovative ways?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bivand</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106867</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option:**
Option 18i

**Reason for comment:**
Too close to AONBs and would destroy the character of the area, particularly the almost unique now in this part of the South East, rural feel of the lateral stretch from Selmeston to Folkington and from Abbotts Wood to the Long Man as well as views of the Long Man from different points within this compass. The already appalling traffic problems on the A27 would become even worse and the infrastructure is not in place to cope with this level of development (including the railway which is at capacity already at peak hours) Whoever put this idea forward is either winding us up or rehearsing for a comedy spot on the Edinburgh Fringe.
### Consultee ID: Mr Bivand

106867

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

For similar reasons to Wilmington Green, although there might be some capacity for small scale innovative development for affordable ‘green’ housing.

---

### Consultee ID: Mrs Kendrick

106868

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 20b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Wadhurst has already outgrown its infrastructure. The main line station and secondary school make it a popular residential location, such that the traffic roads are already severely congested, parking in the village is a major problem and other facilities such as the medical practice are over-subscribed and working to absolute capacity. The secondary school cannot expand without spilling over into undeveloped agricultural land in a sensitive valley within the AONB. The village lies at a busy intersection linking the access routes Lamberhurst - Mark Cross and Tunbridge Wells - Ticehurst; traffic on these roads passes both the secondary and primary schools where there is already gridlock twice daily in term time. The fact that Wadhurst is the largest of the three villages under consideration in this paragraph militates against (rather than in favour of) its further development as its infrastructure is already severely overstretched and its residents’ quality of life already degraded by its over-development.
Option 20b

Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
Wadhurst has already outgrown its infrastructure. The main line station and secondary school make it a popular residential location, such that the traffic roads are already severely congested, parking in the village is a major problem and other facilities such as the medical practice are over-subscribed and working to absolute capacity. The secondary school cannot expand without spilling over into undeveloped agricultural land in a sensitive valley within the AONB. The village lies at a busy intersection linking the access routes Lamberhurst - Mark Cross and Tunbridge Wells - Ticehurst; traffic on these roads passes both the secondary and primary schools where there is already gridlock twice daily in term time. The fact that Wadhurst is the largest of the three villages under consideration in this paragraph militates against (rather than in favour of ) its further development as its infrastructure is already severely overstretched and its residents' quality of life already degraded by its over-development.

Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Reason for comment:
Central Government has said that 80% of the 3 million new dwelling proposed in the next 20 years should be built on Brown field or ex government sites. The South East England Regional Assembly (not an elected body) then calculates that Wealden must built 8,000 new dwellings. Wealden does not have sufficient Brown field sites to meet the 80% government requirement and therefore will be forced to build on Green field sites. In addition there is little consideration given to the infrastructure of the area e.g. roads, transport, schools, water, hospitals etc.

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
Building on AONB could start "encroachment" because 99% would be on Green field land. Also it would increase noise, pollution and require new roads/services etc. The only exception should be on a Brown field site within a designated AONB if the development is an improvement e.g. old farm buildings or industrial area etc.
Option 2a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It is always good to have targets but there is insufficient information on how the 10% has been calculated or can be achieved (measured). If it means Wind Turbines on the High Weald definitely NO. New domestic and industrial/commercial developments could include strict energy saving methods. But if the desire is for "affordable homes" how can it be achieved?

Option 2b

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Same comments as 2a

Option 3a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Only on Brown field sites but must protect the local envioroment.

Option 3b

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
Very necessary to create and retain local employment.

Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
If a boundary has already been established there must be a very strong reason to extend it. Not just to increase development otherwise i.e. encroachment onto Green field sites.

Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
Only if local residents agree.

Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
But the infrastructure must be considered to support the new developments.
Consultee ID: stanbridge  
106869

Agent ID: Mr Stanbridge  
102499 Horam Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 6b

document  chapter  section  option

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:  
See 6a

Consultee ID: stanbridge  
106869

Agent ID: Mr Stanbridge  
102499 Horam Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 6c

document  chapter  section  option

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:  
See 6a

Consultee ID: stanbridge  
106869

Agent ID: Mr Stanbridge  
102499 Horam Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 7a

document  chapter  section  option

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:  
Question needs more clarification

Consultee ID: stanbridge  
106869

Agent ID: Mr Stanbridge  
102499 Horam Parish Council

Part of document:  
Option 7d

document  chapter  section  option

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:  
If it will be of benefit to the local community.
Consultee ID: stanbridge   Agent ID:  Mr Stanbridge 106869 102499 Horam Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
5 to 10 maximum for villages.

Consultee ID: stanbridge   Agent ID:  Mr Stanbridge 106869 102499 Horam Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Horam Parish Council have been unable to obtain an answer from Wealden to the question concerning the Merrydown site which has a proposal for 92 dwellings plus some industrial units and shops. Does the proposed 160 new dwellings in Horam include the 92 on the Merrydown site?

Consultee ID: stanbridge   Agent ID:  Mr Stanbridge 106869 102499 Horam Parish Council

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
HPC have been unable to obtain from Wealden clarification if the 160 new dwellings include the 92 proposed on the Merrydown site.

Consultee ID: Mr Weeks 106870

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
Agree, as long as the housing ineed is perceived as providing for local people (principally the younger element) and not for incomers; subject to keeping the village services viable.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Weeks</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 2b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

We are very concerned that the villages of Five Ash Down and Maresfield should maintain their integrity and not be swallowed up in "North Uckfield". Strict boundary control needs to be maintained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Weeks</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 5c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

We are very concerned that the villages of Five Ash Down and Maresfield should maintain their integrity and not be swallowed up in "North Uckfield". Strict boundary control needs to be maintained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Weeks</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 9c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Weeks</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 14a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 14b

Framfield Road already over congested especially considering access of ambulances to the Hospital.

Option 14c

Option 18c

Option 18d
Option 18g

Reason for comment:

In view of the planning permission just granted for 120 to 140 houses on the Grampian site, we feel there is limited potential remaining, apart from some infilling. We feel that 260 houses, including the Grampian development, is overburdening a small village.

Option 21b

Reason for comment:

In view of the planning permission just granted for 120 to 140 houses on the Grampian site, we feel there is limited potential remaining, apart from some infilling. We feel that 260 houses, including the Grampian development, is overburdening a small village.

Option 25a

Reason for comment:

There is a proven need for smaller housing units.

Option 25b

Reason for comment:

Since the proven need is for smaller houses/flats, we believe the proportion should be 80 to 90 per cent, not 30 to 40.
Consultee ID: Mr Weeks
106870
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 25d
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Weeks
106870
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 25f
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Weeks
106870
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 25g
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Weeks
106870
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 27b
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This should be a short-term priority, thus we agree with the re-instatement but feel this is an urgent need now.
Reason for comment:
The Government in PPS7 gives the highest status of protection to landscape and scenic beauty within areas designated as AONB’s. Wealden District Council is able to find suitable land that does not fall within the AONB and therefore should not consider allocating significant housing growth in these areas. Major development should not take place in the AONB’s except in exceptional circumstances, following rigorous examination, which amongst other things, should demonstrate that it would be in the public interest to allow development in the AONB’s.

Reason for comment:
The Government seeks to promote housing development in locations that are within easy reach of community facilities and good access to employment. On this basis, new housing development should be balanced with an appropriate level of new business development or in proximity to existing centres.

Reason for comment:
There should be a more distributed strategy in Wealden for the allocation of new housing in towns and villages that would benefit from additional development to support existing services, based on settlement hierarchies. PPS3 aims to deliver high quality housing that contributes to the creation and maintenance of sustainable rural communities. Therefore some villages in proximity to towns may be more suitable locations than on the periphery of towns themselves.
Section of Document:

Option 5a

Reason for comment:
The implication of this question is that whilst settlement boundaries should be maintained, they should generally be expanded to provide for growth throughout the District on a dispersal-based strategy. The most appropriate approach would be the maintenance of settlement boundaries, with any change considered, having regard to the full range of alternative options for providing land for additional housing growth. This should include the land east of Friday Street north east of Penrith Way / Castle Bolton.

Section of Document:

Option 5b

Reason for comment:
The use of defined settlement boundaries is an effective tool for controlling development. Delivery of development can best be achieved by the preservation of settlement boundaries and allocations of specific development through the LDF process.

Section of Document:

Option 6a

Reason for comment:
Focusing 80% of new development in and around towns with the remainder distributed to villages fails to recognise the negative impact of large scale allocations as bolt-ons to existing towns that could have negative impact on character and service provision that exists within the existing towns, particularly given that many have experienced large scale housing growth through the previous Local Plan, and many require a period of adjustment to allow employment provision services to catch up with the significant level of recent growth.
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  □  Agree  □  No opinion  ☑  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Focusing 75% of new development in and around towns with the remainder distributed to villages fails to recognise the negative impact of large scale allocations as bolt-ons to existing towns that could have negative impact on character and service provision that exists within the existing towns, particularly given that many have experienced large scale housing growth through the previous Local Plan, and many require a period of adjustment to allow employment provision services to catch up with the significant level of recent growth.

Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View  □  Agree  □  No opinion  ☑  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Focusing 70% of new development in and around towns with the remainder distributed to villages fails to recognise the negative impact of large scale allocations as bolt-ons to existing towns that could have negative impact on character and service provision that exists within the existing towns, particularly given that many have experienced large scale housing growth through the previous Local Plan, and many require a period of adjustment to allow employment provision services to catch up with the significant level of recent growth.

Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑  Agree  □  No opinion  □  Disagree

Reason for comment:

The majority of new development should be focused on larger settlements that lie outside the AONB and are capable of sustaining new development and incorporating it as part of the village community. Large village locations with a number of retail uses, community facilities, open space / playing fields, schools and levels of local employment help to prevent outward commuting to larger towns.
Option 7b

Reason for comment:
The emerging approach of identifying and classifying villages according to their growth potential, which is criteria based, allows for a detailed and robust assessment of those villages capable of sustaining additional housing development. The LPA should go one step further and look at those villages with growth potential that are located outside the AONB and prioritise those over villages in the AONB for future development.

Option 7c

Reason for comment:
Identifying land in or adjacent to those villages with potential allows for the planned location of new houses which contributes to the achievement of sustainable development in accordance with PPS3. 36 of PP3 requires that housing development is located in suitable locations which offer a range of community facilities with good access to jobs, key services and infrastructure. The LPA should go one step further and look at those villages with growth potential that are located outside the AONB and prioritise those over villages in the AONB for future development.

Option 7d

Reason for comment:
Local village services should, as a rule, be preserved and enhanced wherever possible.
Consultee ID: Gosden  
106871

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 8b

**Reason for comment:**  
The focus should emphasise the potential of edge of Eastbourne development to include Stone Cross and in particular land to the north east of Penrith Way / Castle Bolton. This option has good access to a range of higher order facilities and services in support of objectives for creating mixed and sustainable communities. PPS3 requires suitable locations to be found with the range of community facilities and with good access to jobs, key services and infrastructure. The site is outside the AONB and within the Sussex Coast Policy Area.

Consultee ID: Gosden  
106871

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 9a

**Reason for comment:**  
The Core Strategy acknowledges that an Uckfield focus suffers from significant infrastructure problems, including flooding and travel congestion, which is currently blocking all major development. Even if a solution could be found for this, it is likely to lead to a contrived form of development that may be unreliable in deliverability and may be so costly as to fail to provide a full range of services required for successful integration. Additionally, any major new development should be focused on the Sussex Coast Policy Area.

Consultee ID: Gosden  
106871

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**  
Question 7e

**Reason for comment:**  
The process of identifying villages that have growth potential should go further and prioritise those villages that are located outside of the AONB above those that are within the AONB. By identifying such villages, the Local Authority will be able to take account of other key objectives such as the protection of AONB’s. Development in AONB’s should only be allowed in exceptional circumstances, following the most rigorous examination, which must demonstrate that they are in the public interest before being allowed to proceed (paragraphs 21 and 22 refers). By utilising the existing approach to identify villages with potential growth and then including a further assessment that takes account of the overall location in relation to the AONB, the Local Authority will have a more robust approach to identifying options for sustained growth whilst protecting the landscape.
Hailsham has already experienced significant housing development in recent years, and should be allowed a period of assimilation, prior to consideration of further development. Stress is placed on local services, including highway infrastructure capacity and further development may exacerbate this. The aims of regeneration of the town can be achieved without the requirement for significant additional development. As a result, there should be no Hailsham focus within the emerging LDF Core Strategy.

Significant development between the two towns is likely to encourage out commuting to existing centres rather than encourage development in proximity to existing services and facilities. This may fail to create a vibrant community and on this basis there should be no Polegate / Hailsham access.

Whilst there should be an emphasis on allocating new development within the Lower Weald area of search in order to protect the existing AONB’s the focus should not be on a new settlement but an extension of existing towns or villages. In particular, the emphasis should be on an area focused around the edge of Eastbourne to include Stones Cross.
Option 9b

Reason for comment:
A split of development between Uckfield and Crowborough would be likely to lead to a significant development, either within or affecting the High Weald AONB. PPS7 makes clear that the greatest level of protection is afforded to these statutory protected landscape designations, and significant development should only be allowed in exceptional circumstances. Additionally, any major development should be within the Sussex Coast Policy Area identified in the South East Plan.

Option 9c

Reason for comment:
A Uckfield / Crowborough / Heathfield split would again risk significant levels of development either within or affect the High Weald AONB. PPS7 makes clear that the greatest level of protection is afforded to these statutory protected national landscape designations, and significant development should only be allowed in exceptional circumstances. Alternative land exists outside the AONB within the Sussex Coast Policy Area and development should be focused in the south of the District in those areas.

Question Ten

Reason for comment:
Size thresholds for housing allocations of 30+ dwellings in or on the edge of towns, and 15+ dwellings in or on the edge of villages is tantamount to seeking significant amounts of development to come forward in the form of windfall sites. Such an approach directly conflicts with PPS3, which states that allowances for windfalls should not be included in the first 10 years of land supply unless LPA’s can provide robust evidence of genuine local circumstances that prevent specific sites being identified. No such circumstances exist in this instance, as land can be identified, particularly in the Low Weald character area which is also within the South Coast Policy Area.
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Consultee ID: Gosden  
106871  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question 11f  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Crowborough should not be identified as a location for significant residential development. It is tightly constrained by the High Weald AONB. Significant development is likely to be required to be located in or on the edge of the AONB, resulting in harm to this nationally protected landscape. Such development is contrary to the aims of Central Government as set out in PPS7, which should only be permitted in exceptional circumstances. Alternative locations for development are available in the Low Weald, which would not be harmful to the AONB and which is within the South Coast Policy Area as identified in the draft South East Plan.

Consultee ID: Gosden  
106871  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question 12i  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Significant development in or around Heathfield is likely to be required to be located either within or impacting on the AONB. This is contrary to Government guidance which states that significant development in such locations should only be granted in exceptional circumstances, as alternative land is available in the south of the District within the Sussex Coast Policy Area and away from the AONB’s.

Consultee ID: Gosden  
106871  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question 13b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Access, by diversity and the sensitivity of the setting of Tunbridge Wells should limit any proposed extension in this location. The area would be surrounded by the High Weald AONB and in proximity to the Metropolitan Green Belt in the Tunbridge Wells area. There are other locations more suitable for development in the Low Weald part of the District which would meet the requirements of development without compromising the aims of these sensitive landscape designations.
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Consultee ID: 106871

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development should be concentrated in the Sussex Coast Policy Area and Uckfield should be a lower priority than those sites within the Sussex Coast Policy Area capable of meeting the requirements.

Consultee ID: 106871

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The town has experienced significant development under the current Local Plan period, and should be allowed an opportunity for this to assimilate into the fabric of the town prior to consideration for further significant development.

Consultee ID: 106871

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Any future development in the Polegate / Willingdon area should include Stones Cross and in particular land east of Friday Street, north east of Penrith Way / Castle Bolton.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Gosden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106871</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 17c**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Strong support is offered for the allocation of housing at the area of search known as east of Friday Street / Langney. In particular development should look to be allocated on land north east of Penrith Way / Castle Bolton. The area of search lies outside the AONB and within the Sussex Coast Policy Area. It benefits from the existing village facilities that have been identified in the Issues & Options Background Paper – Draft Settlement Strategy For Villages as a village with growth potential.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Gosden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106871</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 18k**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

In order for Wealden to provide a new settlement within the immediate future there would need to be significant work done to not only identify an appropriate site but then identifying all the key issues in providing a strategic new settlement in a countryside location. Significant issues would need to be addressed such as transport provision, education, health care, together with issues such as creating a sustainable community that has a heart and focus with good connections to large towns in the area. All these issues will need to be addressed before a new settlement will meet the existing needs within the District. We consider that the new settlement approach would not bring forward a sufficient number of houses in the short term. Therefore, the approach may lead to a further settlement within the District that has high levels of out commuting for employment etc. PPS3 requires housing development to be in suitable locations which offer a good range of community facilities and with good access to jobs, key services and infrastructure. Paragraph 13 of PPS3 seeks to achieve this by making effective use of land and existing infrastructure. In general, urban extensions should be considered more sustainable with the potential to expand existing services and allow for better transport connectivity to existing employment, education and health care provision.
Reason for comment:
Guidance for the density of new residential developments is detailed in PPS3 Paragraph 47 which looks at a broad density range and a net of 30 dwellings per hectare should be used as a national indicative minimum. Good design is fundamental to using land efficiently and providing the policies within the LDF facilitate good design there is no justification why a typical density figure of 30 to 35 dwellings should be set. Some sites may in themselves be capable of delivering high densities and should not be unduly restricted by a policy that is already covered in National Guidance.

Reason for comment:
Would set a precedent for developing up to & beyond AONB. Sandy Cross Lane too narrow to support traffic. Bottom of lane has drainage issues.

Reason for comment:
Only in cases where it will not affect the general built and rural environment. For example small areas of land between existing dwellings similar to 'infill' development in gardens. This should only be in densities of less than 5 per acre. If this were utilised throughout the region it would reduce the number of larger developments and avoid the concentrated increase of traffic that all larger developments inevitably incur.
Consultee ID: Stanbridge
106873

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Although a village may have 'growth potential' to increase the housing stock could affect the character of the village. The possibility for using 'infil' sites, providing they are in keeping with the local built environment, may allow some increase in housing stock, however 'development sites' do affect the villages. Apart from appearance the concentration of additional traffic always has a detrimental effect for the residents.

Consultee ID: Stanbridge
106873

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

This is a large proposed development in a rural area. Although temporary transport may be included what happens when the compulsory service period ends? It may be acceptable as a brown field site but there is no employment in the area therefore the traffic will increase considerably. People will use their cars for work, shopping, schools, and other facilities. 600 dwellings could mean 1200 new cars each making an average of 2 journeys a day i.e. 2400 car movements over approx. 12 hours. or 3-4 car movements per minute. This will definitely affect the immediate area and the surrounding villages.
In principle it would make sense to have smaller and affordable housing mainly within towns. Towns should have more facilities available 'by foot' from the dwellings. Older people and people on lower incomes may not be able to afford a car therefore to have the housing in villages where in most cases transport is non existant or impractable defeats the idea of helping those individuals. As has been highlighted the fact that private companies are building most of the new houses is a problem in itself. Builders do not want to build cheap housing as there is less profit. As they cannot be forced to build affordable homes it seems clear that there needs to be more public funding for housing associations. As it stands at present the whole concept for the Governments future plans will not achieve the publicised aims. To build 8,000 new houses in Wealden using private companies will only bring new people into the area not solve the local needs. Most of the new houses will be larger properties certainly not of help to young old or those in public services. If the builders are not allowed to build what they want then they will not build at all. Councils and Governments to date have not managed to stand up to major developers. When they (Gov/Councils) openly declair that more housing is desperately needed they weaken their own position in any negotiations.

The bus services to Tunbridge Wells and rail stations is exceptionally poor throughout the region. The problem is viability, people will not use buses as they are not convenient. Bus companies will not provide buses because they are not profitable. Is there not a strong need to have bookable buses. This may exist around the region but it does not have sufficient publicity or backing to make it truly viable. It should be possible to arrange commuting and shopping services in small units so villages are covered to all the main towns.
Consultee ID: Stanbridge  
106873  

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Cannot comment on many points as insufficient information, however I do agree with better schemes for park and ride plus special shopping bus services and rush hour services for commuters to save them having to use their cars for work. Maybe a bus filter service to the park and ride centre so the whole community can be served without using their cars at all.

Consultee ID: Stammers  
106874  

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It is important not to condemn areas of the county to utter stagnation. By not allowing any development this can only compound the difficulties faced by the younger generation when trying to afford property in the area and increase sustainability problems for employers trying to maintain employment within those areas. Communities wholly given over to the few who are able to afford the property available (increasingly commuters) become dormitories with little investment returning to local firms. It also means a non-equitable distribution of development: if all settlements evolved in proportion to their current size then this would minimise impact upon services etc whilst providing housing at the community level where it is needed. Why do we need huge developments which bring with them numerous follow-on problems regarding transport/services etc when smaller development would reduce these problems and blend (IF DEVELOPERS ARE INSTRUCTED TO BUILD APPROPRIATELY TO THE AREA) into the environment.

Consultee ID: Stammers  
106874  

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 3d

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Absolutely fundamental. It's a disgrace that large-scale development has been allowed without the appropriate employment and other services to maintain it as a community.
Apart from developer’s reduced profits there is absolutely no reason why new development should not be built with minimum levels of solar/wind power production (as appropriate to site) water reuse (hand-washing and rainwater collection to store for toilet-flushing for instance) insulation, natural air conditioning (Victorian sash windows are still extremely effective at maintaining cooler inside temperatures so why not make more use of this?) and underground heat-capture installations. It would be utterly foolhardy not to build new houses appropriate to future climate change when already, water supply problems and increasingly warm summers are a problem in this area.

It would be a refreshing change if developments were built which pushed forwards the highest standards rather than leaning insolently on the fence of mediocrity.

With the proviso that this is a self-fulfilling prophecy. If you cannot afford to live in an area then, realistically, considering the type of local employment and wages derived from it, you are unlikely to work there. Therefore, enough affordable housing needs to be built to allow people to be able to work in the local area, but enough land kept aside for employment to allow expansion. Looking long-term, working close to home has to be encouraged in order to reduce the over-reliance on commuting and especially car commuting, since the road and rail networks through Wealden are at capacity now.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Maintaining and helping local businesses to provide local employment must become a priority if the whole South-East corner is not to become merely a crash-pad for people commuting (and spending) elsewhere.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3c**

**Section of Document:**

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 4a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Until the pitiful transport situation is resolved, there is no capacity for greater business in this area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 4b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
As 4a - funny how this was supposed to be protected land...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>There appears to be much land within the industrial areas which is under utilised</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As 4a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 5b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I believe the whole strategy of LARGE scale development is wrong for this area. If each village and town took a proportionate number of dwellings to its current size, then sustainable, community-based growth would be achieved, rather than yet more soulless commuter ghettos.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I believe each settlement should take a proportion of the housing needs, according to its current size. This would allow each settlement to grow organically and allow housing to appear in each type of area, allowing young rural workers to remain within the rural environment. However, I believe it is vital that the homes built are aesthetically suitable to the individual area (not developers’ architects’ idea of twee mish-mash of neo-styles but a true, modern reflection to continue the organic way in which Wealden villages and towns have grown historically); are environmentally sound; are dedicated to the underrepresented sections of young families and couples who are otherwise forced from their villages on price grounds.

In principle, but I would like to see a system of grants put in place to encourage local amenities to open up in some of the other villages, rather than rely on those which have survived. This would be a proactive means of reinvigorating local environments and allow more villages to be categorised as appropriate for future development/investment.

With some limitations that this should not become a rigid protocol.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 7c

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I believe all villages need some reinvigoration so that they do not become effectively retirement villages for the better off.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 7d

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree entirely but would like to see a programme of grants/aid/business development help to allow these services to remain as viable entities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 8d

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I believe this narrow focus is only likely to cause resentment and NIMBYism, along with creating single-point pressures on water, drainage, transport, schooling, health provision etc etc. Widen the net!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 9c

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>As 8d. Too much in one place</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Stammers  Agent ID: 106874

Part of document: Option 10

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
I believe this is far too prescriptive and will be difficult to enforce due to the dramatically varied nature of the towns and villages within Wealden.

Consultee ID: Stammers  Agent ID: 106874

Part of document: Option 15g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Some of the options for regeneration sound appealing but still the size of each development appears to be out of context with the existing structure.

Consultee ID: Stammers  Agent ID: 106874

Part of document: Option 16i

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Polegate has an excellent choice of shopping, unfortunately this has reduced somewhat with the loss of the fishmonger (people went to the supermarkets for fish) and butcher (ditto). Please do not curse us with another supermarket (Co-op is fine, Tesco and Somerfield provide out-of-hours service) which will have the effect of destroying the very individual, community-based and customer-oriented nature of Polegate's shopping area, The Cuckoo trail is a wonderful resource already available and Abbott's Wood is accessible less than a mile from Town, with the Downs to the South side, also easily accessed via the network of footpaths. Agree Bus services are very poor. Again, developments suggested are so out-of-scale to what is already there that they are destined to put unacceptable strain on already creaking infrastructure.
Option 18i

Reason for comment:
Please do not just count the "agree"s since the comments underneath imply the users might not have clicked the correct circle... Disagree due to inappropriate size of development: Transport (both road and public provision), water, sewerage (including run-off which would be massively increased in an area already prone to flooding), social amenities, social and health care provision, schooling all totally inadequate for such an expansion; views from/to proposed National Park/current AOONB would be devastated, impacting on the benefits from tourist economy; beginning of end in terms of infill; destruction of swathes of important and newly invigorated local farming land; train station unlikely to be utilised by train companies as most small stations have had their services clashed in order to cut the journey times to London; park and ride would encourage yet more traffic onto this already cramped and dangerous stretch of A27; etc etc etc

Option 25a

Reason for comment:
Agree absolutely with the terraced housing - current developments make a mockery of the idea of "detached" so why not improve buildings' environmental impact by reducing the number of walls venting heat to the outside, reducing the amount of land footprint neede per building and therefore reducing the amount of land which needs to be concreted over. Much of the housing stock in the Wealden villages is terraced and therefore, new terracing is more likely to reflect the aesthetics than tiny detached boxes.
Consultee ID: 106874  Stammers  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

It is a disgraceful embarrassment that our rural, previously working villages are now predominated by rich incomers to the detriment of local families.

Consultee ID: 106874  Stammers  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106874  Stammers  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106874  Stammers  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Judging by how many "travellers" try/do settle in unauthorised areas in the south, I would like to know how the 'need' was established. This is a very emotive subject in the areas that have been affected by unauthorised stays. Very easy to say someone else should host the sites.
Consultee ID: Stammers
106874

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Sooner rather than later

Consultee ID: Stammers
106874

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Strongly disagree - this would just drag cars in from the surrounding areas, continuing to overburden the A22/27/2270

Consultee ID: Stammers
106874

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The A27 should be dualled at the earliest possible opportunity BUT be landscaped such as to reduce the visual impact. The beautiful sinuous curves of the Shoreham by-pass are an example of where this has been done quite well but improved quality of life for residents and drivers alike. The A27 is so dangerous (I write, looking over one of the more dangerous junctions and have seen 3 near-misses (a quiet day!) whilst completing this) that this must be a priority. The old plan to follow the railway line should be dusted off and pushed through FINALLY and all talk of Cphall to Folkington abandoned as the mean, ridiculous, developer-inspired plan that it is.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

WHAT ON EARTH DOES THIS QUESTION MEAN?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

But would rather they spent the money on making decent, environmentally friendly and aesthetically pleasing housing!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stammers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106874</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Stammers
Agent ID: 106874

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Cannot agree to all but some seem sensible. Badly written question

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran 106875

Part of document: Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran 106875

Part of document: Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran 106875

Part of document: Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran 106875

Part of document: Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:
| Consultee ID: | Mrs. O'Halloran | Agent ID: 106875 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option |
| Section of Document: | Option 5a |
| Your View | ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | Your View |

| Consultee ID: | Mrs. O'Halloran | Agent ID: 106875 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option |
| Section of Document: | Option 5b |
| Your View | ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | Your View |

| Consultee ID: | Mrs. O'Halloran | Agent ID: 106875 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option |
| Section of Document: | Option 6a |
| Your View | ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | Your View |

| Consultee ID: | Mrs. O'Halloran | Agent ID: 106875 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option |
| Section of Document: | Option 7a |
| Your View | ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | Your View |
The emerging approach in question 7A allows "urban creep" and infill
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106875</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106875</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106875</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106875</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran  
Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: Option 15e

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran  
Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: Option 15a

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran  
Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: Option 15b

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 15g

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Area west of A22 to remain rural. Hailsham to remain unique identity as market town.

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Part of document: Option 16b
Your View: Disagree

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Part of document: Option 16c
Your View: Agree

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Part of document: Option 16d
Your View: Disagree

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Part of document: Option 16f
Your View: Disagree
Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Question 16h
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Polegate centre to remain as it is with better parking for railway station, multi-storey.

Question 16i
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106875</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 16j</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>The Polegate, Hailsham, Eastbourne Triangle already is in danger of being over developed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106875</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 17a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106875</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 17b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106875</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
106875

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question 17d would mean building on marsh land.

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
106875

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
106875

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
106875

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106875</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106875</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106875</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 18k</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Area west of Lewes South of A22 and East of A22 and North of A27 to remain rural.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106875</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran  Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran  Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 19c

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran  Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran  Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 19e

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 106875 Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106875 Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106875 Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106875 Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
106875
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
106875
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
106875
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
106875
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106875</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 22c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106875</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 22d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106875</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 23b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs. O'Halloran</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106875</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 23d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23e</th>
<th></th>
<th>Option 25a</th>
<th></th>
<th>Option 25b</th>
<th></th>
<th>Option 25c</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulatee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs. O'Halloran</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106875</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mrs. O'Halloran</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106875</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulatee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs. O'Halloran</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106875</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mrs. O'Halloran</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106875</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulatee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs. O'Halloran</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106875</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mrs. O'Halloran</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106875</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106875
Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106875
Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106875
Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106875
Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Agent ID: 106875

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID:  Mrs. O'Halloran  Agent ID: 106875

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs. O'Halloran  Agent ID: 106875

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs. O'Halloran  Agent ID: 106875

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document:  Housing Delivery

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs. O'Halloran  Agent ID: 106875

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document:  Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Question 31a
Reason for comment: This needs further work

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Question 31b
Reason for comment: This needs further work

Consultee ID: Mrs. O'Halloran
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Question 32a
Reason for comment: Do not understand SO10 and SO11, so cannot accept. Also unsure about housing densities. Please note there are several areas upon which I have not responded. This is because I lack sufficient knowledge of the issue or area in question.
| Consultee ID: | Mrs Whitty | Agent ID: | 106876 |
| Part of document: | section | option |
| Reason for comment: | This AONB will be destroyed by development and lost to future generations |

| Consultee ID: | Mrs Richards | Agent ID: | 106877 |
| Part of document: | section | option |
| Reason for comment: | Stone Cross is not suitable for further development because its suitability would depend on access to Westham Village and the Post Office and Main Line Station. Within this plan information Wealden DC acknowledges that Westham Village has a serious parking problem. Furthermore the public transport links between Stone Cross and Westham village are constantly under threat of closure. Correspondence on this is available for inspection. |
Consultee ID: Mrs RIchards Agent ID: 106877

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Option 17b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Stone Cross is not suitable for further development because its suitability would depend on access to Westham Village and the Post Office and Main Line Station. Within this plan information Wealden DC acknowledges that Westham Village has a serious parking problem. Furthermore the public transport links between Stone Cross and Westham village are constantly under threat of closure. Correspondence on this is available for inspection.

Consultee ID: Mrs RIchards Agent ID: 106877

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Option 17c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Stone Cross is not suitable for further development because its suitability would depend on access to Westham Village and the Post Office and Main Line Station. Within this plan information Wealden DC acknowledges that Westham Village has a serious parking problem. Furthermore the public transport links between Stone Cross and Westham village are constantly under threat of closure. Correspondence on this is available for inspection.

Consultee ID: Mrs RIchards Agent ID: 106877

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Question 17d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Contradictions within the document, about the distance between Stone Cross and Westham Station invalidate the principles on which this plan is supposed to be based. Para 5.16 refers to a distance of 1.2 miles but matrix A indicates no rail within 5 Km - even when you do this sums this doesn't match!
There is no good access to Westham Station because setting down is dangerous and parking is impossible. Westham village is already overparked with rail commuters and school journeys. There is no parking by the one village convenience store. Public (bus) transport to other possible centre is under threat now and looks like being withdrawn. Then there is the foul water drainage which is known to be not enough now! It certainly won't stand being used to piggy-back more houses and the associated foul water. What happens to present residents of Westham village when there are floods (?) - take a look at the Environment Agency flood maps for the area. Drown, or just drown in sewage??

Your information on Westham village is wrong again. There is no Library. It might help with planning if you could distinguish the difference between Westham Village and Westham Civil Parish, but even in the whole Parish there is no Library.

Yes, but only small, affordable and shared ownership housing for key local workers.
Consultee ID: Mrs McDonnell  
106879 Civil Servant

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Wealden should be encouraging innovation and uptake of new technology to reduce carbon emissions - only by insisting on challenging targets for developers will economies of scale make such technology more affordable. You only have to look at inspirational and innovative projects like the BedZED development in Surrey to see what is possible.

Consultee ID: Mrs McDonnell  
106879 Civil Servant

Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

We also need to create a range of job opportunities - not merely replace poorly paid agricultural jobs with poorly paid service sector jobs.

Consultee ID: Mrs McDonnell  
106879 Civil Servant

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Small developments of affordable/shared ownership housing for local people, the elderly and key workers seems sensible.
Consultee ID: Mrs McDonnell
106879 Civil Servant

Part of document: 
Option 21c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Increasing station car parking in Buxted is not the answer - it will only seek to encourage rat-running through the lanes. The problem needs to be addressed at source ie the lack of parking in Uckfield.

Consultee ID: Mrs McDonnell
106879 Civil Servant

Part of document: 
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Development should be driven by needs of the community and the need to live sustainably, not the need for the developer to make a handsome profit. And it isn't just the young who need suitable starter homes - the elderly need manageable, quality housing eg small bungalows - this would free up houses for families.

Consultee ID: Mrs McDonnell
106879 Civil Servant

Part of document: 
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs McDonnell
106879 Civil Servant

Part of document: 
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
The AONB is an important designation which must be protected, however small developments of affordable housing must be allowed to maintain the sustainability of the village. In a popular village like Hartfield we would not want to see a potentially unlimited unmet demand for affordable housing being satisfied by allocating land for new development, so any target numbers should be set appropriately, using brownfield sites in preference to greenfield sites. We do not support the erosion of the AONB by allocation of greenfield sites for development of commercial housing.

Option 3d

Reason for comment:

Option 2a

Reason for comment:

Option 2b

Reason for comment:
Subject to viability, agree.
Consultee ID: Mr Hawes
106880 Hartfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The aim must be to maintain the viability and sustainability of our villages without destroying their special character.

Consultee ID: Mr Hawes
106880 Hartfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hawes
106880 Hartfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hawes
106880 Hartfield Parish Council

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hawes  Hartfield Parish Council
106880

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hawes  Hartfield Parish Council
106880

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Hartfield Parish Council Response: We would not wish to see any change in the development boundary currently defined for Hartfield, however we support the allocation of land for affordable housing outside the development boundary.

Consultee ID: Mr Hawes  Hartfield Parish Council
106880

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hawes  Hartfield Parish Council
106880

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr. Hawes  
106880 Hartfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr. Hawes  
106880 Hartfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr. Hawes  
106880 Hartfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr. Hawes  
106880 Hartfield Parish Council

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hawes Hartfield Parish Council
Agent ID: 106880

Part of document: Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hawes Hartfield Parish Council
Agent ID: 106880

Part of document: Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hawes Hartfield Parish Council
Agent ID: 106880

Part of document: Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hawes Hartfield Parish Council
Agent ID: 106880

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Hartfield Parish Council Response: We would not want to see any single site of this large a scale in Hartfield. Several small sites, e.g. infill sites or previously developed land, should be considered in preference to a single greenfield site.
Hartfield Parish Council Response: Agree with definition of Hartfield as a village with Limited Growth Potential and the implication that this would potentially include allocation of land for 20 houses in the period covered by the LDF. We would anticipate the majority of this housing growth being met by the affordable housing sites already identified at Old Crown Farm and the former coalyard at Hartfield Station. A site for the permanent surgery has already been identified in the Local Plan at Old Crown Farm. The priority for any additional allocation of land for development should be affordable housing. Any further allocation of land for commercial housing should be limited to small developments on infill sites, with the current development boundary of Hartfield village kept intact. We now have data from our Parish Plan questionnaire that indicates that Hartfield residents support this approach.

Hartfield Parish Council Response: Any development in the villages surrounding the Ashdown Forest must be carefully constrained to avoid any impact on the special character of the Forest.
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Hartfield Parish Council Response: Agree, for developments involving several houses (rather than a single unit).

Option 25c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 25e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Hartfield Parish Council Response: Agree. Wealden’s policies must balance the need to protect the AONB with the need for provision of appropriately designed, sustainable, affordable housing. As far as possible, land already developed - brownfield sites - should be chosen in preference to green field sites. In villages, several small development sites should be identified in preference to any one large development of social housing. In attractive villages Wealden should not expect to satisfy a potentially unlimited demand for affordable housing, setting any targets for housing provision at a realistic level.
Hartfield Parish Council Response: We feel that a specific objective should be included relating to addressing the need for affordable housing in villages – SO5’s desire to meet the minimum target for affordable housing isn’t enough. Appropriate targets should be set for each village with growth potential, to satisfy some of the unmet demand, while not seeking to meet the potentially unlimited demand in our most attractive villages. A broader “sustainability” objective should be developed which states a desire to ensure that our villages remain sustainable, with a balanced population, able to live and work locally, making appropriate provision for affordable housing both for families with children and those living alone, and with easy access to (protected and enhanced) local facilities. We would also like to have seen more in the LDF Issues and Options about using planning as part of managing the impact of increasing traffic levels, where possible avoiding the creation of new journeys (e.g. by providing opportunities for working closer to (or at) home, supporting the use of public transport and cycling to avoid car journeys etc.)
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Hartfield Parish Council support 30i, the provision of GP Surgeries.

Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106882  Mrs Pope  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 14a
- [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:  

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] Disagree  [ ] No opinion  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 106882  Mrs Pope  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 14b
- [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:  

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] Disagree  [ ] No opinion  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 106882  Mrs Pope  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 14d
- [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:  

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] Disagree  [ ] No opinion  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 106882  Mrs Pope  Agent ID:

Part of document:  Option 14g
- [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:  

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] Disagree  [ ] No opinion  

Reason for comment:  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Pope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106882</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18c

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18d

**Reason for comment:**
- Agree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Pope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106882</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18e

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18f

**Reason for comment:**
- Agree
Circumstances alter cases; any site, irrespective of location, whether in town or village that could allow development of, say, 6 homes, should be considered according to its merits and demerits, which must include impact on smaller communities.

1. General 1.1. If the whole of Downlands Farm were to be used for future development, the size would be disproportionate to the needs of Uckfield. In contrast to most other sites currently under consideration it lies a greater distance from central Uckfield; there is sufficient land to satisfy immediate needs in closer proximity to Uckfield town centre. It would not form an integral part of Uckfield and would be unlikely to have direct communications with the town. 1.2. It would give Uckfield an irregular and unbalanced structure, generate urban sprawl, and substantially erode the strategic gap between Uckfield and Maresfield. 1.3. Residential development in Uckfield has already largely concentrated on the northern side of the town, with the large developments of Manor Park, Rocks Park and West Park. Development of Downlands Farm would further imbalance the town structure. 2. Landscape 2.1. The landscape value of Downlands Farm is higher than other land under consideration. Development of Downlands Farm would inflict permanent landscape damage upon classic unspoilt Wealden landscape and breach the natural basin in which Uckfield lies, which imparts visual protection to Maresfield and the High Weald AONB. Development would destroy this. 3. Ecology 3.1. The development of the Downlands Farm site is unsustainable. Overall, development of this site would carry greater environmental impact than the other sites under consideration for future development. 3.2. Development of Downlands Farm would inflict irreparable ecological damage on the environment, which has important examples of ancient woodland, and a diverse flora and fauna. It also includes wetland habitats that will be lost or damaged and there would be serious degeneration of biodiversity. 4. Transport 4.1. Development of Downlands Farm would generate substantial traffic. If accessed from local roads the development would overburden the local road network, particularly Snatts Road, which is wholly unsuitable for any increased volume of traffic, especially the dangerous southern section and junction with Rocks Road and very narrow access to the Town centre at Church Street, which is already heavily congested at peak times. 4.2. The alternative of a link road to the bypass has serious objections. · First on highway grounds, due to the existing congestion on the bypass at peak traffic flows. · Secondly the distance of the development from the town centre would deter pedestrian access and encourage car dependency; the lengthier road route for residents accessing local amenities and the town centre that would be envisaged by a link road would create additional environmental impact. · Thirdly it would carry a heavier impact on town centre traffic by this implied over-dependence on private transport.
Consultee ID: Mr Hossack  
Agent ID: 106883  
Part of document: Option 14b  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: 
This location represents a green space between Uckfield and Coopers Green. It is a significant distance from Uckfield Town Centre and although served by public transport, would encourage car dependency if developed. Its development would give Uckfield an even more irregular and unbalanced structure and create urban sprawl.

Consultee ID: Mr Hossack  
Agent ID: 106883  
Part of document: Option 14c  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: 
Whilst this may appear as a natural location for Uckfield to develop, having more limited environmental impacts and being nearer the town centre than other sites, the road access at Framfield Road is totally inadequate. Until better highway access and an improved second river crossing can be arranged, the area should not be developed.

Consultee ID: Mr Hossack  
Agent ID: 106883  
Part of document: Option 21a  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment: 
Although in general agreement, as the area would appear to be appropriate for development, the dimension visualized is too large. Also, unless adequate additional local services are provided (local retail outlets etc), the impact of the development of this area and Five Ash Down on Uckfield would be excessive, when considered in conjunction with the developments proposed for Uckfield itself.
Travellers' sites should be located where there is a local demand from travelling families and allow them to become part of the settled community if they so wish. It essential that they are in locations that allow inclusion of the families into the local community, for schooling and access to amenities. By implication this must mean nearer established centres of population.

This would support the sustainable approach to transport in this area and offer a viable alternative to accessing the south coast from Uckfield and the north. It is essential that the impact on existing communities is mitigated as far as possible.

"Some development" is too loose a term. In general there should be a presumption against development in AONBs, but there may be cases where regeneration of existing buildings or even small scale new building to strict environmental and sustainable standards may be appropriate. (See Julian Salmon's response)
Consultee ID: Mr Brett  
106885

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Too low

Consultee ID: Mr Brett  
106885

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
The highest possible targets should be set to encourage a change in practice and promote public awareness of the issues. These should be subject to periodic upward review. I also agree with the proposal of an immediate 3 Star building standard, rising to 6 Star by 2012.

Consultee ID: Mr Brett  
106885

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
It's doubtful whether Forest Row's infrastructure or services would cope with this level of increase. I believe the Health Centre and local school among others have voiced these concerns. Also building in the proposed areas would lead to 1) ribbon development towards East Grinstead which would destroy the unique character of the village, and 2) increased risk from flooding in the proposed areas west of the village, as demonstrated after the recent heavy rain.
Mr Brett

Option 24b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Not sure what "typically" means. There may be areas where densities higher than this may be appropriate, I would support higher densities in places if it encourages the building of more affordable housing.

Option 25a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 28a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 28b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Brett
Consultee ID: Mr Brett
Consultee ID: Cameron
Consultee ID: Cameron

Part of document: Option 28c
Part of document: Question Twenty Nine
Part of document: Question One
Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document: Agent ID:
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Section of Document: Agent ID:

Reason for comment: To prevent landbanking.
Reason for comment: Your View
Reason for comment: Development in ANOB should be small and limited to that which is in keeping
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Cameron
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cameron
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cameron
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cameron
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment: STRONGLY OPPOSED
Consultee ID: Cameron
106888
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 4b
Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cameron
106888
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 4c
Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cameron
106888
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 4d
Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cameron
106888
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 5a
Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cameron</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cameron</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 6d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>95% of development should be urban...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cameron</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cameron</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Cameron</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16a**

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Oppose any development west of the A22

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cameron</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16a**

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

No development to the West of the A22! otherwise its just a sprawl in to the Low Weald

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cameron</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16b**

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

NO development to the West of the A22... need to avoid sprawl into Low weald

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cameron</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106888</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16d**

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 106888 Cameron

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106888 Cameron

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106888 Cameron

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106888 Cameron

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Strongly opposed. This new settlement would conflict with the AONB and the proposed National Park. Furthermore, this would be contrary to the proposal by the Inspector to extend the National Park Boundary to include all Wilmington Green. Common Land is protected. Landscape at the foot of the downs would be gravely damaged. South East Regional Plan does not promote new towns or settlements.
Consultee ID: Cameron

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 24a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 24b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 24c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Cameron  Agent ID: 106888
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 24d
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cameron  Agent ID: 106888
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Busses should come first!

Consultee ID: Cameron  Agent ID: 106888
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cameron  Agent ID: 106888
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 27c
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Cameron 106888

Part of document: Option 27d

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View: disagree

Reason for comment:
Oppose the following elements of the infrastructure – 30a; 30b; 30o.
Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

If the village is designated with low growth potential, there is a risk that all development passes by and it will decline.

Option 2b

Section of Document: Settlemnts in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Development should be concentrated on areas where people work. It should therefore minimise the amount of travel to work, availability of public transport etc. Apartments, flats, including small high rise should be considered before using green unused land.

Option 2b

Section of Document: Settlemnts in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Development should be concentrated on areas where people work. It should therefore minimise the amount of travel to work, availability of public transport etc. Apartments, flats, including small high rise should be considered before using green unused land.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: ms chamberlain

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
If small scale means 10 houses or so then yes but a few hundred could easily damage the boundary between say Froest Row and East Grinstead.

Consultee ID: ms chamberlain

Part of document: Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Consultee ID: ms chamberlain

Part of document: Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed development is too much. The houses would be occupied by commuters which would add to traffic on overburdened roads. Policy should be green ie: put homes nearer to places where people work so they don't have the same need to commute such distances.

Consultee ID: ms chamberlain

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
High rises of apartments should be considered and the use of all brown field sites where poss.
Consultee ID: ms chamberlain
106891

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consider new type of high rises with communal areas, allotments, homes for single people, elderly people, playgronaunds for kids - could be really exciting.

Consultee ID: ms chamberlain
106891

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Samways
106892

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Samways
106892

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

With the recently approved development of up to 140 houses on the former Grampian site, Five Ash Down does not have the infrastructure in place for further development. The busy junction at the north of the village with the A26 is an accident black-spot & will only be made worse. The water & sewage treatment for the village is totally insufficient - especially as the village suffered from sewage issues several years ago resulting from the Grapian site. The addition of a further 140 homes on this site will equal the waste production of the old site, resulting in further issues again.
Option 2b

Reason for comment:
Importance of delivering sustainable economic growth, with a need to meet the 2010 target of 10% renewable energy. Therefore, it would be helpful if the Local Authority were to pursue a higher renewable energy target.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
SEEDA would generally support the proposals to consider developing sites allocated for employment purposes for mixed use developments, we recommend that the concept of 'no realistic prospect' is approached with caution. Concerned if land at the Polegate Business Park were lost to other uses. Useful to base all decisions on the findings of an up to date Employment Land Review.

Option 3b

Reason for comment:
Importance of retaining employment premises where there is evidence of market need, specifically in rural areas, as Regional Economic Strategy identifies that in rural areas the reuse of redundant buildings supports the growth of rural businesses.
Consultee ID: Mr Mawer  
106893  
SEEDA  

Part of document: option 3c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
The needs of businesses are continuously evolving and therefore the policy needs to give greater flexibility towards a variety of employment uses.

Consultee ID: Mr Mawer  
106893  
SEEDA  

Part of document: option 3d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Advise that you view district based forecasts with caution, but most up to date Experian econometric forecasts may offer a more up to date forecast for the Wealden economy than the ones you refer to. We consider the Borough is not a self contained labour market, and therefore we would be concerned if forecast labour supply should be used as a policy of constraint on the economic development of the North of the District.

Consultee ID: Mr Mawer  
106893  
SEEDA  

Part of document: option 4a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Support option to allocate the site for new employment and business development, most suitable site in Eastbourne and Hailsham triangle to raise the economic performance of this part of the district.
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Support option to allocate the site for new employment and business development, most suitable site in Eastbourne and Hailsham triangle to raise the economic performance of this part of the district.

Option 4c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Support option to allocate the site for new employment and business development, most suitable site in Eastbourne and Hailsham triangle to raise the economic performance of this part of the district.

Option 4d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Support option to allocate the site for new employment and business development, most suitable site in Eastbourne and Hailsham triangle to raise the economic performance of this part of the district.

Option 25c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Supportive of proposals for a 'one to one' approach to affordable housing in rural areas so long as an up to date Housing Needs Survey and Housing Market Assessment have identified the need. It is important that the policy does not make future rural developments unviable.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Carr</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Carr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106894</td>
<td></td>
<td>102500</td>
<td>c/o Carr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>PPS7 provides that the landscape and scenic beauty of AONBs should be afforded the highest status of protection. The need to conserve such areas should be afforded great weight in planning policy. Major developments should not take place in AONBs, except in exceptional circumstances, following the most rigorous examination. Such proposals must be demonstrably in the public interest. In the absence of clear reasons why the majority of new development should not be accommodated outside such areas, the Core Strategy should steer significant new development away from the High Weald and Sussex Downs AONBs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Carr</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Mr Carr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106894</td>
<td></td>
<td>102500</td>
<td>c/o Strutt and Parker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>An amendment to PPS1 dealing with the impact of climate change is expected shortly, in all likelihood prior to the formulation of Preferred Options. The Core Strategy should look towards this new guidance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 2b

Reason for comment:
An amendment to PPS1 dealing with the impact of climate change is expected shortly, in all likelihood prior to the formulation of Preferred Options. The Core Strategy should look towards this new guidance.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
PPS3 (para 44) indicates it is appropriate to consider whether sites that are currently allocated for industrial or commercial use could more appropriately be reallocated for housing development (see also: Employment Land Reviews: Guidance Note ODPM – 2004). However, as a matter of principle, land identified for employment use should be retained where there is an identified need, particularly where this would provide for balanced and sustainable communities.

Option 3b

Reason for comment:
Given the likely additional housing growth within Wealden through revised RSS targets, existing employment premises should be protected from alternative forms of redevelopment, except in exceptional circumstances. This will help ensure an appropriate level of supply and provision to balance housing growth. Assessments of “market need” should take planned levels of growth within the emerging LDF into account.
Reason for comment:
Housing development should be balanced with an appropriate level of new business development in accessible locations to encourage sustainable travel and encourage delivery of employment sites. PPS3 promotes housing development in locations which offer good access to jobs (para.10).

Reason for comment:
The respondent favours a Polegate/Willingdon/edge of Eastbourne focus for new development, and in this context, new employment sites on the periphery of Polegate would compliment housing on other sites very well.

Reason for comment:
The respondent favours a Polegate/Willingdon/edge of Eastbourne focus for new development, and in this context, new employment sites on the periphery of Polegate would compliment housing on other sites very well.
### Option 4c

**Reason for comment:**
The respondent favours a Polegate/Willingdon/edge of Eastbourne focus for new development, and in this context, new employment sites on the periphery of Polegate would compliment housing on other sites very well.

### Option 4d

**Reason for comment:**
The respondent favours a Polegate/Willingdon/edge of Eastbourne focus for new development, and in this context, new employment sites around the A22 at Hailsham would tend to promote unsustainable traffic patterns, in the absence of major improvements to public transport infrastructure along the A22 corridor.

### Option 5a

**Reason for comment:**
In addition, the Core Strategy should identify settlements where boundaries are to be reviewed for proposed urban extensions.
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Consultee ID:  Mr  Carr
106894

Agent ID:  Mr  Carr
102500  c/o Strutt and Parker

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Properly controlled development can be best achieved by maintaining the concept of settlement boundaries, with the allocation of specific sites through the LDF process. The abolition of this approach in favour of a criteria-based policy would undermine the consistency of decision-making. It would also make it difficult to plan for appropriate levels of growth.

Consultee ID:  Mr  Carr
106894

Agent ID:  Mr  Carr
102500  c/o Strutt and Parker

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This approach is too simplistic and difficult to reconcile with the Core Strategy element of spatial planning, which sees options emerge from issues rather than arbitrarily imposed.

Consultee ID:  Mr  Carr
106894

Agent ID:  Mr  Carr
102500  c/o Strutt and Parker

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This approach is too simplistic and difficult to reconcile with the Core Strategy element of spatial planning, which sees options emerge from issues rather than arbitrarily imposed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Carr</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Carr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106894</td>
<td></td>
<td>102500</td>
<td>c/o Strutt and Parker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☑ option

**Option 6c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This approach is too simplistic and difficult to reconcile with the Core Strategy element of spatial planning, which sees options emerge from issues rather than arbitrarily imposed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Carr</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Carr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106894</td>
<td></td>
<td>102500</td>
<td>c/o Strutt and Parker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☑ option

**Question 6d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This approach is too simplistic and difficult to reconcile with the Core Strategy element of spatial planning, which sees options emerge from issues rather than arbitrarily imposed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Carr</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Carr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106894</td>
<td></td>
<td>102500</td>
<td>c/o Strutt and Parker</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☑ option

**Option 7a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The emerging approach is considered a sound basis for classifying settlements. PPS3 requires Local Development Documents to identify a strategy for the planned location of new housing to contribute to the aims of sustainable development, including providing housing in rural areas, not only in market towns and local service centres, but also in villages, in order to enhance their sustainability.
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The approach contemplated is broadly sensible, however it may be difficult to define/maintain size limits given the variability of rates of infilling.

Reason for comment:
This approach is too simplistic and difficult to reconcile with the Core Strategy element of spatial planning, which sees options emerge from issues rather than arbitrarily imposed.

Reason for comment:
Polegate/Willingdon and the edge of Eastbourne provides a focus for development where linkages can be forged between employment and housing growth in a sustainable way, alongside new transport infrastructure. The area is well-located and connected to Eastbourne for accessing jobs higher order services. There should be a Polegate/Willingdon/edge of Eastbourne focus in the Core Strategy.
Option 9a

Reason for comment:
The Core Strategy should focus on the Sussex Coast Policy Area as required in the emerging RSS. There should be no Uckfield focus for major new development.

Consultee ID: 106894  Mr Carr
Agent ID: 102500  Mr Carr
c/o Strutt and Parker

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 7d

Reason for comment:
Local village services should, as a general rule, be protected in the planning system.

Consultee ID: 106894  Mr Carr
Agent ID: 102500  Mr Carr
c/o Strutt and Parker

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 8a

Reason for comment:
Hailsham has already experienced significant housing development in recent years, and should be allowed a period of assimilation. Local services are presently stretched, including highway capacity. The aims of regeneration of the town can be achieved without the requirement for significant additional development. There should be no Hailsham focus within the emerging LDF Core Strategy.
Comment - Hailsham has already experienced significant housing department in recent years and should be allowed a period of assimilation. Local services are also presently stretched, including highway capacity. There is presently a clear visual break between Hailsham and Polegate which should not be undermined by additional housing development, particularly given the availability of alternative, less visually sensitive sites. Significant development in the north of Polegate is unlikely to result in more sustainable travel patterns with these towns and Eastbourne and will only serve to encourage further commuting distances to the main urban area of Eastbourne. There should be no Polegate/Hailsham axis within the emerging LDF Core Strategy.

Comment - A new settlement proposal will involve considerable lead-in times and will not provide deliverable housing opportunities in the short or medium term. As such, the prospect should be considered in relation to future rather than current needs.
The Core Strategy should focus on the Sussex Coast Policy Area as required in the emerging RSS. There should be no Uckfield/Crowborough focus for major new development.  

Reason for comment:
Setting size thresholds of this kind for housing allocations is akin to a formal “windfall” allowance. This conflicts with PPS3, which states that allowances for windfalls should not be included in the first 10 years of land supply unless LPAs can provide robust evidence of genuine local circumstances that prevent specific sites being identified. No such circumstances exist in this instance, as land can be identified, particularly in the Low Weald, to meet the requisite development requirements of the strategy.
Comment - Crowborough is tightly constrained by the High Weald AONB. Significant development is likely to be required to be located in or on the edge of the AONB, resulting in harm to this nationally-protected landscape. Such development would be contrary to PPS7, and should only be permitted in exceptional circumstances. Alternative locations for development are available within the Low Weald, which would not be harmful to the AONB.

Comment - Significant development in or around Heathfield is likely to be located either within or impacting on the AONB. This is contrary to Government guidance that states that significant development in such locations should only be granted in exceptional circumstances, as alternative land is available to meet the requisite development needs of the District within the Low Weald.

Comment - A small section of the District to the south-east of Tunbridge Wells is countryside outside the High Weald AONB. It is however closely bordered by this national landscape designation. Significant development in this location is likely to impact on the AONB, contrary to the aims of PPS7, which affords such areas the highest protection. There are other locations for development, particularly within the Low Weald part of the District, which would meet the requisite development needs of the District without compromising the aims of the AONB.
### Reason for comment:

- **Comment - Uckfield** is subject to limitations due to traffic congestion and flooding, which may well be prohibitively expensive to resolve. This may well prevent adequate delivery of housing development. As such, there should be no significant development identified within Uckfield beyond current commitments.

- **Scale of proposal required** to make a viable settlement in this area will upset the existing urban hierarchy and lead to the undermining of Polegate.

- **Comment - Hailsham** has already experienced significant housing development in recent years, and should be allowed a period of assimilation. Local services are presently stretched, including highway capacity. The aims of regeneration of the town can be achieved without the requirement for significant additional development.
Option 16a

Reason for comment:
Comment - No further residential development should be committed here beyond the allocation already established in the non-statutory Local Plan. May be suitable for commercial use.

Option 16b

Reason for comment:
Scale of proposal required to make a viable settlement in this area will upset the existing urban hierarchy and lead to the undermining of Polegate.

Option 16d

Reason for comment:
Comment - Suitability for commercial use should be explored.

Option 16e

Reason for comment:
Comment - No further residential development should be committed here beyond the allocation already established in the non-statutory Local Plan. May be suitable for commercial use.
Option 16f

Reason for comment:
The respondent controls a significant proportion of this area of land and supports the concept of a comprehensive approach to the development of this area, which could take place without harm to the wider landscape. The general location provides great potential for development of widely accessible services, as well as an excellent relationship to existing transport corridors. The respondent is prepared to participate in a comprehensive masterplan to deliver this opportunity through the LDF process.

Option 16g

Reason for comment:
Comment - The role of Polegate town centre should be reinforced. However, redevelopment of the station area should be for mixed use rather than purely residential.

Question 16i

Reason for comment:
The identified objectives are supported.
Comment - Stone Cross has experienced very significant growth and change over recent decades, and a further period of assimilation is required before significant additional development is considered.

Reason for comment:

Comment - A new settlement proposal will involve considerable lead-in times and will not provide deliverable housing opportunities in the short or medium term. As such, the prospect should be considered in relation to future rather than current needs.

Reason for comment:

The proportion of affordable housing proposed correctly reflects the strengths of the housing markets in these locations and hence the likely deliverability of the affordable element, subject to the findings of the Housing Need Study.
Option 24a

Reason for comment:
Development at densities in excess of 35 dph may well be acceptable in large housing schemes.

Option 24b

Reason for comment:
The best use must be made of available housing opportunities, even where adjacent development is at a significantly lower density.

Option 24c

Reason for comment:
Densities at this level are unlikely to be achievable within Wealden other than in town centres.

Option 25a

Reason for comment:
The LDF evidence base (including the SHMA and Housing Need Study) must provide the basis for identifying the type of housing required.
Reason for comment:
The desirability of maintaining and improving bus services is clearly important but should not in itself be a key determinant of the location of development.

Reason for comment:
The allocation of development at certain sections along the proposed route to help fund missing links, should not in its own right be a reason for development in these locations. The most appropriate location for development must be based on a wide range of issues, and inappropriate weighting to the benefit of a new railway link could result in the identification of land for development that would otherwise be considered inappropriate.

Reason for comment:
The potential for future dualling should be maintained to prevent premature overcapacity developing, and to allow the effects of efforts to bring about modal shifts to be assessed before proving additional capacity.
Section of Document:

Option 27e

Reason for comment:
The existence of Local Authority boundaries should be no obstacle to the movement of people by sustainable means to the main regional centres used by Wealden residents.

Section of Document:

Option 28a

Reason for comment:
Comment - The option is supported in principle but significant improvements to existing parks may be a better option where these are well located for existing and proposed development.

Section of Document:

Option 28c

Reason for comment:
Existing public and private sports facilities in the main areas identified for new housing growth should be protected.
Consultee ID: MS MISRAHI

Part of document: 

Section of Document: Location Plan

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106896

Part of document: Option 14a

Section of Document: 

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chivers

Part of document: Option 14a

Section of Document: 

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

It does not appear that the infrastructure is present to support expansion of the village by such a large amount. The answer to the housing problem is not necessarily to be found in purely building more housing. Better use/management of existing resources can go a long way to improving this situation.

Consultee ID: mr durdant-hollamby

Part of document: Option 19a

Section of Document: 

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

This area provides a valuable buffer on the edge of Uckfield and should not be brought into urban development. The watershed has no further capacity for run-off.
Consultee ID: Mr Chivers
Consultee ID: Miss Heron
Consultee ID: Mrs Sullivan

Option 14b

Section of Document:
Option 14b

Reason for comment:
Uckfield should be a country town surrounded by countryside. This proposal would create a conurbation with Maresfield which would ruin the character of the latter as well as damaging the situation of the former. The hydrological issues also need to be addressed - this watershed has no capacity for further run off.

Reason for comment:
Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Your View: Disagree
The proposed settlement for Berwick/Selmeston does not make sense for a number of reasons: 1. The area simply cannot support this level of development without significant, devastating changes to the infrastructure of two rural villages. 2. There are insufficient natural resources (such as water) to support a development of this size. 3. The road network would not support this level of activity. 4. It would devastate both Berwick and Semeston villages. Residents chose to live in the country - not a town. There are plenty of towns around the south downs that already have the infrastructure in place to support additional housing.

Inadequate infrastructure, edge of AONB, poor access and greenfield site.

This must be sympathetically handled but a settlement type approach in an AONB is better than constant backfilling and further overcrowding of areas of Crowborough that are already closely packed together.
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Consultee ID: Ms Kerwin-Nye
106906
Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
At least 10%

Consultee ID: Ms Kerwin-Nye
106906
Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Kerwin-Nye
106906
Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
An obvious area for development however concern at additional pressures on the A26

Consultee ID: Ms Kerwin-Nye
106906
Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Only if this is done in a way that a) regenerates this already overcrowded area of town (with so much space and large homes in the rest of Crowborough I am concerned that Alderbrook will be the poor relation if the development does not come with at least a school, potentially secondary provision, better bus services and retail outlets alongside other community facilities and b) that consideration is given to the traffic impact on this area and the town as a whole.
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Consultee ID: Ms Kerwin-Nye  Agent ID: 106906

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option  
  
**Option 25b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

At least 40% affordable housing/social letting

---

Consultee ID: Ms Kerwin-Nye  Agent ID: 106906

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option  
  
**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

Building sympathetic on greenfield sites better than overcrowding homes - especially as areas for development in Crowborough are already tight for space. Crowborough is a town of homes with good size homes and gardens. To cram in homes goes against style and culture of town

---

Consultee ID: Ms Kerwin-Nye  Agent ID: 106906

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option  
  
**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

NB there is no space to respond to the assertion on there being spare health and education capacity within Crowborough but given that we already have the largest secondary school in SE this assertion on education is false. Any capacity in all schools at present is based on population drops rather than long term capacity. In addition with many of town unable to access NHS dentists and waiting lists being high it is clear that health capacity is limited too.

---

Consultee ID: Ms Kerwin-Nye  Agent ID: 106906

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option  
  
**Option 24b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Ms Kerwin-Nye
106906
Part of document: Option 24c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Kerwin-Nye
106906
Part of document: Option 24d
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Kerwin-Nye
106906
Part of document: Option 25a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Housing should meet a needs audit of the likely population - assuming, as the figures suggest, that this should meet needs of the young and first time buyers and families going for first or second home - then yes this should be mostly flats and terraced homes

Consultee ID: Ms Kerwin-Nye
106906
Part of document: Option 26a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
If this is where need is then agreed
Consultee ID: Ms Kerwin-Nye
Part of document: Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
It should be one factor for consideration - however much is needed to improve intra town bus services e.g. within Crowborough

Consultee ID: Ms Kerwin-Nye
Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Yes clearly. This A26 is strangely absent from the plan but has been the site of several serious accidents over the last 3 years and it the main Lewes Uckfield Crowborough TW route (with as your paper states the latter being the main shopping venue for Crowborough residents)

Consultee ID: Mrs Markwick
Part of document: Option 14a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree ☑ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I believe the development would cause unacceptabe harm to the rural setting. If this are is built on then Maresfield and Five Ash Down become part of Uckfield and Uckfield starts to lose its unique character and becomes one huge ugly town - look what has happened to Crowborough.
Consultee ID: Mrs Markwick  
106907

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 14b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I believe the development would cause unacceptable harm to the rural setting. If this area is built on then Maresfield and Five Ash Down become part of Uckfield and Uckfield starts to lose its unique character and becomes one huge ugly town - look what has happened to Crowborough.

Consultee ID: Mrs Anastasakis  
106911

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The environmental, cultural and economic consequences far outweigh any conceivable benefits. Strongly disagree.

Consultee ID: Mrs Anastasakis  
106911

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The environmental, cultural and economic consequences far outweigh any conceivable benefits. Strongly disagree.
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Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  Agent ID: 106912

Part of document: Option 2a  Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
New domestic housing should be built to a minimum standard of 3 Star as defined by The Code for Sustainable Homes with immediate effect, and this standard be raised by one star grade each year, reaching the maximum standard of 6 Star by 2012

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  Agent ID: 106912

Part of document: Option 2b  Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
New domestic and commercial development should meet a requirement of generating AT LEAST 20% of its energy from renewable sources with immediate effect, and that this target be the subject of upward review after 3 years

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  Agent ID: 106912

Part of document: Option 19a  Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is growth potential in both the areas suggested, but a smaller number of homes should be considered. Higher density sustainable housing with larger communal green spaces, commercial units and good transport need to be provided. There should also be some consideration to the age mix of housing - mixing sheltered housing for the elderly with family housing to ensure a build up of social capital. Schooling, playgroups, youth activities and parenting support will be needed.
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is more sustainable and caters for a higher number of people.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Other ownership models for housing (co-ops and associations) should be considered to ensure that there is more affordable housing. Information given about land that will gain planning consent should be made equally available to villagers and developers.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I agree, but care should be made to mix communities and not to ghetto-ize low income housing in rural areas.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There should be more age mix and small children love living near elderly people and vice versa.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106912</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Business provide important employment opportunities and essential services are more accessible if near the village and affordable housing.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106912</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>There was no question about the improvement of bus services. This is really vital for young people to meet up and access services. It is essential that bus services are improved.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106912</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106912</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 31a</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
But... You need an objective on youth - to provide better and youth sensitive services and to encourage youth activities. Also the sustainability objective should have some more concrete and measurable wording. It is a bit woolly at the moment.
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  Agent ID: 106915
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  Agent ID: 106915
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  Agent ID: 106915
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  Agent ID: 106915
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 4a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 4b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 4c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 6a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID:
106915

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Urban/rural split outside of East Sussex is 100%!

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID:
106915

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID:
106915

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID:
106915

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 106915
Mr Feeney
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Question 5c

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Leave well alone!

Consultee ID: 106915
Mr Feeney
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Question 5c

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106915
Mr Feeney
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Option 6b

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106915
Mr Feeney
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Option 6c

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  
106915

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  
106915

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  
106915

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  
106915

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
See question 6d.

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney 106915

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney 106915

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney 106915

Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 11a

Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  
106915  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option  
Option 11e  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Redevelop Crowborough, do not increase its area.
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106915</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106915</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106915</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106915</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: Option 14a
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: Option 14b
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: Option 14c
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: Option 14d
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: 
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 106915</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Option 15c
- **Part of document:**
  - Document: ☐
  - Chapter: ☐
  - Section: ☐
  - Option: ☑

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree: ☑
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☐

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 15d
- **Part of document:**
  - Document: ☐
  - Chapter: ☐
  - Section: ☐
  - Option: ☑

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree: ☐
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☑

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 15e
- **Part of document:**
  - Document: ☐
  - Chapter: ☐
  - Section: ☐
  - Option: ☑

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree: ☐
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☑

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 16c
- **Part of document:**
  - Document: ☐
  - Chapter: ☐
  - Section: ☐
  - Option: ☑

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree: ☐
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☑

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15f
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Re question 15c, Hailsham town centre in serious need of redevelopment giving emphasis on traffic flow.

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 15g
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 15h
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106915</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:** Option 16b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106915</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:** Option 16d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106915</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:** Option 16e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106915</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:** Option 16f

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106915  
Mr Feeney

Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106915  
Mr Feeney

Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106915  
Mr Feeney

Question 16j

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Redevelopment of Polegate High St, repair of manned railway crossing.

Consultee ID: 106915  
Mr Feeney

Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Part of document: Option 17b
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Part of document: Option 17c
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Part of document: Question 17e
Section of Document: 
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment: Improved public transport facilities through Stone Cross from all areas.

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Part of document: Option 18c
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View [✓] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View [✓] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney

Part of document: Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney

Part of document: Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney

Part of document: Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney

Part of document: Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney 106915

Part of document: Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Any change to these areas will only help eradicate our heritage.

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney 106915

Part of document: Question 19f

Section of Document:

Your View  No opinion

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney 106915

Part of document: Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney 106915

Part of document: Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 20c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Feeney</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 21a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Feeney</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 21b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Feeney</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 21c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: option
Option 22a

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: option
Option 22b

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: option
Option 22c

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: option
Option 22d

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: Option 22d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: Option 23a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: Option 23b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
106915

Part of document: Option 23c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  
106915

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  
106915

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  
106915

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 24b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 24c**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 24d**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 25a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: [ ]  
- Chapter: [ ]  
- Section: [ ]  
- Option: [✓]  

**Option:**  
- 25g

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View:**  
- [✓] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: [ ]  
- Chapter: [ ]  
- Section: [ ]  
- Option: [✓]  

**Option:**  
- 26a

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View:**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [✓] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: [ ]  
- Chapter: [ ]  
- Section: [ ]  
- Option: [✓]  

**Option:**  
- 27a

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View:**  
- [✓] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Feeney</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106915</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: [ ]  
- Chapter: [ ]  
- Section: [ ]  
- Option: [✓]  

**Option:**  
- 27b

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View:**  
- [✓] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  Agent ID: 106915
Part of document: option
Reason for comment:

Option 27d

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  Agent ID: 106915
Part of document: option
Reason for comment:

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney  Agent ID: 106915
Part of document: option
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Part of document: Option 27f
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Part of document: Option 28a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Part of document: Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney
Part of document: Option 28c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Feeney Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Feeney Agent ID: 106915

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Stains
Agent ID: 106916
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I wish to record my objection to the suggestion of major housing development in Isfield. 1. The suggestion would appear to be motivated by financial rather than sound planning concerns. 2. Isfield is too close to Uckfield to become a stand alone community. 3. Existing towns should be expanded to assist local employment. 4. It is absurd to consider building a small town around a level crossing. did we not learn from Uckfield's problems? 5. In Uckfield land between Snatts Road and the bypass would seem the obvious site to be developed first. Conservation objections should not override common-sense. 6. The liability of flooding will be increased in Isfield. 7. The possible re-opening of the railway is a separate matter. Could not the money be used to improve the existing Uckfield-London route? Re-opening would require the level crossing in Uckfield to be re-opened. We can do without that.

Consultee ID: Mr Comben
Agent ID: 106917
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 13a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Benhall Mill Road is very narrow, and, with constantly parked vehicles, a bus service, and regular hearses attending the cemetery and crematorium, access is very difficult. With a proposed new seventy houses exiting onto the road, with a possible one hundred or more cars it will become extremely dangerous particularly at the junction with Forest Road. I now understand that there is possible consideration for a further 3350 houses to be built further along Benhall Mill Road. This would, in my opinion, be catastrophic for existing residents and a strain on the already overstretched infrastructure.

Consultee ID: Ms Hamer
Agent ID: 106918
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 16h
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Concerned about drainage, pollution, biodiversity and the need for people to be close to town centre services.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pullinger</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 16h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO NOT SUPPORT ANY DEVELOPEMENT IN PLOLEGATE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pullinger</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 16i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Pullinger</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 17d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Bennett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This development would benefit the town centre.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Bennett

Part of document: Option 11d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This would result in gridlock on Western Road, Walshes Road, Fermor Road, Hurtis Hill and Whitehall Road. They would also destroy the fields and hedgerows in the area, destroy wildlife and remove the riding school.

Consultee ID: Mr Bennett

Part of document: Option 11e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This would result in gridlock on Western Road, Walshes Road, Fermor Road, Hurtis Hill and Whitehill Road. This would also destroy the fields and hedgerows in the area, destroy wildlife and remove the riding school.

Consultee ID: Mr Bennett

Part of document: Option 18a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Support use of Crowborough camp for industrial development.

Consultee ID: Mr Bennett

Part of document: Option 18c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Support use of Crowborough camp for industrial development.
Consultee ID: Mr Bennett  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bennett  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Bennett  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr James  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106922</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Question 16h</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No development in this area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106923</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Because of infrastructure and traffic issues and the need to protect the countryside.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106924</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Because of infrastructure and traffic issues and the need to protect the countryside.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106925</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>General Comment: We need to improve our communication with the public and to protect biodiversity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Pace
106926

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
No development near to where I live

Consultee ID: Mrs Pace
106926

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Pace
106926

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 16j

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
This would take the parking problem away near the polegate station area.

Consultee ID: Ms Davies
106927

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Because of traffic and infrastructure issues and the need to protect the countryside.
Consultee ID: Thomas  
106928

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Reynolds  
106929
North Halisham and Hellingly Residents Association

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 15g

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Because of infrastructure and transport issues.

Consultee ID: Mr Reynolds  
106929
North Halisham and Hellingly Residents Association

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Caddy  
106930

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Caddy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106930</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Caddy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106930</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Caddy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106930</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Caddy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106930</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Caddy
106930

Part of document:  
- Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Caddy
106930

Part of document:  
- Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Objection to new development in the Polegate/Willingdon area because of flooding, traffic, lack of infrastructure and water shortages and the need to protect the countryside.

Consultee ID: Hepburn
106931

Part of document:  
- Question 23f

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Land at Messens Farm, Potmans Lane Ninfield to be considered for residential development.

Consultee ID: Mrs Hannon
106932

Part of document:  
- Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Hannon
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Question 13b
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Disagree with this site because of traffic, lack of school places, over-stretched health services and infrastructure issues.

Consultee ID: Mr Bowen
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 13a
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Disagree with this site because of traffic issues and the burden it would place on services in Tunbridge Wells.

Consultee ID: Mr Bennett
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Question 13b
Your View: ☑ No opinion
Reason for comment:
Benhall Mill road is unsuitable for off-site parking.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106934</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mr Bennett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106935</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Huggett</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106936</td>
<td>Part 2</td>
<td>Wealden's Landscape</td>
<td>Mrs Monk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106936</td>
<td>Question 11f</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mrs Monk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Reason for comment**: Benhall Mill Road is unsuitable for additional traffic and parking.
- **Reason for comment**: disagree due to 1. traffic issues and 2. location next to the cemetery and crematorium.
- **Reason for comment**: Concern about the impact of wind turbines on the countryside.
- **Reason for comment**: Concerned about more development in Crowborough because of traffic, flooding and infrastructure issues.
Reason for comment:
Roads and services in Crowborough will not cope with the number of houses planned. Already congested at peak times. Town will lose its character.

Reason for comment:
disagree because of nature conservation and infrastructure issues

Reason for comment:
disagree with the area being developed because of biodiversity, traffic and infrastructure issues and the need to protect the countryside.
Consultee ID: 106939
Mr Chalmers

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Not achievable at this stage but should be a long-term target
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 3b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 3c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 3d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 4d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
expand only if there is local demand

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
provided that 'small scale' and 'strict criteria' are clearly defined

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Treating large gardens within existing boundaries as 'brownfield sites' without control over developers ambitions will gradually destroy characters of villages

Reason for comment:
Provided there is no encroachment and there is adequate infrastructure capacity
Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers

Part of document: Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers

Part of document: Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers

Part of document: Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers

Part of document: Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Blanket approach not appropriate
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Consultee ID: 106939 Mr Chalmers

Option 7b
Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Blanket approach not appropriate

Option 7c
Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
blanket approach not appropriate

Option 9a
Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 7d
Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:
Option 8d
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 9b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 9c
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Question Ten
Section of Document:  Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Would promote estate type development which is inappropriate in villages.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalmers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106939</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need additional primary school places in Crowborough abutting Buxte Parish for development in Five Ash Down and Buxted Parish</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalmers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106939</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Must not encourage further ribbon development and must avoid further impact on ancient woodland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalmers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106939</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disagree due to traffic issues and flooding problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers

Part of document: Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Development to the south of Uckfield would present less difficulty than development to the north.

Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

oppose the disappearance of the existing countryside gap and the advent of 'greater Uckfield'
Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers
Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers
Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers
Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers

Part of document: Option 21b
Part of document: Option 21c
Part of document: Question 21g
Part of document: Option 25b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Village would be overwhelmed, also impact on Buxted from commuting

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:
High Hurstwood would benefit from some modest development of smaller affordable houses

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 24a</th>
<th>Option 24b</th>
<th>Option 24c</th>
<th>Option 24d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Chalmers</td>
<td>Mr Chalmers</td>
<td>Mr Chalmers</td>
<td>Mr Chalmers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106939</td>
<td>106939</td>
<td>106939</td>
<td>106939</td>
<td>106939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consulate ID: Mr Chalmers 106939

Part of document:  
- Option 25a
- Option 25c
- Option 25e
- Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

- Option 25a: impractical as a general rule
- Option 25c: impractical as a general rule
- Option 25e: impractical as a general rule
- Option 25d: impractical as a general rule
Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers
Agent ID: 106939

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
appropriate control must be clearly defined

Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers
Agent ID: 106939

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers
Agent ID: 106939

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers
Agent ID: 106939

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalmers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106939</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalmers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106939</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalmers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106939</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalmers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106939</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Question 32b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Chalmers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106939</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment**: Your View

---

**Option 27f**

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment**: Your View

---

**Option 28a**

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment**: Your View

---

**Option 28b**

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment**: Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106939</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106939</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106939</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Thirty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106939</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Question 31a</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers  
106939

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Question 31b

Your View   ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Chalmers  
106939

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Question 32a

Your View   ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Winfield  
106940

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 16c

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Winfield  
106940

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 16c

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Winfield  
Consultee ID: 106940  
Part of document:  
Option 16a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Winfield  
Consultee ID: 106940  
Part of document:  
Option 16a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Winfield  
Consultee ID: 106940  
Part of document:  
Option 16b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Winfield  
Consultee ID: 106940  
Part of document:  
Option 16b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Winfield 106940</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Winfield</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- Option 16f

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Winfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- Option 16f

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Winfield</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106940</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- Option 16g

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Winfield  
106940

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

We need to improve infrastructures and provide more facilities here, not building more houses that we can not cope with.

Consultee ID: Mrs Winfield  
106940

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Need to improve infrastructure and provide more facilities, not building houses that we cannot cope with.

Consultee ID: Mrs Winfield  
106940

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Mrs James

Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I disagree with this area being developed because of biodiversity, traffic, infrastructure (especially lack of school places) and access issues and the need to protect the countryside.

Mrs Peterson

Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I disagree with this area being developed because of biodiversity, traffic, flooding issues, lack of school places and the need to protect the countryside.

Mrs Peterson

Question 13b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I disagree with this area being developed because of biodiversity, traffic, flooding issues, lack of school places and the need to protect the countryside.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106943</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

I disagree with the area being developed because of access, biodiversity, infrastructure (especially over-subscribed) schools and health services / issues and the need to protect the countryside.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lunt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106943</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Question 13b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

I disagree because of the impact on increased traffic. There is also no capacity of infrastructure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MMrs Young</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106944</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Impact on services/infrastructure in Tunbridge Wells - should locate to Wealden towns and villages.
Impact on SNCI Loss of greenfield site Traffic Impact Not co-ordinated with plans in Tunbridge Wells
Too much development in the area in recent years Set a precedent to build in the south of Tunbridge Wells
Loss of countryside gap between Tunbridge Wells and Bells Yew Green

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Omer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106945</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Impact on services/infrastructure in Tunbridge Wells - should locate to Wealden towns and villages.
Impact on SNCI Loss of greenfield site Traffic Impact Not co-ordinated with plans in Tunbridge Wells
Too much development in the area in recent years Set a precedent to build in the south of Tunbridge Wells
Loss of countryside gap between Tunbridge Wells and Bells Yew Green
### Option 13a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Clinton</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Lack of infrastructure to support further growth in this area - including sewage, schools, roads and water.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Tidy</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>1. Loss of SNCI 2. Impact on local services and infrastructure - e.g. healthcare 3. Traffic impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Martin</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>1. Impact on local infrastructure 2. Traffic impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Bullen</td>
<td>Agent ID: 106951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Loss of greenfield land. Traffic impact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Payne</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106952</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Lack of infrastructure, especially schools. Impact on SNCl, not ploughed for 70 years. Impact on landscape of the area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Tidy</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106953</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Loss of rural/greenfield land 2. Traffic impact 3. Impact on services - e.g. schooling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Park</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106954</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>For affordable housing and VERY limited Commercial housing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Park</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 2a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Park</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 2b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Park</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 3d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Park</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Park 106954

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Park 106954

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
We would not accept any boundary changes in Hartfield

Consultee ID: Mrs Park 106954

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Park 106954

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Park</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Park</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Park</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Park</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Park
106954

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Park
106954

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Park
106954

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Park
106954

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Park
Agent ID: 106954

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Park
Agent ID: 106954

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 13a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Emphasis on SMALL

Consultee ID: Mrs Park
Agent ID: 106954

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Park
Agent ID: 106954

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 14d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:  
Agree to SMALL village settlement

Option 14h
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Option 18j
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:  
Agree to SMALL village settlement

Option 19e
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:  
Areas north and west for Hartfield have public rights of way and ancient woodlands, only suitable for groups of 10 or less houses on brownfield sites.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Park</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 19f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

All villages around Ashdown Forest need special treatment with limits on any development.

---

**Option 25b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 25a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 25c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 25e</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View: Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 25f</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View: Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 25f</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View: Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 25g</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View: Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Park
Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Park
Part of document: Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Park
Part of document: Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Sturt
Part of document: Question 7e
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Object to Five Ash Down being identified as having growth potential because of infrastructure issues. Modest growth would be appropriate for Five Ash Down.
Consultee ID: Mr and M Elliott  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree   
Reason for comment:  
Favour Berwick Station  

Consultee ID: Mr and M Elliott  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree   
Reason for comment:  
Benefits from good road and rail connections.  

Consultee ID: Mr and M Elliott  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements  
Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree   
Reason for comment:  
Emphasise that infrastructure should be in place before building commences and that it should be paid for by developers  

Consultee ID: Ms Baldwin  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree   
Reason for comment:  
because of traffic & infrastructure issues and the need to protect the countryside.
Consultee ID: Mr Daverie
106958

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 13a

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Reason for comment:
Lack of infrastructure and services eg. schools, healthcare. Traffic impact on Benhall Mill Road. Loss of SNCI

Consultee ID: Mr Rose
106959

Part of document: □ document ✓ chapter □ section □ option
Part 3

Section of Document: Wealden's Working Patterns

Reason for comment:
To support the economy there is a need for: Upgrading A27 Polegate to Lewes and the Folkington link. New business parks with good road and rail access. Higher education facilities to support high tech high paid industries.

Consultee ID: Mr Rose
106959

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 15g

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Reason for comment:
Hailsham is not suitable for more development due to inadequate sewage and surface water drainage systems and the need for upgraded health, school provision and social services.

Consultee ID: Mr Rose
106959

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18a

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Reason for comment:
Because it is located close to a main rail line link, main truck road and away from flood plains.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106963</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Impact on habitat, urban sprawl and impact on local services.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Sir Cubbon
106964
Tunbridge Wells District Committee of CPRE

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
because of landscape, biodiversity and infrastructure issues and the need to divert a footpath

Consultee ID: Miss Hunt
106965

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Traffic. Impact on local services. Disruption of local amenity.

Consultee ID: Mrs Simmonds
106966

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Increased traffic at Ringles cross - roads already congested.

Consultee ID: Mrs Simmonds
106966

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Increased traffic at Ringles cross - roads already congested.
Consultee ID: Mrs Simmonds
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
agree, subject to flood risk and mixed-use concept. Assume high density housing would be largely of flats.

Consultee ID: Ms Omer
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Greensted
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Concern regarding increase in traffic in Forest Road and strain on local amenities. Contribution to Tunbridge Wells urban sprawl.

Consultee ID: Mr Barnes
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
1.Impact on services in Tunbridge Wells 2.Loss of greenfield site 3.Loss of SNCl
Consultee ID: Mrs Bacon

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Additional traffic. Impact and strain on local services.

Consultee ID: Mrs Barron

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Barron

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Barron

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Barron

Part of document: Option 25b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Should be maximum possible

Consultee ID: Mrs Barron

Part of document: Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:
Infrastructure must be guaranteed from the outset

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Thomas

Part of document: Option 13a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
lack of services to support the development; including transport, schooling (Claremont is full), healthcare and water supply. Forest Road and Benhall Mill road unsuitable for additional traffic

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Stott

Part of document: Option 13a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr and M Woodward
Agent ID:
106974

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Wadhurst is shown as having growth potential but before significant growth takes place a number of issues need to be addressed as follows: Capacity needs to be increased in sewerage, drainage, roads, education, rail transport, community buildings (especially community halls, sports facilities and shops), car parking, G.P.'s surgeries, hospitals and libraries. The level of development shown for wadhurst (285-320 Dwellings) is too high.

Consultee ID: Mrs Spencer
Agent ID:
106975

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Overdevelopment of area. Traffic impact on Forest Road and lack of pedestrian crossings. Safety at Benhall Mill Road/Forest Road junction. Use of Birling Road as a rat-run. Inusfficient school places locally. Nearest schools Claremont, St Peters are over-subscribed. St Marks is 2 miles away. Lack of local facilities such as shops POs.

Consultee ID: Mr James
Agent ID:
106976

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

because of the impact on the character of the Town and Luxford Farm, Goldsmiths Leisure Centre and Caravan Park in particular.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Bowen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 13a</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>Traffic impact Noise and pollution Impact on local services in Tunbridge Wells - schools and water supply</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hannon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 13a</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106978</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>Should utilise brownfield sites first. Traffic impact. Cumulative impact of other developments Lack of infrastructure and services. Schools over subscribed and doctors lists are full</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr and M Walsh</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 13a</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106979</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>Traffic Impact. Inadequate services, capacity and infrastructure e.g. schools and general stores. Loss of a green field site. Impact on SNCI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Unrealistic to expect a new station upon which this proposal is dependent. Train services are deteriorating e.g. no off-peak service at Pevensey Bay and Plumpton. Traffic impact and additional congestion on A27. Landscape impact, including on commons managed by Wilmington Registered Commons Association and historic/environmental factors. Contrary to Inspector’s views about quality of landscape. National park enquiry and proposal to extend boundary. Ecological impact. Impact on landscape setting of Downs. Lack of employment opportunities. Greater land take as need to build all new facilities too.

Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:


Question One

Section of Document:  Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document, ☐ chapter, ☐ section, ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☐ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document, ☐ chapter, ☐ section, ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☐ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document, ☐ chapter, ☐ section, ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☐ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document, ☐ chapter, ☐ section, ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☑ Agree, ☐ No opinion, ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 4100 of 6217
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- **option**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- **option**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- **option**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- **option**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: option
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: option
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: option
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: option
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis

Part of document: Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis

Part of document: Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis

Part of document: Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 8a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 9a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Jarvis</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 9c

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: [Agree]  [No opinion]  [Disagree]
- Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Question Ten

**Section of Document:**
- Site Allocation Thresholds
- Your View: [Agree]  [No opinion]  [Disagree]
- Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 11a

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: [Agree]  [No opinion]  [Disagree]
- Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 11b

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: [Agree]  [No opinion]  [Disagree]
- Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11d</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11e</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11e</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 11g</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: 
Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: 
Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: 
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: 
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  Agent ID:
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  Agent ID:
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  Agent ID:
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  Agent ID:
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  Agent ID:
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  Agent ID:
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document:  
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document:  
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document:  
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document:  
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---------

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---------

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---------

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 14g

Your View  Yes

Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

**Section of Document:**

Option 15f

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

**Section of Document:**

Option 16b

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

**Section of Document:**

Option 16c

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

**Section of Document:**

Option 16d

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: Question 16i
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18g
- Option 18h
- Option 18i
- Option 18j

**Section of Document:**
- Road infrastructure
- Cost of rail travel
- Flooding
- Habitat loss at former brickworks site

**Reason for comment:**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Jarvis</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Jarvis</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Jarvis</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>106982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td>Option 19c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 21c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 21d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 21e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 21f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 22a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Jarvis  
106982

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 22b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Jarvis  
106982

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 22b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 22b**

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 22c**

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 22d**

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 23a**

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis

Part of document: Option 23b
Part of document: Option 23c
Part of document: Option 23d
Part of document: Option 23e

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Your View: Agree
Your View: Agree
Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 4125 of 6217
Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis
106982

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106982</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document:  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document:  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document:  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Jarvis  
106982

Part of document:  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree

Reason for comment:
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Reason for comment:

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:

Question 31a

Reason for comment:

Question 32a

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106983</td>
<td>Opposed to development in Willingdon/Polegate due to congestion, poor drainage and the strain on amenities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106985</td>
<td>Flooding potential. Impact on existing character Traffic impact Contrary to AONB policy Countryside gap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106986</td>
<td>Impact of increased traffic Adequacy of existing local infrastructure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 106987
Mr Ford
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18d
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106987
Mr Ford
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18e
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 106987
Mr Ford
Agent ID:

Part of document: Question 18k
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Land in Hackhurst Lane put forward for development.

Consultee ID: 106988
Young
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 13a
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Traffic Impact. Impact on local services/infrastructure eg schools in Tunbridge Wells. Impact on SNCI. Poor Public transport - local bus is infrequent and does not operat on sundays and evenings. Access not suitable for residents of large-scale housing.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hayward</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106989</th>
<th>RPS Planning &amp; Development Ltd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Wealden's Settlement Pattern</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>The sustainable option of housing development in a limited number of locations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hayward</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106989</th>
<th>RPS Planning &amp; Development Ltd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Wealden's Settlement Pattern</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Paragraph 4.19. My clients note that, as a statement of fact, new greenfield housing allocations will be necessary in Wealden District and that such allocations would best be made on urban/urban edge sites. Paragraph 4.21 – My clients support the argument for focusing the development in a limited number of locations in order to meet sustainability benefits.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hayward</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106989</th>
<th>RPS Planning &amp; Development Ltd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Wide range of services, focus of government services, benefit from economic and social regeneration.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Hayward</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106989</td>
<td>RPS Planning &amp; Development Ltd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Paragraph 4.42 puts forward the “Hailsham Focus” option. Question 8 Option 8a. My clients support this option. Hailsham is the largest town in the southern part of the District and supports a wide range of facilities and services. All local government services will be located in the town and because of its location in the Hailsham – Eastbourne Triangle, it will benefit from priority initiatives to stimulate economic regeneration and investment. Additional development in Hailsham will be able to build upon the existing social/economic base and contribute towards the regeneration of the town including the provision of improvement infrastructure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hayward</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106989</td>
<td>RPS Planning &amp; Development Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Close to hailsham town centre, and is suitable from a landscape and nature conservation point of view and presents a defensible edge to the town.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hayward</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>106989</td>
<td>RPS Planning &amp; Development Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Lack of evidence for proposed numbers. Part of land is available. Development may increase the viability of constructing a southern relief road which will benefit the town centre by reducing traffic congestion.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 15h</strong></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part 6</strong></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>Policy supporting Planning Policy Statement 3 subject to 30 dwellings per hectare minimum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 13a</strong></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Impact on SNCI. Lack of infrastructure/services in tunbridge wells. Should look elsewhere in wealden. Will be paying rates to a different council but will recieve kent services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 21a</strong></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Handley
Agent ID: Handleys
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Respondent is promoting land at Friday Street Farm for development. The respondent refers to difficulties in sustaining the agricultural unit.

Consultee ID: Mr Putt
Agent ID: 106993
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
For the following reasons:- 1. Lack of employment. 2. Limited public transport. 3. Traffic issues

Consultee ID: Mr Putt
Agent ID: 106993
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18j
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
For the following reasons:- 1. Road and rail issues. 2. Water issues
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miss Wardle</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Impact on Tunbridge Wells services/infrastructure, especially schools. Benhall Mill Road is unsuitable for access - high traffic already due to existing uses and on-steer parking. Increased noise and disturbance. Disruption during construction. Visual impact. Poor public transport. Drainage - existing drainage for Abergavenny Cottages in Benhall Mill Road drains into the field and would have to be relaid. Impact on SNCI. Impact/loss of AUNB. Site is green belt. Development could be visually intrusive. Should develop 'Brown field' sites first.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Chapman</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Impact on local services Loss of greenfield land Impact on SNCI Impact on countryside around Tunbridge Wells Traffic impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill</td>
<td>Part 7</td>
<td>Making it Happen - Implementation</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Prior concideration of infrastructure is required to support developments and that it is implemented before or at the time of development.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Heaton
106997

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 13b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Already overloaded infrastructure

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Taylor
106998

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Taylor
106998

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 16h

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Against further development in Polegate because of water supply and infrastructure issues and the need to protect green space

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Taylor
106998

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 16i

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106998</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 16j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Lower Willingdon to Polegate area should have new sports facilities of excellence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Montier</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Montier</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>106999</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Guest</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 13b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Because of traffic issues</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Sumpter</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document, □ chapter, □ section, ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree, □ No opinion, ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Destroys the rural character of Halland and East Hoathly. Infrastructure required will be costly and further damage rural area. Development should be planned where there is local railway access.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Quickfall</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document, □ chapter, □ section, ✔ option</td>
<td>Question 13b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree, □ No opinion, ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Access, traffic, infrastructure and biodiversity issues and being divorced from local shops and services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Gurney</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107003</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document, □ chapter, □ section, ✔ option</td>
<td>Question 13b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree, □ No opinion, ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Because of infrastructure and traffic issues and the need to protect the countryside</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Sir Sowrey</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document, ✔ chapter, □ section, □ option</td>
<td>Part 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Wealden's Landscape</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree, □ No opinion, □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Woodland needs to be increased.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Sir Sowrey 107004

Part of document: 
Part 2

Section of Document: Wealden's Landscape

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment: Design of buildings and wildlife is of importance to Wealden's Landscape.

Consultee ID: Sir Sowrey 107004

Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment: The High Weald AONB must be maintained.

Consultee ID: Sir Sowrey 107004

Part of document: Part 6

Section of Document: Wealden's Communities

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment: Existing towns and villages must avoid high density and ribbon developments. Infrastructure for the future is critical as is the water supply that will be needed.

Consultee ID: Ms Kitto 107005

Part of document: Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment: Oppose further housing development in Crowborough without the infrastructure needed.
Consultee ID: Ms Kitto
Agent ID: 107005
Part of document: Option 18a
Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree
Reason for comment: Agree because it would lead to reinstatement of the Lewes/Uckfield railway line

Consultee ID: Mr Fielding
Agent ID: 107006
Part of document: Option 18a
Section of Document: Agent ID: Disagree
Reason for comment: Not using the correct method for building good quality low cost environmentally responsible housing. Not using the correct method for building and running good quality low cost environmentally responsible public transport system.

Consultee ID: Boyce
Agent ID: 107007
Part of document: Part 2
Section of Document: Wealden's Landscape
Reason for comment: No development should be allowed on the Ashdown Forest, or should be seen from the Ashdown forest.

Consultee ID: Boyce
Agent ID: 107007
Part of document: Option 19d
Section of Document: Agent ID: No opinion
Reason for comment: A few affordable houses and smaller bungalows for the elderly would be appreciated, new medical centre required for the local practise. Bus service need improving.
Consultee ID: Boyce  
107007  
Part of document: option  
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment: A high priority should be given to restore the Uckfield Lewis railway line and the Spa Valley Railway.

Consultee ID: Stokes  
107008  
Part of document: chapter  
Section of Document: Context  
Your View: No opinion  
Reason for comment: a percentage of land should be made available for self-build projects, some of which could be low cost homes built in conjunction with housing associations.

Consultee ID: Stokes  
107008  
Part of document: option  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment: agree dispersing development an small scale to rural villages as they can be easily accomodated without major effect and help support village life.
proposes a number of small developments of 20-40 homes in many different villages such as Ripe, Upper Dicker, Gun Hill, Laughton, Whitesmith, Waldron, Framfield, Golden Cross, Chiddingly, East Hoathly, Blackboys, Horam and West Firle. Supporting reasons: 1. easily assimilated into existing village structure 2. Generate demand for local services 3. Preserve character of village Proposes the non-Wealden villages of Glynde, Cooksbridge, Southease, Rodmell and Plumpton for development for the following reasons: 1. transport links 2. established services 3. proximity to environmental recreation

will create a link between Hailsham and Hellingly which goes against local development policy, it will also make this part of the A271 even more congested. A new relief road would be required, there would also be pressure on local schools and services.

Will combine Hailsham and Hellingly and congest A271. Developing at Hellingly hospital will change the character of the area away from the current centre. Hellingly has no amenities with poor roads and potential for flooding.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stokes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Option 15b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

too many houses-60 max

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stokes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Option 15b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
disagree to the numbers shown (60 max)

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stokes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Option 15c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

there will be major changes in the centre of Hailsham over the next few years with yet another hideous Tesco supermarket etc. These changes should be assimilated before further development takes place. Object to any building to the east that obscures views from the town.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stokes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Option 15c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

obscures views from town
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stokes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 15d

**Consultee ID:** 107008  
**Agent ID:** Stokes  
**Part of document:** Option 15d  
**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
would not object to small development 50 max. plus increasing the village of Downash with green belt maintained between Downash and Hailsham

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stokes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** Option 15d  
**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
disagree to the numbers shown (50 max) could increase Downash with buffer between Hailsham and Downash

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stokes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** Option 15e  
**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
max. 80 homes and would prefer site to be between A22 and Ersham Road.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stokes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** Option 15e  
**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Disagree with proposed numbers (max 80 homes between A22 and Ersham road)
Consultee ID: Stokes
Agent ID: 107008

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
would prefer residential area of Hailsham to have natural boundary i.e. the A22. Future development might be better as science/business centres and kept away separate from Hailsham homes.

Consultee ID: Stokes
Agent ID: 107008

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Disagree for residential purposes could be science/business centre

Consultee ID: Stokes
Agent ID: 107008

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 15g

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
would not object to smaller development of 150-200 homes

Consultee ID: Stokes
Agent ID: 107008

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 15g

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
development in north west Hailsham however may take 150-200 homes
Consultee ID: Stokes

Part of document: Option 15i

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Limited to 100 homes at Summer Hill

Consultee ID: Stokes

Part of document: Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Would have no objections to 30-40 homes

Consultee ID: Stokes

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Would have no objections to 30-40 homes away from A22

Consultee ID: Stokes

Part of document: Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Would have no objections to 10-20 homes
Consultee ID: Stokes  
107008

Part of document: Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View  
Disagree
Reason for comment: 
would have no objections to 30-40 homes

Consultee ID: Stokes  
107008

Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View  
Disagree
Reason for comment: 
strongly disagree

Consultee ID: Stokes  
107008

Part of document: Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View  
Agree
Reason for comment: 
agree if developed with proper infrastructure and taste. Development could transform the village into "something special" with a vibrant new community and new services. Recognise need for careful planning.

Consultee ID: Stokes  
107008

Part of document: Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View  
Agree
Reason for comment: 
Provided suitable design with infrastructure and services
Consultee ID: Stokes

Option 18h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: strongly object. would have no objections to 100 homes

Consultee ID: Stokes

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: would have no objections to 10-20 homes

Consultee ID: Stokes

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: No objections provided a village atmosphere is retained, with open spaces, village green and proper infrastructure. supporting reasons: 1. good transport links 2. established services 3. proximity to environmental recreation

Consultee ID: Stokes

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: This will take advantage of existing road and transport links including the rail network.
Consultee ID: Stokes  
Agent ID:  

**Question 18k**

**Section of Document:**
Should consider Glyne, Cookbridge, Southease, Rodmell and Plumpton due to road and rail links

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 21d**

**Section of Document:**
small development of 20 - 40 homes

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 21f**

**Section of Document:**
agree to small development of 20 - 40 homes

**Reason for comment:**

**Question 21g**

**Section of Document:**
no objection to 30 - 40 houses in Isfield no objection to 30 - 40 homes in hall and away from A22
Consultee ID: Stokes 107008  
Agent ID:  

**Part of document:**  
☑️ option  
Option 22b  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
☑️ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**  
agree to small development of 20-40 homes  

---

Consultee ID: Stokes 107008  
Agent ID:  

**Part of document:**  
☑️ option  
Option 23b  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑️ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**  
strongly object. Will create a link between Hailsham and Hellingly which goes against local development policy, it will also make this part of the A271 even more congested.

---

Consultee ID: Stokes 107008  
Agent ID:  

**Part of document:**  
☑️ option  
Question 23f  

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View**  
☑️ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**  
no objection to 10 - 20 homes lower Dicker no objection to 30 -40 homes Golden Cross no objection to 10 -20 homes Wilmington agree to small development of 20 - 40 homes in Upper Dicker, gun Hill,Launton,Chiddingley,Ripe,West Firle. Sympathetic infilling between the church at Hellingly and Hellingly hospital could unite the village under new amenities. This could allow the expansion of Hellingly village, without expansion into Hailsham. Infrastructure is required before development with self build ( including affordable homes) to encourage good design.
Consultee ID: Huggins
107009
Part of document: Option 18j

Reason for comment:
No infrastructure, limited access to A27, rail service is limited. Ruins rural character of the village. Not like to bring any employment opportunities.

Consultee ID: Jones
107010 Armstrong Burton Planning
Part of document: Option 4c

Reason for comment:
Respondent is promoting land adjacent to the Swallow Business Park, Lower Dicker for mixed business and residential use.

Consultee ID: Mr Turton
107011
Part of document: Option 7c

Reason for comment:
Would oppose development at High Hurstwood
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cllr. White</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 107012  
**Cllr. White**  
**Hellingly Town Council (in conjunction with Hailsham Polgate and Arlington Town Councils)**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [X] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:** Wealden’s Working Patterns

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [X] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
The Eastbourne and Hailsham Economic Blueprint has not been subject to public consultation and we object that it is being used to influence the Core Strategy.

---

**Consultee ID:** 107012  
**Cllr. White**  
**Hellingly Town Council (in conjunction with Hailsham Polgate and Arlington Town Councils)**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [X] section  
- [X] option

**Section of Document:** Question 7e

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [X] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
A certain amount of growth in all villages is desirable to provide housing for young people from the villages, to ensure viability and vitality, and maintain local services.

---

**Consultee ID:** 107012  
**Cllr. White**  
**Hellingly Town Council (in conjunction with Hailsham Polgate and Arlington Town Councils)**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [X] section  
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:** Transport Needs

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [X] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
The A27 must be improved as a precondition to development as this is fundamental to provide jobs, services and revitilisation of the local industries and businesses.
Consultee ID: Mr Rigg
Heathfield Area Pool Initiative

Part of document: Option 12h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
In relation to provision of new swimming pool as there is a need in the area for a community indoor swimming pool.

Consultee ID: Lee

Part of document: Option 8d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Your View

Consultee ID: Lee

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Landscape impact in respect of the downs and important tourism. Little local employment. poor traffic safety of current roads and A27 congestion and accidents. Services are not suficent to meet the needs of a large new poplation. Increases travel by car. Encourages sprawl.

Consultee ID: Piechoczek

Part of document: Option 13a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Infrastructure has not been changed and increased housing wil increase the burden
Consultee ID: Mrs Morley
Agent ID: 107016

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Disagree for following reasons: Access Capacity of local schools SNCI Infrastructure

Consultee ID: Mrs Field
Agent ID: 107017

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Against development in Polegate because of traffic and infrastructure issues.

Consultee ID: Hodgkinson
Agent ID: 107018

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Because this is one of the finest and most unspoilt stretches of the South Downs. This will help to protect the tourism industry which is dependent on the landscape. Development in this area would place too much of a burden on local roads.

Consultee ID: Hodgkinson
Agent ID: 107018

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Because this is one of the finest and most unspoilt stretches of the South Downs. This will help to protect the tourism industry which is dependent on the landscape. Development in this area would place too much of a burden on local roads.
Consultee ID: Mr Keeley
Part of document: option 13a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Traffic impact on local roads Lack of infrastructure and services Impact on AONB Cumulative impact on character of area with other recent developments

Consultee ID: Dr. Turrell
Part of document: option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Isfield is an attractive, historical, rural community and would be totally destroyed by this enormous proposed settlement.

Consultee ID: Dr. Turrell
Part of document: option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr and M Thornicroft
Part of document: option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Isfield is an attractive, historical, rural community and would be totally destroyed by this enormous proposed settlement.
Mr and M Thornicroft

Consultee ID: 107021

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View

- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Isfield is an attractive, historical, rural community and would be totally destroyed by this enormous proposed settlement.

Mrs Ramsay

Consultee ID: 107023

Part of document: Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View

- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Isfield is an attractive, historical, rural community and would be totally destroyed by this enormous proposed settlement.
Consultee ID: Mrs Ramsay  
107023

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 27b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Brown  
107024

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Section of Document:  
Context

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Disagree with the need to build 8000 homes in Wealden to accommodat this growth. Lack of infrastructure and services including health, education, public transport, employment, open space and play areas, childcare, facilities for the elderly, water supply, drainage, flood defence. Impact on countryside.

Consultee ID: Miss Brown  
107024

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Section of Document:  
Option 2b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wiseman  
107025 Halls-Estate.com

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  
Question 7e

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Site proposed for development on the western edge of Blackboys.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Child
107026

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 15i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
1. Impact on countryside views, including from Firle Beacon to Long Man 2. Impact on Berwick as a 'gateway' to the Downs and an attractive part of its setting 3. Traffic impact on A27

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Child
107026

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
1. Impact on countryside views, including from Firle Beacon to Long Man 2. Impact on Berwick as a 'gateway' to the Downs and an attractive part of its setting 3. Traffic impact on A27

Consultee ID: Ms Harris
107027

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Leave as green space Lack of infrastructure including public transport services, schools, community facilities, drainage. Impact on ecology Traffic impact on local roads

Consultee ID: Perry
107028

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Impact on SNCI Access in Kent is a bus turning area Lack of infrastructure and services eg. schools, health and consequent impact on Tunbridge Wells. Traffic impact on Forest Road
Option 11c

Reason for comment:
Preferable to options on south side of Crowborough due to accessing.

Option 11d

Reason for comment:
1. Traffic impact on Western Road, Whitehill, Walshes Road and surrounding lanes - single width.
2. Bus services have been reduced and are not reliable / attractive.
3. Lack of services and shops at Jarvis Brook.
4. Lack of station parking at Jarvis Brook.
5. Land floods and is badly drained.

Option 11e

Reason for comment:
1. Traffic impact on Western Road, Whitehill, Walshes Road and surrounding lanes - single width.
2. Bus services have been reduced and are not reliable / attractive.
3. Lack of services and shops at Jarvis Brook.
4. Lack of station parking at Jarvis Brook.
5. Land floods and is badly drained.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107029</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:
Crowborough Army Camp
Preferable to rest of Crowborough

Reason for comment:
Preferable to rest of Crowborough

Reason for comment:
Preferable to rest of Crowborough

Reason for comment:
Preferable to rest of Crowborough
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Brine</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107029</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  
Option 18f

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Preferable to rest of Crowborough
As explained in paragraph 2.26 of the issues and options document, Southern Water is currently undertaking a study on wastewater service provision in Wealden District (referred to here as the Wealden study). The primary purpose of this study is to determine the ability of existing facilities to accommodate development identified in Wealden’s Non-Statutory Plan (end date 2011). The study also attempts to look beyond 2011, taking into account information available on the likely location of new development in the longer term. The development schedules informing the study were agreed by the Council as best estimate in August last year. Wastewater catchments predicted to receive a significant level of new development were selected for more detailed investigation. As you will be aware, the information available on the location of new development had limited planning certainty at the time the development schedules were prepared. The spatial distribution of new development assumed in the Wealden study does not necessarily coincide with the options put forward in the issues and options Core Strategy, nor the preferred option that will be selected following consultation. We have reviewed each of the options in the draft Core Strategy on the basis of the Wealden study. The result is shown in the enclosed table. We have indicated whether there are known environmental constraints for each option, and whether investment will be required to increase wastewater treatment capacity. Each option has been assessed on an individual basis – in combination (cumulative) effects have not been considered. As recognised in paragraph 2.27 of the issues and options Core Strategy, there are known environmental constraints at Hailsham North and Hailsham South Wastewater Treatment Works. We are informed that the Environment Agency will not allow an increase in consented flow, and a new discharge point is therefore needed. We confirm the statement in paragraph 2.27 that significant lead-in time is likely to be required (up to 15 years), due to the need to find a suitable site and obtain the necessary permissions and funding to deliver a new wastewater treatment works. The phasing of new development in the Hailsham and Polegate area will need to take this into account. Where there are no environmental constraints but additional treatment capacity is required, we anticipate that new facilities can be provided through expansion of existing works. This investment would normally be funded through the periodic review of charges, subject to Ofwat approval. Ofwat generally agrees to fund investment required to service new development with planning certainty (i.e. identified in adopted development plan documents). Southern Water is very concerned that the Core Strategy issues and options document underplays the significance of issues relating to water resources, and ignores any options for future water resource development within the District. Southern Water and the other water companies are currently preparing their Water Resource Management Plans which will identify 25 year plans for future water resource development across their areas of supply. These Plans will adopt the so called twin-track approach of a combination of both demand management and water efficiency measures, and new resource development, in order to meet future water demands. Predicted future demand cannot be met by demand management or water efficiency measures alone. New water resources will have to be developed within the plan period. The suggestion in paragraph 2.34 of the issues and options document that there is no need for a Core Strategy Policy on potential reservoir development is a major concern to Southern Water. The proposal to raise Bewl Water is a strategic water resource option that would contribute towards meeting water resource needs on a sub-regional and regional basis. The text in paragraph 2.34 does not reflect the wording of draft Policy NRM2 in the South East Plan which requires planning authorities to “allocate and safeguard sites” identified in the Policy. The inclusion of a Policy in the Core Strategy would not be “unnecessary” or “cause duplication” as the issues and options document suggests. On the contrary, it is Southern Water’s contention that a Core Strategy that fails to include policy provision for the potential raising of Bewl Water would run a significant risk of being found to be unsound, particularly in relation to tests of soundness 4, 6 and 7. The Planning White Paper proposal
to strengthen the test relating to infrastructure provision reinforces this view. Southern Water will contact you separately to arrange an urgent meeting to discuss the issues relating to Bewl Water. Given the clear cross-boundary issues arising from new proposals at Bewl it may be advantageous to hold a joint meeting with Rother and Tunbridge Wells Councils. I hope the above comments are helpful. We look forward to participating further at the next stage of the preparation process. As explained above, more detailed sewerage capacity analysis can be undertaken on a site by site basis when the options have been refined.

Consultee ID: 107030  
Southern Water

Part of document:  
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:  
We note that question 10 proposes allocation size thresholds of 30+ dwellings in or on the edge of towns, and 15+ in or on the edge of villages. We would prefer a lower threshold of 10 dwellings in both towns and villages, as the precise location of new development is a key factor in our investment planning. We are unable to plan to meet the demand from new development unless the site and scale of development is identified.

Consultee ID: 107030  
Southern Water

Part of document:  
Section of Document: Potential for a New Settlement or Concentrated Growth

Reason for comment:  
mistake, please remove

Consultee ID: 107030  
Southern Water

Part of document:  
Section of Document: Option 18a

Reason for comment:  
The situation with regard to the other catchments (options 18a, 18b, 18i and 18j) is not known at this stage. We would advice you to contact the EA to establish whether there are any environmental constraints. We anticipate that treatment facilities can be provided through expansion of existing works provided: a) there are no environmental constraints, b) the necessary consents can be obtained from the EA and the appropriate planning authorities, and c) funding is approved by Ofwat. Ofwat generally agrees to fund investment required to service new development with planning certainty (i.e. identified in adopted development plan documents).
The situation with regard to the other catchments (options 18a, 18b, 18i and 18j) is not known at this stage. We would advise you to contact the EA to establish whether there are any environmental constraints. We anticipate that treatment facilities can be provided through expansion of existing works provided: a) there are no environmental constraints, b) the necessary consents can be obtained from the EA and the appropriate planning authorities, and c) funding is approved by Ofwat. Ofwat generally agrees to fund investment required to service new development with planning certainty (i.e. identified in adopted development plan documents).
Consultee ID: Solbra 107030
Agent ID: Southern Water

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document: Question 18k

Your View  □ Agree  ✓ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The new settlement options (18a to 18j) propose a large number of houses in one location. This will lead to the need for new discharge consent(s) from the Environment Agency (EA) at the works receiving the waste, and investment to treatment facilities. As stated above, we know from the Wealden study that no increase in consented flow will be allowed at the works serving Hailsham, hence the need for a new discharge point. With regard to sewerage to convey wastewater to wastewater treatment works, we anticipate that the developer will requisition the necessary infrastructure to service new development. It is therefore expected that this infrastructure will be funded by planning gain. A site by site assessment can be carried out when the options have been refined, to assist the Council in selecting the preferred options.

Consultee ID: Mr Collins 107031
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 13a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Cumulative impact on local character due to recent developments

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Pearce 107032
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 13a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Scale of development envisaged is too large Impact on infrastructure and services Traffic impact on Forest Road/Benhall Mill Road
### Consultee ID: Mrs Robinson 107033

**Part of document:** ☑ option

**Section of Document:** Option 13a

**Your View** ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Loss of greenfield site
- Impact on ecology grasslands and associated fauna
- Cumulative impact
- Traffic impact on Forest Road

---

### Consultee ID: Mr Hobbs 107034

**Part of document:** ☑ option

**Section of Document:** Option 11e

**Your View** ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
1. Alice Bright land floods.
2. Alice Bright Lane is not suitable for additional traffic.
3. Lack of infrastructure and services - e.g. gas, water, drainage, electricity.

---

### Consultee ID: Dr Somerville 107035

**Part of document:** ☑ option

**Section of Document:** Option 18j

**Your View** ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Object to 18j because of infrastructure, landscape and the need to protect the countryside.
Option 13a

Reason for comment:
Land is designated as SNCI with the land backing Forest Road possessing more environmental worth. Infrastructure, e.g. refuse collection, schools will be resourced by overstretched Tunbridge Wells. Tunbridge Wells highways are already heavily utilised. Wealden approved development on the edge of its boundary would be at best opportunistic and only for planning gain. The South East Environment needs to be protected and allowing development sets a precedence for mismanagement of land in order to reduce nature conservation.

Option 18b

Reason for comment:
Where do the potential house owners live now and why do they wish to live in Isfield? Where do they propose to work? Are they potential commuters? Do they realise that they will be buying a house on a potential flood plain? Will there be facilities in the development place for schools, shops and all other needs for a new town? How will they cope with (a) the current busy narrow country lanes (b) current shortage of water during droughts (c) power and energy sources now at their maximum (d) extra sewer demands will be difficult to absorb.

Option 14a

Reason for comment:
The development options to the north and west of the town are preferred to those in the south and east.
Mr. Mcloughlin

Option 14d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Should not extend into the landscape entrance to the town.

Mr. Mcloughlin

Option 14e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Should not extend into the landscape entrance to the town.

Mr. Mcloughlin

Part 7

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Infrastructure should be provided in ADVANCE of development.

Mr. Barry

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barry</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107039</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barry</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107039</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barry</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107039</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Barry</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107039</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Barry
107039

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barry
107039

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Barry
107039

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:

Regarding 16h - my comment is why Hindslands playing field is not used for any sport? It seems a total waste of what was originally a well maintained area.

Consultee ID: Gould Davis
107040

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Single carriageway section of the A22 bisects Halland No church, village shops, school GP practice or station. Very poor public transport. Poor and tempremental electricity supply. Volume and weight of the vehicles using B2192 has increased phenomenally. Rate of recent road traffic accidents unacceptably high. Area unable to support more than a handful - up to 10 - new residences.
Consultee ID: Miss Broster
107041

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Broster
107041

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Agree to up to 15 new affordable houses to be constructed provided that suitable infrastructure is carried out in the area of Berwick Station

Consultee ID: Miss Broster
107041

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question 23f

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Agree to up to 15 new affordable houses to be constructed provided that suitable infrastructure is carried out in the area of Berwick Station

Consultee ID: Mr. & Mr Watts
107042

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Due to access onto Cophall roundabout and A27 although they require upgrading before development.
Consultee ID: 107042  Mr. & Mr Watts

Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Concerns regarding the number of houses.

Consultee ID: 107042  Mr. & Mr Watts

Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Concerns about the number of houses.

Consultee ID: 107043  Mr & Mrs Colbran

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107043  Mr & Mrs Colbran

Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Colbran

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Colbran

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Colbran

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☒ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Colbran

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

objection is due to traffic issues
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Colbran 107043</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Colbran 107043</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 16i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Colbran 107043</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 17a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Williams 107044 WS Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 7e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer Submission - Buxted Support Buxted as having growth potential</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Parker
107045

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Oppose any further development at Polegate for the following reasons: Traffic impact Infrastructure and services cannot handle additional population Loss of wildlife and greenfields

Consultee ID: Miss Giles
107046

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Overstretched infrastructure including doctors, dentists, senior schools, roads, leisure facilities and services for the elderly

Consultee ID: Mr Causebrook
107047

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
dont agree with more houses in Willingdon area

Consultee ID: Mr Causebrook
107047

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
should remain for recreational use
Consultee ID: Mr Causebrook
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs O'Shea
Consultee ID: Mrs Melville
Consultee ID: Watson

Part of document: Option 16g
Part of document: Question 16h
Part of document: Option 13a
Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Your View: Disagree
Your View: Disagree
Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
dont agree with more houses being built in Willingdon area
Oppose any housing growth at Polegate for the following reasons: Flood impact Capacity of local services eg. health, education, sewage Impact on countryside Additional traffic and resultant congestion
Impact on infrastructre including traffic, noise and water supply/usage, local schools and health services

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Watson  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ❑ chapter  ✓ section  ✓ option 

Option 6a 

Section of Document: 

Your View  ❑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree 

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Watson  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option 

Question 6d 

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree 

Reason for comment: 

Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns & villages on a proportionate basis.

Consultee ID: Watson  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option 

Option 18a 

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ❑ Disagree 

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Watson  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option 

Option 18b 

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ❑ Disagree 

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Watson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Watson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Watson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Watson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.
Consultee ID: Watson 107050

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas.

Consultee ID: Watson 107050

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Would not benefit locals.

Consultee ID: Mr Newman 107051

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Newman  Agent ID: 107051

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Newman  Agent ID: 107051

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Development should be centred primarily the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.

Consultee ID: Mr Newman  Agent ID: 107051

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Newman  Agent ID: 107051

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Newman
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Newman
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Newman
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Newman
107051
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Newman
107051
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Newman
107051
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Newman
107051
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Newman
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Question 18k
Reason for comment: The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.

Consultee ID: Mr Newman
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 25d
Reason for comment: There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas.

Consultee ID: Mr Newman
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 27a
Reason for comment: Would not benefit locals.
Consultee ID: Moore Parker Dann  
Agent ID: Moore Parker Dann

Part of document: option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:
Land at Berwick Court Farm is put forward for development. Development of this area would have minimal impact on the environment and a full sustainability appraisal is attached in support.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Bailey
Agent ID:

Part of document: option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Bailey
Agent ID:

Part of document: option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Bailey
Agent ID:

Part of document: option
Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development should be centred around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Bailey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107053</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 18a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

#### Option 18b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

#### Option 18c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

#### Option 18d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Bailey  
Part of document:   
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Bailey  
Part of document:   
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Bailey  
Part of document:   
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Bailey
107053

Part of document: Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Bailey
107053

Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Bailey
107053

Part of document: Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
This would not benefit locals.
Consultee ID: Mr Richards
Part of document: Option 3a
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Richards
Part of document: Option 6a
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Richards
Part of document: Question 6d
Your View  
Reason for comment:
Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.

Consultee ID: Mr Richards
Part of document: Option 18a
Your View  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Richards</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107054</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Richards</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107054</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Richards</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107054</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Richards</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107054</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Richards</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18f

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Richards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18g

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Richards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18h

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Richards</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107054</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18i

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Richards  Agent ID: 107054

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.

Consultee ID: Mr Richards  Agent ID: 107054

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas.

Consultee ID: Mr Richards  Agent ID: 107054

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Richards  Agent ID: 107054

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This would not benefit locals.
Section of Document: Wealden’s Economic Challenges

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Faulkner
Agent ID:
107055

Part of document: section

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Consultee ID: Faulkner
Agent ID:
107055

Part of document: Option 6a

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Consultee ID: Faulkner
Agent ID:
107055

Part of document: Option 18a

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Consultee ID: Faulkner
Agent ID:
107055

Part of document: Option 18b

Your View Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Faulkner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107055</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18b**

- Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
- Reason for comment: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Option 18c**

- Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
- Reason for comment: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Option 18d**

- Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
- Reason for comment: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Option 18e**

- Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
- Reason for comment: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Consultee ID: Faulkner
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Faulkner
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Faulkner
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Faulkner
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  
Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Faulkner
107055

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.

Consultee ID: Faulkner
107055

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas.

Consultee ID: Faulkner
107055

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Faulkner
107055

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Would not benefit locals
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Burden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3a**

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[x] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Burden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 6a**

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[x] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Burden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18a**

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Burden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18b**

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 107056  
Mr Burden

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107056  
Mr Burden

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107056  
Mr Burden

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107056  
Mr Burden

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
The Proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.
Consultee ID: Mr Burden 107056

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas.

Consultee ID: Mr Burden 107056

Part of document: Option 27a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Burden 107056

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Would not benefit locals.

Consultee ID: Mrs Burrell 107057

Part of document: Option 16c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs burrell
107057

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs burrell
107057

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs burrell
107057

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs burrell
107057

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs burrell
Part of document: option
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Infrastructure and facilities already at full capacity

Consultee ID: mrs burrell
Part of document: option
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Infrastructure and facilities already at full capacity

Consultee ID: mrs burrell
Part of document: option
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Infrastructure and facilities already at full capacity
Consultee ID: DR Ellis Agent ID: 107058

Part of document: ☐ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: DR Ellis Agent ID: 107058

Part of document: ☐ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: DR Ellis Agent ID: 107058

Part of document: ☐ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Development should be centred primarily around the five main town and larger villages on a proportionate basis

Consultee ID: DR Ellis Agent ID: 107058

Part of document: ☐ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 18c

Consultee ID: DR Ellis
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Option 18d

Consultee ID: DR Ellis
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Option 18e

Consultee ID: DR Ellis
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Option 18f

Consultee ID: DR Ellis
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>DR Ellis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18g

**Section of Document:**
- **Option 18g**

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View: Disagree
- Reason for comment: Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>DR Ellis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18h

**Section of Document:**
- **Option 18h**

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View: Disagree
- Reason for comment: Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>DR Ellis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18i

**Section of Document:**
- **Option 18i**

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View: Disagree
- Reason for comment: Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>DR Ellis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Question 18k

**Section of Document:**
- **Question 18k**

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View: No opinion
- Reason for comment: The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>DR Ellis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>⬜️ option Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>There is very little work and poor transport links in the rural areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>⬜️ option Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>⬜️ option Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Would not benefit locals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107059</td>
<td>MR Gilman</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>⬜️ option Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: MR Gilman
Agent ID: 107059

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.

Consultee ID: MR Gilman
Agent ID: 107059

Part of document: Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Gilman
Agent ID: 107059

Part of document: Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Gilman
Agent ID: 107059

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR Gilman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107059</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Option 18c**

**Section of Document:**

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR Gilman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107059</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Option 18d**

**Section of Document:**

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR Gilman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107059</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Option 18e**

**Section of Document:**

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR Gilman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107059</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Option 18f**

**Section of Document:**

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: MR Gilman
107059

Part of document: Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Gilman
107059

Part of document: Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Gilman
107059

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Gilman
107059

Part of document: Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:

The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Gilman</th>
<th>Agreement</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td>107059</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td>107059</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Their view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td>107059</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Would not benefit the locals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td>107060</td>
<td>Mr Carder</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Their view</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Carder  
107060  

Option 16c  
Section of Document: 
Reason for comment:  
Only as a last resort. Due to impact on countryside and on cuckoo trail. Better as a business site.

Consultee ID: Mr Carder  
107060  

Option 16a  
Section of Document: 
Reason for comment:  
1. Loss of countryside 2. Need A27 improvements (dualling) 3. Traffic impact

Consultee ID: Mr Carder  
107060  

Option 16b  
Section of Document: 
Reason for comment:  
1. Loss of countryside. 2. Ecological impact. 3. Landscape impact - visible from Downs. 4. Set a precedent for development towards Arlington.

Consultee ID: Mr Carder  
107060  

Option 16d  
Section of Document: 
Reason for comment:  
as an "Infill area"
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Carder</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107060</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 16e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>better for business use.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Carder</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107060</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 16e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>As an &quot;infill area&quot;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Carder</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107060</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>1. A27 suitable access road. 2. Recreation land no longer in use. 3. Should only build on Hindslads and protect Mornings Mill farm to maintain gap.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Carder</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107060</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Loss of gap.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Carder  Agent ID: 107060

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 18

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Loss of attractive countryside Impact on downs / AONB Impact A27

Consultee ID: Mr Carder  Agent ID: 107060

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 18

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Loss of attractive countryside. Impact on downs / AONB Impact on A27

Consultee ID: Mr Carder  Agent ID: 107060

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 27

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Impact on existing services at Polegate

Consultee ID: Mrs Burden  Agent ID: 107061

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 3

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs Burden  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Section of Document: Option 6a  
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment: Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis  

Consultee ID: mrs Burden  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Section of Document: Question 6d  
Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: mrs Burden  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Section of Document: Option 18a  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: mrs Burden  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Section of Document: Option 18b  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: mrs Burden 107061

Part of document: Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs Burden 107061

Part of document: Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs Burden 107061

Part of document: Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs Burden 107061

Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs Burden
107061

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs Burden
107061

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs Burden
107061

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs Burden
107061

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment
Consultee ID: mrs Burden  
107061
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option  
Option 25d  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
There is very little work and poor transport links in the rural areas

Consultee ID: mrs Burden  
107061
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option  
Option 27a  
Section of Document:  
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: mrs Burden  
107061
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option  
Option 27b  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Would not benefit locals

Consultee ID: Mr Simmonds  
107062
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option  
Question 5c  
Section of Document:  
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Proposed change to settlement boundry around Uckfield.
Consultee ID: Mr Simmonds  
107062

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Simmonds  
107062

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Simmonds  
107062

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☒ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Simmonds  
107062

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☒ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Simmonds</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107062</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

- Option 14e

this should be for a relocated sewage works and using the former site for an expansion of the industrial estate.
Consultee ID: Mr Simmonds
107062

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee has submitted "a suggested route for a new developer funded road to give access to the eastern end of the by-pass and the south-east from possible new estates between Bird-In-Eye and Cysley's Farm, Uckfield. Via the old A22. (to relieve congestion on Framfield road.)"

Consultee ID: MRS Stanton
107063

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Stanton
107063

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Stanton
107063

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis
Consultee ID: MRS Stanton
107063
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Stanton
107063
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Stanton
107063
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Stanton
107063
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MRS Stanton
Part of document: Option 18e
Section of Document: Agent ID:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Stanton
Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document: Agent ID:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Stanton
Part of document: Option 18g
Section of Document: Agent ID:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Stanton
Part of document: Option 18h
Section of Document: Agent ID:
Your View
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MRS Stanton  
107063

Part of document: option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Stanton  
107063

Part of document: option 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:

The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment

Consultee ID: MRS Stanton  
107063

Part of document: option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

There is very little work and poor transport links in the rural areas

Consultee ID: MRS Stanton  
107063

Part of document: option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Stanton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107063</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 27b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Would not benefit the locals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 3a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 6a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Question 6d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Development should be centred primarily around the main five towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis
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Consultee ID: MR Smith
107064

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Smith
107064

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Smith
107064

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR Smith
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Smith
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Smith
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Smith
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107064</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td>Section:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107064</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td>Section:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107064</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td>Section:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107064</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td>Section:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>There is very little work and poor transport links in the rural areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Would not benefit locals

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fordom</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Would not benefit locals

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fordom</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 3a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fordom</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 6a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Question 6d

Reason for comment:
Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis

Option 18a

Reason for comment:

Option 18b

Reason for comment:

Option 18c

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fordom</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fordom</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fordom</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fordom</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: 107065

Part of document: option

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107065

Part of document: option

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107065

Part of document: option

Your View

Reason for comment:

The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment

Consultee ID: 107065

Part of document: option

Your View

Reason for comment:

There is very little work and poor transport links in the rural areas
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fordom</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107065</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Would not benefit the locals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Allt</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
<td>☒ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 15e

Reason for comment:
Developer submission: The areas of land around Oaklands farm and the Brickfield, Ersham Road, Hailsham are suitable for development. It is argued that Oaklands Farm and the Brickworks are both previously developed land. The scheme could incorporate the upgrading of the south Road / Ersham Road roundabout. None of the land has a high landscape value. There are no biodiversity or flood risk issues.; The area is located relatively close to Hailsham town centre. The area meets the criteria of PPS 3 in terms of deliverability.

Option 3a

Option 6a

Question 6d

Reason for comment:
Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis
| Consultee ID: | Hull | Agent ID: | 107068 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ✓ option | Option 18a |
| Section of Document: |  |
| Your View | ☐ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ✓ Disagree |  |
| Reason for comment: |  |

| Consultee ID: | Hull | Agent ID: | 107068 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ✓ option | Option 18b |
| Section of Document: |  |
| Your View | ☐ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ✓ Disagree |  |
| Reason for comment: |  |

| Consultee ID: | Hull | Agent ID: | 107068 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ✓ option | Option 18c |
| Section of Document: |  |
| Your View | ☐ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ✓ Disagree |  |
| Reason for comment: |  |

| Consultee ID: | Hull | Agent ID: | 107068 |
| Part of document: | ☐ document | ☐ chapter | ☐ section | ✓ option | Option 18d |
| Section of Document: |  |
| Your View | ☐ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ✓ Disagree |  |
| Reason for comment: |  |
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Consultee ID: 107068  
Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View:  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107068  
Part of document: Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View:  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107068  
Part of document: Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View:  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107068  
Part of document: Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View:  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Hull 107068

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document: Option 27a

Reason for comment: The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.

Consultee ID: Hull 107068

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document: Option 27a

Reason for comment: Would not benefit locals.

Consultee ID: Hull 107068

Part of document: Option 27d

Section of Document: Option 27a

Reason for comment: There is very little work and poor transport links in the rural areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Payling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 3a

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

- Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Payling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 6a

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Payling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Question 6d

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

- Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Payling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 18a

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree
Consultee ID: MR & M Payling
107069

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Payling
107069

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Payling
107069

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Payling
107069

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Payling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18f
- Option 18g
- Option 18h
- Option 18i

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18f
- Option 18g
- Option 18h
- Option 18i

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Payling</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18f
- Option 18g
- Option 18h
- Option 18i

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18f
- Option 18g
- Option 18h
- Option 18i

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MR & M Payling
107069

Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ No opinion ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment

Consultee ID: MR & M Payling
107069

Part of document: Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:
There is very little work and poor transport links in the rural areas

Consultee ID: MR & M Payling
107069

Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:
Would not benefit the locals
Consultee ID: MRS Harrington  
Part of document: Option 3a  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: MRS Harrington  
Part of document: Option 6a  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: MRS Harrington  
Part of document: Question 6d  
Reason for comment: Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportional basis  

Consultee ID: MRS Harrington  
Part of document: Option 18a  
Reason for comment:  
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Consultee ID: MRS Harrington

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Harrington

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Harrington

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Harrington

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MRS Harrington  Agent ID:
107070
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Harrington  Agent ID:
107070
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Harrington  Agent ID:
107070
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Harrington  Agent ID:
107070
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment

There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas

Would not benefit locals
Consultee ID: MR Polley  Agent ID: 107071
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Polley  Agent ID: 107071
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Polley  Agent ID: 107071
Part of document: ☑ option
Question 6d
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.

Consultee ID: MR Polley  Agent ID: 107071
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consutee ID:</th>
<th>MR Polley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107071</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consutee ID:</th>
<th>MR Polley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107071</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consutee ID:</th>
<th>MR Polley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107071</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consutee ID:</th>
<th>MR Polley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107071</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MR Polley
107071

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Polley
107071

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Polley
107071

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Polley
107071

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR Polley

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment

Consultee ID: MR Polley

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
There is very little work and poor transport links in the rural areas

Consultee ID: MR Polley

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Would not benefit locals
Consultee ID: Blewitt
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I support a balanced split between towns.

Consultee ID: Blewitt
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Housing Needs - Affordable Housing

Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Affordable housing should be subject to local eligibility test.

Consultee ID: Blewitt
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Minerals and Waste

Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Concern about water supply and impact of extraction on local rivers such as Cuckmere. Support sustainable draining systems.

Consultee ID: Blewitt
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
30d I am concerned about sewage and its impact on Cuckmere.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Fellows</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Fellows</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 6a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Fellows</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Question 6d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportional basis

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Fellows</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MR & M Fellows
Agent ID: 107073
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18b
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Fellows
Agent ID: 107073
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Fellows
Agent ID: 107073
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Fellows
Agent ID: 107073
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR & M Fellows
107073

Part of document:  
- Document: 
- Chapter: 
- Section:  
- Option: [✓] Option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree: [ ]  
- No opinion: [ ]  
- Disagree: [✓]

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Fellows
107073

Part of document:  
- Document: 
- Chapter: 
- Section:  
- Option: [✓] Option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree: [ ]  
- No opinion: [ ]  
- Disagree: [✓]

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Fellows
107073

Part of document:  
- Document: 
- Chapter: 
- Section:  
- Option: [✓] Option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree: [ ]  
- No opinion: [ ]  
- Disagree: [✓]

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR & M Fellows

Part of document: [ ] option

Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.

---

Consultee ID: MR & M Fellows

Part of document: [ ] option

Reason for comment:
There is little work and poor transport links in the rural areas.

---

Consultee ID: MR & M Fellows

Part of document: [ ] option

Reason for comment:
Would not benefit the locals.
Consultee ID: 107074
Rigby
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107074
Rigby
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107074
Rigby
Agent ID:

Part of document: Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☑ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis

Consultee ID: 107074
Rigby
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Rigby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107074</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Rigby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107074</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Rigby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107074</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Rigby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107074</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 107074

Part of document: Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107074

Part of document: Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107074

Part of document: Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107074

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Rigby
Agent ID:

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.

Consultee ID: Rigby
Agent ID:

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:
There is little work and poor transport links in the rural areas.

Consultee ID: Rigby
Agent ID:

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:
Would not benefit locals.
Option 3a

Reason for comment:

Option 6a

Reason for comment:

Question 6d

Reason for comment:
Development should be centred around the five towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis

Option 18a

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 18b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 18c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 18d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 18e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18f**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18g**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18h**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18i**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Smith
107075

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment

Consultee ID: Smith
107075

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is little work and poor transport links in the rural areas

Consultee ID: Smith
107075

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Smith
107075

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Would not benefit locals
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Potter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3a

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View: Agree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Potter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 6a

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View: Agree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Potter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Question 6d

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View: Agree

- Development should be centred around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Potter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18a

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View: Agree

- Development should be centred around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Potter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✔️ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✔️ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Potter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✔️ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✔️ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Potter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✔️ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✔️ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Potter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✔️ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✔️ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: MR &MR Potter
Consultee ID: MR &MR Potter
Consultee ID: MR &MR Potter
Consultee ID: MR &MR Potter

Part of document: Option 18g
Part of document: Option 18h
Part of document: Question 18k
Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Disagree
Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment
Reason for comment:

Disagree
Reason for comment:
There is little work and poor transport links in the rural areas
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Potter</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 27a
- Option 27b

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

- Would not benefit locals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Crawford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107077</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 3a
- Option 6a

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

- Would not benefit locals
Consultee ID: MR & M Crawford  
107077

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis

Consultee ID: MR & M Crawford  
107077

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Crawford  
107077

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Crawford  
107077

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
| Consultee ID: | MR & M Crawford | Agent ID: | 107077 |
| Part of document: | □ document □ chapter □ section ✗ option |
| Option 18d |

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✗ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

| Consultee ID: | MR & M Crawford | Agent ID: | 107077 |
| Part of document: | □ document □ chapter □ section ✗ option |
| Option 18e |

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✗ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

| Consultee ID: | MR & M Crawford | Agent ID: | 107077 |
| Part of document: | □ document □ chapter □ section ✗ option |
| Option 18f |

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✗ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

| Consultee ID: | MR & M Crawford | Agent ID: | 107077 |
| Part of document: | □ document □ chapter □ section ✗ option |
| Option 18g |

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✗ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MR & M Crawford  
Consultee ID: 107077
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Crawford  
Consultee ID: 107077
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Crawford  
Consultee ID: 107077
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas

Consultee ID: MR & M Crawford  
Consultee ID: 107077
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment
Consultee ID: MR & M Crawford
Agent ID:
107077

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is little work and poor transport links in the rural areas

Consultee ID: MR & M Crawford
Agent ID:
107077

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Crawford
Agent ID:
107077

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Would not benefit locals

Consultee ID: MR & M Floor
Agent ID:
107078

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Floor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107078</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- ✔️ Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Floor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107078</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 6d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- ✔️ No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Floor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107078</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- ✔️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Floor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107078</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- ✔️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis
Consultee ID: MR & M Floor 107078

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Floor 107078

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Floor 107078

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Floor 107078

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Floor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107078</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree

Option 18a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Your View  ☐  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☑  Disagree

Option 18b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Your View  ☐  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☑  Disagree

Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Whiteman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 18c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Whiteman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 18d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Whiteman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 18e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Whiteman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107080</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 18f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MRS Whiteman  
107080

Part of document:  
Option 18g  

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Whiteman  
107080

Part of document:  
Option 18h  

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Whiteman  
107080

Part of document:  
Option 18i  

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Whiteman  
107080

Part of document:  
Question 18k  

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment
Consultee ID: MRS Whiteman | Agent ID: 107080

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas

---

Consultee ID: MRS Whiteman | Agent ID: 107080

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: MRS Whiteman | Agent ID: 107080

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Would not benefit locals

---

Consultee ID: MR & M Hayden | Agent ID: 107081

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR & M Hayden
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: MR & M Hayden
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: MR & M Hayden
Agent ID:
Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Hayden
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: MR & M Hayden
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: MR & M Hayden
Agent ID:
Part of document: Question 6d
Section of Document:
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Hayden
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: MR & M Hayden
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: MR & M Hayden
Agent ID:
Part of document: Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Hayden
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: MR & M Hayden
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: MR & M Hayden
Agent ID:
Part of document: Option 18b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 107081  MR & M Hayden

Part of document: Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107081  MR & M Hayden

Part of document: Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107081  MR & M Hayden

Part of document: Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107081  MR & M Hayden

Part of document: Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MR &amp; M Hayden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18g

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**
  - □ Agree
  - □ No opinion
  - ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

#### Option 18h

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**
  - □ Agree
  - □ No opinion
  - ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

#### Option 18i

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**
  - □ Agree
  - □ No opinion
  - ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

#### Question 18k

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View**
  - □ Agree
  - ☑ No opinion
  - □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Hayden</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107081</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔️ option</td>
<td>Option 25d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔️ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td>There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>MR &amp; M Hayden</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔️ option</td>
<td>Option 27a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔️ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>MR &amp; M Hayden</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔️ option</td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔️ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td>Would not benefit locals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>MRS Wade</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔️ option</td>
<td>Option 3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔️ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MRS Wade</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107082</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 6a
- Question 6d

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Wade</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107082</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18a

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Wade</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107082</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18b

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Wade</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107082</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 18c

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Wade</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107082</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 18d

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Wade</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107082</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 18e

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Wade</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107082</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 18f

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MRS Wade
Agent ID:
107082

Part of document:   □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ☑ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Wade
Agent ID:
107082

Part of document:   □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ☑ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Wade
Agent ID:
107082

Part of document:   □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ☑ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Wade
Agent ID:
107082

Part of document:   □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ☑ option
Question 18k

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   ☑ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment
Consultee ID: MRS Wade
Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
There is very little work and poor transport links in the rural areas

Consultee ID: MRS Wade
Part of document: Option 27a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Wade
Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Would not the benefit locals

Consultee ID: MR Cox
Part of document: Option 3a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR Cox

Consulate ID: 107083

Part of document: □ document □ chapter ☑ section □ option

Section of Document: Options for the Urban/Rural Split

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Cox

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Cox

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ☑ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Development should be centred around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.

Consultee ID: MR Cox

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR Cox  
107083

Part of document:  
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: MR Cox  
107083

Part of document:  
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: MR Cox  
107083

Part of document:  
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: MR Cox  
107083

Part of document:  
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR Cox
107083

Part of document: ☑️ option

Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Cox
107083

Part of document: ☑️ option

Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Cox
107083

Part of document: ☑️ option

Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Cox
107083

Part of document: ☑️ option

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR Cox

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 18k

Your View   ☑ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment

Consultee ID: MR Cox

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 25d

Your View   ☑ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is very little work and poor transport links in the rural areas

Consultee ID: MR Cox

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 27a

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Cox

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 27b

Your View   ☑ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Would not benefit locals
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Davie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Davie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Davie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

- Development should be centred around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Davie</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Davies</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18b  
  - □ document  
  - □ chapter  
  - □ section  
  - ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Davies</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18c  
  - □ document  
  - □ chapter  
  - □ section  
  - ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Davies</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18d  
  - □ document  
  - □ chapter  
  - □ section  
  - ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Davies</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18e  
  - □ document  
  - □ chapter  
  - □ section  
  - ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Davies
Consultee ID: Davies
Consultee ID: Davies
Consultee ID: Davies

Part of document: Option 18f
Part of document: Option 18g
Part of document: Option 18h
Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Your View: Disagree
Your View: Disagree
Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Davies</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 18k**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
<th>Document Type:</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Davies</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
<th>Document Type:</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

There is very little work and poor transport links in the rural areas

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Davies</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
<th>Document Type:</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Davies</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
<th>Document Type:</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Would not benefit locals
Consultee ID: MRS Richardson  
107085

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Richardson  
107085

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Richardson  
107085

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Development should be centred around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis

Consultee ID: MRS Richardson  
107085

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MRS Richardson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18b
- Option 18c
- Option 18d
- Option 18e

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: Agree
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MRS Richardson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MRS Richardson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MRS Richardson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MRS Richardson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107085</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Richardson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107085</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document**: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

### Option 18h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**: □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment**: 

#### Option 18i

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**: □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment**: 

#### Question 18k

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**: □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment**: 

The proposed Isfiled new settlement would be too small to attract business investment

#### Option 25d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**: □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment**: 

There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: MRS Richardson
107085

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas

Consultee ID: MRS Richardson
107085

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas

Consultee ID: MRS Richardson
107085

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View   ✓ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Richardson
107085

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Would not benefit locals
Consultee ID: MR & MR Burchett
107086

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & MR Burchett
107086

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & MR Burchett
107086

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & MR Burchett
107086

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
**Consultee ID:** MR & MR Burchett  
107086  

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Consultee ID:** MR & MR Burchett  
107086  

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Consultee ID:** MR & MR Burchett  
107086  

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Reason for comment:**  

Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and villages on a proportionate basis.

**Consultee ID:** MR & MR Burchett  
107086  

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Reason for comment:**  

**Consultee ID:** MR & MR Burchett  
107086  

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Reason for comment:**  

**Consultee ID:** MR & MR Burchett  
107086  

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Reason for comment:**  

**Consultee ID:** MR & MR Burchett  
107086  

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Reason for comment:**  

**Consultee ID:** MR & MR Burchett  
107086  

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

**Section of Document:**  

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MR &amp;MR Burchett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18d

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MR &amp;MR Burchett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18e

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MR &amp;MR Burchett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18f

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MR &amp;MR Burchett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107086</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18g

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MR & MR Burchett
Part of document: Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
The proposed Ifield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.

Consultee ID: MR & MR Burchett
Part of document: Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
The proposed Ifield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.

Consultee ID: MR & MR Burchett
Part of document: Question 18k
Section of Document:
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:
The proposed Ifield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.

Consultee ID: MR & MR Burchett
Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
There is very little work and poor transport links in the rural areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Burchett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107086</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Would not benefit locals

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Burchett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107086</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Would not benefit locals

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Halsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Halsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Halsey</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107087</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Question 6d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Development should be centred around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Halsey</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107087</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Halsey</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107087</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Halsey</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107087</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR &amp; M Halsey</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Halsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Halsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Halsey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18g

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MR & M Halsey

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment

Consultee ID: MR & M Halsey

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:

There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Halsey</th>
<th>Option 27a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; M Halsey</th>
<th>Option 27b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Paika</th>
<th>Option 3a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Paika</th>
<th>Option 6a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 107088

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.

Consultee ID: 107088

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107088

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107088

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Paika
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Paika
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Paika
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Paika
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Paika
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18h

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Paika
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18h

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Paika
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Paika
Agent ID:

Part of document: Question 18k

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ No opinion
Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.
Consultee ID: Paika
Agent ID:

107088

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

There is very little work and poor transport links in the rural areas.

Consultee ID: Paika
Agent ID:

107088

Part of document: Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Paika
Agent ID:

107088

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Frankner
Agent ID:

107089

Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MRS Frankner Agent ID: 107089
Part of document: [☐] document [☐] chapter [☐] section [☒] option
Section of Document: Option 6a
Your View: [☒] Agree [☐] No opinion [☐] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Frankner Agent ID: 107089
Part of document: [☐] document [☐] chapter [☐] section [☒] option
Section of Document: Question 6d
Your View: [☐] Agree [☐] No opinion [☒] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a business investment.

Consultee ID: MRS Frankner Agent ID: 107089
Part of document: [☐] document [☐] chapter [☐] section [☒] option
Section of Document: Option 18a
Your View: [☐] Agree [☐] No opinion [☒] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Frankner Agent ID: 107089
Part of document: [☐] document [☐] chapter [☐] section [☒] option
Section of Document: Option 18b
Your View: [☐] Agree [☐] No opinion [☒] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MRS Frankner
107089
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View   ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Frankner
107089
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View   ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Frankner
107089
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View   ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Frankner
107089
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View   ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MRS Frankner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107089</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Option 18h**

**Section of Document:**

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MRS Frankner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107089</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MRS Frankner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107089</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Question 18k**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment
Consultee ID: MRS Frankner  
Part of document: Option 25d  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: 
There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas

Consultee ID: MRS Frankner  
Part of document: Option 27a  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: MRS Frankner  
Part of document: Option 27b  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: 
Would not benefit the locals

Consultee ID: Smithers  
Part of document: Option 3a  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment: 
Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 18b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Smithers
Part of document: Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Smithers
Part of document: Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Smithers
Part of document: Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Smithers
Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.
Consultee ID: 107090  
Agent ID: Smithers

Option 25d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment: There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas

Consultee ID: 107090
Agent ID: Smithers

Option 27a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment: Agree

Consultee ID: 107090
Agent ID: Smithers

Option 27b
Section of Document:
Reason for comment: Would no benefit locals

Consultee ID: 107091
Agent ID: MR Wade

Option 3a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment: Agree
Consultee ID: MR Wade Agent ID: 107091

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 6a

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Wade Agent ID: 107091

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:
Question 6d

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Development should be centred around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.

Consultee ID: MR Wade Agent ID: 107091

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 18a

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Wade Agent ID: 107091

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 18b

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR Wade
107091

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: MR Wade
107091

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: MR Wade
107091

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: MR Wade
107091

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 18g

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Wade</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107091</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Wade</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107091</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Wade</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107091</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Would not benefit locals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Davies</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107092</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: MR Davies
Consultee ID: MR Davies
Consultee ID: MR Davies
Consultee ID: MR Davies

Part of document: Option 6a
Part of document: Question 6d
Part of document: Option 18a
Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View Agree
Your View No opinion
Your View Disagree
Your View

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:

Development should be centred around the main five towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.
Consultee ID: MR Davies Agent ID:
107092

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Davies Agent ID:
107092

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Davies Agent ID:
107092

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Davies Agent ID:
107092

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR Davies
Agent ID:
107092

Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☑ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Davies
Agent ID:
107092

Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☑ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Davies
Agent ID:
107092

Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☑ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Davies
Agent ID:
107092

Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☑ option
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ☑ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment
Consulate ID: MR Davies
Consultee ID: 107092
Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas

Consulate ID: MR Davies
Consultee ID: 107092
Part of document: Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consulate ID: MR Davies
Consultee ID: 107092
Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Would not benefit locals

Consulate ID: MRS Karen B
Consultee ID: 107093
Part of document: Option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MRS Karen B
Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Karen B
Part of document: Question 6d
Section of Document:
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:
Development should be centred primarily around the five main towns and larger villages on a proportionate basis.

Consultee ID: MRS Karen B
Part of document: Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MRS Karen B
Part of document: Option 18b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Karen B</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107093</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Karen B</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107093</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Karen B</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107093</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18e</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Karen B</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107093</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18f</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | | |
Consultee ID: MRS Karen B  
107093  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 18g  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: MRS Karen B  
107093  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 18h  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: MRS Karen B  
107093  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 18i  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: MRS Karen B  
107093  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Question 18k  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☒ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
The proposed Isfield new settlement would be too small to attract business investment
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Karen B</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 25d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>There is very little work and poor transport links in rural areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Karen B</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 27a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Agree No opinion Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Karen B</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107093</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 27b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Agree No opinion Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Whittaker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107094</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 15g</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Agree No opinion Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Small scale development
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 107094
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Question 16h

Reason for comment:
Small scale development in Polegate and Eastbourne

Your View  Agree

Consultee ID: 107094
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 18g

Reason for comment:
Few employment opportunities burden on infrastructure

Your View  Disagree

Consultee ID: 107094
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 18i

Reason for comment:
Few employment opportunities burden on infrastructure

Your View  Disagree

Consultee ID: 107094
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 18j

Reason for comment:
Few employment opportunities burden on infrastructure

Your View  Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Thair</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107095</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11a**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Thair</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107095</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11b**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Thair</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107095</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11c**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Thair</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107095</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11d**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MRS Thair
Consultee ID: MRS Thair
Consultee ID: MRS Thair
Consultee ID: MRS Thair

Part of document: Option 11e
Part of document: Question 11f
Part of document: Option 18a
Part of document: Option 25a

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree     ☐ No opinion     ☑ Disagree
Your View    ☐ Agree     ☐ No opinion     ☑ Disagree
Your View    ☑ Agree     ☐ No opinion     ☐ Disagree
Your View    ☐ Agree     ☑ No opinion     ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Crowborough should be developed because of the following 1 - infrastructure 2 - public transport 3 - schools 4 - roads
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:

Support low cost shared ownership including 3 bedroomed houses for small families
Consultee ID: MRS Thair
107095
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Still
107096
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter □ section □ option
Part 1
Section of Document: Context
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Disagree with the need to build the extra houses. The character of the area is unsuitable for the scale of growth proposed and neither do the infrastructure or services exist to support it.

Consultee ID: MR Burleton
107097
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Infrastructure issues

Consultee ID: MR Burleton
107097
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Infrastructure issues
Consultee ID: MR Burleton
Part of document: Option 16b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion √ Disagree
Reason for comment: Infrastructure issues

Consultee ID: MR Burleton
Part of document: Option 16d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion √ Disagree
Reason for comment: Infrastructure issues

Consultee ID: MR Burleton
Part of document: Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion √ Disagree
Reason for comment: Infrastructure issues

Consultee ID: MR Burleton
Part of document: Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion √ Disagree
Reason for comment: Infrastructure issues
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Burleton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107097</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Embly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107098</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Embly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107098</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Embly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107098</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of Document</td>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td>Option 11d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Question 11g

Reason for comment:
Infrastructure Question the suggestion that Crowborough has significantly less infrastructure problems than Uckfield

Question 11h

Reason for comment:
The extensive brownfield area between the southern end of western road and the railway would be ideal for a mixed development

Part 6

Section of Document: Wealden’s Communities

Reason for comment:
Density issues (Q 24) areas of open character and arcadia character should be protected

Option 24a

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Embly
107098

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Dr Webh
107099

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
To provide employment opportunities

Consultee ID: Dr Webh
107099

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Sport, recreation and leisure facilities

Consultee ID: Dr Webh
107099

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
1 500 houses
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>DR Webh</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 17a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✓ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>200 Houses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>DR Webh</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 17b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✓ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>100 Houses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>DR Webh</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✓ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>200 Houses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>DR Webh</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>✓ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>□ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>✓ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Each housing site should include affordable houses and necessary infrastructure
Consultee ID: DR Webh
107099
Part of document: □ document ☑ chapter □ section □ option
Part 6
Section of Document: Wealden’s Communities
Your View □ Agree ☑ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Develop between 16a and 16b as dual carriage way as well as A2270 (Highlands area)

Consultee ID: MR Coventry
107100
Part of document: □ document ☑ chapter □ section □ option
Part 2
Section of Document: Wealden’s Landscape
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Most important to safeguard the enviroment

Consultee ID: MR Coventry
107100
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 2b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Should secure the highest percentage possible. Suggest looking at working concil requirements. Support carbon neutral housing

Consultee ID: MR Coventry
107100
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 4c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Preferable to developing currently unspoilt countryside west A22and north A27
Consultee ID: MR Coventry Agent ID:
107100

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Important to prevent development

Consultee ID: MR Coventry Agent ID:
107100

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Makes better infrastructure

Consultee ID: MR Coventry Agent ID:
107100

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✗ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Do not agree with developing a village within 5km of a town as people would still need to use their cars and it would create sprawl

Consultee ID: MR Coventry Agent ID:
107100

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Crowborough and Heatherfield are constrained A.O.N.B and has a town centre station Development should be subject to:Reinstatement of leures-Uckfield line No development north of snatts road and west of A22
Consultee ID: 107100

Part of document: option

Section of Document:
Question 7e

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development in other villages and hamlets should be restricted to affordable housing only

---

Consultee ID: 107100

Part of document: option

Section of Document:
Option 14a

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 107100

Part of document: option

Section of Document:
Option 14b

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 107100

Part of document: option

Section of Document:
Option 14h

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Coventry</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR Coventry</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR Coventry</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR Coventry</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment: Too large</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR Coventry</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Impact on South Downs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Coventry</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Impact on South Downs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Coventry</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Impact on Ashdown Forest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Coventry</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Excessive side of development and no suitable sites</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 107100  
MR Coventry  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- ☑ option  
Option 21c  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Subject to adequate station car parking being provided

Consultee ID: 107100  
MR Coventry  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- ☑ option  
Option 25b  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Should maximise affordable housing plans

Consultee ID: 107100  
MR Coventry  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- ☑ option  
Option 25d  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
(In A.O.N.B it should only be affordable)

Consultee ID: 107100  
MR Coventry  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- ☑ option  
Option 27a  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment: 
Consultee ID: 107100
MR Coventry

Part of document: Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107100
MR Coventry

Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
I t should be a priority now

Consultee ID: 107100
MR Coventry

Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
I t should be a priority now

Consultee ID: 107100
MR Coventry

Part of document: Question 32b
Section of Document:
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:
5010: Should resist more supermarket
Consultee ID: MR Coventry  
107100

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Coventry  
107100

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR Brown  
107101

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Part 1

Section of Document:  
Context

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Wealden house building should be resisted and should only be for local need

Consultee ID: MR Brown  
107101

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 15g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Large scale developments in Hailsham
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Brown</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large scale development in Polegate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Brown</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Brown</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Brown</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107101</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 23f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small development (1-3 houses) in Litlington Parish of appropriate design</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: MR Williams
Consultee ID: 107102

Part of document: □ document ✓ chapter □ section □ option
Part 3

Section of Document: Wealden’s Working Patterns

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Respondent recognises need to attract additional opportunities to local towns issues: - reinstate Uckfield Leives rail link - poor rounds/speeding issues - restrict lorries from minor roads - improve bus infrastructure - encouragement of small local businesses

Consultee ID: MR Williams
Consultee ID: 107102

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
500 proposed scale is too great, reasons: - impact on quality of life - lack of infrastructure - traffic increase - health and education facilities

Consultee ID: MR Williams
Consultee ID: 107102

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 21g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Fairwrap housing development should be very limited and restricted to affordable housing (not just social housing)
Consultee ID: MR Williams  
107102
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option
Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Fairwrap and Maresfield suffer the same issues and wish to see major improvements in infrastructure before further developments are agreed. These include: - road restriction/quiet evening - improvements to schooling - improvements to healthcare facilities - better facilities for young people - basic infrastructure (fuel, electricity etc) - appropriate employment opportunities

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman  
107103
Part of document: □ document  ✔ chapter □ section □ option
Part 2
Section of Document: Wealden’s Landscape
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman  
107103
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option
Option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Would support a higher target if this is realistic

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman  
107103
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option
Option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 3b

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Should be protected unless there is clear evidence of there being no demand of the premises for employment uses

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 3c

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Larger areas should not be precluded where there is a proven need and the potential for substantial employment

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 3d

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Future plans should provide for employment opportunities within readily accessible distance and locations of new and existing residential developments although not on the same development.Encourage home working where appropriate

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 4a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Option 4b

**Consultee ID:** MR & MR Bowman  
**Agent ID:** 107103

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 4b

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Agree if there are no alternatives but should include substantial strategic green gap between southern edge of Hailsham and northern externity of any development. Release of land should be conditional upon A27 and Cophan roundabout improvements.

### Option 4c

**Consultee ID:** MR & MR Bowman  
**Agent ID:** 107103

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 4c

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Only if area can be accessed other than from Dittons road.

### Option 4d

**Consultee ID:** MR & MR Bowman  
**Agent ID:** 107103

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 4d

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Unless evidence proves a need.

### Option 5a

**Consultee ID:** MR & MR Bowman  
**Agent ID:** 107103

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 5a

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Agree where there is a proven need but countryside gap must be maintained.
Option 5b
Reason for comment:
Likely to result in urbanisation of the country side

Question 5c
Reason for comment:
Most sustainable and deliverable way of accommodating development is through the creation of new settlements on or near existing rail/road links

Question 6d
Reason for comment:
50% allocation to the villages remainder to the towns including possible new settlement Favour concept of wide dispersal of all new development evenly across the district

Option 7a
Reason for comment:
Agree with approach to identify infrastructure improvements but not for the purpose of controlling development
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 7b

Section of Document:  
- **Your View**:  
  - [ ] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:  
May ignore local needs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 7c

Section of Document:  
- **Your View**:  
  - [ ] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:  
Support organic growth in all villages with phasing policy to cap growth over an agreed period (ie 10% over 10 years)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 7d

Section of Document:  
- **Your View**:  
  - [ ] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Question 7e

Section of Document:  
- **Your View**:  
  - [ ] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:  
Appear to be anomalies in the classification: Buxted, East Hoathly, Westham are shown as smaller service centre yet are larger than Herstmonceux and Horam
Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
Consultee ID: 107103
Part of document: Option 8a
Section of Document: Agent ID:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Significant development already allocated - lack of infrastructure - deliverability of development

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
Consultee ID: 107103
Part of document: Option 8b
Section of Document: Agent ID:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Significant development already allocated - lack of infrastructure - deliverability of development

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
Consultee ID: 107103
Part of document: Option 8c
Section of Document: Agent ID:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Significant development already allocated - lack of infrastructure - deliverability of development

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
Consultee ID: 107103
Part of document: Option 8d
Section of Document: Agent ID:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: But not necessarily in the low Weald
Consultee ID: 107103  
Part of document: Option 9c
Section of Document: Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Support even distribution that should include villages as well as towns

Consultee ID: 107103  
Part of document: Part 5
Section of Document: Wealden's Places
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107103  
Part of document: Option 11a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Location should be determined by Crowborough residents

Consultee ID: 107103  
Part of document: Option 11b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Location should be determined by Crowborough residents
Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman
107103

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by Heathfield residents

Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman
107103

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by Crowborough residents

Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman
107103

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by Crowborough residents

Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman
107103

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by Crowborough residents
Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
Agent ID:
107103

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Question 11f

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by Crowborough residents

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
Agent ID:
107103

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Question 11g

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by Crowborough residents

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
Agent ID:
107103

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Question 11h

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by Crowborough residents

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
Agent ID:
107103

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 12a

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by Heathfield residents
Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman  
Consultee ID: 107103  
Part of document: Option 12b  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment: Location should be determined by Heathfield residents  
Your View: Agree  
Consentee ID: MR &MR Bowman  
Consultee ID: 107103  
Part of document: Option 12c  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment: Location should be determined by Heathfield residents  
Your View: Agree  
Consentee ID: MR &MR Bowman  
Consultee ID: 107103  
Part of document: Option 12d  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment: Location should be determined by Heathfield residents  
Your View: Agree  
Consentee ID: MR &MR Bowman  
Consultee ID: 107103  
Part of document: Option 12e  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment: Location should be determined by Heathfield residents  
Your View: Agree
Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
107103

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Location should be determined by Heathfield residents

---

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
107103

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 12g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Location should be determined by Heathfield residents

---

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
107103

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 12i

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Location should be determined by Heathfield residents

---

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
107103

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman
107103

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Due to inadequate road system proximity to flood plain and potential loss of green gap between Hailsham and Hellingly

Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman
107103

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by Uckfield residents Only support development that is not located in areas Susceptible to flooding and does not exacerbate flooding elsewhere

Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman
107103

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by Uckfield residents Only support development that is not located in areas Susceptible to flooding and does not exacerbate flooding elsewhere

Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman
107103

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View    ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by the Uckfield residents Only support development that is not located in areas Susceptible to flooding and does not exacerbate flooding elsewhere
Option 14d

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by the Uckfield residents Only support development that is not located in areas Susceptible to flooding and does not exacerbate flooding elsewhere

Option 14e

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by the Uckfield residents Only support development that is not located in areas Susceptible to flooding and does not exacerbate flooding elsewhere

Option 14g

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by the Uckfield residents Only support development that is not located in areas Susceptible to flooding and does not exacerbate flooding elsewhere

Option 14h

Reason for comment:
Location should be determined by the Uckfield residents Only support development that is not located in areas Susceptible to flooding and does not exacerbate flooding elsewhere
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 15b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Due to potential coalescence of Hailsham and Hellingly hospital, environmental inputs and inadequate transport infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 15c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Due to proximity to SSSI flood plain and existing wildlife corridor. Inadequate transport infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 15d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Adverse impacts on town centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Maintain countryside gap</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 107103  
MR & MR Bowman  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option  

Section of Document:  
Option 16c  

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Only to satisfy proven local demand. Location should be determined by Willingdon residents  

---  

Consultee ID: 107103  
MR & MR Bowman  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option  

Section of Document:  
Option 15f  

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Maintain countryside gap  

---  

Consultee ID: 107103  
MR & MR Bowman  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option  

Section of Document:  
Question 15h  

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: 107103  
MR & MR Bowman  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
☑ option  

Section of Document:  
Question 15i  

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Hailsham should be allowed to develop on its own and not as part of any artificial area devised to promote the development of a wider Eastbourne  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Only to satisfy proven local demand. Location should be determined by Willingdon residents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option Option 16b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Only to satisfy proven local demand. Location should be determined by Willingdon residents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option Option 16d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Uappropriate unless accessed other than dittons road</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option Option 16e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Only to satisfy proven local demand. Location should be determined by Willingdon residents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR &amp;MR Bowman</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Only to satisfy proven local demand. Location should be determined by Willingdon residents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR &amp;MR Bowman</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Only to satisfy proven local demand. Location should be determined by Willingdon residents</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR &amp;MR Bowman</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 17a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR &amp;MR Bowman</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 17b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman  
Agent ID: 107103  
Part of document: Option 17c  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment: Your View  
Reason for comment: Lack of social services. Improbability of providing new infrastructure  
Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman  
Agent ID: 107103  
Part of document: Option 18a  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: Lack of social services. Improbability of providing new infrastructure  
Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman  
Agent ID: 107103  
Part of document: Option 18b  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment: Lack of social services. Improbability of providing new infrastructure
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 18c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Lack of social services. Improbability of providing new infrastructure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 18d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Lack of social services. Improbability of providing new infrastructure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 18e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Lack of social services. Improbability of providing new infrastructure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 18f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Lack of social services. Improbability of providing new infrastructure
Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman  
107103

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
Lack of social services. Improbability of providing new infrastructure

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman  
107103

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
Lack of social services. Improbability of providing new infrastructure

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman  
107103

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
Lack of local social services. Improbability of providing new infrastructure

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman  
107103

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
Strongly agree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 19a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 19b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 19c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 19d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ✓ option

**Option 19e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ✓ option

**Option 20a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ✓ option

**Option 20b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ✓ option

**Option 20c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>107103</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 21a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>MR &amp; MR Bowman</td>
<td>107103</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 21b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>MR &amp; MR Bowman</td>
<td>107103</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 21c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>MR &amp; MR Bowman</td>
<td>107103</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 21d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR &amp; MR Bowman</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 21f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☐ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 22a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☐ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 22b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
Agent ID: 107103
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:

Option 22c
Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
Agent ID: 107103
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:

Option 22d
Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
Agent ID: 107103
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:

Option 23a
Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman
Agent ID: 107103
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:

Option 23b
Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Too close to major developments in the Non Statutory Plan that more than adequately address the need

Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Too close to the major developments in the Non Statutory Plan that more than adequately address the need

Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Too close to the major developments in the Non Statutory Plan that more than adequately address the need

Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Too close to the developments of the Non Statutory Plan that more than adequately address the need
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 24a

**Your View:**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Support 30 – 35dph for rural parts but higher densities could be more appropriate on town centres locations Require high quality design

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 24b

**Your View:**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Support 30 – 35dph for rural parts but higher densities could be more appropriate on town centres locations Require high quality design

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 24c

**Your View:**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Support 30 – 35dph for rural parts but higher densities could be more appropriate on town centres locations Require high quality design

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 24d

**Your View:**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Support 30 – 35dph for rural parts but higher densities could be more appropriate on town centres locations Require high quality design
Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman
107103

Part of document: Option 25a

Reason for comment:
Small dwellings do not have to take the form of flats for which there is a limited market

Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman
107103

Part of document: Option 25b

Reason for comment:
Support 40% of all new dwellings not just in Uckfield, Crowborough and Heathfield being affordable

Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman
107103

Part of document: Option 25c

Reason for comment:
Mixed developments often create social difficulties

Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman
107103

Part of document: Option 25g

Reason for comment:
Agree only if ancillary dwelling is tied to the main property by an enforceable planning condition
Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
I support the HOPE Policy

Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
Agree only if ancillary dwelling is tied to the main property by an enforceable planning condition

Consultee ID: MR &MR Bowman

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ No Opinion

Reason for comment:
Should be located in area of need but not necessarily in town locations
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 26b

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Should be locate in an area of need but not necessarily in town locations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27a

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27b

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp; MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27b

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 27d

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 27c

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 27e

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 27f

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 28a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 28b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 28c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR &amp;MR Bowman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107103</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Part 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>☑ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>□ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Making it Happen - Implementation**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman 107103

Part of document:  
- ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman 107103

Part of document:  
- ☑ section

Section of Document: Where We Want to get to

Your View  
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: MR & MR Bowman 107103

Part of document:  
- ☑ section

Section of Document: Possible Spatial Objectives for Wealden

Your View  
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Pope 107104

Part of document:  
- ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 14a

Your View  
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A petition has been signed by 1091 local residents against planning application WD/2006/2855 for development at Downlands Farm. These should be taken as assertions of disagree to questions 14a and 14b. The strong views expressed in the petition very much mirror the views expressed by a large proportion of Uckfield’s population in the recently conducted Uckfield Community Questionnaire. It is clear that the size of developments proposed for consideration on Downlands Farm and Budletts Common would: a) jeopardise to a degree the three most frequently mentioned factors that people like about Uckfield, and b) exacerbate the three most frequently mentioned factors that people like least about Uckfield, and c) not addressed the three most frequently mentioned factors that people regard as their greatest priorities for improving Uckfield. The views of the population are not normally as currently available as they are in this recent questionnaire, and I feel that as the questionnaire had such a high percentage rate of return, the views expressed, in conjunction with those in the petition should count as a material consideration in determining whether these two sites should be included in the LDF. The concept of extending development to such an extent north of Uckfield, outside the planning boundary, and to such devastating degree on very precious sites, has already received great local opposition, and has rightly been refused by Uckfield Town Council and Wealden District Council. The strategic countryside gap that protects North Uckfield and separates it from Five Ash Down, Maresfield and Buxted should remain firmly in place. Submissions from the Woodland Trust, Sussex Wildlife Trust, CPRE, Sussex Ouse Conservation Society and the Environment Agency reinforce views expressed in the petition.

Generally there is some concern over the relative lack of attention to economic development - It is considered that there is a need to be a balance between Hailsham/Eastbourne and Bexhill in terms of inward investment opportunities, especially given the sub-regional priorities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Waite</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Rother District Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 6d</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>It is considered that a better understanding is required of the local relative roles of the towns and villages, especially within the High Wealden Area of outstanding natural beauty and to enable the promotion of more co-ordinated approach</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR Waite</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Rother District Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 23d</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>It is noted that Nunfield relates closely to Bexhill in terms of jobs and services and therefore further consideration of its role in relation to the housing market would be supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Cairns</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Part 1</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Housing numbers are not based on local need with a concentration in the south east</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MRS Cairns</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Agree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Part 4</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Identify small plots of land in villages and towns (including agricultural buildings) for development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: MRS Cairns
107106
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Question 6d
Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Every village and town should take a share of the housing requirements

Consultee ID: MRS Cairns
107106
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 18i
Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development maybe within the proposed South Down Recreational Park and will be out of keeping with the local character

Consultee ID: MRS Cairns
107106
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 18j
Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
The proposed housing numbers will change the character of the area and impact on the wildlife (reservoir) and the surrounding village and create coalescence with Eastbourne

Consultee ID: MRS Cairns
107106
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development of infrastructure contributes to the detrimental change to villages
Consultee ID: MR MRS Midmore
Agent ID: 107107
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option Option 13a
Section of Document: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment: We feel it would increase traffic, noise and put extra burden on schools, doctors etc, which are stretched to the limit

Consultee ID: MR Jones
Agent ID: 107108
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option Part 1
Section of Document: Context Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment: It is difficult to see what benefit the core strategy brings which suggest that it should resisted as long as possible

Consultee ID: MS Price
Agent ID: 107109
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option Part 1
Section of Document: Context Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment: Concern regarding further significant development and the impact on environment

Consultee ID: MS Price
Agent ID: 107109
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option Part 2
Section of Document: Wealden's Landscape Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment: Flood risk should also be considered including surface water run off
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MS Price</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☑ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☐ option

**Section of Document:**
- Wealden's Landscape

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☑ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Loss of bio-diversity, lack of drinking water supplies, increased emissions and pollution

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MS Price</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☑ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☐ option

**Section of Document:**
- Wealden's Places

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☑ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Any housing should be social, low cost and a low density pattern

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MS Price</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☑ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 15g

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Hailsham and its outlying villages wholly unsited to further expansion. Insufficient resources and infrastructure. Inadequate transport system, only areas in close proximity to rail network should be considered for significant development

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MS Price</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107109</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☑ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 15h

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
New development will not regenerate Hailsham. The town is in steady decline
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MRS Wales</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107110</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107110</td>
<td></td>
<td>Question 7e</td>
<td>Question 7e</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107110</td>
<td></td>
<td>Question 32b</td>
<td>Question 32b</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View:** Agree, No opinion, Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Every village that has a primary school should have a development of housing appropriate to the size of the village of which at least 70% should be affordable housing. If possible, sited within walking distance of the school.

- New build should concentrate on housing for people who work in the area - minimise road travel and maximise train use - need for affordable housing - priority should be given to smaller dwellings - new houses should be placed close to the major hospitals in the area with accident and emergency facilities - equal distribution - for every (say, 100 new houses) an area of land should be set aside for allotments.
Option 11c

Reason for comment:
The land north of Beacon Golf Club is under proposal of sale and wants to be constructed into a care home. If Wealden and Crowborough form a partnership with the club they could discuss more imaginative schemes (e.g. hotel with function room).

Option 11e

Reason for comment:
This land is largely residential and plots so would easily support housing development - Lordswell lane to be considered within the town development area - traffic solution in the form of width restrictions within Southview and Lordswell lane would make a difference.

Option 27b

Reason for comment:
A good service and modern stock between Uckfield and Lewes rail link would achieve success. I would like to ask Wealden to be more proactive in getting on with this scheme.

Option 3a

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
107112

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It would be preferable to concentrate attention on achieving the implementation of the allocated sites in Eastbourne, thereby broadening the scope and variety of employment opportunities for both Wealden and Eastbourne residents rather than allocating more greenfield land in south Wealden.

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
107112

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
"only to meet local need subsidised housing one and two bedroomed properties"

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
107112

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
"only to meet the need of subsidised housing one and two bedroomed properties"

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
107112

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
"the most sustainable option"
Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
107112

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
107112

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
107112

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
"intrusive remote and sustainable poorly related to the town and car orientated"

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
107112

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Settlement scale too small (government advice is for around 10,000 dwellings to create a fully sustainable new community)
Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
Agent ID: 107112

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
Agent ID: 107112

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
Agent ID: 107112

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
Agent ID: 107112

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Disagree for the following reasons: physically separated from town centre and main services - increase traffic - landscape impact - not clear from highways agency if land at a-c can be accessed
Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
107112

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Disagree for the following reasons: physically separated from town centre and main services - increase traffic - landscape impact - not clear from highways agency if land at a-c can be accessed

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
107112

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Disagree for the following reasons: physically separated from town centre and main services - increase traffic on A22 - landscape impact - not clear from highways agency if land at a-c can be accessed

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
107112

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Land is contained, unobtrusive edge of town site. It should not be reduced in size from that proposed in the informal plan development at 16d would be very exposed from the north (unlike 16e), subject to noise from the by-pass and difficult to access.
Option 16f

Proposed land at Mornings mill farm for housing and associated series and facilities (map submitted). The area is essentially identified and allocated in the Draft Wealden Plan 2003 although additional land to the north, south and east of that allocation would also be available. Justification:
- relatively unobtrusive development would form an appropriate urban extension to existing built-up areas
- minimal visual impact on AONB
- good access to services
- provision of a new primary school and neighbourhood centre
- with regard to traffic/access consideration - suggest one wide access point to A2270 which divides shortly after entering the site rather than two as proposed in table 7
- development at Morning mill farm help to reinstate the hindsland playing field

Option 16g

"Development here would be far less intrusive on the landscape than other suggested areas to the west and north of Polegate"

Question 16i

development at option 16f can help achieve a number of these
Consultee ID: MR & M Vine  
Consultee ID: 107112  
Part of document: Option 17a  
Section of Document:  
Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment: "scale of development must avoid visual harm to landscape and drainage problems"  

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine  
Consultee ID: 107112  
Part of document: Option 17c  
Section of Document:  
Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment: "scale of development must avoid visual harm to landscape and drainage problems"  

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine  
Consultee ID: 107112  
Part of document: Question 18k  
Section of Document:  
Your View: ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment: I do not favor any of the options put forward. I particularly disagree with 18i and 18j where the larger proposals would have an adverse impact on the local landscape and proposed national park and the A27  

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine  
Consultee ID: 107112  
Part of document: Option 24a  
Section of Document:  
Your View: ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
Agent ID: 107112
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 25a
Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
Agent ID: 107112
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 25b
Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
Agent ID: 107112
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 27d
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine
Agent ID: 107112
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 27c
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
"suggests joint studies with Eastbourne should be undertaken"
Consultee ID: MR & M Vine

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I disagree with many options - key is to ensure necessary infrastructure/series are available and in an area where it is marketable. Several suggestions may entirely unacceptable to the private market and actually delay delivery rather than help.

Consultee ID: MR & M Vine

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Question does not allow choice on each one.

Consultee ID: Mr Bath

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Section of Document: Classifying Villages
Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Proposal submitted for developing land at Horam.

Consultee ID: Mr Tulley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter ✓ section □ option
Section of Document: Classifying Villages
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
7e. Broad Oak should be defined as a settlement with Intermediate Growth Potential due to its proximity to Heathfield. 12. The area to the South of Burwash Road and centered on Satinstown Farm between the B2096 Burwash Road and Hadley Road would be suitable for housing development.
Consultee ID: Mr Tulley
107114

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hull
107115 Kember Louden Williams Ltd

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Core Strategy - Issues and Options Consultation Paper

Reason for comment:
7e. Broad Oak Should be defined as a settlement with Intermediate Growth Potential due to its proximity to Heathfield.

Consultee ID: Mr Hull
107115 Kember Louden Williams Ltd

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
In terms of the preferred pattern of growth, it would seem sensible from a sustainability point of view that the main towns within the District are targeted for growth. The main towns invariably contain the necessary infrastructure or benefit from the ability for infrastructure to be improved. This said, the role of the villagers must not be ignored. Villagers provide essential facilities for those who dwell or wish to dwell in the countryside. Taking this into account, option 6a 80% town and 20% village would seem an appropriate mix.
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Hailsham is already the focus of some development and the proposals for the town centre, including a new retail store indicate more housing could be targeted as this settlement to consolidate and utilise existing and new facilities. The town has employment areas and schools and acts as a hub for the surrounding area. It is one of the most sustainable settlements in the area. that said, it is important that in targeting new housing other settlements are not undermined by the dominence of others in this respect, whilst Hailsham should recieve most of the housing growth, Polegate would also benefit from additional development. Option 8a and 8c would be appropriate.

Option 8c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Hailsham is already the focus of some development and the proposals for the town centre, including a new retail store indicate more housing could be targeted as this settlement to consolidate and utilise existing and new facilities. The town has employment areas and schools and acts as a hub for the surrounding area. It is one of the most sustainable settlements in the area. that said, it is important that in targeting new housing other settlements are not undermined by the dominence of others in this respect, whilst Hailsham should recieve most of the housing growth, Polegate would also benefit from additional development. Option 8a and 8c would be appropriate.
Consultee ID: Mr Hull  Kember Louden Williams Ltd

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:  Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
In the supporting text reference is made to the Government's density guidance. It is considered very important that this minimum density is adhered to if best use of land is to be made when development proposals are put forward. Not to do so will leave the district in need of additional sites. In Hailsham there are issues of road capacity, however with appropriate mitigation funded by contributions from developers, there should be no reason why sites should not meet the minimum density guidance or exceed it. In developing the Core Strategy east Sussex county Council should provide the district Council with comprehensive advise which considers future growth potential around the settlement including the improvements needed to achieve growth.

Consultee ID: Mr Hull  Kember Louden Williams Ltd

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:  Option 12c

Reason for comment:
The area to the South of Burwash Road and centered on Satinstown Farm between the B2096 Burwash Road and Halley Road would be suitable for housing development.

Consultee ID: Mr Hull  Kember Louden Williams Ltd

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:  Option 15a

Reason for comment:
15a option would not be able to accommodate the amount of housing indicated in the strategy given the flood risk issues and the constraints caused by landscape impact.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 15c</td>
<td>Mr. Hull</td>
<td>Landscape impact is also an issue with 15c option and this could significantly affect potential housing numbers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15d</td>
<td>Mr. Hull</td>
<td>Our clients land has been subject to assessment in terms of ecology, flood risk and highways. In principle there is no reason for development not to be targeted in the 15d area as indicated in the strategy. The perimeter of the 15d has good defendable planted boundaries which are a benefit from a landscape perspective. The site is closer to the core of the town than perhaps some of the other areas considered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15f</td>
<td>Mr. Hull</td>
<td>15f is served by the A22 and has several environmental contraints.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reason for comment:
1) Wealden should resist massive house-build in its area. Target for the existing population. 2) Developments in Wealden should be of a limited scale which is appropriate to and sensitive to the existing environment and communities.

Reason for comment:
"We are not against small developments of say 1-3 dwellings in this parish". Considerations: scale, location, style, local need.

Reason for comment:
Disagree with large development in the South of Wealden (Hailsham) because: 1) Too large in scale 2) Strain on infrastructure 3) Proximity to/location within AONB 4) Threaten equilibrium of Cuckmere Valley Parish
Consultee ID: Mr Mann
107116  Cuckmere Valley Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Disagree with large development in the south of Wealden (Polegate/Willingdon) because 1) Too large in scale 2) Strain on infrastructure 3) Proximity to/location within AONB 4) Threaten equilibrium of Cuckmere Valley Parish

Consultee ID: Mr Mann
107116  Cuckmere Valley Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Disagree for following reasons 1) Too large in scale 2) Strain on infrastructure 3) Proximity to/location within AONB 4) Threaten equilibrium of Cuckmere Valley Parish

Consultee ID: Mr Mann
107116  Cuckmere Valley Parish Council

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Disagree for following reasons 1) Too large in scale 2) Strain on infrastructure 3) Proximity to/location within AONB 4) Threaten equilibrium of Cuckmere Valley Parish
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Price 107117 Chairman

Part of document: ☐ document ✔ chapter ☐ section ☐ option

Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Your View  ☐ Agree  ✔ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
we should focus on providing affordable housing and small inexpensive houses.

Consultee ID: Mr Price 107117 Chairman

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Price 107117 Chairman

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option

Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Price 107117 Chairman

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Price 107117  Chairman
Part of document: ☑ chapter
Section of Document: Wealden’s Working Patterns
Your View: ☑ No opinion
Reason for comment: Job creation is important

Consultee ID: Mr Price 107117  Chairman
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 3a
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Price 107117  Chairman
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 3b
Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Price 107117  Chairman
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document: Option 3c
Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Encourage new business in Hailsham/Eastbourne triangle.

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:


Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 107117  Mr Price  Chairman

Part of document:  Option 5c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Community would like to be consulted before final development boundary is agreed. Wadhurst PC wish to propose areas for development.

Consultee ID: 107117  Mr Price  Chairman

Part of document:  Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107117  Mr Price  Chairman

Part of document:  Option 6b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107117  Mr Price  Chairman

Part of document:  Option 6c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Price  
Agent ID:  
107117  Chairman  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
We disagree until the infrastructure is improved.

Consultee ID: Mr Price  
Agent ID:  
107117  Chairman  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question 7e  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
The appropriate figure for development in Wadhurst would be nearer 200 not 320. Most housing should be for local needs and should avoid greenfield sites. Problems with sewage treatment facilities, water supply, schooling and car parking place limitations on development.

Consultee ID: Mr Price  
Agent ID:  
107117  Chairman  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question Ten  
Section of Document:  Site Allocation Thresholds  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Price  
Agent ID:  
107117  Chairman  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 13a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Price
107117 Chairman

Part of document: Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

We recognise that there is some need for housing in Wadhurst but feel that this should primarily be provided to account for local needs.

Consultee ID: Mr Price
107117 Chairman

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Price
107117 Chairman

Part of document: ☑ chapter

Section of Document: Wealden’s Communities

Your View ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
must plan for provision of sports and leisure facilities

Consultee ID: Mr Price
107117 Chairman

Part of document: ☑ section

Section of Document: Housing Needs - Dwelling Mix and Density

Your View ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
Housing should be a mix of affordable social housing but also small inexpensive properties to allow for both 1st time buyers and downsizers. The increasing number of elderly members of this community should be taken into account in this latter respect.

Consultee ID: Mr Price
107117 Chairman

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 24b

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Price
107117 Chairman

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 24c

Your View ☑ Disagree
Consultee ID: Mr Price  
107117 Chairman

Part of document:  
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Price  
107117 Chairman

Part of document:  
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Price  
107117 Chairman

Part of document:  
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Price  
107117 Chairman

Part of document:  
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Price
107117
Chairman

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Price
107117
Chairman

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Price
107117
Chairman

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Price
107117
Chairman

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107117</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>option 27b</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>WPC are concerned that the plan is not about housing but the future of the community. Provision for leisure and sports facilities is important.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107117</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>option 27e</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107117</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>option 27f</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107117</td>
<td></td>
<td>Open Space and Leisure Needs</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Price</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Price</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Price</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Price</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Part of document: Infrastructure Requirements

#### Question Thirty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Price</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107117</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

[ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

#### Option 21b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stallard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107118</td>
<td>DMH Stallard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

[ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Support**

#### Question 21g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stallard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107118</td>
<td>DMH Stallard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Development submission at site at Five Ash Down because of landscape and access reasons. Open space and a doctors surgery could be provided on site.

#### Question 15i

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Gibbs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Area of land to east of school and south of Marshfoot lane, Hailsham is proposed for development. It is located close to the town centre, local school and local community centre, has 2 access options and there are no flooding or biodiversity issues.
Consultee ID: Mrs Edge
107120

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:
Option 3d

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Edge
107120

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:
Option 11a

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Edge
107120

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:
Option 11d

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
lack of services at Jarvis Brook and road congestion/safety concerns. Western Road/Walshes Road is unsuitable for extra traffic.

Consultee ID: Mrs Edge
107120

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:
Question 11f

Your View  [ ] Agree  [x] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
concern over impact on woodland at Crowborough Hill.
Consultee ID: Mr Richardson
Agent ID: Richardson Architecture
Part of document: Question 23f
Section of Document: Question 23f
Reason for comment: 
an area of land is proposed for development in Halland

Consultee ID: Mr Sallows
Agent ID: Alan Sallows Associates
Part of document: Part 1
Section of Document: Context
Reason for comment: 
disagree with the need for more housing

Consultee ID: Mr Sallows
Agent ID: Alan Sallows Associates
Part of document: Question 6d
Section of Document: 
Reason for comment: 
increased development should be spread proportionately in relation to the number of dwellings in a settlement and local needs.
Consultee ID: Mr Sallows
107122 Alan Sallows Associates

Part of document: option Option 21a

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Sallows
107122 Alan Sallows Associates

Part of document: option Question 21g

Reason for comment:

promote land at southern cottage, the drive for housing development

Consultee ID: Mr Sallows
107122 Alan Sallows Associates

Part of document: option Part 6

Section of Document: Wealden’s Communities

Reason for comment:
support need for affordable housing - especially for young people

Consultee ID: Mr Grose
107123

Part of document: option Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Reason for comment:
LDF process offers opportunities to improve sports and community facilities
Mr Grose

Support concept of new settlement as a "least bad" option. Preferable to concentrate in key locations rather than spreading urban sprawl across the county.

Mr Hayward

Queries why SE plan requires the biggest proportion of housing to go into the already overcrowded coastal sub-region

Mr Hayward

The need to protect the natural landscape and the character of villages is fully supported
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hayward</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107124</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong> Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question One</strong></td>
<td><strong>Option 2a</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Mr Hayward</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong> the precise % should depend on location and the method of generation envisaged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Option 2a</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Mr Hayward</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 4e</strong></td>
<td><strong>Part 4</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong> Wealden’s Settlement Pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Mr Hayward</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong> Respondent raises concerns over previous planning decisions, creation of homogeneous housing estates and coalescence of settlements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Mr Hayward</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 2a</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Mr Hayward</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong> it is important to first identify viable businesses that need accommodation within the area. Speculative provision will not of itself produce employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 4e</strong></td>
<td><strong>Part 4</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Mr Hayward</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 2a</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Mr Hayward</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 4e</strong></td>
<td><strong>Part 4</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Mr Hayward</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 2a</strong></td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Hayward  
107124

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

it is a mistake to state any criteria indicating a generalised quantum for development. The acceptable extent depends on the particular village - in some instances 0 might be the right amount.

Consultee ID: Mr Hayward  
107124

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 15f

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hayward  
107124

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 16a

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hayward  
107124

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 16b

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 107124  
Mr Hayward

**Part of document:** Option 16c
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Consultee ID: 107124  
Mr Hayward

**Part of document:** Option 16d
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Consultee ID: 107124  
Mr Hayward

**Part of document:** Option 16e
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Consultee ID: 107124  
Mr Hayward

**Part of document:** Option 16f
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 107124
Mr Hayward
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 16g
Boxed options: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107124
Mr Hayward
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18b
Boxed options: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107124
Mr Hayward
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18c
Boxed options: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107124
Mr Hayward
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 18d
Boxed options: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Hayward 107124
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hayward 107124
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hayward 107124
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hayward 107124
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hayward  
Agent ID: 107124

Part of document: ☑ chapter  
Part 6  
Section of Document: Wealden’s Communities

Your View: ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment: Respondent acknowledges the need to increase density but expresses concerns that increased densities may result in excessive numbers of small dwellings.

Consultee ID: Mr Hayward  
Agent ID: 107124

Part of document: ☐ document  ☑ option  
Option 27c  
Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: It cannot make any sense to propose an additional station within a travel distance of not more than three minutes from the existing Polegate station. If anything needs to be done perhaps better parking facilities at Polegate should be provided.

Consultee ID: Mr Hayward  
Agent ID: 107124

Part of document: ☐ document  ☑ option  
Question 32b  
Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: Respondent questions the way in which spatial objectives are created - suggests that what people want and what the district needs do not accord with national and regional policies.

Consultee ID: Mr Penfold  
Agent ID: 107125

Part of document: ☐ document  ☑ option  
Option 4a  
Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
Agent ID: 107125

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
Agent ID: 107125

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
Agent ID: 107125

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
Agent ID: 107125

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107125</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107125</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107125</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107125</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
107125

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 7a
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
107125

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 7b
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
107125

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 7c
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
107125

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Mr Penfold

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Agree

No opinion

Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107125</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107125</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107125</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107125</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Penfold</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18d

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18d

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18e

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18f

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
Agent ID: 107125

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
Agent ID: 107125

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
Agent ID: 107125

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
Agent ID: 107125

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
107125

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
site at hackhurst lane put forward for development

Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
107125

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
107125

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Penfold
107125

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

#### Option 24c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agreed</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagreed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[x]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reason for comment:

#### Option 24d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agreed</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagreed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reason for comment:

#### Option 25g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agreed</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagreed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[x]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reason for comment:

#### Option 27d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agreed</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagreed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td></td>
<td>[x]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 27e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 27f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 28a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Penfold</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107125</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 28b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Mr Penfold (Consultee ID: 107125)

Option 28c

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Mrs Brooke (Consultee ID: 107126)

Question Twenty Nine

Housing Delivery

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

disagree with level of housing due to lack of need and infrastructure including hospitals, e.g. Pembury

Mrs Brooke (Consultee ID: 107126)

Part 1

Context

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

New housing should be placed near facilities such as railway links for commuters and bus services provided for school children. The idea of a major settlement in Halland seems foolish in view of lack of any infrastructure and facilities to support c.2000 houses. Also given your stated aim to protect rural areas this use of green field space seems to be against your own policy. The A22 would be a difficult road to upgrade to a duel carriageway north of Hailsham, whereas the A27 is an ideal link east and west and is long overdue for promised upgrades.
Consultee ID:  mrs brooke  
Agent ID: 107126

**Option 18b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

lack of infrastructure and A22 is a difficult road to upgrade

Consultee ID:  mrs brooke  
Agent ID: 107126

**Question 18k**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

suggest focusing on area close to A27 between E and B - better transport infrastructure

Consultee ID:  mrs brooke  
Agent ID: 107126

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Local Hospitals have not planned the capacity required for this increase in population. Even the new plan for the Pembury Hospital does not include these extra population figures because no one has approached the hospitals that this area uses to ask them.

Consultee ID:  mrs brooke  
Agent ID: 107126

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Wealden requires more affordable housing and including accommodation suitable for a growing elderly population (bungalows and sheltered housing) but this requirement seems lacking in the majority of housing developments.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 107126
mrs brooke
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
need more housing for elderly including bungalows & sheltered

Consultee ID: 107126
mrs brooke
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ section ☐ option

Section of Document: Transport Needs

Your View ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
New housing should be placed near to facilities such as railway links for commuters and bus services provided for school children.

Consultee ID: 107127
mrs stewart
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
iam 100% totally opposed to allowing any development in ANOB's. The whole point of them is to retain the character and landscape of those areas, and allowing new housing development in them would go completely against this. I also strongly disagree with the first and second lines in para 2.15, since going by the councils planning decisions they do not believe this either, given the number of applications they turn down for minor proposals that are in ANOB's, let alone for larger schemes. The CS document sets out all possible housing sites in the district. This probably amounts to 30K to 40K as urban extensions and a similar amount for new towns, ie a total of 60K to 80K. Given that only 5K of new houses are needed this shows that there are plenty of sites not in ANOB's available to meet housing needs. There is no need or justification to build in the ANOB's.
Part of document: Option 3a

Reason for comment:
This should only be considered where the business space lost is replaced on a new better site elsewhere otherwise the existing shortage of business space will be made even worse. How is the "no realistic prospect of development coming forward" determined. As for instance the non development of the Ashdown Business Park has been held up for 20 years by the intransigence and bloody mindedness of WDC as one of the landowners, in refusing to be realistic in the terms and money required to sell the site to one developer.

Part of document: Option 3b

Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 3c

Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 3d

Reason for comment:
Support this. Particularly the inclusion of B1 office use premises in housing areas, as most existing estates now are now dead in the day as everyone leaves to go to work. A level of B1 can easily be accommodated without causing harm to residential amenity, and reduce travel to work.
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Object to this area as Hailsham is not a sustainable location for any development as it has no rail connection, and further development here should not be allowed.

Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Object to this area as Hailsham is not a sustainable location for any development as it has no rail connection, and further development here should not be allowed.

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Many settlement boundaries because of the way development occurred in the past, leave out areas that are as developed as the settlement. Where they are near to the settlement, and with the need for more housing, it seems ridiculous to stop development here, when it will otherwise mean providing sites largely on greenfield sites elsewhere. Equally disallowing development on already developed land just because it is outside the boundary is contrary to sustainable development.
Reason for comment:
This strongly supports the need to allow a level of development in the villages to stop what is happening now. The villages are becoming dead from lack of young people and rural workers due to high house prices. New development will allow a level of affordable housing to be made which will otherwise never happen. Thus the writer supports 6c more than the other options.

Reason for comment:
Disagree, as it is making things even more complicated, each village is different and will lead to endless arguments as to whether a village fits each criteria.

Reason for comment:
Option 9a

Reason for comment:
support this so long as the best landscape/ecological areas such as Downlands Farm are excluded. Crowborough and Heathfield should not be considered at all as it would mean building on the ANOB.

Option 7d

Reason for comment:
agree only if it is realistically based on the fact that the use will carry on. There is not much point in leaving a building disused in a village which will have no growth, and the use ill then never be economic, and no one will come forward to re-instate it. In case such as this re use for other uses would have to be allowed.

Option 8b

Reason for comment:
support this and completely oppose other options. Hailsham is unsustainable due to lack of railway. A new town is also unacceptable.

Option 9b

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs stewart  
Part of document: ☑️ option 
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑️ Disagree  
Reason for comment: Concerned about loss of employment if Pine Grove closed, so unless proposal includes replacement employment sites, disagree

Consultee ID: mrs stewart  
Part of document: ☑️ option 
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑️ No opinion  
Reason for comment: due to ANOB
Consultee ID: mrs stewart Agent ID:
107127

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
due to ANOB, archaeology and ancient woodland

Consultee ID: mrs stewart Agent ID:
107127

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
due to extra levels of traffic which the area will not be able to cope with

Consultee ID: mrs stewart Agent ID:
107127

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
due to negatives set out by the council in this section

Consultee ID: mrs stewart Agent ID:
107127

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 12a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Agree as urban residential already
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs stewart</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>due to loss of needed employment land</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs stewart</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>due to ANOB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs stewart</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>due to ANOB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs stewart</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>due to ANOB and visual impact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
due to ANOB

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 12g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
growth should be limited due to ANOB and lack of railway, ie not sustainable

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
due to SNCl

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Strongly disagree and object to current application and appeal, due to landscape, archaeology, ancient woodland, woodland trust land and especially car and access problems.
Consultee ID: mrs stewart 
107127

Part of document:  
- Document [ ] 
- Chapter [ ] 
- Section [ ] 
- Option [✓] 

Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree [ ] 
- No opinion [ ] 
- Disagree [✓] 

Reason for comment: 
due to it really being an extension to the downlands farm proposal and its problems and landscape effect

Consultee ID: mrs stewart 
107127

Part of document:  
- Document [ ] 
- Chapter [ ] 
- Section [ ] 
- Option [✓] 

Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree [ ] 
- No opinion [ ] 
- Disagree [✓] 

Reason for comment: 
disagree due to wrong side of town from all employment areas causing much more through traffic through the town, framfield road and traffic junction will never be able to cope and breaches stream barrier

Consultee ID: mrs stewart 
107127

Part of document:  
- Document [ ] 
- Chapter [ ] 
- Section [ ] 
- Option [✓] 

Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree [ ] 
- No opinion [ ] 
- Disagree [✓] 

Reason for comment: 
disagree as wrong side of town for the existing employment sites, will create large amount of town centre traffic, well away from existing shops etc and tending to march out into the countryside as opposed to being an urban extension.

Consultee ID: mrs stewart 
107127

Part of document:  
- Document [ ] 
- Chapter [ ] 
- Section [ ] 
- Option [✓] 

Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree [ ] 
- No opinion [✓] 
- Disagree [ ] 

Reason for comment: 
The smaller number of dwellings on lower slopes may be ok but not the larger due to landscape issues
Option 14f

Reason for comment:
due to visual effect seen for miles, odour problems from sewage works

Reason for comment:
due to visual impact seen for miles, odour problems from sewage works

Reason for comment:
Disagree strongly. This is presumably the move the industrial estate from the flood plain idea. This is completely nuts and is highly unlikely to be economic, and unless compulsory purchase id used will be a nightmare to set up with all the differing landowners, leaseholders and tenants. It is also quite obvious to everyone other than the council and the EA that the simple way to solve the flooding problems in Uckfield is to enlarge the river bed, particularly where it is narrow at the rail bridge and by somerfield car park and possibly the A22 bridge. All this can be simply achieved by putting in large concrete pipes or culverts in these places. There is no need to waste large amounts of time and money on these other schemes. Its simple, if the river flood capacity is x but maximum flood flow is 2x then the river bed needs to be enlarged to 2x.
Consultee ID: mrs stewart  
107127
Part of document: Document  Chapter  Section  Option 14h
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
This site is outside the defendable boundary of the bypass, moving into unspoilt countryside. New employment sites should be situated next to new houses to facilitate less car use. This site would only increase car use as it is not easily accessed by other means, and is away from the existing house sites.

Consultee ID: mrs stewart  
107127
Part of document: Document  Chapter  Section  Option 15a
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
Disagree with any development at Hailsham as it has no rail link and is therefore unsustainable and will lead to many more car journeys than sites in areas with a rail link. Also much of the land is low lying and flat and prone to flooding.

Consultee ID: mrs stewart  
107127
Part of document: Document  Chapter  Section  Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
These areas may fit with the SEP, but there should not be so much development at the expense of the rest of Wealden district especially the north. It is important to spread development over all areas due to the affordable housing needed in all areas and also to allow economic growth in all areas not just one. Clearly the infrastructure restraints will limit when and the number of houses that can be built in the plan period.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs stewart</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

### Option 16b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs stewart</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

### Option 16c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs stewart</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

### Option 16d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs stewart</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

### Option 16e

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: mrs stewart
Agent ID: 107127

Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ☑ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
Agent ID: 107127

Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ☑ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
Agent ID: 107127

Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ☑ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
Agent ID: 107127

Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ☑ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs stewart  
107127

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Disagree totally with any ideas for new settlements. This concentrates all development in one area to the detriment of all other areas in the district. In particular it will mean virtually all affordable housing will be in one place whereas it is needed in all areas. It will greatly affect areas of otherwise open countryside to a much greater degree than urban extensions to existing settlements. Also given the number of potential urban extension sites compared with the number of houses actually needed there is no need to consider new settlements at all. New settlements will also concentrate new car travel in one area causing a greater problem than if spread around the district.

Consultee ID: mrs stewart  
107127

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs stewart  
107127

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs stewart  
107127

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 18k

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 21a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Agree as this site has well defined and defendable boundaries, is next to an employment site, near to 2 stations and traffic is easily dispersed by the village roundabouts, there is little landscape quality to the site.
Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree to the smaller amount but not to the larger amount as the roads and access points are not good. Not 140 now allowed at the Grampian site

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Agree to limited growth as set out

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
agree to limited growth as set out

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
agree to limited growth as set out
Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:
Your View   ✔ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
agree to limited growth as set out

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:
Your View   ✔ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
small scale development acceptable but not if in ANOB

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 22b

Section of Document:
Your View   ✔ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
small scale development acceptable but not if in anob

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 22c

Section of Document:
Your View   ✔ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
small scale development acceptable but not if in anob
Option 22d

**Reason for comment:**
small scale development acceptable but not if in anob

---

Option 23a

**Reason for comment:**
if involves anob land

---

Option 23b

**Reason for comment:**
if involves anob land

---

Option 23c

**Reason for comment:**
if involves anob land
Consultee ID: mrs stewart Agent ID: 107127

Part of document:  
\[ \square \text{document} \quad \square \text{chapter} \quad \square \text{section} \quad \checkmark \text{option} \]

Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View  
\[ \quad \square \text{Agree} \quad \square \text{No opinion} \quad \checkmark \text{Disagree} \]

Reason for comment:

if involves an ob land

Consultee ID: mrs stewart Agent ID: 107127

Part of document:  
\[ \square \text{document} \quad \square \text{chapter} \quad \square \text{section} \quad \checkmark \text{option} \]

Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View  
\[ \quad \square \text{Agree} \quad \square \text{No opinion} \quad \checkmark \text{Disagree} \]

Reason for comment:

if involves an ob land

Consultee ID: mrs stewart Agent ID: 107127

Part of document:  
\[ \square \text{document} \quad \square \text{chapter} \quad \square \text{section} \quad \checkmark \text{option} \]

Question 23f

Section of Document:

Your View  
\[ \quad \square \text{Agree} \quad \square \text{No opinion} \quad \checkmark \text{Disagree} \]

Reason for comment:

if involves an ob land

Consultee ID: mrs stewart Agent ID: 107127

Part of document:  
\[ \square \text{document} \quad \square \text{chapter} \quad \square \text{section} \quad \checkmark \text{option} \]

Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  
\[ \quad \checkmark \text{Agree} \quad \square \text{No opinion} \quad \square \text{Disagree} \]

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Disagree as this leads to lack of gardens and private space, leading people to feel that they are cramped like rats in a cage and also leads to a lot of the modern social ills

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
even worse affects than 25b

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
if only in main urban town areas

Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
there are not enough family homes being built. Particularly flats are only of use to young, single or old people. Terraced house with gardens are ok.
Option 25b

Reason for comment:
disagree of the 40% figure as this is likely to make sites uneconomic to develop and lead to less affordable housing not more.

Option 25c

Reason for comment:
Disagree strongly. This amounts to a 50% plus affordable housing target and will just stop many small sites coming forward as it will not be worth the owners developing them. Most smaller sites outside of the towns will be already developed to a degree and already have a use or value and will not be worth developing with a 50% affordable house aim. The only result of this proposal will be to stop windfall and small scale proposals in their tracks, actually reducing the numbers of affordable houses.

Option 25g

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs stewart
107127

Option 25d

Reason for comment:
Given that landowners are unlikely to agree to 100%, nil value to them, affordable sites, there is little point in allocating these as they would not come forward. It would be better to have the policy set out in 25e, which reacts to those landowners who wish to donate such land.

Option 25e

Reason for comment:
Your View
☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Option 25f

Reason for comment:
With an ageing population and government incompetence with the tax money they raise there is less and less ability for older/disabled people to be looked after. Therefore allowing for family to help by this means should be permitted.

Option 27a

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>mrs stewart</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27b
- Option 27d
- Option 27f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- build the road
Disagree as in most cases the sanctions will only make deliverability timescales worse. For instance if a development is not completed and then lost as suggested how long will it take for an alternative to gain planning permission and then be built? What will happen to the half built site? Given that the best sites will be allocated first does this then mean moving on to less sustainable and desirable sites if the first sites are not completed in time? What happens if there is an economic slump as in the late 80's and early 90's that most people seem to have forgotten about when house prices dropped dramatically and hardly any were sold? How will the sanctions suggested be of any use here. Is it currently legal to end a planning permission by condition or s106 once it has started? The best way would be to only give permission on those sites that have no constraints. The council singularly failed to do this with the NSP, ignored all objections and allocated sites that everyone else knew were undeliverable and the current mess is entirely of their own making.

A lot of what is proposed here and in the LDF really amounts to social engineering and is not something that planning control can have much or any control over. The spatial vision may be good if written for the LDF, Local Social Engineering Framework, but many of its aims are totally dependant on the population behaving in ways that the LDF cannot control i.e. the amount of travel by car cannot be limited by planning permissions as such, it can only be facilitated. If someone wants to drive and not use the bus this cannot at present be controlled by planning condition.
Consultee ID: mrs stewart  
107127

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

disagree with much of it as it is beyond planning control

Consultee ID: mrs stewart  
107127

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wormald  
107128
Polegate Town Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Close proximity to main routs A22 and A27. Any development should be contingent upon the construction of the A27 Folkington Link.

Consultee ID: Mr Wormald  
107128
Polegate Town Council

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Important green belt should be protected.
Consultee ID: Mr Wormald 107128 Polegate Town Council

Option 4c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consider access unsuitable and consequent traffic impact.

Consultee ID: Mr Wormald 107128 Polegate Town Council

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Council consider that if this area is developed then a separate community would evolve that would be connected to the main town of Polegate in name only and would most likely become a dormitory suburb of people travelling out of the area to work and shop. It is difficult to see where any real planning gain for the town would emerge if this option was chosen for housing development. Although development in this area would be least intrusive for Polegate as a whole it is not difficult to envisage this type of development spreading towards Hailsham creating a conurbation. This would impact on the greenbelt around Polegate which Council believes is important to maintain and could also blight the views from the South Down which are shortly to achieve national park status. Polegate Town Council therefore recommend that no housing development is sited in the area known as 16a

Consultee ID: Mr Wormald 107128 Polegate Town Council

Option 16b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Considers this area an important green belt amenity for Polegate and that no housing development should be contemplated.
| Consultee ID: | Mr Wormald | Agent ID: | Polegate Town Council |
|--------------|------------|-----------|

### Option 16c

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Council consider that these areas are important green belt amenities for Polegate and that no housing development should be contemplated.

### Option 16d

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Council consider that this option is viable in that any housing development here could be integrated into the existing community causing little disruption to the town.

### Option 16e

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Dispite recent applications from developers in respect of this area council consider that there are too many issues with roads and infrastructure for development to be viable. Problems include poor sewerage facilities, the dangers of siting an access roundabout on Dittons Road in close proximity to a 'blind' bend and the fact that the area is too far out of the main centre of Polegate.
### Option 16f

**Reason for comment:**
Council are strongly opposed to any form of housing in this area. First, development would exacerbate existing traffic problems on the A2270, main road to Eastbourne, second development would erode the green belt 'gap' between Polegate and Willingdon and would encourage the development of a conurbation.

### Option 16g

**Reason for comment:**
Council consider that development of the town centre could be viewed as an opportunity to create a 'vision' for Polegate. This might include complete redevelopment of the existing 'Centre' with the aim to regenerate the shopping facilities in and around the High Street. Concerns exist over the potential to cope with existing lack of parking. Council consider that regeneration of the retail businesses in Polegate High Street would be preferable to housing developments in the town centre.

### Option 18h

**Reason for comment:**
Council consider that any new settlement proposed for this area will not be acceptable as it will encourage the development of a conurbation between the two towns.
Consultee ID: Mr Wormald  Agent ID:
107128 Polegate Town Council
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Council consider that the proposal to make a major new settlement at Berwick is very sustainable especially as it has an existing station with good links to London and Brighton. Development at Berwick would most likely take place over a period of 15 to 20 years. This could result in good planning gains for the settlement and surrounding communities and would go a long way to getting essential upgrades to the A27 trunk road. Council recognise some concerns that Berwick is seen as an easy option for delivering the housing requirements for the area and it was also recognised that planners had an ideal opportunity for developing a well integrated settlement that could really benefit the hamlet and the surrounding communities.

Consultee ID: Mr Fasham  Agent ID:
107129
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Increase in traffic and overstretch infrastructure in Tunbridge Wells.

Consultee ID: Noakes  Agent ID:
107130
Part of document: ☑ option
Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Development for the community should be provided as part of growth of crowborough and not to place additional strains on existing facilities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs French</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Disagree on traffic impact and environmental grounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs French</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Disagree on traffic and environmental grounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs French</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107131</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
All authorities should be joined up, need to show what will be given to development areas.
Consultee ID: Msr Sheldrake
107132

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
for the following reasons: Impact on country side urban sprawl traffic congestion/capacity issues impact on biodiversity/wildlife smaller developments sited close to A26 would have less negative impact.

Consultee ID: Msr Sheldrake
107132

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
for the following reasons: impact on countryside urban sprawl traffic congestion/capacity issues impact on biodiversity/wildlife smaller developments sited close to A26 would have less negative impact.

Consultee ID: Mr Slatford
rps
107133

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Slatford
rps
107133

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 107133 slatford
Agent ID: rps

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 7c
Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107133 slatford
Agent ID: rps

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 9a
Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107133 slatford
Agent ID: rps

Part of document: ☑️ option
Question 7e
Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
support Meresfield as being identified as having growth potential. Benefits of site identified: outside of AONB well located in terms of transport and services

Consultee ID: 107133 slatford
Agent ID: rps

Part of document: ☑️ option
Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☐ Agree  ☑️ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
A threshold of 15 + dwellings in or on the edge of villages would be appropriate
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

should be examined. Area proposed for development of approx 80 dwellings to the south east of Maresfield (east of London road/high street). The site is not located within the AONB and is well contained within the existing landscape. The area is in close proximity to primary education and public transport and close to a range of services in Maresfield and Uckfield.

Reason for comment:

Densities should vary between individual sites and average density should be approx 40 dwellings per hectare with lower densities in some rural settlements.

Reason for comment:

The level of affordable housing provided at the site should be no greater than 30%.
Consultee ID: ms marlow
Consultee ID: ms smith
Consultee ID: mrs smith

Agent ID: sussex environment partnership
Agent ID: 
Agent ID: 
Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document  ✔ chapter  □ section  □ option
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Wealden's Landscape
Section of Document: 
Section of Document: 

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:

Recommends the formation of ecological/multifunctional green network to create a sustainable and robust environment to address isolated natural sites, decline in biodiversity and impacts of climate change. In addition such a network would ensure that everyone has access to natural green space. This can be achieved by mapping relevant areas including floodplains and space in and around developments.

Recommends the formation of ecological/multifunctional green network to create a sustainable and robust environment to address isolated natural sites, decline in biodiversity and impacts of climate change. In addition such a network would ensure that everyone has access to natural green space. This can be achieved by mapping relevant areas including floodplains and space in and around developments.

Recommends the formation of ecological/multifunctional green network to create a sustainable and robust environment to address isolated natural sites, decline in biodiversity and impacts of climate change. In addition such a network would ensure that everyone has access to natural green space. This can be achieved by mapping relevant areas including floodplains and space in and around developments.
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Consultee ID: mrs smith Agent ID:
107135

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs smith Agent ID:
107135

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs smith Agent ID:
107135

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs smith Agent ID:
107135

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: mrs smith
107135

Part of document:  
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs smith
107135

Part of document:  
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Need to build infrastructure before allowing housing development

Consultee ID: mrs smith
107135

Part of document:  
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: mrs smith
107135

Part of document:  
Question 16j

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Hindsland should be used for recreation
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

**Consultee ID:** ms findley  
**Agent ID:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>option</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question One</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>option</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 2a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>option</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 2b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>option</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: ms findley

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Build Hailsham/Polegate up in terms of economic activity/bolster schools to increase educational levels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

above all we must preserve rural environment and village life

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Option 6b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 107136 ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Option 6c**

**Your View**

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID: 107136 ms findley**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Question 6d**

**Your View**

☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  

100% distributed around towns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 107136 ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7a**

**Your View**

☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 107136 ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7b**

**Your View**

☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 7c
Reason for comment:
we must adopt a sustainability policy preserving what we have both for Wealden residents and tourism
Consultee ID: ms findley  
107136

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

unless by rail link
Consultee ID: ms findley Agent ID: 107136
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 9b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley Agent ID: 107136
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 9c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley Agent ID: 107136
Part of document: ☑ option
Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley Agent ID: 107136
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 11b</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 12h</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11c</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11d</td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: ms findley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: ms findley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ☑ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

preserve greenfield sites

---

Consultee ID: ms findley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

preserve greenfield sites

---

Consultee ID: ms findley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ☑ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

preserve greenfield sites
Consultee ID: ms findley  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  

Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  

Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  

Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  

Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Option 12e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 12f

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question 12g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>preserve open spaces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 13a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>ms findley</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 14a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 14b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 14c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 14d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>ms findley</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107136</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 14d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107136</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 14e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107136</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 14f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107136</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 14g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
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Consultee ID:  ms findley  Agent ID: 107136

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 14h

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  ms findley  Agent ID: 107136

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 14j

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  ms findley  Agent ID: 107136

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  ms findley  Agent ID: 107136

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 107136

Part of document: Option 15f

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 107136

Part of document: Option 16b

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 107136

Part of document: Option 16c

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 107136

Part of document: Option 16d

Section of Document: Your View

Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: ms findley
107136

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 16e

Section of Document:
Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley
107136

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 16f

Section of Document:
Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley
107136

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 16f

Section of Document:
Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley
107136

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 16g

Section of Document:
Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107136</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: ms findley Agent ID: 107136

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley Agent ID: 107136

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley Agent ID: 107136

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley Agent ID: 107136

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: ms findley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 18k</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>preservation of green areas is vital and close proximity to rail links vital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 107136  ms findley  
Part of document:  Option 19b □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Section of Document: 
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree 
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: 107136  ms findley  
Part of document:  Option 19c □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Section of Document: 
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree 
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: 107136  ms findley  
Part of document:  Option 19d □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Section of Document: 
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree 
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: 107136  ms findley  
Part of document:  Option 19e □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Section of Document: 
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree 
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: ms findley
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document: Question 19f

Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment: rural/village life to be preserved at all costs

Consultee ID: ms findley
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 20a
Section of Document: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 20b
Section of Document: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 20c
Section of Document: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 107136 ms findley

Part of document: option
Question 20d
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

rural/village life to be preserved at all costs and proximity to rail links vital

Consultee ID: 107136 ms findley

Part of document: option
Option 21a
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107136 ms findley

Part of document: option
Option 21b
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107136 ms findley

Part of document: option
Option 21c
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:
unless there is close proximity to rail links rural life should be preserved above all else.
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Consultee ID: ms findley
Agent ID: 107136

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley
Agent ID: 107136

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley
Agent ID: 107136

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley
Agent ID: 107136

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Question 22e

Reason for comment:
unless there is close proximity to rail links rural life should be preserved above all else.

Option 23a

Reason for comment:

Option 23b

Reason for comment:

Option 24a

Reason for comment:
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View   ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View   ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question 23f

unless there is close proximity to rail links rural life should be preserved above all else

Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree    ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: ms findley Agent ID: 107136

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley Agent ID: 107136

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley Agent ID: 107136

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: ms findley Agent ID: 107136

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ms findley</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107136</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms findley</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107136</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ms findley</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107136</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>ms findley</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107136</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☒ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☒ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☒ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☒ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>ms findley</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>ms findley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107136</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID:     ms findley     Agent ID:
107136

Part of document:  ☑ document  ☐ chapter  ☑ section  ☐ option

Section of Document:  Delivery

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:     ms findley     Agent ID:
107136

Part of document:  ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:     ms findley     Agent ID:
107136

Part of document:  ☑ document  ☐ chapter  ☑ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:     ms findley     Agent ID:
107136

Part of document:  ☑ document  ☐ chapter  ☑ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

a main priority should be preserving what we have for the future the charm of our villages is good for tourism
Question 32a

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:
green fields and rural tranquility should be preserved for the future generations.

Section of Document: Wealden's Landscape

Reason for comment:
Wealden's landscape conservation area boundaries should be reviewed.

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
Agree but should be confined to infill sites.
Option 5a

Reason for comment:
Priority to be given to infill/brownfield sites first.

Option 6a

Reason for comment:
Development in and around towns will enhance town facilities and minimise need to travel by car.

Option 7a

Reason for comment:

Option 7b

Reason for comment:
Growth potential should be based on needs of the village taking account of demographic and environmental factors and the sustainability of key services.
Option 7d

Subject to growth potential being based on demographic and environmental factors and the sustainability of key services.

Option 8d

Agree with one new settlement in the Low Weald area.

Option 21a

Any development should be carefully planned in terms of traffic management, sensitive integration with the village and energy saving.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107137 Mr Wheeler</td>
<td>Agree with density of 40 dwellings per hectare in towns.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107137 Mr Wheeler</td>
<td>Possible in towns.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document**: Option 24a, 24b, 24c, 24d

**Section of Document**: 

**Your View**: Agree

**Reason for comment**: Agree with density of 40 dwellings per hectare in towns.

Possible in towns.
Affordable housing should be in a ratio appropriate to the needs of the specific rural community.
Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler
Maresfield Conservation Group

Part of document: Option 25g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler
Maresfield Conservation Group

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Sites solely for affordable housing should be located in towns where services, transport and retail and job opportunities are more likely.

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler
Maresfield Conservation Group

Part of document: Option 25e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler
Maresfield Conservation Group

Part of document: Option 25f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107137</td>
<td>Maresfield Conservation Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

Transport Needs

### Your View

- [ ] Agree
- ✔️ No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

### Reason for comment:

There is a need for comprehensive traffic impact assessments related to new developments on the trunk road system throughout Wealden and the wider region. There is widespread concern over the adequacy of the present infrastructure and the impact of new developments in particular the A22.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107137</td>
<td>Maresfield Conservation Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27a**

### Section of Document:

### Your View

- ✔️ Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107137</td>
<td>Maresfield Conservation Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27b**

### Section of Document:

### Your View

- ✔️ Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107137</td>
<td>Maresfield Conservation Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27e**

### Section of Document:

### Your View

- ✔️ Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheeler</th>
<th>Maresfield Conservation Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheeler</th>
<th>Maresfield Conservation Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheeler</th>
<th>Maresfield Conservation Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheeler</th>
<th>Maresfield Conservation Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler
107137 Maresfield Conservation Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter ✓ section □ option

Section of Document: Delivery

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Table 15 appears to be only a very limited list of Potential Infrastructure Requirements. There is widespread concern over the adequacy of the present infrastructure. There is a need for a more comprehensive list of requirements, including transport infrastructure and these should be related to Local Strategic Partnerships and Local Area Agreements.

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler
107137 Maresfield Conservation Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Wheeler
107137 Maresfield Conservation Group

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Uckfield’s flood relief scheme should not have to rely on developer contributions alone. It should be done urgently in any way it can be done. Table 15 appears to be only a very limited list of Potential Infrastructure Requirements. There is widespread concern over the adequacy of the present infrastructure. There is a need for a more comprehensive list of requirements, including transport infrastructure and these should be related to Local Strategic Partnerships and Local Area Agreements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107137</td>
<td>Maresfield Conservation Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Where We Want to get to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107137</td>
<td>Maresfield Conservation Group</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Possible Spatial Objectives for Wealden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Stogdon</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107138</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 11h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Area of land at Laurel Tree Farm, Crowborough, put forward for development - both east and west of A26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Hamilton</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107139</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Stubbs
Agent ID: 107140

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 21g

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Propose site, land adjacent to Little Hill, London Road, Maresfield for development.

Consultee ID: Mr/mrs Prey
Agent ID: 107141

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
impact on services and overstretched infrastructure in Tunbridge Wells.

Consultee ID: Mr Lightfoot
Agent ID: 107142

Part of document: □ document □ chapter ✓ section □ option
Section of Document: Wealden's Renewable and Low Carbon Potential

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
All new development should be environmentally friendly.

Consultee ID: Mr Lightfoot
Agent ID: 107142

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Facilities are available.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Lightfoot</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment: Traffic congestion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Lightfoot</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment: Traffic congestion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Lightfoot</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment: Agree if the railway line is developed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Lightfoot</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107142</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment: Benefits to wider A22.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Lightfoot 107142
Part of document: Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Benefits to wider A22.

Consultee ID: Mr Lightfoot 107142
Part of document: Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Benefits to wider A22.

Consultee ID: Mr Lightfoot 107142
Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Benefits to a wider A22.

Consultee ID: Mr Lightfoot 107142
Part of document: Part 7
Section of Document: Making it Happen - Implementation
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Needs a holistic approach including all relevant services. Charge on developers towards necessary infrastructure.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr and M Whittaker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr and M Whittaker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr and M Whittaker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr and M Whittaker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr and M Whittaker
107143

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr and M Whittaker
107143

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 6d

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr and M Whittaker
107143

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr and M Whittaker
107143

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr and M Whittaker  Agent ID: 107143
Part of document: Option 14g
Section of Document: Option 14g
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Thornton  Agent ID: 107144
Part of document: Option 13a
Section of Document: Option 13a
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: disagree due to 1. increased level of traffic 2. increased pressure on drainage, sewerage, water, transport, hospital and school facilities.
Consultee ID: Mrs Neal  Agent ID: 107145
Part of document: Part 5
Section of Document: Wealden's Places
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Play areas should be located within the centre of development not near existing residential development.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Neal</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107145</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Next to AONB.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Neal</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107145</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity to AONB and distance from town centre.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Neal</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107145</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 11f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development already allocated development. Lower densities need to be considered in the north of Crowborough. A further increase in homes should only be considered when transport links have improved as well as shopping and leisure facilities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Neal</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107145</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage too great.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Mrs Reid
107146
Environment

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [✓] section [ ] option


Your View [ ] Agree [✓] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Section 1.28 states that "There is also a limited legacy of brownfield land, which means that a significant amount of new development will have to occur on greenfield sites." This statement may be a reflection of the 'rural' nature of the district, however the number brownfield sites (including windfall sites) have not been exhausted yet. The statement above may also be a reference to the number of 'large' sites which are derelict/abandoned, rather than the actual hectarage of land that may available for redevelopment. Contaminated Land - Suggested Wording We would want the inclusion of the following within any policies: · Encouragement of development on brownfield land · To reflect the environmental benefits from remediating land affected by contamination, particularly relating to the cost of remediation being paid for by the development. · Development on brownfield land and contaminated land must be conducted in line with Planning Policy Statement 23 (PPS23). There should also be some reflection of the council's own Contaminated Land Strategy and how this relates to environmental improvements and identifying brownfield sites.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid
107146
Environment

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [✓] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Wealden's Landscape Character

Your View [ ] Agree [✓] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Box 1 South Downs - Draft Management Plan Objectives "Pure unpolluted air, soil and water to allow the landscape and wildlife of the South Downs to be maintained" This statement should be supported by references to the Water Framework Directive (WFD) and Groundwater Protection: Policy and Practice (GP3) as a large proportion of the South Downs area is classified as a Primary Aquifer (See GP3 for definition). Box 2 The High Weald "Is formed of alternate bands of sandstone and clay, interrupted by fast following rivers in steep valleys known as 'gylls'." We note that the ghylls are likely to be groundwater supported and therefore the quality of the groundwater will have an impact on surface water quality.
Reason for comment:
We are encouraged by the details you have included in paragraphs 2.16 to 2.18 which recognise the mosaic of habitats and landscapes (as well as habitat connectivity) that characterise Wealden. While this is an excellent starting point for a nature conservation policy, we offer the following comments that we would also like to see translated into your nature conservation policy/policies:

- The link between biodiversity conservation and sustainable development should be explained.
- UK and Sussex BAPs should be referred to.
- We will expect to see a policy for the conservation, restoration and enhancement of important UK Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) Habitats and Species, (in line with UK BAP targets) plus other habitats and species in development sites and areas. It is essential that the requirement for retention of existing valuable ecological features and ecological enhancements is stressed, for any development (in line with PPS9). New development must not detrimentally impact natural biodiversity resources and should result in a net increase in biodiversity.
- Particularly, there should be locally site/area-specific policy that identifies the extent and quality of existing habitats in the Wealden district (and possibly any important links with habitats outside the District), any physical processes upon which they depend, and the species they support. The policy should propose improvements in the quality and extent of these habitats, as part of development. Furthermore, the connectivity of these habitats/areas must be protected and enhanced. A policy should aim to enhance connectivity and avoid fragmentation of habitats (in line with PPS9). Thus green infrastructure (providing ‘ecosystem services’ such as sustainable drainage and flood relief, and allowing ecological functioning) should be considered alongside other infrastructure requirements.
- The current information does not address climate change and its affects on species and habitats, designated sites and biodiversity. This must be covered along with the proposed mechanisms for mitigating for or adapting to this change.
- PPS9 requires the identification of areas suitable for habitat creation/restoration and enhancement within the LDF (this may consider impacts of climate change, as above).
- Ancient woodland is very important in Wealden, as is ghyll woodland, which is not mentioned in the current information. Ghyll woodland is an ecologically valuable, nationally rare habitat, found in the Weald of Sussex and Kent. Due to the steep Ghyll woodland valley form, ghyll woodlands are usually inaccessible, thus have been isolated from active management. As a result, they are frequently continuous ancient woodlands with untouched natural watercourses, providing a damp, shady microclimate undisturbed for hundreds of years. They have an associated group of plant and probably animal species not found elsewhere, and are especially important for mosses, lichens, ferns and liverwort communities. Therefore, depending on upstream land use, their ecological value is, or has the potential to be extremely high. Development proposed in areas of ghyll woodland may be inappropriate or may require large buffer areas similar to areas with ancient woodland, to protect such habitats from impacts of hydrological changes, human and pet disturbance etc. As you may be aware, we are supporting your Council at an appeal for a proposal at Downlands Farm in Uckfield. The reasons for our objection were the unacceptable ecological impact through loss valuable habitats such as ghyll woodland.
- Ecological/multifunctional green network approach We would suggest that adoption of a green network approach will help meet several of the above requirements and this should form one of the spatial policies. Furthermore the detailed requirements may be addressed by a SPD. We support the ecological/multifunctional green network approach and have suggested policy wording below. Our overall objectives in influencing the strategic planning process are to ensure that development occurs in a sustainable manner, appropriate to the local area. Our remit with regard to the multifunctional green network approach includes flooding, biodiversity, fisheries, water resources, water quality, and groundwater, and we aim to ensure development is sustainable from all of these perspectives. The multifunctional green network approach is to recognise, improve and increase natural green areas, their functions and the connections between them. This will help you to deliver,
for example, floodplain storage and to improve water resources and quality - making use of the functions of natural areas - as well as delivering improvements and meeting targets for biodiversity and recreation. In particular, the concern of the Environment Agency is the retention of watercourses with associated floodplains and wetlands intact, and creation and restoration of such features. These will form an integral part of the multifunctional green network. Including a policy into the core strategy, laying out the delivery of this approach, is strongly recommended, in order to guide future planning and deliver locally on national principles as set out in the UK Biodiversity Action Plans, PPS9, PPS25, and within Policy NRM4 of the draft South East Plan. Suggested wording for this policy is set out below, as developed in conjunction with partners from the Sussex Environment Partnership. The policy is worded such that it can be altered to be locally specific, and delivery determined in a locally appropriate way. Multifunctional Green Networks Policy - Suggested Wording We aim to establish an ecological network/a multifunctional green network to conserve and enhance our priority natural areas and the connections between them. The network will ensure that everyone has access to natural open space, and will maximise opportunity to conserve and enhance biodiversity, whilst delivering ecosystem services (e.g. sustainable drainage and flood storage), that contribute to sustainable development. New development will contribute to this network. The establishment of an ecological network will be achieved through: 1) Mapping of areas that could contribute to the network e.g. natural green space, floodplains. Opportunities for future expansion and enhancement will then be identified in line with national guidance, e.g. UK BAP, PPS9, PPS25 etc. 2) Creation of a scheme for achieving this network through the life of the plan, by (either/or/both): a. Multifunctional green space being provided within and around developments, with appropriate linkages to the existing/future network. Green space area and network additions to be proportionate to the size/cost of development. b. A green network fund to be administered (by council/independent local body), with financial contributions to be proportionate to the size/cost of development. 3) The expanding network will be mapped, monitored and protected from future development. Water Resources Section 2.34, page 23 of the Draft South East Plan does indeed state that a twin-track approach of water resource development and demand management will be pursued. However, it also states that: “In preparing Local Development Documents local authorities should require development that would use significant quantities of water to incorporate measures to achieve high levels of water efficiency, and reflect current best practice including BREEAM ‘very good’ and increasingly ‘excellent’ standards”. As a result of this, it is our opinion that a core policy relating to water efficiency is necessary. This need is further justified by the pressure on water resources across the Wealden District (identified through our Catchment Abstraction Management Strategies (CAMS)). Water Resources - Suggested Wording In terms of the type of policy and wording suggestions, we recommend one of the following two options: 1) A Core Strategy policy stating “All new development requires compliance with Level 3 of the Code for Sustainable Homes, stipulating a minimum standard of water consumption at 105 litres per person per day”. 2) In Section 2.34, the removal of the sentence beginning “A Core Strategy policy on this issue” and the replacement with “The Environment Agency’s Catchment Abstraction Management Strategies (CAMS) covering the Wealden District identify that water is often an over committed resource. As a result of this, a high level of water efficiency will need to be attained. The Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) will provide further information on these policies”.

Page 4537 of 6217
Section of Document: Wealden's Biodiversity

Reason for comment:
As described previously, we require the following to be taken into account when selecting sites and developing policies within the Local Development Framework. 1) Ecological Surveys At any potential development site we will expect to see results of detailed ecological surveys undertaken at an appropriate time of year by a suitably qualified and approved ecologist (preferably Institute of Ecological and Environmental Management affiliated). Surveys should include 1) Phase 1 habitat surveys and 2) protected species surveys. Such ecological surveys should be used to determine the appropriateness of development and inform the design of any development appropriate to any existing ecological site features. 2) Protection of watercourses/wetland habitats. In our remit to protect and enhance wetland habitats we would object to the loss of any length of watercourse or loss of wetland habitats and species, and the detrimental implications for local biodiversity. Less obvious wet habitats such as wet grassland and marshy/boggy areas should be considered as well as watercourses, waterbodies, wet woodland and ghyll woodland. In the case of any wet habitat loss we would expect to see at least like-for-like habitat creation as compensation. It would be strongly supported should development areas retain existing watercourses and waterbodies (ditches, streams and ponds) as landscape features, and provide suitable enhancements to these features. Particularly important is to retain such habitat corridors generally, including watercourses, woodlands and hedgerows. Our policy states that we are generally opposed in principle to the culverting of watercourses because of the adverse ecological, flood defence and other effects that are likely to arise. No installation of new culverts within any watercourse on site should be considered unless there is no practical alternative or an existing culvert is being replaced like-for-like in the existing location. We would recommend that, where practical, any existing culvert is removed and converted to an open watercourse, or where access is required a clear span bridge is installed. At any proposed site, we would request that a buffer strip (minimum 5 metres; at least 8 metres for a main river) is maintained between the bank of any wetland/watercourse and any development or works. This is in order to maintain a functioning wildlife river corridor/wetland habitat for ecological, amenity and aesthetic reasons. Any works should be carried out sensitively, and for any site development that results in a loss of wetland habitat, we would expect species mitigation and compensation for habitat loss. 3) Enhancements and ecological networks. Throughout the proposed developments and the Local Development Framework ecological enhancements should be an intrinsic part of plans. Furthermore, retaining and enhancing any development site's ecological connectivity through ecological corridors and networks is essential. This is in keeping with PPS1 'Delivering sustainable development', PPS9 ‘Biodiversity and Geological Conservation’ and the South East Plan. Policy NRM4 of the Draft South East Plan seeks to avoid a net loss of biodiversity and to actively pursue opportunities to achieve a net gain of biodiversity across the region. PPS9 states how planning decisions should maintain, enhance, restore, and add to biodiversity interests, and recognises that development proposals provide opportunities for including beneficial biodiversity features as part of good design. Furthermore, PPS9 recognises the need to maintain, strengthen and integrate networks of natural habitats within development. Fragmentation and isolation of habitats should be avoided. 4) Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) We actively promote the use of sustainable drainage techniques for the regulation and remediation of surface water run-off and to mitigate the loss of natural drainage patterns. We would therefore recommend that, if ground conditions are suitable, developments should consider these alternatives to more traditional drainage methods. Provision of ecological enhancements through use of SUDS would be encouraged. Drainage methods may include surface water fed features such as swales (wide grassed ditches), wet balancing ponds incorporating reedbeds, and wetland areas which will help to store and clean surface water. These can also be designed as beneficial wildlife, amenity and landscape features as part of an open space...
or a landscaping scheme for a development. 5) Designated sites We are particularly concerned with wetland areas and protected habitats. Some proposed areas are near to an SNCI or SSSI and will therefore be unsuitable if the development will result in any disturbance/loss of the SSSI or SNCI. In these cases, assessments of the impacts of any development on adjacent or nearby SSSIs/SNCIs will be required to inform the appropriateness of development. If development is to occur, extensive buffer areas will be expected between any development any SNCI or SSSI, and enhancements will be sought as part of the development. Particularly the Pevensey Levels SSSI and Ramsar will be easily impacted by hydrological and water quality impacts of development in its catchment. 6) Protected species Our remit is also concerned with wetland areas and associated species. Further information about species present may be available from the Sussex Biological Records Centre. Rare or protected species would require protection throughout development, and habitat enhancement for these species would be welcomed. A sustainable development/strategy/policy will consider and act to conserve and enhance all biodiversity interests. This includes internationally, nationally and locally designated sites, and other undesignated local areas of biodiversity importance including particularly valuable habitat features (such as ponds, watercourse corridors, woodlands, hedgerows, unimproved grassland etc.), wildlife corridors, and any sites with biodiversity interest. Indicators will therefore be, for example.: 1) Number, area and condition status of designated sites (SACs, SPAs, SSSIs, AONBs, SNCIs, NNRS, LNRs). 2) Extent of certain habitat types, e.g. ancient woodland, hedgerow, ponds, saltmarsh, heathland, particularly UK and Local BAP habitats. 3) Achievement of BAP targets (both species and habitats). 4) Achievement of Water Framework Directive (WFD) targets. 5) The number of developments (over a certain size?) incorporating biodiversity enhancements/proportion of the development area set aside for enhancements. These indicators need to be SMART so that improvements can be monitored.
we have major concerns that any broad areas for development can be considered without a sound SFRA in place. While we appreciate that this may be appropriate at Issues & Options stage, it will not be later in the process and certainly not for your Submission document. Once the SFRA’s have been completed, we expect that a number of broad areas being proposed in the Core Strategy may need to be withdrawn and/or boundaries revised. The recent decision where the Inspector found the Chichester District Council’s Core Strategy unsound sets a clear precedent for LPA’s with regards to flood risk (amongst other issues). The need for a precautionary approach with regards to flood risk has also been further highlighted by recent flooding throughout England. In terms of policy requirements for addressing flood risk, we would expect a core policy to clearly state that development will be steered away from those areas shown to be most at risk within Wealden. The evidence base and justification for the local context of flood risk issues in your district will be provided by your SFRA and therefore a clear linkage and reference should be made between the Core Strategy and SFRA. The actual policy framework for addressing flood risk will be dependant on the findings of the SFRA and we encourage you to keep an open mind about how the core policies can best address this. For example, the findings of the SFRA may indicate that a number of policies may be required - one for the north of the district, one for the south and perhaps one specific to a broad area within your District (i.e. Uckfield, Pevensey, etc). Within the policy/policies dealing with flood risk, the causes and effects of climate change (as they are likely to apply to Wealden) should be included and addressed, as should the requirements for Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). Section 2.35, page 23 The District's coast is under continuous pressure from the action of the sea. The shingle beach along the Pevensey frontage requires regular maintenance to allow the Shoreline Management Plan policy of "Hold the Line" to be implemented. The shingle at the mouth of the Cuckmere River requires regular maintenance to prevent it blocking the river mouth. The Environment Agency will shortly be consulting on the proposed flood risk management strategy for the Cuckmere estuary. The remaining coastline consisting of natural cliffs is retreating at a mean rate of 0.3 to 0.4m per year, and the coastal management strategy at areas such as Birling Gap is one of "Managed Realignment". Section 2.36 "shore management plans" should be replaced with "Shoreline Management Plans" [For information there are two SMP's covering the Wealden coastline (1) The Beachy Head to Selsey Bill SMP and (2) The South Foreland to Beachy Head SMP.
It has been recommended that at Hailsham North and South STW’s a limit of approximately 2800 and 1900 respectively, new homes be imposed on housing beyond that already connected, as outlined in the “Planning for Water Quality and Growth in the South East” document. When we receive consultations on planning applications we would request the following condition regarding foul drainage be added to any planning permission granted for locations served by the Hailsham North or South STW’s. This is provided that Wealden District Council and Southern Water Services are satisfied that this figure has not yet been reached and therefore accept this proposal: We would not object to this development, providing the following planning condition is imposed: No development approved by this permission shall be commenced until a scheme for the provision and implementation of foul drainage works has been approved by and implemented to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority. The sewerage undertaker (Southern Water Services) should be consulted regarding the availability of capacity in the sewage treatment works. The applicant and/or Southern Water Services Ltd should demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Local Planning Authority prior to the commencement of any development that the sewage treatment works will have capacity for the new development without causing a breach in existing discharge consent standards. We are supportive of your reference made to the need for phasing with regards to infrastructure requirements as well as the possibility for using planning obligations in order to address infrastructure requirements and necessary upgrades.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Reid</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107146</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

Section of Document:  
- Wealden's Water Cycle

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:  
Watercourses and ponds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Reid</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107146</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:  
- Option 4a

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:  
Watercourses and ponds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Reid</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107146</td>
<td>Environment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:  
- Option 4b

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:  
Watercourses and Ponds
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
107146  Environment

Part of document:  
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Watercourses and ponds, protected species recorded previously, potential hydrological links with Pevensey levels SSSI and Ramsar

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
107146  Environment

Part of document:  
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Upper Cuckmere main river and its floodplain, watercourses and ponds, protected species previously recorded, ancient woodland in the vicinity

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
107146  Environment

Part of document:  
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Whether or not settlement boundaries are maintained, retention and enhancement of multifunctional green networks must be considered.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
107146  Environment

Part of document:  
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
As already indicated, it will be necessary to make changes to boundaries and possibly revise potential sites following the completion of your SFRA.
The approach of classifying settlements based on their services and accessibility must also take account environmental constraints and opportunities, including biodiversity and nature conservation.

For any coastal development options particularly, it will be essential to consider development in line with climate change and its effects on biodiversity.

Bordered by ancient woodland and ghyll woodland and watercourses therefore sensitive location – will limit the extent of developable site and mitigation and huge buffers will be required. Protected species previously recorded.
Consultee ID:  Mrs Reid
107146 Environment

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Bordered by ancient woodland and ghyll woodland and watercourses therefore sensitive location – will limit the extent of developable site and mitigation and huge buffers will be required. Protected species previously recorded.

Consultee ID:  Mrs Reid
107146 Environment

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Bordered by ancient woodland and ghyll woodland, watercourses and ponds therefore sensitive location – will limit the extent of developable site and mitigation and huge buffers will be required. Protected species previously recorded.

Consultee ID:  Mrs Reid
107146 Environment

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Protected species previously recorded, ponds.
Option 14a

Reason for comment:
We have previously objected to a development at this site, and are likely to do so again for a similar development at this site or at a similar site with the same sorts of constraints. Bordered by ancient woodland and ghyll woodland and watercourses/ponds therefore sensitive location. Protected species previously recorded. Specifically woodland and wetland habitats should not be fragmented or isolated.

Option 14b

Reason for comment:
Adjacent to ancient woodland, protected species previously recorded, many watercourses and ponds, Budletts Common Rocks SNCI.

Option 14c

Reason for comment:
Need adequate buffers with Framfield stream and its floodplain, and with ancient woodland. Any potential watercourse enhancements should be considered.
Option 14d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Ridgewood Claypit SNCI, protected species previously recorded, ponds and watercourses including Framfield stream and its floodplain to which adequate buffers are required. Any potential watercourse enhancements should be considered.

Option 14e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Ponds and ancient woodland nearby, protected species previously recorded.

Option 14f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Ancient woodland nearby, streams and ponds, Framfield stream and its floodplain to which adequate buffers are required. Any potential watercourse enhancements should be considered.

Option 14g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Any potential watercourse enhancements should be considered, and adoption as part of the multifunctional green network.
Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
107146  
Environment  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Option 14h  
Section of Document: 

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Ponds and streams, ancient woodland and protected species. Bounded by Shortbridge stream, the River Uck and their floodplains. Any potential watercourse enhancements should be considered.  

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
107146  
Environment  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Question 14j  
Section of Document: 

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Possible spatial objectives for Uckfield - It is important to protect valuable aspects of landscape and biodiversity of these habitats, e.g. ghyll woodland. Creating riverside walkways and open space if possible should incorporate biodiversity enhancements and form part of an overall multifunctional green network. We will be arranging a meeting with you in the near future to discuss issues in, and future options for Uckfield.  

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
107146  
Environment  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Option 15a  
Section of Document: 

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Protected species previously recorded, River Cuckmere upper reaches, watercourses and ponds. Consider cumulative environmental impacts of lots of development in the same area.
Consultee ID: Mrs Reid Agent ID: 107146 Environment

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ No opinion ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Very close to Pevensey levels SSSI, with direct hydrological links, ditches ponds etc.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid Agent ID: 107146 Environment

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ No opinion ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Very close to Pevensey levels SSSI, with direct hydrological links, ditches ponds, protected species recorded previously

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid Agent ID: 107146 Environment

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ No opinion ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Very close to SSSI

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid Agent ID: 107146 Environment

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ No opinion ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Ancient and wet woodland, ponds, connectivity of the wood may be an issue and may lead to an objection to development?
Watercourses and ponds, field networks and ditches and ponds. For example, important to retain features and connectivity.

Upper Cuckmere main river and its floodplain, watercourses and ponds, protected species previously recorded, ancient woodland in the vicinity

Particularly relevant around Hailsham will be the cumulative pressures from multiple existing developments and new development on such habitats. Most of the coastal sites have direct hydrological links with Pevensey Levels SSSI and must not cause water quality or other impacts on this designated site.
Option 16b: 

Reason for comment:
Watercourses and ponds, field networks and ditches and ponds. For example, important to retain features and connectivity. Bounded by ancient woodland and wet woodland – huge buffers would be required, if development is considered to be appropriate.

Option 16c: 

Reason for comment:
Links with Pevensey Levels SSSI. Watercourses and ponds, field networks and ditches and ponds. For example, important to retain features and connectivity.

Option 16d: 

Reason for comment:
Protected species recorded previously, ditches and ponds.

Option 16e: 

Reason for comment:
Protected species recorded previously
Option 16f

Reason for comment:
Potentially bordered by main rivers. Any potential watercourse enhancements should be considered and adequate buffers provided.

Option 17b

Reason for comment:
Protected species previously recorded

Option 17c

Reason for comment:
Protected species previously recorded

Option 18a

Reason for comment:
Protected species previously recorded, ponds and watercourses
Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
107146 Environment  

Part of document: □ option  
Option 18b  

Section of Document:  

Your View □ Agree  ☑ No opinion  □ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Protected species previously recorded, ancient woodland to the East  

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
107146 Environment  

Part of document: □ option  
Option 18c  

Section of Document:  

Your View □ Agree  ☑ No opinion  □ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Burgh Hill Farm meadow SSSI to north, SNCIs, protected species previously recorded, ponds and watercourses, ancient woodland to south.  

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
107146 Environment  

Part of document: □ option  
Option 18d  

Section of Document:  

Your View □ Agree  ☑ No opinion  □ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Pockets of ancient woodland and ponds/watercourses, protected species previously recorded.  

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
107146 Environment  

Part of document: □ option  
Option 18e  

Section of Document:  

Your View □ Agree  ☑ No opinion  □ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Ponds
Consultee ID: Mrs Reid
107146 Environment

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
Ponds and watercourses

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid
107146 Environment

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
Upper Cuckmure, ancient woodland, protected species previously recorded, potential direct link with Pevensey levels SSSI.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid
107146 Environment

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
Ponds and ditches, close proximity to SSSI, protected species previously recorded.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid
107146 Environment

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
Watercourses, protected species previously recorded.
Option 18j

Reason for comment:
Protected species previously recorded, ponds and watercourses, close proximity to Arlington Reservoir SSSI.

Option 19b

Reason for comment:
Proximity to Ashdown Forest SAC/SPA/SSSI, Watercourses and ponds, areas of ancient and ghyll woodland, protected species previously recorded.

Option 19c

Reason for comment:
Watercourses and ponds, areas of ancient and ghyll woodland, protected species previously recorded.

Option 21a

Reason for comment:
Watercourses and ponds, areas of ancient and ghyll woodland, protected species previously recorded. Potentially bordered by Batts Bridge stream and Shortbridge stream main rivers. Any potential watercourse enhancements should be considered and adequate buffers provided.
Option 21b

Reason for comment:
Possible proximity to Buxted park SSSI. Watercourses and ponds, areas of ancient woodland, protected species previously recorded.

Option 21c

Reason for comment:
Possible proximity to Buxted park SSSI. Watercourses and ponds including River Uck main River and its floodplain, areas of ancient woodland, protected species previously recorded. Any potential watercourse enhancements should be considered and adequate buffers provided.

Option 21d

Reason for comment:
Watercourses and ponds, areas of ancient woodland, protected species previously recorded. Potentially near Tickerage Stream main river and its floodplain.

Option 21e

Reason for comment:
Watercourses and ponds, areas of ancient woodland, protected species previously recorded. Potentially near Tickerage Stream main river and its floodplain.
Option 21f

Your View  ☑ No opinion
Reason for comment:
Watercourses and ponds, areas of ancient and ghyll woodland, protected species previously recorded.

Option 22a

Your View  ☑ No opinion
Reason for comment:
Watercourses and ponds, areas of ancient and ghyll woodland, protected species previously recorded.

Option 22b

Your View  ☑ No opinion
Reason for comment:
Watercourses and ponds (including Waldron ghyll main river), areas of ancient and ghyll woodland, protected species previously recorded. Any potential watercourse enhancements should be considered and adequate buffers provided.

Option 22d

Your View  ☑ No opinion
Reason for comment:
Partially Kent area - watercourses and ponds, areas of ancient and ghyll woodland, protected species previously recorded.
Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
Agent ID:  
107146 Environment  
Part of document: option 23a  
Option 23a  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree  ✔️ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Watercourses and ponds, protected species previously recorded.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
Agent ID:  
107146 Environment  
Part of document: option 23b  
Option 23b  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree  ✔️ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Hellingly Cemetery SNCI, much of the area appears to be within the floodplain of the Upper Cuckmere. Watercourses and ponds, protected species previously recorded.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
Agent ID:  
107146 Environment  
Part of document: option 23c  
Option 23c  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree  ✔️ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Watercourses and ponds, protected species previously recorded, Bordered by Pevensey Levels SSSI and Ramsar, with direct hydrological links. Especially with new access from the A27 this may have unacceptable environmental impacts.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid  
Agent ID:  
107146 Environment  
Part of document: option 23d  
Option 23d  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree  ✔️ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Rough wood and whites wood SNCI, watercourses and ponds, protected species previously recorded. Ancient woodland patches.
Consultee ID: Mrs Reid 107146 Environment

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 23e

Reason for comment:
Watercourses and ponds, protected species previously recorded, several branches of the Upper Cuckmere Main River and their floodplains.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid 107146 Environment

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Question 23f

Reason for comment:
The flows at Windmill Hill (Cowbeech, Herstmonceux, Windmill Hill), Alfriston and Ninfield Lunsford Cross (Ninfield, Lunsford Cross, Catsford) STW’s are believed to be at their consented level. Southern Water should be consulted on any proposals draining to these works and they will be required to apply to the Environment Agency to address the consent issues prior to accepting any additional foul drainage.

Consultee ID: Mrs Reid 107146 Environment

Part of document: □ document □ chapter ✔ section □ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure and Phasing

Reason for comment:
Water Quality and Pollution - Suggested Policy Wording Development should only be permitted where the local planning authority is satisfied that: · This would not lead to deterioration in the quality of surface or groundwater resources. Discharges should be considered from STW’s and infrastructure, as well as surface water discharges.
We also note that the impact on waste infrastructure has not been assessed in the options and alternatives tables for the broad areas. We highlight that the issue of waste in East Sussex is of strategic importance with disposal options high on the agenda. The Core Strategy should seek to help reduce the amount of domestic, commercial and industrial waste arisings in the District.

Given the issues associated with water quality in the District, we feel that it is essential that a core policy on ‘Infrastructure Requirements’ is included. The policy should focus on ensuring sufficient capacity exists (in local infrastructure), that arrangements (through Section 106 agreements for example) can be put in place and that a phased approach to development is sought. We suggest policy wording along the following lines, and would be filling to advice on detailed suitable wording for supporting text. Infrastructure Requirements To ensure the environment is not adversely effected development will be permitted if: (a) there is sufficient capacity in the existing local infrastructure; or (b) suitable arrangements have been put in place for infrastructure improvements; or (c) where extra capacity is required to service the development a phased approach is undertaken.
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Consultee ID:  Mrs Reid  Agent ID: 107146  Environment

Part of document:  document  chapter  section  option

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document:  Housing Delivery

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

30c - We note that water supply and growth scenarios have and will be assessed by the Water Resources in the South East (WRSE) Group. This group consists of the regulators such as ourselves, water companies and the Regional Assembly working in co-operation to assess the infrastructure needs of planned growth. Whilst draft South East Plan policy (NRM2) will seek to safeguard land for reservoir development, not all of the new water resource options listed in the Plan will be required. The SE Plan process will not appraise the options. Whilst the enlargement of Bewl is an option listed in the Draft South East Plan, it will be subject to a bid by Southern Water to build new resources, and regulatory appraisal by us/ OFWAT. A business case must be made having regard to other supply options including water demand management measures. It will also be subject to a separate planning application process. It is likely that any ‘in service’ date for new sources of water supply will be beyond the current life-cycle of the emerging Wealden LDF. The development options will need to address development phasing as you address in the earlier section of Part 7.

Consultee ID:  Mrs Reid  Agent ID: 107146  Environment

Part of document:  document  chapter  section  option

Question Thirty

Section of Document:  Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

30d - As noted above, there are environmental capacity limits around the existing works at Hailsham North and Hailsham South. This will dictate the location and/or extent of new growth/improvements to works and our most recent position on future developments discharging into these catchments is outlined in our general comments. It would clarify 30d to state that replacement/additional works may be needed outside their current locations, subject to growth options.
Reason for comment:

Uckfield The Cock and Bull pumping station has been shown to be causing an ecological impact and therefore we would require this to be upgraded prior to accepting any further discharge to this location. The Harlands Farm and Framfield Road pumping stations discharging to the Framfield Stream are beginning to show an ecological impact on the receiving water. Should a large amount of development be focused in this area we would wish to see improvements at the pumping station in the future. There are known sewer pipe work capacity issues in Uckfield and Five Ash Down therefore Southern Water should be consulted regarding development in this area. Hailsham The Horsebridge pumping station is beginning to show an ecological impact on the receiving water. Should a large amount of development be focused in this area we would wish to see improvements at the pumping station in the future. Polegate Polegate pumping station on the Willingdon Sewer has been known to cause water quality issues in the past. Should a large amount of development be focused in this area we would wish to see improvements at the pumping station in the future.

Reason for comment:

Whilst we support the inclusion of objectives that encourage development on previously developed land (SO2) and cover biodiversity (SO3), climate change and sustainable development (SO14), we suggest that these are reworded to cover wider environmental considerations such as water quality and flood risk. We have identified both of these as being major environmental constraints in your District and therefore feel they should be addressed in the objectives. We also note that the spatial objectives do not cover 'waste’ (i.e. the principles of reduce, reuse and recycle) and particularly within the context of sustainable development. We are also concerned that SO5 on housing potentially conflicts with a number of other spatial objectives and may not be “SMART”.

Reason for comment:

disagree for following reasons: traffic, impact on wildlife, destruction of countryside.
Consultee ID:  Mr Reddin  
Consultee ID:  Mr Fordham  
Consultee ID:  Cllr Fox  

Option 11e

Reason for comment:
disagree for following reasons: traffic, impact on wildlife, destruction of countryside.

Option 13a

Reason for comment:
disagree: lack of infrastructure (water, schools, drainage); impact on SNCI and environmental impact; traffic impact.

Option 22a

Reason for comment:
40-60 dwellings would be absorbable and beneficial- do not support volumes over 100. some development would be beneficial, especially in the centre or to the south or east of the present high street. considerable concern over development to the north of the village for the following reasons: 1.settlement is split by the road 2. possibility of Esso deciding not to redevelop service station (loss of essential service) 3. landscape setting/intrusion into AONB 4. proximity to telecoms/tv mast 5. viability of improved services 6. traffic impact: tailbacks and accidents
Consultee ID: Mr. and King
107150

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Part 6

Section of Document: Wealden’s Communities

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
We should not allow new development until the facilities / services are put in place first/

Consultee ID: Mrs Ford
107152

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hollins
107153

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
would support 50-70 housing units at Old Crown Farm. The 20 units proposed in the Issues Paper is not a figure supported by facts. There is clear and compelling evidence of need for, and public acceptance of, development in Hartfield.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Allen
107154

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
development should be along A26 on brownfield land such as the Old Army Camp.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Allen
107154

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Impact of increased traffic and impact on wildlife.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Allen
107154

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Impact of increased traffic and impact on wildlife.

Consultee ID: Mr Keys
107155

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
for the following reasons: 1. Too large in scale for village. 2. Destinction of village quality of life and countryside. 3. Heavy clay soil and high water table. 4. Poor local employment prospects 5. Congestion / capacity issues on surrounding roads.
Consultee ID: Mr and M Veal
107156

Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ✓ chapter ☐ section ☐ option

Part 1

Section of Document: Context

Your View ☐ Agree ✓ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
respondent seeks clarification on who the new homes are designed to house, also survey data (mapping of brownfield/greenfield sites) and extent of flooding/flood plain allocation. queries how the infrastructure will cope with additional housing (waste, education, healthcare and transport). Suggests that the volume of new houses should be proportionately reduced to reflect the District's large AONB designation.

Consultee ID: Mr and M Veal
107156

Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option

Question 15g

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
we personally wouldn't choose to build on any of the proposed sites as they all have a much bigger impact on the flood areas than appear to be outlined in the strategy.

Consultee ID: Mr and M Veal
107156

Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option

Question 15i

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
site between the Boship roundabout, along the A267 (on the right going towards Horam) and bounded by the road which leads to Lower Horsebridge/Hellingly school could possibly take some development on the A267 side. For the following reasons: good road infrastructure; possibility of extending the primary school; potential adequate water/run-off management.
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Consultee ID: Mr and M Veal
Agent ID: 107156

Part of document: Option 18e
Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
flooding

Consultee ID: Mr and M Veal
Agent ID: 107156

Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr and M Veal
Agent ID: 107156

Part of document: Option 18i
Section of Document:

Your View
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
proximity to rail network

Consultee ID: Mr and M Veal
Agent ID: 107156

Part of document: Option 18j
Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
negative landscape impact
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr and M Veal</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107156</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 18k

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☑ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
should part of the criteria for building a large settlement be the proximity to a reservoir and/or waste water processing plant?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Cook</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107157</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 13a

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Current traffic safety problems and stretched facilities in Tunbridge Wells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107158</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 12c

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
for following reasons: 1. Possibility of uniting second rate commercial area with town. 2. Land has no present benefit to Heathfield. 3. Impacts and issues detailed in paper essentially mis-represent potential impact on landscape and biodiversity. Road improvements / upgrades would be required.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107158</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 12g

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
increases in Heathfield's population would stimulate the relatively stagnant local commercial scene.
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Broome  
Agent ID:  
107159

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Question 14i

Reason for comment:
A definite plan to stop the River Uck flooding - covering more ground with roads, parking areas, patios, houses - less land to absorb water causing more flow to the Uck. Not only do the present problems have to be dealt with but also future ones created by heavier rainfalls, if we are to believe our experts concerning global warming. Infrastructure: Primary schools are already near or at full capacity Bus services - inadequacy needs improving More cars equals more congestion Doctors are already near or at full capacity Doctors: Buxted surgery copes extremely well with increased numbers but will need more local facilities to cope with added influx.

Consultee ID: Mr Lambert  
Agent ID:  
107160

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 12e

Reason for comment:
Increase in danger.

Consultee ID: Medland Boyer Planning Ltd  
Agent ID:  
107161

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Section of Document:

Part 1

Reason for comment:
Development within AONB should be extremely limited in order to minimise the impact of additional housing on this specially protected landscape area. In this respect the controls of national and regional policy will severely restrict the potential of these areas for development. The authority should therefore focus development on the towns to the south of the AONB, namely Uckfield, Hailsham, and Polgate/Willingdon.

This DPD should seek to establish the appropriate requirements for the provision of renewable energy measures and other issues of sustainable development by relying upon the recently published Code for Sustainable Homes. There is no need to add additional controls within the DPD to achieve sustainable development.

The expansion of settlement boundaries should be focused on a significant evidence base which considers all the relevant issues associated with achieving development.
Consultee ID: Medland 107161 Boyer Planning Ltd

Part of document: option 6d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Urban/rural split should not be represented as a percentage split. The Core Strategy should be solely focused on identifying key directions for growth. The distribution of this growth will be determined on a site specific basis only, through the Site Allocations DPD.

Consultee ID: Medland 107161 Boyer Planning Ltd

Part of document: option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Development in Uckfield would have lesser impact on landscape, and it has greater concentration of services in contrast with other four towns of District, as well as greatest potential for town centre expansion and there are established housing needs and requirements in the area.

Consultee ID: Medland 107161 Boyer Planning Ltd

Part of document: option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Support growth in Uckfield. Prominent view of part of the southern area of the site can be mitigated against through careful design and landscaping. Development process can include additional services that are required. Support development south of Framfield Road. Sensitve design required to respect setting of farm house.
Density policies should not be decided at a local level. PPS3 adopts a national density guide which can be translated at a local level. Housing mix should be determined through the Housing Needs Survey.

Each specific allocation for development will need to take into account sustainable transport measures.

Developers' contributions have been agreed in relation to this item of necessary infrastructure, however there has been a significant delay in finalising the transport proposals which has served to stifle the implementation of permissions.

The acknowledgement of the need for an indoor pool in Heathfield is most welcome.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Dr Ahlemeyer MRCVS</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107163</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 19a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
respondent proposes land at Tompsetts Bank, Forest Row, next to Five Acres Cottage. Reasons: adjoins existingsmall scale industrial estate; easily accessible by foot from the village centre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107164</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 11d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
impact on quality of life (noise, dust etc.) Wildlife impact / loss of hedgerows and trees. Public transport traffic increase

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107164</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 11e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
impact on quality of life (noise, dust etc.) Wildlife impact / loss of hedgerows and trees public transport traffic increase
The campaign would urge Wealden District Council to consider doubling the consultation period to 12 weeks. Para 2.5 and 2.7 contain significant inaccuracies. The South Downs National Park designation process has been restarted, contrary to what is stated in para 2.5. Para 2.7 states the earliest possible date for a national park may be 5 or more years ago. In June 2007 a Defra Minister stated that a decision would be taken no later than Autumn 2008. The campaign believes these errors should have been picked up by the Council and corrected in its website. Respondent raises concerns over the lack of consultation with Natural England / South Downs Joint Committee in delivery.

Fully support increasing energy from renewables, must be done in sympathy with surrounding countryside, particularly in / close to nationally designated landscapes such as the South Downs.

Disappointed that tourism features so little in the paper.
Consultee ID: Mr Todd  
107165  South Downs Campaign  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  

Section of Document:  
Question 5c

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
Fully support maintaining existing settlement boundaries, particularly for rural settlements in and immediately adjacent to the South Downs.

Consultee ID: Mr Todd  
107165  South Downs Campaign  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  

Section of Document:  
Option 16a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Todd  
107165  South Downs Campaign  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  

Section of Document:  
Option 15f

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Todd  
107165  South Downs Campaign  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  

Section of Document:  
Option 16b

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Mr. Todd  
South Downs Campaign  

**Option 18i**

Reason for comment:

> confusion in document as to location - para 5.39 says north while table 9 says south. No acknowledgement has been made of the recommendation of this site for inclusion in the South Downs National Park.

---

Rowe  

**Option 14c**

Reason for comment:

> Impact on traffic on Framfield Road.

---

Hartnall  

**Question 11f**

Reason for comment:

> Not enough infrastructure in Crowborough to support housing.
### Question 11h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hartnall</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107167</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Question 11h

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Crowborough Army Camp.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107168</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 11d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Traffic impact on Alice Bright Lane.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Hobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107168</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Question 11h

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Land off Blacknam Road infilling of Femor Road to Brackmen Road.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Woodman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107169</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Question 11f

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Traffic Problems and car parks. Roundabout needed at junction of Crowborough Hill/ Old Lane/ Montargis Way. Karvis Brook Country Park is a disgrace. Schools/ doctors/ dentists/ hospitals are at capacity. Poor standard of pavements. Surface water flooding. Council tax needs to be used to improve Crowborough.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Question 11f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Crowborough does not have the infrastructure to support housing. Current issues with traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Question 11f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Place development along A26, particularly industrial development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 11d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cripple existing infrastructure. Has significant flora and fauna. Beautiful areas and protected species should not be destroyed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107172</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 11e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cripple existing infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107172 Clark</td>
<td></td>
<td>Councils need to plan to: i) make sure that the houses are built to town and sufficient standard. ii) affordable housing quotas are met. iii) citizens of Crowborough are not pressurised by property development companies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eldred 107173</td>
<td></td>
<td>respondent raises concerns over the capacity of Crowborough’s infrastructure to cope with increased population. Suggests replacing the railway bridge to increase height. Concerns raised over viability of existing town centre-regeneration needed. Suggests consideration should be given to re-opening Eridge-T.Wells railway line.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burgess 107174</td>
<td>CABE</td>
<td>You should include adequate wording or &quot;hooks&quot; within your policies that enable you to develop and use other design tools and mechanisms, such as design guides, site briefs and design codes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Page 4578 of 6217*
respondent requests wording change in LDF concerning Glebe Land site for the new school to be changed from "site not suitable for primary school...because of access to..." to "site identified subject to satisfactory access arrangements for vehicular and pedestrian traffic being agreed." Respondent suggests that the present landowner would be very amenable to a road over his land, which would hug the outline of the present football pitch. Access point would be on Frant Hill.

object for following reasons: 1. restricted access/narrow lane 2. location in AONB 3. Remote from local amenities Respondent requests clarification on whether compulsory purchase will be used to obtain land for roadbuilding

specific for following reasons: 1. restricted access/narrow lane 2. location in AONB 3. Remote from local amenities Respondent requests clarification on whether compulsory purchase will be used to obtain land for roadbuilding
Consultee ID: Mr Nathan  
107185  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Question 21g  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Adjacent to Coopers Green Ho there is adjoining land close to services and amenities, Land Registry ESX203733, available.

Consultee ID: Mrs Barry  
107214  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Option 18g  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Seen a huge change in traffic levels over last 3 years in Hellingly & Hailsham. Local services cannot cope. Employers are saying that on average, there are over 200 applicants for each job. Local transport is awful.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Thomas  
107215  
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option  
Question 16h  
Section of Document:  
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
There are not enough facilities to allow for increases in the population of Polegate. Doctors, dentists and schools are severely stretched and Eastbourne Hospital can hardly cope with patient numbers. Policing is minimal, Polegate Police Station is closed overnight and vandalism is on the increase. Parking is a severe problem and new homes with more cars would add to the problem. Water companies cannot cope.
Mr Van Wyk  
Fire and Rescue Service

Option 15c

Fire and Rescue Service site in Hailsham is identified as suitable and viable for residential development. Site plan included. (Late representation)

Mrs Conquer

Option 13a

I am writing to object to the planig application for Benhall Road. This road is already busy and getting out on to Forest Road is dangerous, again an already busy and noisy road. There is also alot of wildlife in the area that is being pushed further and further out as the centre of Tunbridge Wells is being more and more devloped. House building should be done on Brown sites firstly and only when these have run out should undeveloped land be carefully considered.

Ms Chisholm

Question One

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Option 2a

Option 2a

Option 2a
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option 4a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option 4b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option 4c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option 4d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Question 4e

**Section of Document:**

- **Part of document:**
  - Document: No
  - Chapter: No
  - Section: No
  - Option: Yes

**Your View**

- Agree: No
- No opinion: Yes
- Disagree: No

**Reason for comment:**

#### Option 5a

**Section of Document:**

- **Part of document:**
  - Document: No
  - Chapter: No
  - Section: No
  - Option: Yes

**Your View**

- Agree: No
- No opinion: Yes
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

#### Option 5b

**Section of Document:**

- **Part of document:**
  - Document: No
  - Chapter: No
  - Section: No
  - Option: Yes

**Your View**

- Agree: No
- No opinion: Yes
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

#### Question 5c

**Section of Document:**

- **Part of document:**
  - Document: No
  - Chapter: No
  - Section: No
  - Option: Yes

**Your View**

- Agree: No
- No opinion: Yes
- Disagree: Yes

**Reason for comment:**

- Villages should be kept within their settlement boundaries.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document
- Document: [$\square$]
- Chapter: [$\square$]
- Section: [$\square$]
- Option: [$\checkmark$]

### Section of Document

#### Option 6a

#### Your View
- Agree: [$\checkmark$]
- No opinion: [$\square$]
- Disagree: [$\square$]

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document
- Document: [$\square$]
- Chapter: [$\square$]
- Section: [$\square$]
- Option: [$\checkmark$]

### Section of Document

#### Option 6b

#### Your View
- Agree: [$\square$]
- No opinion: [$\checkmark$]
- Disagree: [$\square$]

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document
- Document: [$\square$]
- Chapter: [$\square$]
- Section: [$\square$]
- Option: [$\checkmark$]

### Section of Document

#### Option 6c

#### Your View
- Agree: [$\square$]
- No opinion: [$\checkmark$]
- Disagree: [$\square$]

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document
- Document: [$\square$]
- Chapter: [$\square$]
- Section: [$\square$]
- Option: [$\checkmark$]

### Section of Document

#### Question 6d

#### Your View
- Agree: [$\square$]
- No opinion: [$\checkmark$]
- Disagree: [$\square$]

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
107232

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
107232

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
107232

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
107232

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consutee ID:          Ms            Chisholm          Agent ID:
107232

Part of document:    □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View             □ Agree       □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consutee ID:          Ms            Chisholm          Agent ID:
107232

Part of document:    □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View             □ Agree       □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consutee ID:          Ms            Chisholm          Agent ID:
107232

Part of document:    □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View             □ Agree       □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consutee ID:          Ms            Chisholm          Agent ID:
107232

Part of document:    □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View             □ Agree       □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107232</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107232</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>✓ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107232</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 11f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>✓ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107232</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>✓ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
107232

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
107232

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
107232

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
107232

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 11h

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15a

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15b

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15c

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm  
107232

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm  
107232

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm  
107232

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm  
107232

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16b</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16e</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm

Part of document: Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm

Part of document: Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm

Part of document: Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm

Part of document: Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Part of document: Option 17c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 18b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
Agent ID: 107232

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 18d

Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
Agent ID: 107232

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 18e

Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
Agent ID: 107232

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 18f

Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
Agent ID: 107232

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 18g

Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

No building west of A22.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms Chisholm</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 19e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**:

- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [✓]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 20a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**:

- Agree [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 20b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**:

- Agree [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 20c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**:

- Agree [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23a</th>
<th>Option 23b</th>
<th>Option 23c</th>
<th>Option 23d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td>Option 23b</td>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td>Option 23d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td>Option 23b</td>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td>Option 23d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td>Option 23b</td>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td>Option 23d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 23e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
107232

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
107232

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
107232

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Chisholm
107232

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 31a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107232</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 32a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Courtley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107343</td>
<td>Courtley Consultants Ltd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land proposal for development at Bird in Eye farm, Uckfield.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ivimey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am alarmed that Central Government is bullying you to provide yet more land for building although I do recognise the need for young people to own their own property.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wealden’s Landscape

Reason for comment:
I can only hope that as you swallow up more countryside the planners will allow room for wildlife to operate and move and that despite the obvious chaos on future road use the visual aspect of the South Downs and the Cuckoo Trail will remain unblemished.

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:

Option 3d

Reason for comment:

Option 2a

Reason for comment:
Option 2b

Consultee ID: 107375
Mr Ivimey

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Option 3a

Consultee ID: 107375
Mr Ivimey

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Option 3b

Consultee ID: 107375
Mr Ivimey

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Option 3c

Consultee ID: 107375
Mr Ivimey

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ivimey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 4a
- Option 4b
- Option 4c
- Option 6a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 4d

Reason for comment:
Discourage large retail businesses (we have sufficient). Encourage small creative business. As the state of farming alters use unwanted farm buildings having brought them up to "Green" standards. Preserve village post office stores.

Option 5a

Reason for comment:

Option 5b

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 107375  
Mr Ivimey  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
✓ option 

Section of Document: 
Question 5c

Your View  
☐ Agree  
✓ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
Don't get woolly about boundaries!

Consultee ID: 107375  
Mr Ivimey  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
✓ option 

Section of Document: 
Option 6b

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107375  
Mr Ivimey  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
✓ option 

Section of Document: 
Option 6c

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107375  
Mr Ivimey  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  
☐ chapter  
☐ section  
✓ option 

Section of Document: 
Question 6d

Your View  
☐ Agree  
✓ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: 
Preserve the size of villages. Fill in some town areas (with careful planning of buildings; "green" corridors).
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View  □  Agree  □  No opinion  ☑  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Preserve the size of villages. Fill in some town areas (with careful planning of buildings; "green" corridors).

Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View  □  Agree  □  No opinion  ☑  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View  □  Agree  □  No opinion  ☑  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
Consultee ID: 107375

Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
Consultee ID: 107375

Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
Consultee ID: 107375

Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
Consultee ID: 107375

Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Question Ten

Section of Document:    Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question 13b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Any development will worsen the conditions on already crowded roads.

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Strongly.
Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
107375

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Strongly.

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
107375

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
107375

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
107375

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey

Option 16f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey

Option 16g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey

Question 16h

Reason for comment:
Polegate can barely handle the weight of traffic. Any further housing/business development will bring it to gridlock at peak times.

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey

Question 16i

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey

Part of document: Option 16j

Section of Document:

Your View No opinion

Reason for comment:
The council should buy large houses especially in large gardens to develop into flats and small houses as starter homes. There is no need for more large houses, the vital thing is housing for low income groups.

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey

Part of document: Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey

Part of document: Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey

Part of document: Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ivimey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Preserve the size of villages. Fill in some town areas (with careful planning of buildings; "green" corridors).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ivimey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ivimey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ivimey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107375</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ivimey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107375</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Ivimey</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>107375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Ivimey</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>107375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Ivimey</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>107375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
Agent ID: 107375

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
Agent ID: 107375

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
Agent ID: 107375

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
Agent ID: 107375

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I'm amazed that you think in this direction-switch your minds to using existing buildings more efficiently.
Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey  Agent ID: 107375

Part of document:  ☑️ option

Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey  Agent ID: 107375

Part of document:  ☑️ option

Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey  Agent ID: 107375

Part of document:  ☑️ option

Question 19f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑️ No opinion

Reason for comment:

Terrible suggestions, eg where on earth would you build in Groombridge? Down by the rever that floods at times! Under no circumstances bow to the wish of greedy financiers who bought agricultural land as a speculation.

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey  Agent ID: 107375

Part of document:  ☑️ option

Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 107375 Mr Ivimey

Part of document: Option 20b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 107375 Mr Ivimey

Part of document: Option 20c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 107375 Mr Ivimey

Part of document: Option 21a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 107375 Mr Ivimey

Part of document: Option 21b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
Part of document: Option 21c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
Part of document: Option 21d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
Part of document: Option 21e
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
Part of document: Option 21f
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
These villages are large enough.
Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
107375

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
107375

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
107375

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey
107375

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 23f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

As above. Why create further monotonous areas with difficult transport problems.

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 24d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 25a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 25b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 25e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey 107375

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey 107375

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ivimey 107375

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is a need for small starter homes for small income workers. There is no need for large houses, industrial estates and retail shopping areas. One solution is for the council to buy larger properties with large gardens and to develop these into flats and small individual homes with efficient insulation and solar power. There are many farms with unused buildings which could be used for small local creature industries. Cycle tracks and cycle lanes should be further encouraged. God forbid if this area should turn into London by the sea. The human animal has dominated all other life forms in the process of producing "concrete jungles". It's about time people were charged for having more than two children and heavily charged for owning fuel guzzling cars. The saddest fact is that councils have to obey the dictates of a central government composed largely of town lovers. Local government should make it clear that any central government relies on the goodwill of local authorities to uphold the law and the are often in a better position to observe the local scene and advise realistically. The price of houses is artificially high and we are riding for a fall.
Consultee ID: 107375
Mr Ivimey

Part of document: option
Question 31b
Section of Document:

Your View
☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
The spatial vision is quite good enough (in parts gorgeous) without further planning.

Consultee ID: 107427
Miss Cooper

Part of document: option
Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 107427
Miss Cooper

Part of document: option
Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
Agent ID: 107427

Part of document:  
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
Agent ID: 107427

Part of document:  
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
Agent ID: 107427

Part of document:  
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
Agent ID: 107427

Part of document:  
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
Agent ID: 107427

Part of document:  
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
Agent ID: 107427

Part of document:  
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
Agent ID: 107427

Part of document:  
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Cooper

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
107427

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☑ section  ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
107427

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☑ section  ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
107427

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☑ section  ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
107427

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☑ chapter  ☑ section  ☑ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Cooper
Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper
Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper
Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper
Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 5b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 6a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 6a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 6b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  Agent ID: 107427

Option 6b

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  Agent ID: 107427

Option 6c

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  Agent ID: 107427

Option 6c

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  Agent ID: 107427

Option 6c

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  Agent ID: 107427

Question 6d

Reason for comment:

I am afraid there would be creeping expansion in time.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 6d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>I am afraid there would be creeping expansion in time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
107427  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
107427  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7c  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
107427  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7c  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  
107427  
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 7d  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107427</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8a**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [x] section
  - [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

- Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107427</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8b**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [x] section
  - [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

- Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107427</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8c**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [x] section
  - [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

- Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107427</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8d**

- **Part of document:**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [x] section
  - [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

- Reason for comment:
### Consultee ID: Miss Cooper

| Agent ID: | Miss Cooper | 107427 |

#### Part of document: **Option 13a**
- **Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds
- **Your View:** Agree
- **Reason for comment:** Your View

#### Part of document: **Option 16a**
- **Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds
- **Your View:** Disagree
- **Reason for comment:** Your View

#### Part of document: **Option 16b**
- **Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds
- **Your View:** Disagree
- **Reason for comment:** Your View
Consultee ID: Miss Cooper Agent ID:
107427
Part of document: Option 16c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✗ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper Agent ID:
107427
Part of document: Option 16d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✗ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper Agent ID:
107427
Part of document: Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✗ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper Agent ID:
107427
Part of document: Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✗ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 16h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Polegate has extensively developed enough</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 16i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 17a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  Agent ID: 107427

Part of document:  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 17b

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  Agent ID: 107427

Part of document:  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 17c

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  Agent ID: 107427

Part of document:  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Question 17d

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Too much expansion already

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  Agent ID: 107427

Part of document:  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Question 17d

Your View  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 17d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[x] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Too much expansion already</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[x] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[x] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 19c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[x] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>Miss Cooper</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 20a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 20b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Cooper</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 20c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 20d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Too much expansion would destroy villages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 23f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Too much urbanisation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  Agent ID: 107427

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  Agent ID: 107427

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  Agent ID: 107427

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper  Agent ID: 107427

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25g**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107427</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Cooper

Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper

Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper

Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper

Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107427</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 27f</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agent ID:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 28a</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agent ID:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 28b</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agent ID:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Cooper</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 28c</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agent ID:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Miss Cooper
107427

Question 31a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I do not agree that so much emphasis should be focussed on the South East. Everyone would like to live there. Why not somewhere North for a change

Question 31b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I do not agree that so much emphasis should be focussed on the South East. Everyone would like to live there. Why not somewhere North for a change

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Miss Cooper
Agent ID: 107427

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

London will expand to the coast in the near future. This present requirement will not be the end - too many 2nd homes and holiday homes and no room for indigenous youngsters to buy and remain locally. Too many developers pockets being lined. Not enough infrastructure. Schools, medical services, drainage etc let alone parking spaces, nursing homes, care homes, hospitals all are pushed to the limit. In the 60's the emphasis was relocating ministry's to Newcastle, Staffordshire, Cheshire etc & Wales - all these places need homes too. But everyone wants the South East and the land once lost is lost forever. When the war came my family was asked to move from London to the North East with the ICI where my father worked - it was not too bad. The South East will capsize in time with the burden of too many buildings - think of the recent floods.

Consultee ID: Mrs Bruce
Agent ID: 107435

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bruce
Agent ID: 107435

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bruce
Agent ID: 107435

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Bruce
Part of document: Option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bruce
Part of document: Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bruce
Part of document: Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bruce
Part of document: Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 5b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree
Reason for comment:

(5a) How can they be maintained but expanded, can't be both.

Option 6b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 7a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree
Reason for comment:
(7d) Regrettably redundant shops would be better as houses than left empty. Business rates are too high.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bruce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bruce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**What about Maresfield Business Park?**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bruce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

(See 20d) There are sufficient redundant farm buildings and shops in the area for business. Small houses needed with parking & gardens.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bruce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 20c

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Question 20d

**Reason for comment:**
Love Lane site should be released from commercial restrictions. Ideal site for small houses / flats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bruce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 24a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bruce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bruce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107435</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID:  107435  Mrs Bruce

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  107435  Mrs Bruce

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  107435  Mrs Bruce

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  107435  Mrs Bruce

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bruce</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107435</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ option</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>I have only answered questions relating to Mayfield and which I understand. There have been restrictions placed on the Love Lane site because it was originally commercial. This has made it unavailable for developers. In my view there is no current need for business premises in this area as there are plenty of empty shops and farm units. We need small homes for key workers - our primary school teachers come from afar - widows, starters etc., but they must have restrictions that they can only be sold on to locals and at a 'capped' price. Car parking and smallish gardens very desirable. There are other possible sites also.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Downing  
Agent ID:  
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option  
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing  
Agent ID:  
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing  
Agent ID:  
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing  
Agent ID:  
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option  
Section of Document:  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3b**

**Part of document:**
- \(\square\) document
- \(\square\) chapter
- \(\square\) section
- \(\checkmark\) option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- \(\checkmark\) Agree
- \(\square\) No opinion
- \(\square\) Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3c**

**Part of document:**
- \(\square\) document
- \(\square\) chapter
- \(\square\) section
- \(\checkmark\) option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- \(\checkmark\) Agree
- \(\square\) No opinion
- \(\square\) Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3d**

**Part of document:**
- \(\square\) document
- \(\square\) chapter
- \(\square\) section
- \(\checkmark\) option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- \(\checkmark\) Agree
- \(\square\) No opinion
- \(\square\) Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 4a**

**Part of document:**
- \(\square\) document
- \(\square\) chapter
- \(\square\) section
- \(\checkmark\) option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- \(\checkmark\) Agree
- \(\square\) No opinion
- \(\square\) Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
107467

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
107467

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
107467

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
107467

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Downing

Part of document:
- Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing

Part of document:
- Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing

Part of document:
- Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing

Part of document:
- Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 7c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Downing</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107467</td>
<td>Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Development should meet local need and have appropriate infrastructure to support it.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 9c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Downing</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107467</td>
<td>Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 7d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Downing</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107467</td>
<td>Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question 7e</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Downing</td>
<td>Agent ID: 107467</td>
<td>Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 4665 of 6217
Consultee ID: Mrs Downing  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Section of Document: Wealden's Places  
Your View: ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment: Allocation V4 in the non statutory plan should be a car park rather than residential.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107467</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 11b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107467</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 11c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107467</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 11d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID: 107467</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 11e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Downing

Part of document: Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View: Yes

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing

Part of document: Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View: Yes

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Part of document: | ☐ document  | ☐ chapter  | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Option 14a       |             |            |           |          |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Part of document: | ☐ document  | ☐ chapter  | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Option 14b       |             |            |           |          |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Part of document: | ☐ document  | ☐ chapter  | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Option 14c       |             |            |           |          |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Part of document: | ☐ document  | ☐ chapter  | ☐ section | ☑ option |
| Option 14d       |             |            |           |          |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Downing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- **Option 14e**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- **Option 14f**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- **Question 14j**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- **Option 16a**

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Downing  
Agent ID: 107467

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- Agree: ☐
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☑

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing  
Agent ID: 107467

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- Agree: ☑
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☐

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing  
Agent ID: 107467

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- Agree: ☑
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☐

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing  
Agent ID: 107467

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

Section of Document:

Your View: 
- Agree: ☑
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☐

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
Agent ID: 107467

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
Agent ID: 107467

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
Agent ID: 107467

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
Agent ID: 107467

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 18i

Option 21a

Option 21b

Option 21c
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Option 24b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Option 24c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107467</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Option 24d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
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Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Downing

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
107467

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
107467

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
107467

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Downing
107467

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Downing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further work is required to determine the cost of infrastructure (including the current shortfall) for development sites and surrounding infrastructure (such as station car park).
Mrs Downing 107467

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View  

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mrs Downing 107467

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View  

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mrs Downing 107467

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View  

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

Reason for comment:

Caines RPS Planning 107484

Part of document:  
- option

Section of Document:

Your View  

Agree

No opinion

Disagree

Reason for comment:

-rigid requirements may make some schemes unviable/some sites may not come forward -object to any specific requirements of renewable energy provision unless the viability issue is made clear in the policy
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Option 3a

Reason for comment:
-residential/mixed use development in certain circumstances should be promoted on allocated employment sites that are run down/vacant and/or poorly located -recommended that Wealden District Council should review their non housing sites within this area as some may be better used for housing/mixed use development

Option 24a

Reason for comment:
Densities of 30 dwellings or more should be promoted. This is to maximise and efficiently developed land in accordance with Government Guidance

Housing Needs - Affordable Housing

Reason for comment:
FNH would request that if the council decide to include a policy which specifies when the provision of affordable will be sought on site it takes to account the following: -due consideration is given in the policy to the need to have regard to the specific circumstances of each site including economic viability -any specific requirement for affordable housing should be indicative and should be open to negotiation to accord with current Government guidance in circular 6/98 -any policy proposed by the council should therefore make it clear that each case will be treated on its merits
Consultee ID: Caines
107484 RPS Planning

Part of document: Option 25a
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
The council should not make specific reference to the mix and size of units to be provided on sites. The composition of residential development should be determined by developers at the time an application is submitted.

Consultee ID: Mr Minshull
107544 Highways Agency

Part of document: Part 1
Section of Document: Context

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Paragraph 1.24 points out that the council may, if it wishes justify a higher level of housing. The Highways Agency would not support an additional allocation of housing at the Sussex coast. The A27 is already at or nearing capacity and could not accommodate the traffic that would be generated by additional dwellings on top of the South East Plan allocation.

Consultee ID: Mr Minshull
107544 Highways Agency

Part of document: Option 3d
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
The Highways Agency seek policies to reduce the level of car based travel and encourage sustainable travel. This option has the greatest potential to reduce car based trips.
Part of Document:  
Question 4e

Section of Document:  
Wealden's Settlement Pattern

Reason for comment:
While development in Hailsham would have a lesser impact on the Trunk road network compared to Polegate. The Highways Agency is not yet in a position to give a view on the options in question 4.

Part of Document:  
Part 4

Section of Document:  
Wealden's Settlement Pattern

Reason for comment:
Whenever possible development should be in locations where there are alternative transport nodes available or can be readily improved or provided. Outside settlement boundaries options and opportunities for modal shift should be carefully opened.

Part of Document:  
Question 6d

Section of Document:  
Wealden's Settlement Pattern

Reason for comment:
Wherever possible development should be in locations where there are alternative transport nodes available or can be readily improved or provided. Outside settlement boundaries options and opportunities for modal shift should be carefully opened.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Minshull</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107544</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 7e

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Wherever possible development should be in locations where there are alternative transport nodes available or can be readily improved or provided. Outside settlement boundaries options and opportunities for modal shift should be carefully opened.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Minshull</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107544</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 8d

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Development in Hailsham is likely to have a lesser impact on the trunk road network than the development at Polegate, however, Polegate has the greater opportunity for sustainable travel. Each of the options require assessment for transport implications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Minshull</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107544</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Part 5

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

The Highways Agency seeks policies aimed at reducing the level of commuting in and out of the district by balancing housing and employment. The Highways Agency will be looking to Wealden to provide and encourage sustainable travel for new and existing development. Development in Polegate and Hailsham will require improvements to the A27, using developer contributions. This could be delivered through "roof tax" using developer contributions SPD, options for broad development locations should be tested for their transport impact, ideally using transport models undertaken in partnership with Eastbourne. The regional assembly has only prioritised the A27 Willingdon bypass for delivery by 2016. A Selmeston bypass has not been prioritised for delivery by 2016 and is unlikely to receive a high priority beyond 2016. The Highways Agency does not have a remit to look at any options to the North of Arlington reservoir as it would not meet the requirements set out by the then Secretary of State for Transport in his announcement on the South Coast multi-modal study. The Highways Agency will not support direct access of the Trunk Road Network for development sites.
Consultee ID: Mr Minshull
107544 Highways Agency

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Question 22e

Reason for comment:
Support the allocation of land adjacent to brewers arms pub, vines cross for residential development. Map included.

Consultee ID: Mr Minshull
107544 Highways Agency

Part of document: □ document ✔ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Wealden's Communities

Reason for comment:
Transport - The Highways Agency supports policies that are aimed at encouraging modal shift away from the use of the private car and reduce the levels of commuting in and out of the district.

Consultee ID: Mr Minshull
107544 Highways Agency

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Question Thirty

Reason for comment:
It is noted that the options 30a and 30b in the potential infrastructure requirements have been separated although the Highways Agency's schemes consist of Wilmington and Selmeston bypasses with the east end of the wilmington bypass being the A27 - A22 link. It is unlikely that the Selmeston bypass will be high priority after 2016 and while the Wilmington bypass has been prioritised for delivery in the period upto 2016 the Highways Agency has yet to identify a workable, deliverable improvement at Wilmington village itself. We are working with Wealden and developers to identify a workable solution for the A27 - A22 link. In addition the Highways Agency is continuing with smaller scale improvements to the A27 between Polegate and Beddingham. Given that the LDF is likely to run to 2026, it is possible that some form of improvement over and above the small scale could be delivered later in the plan period.
Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: ☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
the only development allowed should be affordable housing that meets the needs of local people. It should be protected so that it cannot be sold on at market prices.

Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: ☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
target should be at least 50%. Energy from waste should be included in the renewable totals.

Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: ☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
with the proviso of carbon reduction
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 4a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

development would impact on National Park and Wootton Manor and park.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 4b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

development would impact on national park and historic wootton park. Flooding implications.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 4c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 4d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Question 4e

Reason for comment:
Area to the east of Hailsham where there are large housing estates. Small areas within Polegate Town Centre.

Option 5a

Reason for comment:

Option 5b

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
any extensions should be drawn up and consulted on.

Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 6d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
figure for towns should be 90%. Development in villages should be strictly for local needs.

Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
should be restrictions on change of use to prevent villages dying or becoming mere dormitories/holiday homes.
Consultee ID: Mumford  
107597  
Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option

Section of Document: Option 8c

Your View  [✓] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
subject to the restrictions we mention

Consultee ID: Mumford  
107597  
Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option

Section of Document: Question Ten

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford  
107597  
Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option

Section of Document: Question 12h

Your View  [✓] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
agree with objectives which retain Heathfield's character, but not markedly increasing its size or road transport link. Increase buses and protect disused railway line.
Consultee ID: Mumford

107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford

107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford

107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford

107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Ramsar site, impact on views.
Consultee ID: Mumford 107597
Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Ramsar site, impact on views.

Consultee ID: Mumford 107597
Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
too close to marshes/existing large housing estates with social problems. Suitable for light industry?

Consultee ID: Mumford 107597
Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
woodland/biodiversity
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

impact on national park and historic Woottton Manor and Park

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 15f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

separation by road

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 15h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

development must not significantly increase size or impact of town on environment. Increase public transport.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mumford Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

107597

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
impact on national park and Historic Wootton Manor and Park

Consultee ID: Mumford Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

107597

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
impact on national park and Historic Wootton Manor and Park

Consultee ID: Mumford Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

107597

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
limited development only
Consultee ID: Mumford  
107597  
Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document:  
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

suitable, but size of development must not be excessive

---

Consultee ID: Mumford  
107597  
Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document:  
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

limited development only

---

Consultee ID: Mumford  
107597  
Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document:  
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

limited development only
Part of Document:
Question 16h

Reason for comment:
town centre needs upgrading but development on the other side of the bypass will create extra car journeys to Hailsham and Eastbourne

---

Part of Document:
Option 17a

Reason for comment:

---

Part of Document:
Option 17b

Reason for comment:

---

Part of Document:
Option 17c

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔️ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔️ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔️ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔️ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔️ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔️ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔️ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔️ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ No opinion
Reason for comment:
Part of document:  
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
entirely disagree. Destruction of intrinsic beauty, pollution, new demands on infrastructure, will encourage migration
Consultee ID: Mumford Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Option 23a

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
AONB impact

Consultee ID: Mumford Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Option 23b

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
small numbers on outskirts only

Consultee ID: Mumford Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Option 23d

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Option 23e

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View: ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mumford 107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View Agree Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford 107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View Agree Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford 107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View Agree Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mumford Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: Option 25c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
more housing should be affordable

Consultee ID: Mumford Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: Option 25g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: Option 25e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
policy should be agreed beforehand
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑️ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☑️ Agree

☐ No opinion

☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Your View

Reason for comment:

base buses in villages to meet specific local needs
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27b: [ ] document, [ ] chapter, [ ] section, **✓** option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [☐] Agree, [✓] No opinion, [☐] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Agree with railway reinstatement but not development

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27c: [ ] document, [ ] chapter, [ ] section, **✓** option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [☐] Agree, [ ] No opinion, [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
creates more traffic and leads to lower usage of normal services. Increase station parking.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27d: [ ] document, [ ] chapter, [ ] section, **✓** option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree, [ ] No opinion, [☐] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mumford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107597</td>
<td>Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27e: [ ] document, [ ] chapter, [ ] section, **✓** option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [☐] Agree, [ ] No opinion, [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
parking control and charges should be used as part of overall traffic reduction programmes. Review parking policy.

Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
not all towns need more parks

Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mumford
107597 Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Disagree with 30 a, b, e, k, l, m, o Agree with 30c (providing it protects environment), d (but not to sea outfall), h, n, p, r, s
Consultee ID: Mumford Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

[ ]

Consultee ID: Mumford Council for the Protection of Rural England South Wealden District

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

subject to environment, countryside and climate change requirements already made.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Cannot comment as there is insufficient information to make an informed judgment.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Cannot comment as there is insufficient information to make an informed judgment.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 3a  
- Option 3b  
- Option 3c  
- Option 3d

**Section of Document:**

### Option 3a

**Reason for comment:**
This would lead to more and more housing estates.

- **Consultee ID:** 107726  
- **Your View**  
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

### Option 3b

**Reason for comment:**
This question is ambiguous. Agreement is on the basis that "market need" refers to employment rather than any other need.

- **Consultee ID:** 107726  
- **Your View**  
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

### Option 3c

- **Consultee ID:** 107726  
- **Your View**  
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

### Option 3d

- **Consultee ID:** 107726  
- **Your View**  
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
107726

Part of document: Document, Chapter, Section, Option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment:
I think this is the second best of the four options.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
107726

Part of document: Document, Chapter, Section, Option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment:
This would cause creeping development towards Hailsham.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
107726

Part of document: Document, Chapter, Section, Option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment:
I think this is the best option if it is planned for the Dittons area where there are already employment/industrial units.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
107726

Part of document: Document, Chapter, Section, Option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 4d

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

This would cause the destruction of ancient woodland.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 5a

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

We do not know where the settlement boundaries are. How much expansion would be allowed? This could lead to ever increasing boundaries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 5b

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

A qualified agreement because it would depend upon how small "small" is and where it would be.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 6a

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 6b</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Only if evenly distributed over the whole district.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Agent ID: 107726
Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Hailsham is big enough already - more housing and services = more out of town supermarkets etc, killing off the market town centre. Hailsham already has social problems linked to too much housing.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Agent ID: 107726
Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑️ Disagree
Reason for comment:

A qualified agreement. Polegate, Willingdon and Eastbourne all merge already.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Agent ID: 107726
Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

This would eventually merge Polegate and Hailsham, creating an urban area which would link all the way from Eastbourne to Hailsham.
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  Agent ID: 107726

Part of document: Option 8d

Section of Document: Numerous reasons why not. One is that it would put too much pressure on the already creaking infrastructure - roads, water, hospitals etc. It would also cause the urbanisation of a rural area.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  Agent ID: 107726

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment: This is too open-ended. 30+ or 15+ could lead to 3000+.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  Agent ID: 107726

Part of document: Option 15a

Section of Document: Unless this was a very small development of less than 100 houses immediately north of the A271. It would disrupt the wildlife corridor from the Cuckmere River to the Pevensey Levels. It would also cause too much traffic on the A271 and around the Boship area.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  Agent ID: 107726

Part of document: Option 15b

Section of Document: The sewage works would be a deterrent unless it was a very small development of a maximum of 50 houses. It is also very close to an area of flooding.
Part of document: Option 15c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
The only suitable part would be the brownfield site south of Vicarage Lane to the church. North of Vicarage Lane is too low lying - flood risk and other environmentally sensitive issues.

Part of document: Option 15d
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Too low lying. Close to the sewage works. Access roads are narrow; no direct way out except through Hailsham town centre.

Part of document: Option 15e
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Unless it was a very small development of a maximum of 100 houses, but access is difficult and a small development would not qualify for a new road. A new road from the Speedway roundabout would damage the woodland. What is the "Scheduled Ancient Monument"?
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Agent ID: 107726

Part of document: option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Ancient woodland. No public transport from Hailsham. No pedestrian access. Very dangerous access to A22 at present. Creeping invasion of Hailsham; once it has spread west of the A22 where will it stop?

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Agent ID: 107726

Part of document: option 15g

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
This question only asks for comments, not agreement or disagreement. But I cannot make a comment without saying "yes" or "no"! On the whole Hailsham is only suitable for small scale developments as the infrastructure cannot cope with any more. The town has really already reached its natural limits, bounded by the Pevensey Levels, A22 and A271.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Agent ID: 107726

Part of document: option 15h

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
In principle - anyone would. However, these will only happen if there is significant growth as they nearly all require developer contributions and many are not included in the South East Plan; in particular, healthcare.
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
107726

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A small employment development would be suitable. Not housing as it is too cut off from Polegate.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
107726

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
But a smaller development than in the document and only if contained within the natural "bowl" by Cophall roundabout. It must not encroach on the woodlands.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
107726

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A small development only. Low lying land = flood risk.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
107726

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Poor infrastructure. Only suitable as in Q4.c around Dittons for small-scale employment/industrial.
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
107726
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16e
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
But small development only. Consider the amount of traffic exiting onto Dittons Road.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
107726
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16f
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
However, access to the A2270 is a problem here. It is a very busy road now and would put pressure on Eastbourne services. Also primary healthcare considered to be a problem here. Fairly small development only.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
107726
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16g
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Only small brownfield areas suitable. Part of the car park has already had a block of flats built upon it. Parking is a problem in Polegate.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
107726
Part of document: ☑ option
Question 16i
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
In principle. However, as with Hailsham, these will only come about with developer contributions which will mean large-scale growth.
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  
Agent ID:  

Option 17a

Part of document: Option 17a

Reason for comment:
But too many houses suggested. A maximum of 100 would help to balance the development on the other side of Dittons Road.

Option 17b

Part of document: Option 17b

Reason for comment:
Again, I have to "disagree" to make a comment! Why has it been suggested that 7/8 of the entire District's housing allocation be put in a place where its only "attraction" is a train station with limited destinations? This does not cater for "local needs". In the 2004 survey there was "0" need for affordable housing in Berwick Station!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>However, A22 is very congested and would cause more &quot;rat runs&quot; through Upper Dicker and Berwick Station.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>But A22 is very congested and would cause more &quot;rat runs&quot; through Upper Dicker and Berwick Station.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18e</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>But A22 is very congested and would cause more &quot;rat runs&quot; through Upper Dicker and Berwick Station.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18f</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>But the A22 is very congested at the Boship.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 18g

Reason for comment:
This would cause too much traffic on the narrow roads towards and onto the A271, A267 and A22.

Option 18h

Reason for comment:
This would eventually cause the merging of Hailsham with Polegate, Willingdon and Eastbourne.

Option 18i

Reason for comment:
This would be highly visible from the South Downs. The A27 is heavily congested here. It would cause too much traffic on Thornwell Road, Bayleys Lane, Caneheath and "rat runs" through to the A22. This area is too remote for affordable housing and the only public transport is the expensive train. It would create an expensive commuter dormitory area. The low-lying land is prone to flooding. There is no infrastructure here. No development at all would be suitable here.
Option 18j

Reason for comment:
This would be highly visible from the South Downs. A town the size of Uckfield could not be hidden in folds of land as suggested. There is a complete lack of infrastructure and developer contributions would have to be very high. The A27 is very congested here. There are "rat runs" already through the narrow lanes to/from the A22/A27. The surrounding lanes are mostly not wide enough for 2 cars to pass - very dangerous. Cuckmere River/Valley very sensitive area and Arlington Reservoir SSSI. 2 high voltage power lines north east of reservoir. Land bridge over railway would completely destroy the existing village. A new town would be detrimental to the shop, post office and garage (if they were not demolished for the land bridge) as they could not compete with the supermarkets etc. Provision for healthcare: "lag of several years between new housing growth and the funding allocated to health providers". It would encroach upon villages of Arlington, Selmeston and Chalvington and increase traffic through Alfriston. Urbanisation of the countryside. Small infills of 1-5 units suitable as suggested in Villages background paper only.

Option 23b

Reason for comment:
But the A22 Boship is congested and this would potentially interrupt the wildlife corridors from the Cuckmere Valley and Pevensy Levels.

Option 25a

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID:        Ms Taylor        Agent ID: 107726
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
But limit to locally stated need and keep for local people only. The 2004 survey found 20 households in the Arlington Parish, which covers Upper Dicker, to be in need of affordable housing.

Consultee ID:        Ms Taylor        Agent ID: 107726
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is difficult to comment on without some idea of what it would look like on the ground.

Consultee ID:        Ms Taylor        Agent ID: 107726
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is difficult to comment on without some idea of what it would look like on the ground.

Consultee ID:        Ms Taylor        Agent ID: 107726
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This is difficult to comment on without some idea of what it would look like on the ground.
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Provided public transport is good quality and serves enough destinations to deter the use of cars.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Although this could split a development in half - the "haves" and the "have nots".

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Rural areas are often poorly served by public transport, requiring the use of more than one car per household.
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  Agent ID: 107726  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 25e  
Section of Document: 

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  Agent ID: 107726  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 25f  
Section of Document: 

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 
Is the demand so high for ancillary dwellings that controls need to be in place?

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  Agent ID: 107726  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 25g  
Section of Document: 

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor  Agent ID: 107726  
Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 26a  
Section of Document: 

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment: 
Although the people of the towns allocated may feel differently.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107726</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>options</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>They should be placed where the need is.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107726</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>options</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not necessarily. Bus services along the congested A22 and A27 may not be popular.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107726</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>options</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107726</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>options</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Few trains stop at Glynde and Berwick Station now.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 27d

Reason for comment:
During building of the road and afterwards, it would make the A27 much too visible from the South Downs. Moving the A27 north would destroy further large tracts of land.

Option 27e

Option 27f

Option 28a
Consultee ID: 107726  
Ms Taylor

Option 28b

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Reason for comment: Agree

However, this will only come with large scale developments.

Part of document: 

Option 28c

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: 107726  
Ms Taylor

Question Twenty Nine Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Reason for comment: Disagree

Consultee ID: 107726  
Ms Taylor

Question Thirty Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: 107726  
Ms Taylor

Part of document: 

I agree with some, but not all. I disagree with 30k, 30l and 30o. Many of these are not included in the South East Plan, so what chance is there of them happening? Also a number rely on large-scale growth for developer contributions.
Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Agent ID: 107726

Part of document: Option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Not an agreement or disagreement. This will only be the picture in 2026 if development is spread throughout the District and brownfield sites are used effectively.

Consultee ID: Ms Taylor
Agent ID: 107726

Part of document: Option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Some of these objectives will be negated by the new settlements suggested, especially Objectives SO1, SO2 and SO3.
Reason for comment:
The debate about 'new settlements' which in our locality would in fact mean a 'new town' has not taken place and yet a 'new town' is proposed which would in effect wipe out Berwick, Arlington and Wilmington, three rural villages. The government has not asked for new towns/settlements to be built in order to meet housing targets. Wealden District Council should have the debate about new towns/settlements before proposing them.

Reason for comment:
Main issues for opposing New settlement option at Berwick: -Ecological sensitivity of the area (Old Brickyards; Arlington Reservoir SSSI & LNR; Cuckmere River Valley; Middle Gate Marsh SSSI; Ludlay Copse & Pond; Areas of wet flushes and rough and unimproved grassland) -Social and Environmental Problems of New Settlements Recent housing surveys in this locality do not show a demand for affordable homes in this area on the scale proposed. There is no indication that there are employment opportunities to match the scale of this development. -Lack of Infrastructure The level crossing is renowned locally for being 'more down than up' and causes regular tailbacks already. A bridge would almost certainly be necessary -High Water table The above points are a summary to the submitted comments.

Reason for comment:
Should fully utilise brownfield sites and empty dwellings. Flood risk. Unrealistic to expect necessary infrastructure for new settlement will be deliverable eg roads - Lewes - Uckfield reinstatement, A27/A22 dualling. If a new station could be achieved service would be poor. Impact on historic and character at Isfield. Ecological impact
Consultee ID: Mr Swain  
Consultee ID: Mr Ford

Option 27b
Section of Document: Agent ID:
Reason for comment:
Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Ford  
Consultee ID: Mr Ford

Option 2b
Section of Document: Agent ID:
Reason for comment:
Your View

Reason for comment:
No matter how much development is undertaken in the AONBs there will always be pressure for more development in the AONBs because such a large proportion of the population wants to live in the nicer parts of the country. Preserving AONBs is much more important than providing housing, for which the demand will never cease. Development cannot be reversed and once developed the AONBs will be lost for ever.

Reason for comment:
The target should be higher.

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 3b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- ✔️ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- ✔️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 5a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- ✔️ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔️ Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 5b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- ✔️ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- ✔️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 6a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- ✔️ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔️ Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Mr Ford
Part of document: Option 6b
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 107736

Mr Ford
Part of document: Option 6c
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 107736

Mr Ford
Part of document: Option 7d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 107736

Mr Ford
Part of document: Option 13a
Section of Document:
Reason for comment: Has the merit of not building in AONB or Green Belt whilst 'infilling' in Tunbridge Wells
Option 20b

Reason for comment:
The narrow and congested Wadhurst High St. is already a very severe constraint on north/south traffic movements at most times of the day and there are no practical options for a by-pass or to provide more off-road parking. The large scale development being considered would 'gridlock' the High St. Although Wadhurst benefits from a station, the car park is full to capacity from early morning and there is no room for any more extensions. In addition development on the scale being considered would be unacceptable in an AONB.

Option 22a

Reason for comment:

Option 25d

Reason for comment:
In practice it is impossible to provide 'appropriate controls' (and certainly in the longer term) and in practice this is largely a backdoor route to residential development in areas in which it would not otherwise be permitted - witness the number of spurious 'nurseries', 'chicken farms' and other 'agricultural businesses'.

This would be a case of the 'tail wagging the dog'.

It's trying to be all things to all people. It should focus on Protecting Wealden’s Beautiful Landscapes. I also agree entirely with the sentiments expressed by Mr Korth and Mr Wishart.
Consultee ID: Mr Ford 107736
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 27d

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ford 107736
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 27f

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ford 107736
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document: Question 32a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

I agree with another respondent that this question makes no sense because it is not possible to give a simple yes/no answer to so many different, and, in some cases, contradictory questions. I agree with some and disagree with others.

Consultee ID: Mr Herbert 107737
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document: Option 5a

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

We agree that settlement boundaries should be maintained but expanded where needed to allow for necessary growth.
Reason for comment:
Due to the rural character of the District, it is unrealistic to allocate more than 60% of housing development to the main urban settlements as these areas are limited in number, are subject to environmental/landscape constraints, would not meet the housing need of the district and would jeopardise the delivery of housing targets given the acknowledged infrastructure constraints to development in the Sussex Coast policy area.

Reason for comment:
We support the option to amend settlement boundaries to allow for necessary growth. If growth is considered appropriate then settlement boundaries should be amended otherwise there is the danger that piecemeal development could occur which would damage the rural character of the District. We support amendments to the settlement boundaries to the south of Maresfield as outlined in our submission text.
We agree with the emerging approach for classifying settlements based on their services and accessibility. However, the classification should be subject to regular review in light of changes to service provision after development has occurred or as a result of re-organisation of services.
We agree that this is the correct approach to classifying villages. Although, it is premature at this stage to place limits to that growth; growth limits should be identified through a more detailed assessment of settlement and site capacity.

We agree that development which would see the large majority of planned housing growth taking place in the villages with 'growth potential'.

We agree with an Uckfield Focus, as Uckfield (unlike Heathfield) benefits from strategic access which will be enhanced by the central rail corridor scheme. Additionally, Uckfield in contrast to Crowborough is a relatively unconstrained settlement in terms of environmental constraints. This option for growth must allow for a balance and distribution of growth that is socially, economically and environmentally sustainable, where settlements have suitable access to key community services and facilities. A growth strategy can often direct all or most growth to main settlements, neglecting the needs of smaller settlements, which, with some growth, have the ability to strengthen and improve existing services and facilities and public transport usage to larger settlements. It is not clear what role rural settlements will play in the three options identified above for the 'rest of Wealden'. We would support villages with growth potential being part of the proposed approach to focus development on one or more of these three urban settlements.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 107737</th>
<th>Mr Herbert Colin Buchanan</th>
<th>Agent ID: 102605</th>
<th>Mr Pounder Colin Buchanan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:** Option 9b

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 107737</th>
<th>Mr Herbert Colin Buchanan</th>
<th>Agent ID: 102605</th>
<th>Mr Pounder Colin Buchanan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:** Option 9c

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 107737</th>
<th>Mr Herbert Colin Buchanan</th>
<th>Agent ID: 102605</th>
<th>Mr Pounder Colin Buchanan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:** Option 14a

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

We disagree with the broad search area to the north west of Uckfield for new development. This area is unsuitable for development, as outlined within the reasons for refusal by Wealden District Council, for the Downlands application and furthermore, development within this area would also have an adverse impact on landscape setting.
Maresfield is identified as a Village with Growth Potential in the Wealden LDF Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation Paper (July, 2007) with a strategic potential for up to 500 dwellings. The potential for further development to the South of Maresfield has been acknowledged by the Council in its recent decision to grant outline planning permission for 80 dwellings at Park Farm. This permission is subject to a condition to ensure provision is made for future vehicular access to avoid prejudicing the future development of adjoining land South of Maresfield. The proposed mixed use development located to the south of the village, including Park Farm, provides a substantial and important opportunity to strengthen the existing community by building a stimulating environment which enhances the role of the village as a place to live, work and visit. Development at South of Maresfield will be of a high quality which respects the past form and character of Maresfield. Future investment has the potential to allow for local and strategic interventions to meet a range of local needs, particularly affordable housing, and provide better, or improve existing, village services and facilities. It would also provide the opportunity to address the existing problems associated with rat-running through village roads.

Maresfield provides the most sustainable location around Uckfield. Please see section 2, 3 and 4 of our hard copy submission entitled 'The Renaissance of Maresfield - Wealden District Council Core Strategy Issues and Options Consultation'.

We disagree that affordable housing should match one to one with general market housing units. Whilst we agree that such units are incorporated into development, the implications of this option would make many sites unviable and would also compromise other infrastructure requirements.
### Option 27a

**Consultee ID:** 107737  
**Mr Herbert** Colin Buchanan  
**Agent ID:** 102605  
**Mr Pounder** Colin Buchanan

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option

**Reason for comment:**

We agree that development should be located where it might improve the viability of bus services to and between towns. Development will increase patronage and help sustain existing and new services.

### Option 27f

**Consultee ID:** 107738  
**Mr Marshall**  
**Agent ID:**  

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option

**Reason for comment:**

We disagree with this option as a more sustainable approach to car parking standards should be advocated, incorporating the need for residential travel plans.

### Option 2a

**Consultee ID:** 107738  
**Mr Marshall**  
**Agent ID:**  

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option

**Reason for comment:**

The 10% target is too low in the current global climate context and should be seen as a minimum objective.

### Option 2b

**Consultee ID:** 107738  
**Mr Marshall**  
**Agent ID:**  

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option

**Reason for comment:**

The current target is too low in the context of the threat from global warming - rich countries should be taking the lead.
Option 5a

Reason for comment:
Settlement boundaries should be strict and maintained. A policy of some discretion will encourage endless speculative land acquisition and planning applications beyond current boundaries, leading to inevitable drift in shape and size of settlements.

Option 5b

Reason for comment:
"Small scale development outside settlement boundaries" is exactly the sort of policy to encourage speculative land purchases and planning applications, leading over time to erosion of current boundaries in an unplanned and undesirable fashion. Settlement boundaries should be maintained.

Option 19a

Reason for comment:
Forest Row cannot sustain growth without serious adverse impacts. Traffic congestion is already very severe and would get worse. Flood risk in the village was demonstrated graphically this summer and would be worsened by any development that increased immediate runoff into the village's watercourses. Forest Row is a successful and sustainable community precisely because it is separate from East Grinstead and not perceived as a suburb. Any development to the north and west of the village would undermine this.
Consultee ID: Mr Marshall  
107738

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Shing  
107739

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Shing  
107739

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Shing  
107739

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107739</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option  
Option 16d  

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107739</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option  
Option 16e  

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107739</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option  
Option 16f  

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Shing</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107739</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option  
Option 16g  

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Shing

Part of document: Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:

1. Impact on ecology. 2. Impact on infrastructure & local services - e.g. schools. 3. Traffic impact
Consultee ID: Mrs Sevious

Part of document: Option 15a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Impact on local character Traffic impact - need a roundabout at A22/Hempstead Lane junction and traffic calming. Lack of infrastructure including buses, rail, healthcare, water supply, drainage and electricity Environmental impact Flood risk

Consultee ID: Mrs Sevious

Part of document: Question 15h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Support the need for a new park for the town, such as Hampden Park

Consultee ID: Citiscape Citiscape Developments

Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Because this is in line with national and regional policy. Potential use should be specifically identified.

Consultee ID: Citiscape Citiscape Developments

Part of document: Option 3b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
This would lead to unsustainable and unsuitable patterns of development
Option 5a

Reason for comment:
Because of the need to allow the natural growth and evolution of settlements.

Option 5b

Reason for comment:
Used in conjunction with 5a this would allow for the natural growth and evolution of settlements especially in smaller settlements.

Question 5c

Reason for comment:
The northern settlement boundary of Hailsham should be extended to include the former Flour Mill to the north of Upper Horsebridge Road. The area would be suitable for a mixed use of development enabling the re-use of the Brownfield site, The site has good access to the centre of Hailsham.

Option 6a

Reason for comment:
Because this is in line with national and regional policies and is the most sustainable option in terms of being close to existing employment, services and infrastructure.
Consultee ID: Citiscape  
107742 Citiscape Developments

**Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Citiscape</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wojtulewski</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107742</td>
<td>Citiscape Developments</td>
<td>102596</td>
<td>Parker Dann</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 8a

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Citiscape Developments Ltd agrees with option 8a which would seek to focus future development in the Sussex Coast Policy Area at Hailsham. As paragraph 4.42 of the Issues and Options Paper confirms, development at Hailsham will assist with the regeneration of the town and the location also benefits from greater strategic infrastructure capacity than Polegate. Hailsham’s position being distant from both the Sussex Downs and High Weald Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) are also a distinct advantage over Polegate with its close proximity to the AONB.

---

Consultee ID: Citiscape  
107742 Citiscape Developments

**Part of document:**  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 8d

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Option 8d with the requirement for considerable investment in infrastructure to support new settlement is considered to be unrealistic. It would involve a lengthy and unpredictable lead in time before delivery of the required development could occur which is not an option for the District given the significant level of housing provision that has been identified. Its impact on the local environment would also be considerable.

---

Consultee ID: Citiscape  
107742 Citiscape Developments

**Part of document:**  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

No site threshold should be specified due to the need to ensure that sufficient deliverable sites are clearly identified by the LDF.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Citiscape</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wojtulewski</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107742</td>
<td>Citiscape Developments</td>
<td>102596</td>
<td>Parker Dann</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15a

**Reason for comment:**
15a should be given preference over 15b-15e as it would not conflict with the need to protect the sensitive ecology of the Pevensey Levels Ramsar site and SSSI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Citiscape</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wojtulewski</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107742</td>
<td>Citiscape Developments</td>
<td>102596</td>
<td>Parker Dann</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ section

**Section of Document:**
- Housing Needs - Dwelling Mix and Density

**Reason for comment:**
Densities up to 50 dwellings per hectare should be adopted with densities at the higher end of the range in urban areas or locations with good public transport

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Citiscape</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wojtulewski</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107742</td>
<td>Citiscape Developments</td>
<td>102596</td>
<td>Parker Dann</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 25a

**Reason for comment:**
Because of the low proportion of such dwellings in Wealdon and predicted increase in the number of single person households.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Citiscape</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wojtulewski</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107742</td>
<td>Citiscape Developments</td>
<td>102596</td>
<td>Parker Dann</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 25b

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wojtulewski</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107743</td>
<td>Client of Parker Dann</td>
<td>102618</td>
<td>Parker Dann</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Because of the need to allow for natural growth and evolution of settlements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wojtulewski</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107743</td>
<td>Client of Parker Dann</td>
<td>102618</td>
<td>Parker Dann</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 5b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Used in conjunction with 5a this would allow for the natural growth and evolution of settlements especially in smaller settlements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wojtulewski</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107743</td>
<td>Client of Parker Dann</td>
<td>102618</td>
<td>Parker Dann</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Question 5c**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The northern development boundary of Uckfield should be extended to reflect recent developments in the locality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Wojtulewski</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107743</td>
<td>Client of Parker Dann</td>
<td>102618</td>
<td>Parker Dann</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 6a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Because this is in line with national and regional policies and is the most sustainable option in terms of being close to existing employment services and infrastructure.
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: 107743
Client of Parker Dann

Agent ID: 102618
Parker Dann

Part of document: ☑ option

Reason for comment:
Because this option would avoid causing significant harm to High Weald AONB.

Option 14a

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: 107743
Client of Parker Dann

Agent ID: 102618
Parker Dann

Part of document: ☑ option

Reason for comment:

Option 24c

Section of Document:

Consultee ID: 107743
Client of Parker Dann

Agent ID: 102618
Parker Dann

Part of document: ☑ option

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Unknown
Agent ID: Mr Wojtulewski

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
This needs to be re-written to state thresholds for all areas.

Consultee ID: Gale
Agent ID: Mr Wojtulewski

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Essential to accommodate natural growth of suitable settlements in the district. Lack of brownfield sites and limited growth areas for new developments support this option. Comprehensive review of town boundaries are required.

Consultee ID: Gale
Agent ID: Mr Wojtulewski

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Provided it is additional to 5a. Used on its own it may restrict development. It would appear more appropriate for smaller settlements where potential for greater development is limited.
### Question 5c

**Reason for comment:**
A minor amendment to the existing settlement boundary at Keld Drive to accommodate residential development is proposed. Consists of the house 22 Keld Drive and its large garden. A highly sustainable location being within walking distance of the Town Centre of Uckfield. The Dwelling is already within the development boundary. Only 0.3 hectares of land on higher ground is proposed, representing a small extension to the built up area. Additional tree screening could be incorporated which would add character to the area. The Environment Agency has raised no objections to this proposal with regards to flood risk. Peak levels during the 2000 floods were 21-21.3 AOD and that a further 0.44M should be added to take into account climate change.

### Option 6a

**Reason for comment:**
The most sustainable option in terms of ensuring development is located close to existing employment, services and infrastructure.

### Option 9a

**Reason for comment:**
Paragraph 4.46 provides justification which is supported. The options of splitting development with Crowborough and Heathfield would cause harm to AONB, contradicting natural planning policy.
Reason for comment:
Would exclude acceptable but smaller development sites, criteria based policies would provide no certainty and result in delays in delivery of high levels of housing. The acute need to provide housing should not prevent the council from saving time at the examination.

Reason for comment:
Concentrate development closest to town centre of Uckfield and at the most suitable options with minimal impact on surrounding landscape.

Reason for comment:
Requires clarification. Could be interperated that 40% level of affordable housing would apply for all new schemes (regardless of size). This is clearly not correct as small developments would not be viable. Suggest a threshold on ten or more units.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

#### Part 1

**Section of Document:** Context

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Clarification is requested on LDF process / SE Plan context and housing market assessments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

#### Part 2

**Section of Document:** Wealden’s Landscape

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Respondant queries approach taken by Wealden District Council in preparing it's Core Strategy: Layout makes it difficult to assess alternative spatial strategies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question One

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
where it meets tests set out in PPS7 with respect to social and economic need.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 2a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Agreed in respect of housing development but not employment.
### Option 2b

**Consultee ID:** 107745  
**Pelham Homes**  
**Agent ID:** 102625  
**Mrs Owen**  
**Jennifer Owen & Associates Ltd.**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [x] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:** Option 2b

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
No evidence suggest this is achievable in Wealden.

### Option 3a

**Consultee ID:** 107745  
**Pelham Homes**  
**Agent ID:** 102625  
**Mrs Owen**  
**Jennifer Owen & Associates Ltd.**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 3a

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Deliverability must be considered in the context of the plan as a whole.

### Option 3b

**Consultee ID:** 107745  
**Pelham Homes**  
**Agent ID:** 102625  
**Mrs Owen**  
**Jennifer Owen & Associates Ltd.**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 3b

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Respondant suggests using the plan to change dispersed working patterns to create more sustainable patterns of working and to reduce need to travel. Suggests more work needs to be undertaken on analysing travel patterns / use of public transport.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

**Option 3c**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

The Core Strategy should identify general locations for employment development which optimise non-car based travel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Option 3d**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

There should be a broad relationship between housing building and employment provision but it should not be preclusive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter ☑ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Pelham homes supports the spatial vision for the Polegate / Hailsham triangle (para 3.26) and the relocation of the ESCC HQ to Polegate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

**Option 4a**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Pelham homes supports the spatial vision for the Polegate / Hailsham triangle (para 3.26) and the relocation of the ESCC HQ to Polegate.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document  - ☐ chapter  - ☐ section  - ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 4b

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree  - ☐ No opinion  - ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
agree with this location for employment development in the context of mixed use proposals for the Polegate area as a whole.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document  - ☐ chapter  - ☐ section  - ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 4c

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree  - ☐ No opinion  - ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document  - ☐ chapter  - ☐ section  - ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 5a

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree  - ☐ No opinion  - ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document  - ☐ chapter  - ☐ section  - ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 4d

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree  - ☐ No opinion  - ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Pelham Homes  
Agent ID: Mrs Owen
107745 102625 Jennifer Owen & Associates Ltd.

Part of document:  
Section of Document: Settlement Boundaries

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment: Respondent questions the appropriateness of a criteria-based policy for settlement boundaries.

Consultee ID: Pelham Homes  
Agent ID: Mrs Owen
107745 102625 Jennifer Owen & Associates Ltd.

Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment: Unsustainable in the long run.

Consultee ID: Pelham Homes  
Agent ID: Mrs Owen
107745 102625 Jennifer Owen & Associates Ltd.

Part of document: Question 5c
Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment: Supports expansion of Polegate to the north of the existing bypass and the west of the A22.

Consultee ID: Pelham Homes  
Agent ID: Mrs Owen
107745 102625 Jennifer Owen & Associates Ltd.

Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment: Inadequate information at present to determine any rural / urban split. Need up to date transport strategy and assessments of each rival settlement. The amount of development at villages should be limited to affordable housing with the remainder at the large towns in particular in the Sussex Coast Policy Area.
Part of document: option 6b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Inadequate information at present to determine any rural / urban split. Need up to date transport strategy and assessments of each rival settlement. The amount of development at villages should be limited to affordable housing with the remainder at the large towns in particular in the Sussex Coast Policy Area.

Part of document: option 6c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Inadequate information at present to determine any rural / urban split. Need up to date transport strategy and assessments of each rival settlement. The amount of development at villages should be limited to affordable housing with the remainder at the large towns in particular in the Sussex Coast Policy Area.

Part of document: option 7a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
agree with emerging approach but greater emphasis needs to be placed on the need to influence a potential modal shift through the location of new development.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 7b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Disagree that the classification of growth potential, the criteria used and the size limits is the correct approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 7c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Far too much development is being considered for the rural settlement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 8a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Agree but with the emphasis on development at Polegate.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☒ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 8c**

**Reason for comment:**

Agree but with the emphasis on development at Polegate.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☒ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 8d**

**Reason for comment:**

Agree but with the emphasis on development at Polegate.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☒ option

**Section of Document:**

**Part 5**

**Reason for comment:**

Respondant raises concern that the approach is too site specific and may result in problems at examination.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☒ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☐ option

**Section of Document:**

**Wealden's Places**

**Reason for comment:**

Respondant raises concern that the approach is too site specific and may result in problems at examination.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☒ option

**Section of Document:**

**Site Allocation Thresholds**

**Reason for comment:**

These thresholds are inappropriate. The Core Strategy should deal with broad locations.
### Option 16a

**Consultee ID:** 107745  
**Pelham Homes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Agent ID:** 102625  
**Mrs. Owen Jennifer Owen & Associates Ltd.**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Broadly agree with spatial objectives but question whether proposal for civic facilities can be included as a basis for regeneration when these facilities are not the subject of firm plans. Would like to see the final objective relating to the protection of the South Downs removed from the list as this is covered by PPS7

---

### Option 16b

**Consultee ID:** 107745  
**Pelham Homes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Agent ID:** 102625  
**Mrs. Owen Jennifer Owen & Associates Ltd.**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

---

### Option 16c

**Consultee ID:** 107745  
**Pelham Homes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Agent ID:** 102625  
**Mrs. Owen Jennifer Owen & Associates Ltd.**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

---

### Question 16i

**Consultee ID:** 107745  
**Pelham Homes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 16i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Agent ID:** 102625  
**Mrs. Owen Jennifer Owen & Associates Ltd.**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Broadly agree with spatial objectives but question whether proposal for civic facilities can be included as a basis for regeneration when these facilities are not the subject of firm plans. Would like to see the final objective relating to the protection of the South Downs removed from the list as this is covered by PPS7.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs</th>
<th>Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document [x]
- chapter [ ]
- section [x]
- option [x]

**Section of Document:**
- Option 17a

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [x]

**Reason for comment:**
Development would weaken potential development at Polegate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs</th>
<th>Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document [x]
- chapter [ ]
- section [x]
- option [x]

**Section of Document:**
- Option 17b

**Your View**
- Agree [x]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**
This development would weaken potential development at Polegate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs</th>
<th>Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document [x]
- chapter [ ]
- section [x]
- option [x]

**Section of Document:**
- Option 17c

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [x]

**Reason for comment:**
This development would weaken potential development at Polegate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs</th>
<th>Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document [x]
- chapter [ ]
- section [x]
- option [x]

**Section of Document:**
- Question 17d

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [x]

**Reason for comment:**
This development would weaken potential development at Polegate.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18a

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18b

**Reason for comment:**  
Disagree on sustainability issues

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18c

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18d

**Reason for comment:**  
Disagree on sustainability issues

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 18d

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18d

**Reason for comment:**  
Disagree on sustainability issues
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 18e

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Disagree on sustainability issues

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 18f

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Disagree on sustainability issues

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 18g

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Disagree on sustainability issues

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 18g

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Disagree on sustainability issues
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option

#### Option 18h

**Section of Document:**  
Option 18h

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Disagree on sustainability issues

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option

#### Option 18h

**Section of Document:**  
Option 18h

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Disagree on sustainability issues

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option

#### Option 18i

**Section of Document:**  
Option 18i

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Disagree on sustainability issues.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option

#### Option 18j

**Section of Document:**  
Option 18j

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
Disagree on sustainability issues.
Option 23a

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Growth in Sussex Coast Policy Area should be located at sustainable urban locations rather than the more remote villages.

Option 23b

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Growth in the Sussex Coast Policy Area should be located at sustainable urban locations rather than the more remote villages.

Option 23c

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Growth in the Sussex Coast Policy Area should be located at sustainable urban locations rather than the more remote villages.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 23d

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Growth in the Sussex Coast Policy Area should be located at sustainable urban locations rather than the more remote villages.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 23e

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Growth in the Sussex Coast Policy Area should be located at sustainable urban locations rather than the more remote villages.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 24b

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Pelham homes believes that housing densities of 40 dph are achievable in Wealden.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Pelham Homes</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Owen</th>
<th>Jennifer Owen &amp; Associates Ltd.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>107745</td>
<td></td>
<td>102625</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 24d

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 25a

Reason for comment:
Option is imprecisely worded, no reference point to measure against.

---

Option 25b

Reason for comment:
Should be 25% affordable in Polegate to reflect the low values in the area and costs of infrastructure delivery.

---

Option 27a

Reason for comment:

---

Option 27c

Reason for comment:
Parkway station overwhelmingly disliked by local population. Network Rail does not support this option.
### Option 27e

**Consultee ID:** 107745

**Pelham Homes**

**Part of document:** ☑ option

**Section of Document:** Agent ID: 102625

Jennifer Owen & Associates Ltd.

**Reason for comment:**

Supports suitably located park and ride facilities in respect of Eastbourne.

**Your View** ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

---

### Option 28a

**Consultee ID:** 107745

**Pelham Homes**

**Part of document:** ☑ option

**Section of Document:** Agent ID: 102625

Jennifer Owen & Associates Ltd.

**Reason for comment:**

**Your View** ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

---

### Question Twenty Nine

**Consultee ID:** 107745

**Pelham Homes**

**Part of document:** ☑ option

**Section of Document:** Housing Delivery Agent ID: 102625

Jennifer Owen & Associates Ltd.

**Reason for comment:**

Options do not reflect problems and long timescales necessary to prepare sites for development. Requests clarification on evidence of landbanking.

**Your View** ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Core Strategy should embrace an adequate transport strategy. Without such a transport strategy Pelham Homes consider there is no evidence base on travel matters to support the development distribution (30a and 30b). Support proposals to upgrade water supply / foul water treatment (30c, 30d and 30e). Pelham Homes no longer consider a Parkway station to be viable (30o). Support Local Transport Plan proposals for Eastbourne, Polegate and Hailsham bus quality corridor. As an LTP scheme this should have been included and appraised as part of the South East Plan (30p).

Spatial vision should be separated into that for the Sussex Coast Policy Area and the Rest of Wealden as the priorities are different. The opening of the Wealden Line is too specific.

Objectives S01, S02 and S03 are not required as already covered by national policy. S04 - Too general. S05 and S06 - Agreed. S07 - Poorly worded. Should make reference to the Design Guide. S08 - Should be reworded to reference the role of spatial planning in safety. S09 - as above with respect to spatial planning and health. S10 - as above with respect to spatial planning and the retail offer in Wealden. S11 - as above with respect to spatial planning and deprived areas. S12 - as above with regard to spatial planning and GVA. S13 - Open space should relate to new development and not existing shortfall unless the Authority can give any commitment to fund the shortfall. S14 - Should be a suite of objectives. Phasing of targets should be set out. Should be tougher targets for the Rest of Wealden.
Consultee ID: Mr Robin University of Brighton
Agent ID: Mr Connell King Sturge

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Robin University of Brighton
Agent ID: Mr Connell King Sturge

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Robin University of Brighton
Agent ID: Mr Connell King Sturge

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Robin University of Brighton
Agent ID: Mr Connell King Sturge

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

The University supports a settlement hierarchy which recognises that the most suitable location for future development is within the district’s five towns. Within this a further distinction should also be made which prioritises those towns within the Sussex Coast Policy Area - Hailsham and Polegate.
Option 8b

Reason for comment:
immediately deliverable accessible by a range of transport nodes, proximity to eastbourne's facilities, services and employment opportunities.

Option 16a

Reason for comment:
1. Separated from town by existing trunk roads and railway lines. 2. Would harm the character / setting of the sussex downs AONB. 3. Would involve urban sprawl. 4. Recommended for refusal of Pelham Homes application by English Heritage.

Option 16b

Reason for comment:
1. Separated from town by existing trunk roads and railway lines. 2. Would harm the character / setting of the sussex downs AONB. 3. Would involve urban sprawl. 4. Recommended for refusal of Pelham Homes application by English Heritage.
### Option 16c

**Consultee ID:** Mr Robin  
**Agent ID:** Mr Connell  
**Id:** 107746  
**University of Brighton**  
**Mr Robin**  
**University of Brighton**  

**Part of document:**  
- Document:  
- Chapter:  
- Section:  
- Option:  

**Section of Document:** Option 16c

**Your View:**  
- Agree:  
- No opinion:  
- Disagree:  

**Reason for comment:**  
1. Would involve urban sprawl  
2. Separated from town by existing trunk roads  
3. Poorly related to town centre.

---

### Option 16f

**Consultee ID:** Mr Robin  
**Agent ID:** Mr Connell  
**Id:** 107746  
**University of Brighton**  
**Mr Robin**  
**University of Brighton**  

**Part of document:**  
- Document:  
- Chapter:  
- Section:  
- Option:  

**Section of Document:** Option 16f

**Your View:**  
- Agree:  
- No opinion:  
- Disagree:  

**Reason for comment:**  
Proposed land at Hindsland, Polegate for inclusion in the LDF (map submitted).  
1. This option is capable of delivering all of the districts' housing requirements in a single sustainable location.  
2. Well located in relation to facilities in Polegate and Willingdon.  
3. Land is immediately deliverable.  
4. Development would bring redundant land back into use.  
5. Development would not involve urban sprawl into sensitive countryside.

---

### Option 16g

**Consultee ID:** Mr Robin  
**Agent ID:** Mr Connell  
**Id:** 107746  
**University of Brighton**  
**Mr Robin**  
**University of Brighton**  

**Part of document:**  
- Document:  
- Chapter:  
- Section:  
- Option:  

**Section of Document:** Option 16g

**Your View:**  
- Agree:  
- No opinion:  
- Disagree:  

**Reason for comment:**  
Highly accessible but development may be unrealistic given the finite land available.

---

### Housing Needs - Dwelling Mix and Density

**Consultee ID:** Mr Robin  
**Agent ID:** Mr Connell  
**Id:** 107746  
**University of Brighton**  
**Mr Robin**  
**University of Brighton**  

**Part of document:**  
- Document:  
- Chapter:  
- Section:  
- Option:  

**Section of Document:** Housing Needs - Dwelling Mix and Density

**Your View:**  
- Agree:  
- No opinion:  
- Disagree:  

**Reason for comment:**  
It is considered that a generic density policy is unsuitable for the district. Densities should reflect accessibility to services and transport and the specific character of the area. It is believed that the range of 40 - 50 dph would be appropriate for Polegate.
Reason for comment:
1. Parkway Station is unnecessary. 2. Investment should be focused on improving existing transport nodes. 3. No evidence that Nation Rail would support a Parkway option.

Reason for comment:
Disagree unless specific reference is made to how proposals for parks are to be allocated.
Consultee ID: Mr Robin
107746 University of Brighton

Part of document: ☒ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Robin
107746 University of Brighton

Part of document: ☒ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Robin
107746 University of Brighton

Part of document: ☒ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Robin
107746 University of Brighton

Part of document: ☒ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Robin
107746 University of Brighton

Part of document: ☒ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Welcome improvements that will help ensure that Polegate fulfils its potential as a growth town.
Consultee ID: Evison
107747

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5a

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: Should not use arbitrary urban/rural spilt but consider location in terms of sustainability

Consultee ID: Evison
107747

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 6d

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: Should not use arbitrary urban/rural spilt but consider location in terms of sustainability

Consultee ID: Evison
107747

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7a

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: Should not use arbitrary urban/rural spilt but consider location in terms of sustainability

Consultee ID: Evison
107747

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7b

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: Should not use arbitrary urban/rural spilt but consider location in terms of sustainability
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Evison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107747</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 7c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Evison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107747</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question Ten</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Evison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107747</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18j</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Evison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>107747</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 20a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Evison
107747

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Evison
107747

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 23f

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Land at west street could provide housing

Consultee ID: Evison
107747

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Villages should be 30% affordable housing

Consultee ID: Evison
107747

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 25e

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View

Reason for comment:

The Childrens Services Authority has long term ambitions to replace the primary school in Frant, as part of its investment in primary school refurbishment and renewal, but is currently unable to progress that project for a lack of funds. The funding position remains unclear until at least later this year when the Comprehensive Spending Review is completed. At the same time the Authority is working on a more comprehensive approach to investment prioritisation in schools, by building on our existing methodology. The CSA cannot therefore give any indication as to when funding may be available for a new school in the village. You will be aware that a great deal of work has been undertaken in the past to identify a site for a replacement primary school in Frant. A number of sites have been discounted, leaving the Glebe land in Church Lane as the only viable option. Although there will undoubtedly be a range of issues to be resolved in developing a primary school in this location there is currently no funding available to develop the design, including appropriate access arrangements for both vehicles and pedestrians. Consequently the Childrens Services Authority would wish the site to continue to be identified for a replacement primary school, subject to satisfactory access arrangements for vehicular and pedestrian traffic being agreed.

Option 5a

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View

Reason for comment:

because of the need to allow for the natural growth and evolution of settlements.
Option 5b

Your View

Reason for comment:
used in conjunction with 5a this would allow for the natural growth and evolution of settlements especially smaller ones.

Question 5c

Your View

Reason for comment:
the settlement boundary of Westham should be extended to the south.

Question 7e

Your View

Reason for comment:
identification of westham as having growth potential in view of its range of services and employment opportunities, access to public transport, proximity to Eastbourne and locations outside AONBs.

Option 23c

Your View

Reason for comment:
because of availability of a range of services, access to public transport, proximity to Eastbourne and location outside AONBs.
A density of 30-50 dwellings per hectare should be adopted with densities at the higher end of the range within urban areas or locations with good public transport.

This approach is questioned on viability grounds.

Respondent promotes land for housing at and to the rear of 71-72 Five Ash Down. Location plan submitted. For the following reasons: Five Ash Down has been classified as having growth potential in the Issues Paper, which we support. Located immediately adjacent to urban development Not prone to flooding Not within AONB Well screened Agricultural Grade 3 land: no loss of Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land Good and safe access Existing bus route Proximity to 2 primary schools and train station Development would bring economic benefits for village/strengthening of existing services Site is available now
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Rowson
107754

Part of document: Option 13a

Section of Document: Option 13a

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Damage the rural character. Benhall Mill road currently has traffic problems in terms of safety and new development will exacerbate this.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID: 108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Wealden’s Renewable and Low Carbon Potential</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>but see 6d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID: 108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>but see 6d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID: 108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>but see 6d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID: 108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>but see 6d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID:  Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

but see 6d

Consultee ID:  Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID: 108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 4b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID: 108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 4c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID: 108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 4c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID: 108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 4d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: Option 4e
Section of Document: Question 4e

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:
Don't want any new buildings

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document: Option 5a

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document: Option 5b

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: Question 5c
Section of Document: Question 5c

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:
we don't want any further development. We like things as they are!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 108548</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 108548</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 108548</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: 108548</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 6d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

> we disagree with possible planned growth in towns and villages, as we want to keep to rural life.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
Agent ID:
Agent ID:
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 7a
Part of document: Option 7b
Part of document: Option 7b
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
108548

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Section of Document:  
Question 7e

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
we don't want any settlements, so there wouldn't be on need for any services if there were no house in the 1st places. This applies to towns and villages.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
108548

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Section of Document:  
Option 7d

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
108548

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Section of Document:  
Option 8a

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section☑ option</td>
<td>Option 8b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section☑ option</td>
<td>Option 8c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section☑ option</td>
<td>Option 8d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section☑ option</td>
<td>Option 9a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
Agent ID: 
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
Agent ID: 
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
Agent ID: 
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
Agent ID: 

Part of document: Option 11c  
Part of document: Option 11d  
Part of document: Option 11e  
Part of document: Question 11f  

Section of Document:  
Section of Document:  
Section of Document:  
Section of Document:  

Reason for comment:  
Reason for comment:  
Reason for comment:  
Reason for comment: 

Reason for comment:
We've already told you we don't want any more houses
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 12c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 12d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 12e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 12f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 12g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

see comments on no: 4e 6d 7e and 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 12i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

none

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 13b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The A21 wants up dating as it is slow enough driving from here to London anyway, without extra growth in or near Tunbridge Wells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document ☐</th>
<th>chapter ☐</th>
<th>section ☐</th>
<th>option ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: ☑ Disagree
- Reason for comment: [Your View]

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document ☐</th>
<th>chapter ☐</th>
<th>section ☐</th>
<th>option ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: ☑ Disagree
- Reason for comment: [Your View]

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document ☐</th>
<th>chapter ☐</th>
<th>section ☐</th>
<th>option ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: ☑ Disagree
- Reason for comment: [Your View]

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document ☐</th>
<th>chapter ☐</th>
<th>section ☐</th>
<th>option ☑</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: ☑ Disagree
- Reason for comment: [Your View]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 14e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 14e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 14f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 14g

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Option 14h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr & Mrs Goldrick

108548

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Question 14i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Uckfield floods anyway

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr & Mrs Goldrick

108548

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Question 14j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr & Mrs Goldrick

108548

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✔ option

**Question 14k**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

none
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
Part of document: Option 15d
Section of Document:
Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
Part of document: Option 15e
Section of Document:
Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
Part of document: Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
Part of document: Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View: ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

see comments earlier

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
108548

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
108548

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
108548

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
108548

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 16f

Reason for comment:

no building in Polegate & surrounding areas whatsoever. Also, when the level crossing gates are down, he traffic queues back to the end of the High Street and beyond

Option 16g

Reason for comment:

no building in Polegate & surrounding areas whatsoever. Also, when the level crossing gates are down, he traffic queues back to the end of the High Street and beyond

Question 16h

Reason for comment:

no building in Polegate & surrounding areas whatsoever. Also, when the level crossing gates are down, he traffic queues back to the end of the High Street and beyond

Question 16i

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [✓] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment: see above

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
Agent ID:  
108548

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 17d

Reason for comment: see above

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
Agent ID:  
108548

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 17e

Reason for comment: none

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
Agent ID:  
108548

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 18a

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
Agent ID:  
108548

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 18b

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

### Option 18e

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

### Option 18f

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

### Option 18g

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

### Option 18h

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment: see above

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 19b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 19c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 19d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 19e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID: 108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 19f</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>All villages around Ashdown Forest are in A.O.N.B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID: 108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 20a</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID: 108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 20b</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID: 108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 20c</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 20d**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
SEE ABOVE

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 21a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 21b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 21b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 21c</th>
<th>Option 21d</th>
<th>Option 21e</th>
<th>Option 21f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: Option 21g

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
AS ABOVE

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option
Question 22e

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  ✔ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
AS ABOVE, THERE ARE QUITE A FEW FARMS IN THESE LOVELY LITTLE AREAS

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
Part of document: Option 23c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
Part of document: Option 24a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: WANT NONE

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
Part of document: Option 23d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
Part of document: Option 23d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 23f

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree   ✔ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
AS ABOVE

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
WANT NONE

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
WANT NONE
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
NONE

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
WANT NONE

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick
108548

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
NONE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>NONE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108548</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25e</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree ✔ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>ON WHAT?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- **Option 25f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- **Option 26a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- **Option 26b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- **Option 27a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 27b

Reason for comment:
BECAUSE WE WANT TO KEEP CUCKOO TRAIL - OLD RAILWAY LINE

Option 27d

Reason for comment:

Option 27c

Reason for comment:

Option 27e

Reason for comment:
BUT WHERE WILL THEY GO
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Goldrick</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108548</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 27f

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 27f

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 28a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 28a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- DON'T WANT ANY NEW TOWNS

### Option 28b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 28b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- SEE 28A

### Option 28c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 28c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- SEE 28 A AND B
Consultee ID: 108548  
Mr & Mrs Goldrick  

**Section of Document:** Housing Delivery  

**Question Twenty Nine**  

Your View:  
- Agree: No  
- No opinion: No  
- Disagree: Yes  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 108548  
Mr & Mrs Goldrick  

**Section of Document:** Infrastructure Requirements  

**Question Thirty**  

Your View:  
- Agree: No  
- No opinion: No  
- Disagree: Yes  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 108548  
Mr & Mrs Goldrick  

**Section of Document:**  

**Question 31a**  

Your View:  
- Agree: No  
- No opinion: No  
- Disagree: Yes  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 108548  
Mr & Mrs Goldrick  

**Section of Document:**  

**Question 31b**  

Your View:  
- Agree: No  
- No opinion: No  
- Disagree: Yes  

Reason for comment:  

DISAGREE ON EVERYTHING
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
Part of document: option  
Question 32a
Section of Document:
Your View  
Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
Part of document: option  
Question 32b
Section of Document:
Your View  
Disagree
Reason for comment:
AFTER LIVING IN LONDON, WE BOTH MOVED HERE TO A) GET AWAY FROM LIVING IN A FUME FILLED CONCRETE JUNGLE TO B) BE IN THE COUNTRYSIDE IN A RURAL LOCATION WITH WILDLIFE, OPEN FIELDS AND WOODLAND.

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Goldrick  
Part of document: option  
Question 32b
Section of Document:
Your View  
Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CATCHPOLE  
Part of document: option  
Option 4a
Section of Document:
Your View  
Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR CATCHPOLE  
Agent ID:  
108856

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CATCHPOLE  
Agent ID:  
108856

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CATCHPOLE  
Agent ID:  
108856

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CATCHPOLE  
Agent ID:  
108856

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR CATCHPOLE Agent ID: 108856

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CATCHPOLE Agent ID: 108856

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CATCHPOLE Agent ID: 108856

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CATCHPOLE Agent ID: 108856

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CATCHPOLE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 15g**

**Reason for comment:**

NO DEVELOPMENT WEST OF A22

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CATCHPOLE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 16b**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CATCHPOLE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 16c**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CATCHPOLE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 16d**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree
Consultee ID: MR CATCHPOLE Agent ID:
108856
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CATCHPOLE Agent ID:
108856
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CATCHPOLE Agent ID:
108856
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CATCHPOLE Agent ID:
108856
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: MR CATCHPOLE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108856</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18j**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 18k**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

NO DEVELOPMENT WEST OF A2 AND NORTH OF A27 AND SOUTH OF BOSHIP

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 27d**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 27c**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CATCHPOLE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CATCHPOLE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CATCHPOLE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question 31a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 31a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CATCHPOLE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>108856</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question 32a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 32a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>MR CATCHPOLE</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108856</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Twomey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Twomey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Twomey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
A settlement of new homes within sight of the Downs - a proposed National Park area - would be hideous and inappropriate
### Question 6d

**Reason for comment:**

100% around Towns which support existing and can provide additional support for future developments

### Option 16a

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 15f

**Reason for comment:**

### Question 15g

**Reason for comment:**
The area to the West of the A22 to the North of the A27 is beautifully rural and needs to be preserved for everyone
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Twomey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 16b
  - Document: □
  - Chapter: □
  - Section: □
  - Option: ✓

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Twomey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 16c
  - Document: □
  - Chapter: □
  - Section: □
  - Option: ✓

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Twomey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 16d
  - Document: □
  - Chapter: □
  - Section: □
  - Option: ✓

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Twomey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 16e
  - Document: □
  - Chapter: □
  - Section: □
  - Option: ✓

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
16D, E, F are the obvious choices as they show the least impact on rural area and are nearer the established infrastructure of Polegate and Eastbourne
Consultee ID: 108870

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 108870

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 108870

Question 18k

Reason for comment:
The historic sites of 18 i & j must be preserved. They are not suitable sites for new developments

Consultee ID: 108870

Option 27d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 108870

Part of document: Option 27c

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 108870

Part of document: Option 27e

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 108870

Part of document: Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 108870

Part of document: Question 31b

Section of Document: Agent ID:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Why not concentrate efforts on sites South of the A27 between Polegate and Eastbourne? Existing infrastructure would better support proposed developments.
Whay has this been presented in the way it has? Are the Council genuinely seeking the general public to comment on the issues? If so, why does it cost £15 to find out what the Council want to do? Surely this is unreasonable un democratic? The majority of options are concerned with new development at the expense of ruining the existing countryside. Personally, I feel that the reason people come to live in this area is due to the proximity to countryside areas and therefore by taking these area away one simply increases numbers of people and decreases the aesthetic value and attraction of the area. It is abundantly clear that 16d, e and f are the only suitable locations for further development.
Consultee ID: 108928

Part of document:  
Option 4c

Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 108928

Part of document:  
Option 4d

Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 108928

Part of document:  
Option 5a

Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 108928

Part of document:  
Option 5b

Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Settlement boundaries are there for a reason - you can't simply turn someone's farm into a housing estate!

Reason for comment:
I would put 100% around towns - they have the infrastructure and it preserves the countryside.

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Wheeler  
Agent ID:  
108928  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
This is a beautiful rural area that deserves to be preserved (west of A22 / N of A27)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108928</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 16e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Option 16e

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108928</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 16f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Option 16f

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108928</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 16g

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Option 16g

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108928</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Question 16h

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Question 16h

---

16d, e & f are the obvious choices - least impact on rural areas and nearer the Eastbourne / Polegate infrastructure
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Wheeler</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108928</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- [ ] Option 18i
- [ ] Option 18j
- [ ] Question 18k

**Your View:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Option 18i: "The suggestions that 18i & j are suitable sites is outrageous. These historic sites must be preserved."
- Option 27c: ""
Consultee ID: Wheeler
108928
Part of document: Option 27d

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:
The infrastructure requirements in table 15 are completely unacceptable. Concentrate efforts of sites south of the A27 between Polegate and Eastbourne is the answer.
I have to say that the way this whole issue has been presented is a complete disgrace. The fact that it costs me £15 to find out what Wealden District Council want to do (and I do pay Council Tax) is a complete travesty. It is undemocratic and WDC need to be investigated by the highest authority to make sure that their future public interface is properly addressed. The vast majority of the options suggested completely ignore the rural viewpoint. No consideration for preserving our beautiful countryside is evident. It is abundantly clear that 16d, e and f are the only suitable locations for further development.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 2b

#### Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 2b

#### Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 4a

#### Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 4b

#### Section of Document:

Your View: □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 4c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 4d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 5b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 8a
- Option 8b
- Option 8c
- Option 8d

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Giles
Agent ID: 108948

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
Agent ID: 108948

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
Agent ID: 108948

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
Agent ID: 108948

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Giles

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Giles
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 16g

Consultee ID: 108948
Giles

Section of Document: 
Reason for comment:

Option 17a

Consultee ID: 108948
Giles

Section of Document: 
Reason for comment:

Option 17b

Consultee ID: 108948
Giles

Section of Document: 
Reason for comment:

Option 17c

Consultee ID: 108948
Giles

Section of Document: 
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View:**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View:**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View:**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View:**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Giles
108948

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
108948

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
108948

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
108948

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option  

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option  

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option  

**Option 19a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option  

**Option 19b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 19e

Consultee ID: Giles
Part of document: Option 19e
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
Part of document: Option 19e
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
Part of document: Option 20a
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
Part of document: Option 20b
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 20c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Giles</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108948</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Giles
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Giles
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 108948

Part of document: Option 26a
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 108948
Part of document: Option 26b
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 108948
Part of document: Option 27c
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 108948
Part of document: Option 27d
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 108948  
Giles

**Part of document:**  
- Option 27e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Consultee ID: 108948  
Giles

**Part of document:**  
- Option 28c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Consultee ID: 108948  
Giles

**Part of document:**  
- Question Thirty

**Section of Document:**  
- Infrastructure Requirements

**Your View:**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Consultee ID: 108948  
Giles

**Part of document:**  
- Question 31a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 108948

Giles

Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 108948

Giles

Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 108985

MR CHISHOLM

Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 108985

MR CHISHOLM

Agent ID: 

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 4a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 4c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 4b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 4d**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM
108985

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Wealden should keep to original plan and not build or develop west of A22

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM
108985

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM
108985

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM
108985

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Percentage of what?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 8a
  - ☐ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 8b
  - ☐ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 8c
  - ☐ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 8d
  - ☐ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

**Question Ten**

**Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View** □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

**Option 15a**

**Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View** □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

**Option 15b**

**Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View** □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

**Option 15c**

**Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View** ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Page 4872 of 6217
Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM Agent ID:
108985

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 16c

Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM Agent ID:
108985

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 16d

Section of Document:
Your View [x] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM Agent ID:
108985

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 16e

Section of Document:
Your View [x] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM Agent ID:
108985

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 16f

Section of Document:
Your View [x] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option

**Option 16g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option

**Option 17a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option

**Option 17b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option

**Option 17c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option 18e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option 18f

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option 18g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Option 18h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>No development west of A22 - North of A27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 18j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question 18k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 19a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR CHISHOLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 19a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 19b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 19b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 19c**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM  
Consultee ID: 108985  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
[ ] option  

Option 19d  
Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM  
Consultee ID: 108985  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
[ ] option  

Option 19e  
Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM  
Consultee ID: 108985  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
[ ] option  

Option 20a  
Section of Document:  

Your View  
[ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM  
Consultee ID: 108985  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
[ ] option  

Option 20b  
Section of Document:  

Your View  
[ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 20c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR CHISHOLM</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR CHISHOLM</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 23b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>MR CHISHOLM</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM  
Consultee ID: 108985

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM  
Consultee ID: 108985

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM  
Consultee ID: 108985

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM  
Consultee ID: 108985

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM
108985
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM
108985
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM
108985
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: MR CHISHOLM
108985
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [] document
- [] chapter
- [] section
- [✓] option

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [] document
- [] chapter
- [] section
- [✓] option

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [] document
- [] chapter
- [] section
- [✓] option

**Option 28c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>MR CHISHOLM</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [] document
- [] chapter
- [] section
- [✓] option

**Question Thirty**

**Section of Document:** Infrastructure Requirements

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>108985 MR CHISHOLM</td>
<td>Option 31a</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108985 MR CHISHOLM</td>
<td>Option 32a</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109331 Mr Harcourt-Smith</td>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109331 Mr Harcourt-Smith</td>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt - Smith
Part of document: Option 4c
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt - Smith
Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt - Smith
Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt - Smith
Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Harcourt - Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109331</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Harcourt - Smith</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>109331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question 5c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Settlement boundaries should remain unaltered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Harcourt - Smith</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>109331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 8d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Harcourt - Smith</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>109331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt - Smith
Agent ID: 109331

Part of document: Option 15f
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt - Smith
Agent ID: 109331

Part of document: Option 16b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt - Smith
Agent ID: 109331

Part of document: Option 16c
Section of Document:
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt - Smith
Agent ID: 109331

Part of document: Option 16c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
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Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt - Smith
109331

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 16d

Your View ☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt - Smith
109331

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 16e

Your View ☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt - Smith
109331

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 16g

Your View ☑ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt - Smith
109331

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:
Option 18i

Your View ☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
| Consultee ID: | Mr Harcourt - Smith | Agent ID: | 109331 |
| Part of document: | | | Option 18j |
| Section of Document: | | | |
| Your View | | | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | | | |

| Consultee ID: | Mr Harcourt - Smith | Agent ID: | 109331 |
| Part of document: | | | Option 27c |
| Section of Document: | | | |
| Your View | | | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | | | |

| Consultee ID: | Mr Harcourt - Smith | Agent ID: | 109331 |
| Part of document: | | | Option 27c |
| Section of Document: | | | |
| Your View | | | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | | | |

<p>| Consultee ID: | Mr Harcourt - Smith | Agent ID: | 109331 |
| Part of document: | | | Option 27d |
| Section of Document: | | | |
| Your View | | | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Harcourt - Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109331</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question Thirty</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Harcourt - Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109331</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 31a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Harcourt - Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109331</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 32a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Harcourt - Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109331</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 32b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>The Low Weald rural setting of the South Downs west of the A22 and west of Polegate should be preserved with no developments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Option 4a**

Your View  □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

### Section of Document:

**Option 4c**

Your View   ☑ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

### Section of Document:

**Option 4b**

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 15f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Mr Clutton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16b**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16c**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16d**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16e**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 16g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 16h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Object to all location 16a including non-stat plan site PW1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 18h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 18i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 18j

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 27c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 27d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Question Thirty

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>FOLKINGTON ESTATE</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Mr Clutton</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109408</td>
<td></td>
<td>109405</td>
<td>RH &amp; RW CLUTTON</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden
Agent ID:
109414

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden
Agent ID:
109414

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden
Agent ID:
109414

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden
Agent ID:
109414

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden  
109414  

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Settlement boundaries should not be changed from their current positions

-------------------

Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden  
109414  

Part of document:  
☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:

90% plus should be located in and around towns as infrastructure pre-exists and improvement to infrastructure is thus more cost effective.
Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden
109414
Agent ID:
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 8d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden
109414
Agent ID:
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Any such threshold should be calculated by reference to a cap or ceiling as opposed to a minimum. In any event, no development in villages.

Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden
109414
Agent ID:
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden
109414
Agent ID:
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden  
109414

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden  
109414

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden  
109414

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden  
109414

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Professo Van Linden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109414</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Professo Van Linden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109414</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Professo Van Linden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109414</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Professo Van Linden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109414</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 109414
Professo Van Linden

Part of document: Option 18i
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment: Nothing west of A22. More north of A27 to preserve unique landscape and in sympathy with AONB which should in any event be extended.

Consultee ID: 109414
Professo Van Linden

Part of document: Option 18j
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment: Disagree

Consultee ID: 109414
Professo Van Linden

Part of document: Question 18k
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment: Disagree

Consultee ID: 109414
Professo Van Linden

Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden
Part of document: Option 27c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden
Part of document: Option 27d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden
Part of document: Option 27e
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden
Part of document: Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden  
109414

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ✓ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
These themes as expressed in 8.1 to 8.11 at first sight seem laudible but lack clarity, specifics or any information of development implications. thus unsatisfactory and I cannot answer.

Consultee ID: Professo Van Linden  
109414

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ✓ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
I am astonished at the expense of the Core Strategy Documents, the online reply facility which, in itself makes comment difficult and excludes the lower socio-economic groups. This is an inadequate democratic process and fails to offer clear and unambiguous information to facilitate a true public consultation process. The questions are phrased in such a way as to confuse respondents. This locale is a beautiful, unspoilt corner of south east England and any development to meet the needs of future housing should be east of A22 to preserve the local character and unspoilt rural environs. As a new resident, it was this AONB/National Park characteristic that brought me to the area. It should not be corrupted. Scheduling the consultation process across the peak summer holiday period with many residents on holiday is also most inappropriate.

Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan  
109466

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option  
Question One

Section of Document:  
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
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Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan Agent ID: 109466

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan Agent ID: 109466

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan Agent ID: 109466

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan Agent ID: 109466

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ms McCutchan</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ms McCutchan</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ms McCutchan</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ms McCutchan</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms McCutchan</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

Option 5a

**Your View**

[ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

Option 5b

**Your View**

[ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

Option 6c

**Your View**

[ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

Option 8b

**Your View**

[ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 109466  Ms McCutchan  Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- Question Ten  
- Site Allocation Thresholds  

Your View:  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 109466  Ms McCutchan  Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- Option 11a  

Your View:  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 109466  Ms McCutchan  Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- Option 15b  

Your View:  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 109466  Ms McCutchan  Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- Option 15c  

Your View:  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 109466

Ms McCutchan

Agent ID:

Part of document:  

Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View  

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 109466

Ms McCutchan

Agent ID:

Part of document:  

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  

☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 109466

Ms McCutchan

Agent ID:

Part of document:  

Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View  

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 109466

Ms McCutchan

Agent ID:

Part of document:  

Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan  
109466

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan  
109466

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan  
109466

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan  
109466

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109466</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>option</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>option</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109466</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>option</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>option</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109466</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>option</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>option</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109466</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>option</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>option</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Agree</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms McCutchan</td>
<td>Agent ID: 109466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 24d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109466</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 25a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109466</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 25b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109466</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 25c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms McCutchan</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms McCutchan</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms McCutchan</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms McCutchan</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Ms McCutchan</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 26a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Ms McCutchan</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109466</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan  
109466

Option 27d

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan  
109466

Option 27e

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan  
109466

Option 27f

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan  
109466

Option 28a

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan
Agent ID: 109466

Part of document: Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan
Agent ID: 109466

Part of document: Option 28c
Section of Document:
Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan
Agent ID: 109466

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Ms McCutchan
Agent ID: 109466

Part of document: Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Part of document:   □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option

Consultee ID:  109466

Ms McCutchan

Agent ID:  

Reason for comment:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree

Consultee ID:  109470

Mrs Kennelly

Agent ID:  

Section of Document:  Settlemens in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Part of document:   □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option

Reason for comment:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree

Consultee ID:  109470

Mrs Kennelly

Agent ID:  

Section of Document:  Option 2b

Part of document:   □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option

Reason for comment:

Your View   ✓ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly  
Agent ID: 109470

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly  
Agent ID: 109470

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly  
Agent ID: 109470

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly  
Agent ID: 109470

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 8c

**Section of Document:**
- Your View
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 8d

**Section of Document:**
- Your View
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 8d

**Section of Document:**
- Your View
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 8d

**Section of Document:**
- Your View
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Question Ten

**Section of Document:**
- Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15a</th>
<th>Option 15b</th>
<th>Option 15c</th>
<th>Option 15d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Agent ID: 109470
Part of document: Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Agent ID: 109470
Part of document: Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Agent ID: 109470
Part of document: Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Agent ID: 109470
Part of document: Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16c**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16d**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16e**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16f**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>[✓] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 17a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>[ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 17b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>[ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>[ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Kennelly</td>
<td>Agent ID: 109470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Kennelly</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 18e</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document:

**Your View** ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document:

**Your View** ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document:

**Your View** ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section of Document:

**Your View** ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18i

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: □ Agree, □ No opinion, ✓ Disagree
- Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18j

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: □ Agree, □ No opinion, ✓ Disagree
- Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 19a

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: □ Agree, □ No opinion, ✓ Disagree
- Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 19b

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: □ Agree, □ No opinion, ✓ Disagree
- Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
109470

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
109470

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
109470

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
109470

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19e

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 21f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 23a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 23b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☑ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109470</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 23c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Kennelly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 109470</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
109470

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 26b

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
109470

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 27c

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
109470

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 27d

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
109470

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 27e

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Part of document: Option 28c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Part of document: Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Part of document: Question 31a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Part of document: Question 31a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Question 31a

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Agent ID: 109470

Part of document: Yes option
Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree

Reason for comment: 

Question 31a

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Agent ID: 109470

Part of document: Yes option
Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree

Reason for comment: 

Question 32a

Consultee ID: Mrs Kennelly
Agent ID: 109470

Part of document: Yes option
Section of Document: Agent ID: Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Question One

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett
Agent ID: 109523

Part of document: Yes option
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment: 
Small developments for affordable housing to meet local need should be allowed
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 109523
Mrs Levett

Part of document: (option)
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 109523
Mrs Levett

Part of document: (option)
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 109523
Mrs Levett

Part of document: (option)
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 109523
Mrs Levett

Part of document: (option)
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Levett
Consultee ID: 109523

Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document: Option 4b

Reason for comment:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: The response is based upon the current road system and the lack of infrastructure to sustain any new developments. Unless the roads are improved first no new development should be allowed. The Hackhurst Lane site has potential to come forward for mixed use as there is a willing land owner currently keen to pursue the site.

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett
Consultee ID: 109523

Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document: Question 4e

Reason for comment:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Agree

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett
Consultee ID: 109523

Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document: Option 5a

Reason for comment:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Agree
Consultee ID: Mrs Levett
Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett
Part of document: Question 5c
Section of Document:
Your View  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett
Part of document: Option 6c
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett
Part of document: Question 6d
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:
The group have a preference for option 5b over 5a. The group would prefer to see new housing equally distributed throughout the District. This should be based upon the population of the town / village eg a 10% growth everywhere. Additionally, we should encourage town centre developments over shops etc.
should allow a disperse pattern of development to include affordable and small market housing in rural areas to allow new forming households to remain in the village and also allow trading down
Consultee ID: Mrs Levett 109523

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Option 7d

**Your View** ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

in should only be allowed after the business has been actively marketed for 1 year

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett 109523

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Option 8a

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett 109523

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Option 8b

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett 109523

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

**Section of Document:** Option 8d

**Your View** ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109523</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109523</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109523</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109523</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 9c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109523</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Levett</td>
<td>Agent ID: 109523</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Consultee ID: | Mrs Levett | Agent ID: 109523 |
| Part of document: | □ document □ chapter □ section □ option |
| Section of Document: | Option 18b |
| Your View: | □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |

| Consultee ID: | Mrs Levett | Agent ID: 109523 |
| Part of document: | □ document □ chapter □ section □ option |
| Section of Document: | Option 18c |
| Your View: | □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |

<p>| Consultee ID: | Mrs Levett | Agent ID: 109523 |
| Part of document: | □ document □ chapter □ section □ option |
| Section of Document: | Option 18d |
| Your View: | □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18f**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18g**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18h**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Levett  
Part of document: Option 18i  
Option 18i  
Agree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett  
Part of document: Option 18j  
Option 18j  
Agree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett  
Part of document: Question 18k  
Question 18k  
Agree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett  
Part of document: Question 21g  
Question 21g  
Agree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett  
Part of document: Question 21g  
Question 21g  
Agree  
Reason for comment:

for all new settlements considered the road infrastructure needs to be improved first

we feel unable to comment but would reconfirm that new developments should be distributed throughout Wealden
Consultee ID: Mrs Levett

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
unable to comment but reconfirm that new developments should be distributed throughout Wealden

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
unable to comment but reconfirm that new developments should be distributed throughout Wealden

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25g

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25d

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25e

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25f

### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Levett  
109523  
Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 26a  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  
Consultee ID: Mrs Levett  
109523  
Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 26b  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  
Consultee ID: Mrs Levett  
109523  
Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 27a  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  
Consultee ID: Mrs Levett  
109523  
Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 27b  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  
Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree
Consultee ID: Mrs Levett  
109523  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 27c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett  
109523  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 27d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett  
109523  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 27e  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Levett  
109523  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 27f  

Section of Document:  

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>109523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 28a
- Option 28b
- Option 28c
- Question Twenty Nine

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109523</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Thirty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109523</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 31a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Levett</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>109523</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 32a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>110954</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Agent ID: 110954

Part of document: Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Agent ID: 110954

Part of document: Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Agent ID: 110954

Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[✓] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[✓] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[✓] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[✓] Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 4c
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 4b
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 4d
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document: Option 5a
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 5b**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 6a**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 6b**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 6c**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  Agent ID: 110954

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  Agent ID: 110954

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  Agent ID: 110954

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  Agent ID: 110954

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
110954

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
110954

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
110954

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
110954

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  
110954

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  
110954

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: 

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  
110954

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: 

Your View ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  
110954

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: 

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

NOT FAMILIAR WITH SITES
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 11d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 11g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  
Agent ID: 110954

Part of document:  
☑ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  
Agent ID: 110954

Part of document:  
☑ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  
Agent ID: 110954

Part of document:  
☑ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  
Agent ID: 110954

Part of document:  
☑ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 12f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 14b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Woolston</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID:  Miss Woolston  
110954

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 14f

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Miss Woolston  
110954

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 14g

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Miss Woolston  
110954

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 14h

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Miss Woolston  
110954

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question 14j

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Woolston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 15h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</td>
<td>Agent ID: 110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16b Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</td>
<td>Agent ID: 110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16c Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</td>
<td>Agent ID: 110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16d Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</td>
<td>Agent ID: 110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16e Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  
110954

Part of document:  
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  
110954

Part of document:  
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  
110954

Part of document:  
Option 16i

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston  
110954

Part of document:  
Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 17b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Part of document: Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Part of document: Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Part of document: Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18g

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18h

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18i

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18j

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Part of document: Option 19a
Section of Document: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Part of document: Option 19b
Section of Document: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Part of document: Option 19c
Section of Document: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Part of document: Option 19d
Section of Document: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
110954

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
110954

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
110954

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
110954

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 110954</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 21e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 110954</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 21f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 110954</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 22a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID: 110954</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 22b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document
- Chapter
- Section
- Option

**Option 22c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document
- Chapter
- Section
- Option

**Option 22d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document
- Chapter
- Section
- Option

**Option 23a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document
- Chapter
- Section
- Option

**Option 23b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

Part of document: Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

Part of document: Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

Part of document: Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Agent ID: 110954

Part of document: Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Agent ID: 110954

Part of document: Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Agent ID: 110954

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston
Agent ID: 110954

Part of document: Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25b**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25c**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25g**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25d**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27a**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View:**  
  - [x] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27b**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View:**  
  - [ ] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27c**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View:**  
  - [x] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27d**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- **Your View:**  
  - [x] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

Part of document: Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

Part of document: Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

Part of document: Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

Part of document: Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

Part of document: Option 28c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Miss Woolston

Part of document: Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Woolston</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>110954</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  

**Question 31a**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Listened to very informative debate at Hellingly Village Hall on 13 July and wish to make following points: New settlement should be seriously considered providing there are goods road and rail links to the larger towns and even to London particularly for employment links. Whilst the current suggestion is for an additional 3000+/- new dwellings, this will surely double in requirement before 2026, so a new settlement will need to have the capability of expansion. A single settlement will allow Wealden DC to manage and control the development more effectively, efficiently and economically in order to achieve maximum planning gain. The suggestion of infill sites between Polegate via Hailsham through to Golden Cross seem a spaghetti like quasi urbanisation of the whole area that will have no central focus, be difficult to manage and have much less planning gain for the area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>erith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112191</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  

**Option 8a**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>erith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112191</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  

**Option 8b**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>erith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112191</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- document [ ]
- chapter [ ]
- section [ ]
- option [✓]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>erith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112191</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- document [ ]
- chapter [ ]
- section [ ]
- option [✓]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>erith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112191</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- document [ ]
- chapter [ ]
- section [ ]
- option [✓]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>erith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112191</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- document [ ]
- chapter [ ]
- section [ ]
- option [✓]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree [✓]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 112191
Agent ID: erith

Part of document: Option 18c
Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 112191
Agent ID: erith

Part of document: Option 18d
Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 112191
Agent ID: erith

Part of document: Option 18e
Section of Document: Agent ID: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: 112191

Part of document: Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112191

Part of document: Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112191

Part of document: Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112191

Part of document: Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: erith 112191

Part of document:  
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Willard 112191

Part of document:  
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Willard 112202

Part of document:  
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Willard
112202

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Berwick is a rural community developing Berwick will destroy this. Traffic is a nightmare at times with no work here it will only increase for people travelling to work. Facilities are very few. We will be living on a building site for many years. Berwick is rich in wild life which will be destroyed. The towns Hailsham and Polegate should be developed more. Green sites should be left alone and protected.

Consultee ID: Barker
112231

Part of document: ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Barker
112231

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Barker
112231

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Barker
112231

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Barker
112231

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Barker
112231

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Barker
112231

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Barker</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112231</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Barker</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112231</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Barker</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112231</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Barker</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112231</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Barker
Consultee ID: Barker
Consultee ID: Barker

Part of document: Option 6b
Part of document: Option 6c
Part of document: Question 6d
Part of document: Question 7e

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:

Yes Agree No opinion Disagree
Yes Agree No opinion Disagree
No opinion
No opinion

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:

Growth should be considered on a plan by plan basis
None of the above makes any sense if you do not also build community halls / play areas / parks etc
Consultee ID: Barker  
112231

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Barker  
112231

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Barker  
112231

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 11f

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
If you level the Alderbrook Youth Centre / Whitehall school etc you have no rights to simply buil more and more houses

Consultee ID: Barker  
112231

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 11b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Barker
Agent ID:
112231

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Barker
Agent ID:
112231

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Barker
Agent ID:
112231

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Barker
Agent ID:
112231

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 11h

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
More industrial sites at Jarvis Brook to Warren Training Camp. Build houses there instead and play areas park and hall.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Barker  
Agent ID:  
112231

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Barker  
Agent ID:  
112231

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Barker  
Agent ID:  
112231

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Barker  
Agent ID:  
112231

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Barker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112231</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Barker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112231</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Barker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112231</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Barker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112231</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 112279
Mr Addey
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 2a
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112279
Mr Addey
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112279
Mr Addey
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112279</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>✅ option</td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>✅ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112279</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>✅ option</td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>✅ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112279</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>✅ option</td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>✅ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112279</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>✅ option</td>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td>Document:</td>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>✅ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Addey
Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Addey
Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Addey
Part of document: Option 6b
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Addey
Part of document: Option 6c
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Addey  
112279

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option  
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Addey  
112279

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option  
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Addey  
112279

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option  
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Addey  
112279

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option  
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Addey  Agent ID: 112279

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Addey  Agent ID: 112279

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 11a

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Addey  Agent ID: 112279

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 11b

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Addey  Agent ID: 112279

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 11c

Your View: ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 112279
Part of document: Option 11d
Section of Document: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112279
Part of document: Option 11e
Section of Document: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112279
Part of document: Question 11g
Section of Document: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112279
Part of document: Option 13a
Section of Document: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Addey
112279

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 13b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There has been too much residential development in this area already

Consultee ID: Mr Addey
112279

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Addey
112279

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Addey
112279

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 112279</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document: Option 18d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View: Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 112279</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document: Option 18e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View: Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 112279</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document: Option 18f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View: Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 112279</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document: Option 18g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View: Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Addey</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

**Option 18h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: ☐
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☑

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: ☐
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☑

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: ☑
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☐

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☑

**Option 19a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: ☑
- No opinion: ☐
- Disagree: ☐

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Option 19d</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Option 19e</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Option 20a</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Option 20b</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Addey
Agent ID: 112279

Part of document: Option 20c
Section of Document:

Reason for comment: Your View Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Addey
Agent ID: 112279

Part of document: Option 24a
Section of Document:

Reason for comment: Your View Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Addey
Agent ID: 112279

Part of document: Option 24b
Section of Document:

Reason for comment: Your View Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Addey
Agent ID: 112279

Part of document: Option 24c
Section of Document:

Reason for comment: Your View Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112279</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112279</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112279</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112279</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Addey</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>112279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
<td>[ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112279</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
<td>[ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112279</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
<td>[ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112279</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
<td>[ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Addey</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 26b**

- **Part of document:**
  - ☐ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27a**

- **Part of document:**
  - ☐ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27b**

- **Part of document:**
  - ☐ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Addey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27c**

- **Part of document:**
  - ☐ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Addey
112279

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Addey
112279

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Addey
112279

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Addey
112279

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 28c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question Twenty Nine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Question 31a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Reason for comment: | }
While it is appreciated that there must be further housing development there will be the inevitable increase in private and commercial traffic with consequent pollution and congestion.

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 112511  
Part of document: Option 2b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 112511  
Part of document: Option 3a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 112511  
Part of document: Option 3b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 112511  
Part of document: Option 3c  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 3d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 4a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 4c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 4b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 5b
- Option 6a

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 5b
- Option 6a

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 5b
- Option 6a

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 5b
- Option 6a

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 5b
- Option 6a

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 5b
- Option 6a

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 5b
- Option 6a

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 5b
- Option 6a

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: no growth

Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Part of document: option
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View     ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View     □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View     ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 9b

document  chapter  section  option

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 9c

document  chapter  section  option

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Agent ID:

Part of document: Question Ten

document  chapter  section  option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 11a

document  chapter  section  option

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer
112511
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 12b
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 11b
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 11c
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 11d
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer

Part of document: Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer

Part of document: Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer

Part of document: Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer

Part of document: Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer  Agent ID:
112511

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Option 13a

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer  Agent ID:
112511

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Option 14a

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer  Agent ID:
112511

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Option 14b

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer  Agent ID:
112511

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Option 14c

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- [x] option

**Option 14d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- [x] option

**Option 14e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- [x] option

**Option 14f**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- [x] option

**Option 14g**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 15c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 15d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 15e
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer 112511
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: 
- Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: 
- Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: 
- Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: 
- Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer
Agent ID:
112511
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 17b
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
Agent ID:
112511
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
Agent ID:
112511
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
Agent ID:
112511
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112511</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Question 16i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112511</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 17a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112511</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112511</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer

Option 18f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer

Option 18g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer

Option 18h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer  
112511

Part of document:  
Option 19d  
Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer  
112511

Part of document:  
Option 19e  
Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer  
112511

Part of document:  
Option 20a  
Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer  
112511

Part of document:  
Option 23c  
Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 20b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 20c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td>112511</td>
<td>112511</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section 21c

**Consultee ID:** 112511  
**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
**Option 21c**  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td>112511</td>
<td>112511</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section 21d

**Consultee ID:** 112511  
**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
**Option 21d**  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td>112511</td>
<td>112511</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section 21e

**Consultee ID:** 112511  
**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
**Option 21e**  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td>112511</td>
<td>112511</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section 21f

**Consultee ID:** 112511  
**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
**Option 21f**  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID: 112511**  
**Part of document: Option 22a**  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID: 112511**  
**Part of document: Option 22b**  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID: 112511**  
**Part of document: Option 22c**  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID: 112511**  
**Part of document: Option 22d**  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Palmer  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Palmer  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Palmer  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Palmer  
Part of document:  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Palmer</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
112511

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Palmer
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Palmer
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Before any development takes places, schools, doctors and dentists shoyld be available.

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐  
- Chapter: ☐  
- Section: ☐  
- Option: ✓

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree: ☒  
- No opinion: ☐  
- Disagree: ☐

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐  
- Chapter: ☐  
- Section: ☐  
- Option: ✓

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree: ☐  
- No opinion: ☐  
- Disagree: ☒

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐  
- Chapter: ☐  
- Section: ☐  
- Option: ✓

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree: ☐  
- No opinion: ☐  
- Disagree: ☐

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Document: ☐  
- Chapter: ☐  
- Section: ☐  
- Option: ✓

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree: ☐  
- No opinion: ☐  
- Disagree: ☒

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Clarke
Consultee ID: 112527

Part of document: Option 4d
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke
Consultee ID: 112527

Part of document: Question 4e
Question 4e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Continue development of industry east of A22 Hailsham area, leaving the west untouched.

Consultee ID: Clarke
Consultee ID: 112527

Part of document: Option 5a
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke
Consultee ID: 112527

Part of document: Option 5b
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112527</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 5c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>✓ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Leave settlement boundaries for villages as they are now</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112527</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8a</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112527</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112527</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Clarke
112527

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke
112527

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke
112527

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke
112527

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document: Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document: Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document: Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document: Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 15f
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 16b
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 16c
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 16d
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document: Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document: Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document: Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document: Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 17a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 17c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Clarke
Part of document: Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke
Part of document: Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke
Part of document: Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18f

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18g

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18h

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18i

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document: Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:

nothing west of A22

Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document: Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document: Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 19c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 19e

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Clarke 112527

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 20a

Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 112527
Clarke

Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112527
Clarke

Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112527
Clarke

Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112527
Clarke

Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 20d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 21f</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Clarke</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Clarke</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 23b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Clarke</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Clarke</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Clarke</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 23d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 23e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 26a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☒ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Clarke</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112527</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Infrastructure Requirements

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 112537
Steel & Harrison

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112537
Steel & Harrison

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112537
Steel & Harrison

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112537
Steel & Harrison

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3d
- Option 4a
- Option 4b

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:**
- Steel & Harrison
- Agent ID: 112537

**Part of document:**
- Option 4c

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:**
- Steel & Harrison
- Agent ID: 112537

**Part of document:**
- Option 4b

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Page 5062 of 6217
Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  
Agent ID: 
112537

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  
Agent ID: 
112537

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  
Agent ID: 
112537

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  
Agent ID: 
112537

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Brown Field Sites within boundaries should be used first.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 6a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 6b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 6c**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 7a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  
Agent ID:
112537

Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
112537

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
112537

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
112537

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
112537

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 8d

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 8d

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 9a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 9a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 9b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 9b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question Ten

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 12b

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
- Reason for comment: Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 12a

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
- Reason for comment: Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 12c

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
- Reason for comment: Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 12d

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
- Reason for comment: Your View
Part of document:  
Option 12e  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  

Part of document:  
Option 12f  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  

Part of document:  
Option 12f  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:  

Part of document:  
Question 12h  
Section of Document:  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  
- Question 12h

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  
- Option 15e

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  
- Option 15a

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  
- Option 15b

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  
- Option 15c

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
112537

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
112537

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
112537

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
112537

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16a
- **Part of document:**
  - ☐ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ✓ option
- **Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree
**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16b
- **Part of document:**
  - ☐ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ✓ option
- **Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree
**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16c
- **Part of document:**
  - ☐ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ✓ option
- **Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree
**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16d
- **Part of document:**
  - ☐ document
  - ☐ chapter
  - ☐ section
  - ✓ option
- **Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree
**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 16f  

document  
chapter  
section  

Section of Document:  

Your View  

Agree  
No opinion  

Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 16e  

document  
chapter  
section  

Section of Document:  

Your View  

Agree  
No opinion  

Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 16g  

document  
chapter  
section  

Section of Document:  

Your View  

Agree  
No opinion  

Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Question 16i  

document  
chapter  
section  

Section of Document:  

Your View  

Agree  
No opinion  

Disagree  

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option**

**Option 17a**

**Section of Document: Your View **

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option**

**Option 17b**

**Section of Document: Your View **

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option**

**Option 17c**

**Section of Document: Your View **

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option**

**Option 18a**

**Section of Document: Your View **

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
112537

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
112537

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
112537

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
112537

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View 🅸 Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion 🅸 Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View 🅸 Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View 🅸 Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
Agent ID: 112537

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: Your View
☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
Agent ID: 112537

Part of document: Option 23c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: Your View
☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
Agent ID: 112537

Part of document: Option 22a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: Your View
☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
Agent ID: 112537

Part of document: Option 22b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment: Your View
☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 22c
- **Part of document:** Option 22c
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:** Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 22d
- **Part of document:** Option 22d
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:** Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 23a
- **Part of document:** Option 23a
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:** Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 23b
- **Part of document:** Option 23b
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:** Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  Agent ID:
112537

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  Agent ID:
112537

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  Agent ID:
112537

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  Agent ID:
112537

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 24c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 24d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: ☐  
- Chapter: ☐  
- Section: ☐  
- Option: ☑

**Option 25c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Agree: ☑  
- No opinion: ☐  
- Disagree: ☐

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: ☐  
- Chapter: ☐  
- Section: ☐  
- Option: ☑

**Option 25d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Agree: ☑  
- No opinion: ☐  
- Disagree: ☐

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: ☐  
- Chapter: ☐  
- Section: ☐  
- Option: ☑

**Option 25e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Agree: ☑  
- No opinion: ☐  
- Disagree: ☐

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Steel &amp; Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112537</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: ☐  
- Chapter: ☐  
- Section: ☐  
- Option: ☑

**Option 25e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Agree: ☑  
- No opinion: ☐  
- Disagree: ☐

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  
112537

Part of document: Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  
112537

Part of document: Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  
112537

Part of document: Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  
112537

Part of document: Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
Consultee ID: 112537
Part of document: Option 27d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
Consultee ID: 112537
Part of document: Option 27f
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
Consultee ID: 112537
Part of document: Option 28a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison
Consultee ID: 112537
Part of document: Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  Agent ID: 112537

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  Agent ID: 112537

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  Agent ID: 112537

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Housing should be spread throughout the entire area.
Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  Agent ID:
112537

Part of document: ☑️ section  ☐ option

Section of Document: Possible Spatial Objectives for Wealden

Your View  ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  Agent ID:
112537

Part of document: ☑️ option

Section of Document: Question 32a

Your View  ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Steel & Harrison  Agent ID:
112537

Part of document: ☑️ option

Section of Document: Question 32b

Your View  ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Infrastructure must be put into place.

Consultee ID: Chapman  Agent ID:
112581

Part of document: ☑️ option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 2b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 3a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 3b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 3c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Chapman
112581

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chapman
112581

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chapman
112581

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chapman
112581

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

any expansion should only be permitted only when the need for growth has been established
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 6a

**Section of Document:**
- [ ]

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 6b

**Section of Document:**
- [ ]

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 6c

**Section of Document:**
- [ ]

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 7a

**Section of Document:**
- [ ]

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  ☑ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
the classification of growth potential criteria used must be notified to the residents and strictly adhered to

Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112581</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☑ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112581</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112581</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 16b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112581</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 16c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Chapman</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Part of document:  
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 23b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 23c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 24a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 24b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Chapman  Agent ID: 112581

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chapman  Agent ID: 112581

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chapman  Agent ID: 112581

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chapman  Agent ID: 112581

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Option 25c |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Option 25g |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Option 25d |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Option 25e |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Chapman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Consultee ID: Chapman

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chapman</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112581</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Chapman
112581

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Green
112602

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Green
112602

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 2a

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Green
112602

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 3a

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 112602  Green

Part of document:  ☑ option  Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112602  Green

Part of document:  ☑ option  Option 4b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112602  Green

Part of document:  ☑ option  Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112602  Green

Part of document:  ☑ option  Question 4e
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
Hackhurst Lane, Lower Dicker
Consultee ID: Green
112602

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Green
112602

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Green
112602

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Each case should be looked at individually according to its merits either inside or outside settlement boundaries

Consultee ID: Green
112602

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I do not think you can put a focus on this question-each place has different situations and should be judged on this
Question 7e

Reason for comment:
again, each settlement has different services etc. so you cannot generalise. Each place should be consulted on its merits.

Option 8a

Reason for comment:

Option 8a

Reason for comment:

Option 8d

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112602</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 9c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112602</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question Ten

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

- agree in general but towns and villages have different requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112602</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 15a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112602</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 15b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 15c

Reason for comment:

Option 15d

Reason for comment:

Option 15e

Reason for comment:

Question 15g

Reason for comment:

Development around Hellingly and North Hailsham should have the majority of housing with a dual carriageway linked to Boship roundabout.
Consultee ID: Green
Agent ID:
112602

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Green
Agent ID:
112602

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Green
Agent ID:
112602

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Green
Agent ID:
112602

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Green 112602
Part of document: Option 18j
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
area around Hellingly hospital should be considered as there are several parcels of land that could be developed for housing.

Consultee ID: Green 112602
Part of document: Question 18k
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
As St Bedes school have taken most houses available there is a need for new houses for local people to keep the villages alive and not be completely taken over by the School of St Bedes.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112602</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Question 32b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

I think that a lot of these questions are too general and each settlement has different requirements. I have only commented on places where I know very well as I have lived at Lower Dicker for 48 years. Since then I have lived at Dallington, Horsham and Ardingly so have been able to see the development around the Hailsham Hellingly area. Over the years I have been indirectly involved with developers and have noted these comments over the years. I would be happy to talk to anybody if they wish.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112602</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Question 32b

| Reason for comment: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Trash</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112637</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document: Option 16a

| Reason for comment: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Trash</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112637</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document: Option 16b

| Reason for comment: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Trash</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112637</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 5110 of 6217
Consultee ID: Mrs Trash
112637

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✗ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✗ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Trash
112637

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✗ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✗ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Trash
112637

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✗ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View   ✗ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Trash
112637

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✗ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✗ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Trash</td>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Question One</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3d**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

- Your View  
  - [x] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 5b**

**Section of Document:**

- Your View  
  - [x] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 6a**

**Section of Document:**

- Your View  
  - [x] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 6a**

**Section of Document:**

- Your View  
  - [ ] Agree  
  - [x] No opinion  
  - [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Parker

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Parker

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Parker

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Parker

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7d**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 13a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 14a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 112644 Parker
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112644 Parker
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 14j

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112644 Parker
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112644 Parker
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15d

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 16a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 16b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 16c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Parker  
112644

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Parker  
112644

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Parker  
112644

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Parker  
112644

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Parker

Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Parker

Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Parker

Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Parker

Agent ID:

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Parker
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Parker
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Parker
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Parker
Agent ID:
Consultee ID: Parker
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Parker
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Parker
Agent ID:

Consultee ID: Parker
Agent ID:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 22c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 22d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 23a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 23b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 23c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 23d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 23e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 25a
- Option 25b
- Option 25c
- Option 25g

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 25a
- Option 25b
- Option 25c
- Option 25g

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 25a
- Option 25b
- Option 25c
- Option 25g

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 25a
- Option 25b
- Option 25c
- Option 25g

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Parker 112644

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Parker 112644

Part of document: Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Parker 112644

Part of document: Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Parker 112644

Part of document: Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 112644
Parker
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112644
Parker
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112644
Parker
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112644
Parker
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27e</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27f</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 28a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 28b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 28c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Parker</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112644</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question Twenty Nine

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Parker
Agent ID: 112644

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Harrison
Agent ID: 112651

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Harrison
Agent ID: 112651

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Harrison
Agent ID: 112651

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Harrison
Agent ID: 112651

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Harrison
Agent ID: 112651

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Harrison
Agent ID: 112651

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Harrison
Agent ID: 112651

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112651</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112651</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112651</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112651</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112651</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: []
- Chapter: []
- Section: []
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[✓]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112651</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: []
- Chapter: []
- Section: []
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112651</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: []
- Chapter: []
- Section: []
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112651</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: []
- Chapter: []
- Section: []
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Harrison
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Harrison
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Harrison
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Harrison
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112651</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112651</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112651</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112651</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Harrison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112651</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 28b**

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112651</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 28c**

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112651</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question Twenty Nine**

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Harrison</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112651</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question Twenty Nine**

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 112659
Mr Dobbs

Part of document: Option 2a
Question One
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Reason for comment:
Your View: Agree

Consultee ID: 112659
Mr Dobbs

Part of document: Option 2b
Option 2b

Reason for comment:
Your View: Agree

Consultee ID: 112659
Mr Dobbs

Part of document: Option 3a
Option 3a

Reason for comment:
Your View: Agree
Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs  Agent ID: 112659

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs  Agent ID: 112659

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs  Agent ID: 112659

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs  Agent ID: 112659

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Part of document: Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 112659
Mr Dobbs

Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
100% only affordable housing to be built anywhere

Consultee ID: 112659
Mr Dobbs

Part of document: Question 5c
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ No opinion

Reason for comment:
100% only affordable housing to be built anywhere

Consultee ID: 112659
Mr Dobbs

Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112659
Mr Dobbs

Part of document: Option 6b
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs 112659

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 6c

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs 112659

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 6d

Your View  □ Agree  ☑ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Locals should receive priority on housing list

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs 112659

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 7a

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs 112659

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 7b

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

only council housing and affordable houses need to be built. All locals should have priority on housing list.
Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs 112659
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View Agrees Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs 112659
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs 112659
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs 112659
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:
| Consultee ID: | Mr Dobbs | Agent ID: | 112659 |
| Part of document: | □ document | □ chapter | □ section | ✓ option |
| Option 9b |
| Section of Document: | |
| Your View | □ Agree | □ No opinion | ✓ Disagree |
| Reason for comment |

| Consultee ID: | Mr Dobbs | Agent ID: | 112659 |
| Part of document: | □ document | □ chapter | □ section | ✓ option |
| Option 9c |
| Section of Document: | |
| Your View | ✓ Agree | □ No opinion | □ Disagree |
| Reason for comment |

| Consultee ID: | Mr Dobbs | Agent ID: | 112659 |
| Part of document: | □ document | □ chapter | □ section | ✓ option |
| Question Ten |
| Section of Document: | Site Allocation Thresholds |
| Your View | ✓ Agree | □ No opinion | □ Disagree |
| Reason for comment |

| Consultee ID: | Mr Dobbs | Agent ID: | 112659 |
| Part of document: | □ document | □ chapter | □ section | ✓ option |
| Question 11f |
| Section of Document: | |
| Your View | □ Agree | ✓ No opinion | □ Disagree |
| Reason for comment: |
| only council housing and affordable housing need to be built |
Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs  
112659

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Question 11h

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ✔ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
only council housing and affordable houses need to be built

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs  
112659

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Question 12g

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ✔ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
only council housing and affordable homes. Locals given priority on housing list.

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs  
112659

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Question 12i

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ✔ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
only council housing and affordable houses need to be built

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs  
112659

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Question 13b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ✔ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
only council housing and affordable homes. Locals given priority on housing list.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14a**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [✓] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14b**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14c**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14d**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
Consultee ID: 112659

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 14e
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
Consultee ID: 112659

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 14f
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
Consultee ID: 112659

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 14g
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
Consultee ID: 112659

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 14h
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 14i

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ No opinion ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
only council housing and affordable homes. Locals given priority on housing list.

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 14j

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 14k

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ No opinion ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
only council housing and affordable homes. Locals given priority on housing list.

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 15g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ No opinion ☐ Agree ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
only council housing and affordable homes.
Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: 

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
only council housing and affordable homes.

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: 

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
only council housing and affordable homes.

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: 

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
only council housing and affordable homes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18b</th>
<th></th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Dobbs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18c</th>
<th></th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Dobbs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18d</th>
<th></th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Dobbs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18e</th>
<th></th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Dobbs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
| Part of document: | ☑️ Option 18j | Section of Document: | Your View | Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>only council housing and affordable homes. All new housing should be available for locals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Part of document: | ☑️ Option 19a | Section of Document: | Your View | Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Part of document: | ☑️ Option 19b | Section of Document: | Your View | Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

only council housing and affordable homes. All new housing should be available for locals.
Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 20d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☑ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
only council housing and affordable homes. All new housing should be available for locals.

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
112659

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
Agent ID:
112659

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
Agent ID:
112659

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
Agent ID:
112659

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
Agent ID:
112659

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Mr Dobbs

Only council housing and affordable homes. Lodals priority on housing list.

Mr Dobbs

Your View

Option 22a

Reason for comment:

Mr Dobbs

Option 22a

Reason for comment:

Mr Dobbs

Option 22b

Reason for comment:
Option 22c

Your View  ☑  Disagree

Reason for comment:

only council housing and affordable homes. Lodals priority on housing list.
Consultee ID: 112659  Mr Dobbs  Agent ID: 

Part of document:  Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112659  Mr Dobbs  Agent ID: 

Part of document:  Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112659  Mr Dobbs  Agent ID: 

Part of document:  Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112659  Mr Dobbs  Agent ID: 

Part of document:  Option 23f

Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree

Reason for comment:

only council housing and affordable homes. Lodals priority on housing list.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 112659</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Reason for comment:** |}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 112659</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Reason for comment:** |}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 112659</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Reason for comment:** |}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 112659</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Dobbs</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs  
112659

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs  
112659

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs  
112659

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs  
112659

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs

Part of document: Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs

Part of document: Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs

Part of document: Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Option 27f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Option 28a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dobbs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Dobbs</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112659</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Question 31a

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment:**

  *A22 needs a double lane highway*

### Part of document: Question 31b

- **Your View**: No opinion
- **Reason for comment:**

  *A22 needs a double lane highway*

### Part of document: Question 32a

- **Your View**: Agree
- **Reason for comment:**

  *only council housing and affordable homes. Locals priority on housing list. A22 is too congested. There must be increased recreational facilities provided commensurate with the increased population. 1. sports fields 2. swimming pools 3. tennis courts 4. bowls greens There must be safe secure cycle tracks to enable movement by cycle along highways.*
Consultee ID: Mr Dobbs
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Question 32b
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Part of document:   □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Part of document:   □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Part of document:   □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Part of document:   □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 3a  
Option 3b

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID:
112668

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID:
112668

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID:
112668

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID:
112668

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 5a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
- Reason for comment: Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 5a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
- Reason for comment: Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 5a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
- Reason for comment: Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 5b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
- Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Part of document: Option 6b
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: 
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 6d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Bristow</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Bristow</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7c**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:**

Mrs Bristow

112668

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7c**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:**

Mrs Bristow

112668

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 9c**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:**

Mrs Bristow

112668

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 9c**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>[ ] document</th>
<th>[ ] chapter</th>
<th>[ ] section</th>
<th>[x] option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>[ ] document</th>
<th>[ ] chapter</th>
<th>[ ] section</th>
<th>[x] option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[x] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>[ ] document</th>
<th>[ ] chapter</th>
<th>[ ] section</th>
<th>[x] option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>[ ] document</th>
<th>[ ] chapter</th>
<th>[ ] section</th>
<th>[x] option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---
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Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Part of document: Option 8d

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Part of document: Option 9a

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Part of document: Option 9b

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow

112668 112668 112668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 9b  Option 11a  Option 11a

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow

112668 112668 112668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Option 11a  Option 11a  Option 12b

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 12b**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11b**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11c**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
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Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Option 11e

Part of document:☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Question 11f

Part of document:☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ✔ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
difficult to comment

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Option 12a

Part of document:☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Option 12a

Part of document:☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow

Part of document: Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

#### Section of Document:

1. **Option 12f**
   - Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
   - Reason for comment: 

2. **Question 12g**
   - Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
   - Reason for comment: difficult to comment

3. **Option 13a**
   - Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
   - Reason for comment: 

4. **Option 13a**
   - Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
   - Reason for comment: 

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 112668</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 14b
- Option 14c
- Option 14d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  Agent ID: 112668

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Section of Document:

Option 14d

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  Agent ID: 112668

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Section of Document:

Option 14e

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  Agent ID: 112668

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Section of Document:

Option 14d

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  Agent ID: 112668

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Section of Document:

Option 14e

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  Agent ID: 112668

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Section of Document:

Option 14f

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow

Part of document: Option 14h
Part of document: Question 14i
Part of document: Question 14i

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Your View: Disagree
Your View: No opinion

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:

Flood risk areas - lots of work to be done
Flood risk areas - lots of work to be done
Flood risk area needs to be considered.

no comment

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: 112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: 112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: 112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: 112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: 112668

Part of document: Option 15d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: 112668

Part of document: Option 15e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: 112668

Part of document: Option 15f
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Mrs Bristow

Consultee ID: 112668

Reason for comment: The area detailed in 15(a) is one of the key potential development sites in the Wealden area.

Mrs Bristow

Consultee ID: 112668

Reason for comment: Disagree

Mrs Bristow

Consultee ID: 112668

Reason for comment: Disagree

Mrs Bristow

Consultee ID: 112668

Reason for comment: Disagree

Mrs Bristow

Consultee ID: 112668

Reason for comment: Disagree
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  Agent ID: 112668
Part of document:  
   □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  Agent ID: 112668
Part of document:  
   □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  Agent ID: 112668
Part of document:  
   □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  Agent ID: 112668
Part of document:  
   □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree ✓ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

NO FURTHER COMMENTS
The areas detailed in 16 (d) and (e) are the most suitable areas for development in Polegate.

Reason for comment:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 17b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 17d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑️ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Reason for comment: | | | | better public transport, what happened to the station plan?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document:  
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document:  
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document:  
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document:  
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: 112668

Part of document: Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: 112668

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: 112668

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Consultee ID: 112668

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 18j

Reason for comment:
As a Berwick resident I strongly disagree with 18 (j).

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Question 18k

Reason for comment:
As a Berwick resident I strongly disagree with 18 (j).

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Question 18k

Reason for comment:
Difficult to comment but all villages should be able to take infill
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 20a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 20a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document:  
Option 20b

Section of Document:  
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:  
Growth potential at all these places (although some of their present roads are not very good)

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document:  
Option 20c

Section of Document:  
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:  
All villages should have some growth potential.
| Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow | 112668 |
| Part of document: | Option 21a |
| Section of Document: | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | | | |

| Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow | 112668 |
| Part of document: | Option 21b |
| Section of Document: | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | | | |

<p>| Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow | 112668 |
| Part of document: | Option 21b |
| Section of Document: | Disagree |
| Reason for comment: | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 21c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 21c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 21d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 21d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Question 21g**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Infill all of them

---

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Bristow  
**Agent ID:** 112668

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Question 21g**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Most of these villages should be able to accommodate some development.

---

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Bristow  
**Agent ID:** 112668

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 22a**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Bristow  
**Agent ID:** 112668

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 22a**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
Agent ID: 112668  
Part of document: Option 22b  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
Agent ID: 112668  
Part of document: Option 22b  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
Agent ID: 112668  
Part of document: Option 22c  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
Agent ID: 112668  
Part of document: Option 22c  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>![Option 22d](document, chapter, section, option)</td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td><img src="Disagree" alt="No opinion" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Infil hurts no area and benefits people</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>![Option 22d](document, chapter, section, option)</td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td><img src="Disagree" alt="No opinion" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>![Question 22e](document, chapter, section, option)</td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td><img src="Disagree" alt="No opinion" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>![Option 23a](document, chapter, section, option)</td>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td><img src="Disagree" alt="No opinion" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Bristow</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 23a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 23a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 23b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 23b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 23f**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

in fill

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 24b**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 112668 Mrs Bristow

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112668 Mrs Bristow

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112668 Mrs Bristow

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112668 Mrs Bristow

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25g**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
112668

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Section of Document: | |
|----------------------| |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Section of Document: | |
|----------------------| |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Section of Document: | |
|----------------------| |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Section of Document: | |
|----------------------| |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 112668 Mrs Bristow

Part of document: Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112668 Mrs Bristow

Part of document: Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112668 Mrs Bristow

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112668 Mrs Bristow

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 27c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Bristow</td>
<td>112668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Bristow</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Horrible elitist question, probably written by Land Rover owners; for shame!

---

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document:  □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☒ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document:  □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☒ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document:  □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☒ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow
Agent ID: 112668

Part of document:  □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ☒ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:
Your View   ☒ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Bristow</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112668</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Bristow</td>
<td>Agent ID: 112668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td>Section of Document: Housing Delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Bristow</td>
<td>Agent ID: 112668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td>Section of Document: Housing Delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Bristow</td>
<td>Agent ID: 112668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Question Thirty</td>
<td>Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Bristow  
112668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Very difficult document to read and not easy to answer the questions with only the two options of agree and disagree. Not long enough time for people to fully understand the implications, have meetings, ask the relevant questions and receive helpful answers. A local councillor at one of our meetings said that the core strategy was a big question mark only. I presume therefore that there will be much more time for consultation. Queries and points that have come to mind: 1 - in the 1990s the committee of the village hall compiled and published a village appraisal in which it was agreed that infill was the answer. 2 - Two brown sites (old brickyards) were put forward for building by Firle Estate - one as a small industrial unit by the station and one for luxury housing opposite the reservoir, access very poor. 3 - The main road was downgraded from B to a C - corner by the station very narrow and curving. Footpaths on one side only 4 - No gas here and electricity still above ground 5 - Drainage just about coping at moment 6 - Would water supply from Friston Forest be adequate? 7 - Obviously other areas would breathe sigh of relief if Berwick became a new settlement but it would be much fairer if every present settlement had its share of housing - spread over the Wealden District 8 - At the moment no bungalows of any type or flats are allowed to be built on the north side of the station. I presume Firle Estate would waive this when they sold the land? 9 - Where are all the people coming from? Where are all the jobs? 10 - I believe it was first mooted in 1937 that the A27 should be a dual carriageway.

Consultee ID: Mr Willis  
112681

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Most villages could incorporate some infill and ribbon development; a template for such development could be taken from the Willetts field development, Muddles Green.
Mr Willis

Option 2a

Agree

Option 2b

Agree

Option 3a

Disagree

Option 3b

Disagree
Consultee ID: Mr Willis  Agent ID:  
112681

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Willis  Agent ID:  
112681

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Willis  Agent ID:  
112681

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Willis  Agent ID:  
112681

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Willis
Agent ID:

Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✓ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View    ✓ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Willis
Agent ID:

Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✓ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Willis
Agent ID:

Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✓ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Willis
Agent ID:

Part of document:    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✓ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7d**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15c**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15d**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15e**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr  Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**  
Option 15f

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr  Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**  
Question 15h

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr  Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**  
Option 16a

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr  Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**  
Option 16b

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Willis
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Willis
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Willis
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Willis
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  
Reason for comment:
<p>| Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <strong>Consultee ID:</strong> 112681 Mr Willis | <strong>Agent ID:</strong> |
| <strong>Part of document:</strong> Option 16g | <strong>Section of Document:</strong> |
| <strong>Your View:</strong> Agree | <strong>Reason for comment:</strong> |
| <strong>Consultee ID:</strong> 112681 Mr Willis | <strong>Agent ID:</strong> |
| <strong>Part of document:</strong> Option 17a | <strong>Section of Document:</strong> |
| <strong>Your View:</strong> Agree | <strong>Reason for comment:</strong> |
| <strong>Consultee ID:</strong> 112681 Mr Willis | <strong>Agent ID:</strong> |
| <strong>Part of document:</strong> Option 17b | <strong>Section of Document:</strong> |
| <strong>Your View:</strong> Agree | <strong>Reason for comment:</strong> |
| <strong>Consultee ID:</strong> 112681 Mr Willis | <strong>Agent ID:</strong> |
| <strong>Part of document:</strong> Option 17c | <strong>Section of Document:</strong> |
| <strong>Your View:</strong> Agree | <strong>Reason for comment:</strong> |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Willis</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>112681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Willis</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>112681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Willis</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>112681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 18h

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18h

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 18i

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18i

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 18j

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18j

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 23c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 23c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Willis
112681

Part of document:  

Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Willis
112681

Part of document:  

Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Willis
112681

Part of document:  

Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 112681
Mr Willis
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23e
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112681
Mr Willis
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24a
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112681
Mr Willis
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24b
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112681
Mr Willis
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 24c
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Willis

Part of document:  ○ document  ○ chapter  ○ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ○ No opinion  ○ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Willis

Part of document:  ○ document  ○ chapter  ○ section  ○ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ○ No opinion  ○ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Willis

Part of document:  ○ document  ○ chapter  ○ section  ○ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ○ No opinion  ○ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Willis

Part of document:  ○ document  ○ chapter  ○ section  ○ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ○ No opinion  ○ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25g
  - □ document
  - □ chapter
  - □ section
  - ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25d
  - □ document
  - □ chapter
  - □ section
  - ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25e
  - □ document
  - □ chapter
  - □ section
  - ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25f
  - □ document
  - □ chapter
  - □ section
  - ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 26a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 26b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 27a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 27c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 27d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 27e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112681</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td>Option 27f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Willis</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Housing Delivery</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Thirty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Infrastructure Requirements</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Willis</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112681</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 32a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>Option 2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
Agent ID: 112698

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
Agent ID: 112698

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
Agent ID: 112698

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
Agent ID: 112698

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl  
Agent ID: 112698

Part of document:  
- Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl  
Agent ID: 112698

Part of document:  
- Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl  
Agent ID: 112698

Part of document:  
- Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View:  
- Agree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl  
Agent ID: 112698

Part of document:  
- Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree

Reason for comment: 
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Consultee ID:  Mrs  Ertl  Agent ID:  112698
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 16b
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs  Ertl  Agent ID:  112698
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 16c
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs  Ertl  Agent ID:  112698
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 16d
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs  Ertl  Agent ID:  112698
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 16e
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 16f
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Option 16g
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Question 16h
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
Part of document: option
Section of Document: Question 16i
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
112698

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  ✔️ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔️ Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
112698

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  ✔️ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔️ Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
112698

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  ✔️ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  ✔️ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
112698

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  ✔️ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  ✔️ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 112698 Mrs Ertl

Part of document: Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 112698 Mrs Ertl

Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 112698 Mrs Ertl

Part of document: Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18j</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✔ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: |
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
112698

Part of document: Option 23a
Section of Document: Your View
☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
112698

Part of document: Option 23b
Section of Document: Your View
☐ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
112698

Part of document: Option 23d
Section of Document: Your View
☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Ertl
112698

Part of document: Option 23e
Section of Document: Your View
☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 112698
Mrs Ertl

Part of document: option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112698
Mrs Ertl

Part of document: option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112698
Mrs Ertl

Part of document: option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112698
Mrs Ertl

Part of document: option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 25a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 25b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 25c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 25d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>112698</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>112698</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>112698</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>112698</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 112698

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112698

Part of document: Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112698

Part of document: Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 112698

Part of document: Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID: 112698</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Ertl</td>
<td>Agent ID: 112698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Ertl</td>
<td>Agent ID: 112698</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Ertl</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Question Twenty Nine**

**Section of Document:** Housing Delivery

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Question 31a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Ertl</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>112698</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Question 32a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Question One**

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Option 2a

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Option 2b

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Option 3a

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Saunders  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Saunders    Agent ID: 114982

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders    Agent ID: 114982

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders    Agent ID: 114982

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders    Agent ID: 114982

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment: Infrastructure at present completely inadequate.

Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 6c**

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment: Get the basics on line before anything else.

**Option 7a**

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment: 

**Option 7b**

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Where is the evidence which suggests we need additional housing?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 8a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Section of Document: | | | |
|----------------------| | | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>✔ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 8b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Section of Document: | | | |
|----------------------| | | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 8c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Section of Document: | | | |
|----------------------| | | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 8d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Section of Document: | | | |
|----------------------| | | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>✔ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Saunders</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 9a**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 9b**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Question Ten**

**Site Allocation Thresholds**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11a**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Saunders  
Consultee ID: 114982

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is a need for evidence of the need for additional housing.

Consultee ID: Saunders  
Consultee ID: 114982

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is a need for evidence of the need for additional housing.

Consultee ID: Saunders  
Consultee ID: 114982

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There is a need for evidence of the need for additional housing.

Consultee ID: Saunders  
Consultee ID: 114982

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Saunders
114982

Part of document: Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
114982

Part of document: Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
114982

Part of document: Option 12e

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
114982

Part of document: Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 12h

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 14a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 14b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 14c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Saunders

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Saunders</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 14h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Saunders</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 15a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Saunders</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 15b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Saunders

Agent ID:

114982

Part of document: Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Agent ID:

114982

Part of document: Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Agent ID:

114982

Part of document: Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Agent ID:

114982

Part of document: Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Saunders
Agent ID:

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Question 15h

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
Agent ID:

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 16a

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
Agent ID:

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 16b

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
Agent ID:

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 16c

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Saunders</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Saunders</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Saunders</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Saunders</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19c**

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 19d

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 19e

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 20a

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 23c

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 20b

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 20c

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 21a

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 21b

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 21c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 21d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 21e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 21f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Saunders
114982

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
114982

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
114982

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114982</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 23e
- Option 24a
- Option 24b
- Option 24c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Page 5293 of 6217
Consultee ID: Saunders
Consultee ID: Saunders
Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document:
- Option 24d
- Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View:
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
Consultee ID: Saunders
Consultee ID: Saunders
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID: 114982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID: 114982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID: 114982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Saunders</th>
<th>Agent ID: 114982</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Saunders
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 25e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 25f
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 26a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 26b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Saunders
114982

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
114982

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
114982

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders
114982

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders

Part of document: Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Yes or no questionnaires are totally inadequate to decide this matter.
Consultee ID: Saunders  Agent ID: 114982

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Saunders  Agent ID: 114982

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Was delivered too late.

Consultee ID: Stoner-Moore  Agent ID: 114991

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Stoner-Moore  Agent ID: 114991

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114991</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114991</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114991</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114991</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑  Agree  □  No opinion  □  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 16h

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑  Agree  □  No opinion  □  Disagree

Reason for comment:

There is too much housing for the younger generations. What about housing for the elderly?

Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View  □  Agree  □  No opinion  ☑  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Stoner-Moore
Part of document:  
Section of Document:
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Stoner-Moore
Part of document:  
Section of Document:
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Stoner-Moore
Part of document:  
Section of Document:
Your View  
Reason for comment:

There is too much crime in these areas without enough infrastructure (local gallows with good transport links?).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stoner-Moore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114991</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stoner-Moore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114991</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Stoner-Moore</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>114991</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Wright
115010

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Wright
115010

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Wright
115010

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Wright
115010

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Part of document: Option 4e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
East of Polegate along A27 and East at Hailsham near new school.

Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Wright  Agent ID: 115010

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Villages are not well served by public transport.

Consultee ID: Wright  Agent ID: 115010

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright  Agent ID: 115010

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright  Agent ID: 115010

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Wright
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 11b
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 15e
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 15b
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 15c
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 15d:

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright

Part of document: Option 15d

Consultee ID: Wright

Part of document: Option 15e

Consultee ID: Wright

Part of document: Question 15g

Consultee ID: Wright

Part of document: Question 15g

Reason for comment:

Development should be close to the town centre.
Consultee ID: 115010

Part of document: Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115010

Part of document: Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115010

Part of document: Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115010

Part of document: Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 16d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 16f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 16e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 16g

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Wright 115010

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Question 16h

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
New housing should be linked to existing public transport facilities.

Consultee ID: Wright 115010

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 17a

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright 115010

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 17b

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright 115010

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 17c

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Wright 115010

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document: Question 17e

Reason for comment: We need more mixed developments.

Consultee ID: Wright 115010

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document: Question 17e

Reason for comment: We need more mixed developments.

Consultee ID: Wright 115010

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document: Question 17e

Reason for comment: We need more mixed developments.
Consultee ID: Wright
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18d
Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18e
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18g
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18h
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Option 18j

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

Any development should expand on existing facilities.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Question 19f

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

Any development should expand on existing facilities.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Option 24a

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Option 24b

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 115010
Part of document:☐ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115010
Part of document:☐ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115010
Part of document:☐ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115010
Part of document:☐ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Wright 115010
Part of document: Option 27e  □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright 115010
Part of document: Option 27e  □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright 115010
Part of document: Option 27f  □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Wright 115010
Part of document: Option 28a  □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 28b

**Section of Document:**  
Agent ID: Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Wright</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Option 28c

**Section of Document:**  
Agent ID: Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Filtness</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
Question One

**Section of Document:**  
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Affordable housing for local people based on existing developments; however developers must be sensitive to areas of natural beauty and the preservation of such areas.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 115024

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
I would support an even higher percentage if such a rate were possible.

Consultee ID: 115024

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Mixed housing can cause tension between residential and business properties.

Consultee ID: 115024

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
With the inclusion of adequate data proving market need.

Consultee ID: 115024

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Larger business space should not be precluded when need is evident.
Consultee ID: 115024

Part of document:  
- Option 3d

Section of Document:
The average Wealden resident may not live close to work.

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115024

Part of document:  
- Section 3

Section of Document: The Sussex Coast Economy

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
The Hailsham/Eastbourne Triangle has not been the subject of any planning consultations; however current planning legislation dictates a consultation must be held.

Consultee ID: 115024

Part of document:  
- Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115024

Part of document:  
- Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
A green belt should be reserved between Hailsham and any new development. The planning department should also consider improvements to the Cophall roundabout and A27 between Beddingham and Polegate.

Unless strong evidence is shown in support for planning.

The threat of urban sprawl must be taken into account.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Filtness</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 5c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

I believe that the creation of a new settlement is the best option and should be given priority over the extension of existing settlements.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Filtness</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 6d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

I believe the even distribution of developments between town and city, mirroring the current trend within the Wealden district, should be maintained.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Filtness</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 7a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Filtness</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 7b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Option 7c

**Reason for comment:**

Growth should be capped (i.e. 10% over 5 years) to ensure character of community.

### Option 9c

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 7d

**Reason for comment:**

The distinction between large and small Local Service Centres appears to be fluid. Buxted, East Hoathly and Westham are represented as Smaller Local Service Centres despite being larger in size than Herstmonceux and Horam.
Consultee ID: 115024

Option 8a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
The infrastructure cannot cope with plans already conceived- as dictated in the non statutory local plan- therefore further development in this area cannot be achieved successfully.

Consultee ID: 115024

Option 8d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115024

Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115024

Option 11a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Should be left to the Crowborough residents.
### Option 12a

**Consultee ID:** 115024  
**Part of document:** Option 12a  
**Reason for comment:** Should be determined by the Heathfield residents.

### Option 13a

**Consultee ID:** 115024  
**Part of document:** Option 13a  
**Reason for comment:**

### Option 15e

**Consultee ID:** 115024  
**Part of document:** Option 15e  
**Reason for comment:** The residents of Uckfield should decide. Flooding is a threat that should be considered when planning any developments in Uckfield.

### Option 14a

**Consultee ID:** 115024  
**Part of document:** Option 14a  
**Reason for comment:**
Reason for comment:
The Cuckmere flood plain must be taken in to account; both as a green belt and as a high risk area for flooding.

Reason for comment:
This area is part of an SSSI.
Consultee ID: 115024

Option 15d

Reason for comment:
Further development would snap an already overstretched infrastructure; bringing chaos and misery to millions.

Consultee ID: 115024

Option 15f

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115024

Question 15h

Reason for comment:
The A22 facilitates the purpose of a natural and logical barrier whose binary form functions to prolongate the pastoral tradition - a disappearing tradition due to rising population and urban sprawl - which separates Hailsham from Arlington Parish.

Consultee ID: 115024

Question 15i

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 115024

**Part of document:**  
Option 16a  

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This should be a consideration for the Polegate/Willingdon residents.

---

Consultee ID: 115024

**Part of document:**  
Option 17a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

This should be determined by the residents of Stone Cross.

---

Consultee ID: 115024

**Part of document:**  
Option 18j

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Instead of Hailsham/Hellingly- two major projects, with good transport links, could be undertaken; Berwick and Polegate would be convenient sites.

---

Consultee ID: 115024

**Part of document:**  
Option 19a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 115024
Part of document: Option 20a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
I query the need for additional developments to those proposed in the non statutory plan.

Consultee ID: 115024
Part of document: Option 21a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115024
Part of document: Option 22a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115024
Part of document: Option 23a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
I query the need for additional developments to those proposed in the non statutory plan.
Consultee ID: Fitnes Agent ID:
115024
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
A density of 30-35 dwellings per hectare for rural parts, with perhaps a proportionate high density for more urban areas.

Consultee ID: Fitnes Agent ID:
115024
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Flats are not the only option for small dwellings.

Consultee ID: Fitnes Agent ID:
115024
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fitnes Agent ID:
115024
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 115024
Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Only if ancillary dwelling and main property are tied together by an enforceable planning condition.

Consultee ID: 115024
Part of document: Option 25e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115024
Part of document: Option 25f
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Only if ancillary dwelling is tied to business my enforceable planning condition.

Consultee ID: 115024
Part of document: Option 25g
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I believe that a light railway link should be built between Hailsham and Polegate to link the national rail.
Consultee ID: 115024
Part of document: Option 28a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115024
Part of document: Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115024
Part of document: Option 28c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115024
Part of document: Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: 115024

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Land should be subject to vacant land tax- similar to vacant property tax- in order to discourage land banking.

Consultee ID: 115024

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
It is vital to ballast existing infrastructure by eradicating problems and developing further infrastructure. Dual examples are the water and sewerage facilities in Hailsham and Uckfield- which I fully support- and the building of roads which I do not support.

Consultee ID: 115024

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115024

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Question One
- Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
- **Your View**
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - **Disagree**
- **Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Question One
- Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
- **Your View**
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - **Disagree**
- **Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 2a
- Section of Document:
- **Your View**
  - **Agree**
  - No opinion
  - No Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 2b
- Section of Document:
- **Your View**
  - **Agree**
  - No opinion
  - No Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: Option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree, No opinion, Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Allcock
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree  □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: Option 7a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: Option 7b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Allcock  Agent ID:
115143

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View    ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock  Agent ID:
115143

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 13a

Your View    □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock  Agent ID:
115143

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 14a

Your View    □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock  Agent ID:
115143

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document: Option 14b

Your View    □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 14i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Allcock  Agent ID:
115143
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock  Agent ID:
115143
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock  Agent ID:
115143
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock  Agent ID:
115143
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15e
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Option 16a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Option 15f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Question 15h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Option 16b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Allcock
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Part of document:  
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  
115143

Part of document:  
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  
115143

Part of document:  
Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  
115143

Part of document:  
Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View:  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 115143

Part of document: Option 17c

Section of Document: Option 17c

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143

Part of document: Option 18a

Section of Document: Option 18a

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document: Option 18b

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143

Part of document: Option 18c

Section of Document: Option 18c

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Allcock
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 115143

Part of document: Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143

Part of document: Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Allcock
115143

Option 19b

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
115143

Option 19c

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
115143

Option 19d

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
115143

Option 19e

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Option 20a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Option 20b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Option 20c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Option 21a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [x]

**Option 21b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [x]

**Option 21c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [x]

**Option 21d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [x]

**Option 21e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Page 5354 of 6217
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 21f
- Option 22a
- Option 22b
- Option 22c

**Section of Document:**
- Your View
  - [ ] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 22a
- Option 22b
- Option 22c

**Section of Document:**
- Your View
  - [ ] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 22b
- Option 22c

**Section of Document:**
- Your View
  - [ ] Agree
  - [ ] No opinion
  - [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Allcock  Agent ID:
115143

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 22d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock  Agent ID:
115143

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock  Agent ID:
115143

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock  Agent ID:
115143

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Allcock
115143

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
115143

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
115143

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
115143

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25c**

**Section of Document:**

Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25g**

**Section of Document:**

Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 115143

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 26b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Allcock</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>115143</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Allcock
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ● option
Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View  ● Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ● option
Option 28c
Section of Document:
Your View  ● Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ● option
Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View  ● Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 115143
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ● option
Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ● Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Allcock
115143

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
115143

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Allcock
115143

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce
117507

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Option 2a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Option 2b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Option 3b**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✓ option

**Option 3c**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mrs Luce

Part of document: Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce

Part of document: Option 4a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce

Part of document: Question 4e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: All buildings should be kept within present industrial area, not spread into other areas.

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce

Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce
117507

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce
117507

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce
117507

Part of document: Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce
117507

Part of document: Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Luce 117507

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Question 6d

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

no more large estate ghettos

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce 117507

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce 117507

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce 117507

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  Agent ID: 117507

Part of document:  ✔ option
Question 7e

Section of Document:  

Your View  ✔ Agree  ✔ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
keep out the larger supermarkets. Build on outskirts and help to keep village shops alive

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  Agent ID: 117507

Part of document:  ✔ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:  

Your View  ✔ Agree  ✔ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  Agent ID: 117507

Part of document:  ✔ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:  

Your View  ✔ Agree  ✔ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  Agent ID: 117507

Part of document:  ✔ option
Question Ten

Section of Document:  Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ✔ Agree  ✔ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  
Part of document:  
Section of Document: 
Agree  
Reason for comment: Do not know this area. There is no public transport form Willingdon/Polegate area.

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  
Part of document:  
Section of Document: 
Agree  
Reason for comment: Better public transport

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  
Part of document:  
Section of Document: 
Agree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  
Part of document:  
Section of Document: 
Disagree  
Reason for comment:
### Option 13a

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Luce  
117507

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Do not destro the outskirts of Tunbridge Wells as makes town attractive

---

### Option 16a

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Luce  
117507

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

---

### Option 16b

**Consultee ID:** Mrs Luce  
117507

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree
Consultee ID: Mrs Luce
Agent ID: 117507

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce
Agent ID: 117507

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce
Agent ID: 117507

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce
Agent ID: 117507

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Luce
Part of document: Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Polegate Town seems to be regenerating 1960's buildings. I love car parking and perhaps flats rather than houses in the town area near public transport.

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce
Part of document: Question 16h
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Polegate Town seems to be regenerating 1960's buildings. I love car parking and perhaps flats rather than houses in the town area near public transport.

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce
Part of document: Question 16i
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce
Part of document: Question 16j
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Totally feel countryside should be kept between Polegate/Willington.
Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Question 17d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Small mixed developments with housing for elderly and newly married couples
Consultee ID: Mrs Luce 117507

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 17e

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
More housing for elderly in Willingdon and Polegate - nicely landscaped and small development

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce 117507

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 18g

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce 117507

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 18h

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce 117507

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 18i

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID: 117507</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID: 117507</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID: 117507</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 20c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID: 117507</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Question 20d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

keep these areas as villages
Option 23a

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Option 23b

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Question 23f

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Option 25a

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>117507</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25c**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>117507</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25d**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>117507</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25e**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>117507</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25e**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  Agent ID: 117507

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  Agent ID: 117507

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  Agent ID: 117507

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  Agent ID: 117507

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 27b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 27c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 27d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 27e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Luce</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117507</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section</td>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117507</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section</td>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117507</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section</td>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117507</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section</td>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  
#### Part of document: Option
- Question Thirty
- Infrastructure Requirements
- Reason for comment:
  - Your View: Agree
  - Reason for comment: no more bypasses or large road construction - better public transport, bus shelters and time tables.

### Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  
#### Part of document: Option
- Question 31b
- Infrastructure Requirements
- Reason for comment:
  - Your View: Agree
  - Reason for comment: no more bypasses or large road construction - better public transport, bus shelters and time tables.

### Consultee ID: Mrs Luce  
#### Part of document: Option
- Question 32b
- Infrastructure Requirements
- Reason for comment:
  - Your View: Agree
  - Reason for comment: lots of pensioners live in large houses but cannot afford to move. Do not like large blocks of flats. Maisonettes with small gardens near to public transport. More retirement housing.

### Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams  
#### Part of document: Option
- Question One
- Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
- Reason for comment:
  - Your View: Disagree
  - Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams

Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams

Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3d**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 4a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 4c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: Question 5c
Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
settlement boundaries must be maintained very strict criteria must be applied if these boundaries have to be changed.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 6a:  
- Option 6b:  
- Option 6c:  
- Option 7a:  

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 8b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 8c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 8d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 9a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 9b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Question Ten

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Site Allocation Thresholds**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 11a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 12b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 11b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Option 11b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 11c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Option 11c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 11d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Option 11d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 11e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Option 11e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 11g**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 12a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 12c**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 12d**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 12e**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 12f**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 12g**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

No development within AONB which must be honoured and left untouched. Impact of more housing/industry on A265 would be catastrophic.

**Option 12h**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 12i**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
Sort out the traffic congestion problem in Heathfield High Street.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 13a**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 15e**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 13b**

**Reason for comment:**

Roads have to be improved. T/Wells has daily gridlocks. Direct Access to A21?
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 117513
Mr & Mrs Williams

Part of document: Option 14j

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117513
Mr & Mrs Williams

Part of document: Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117513
Mr & Mrs Williams

Part of document: Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117513
Mr & Mrs Williams

Part of document: Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 15d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 15f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 16a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 16b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16c**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16d**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16f**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16e**

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
Consultee ID: 117513

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Option 16g

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
Consultee ID: 117513

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Question 16i

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
Consultee ID: 117513

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Option 17a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
Consultee ID: 117513

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Option 17b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 17c**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18a**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18b**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18c**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams 117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams 117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams 117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams 117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams  
Consultee ID: 117513

Part of document:  
- Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams  
Consultee ID: 117513

Part of document:  
- Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams  
Consultee ID: 117513

Part of document:  
- Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams  
Consultee ID: 117513

Part of document:  
- Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>117513</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>117513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>117513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>117513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 117513  
Mr & Mrs Williams

Part of document:  
- Option 21e
- Option 21f
- Option 22a
- Option 22b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Your View

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 22c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☑ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 22d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Question 22e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

In regards to question 22A Only where High Weald AONB is not encroached upon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 23a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- **[ ] option**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Reason for comment: |

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- **[ ] option**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Reason for comment: |

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- **[ ] option**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Reason for comment: |

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- **[ ] option**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<p>| Reason for comment: |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 24b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 24c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 24d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 25a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Part of document:  
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

#### Option 27b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

#### Option 27c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

#### Option 27d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

#### Option 27e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
Part of document: Option 27f
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
Part of document: Option 28a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
Part of document: Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
Part of document: Option 28c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
Agent ID: 117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
Agent ID: 117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
Agent ID: 117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Williams
Agent ID: 117513

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Williams</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117513</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**
Question 32b

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
To many objectives to be able to agree to all of them.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117552</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117552</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 2b

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117552</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**
Option 3a

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID: 117552</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID: 117552</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID: 117552</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 4a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID: 117552</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 4c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Further along the Polegate by-pass.

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117552</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- option

**Option 5b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117552</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- option

**Option 6a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117552</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- option

**Option 7a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117552</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- option

**Option 7b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Agent ID: 117552

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 7c

Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Agent ID: 117552

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 7d

Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Agent ID: 117552

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 8a

Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Agent ID: 117552

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 8b

Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham 117552
Part of document: Option 8c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham 117552
Part of document: Option 8d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham 117552
Part of document: Option 9b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham 117552
Part of document: Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham  
117552

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham  
117552

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham  
117552

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham  
117552

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 15f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Leedham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16a</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Leedham</td>
<td>Agent ID: 117552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16b</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Leedham</td>
<td>Agent ID: 117552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Leedham</td>
<td>Agent ID: 117552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Agent ID: 117552

Part of document: option
Option 16d
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Agent ID: 117552

Part of document: option
Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Agent ID: 117552

Part of document: option
Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Agent ID: 117552

Part of document: option
Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Part of document: Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View   ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Part of document: Option 18b
Section of Document:
Your View   ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Part of document: Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View   ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Part of document: Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View   ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>117552</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Leedham</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>117552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Leedham</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>117552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Leedham</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>117552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Leedham</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117552</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18i

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18j

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117552</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 23a

**Section of Document:**
- Option 23b

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham Agent ID: 117552

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham Agent ID: 117552

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham Agent ID: 117552

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham Agent ID: 117552

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Part of document: Option 24d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Part of document: Option 25a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Part of document: Option 25b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Part of document: Option 25c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham 117552

Part of document: Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham 117552

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham 117552

Part of document: Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham 117552

Part of document: Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham  
Part of document: ☑ option 
Section of Document: Option 26a  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham  
Part of document: ☑ option 
Section of Document: Option 27b  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham  
Part of document: ☑ option 
Section of Document: Option 27c  
Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham  
Part of document: ☑ option 
Section of Document: Option 27d  
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham 117552

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham 117552

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham 117552

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham 117552

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Leedham
Part of document: Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
Part of document: Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
Part of document: Option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
Part of document: Option 2b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Larkin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:
**Option 5a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

#### Section of Document:
**Option 5b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

#### Section of Document:
**Question 5c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

Villages over developed at present and the existing flood defences do not work

#### Section of Document:
**Option 6a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[x] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Larkin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 6b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✚ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Larkin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 6c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✚ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Larkin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 7a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✚ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Larkin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 7a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
117560

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
117560

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
117560

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
117560

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
Part of document: Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Our concern is who is setting the agenda for the emerging approach. This is effectively a licence to make any changes deemed necessary to meet the Government / Councils agenda.

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
Part of document: Question 7e
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
Part of document: Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
Part of document: Option 19a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
117560

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
117560

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
117560

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
117560

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Larkin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Larkin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Larkin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Larkin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117560</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
Part of document: Option 25g
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
Part of document: Option 25e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Larkin
Part of document: Option 25f
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 117579
Leedham
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117579
Leedham
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117579
Leedham
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117579
Leedham
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Leedham
117579

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Leedham
117579

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Leedham
117579

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Leedham
117579

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Leedham 117579

Part of document: Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Leedham 117579

Part of document: Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Leedham 117579

Part of document: Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Leedham 117579

Part of document: Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Leedham
Part of document: Option 7c

Consultee ID: Leedham
Part of document: Option 7d

Consultee ID: Leedham
Part of document: Option 8a

Consultee ID: Leedham
Part of document: Option 8b
Consultee ID: Leedham 117579
Agent ID:

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 8c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Consultee ID: Leedham 117579
Agent ID:

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 8d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Consultee ID: Leedham 117579
Agent ID:

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Question Ten

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Consultee ID: Leedham 117579
Agent ID:

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 13a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Leedham
117579

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Leedham
117579

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Leedham
117579

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View
✔ Agree
☐ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Leedham
117579

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View
☐ Agree
☐ No opinion
✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID: 117579</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 15f</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Leedham</td>
<td>Agent ID: 117579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Leedham</td>
<td>Agent ID: 117579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Leedham</td>
<td>Agent ID: 117579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 117579
Leedham

Part of document: Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117579
Leedham

Part of document: Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117579
Leedham

Part of document: Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117579
Leedham

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18c
- **Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18d
- **Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18e
- **Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18f
- **Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="Option 18g" /></td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="document" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="Your View" /> Agree</td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="No opinion" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="Option 18h" /></td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="document" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="Your View" /> Agree</td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="No opinion" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="Option 18i" /></td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="document" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="Your View" /> Agree</td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="No opinion" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="Option 18j" /></td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="document" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="Your View" /> Agree</td>
<td><img src="checkbox" alt="No opinion" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Leedham  Agent ID:
117579

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Opposed to all new towns (settlements)

Consultee ID: Leedham  Agent ID:
117579

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Leedham  Agent ID:
117579

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Leedham  Agent ID:
117579

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option
Option 23e

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Leedham
117579

Part of document: Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View Agrees: No opinion: Disagree:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Leedham
117579

Part of document: Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View Agrees: No opinion: Disagree:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Leedham
117579

Part of document: Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View Agrees: No opinion: Disagree:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Leedham
117579

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View Agrees: No opinion: Disagree:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

Option 25a

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

Option 25b

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

Option 25c

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

Option 25g

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View ☒ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ☒ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ☒ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 117579

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117579

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117579

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117579

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Leedham</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Leedham</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** ☑ option

**Section of Document:** Housing Delivery

**Reason for comment:**

1. 
   *Question Twenty Nine*
   *Reason for comment:*
   
   Agree with most, however concern expressed about Eastbourne/Hailsham triangle which I believe would work against the other parts of spatial vision.

2. 
   *Question 31b*
   *Reason for comment:* Agree with most, however concern expressed about Eastbourne/Hailsham triangle which I believe would work against the other parts of spatial vision.

3. 
   *Question 32b*
   *Reason for comment:* Agree with most, however concern expressed about Eastbourne/Hailsham triangle which I believe would work against the other parts of spatial vision.

"part agree / part disagree Transport Any areas of search are meaningless (particularly for housing and economic development until the H Agency publish a preferred route for the A27. This is not likely until 2015. I am therefore opposed to all development west of the A22"
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Tyldsley  
**Agent ID:**
117592

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 2a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Tyldsley  
**Agent ID:**
117592

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 2b

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Tyldsley  
**Agent ID:**
117592

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 3a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Tyldsley
117592
Part of document: Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Tyldsley
117592
Part of document: Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Tyldsley
117592
Part of document: Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Tyldsley
117592
Part of document: Option 4a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 117592
Tyldsley

Part of document: Option 4c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 117592
Tyldsley

Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 117592
Tyldsley

Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 117592
Tyldsley

Part of document: Question 4e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

north of hailsham on A22 around Lower Dicker and half a mile north-2 small development areas on both sides of road.
Consultee ID: 117592

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117592

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117592

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117592

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 7a

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 7b

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 7c

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 7d

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 8d

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Question Ten
- Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15a

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15b

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 117592  Tyldesley  Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117592  Tyldesley  Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117592  Tyldesley  Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117592  Tyldesley  Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 117592
Tyldsley

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 15h

Your View
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117592
Tyldsley

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 16b

Your View
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117592
Tyldsley

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 16c

Your View
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 117592
Tyldsley

Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 16d

Your View
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 17b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Tyldesley</td>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Tyldesley</td>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Tyldesley</td>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Tyldesley</td>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 117592

Part of document: Option 16i

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Agent ID:

Consultee ID: 117592

Part of document: Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Agent ID:

Consultee ID: 117592

Part of document: Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Agent ID:

Consultee ID: 117592

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 117592

Part of document: [] document  [] chapter  [] section  [✓] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [] Agree  [] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 117592

Part of document: [] document  [] chapter  [] section  [✓] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [] Agree  [] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 117592

Part of document: [] document  [] chapter  [] section  [✓] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [] Agree  [] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: 117592

Part of document: [] document  [] chapter  [] section  [✓] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [✓] Agree  [] No opinion  [] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25g

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree

**Reason for comment:**
**Consultee ID:** 117592  
Tyldsley

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25d</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25e</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25f</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Tyldsley
117592

Part of document: Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Tyldsley
117592

Part of document: Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Tyldsley
117592

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Tyldsley
117592

Part of document: Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 27f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Option 28a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 28b
- Option 28c
- Question Twenty Nine
- Question Thirty

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 31a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 31a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Tyldsley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>117592</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 32a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty**

**Question One**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Watkins</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Watkins</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Watkins</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Watkins</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Watkins</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
Agent ID: 118290
Part of document: Option 6c
Section of Document: 
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: 
50-50, as at present, would be fairer.

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
Agent ID: 118290
Part of document: Question 6d
Section of Document: 
Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment: 
50-50, as at present, would be fairer.

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
Agent ID: 118290
Part of document: Option 7a
Section of Document: 
Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
Agent ID: 118290
Part of document: Option 7b
Section of Document: 
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins 
Consultee ID: 118290 
Part of document: Option 7c 
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree 
Reason for comment: Your View 
Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins 
Consultee ID: 118290 
Part of document: Option 7d 
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree 
Reason for comment: Your View 
Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins 
Consultee ID: 118290 
Part of document: Option 8a 
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree 
Reason for comment: Your View 
Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins 
Consultee ID: 118290 
Part of document: Option 8b 
Section of Document: 
Your View: Disagree 
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins

Part of document: Option 8c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins

Part of document: Option 8d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins

Part of document: Option 9a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins

Part of document: Option 9b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
118290

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
118290

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 15e

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
118290

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 15a

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
118290

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 15b

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15c**

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15d**

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15f**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 15h**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- **Option 16a**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- **Option 16b**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- **Option 16c**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- **Option 16d**
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
118290

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
118290

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
118290

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
118290

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins  
118290

Part of document: Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins  
118290

Part of document: Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins  
118290

Part of document: Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins  
118290

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment: Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 18c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 18d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 18e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Option 18f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118290</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118290</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118290</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118290</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Watkins</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 24a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 24b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 24c**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 24d**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Option 25a

Consultee ID: 118290
Mrs Watkins

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [X] option

Section of Document: 

Your View: [X] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Option 25b

Consultee ID: 118290
Mrs Watkins

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [X] option

Section of Document: 

Your View: [X] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Option 25c

Consultee ID: 118290
Mrs Watkins

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [X] option

Section of Document: 

Your View: [X] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Option 25g

Consultee ID: 118290
Mrs Watkins

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [X] option

Section of Document: 

Your View: [X] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Page 5491 of 6217
Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
Agent ID: 118290

Part of document: option

Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
Agent ID: 118290

Part of document: option

Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
Agent ID: 118290

Part of document: option

Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
Agent ID: 118290

Part of document: option

Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
Part of document: Option 26b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
Part of document: Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins
Part of document: Option 27c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins

Option 27d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins

Option 27f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins

Option 28a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins

Part of document: Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins

Part of document: Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins

Part of document: Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Watkins

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID:  Mrs Watkins  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Question Thirty

Section of Document:  Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Watkins  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Watkins  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Morris  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morris</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Checkboxes:  
  - document  
  - chapter  
  - section  
  - option

**Section of Document:**  
- Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View:**  
- Checkboxes:  
  - Agree  
  - No opinion  
  - Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Part of document:**  
- Checkboxes:  
  - document  
  - chapter  
  - section  
  - option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Checkboxes:  
  - Agree  
  - No opinion  
  - Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Part of document:**  
- Checkboxes:  
  - document  
  - chapter  
  - section  
  - option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Checkboxes:  
  - Agree  
  - No opinion  
  - Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Part of document:**  
- Checkboxes:  
  - document  
  - chapter  
  - section  
  - option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- Checkboxes:  
  - Agree  
  - No opinion  
  - Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
118291

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
118291

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
118291

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
118291

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
Agent ID:  
118291

Part of document: Document  
Option 4b

Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
Agent ID:  
118291

Part of document: Document  
Option 4d

Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
Agent ID:  
118291

Part of document: Document  
Option 5a

Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
Agent ID:  
118291

Part of document: Document  
Option 5b

Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:
Question 5c
Reason for comment:
Keep towns separate.

Question 6d
Reason for comment:
No development in villages.

Option 7a
Reason for comment:

Option 7b
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Morris</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document: Option 7c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Your View: Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Share out, the numbers of housing needed, among towns. Mix of styles, this is sussex.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Morris</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document: Option 7d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Your View: Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Morris</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document: Question Ten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Your View: Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Morris</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>Document: Option 15e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Your View: Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
Part of document: ☑ option
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID:  Mrs Morris  Agent ID: 118291

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Morris  Agent ID: 118291

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Morris  Agent ID: 118291

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mrs Morris  Agent ID: 118291

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
118291

Part of document:  
Option 16d  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
118291

Part of document:  
Option 16f  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
118291

Part of document:  
Option 16e  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
118291

Part of document:  
Option 16g  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
Agent ID: 118291

Part of document: Option 17a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:
We need a park (!!!).

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
Agent ID: 118291

Part of document: Option 17b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑  Agree  ☐  No opinion  ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:
No new towns: ugly, problematic and just not sussex.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morris</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 17c
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [✓]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morris</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18h
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [✓]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morris</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18i
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [✓]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morris</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18j
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [✓]

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
118291

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
118291

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
118291

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
118291

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
Part of document: Option 23e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
Part of document: Option 24a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
Part of document: Option 24b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
Part of document: Option 24c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
Agent ID: 118291

Part of document:  □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
Agent ID: 118291

Part of document:  □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
Agent ID: 118291

Part of document:  □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
Agent ID: 118291

Part of document:  □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morris</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Morris</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Morris</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Morris</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
118291

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
118291

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
118291

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris
118291

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulate ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morris</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulate ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morris</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulate ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morris</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulate ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morris</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
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Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
118291  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 27f  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
118291  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 28a  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
118291  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 28b  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mrs Morris  
118291  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 28c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morris</th>
<th>118291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document _☐_ 
- Chapter _☐_ 
- Section _☐_ 
- Option _☒_  

**Question Twenty Nine**

**Section of Document:** Housing Delivery

**Your View**
- Agree _☐_ 
- No opinion _☐_ 
- Disagree _☒_ 

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morris</th>
<th>118291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document _☐_ 
- Chapter _☐_ 
- Section _☐_ 
- Option _☒_  

**Question Thirty**

**Section of Document:** Infrastructure Requirements

**Your View**
- Agree _☒_ 
- No opinion _☐_ 
- Disagree _☐_ 

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morris</th>
<th>118291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document _☐_ 
- Chapter _☐_ 
- Section _☐_ 
- Option _☒_  

**Question 31a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree _☒_ 
- No opinion _☐_ 
- Disagree _☐_ 

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Morris</th>
<th>118291</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document _☐_ 
- Chapter _☐_ 
- Section _☐_ 
- Option _☒_  

**Question 32a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree _☒_ 
- No opinion _☐_ 
- Disagree _☐_ 

**Reason for comment:**

---
Mrs Morris

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
1. What about Brown Field sites? Change the 'no change' policy for business properties. 2. What about facilities for the young? Cricket not house breaking. 3. What about the older generation. Give Hindlands back to the people.

Mr & Mrs Wareen

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mr & Mrs Wareen

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Mr & Mrs Wareen

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 2b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td>118292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 3d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- document</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- chapter</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- section</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- option</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td>118292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 4c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- document</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- chapter</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- section</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- option</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td>118292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 4b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- document</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- chapter</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- section</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- option</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td>118292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 4d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- document</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- chapter</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- section</td>
<td>□</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- option</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

#### Option 5a

- **Your View**: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
- **Reason for comment**: 

#### Option 5b

- **Your View**: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
- **Reason for comment**: 

#### Option 6a

- **Your View**: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
- **Reason for comment**: 

#### Option 6b

- **Your View**: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
- **Reason for comment**: 

---
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Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen

Part of document: Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen

Part of document: Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen

Part of document: Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen

Part of document: Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 9c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 7d

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 8b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question Ten

**Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 12d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View** | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 12e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View** | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 12f**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View** | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Question 12g**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View** | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree |

**Reason for comment:**

---
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Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen  
118292

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen  
118292

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 13a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen  
118292

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen  
118292

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 118292</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Part of document:** | Document: | Chapter: | Section: | Option:  
| Option 14b & 14c & 14d & 14e | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree | Reason for comment: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 118292</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Part of document:** | Document: | Chapter: | Section: | Option:  
| Option 14b | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree | Reason for comment: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 118292</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Part of document:** | Document: | Chapter: | Section: | Option:  
| Option 14c | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree | Reason for comment: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 118292</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Part of document:** | Document: | Chapter: | Section: | Option:  
| Option 14d | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree | Reason for comment: |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 118292</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Part of document:** | Document: | Chapter: | Section: | Option:  
| Option 14e | ☑ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree | Reason for comment: |
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 14j

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen  
Consultee ID: 118292  
Option 15a  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen  
Consultee ID: 118292  
Option 15b  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen  
Consultee ID: 118292  
Option 15c  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen  
Consultee ID: 118292  
Option 15d  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 16c

Section of Document:
Your View
[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 16d

Section of Document:
Your View
[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 16f

Section of Document:
Your View
[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 16e

Section of Document:
Your View
[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 16g

Reason for comment:

Option 17a

Reason for comment:

Option 17b

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18d**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18e**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18f**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18g**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen

118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen

118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen

118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen

118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118292</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118292</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118292</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118292</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 118292  Mr & Mrs Wareen  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 20a

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 118292  Mr & Mrs Wareen  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 23c

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 118292  Mr & Mrs Wareen  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 20b

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 118292  Mr & Mrs Wareen  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 20c

Section of Document:  
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- document
- chapter
- section
- option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 22c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 22d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 23a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 23b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</td>
<td>Agent ID: 118292</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23e</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</td>
<td>Agent ID: 118292</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 24a</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</td>
<td>Agent ID: 118292</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 24b</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</td>
<td>Agent ID: 118292</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen
118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulate ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulate ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulate ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulate ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 26b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 26a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Wareen</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen 118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen 118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen 118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen 118292

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Wareen

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Tripp  
118294

Part of document:  
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Tripp  
118294

Part of document:  
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Tripp  
118294

Part of document:  
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Tripp  
118294

Part of document:  
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Tripp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 3a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section □ option</td>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Tripp</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Part of document:*

- Option 4c
- Option 4b
- Option 5a
- Option 5b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |
|---------------------||

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Tripp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 6a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Tripp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 6b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Tripp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 6c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Tripp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 7a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option:</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Mr Tripp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 7b

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View:
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Tripp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 7c

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View:
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Tripp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 7d

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View:
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Tripp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 25g

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View:
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Tripp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 26a

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Tripp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 27c

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Tripp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 27d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Tripp</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 27e

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mr Tripp  
Agent ID: 118294

**Part of document:**  
- Option 27f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Consultee ID: Mr Tripp  
Agent ID: 118294

**Part of document:**  
- Option 28a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Consultee ID: Mr Tripp  
Agent ID: 118294

**Part of document:**  
- Option 28b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Consultee ID: Mr Tripp  
Agent ID: 118294

**Part of document:**  
- Question Twenty Nine

**Section of Document:**  
- Housing Delivery

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Question 31a

**Consultee ID:** Mr Tripp  
118294

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View:**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Question 32a

**Consultee ID:** Mr Tripp  
118294

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View:**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 4a

**Consultee ID:** Miss Drew  
118297

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  

**Your View:**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Question One

**Consultee ID:** Miss Drew  
118297

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View:**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
118297
Part of document:  
Option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
118297
Part of document:  
Option 2b
Section of Document:
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
118297
Part of document:  
Option 3a
Section of Document:
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
118297
Part of document:  
Option 3b
Section of Document:
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
Agent ID: 118297

Part of document:   □ document    □ chapter   □ section  ☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
Agent ID: 118297

Part of document:   □ document    □ chapter   □ section  ☑ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
Agent ID: 118297

Part of document:   □ document    □ chapter   □ section  ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree    □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
Agent ID: 118297

Part of document:   □ document    □ chapter   □ section  ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

#### Section of Document:
- Option 4d

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

#### Section of Document:
- Option 5a

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

#### Section of Document:
- Option 5b

#### Your View
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

#### Section of Document:
- Option 6a

#### Your View
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 7a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 7c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 9c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Miss Drew Agent ID:
118297

Part of document: document chapter section option
Option 7d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew Agent ID:
118297

Part of document: document chapter section option
Option 8a

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew Agent ID:
118297

Part of document: document chapter section option
Option 8b

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew Agent ID:
118297

Part of document: document chapter section option
Option 8c

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
118297

Part of document:  
- Option 8d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
118297

Part of document:  
- Option 9a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
118297

Part of document:  
- Option 9b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
118297

Part of document:  
- Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 11a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☐ option</td>
<td>Option 12b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 11b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td>Option 11c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Drew</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 11d

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

- [ ] Option 11d

**Your View:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 11e

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

- [ ] Option 11e

**Your View:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question 11g

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

- [ ] Question 11g

**Your View:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 12a

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

- [ ] Option 12a

**Your View:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 12e

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 12h

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>✅ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 13a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree No opinion Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>✅ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree No opinion Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>✅ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree No opinion Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>✅ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong></td>
<td>Agree No opinion Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Drew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15f

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 16a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
118297

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
118297

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
118297

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
118297

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16e**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Miss Drew  
**Agent ID:** 118297

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16g**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Miss Drew  
**Agent ID:** 118297

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 16i**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Miss Drew  
**Agent ID:** 118297

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 16j**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Limitation of infrastructure.
Consultee ID: Miss Drew

Option 17a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew

Option 17b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew

Option 17c

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew

Question 17d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Drew
118297

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew
118297

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew
118297

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew
118297

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18h</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18i</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18j</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 18k</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Drew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 23c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 20b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 20c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 20d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 21a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Document</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>Section</td>
<td>Option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 21d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Drew
Agent ID:
118297

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew
Agent ID:
118297

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew
Agent ID:
118297

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 21g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew
Agent ID:
118297

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 22b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 22c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 22d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question 22e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
Agent ID: 118297  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 23a  
Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
Agent ID: 118297  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 23b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
Agent ID: 118297  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 23d  
Section of Document:  
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Drew  
Agent ID: 118297  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 23e  
Section of Document:  
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Question 23f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Drew</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Drew</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td>☐ option</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑️ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>118297</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25e</td>
<td>☑️ option</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑️ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑️ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 25f
  - Document
  - Chapter
  - Section
  - Option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 26b
  - Document
  - Chapter
  - Section
  - Option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 26a
  - Document
  - Chapter
  - Section
  - Option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Drew</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118297</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27a
  - Document
  - Chapter
  - Section
  - Option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
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Consultee ID: Miss Drew 118297

Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew 118297

Part of document: Option 27c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew 118297

Part of document: Option 27d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew 118297

Part of document: Option 27e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Drew Agent ID: 118297
Part of document: Option 27f
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew Agent ID: 118297
Part of document: Option 28a
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew Agent ID: 118297
Part of document: Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew Agent ID: 118297
Part of document: Option 28c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Miss Drew
118297

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew
118297

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☐ option

Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew
118297

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☐ option

Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Drew
118297

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☐ option

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option **✓**

**Section of Document:**

### Option 4a

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- **✓** Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option **✓**

**Section of Document:**

### Question One

Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- **✓** Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option **✓**

**Section of Document:**

### Option 2a

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- **✓** Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option **✓**

**Section of Document:**

### Option 2b

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- **✓** Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document ✔️ option</td>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>100% urban, focussed on Hailsham, Crowborough and Uckfield.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document ✔️ option</td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document ✔️ option</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document ✔️ option</td>
<td>Question 6d</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 9c**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr & Mrs Connolly  
**Agent ID:**  
**118298**

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 8a**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr & Mrs Connolly  
**Agent ID:**  
**118298**

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 8b**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr & Mrs Connolly  
**Agent ID:**  
**118298**

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 8c**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
118298

Part of document: □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
118298

Part of document: □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View    ✓ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
118298

Part of document: □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View    ✓ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
118298

Part of document: □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✓ option

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
118298

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
118298

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
118298

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
118298

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 15f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hailsham has the necessary resources and facilities to accomodate growth.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 16c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>118298</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</td>
<td>Option 16c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>□ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>118298</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Option 16d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>118298</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Option 16f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>118298</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Option 16e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
118298

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
118298

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
118298

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
118298

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118298</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>◯ document</td>
<td>◯ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>◯ Agree</td>
<td>◯ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118298</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>◯ document</td>
<td>◯ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>◯ Agree</td>
<td>◯ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118298</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>◯ document</td>
<td>◯ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>◯ Agree</td>
<td>◯ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID: 118298</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>◯ document</td>
<td>◯ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>◯ Agree</td>
<td>◯ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 18h

Your View   ☑ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 18i

Your View   □ Agree   ☑ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 18j

Your View   □ Agree   ☑ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 18k

Your View   ☑ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

New houses, new jobs should be situated in urban areas. Villages neither need nor want them.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23b</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23d</td>
<td></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Option 23e**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Option 25a**

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Option 25a**

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

**Option 25b**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
Agent ID: 118298

Part of document: Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
Agent ID: 118298

Part of document: Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
Agent ID: 118298

Part of document: Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
Agent ID: 118298

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
Agent ID: 118298

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
Agent ID: 118298

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
Agent ID: 118298

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Connolly
Agent ID: 118298

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 27e

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consullee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 28a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consullee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 28b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consullee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Connolly</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118298</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 28c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 118803
Part of document: Option 4a
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 118803
Part of document: Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 118803
Part of document: Option 2a
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 118803
Part of document: Option 4c
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 118803

Part of document: Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 118803

Part of document: Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 118803

Part of document: Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 118803

Part of document: Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>118803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>118803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 16d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 16d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option  

**Option 16e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option  

**Option 16g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option  

**Option 16g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option  

**Question 16i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ☐ Agree  
- ☐ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 25d**

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**
- Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Collins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>118803</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 27d**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 28a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 28b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Question 32b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

1. Questionaire inadequate, town meeting(s) needed. 2. First time home buyers should have precedence and facilities for new housing must be varied and to a high standard. 3.Buisness parks not utilised and road systems terrible.
Consultee ID: Cornford Agent ID: 121736

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Cornford Agent ID: 121736

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Cornford Agent ID: 121736

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Cornford Agent ID: 121736

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✔

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- Agree: ✔
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: □

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✔

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- Agree: ✔
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: □

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✔

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- Agree: ✔
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: □

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- Document: □
- Chapter: □
- Section: □
- Option: ✔

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- Agree: ✔
- No opinion: □
- Disagree: □

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121736</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question 5c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td>Berwick stn - relax the boundaries to allow for infill North and South of railway, maybe as closes or crescents for improved appearance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Cornford</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>121736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 6b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Cornford</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>121736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 7a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Cornford</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>121736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 7c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Cornford</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>121736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121736</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 8c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121736</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121736</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Cornford</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |
Consultee ID: Cornford 121736

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cornford 121736

Part of document: Option 25f

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cornford 121736

Part of document: Option 25g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Cornford 121736

Part of document: Option 26b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 26a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Cornford</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121736</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 121736

Cornford

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121743

Mr Holland

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121743

Mr Holland

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121743

Mr Holland

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Holland</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121743</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Holland</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121743</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Holland</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16f</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121743</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Holland</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121743</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID:  Mr Holland
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID:  121743

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Holland
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID:  121743

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Holland
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID:  121743

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Taylor
Agent ID:  
Consultee ID:  121754

Part of document:  ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document:  Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Taylor
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121754</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Option 3d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121754</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Option 5a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121754</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Option 5b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Taylor</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121754</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑ option

**Question 5c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Once a boundary has been broken or extended this increase the chances of ever increasing boundaries. Brownfield sites must be first consideration.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>121754 Taylor</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>121754 Taylor</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c</td>
<td>121754 Taylor</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9c</td>
<td>121754 Taylor</td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor

Consultee ID: Taylor
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 19c</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Taylor  
Agent ID:  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor  
Agent ID:  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor  
Agent ID:  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor  
Agent ID:  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option  
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 121754  

Part of document: Option 20c  

Section of Document:  

Your View: Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 121754  

Part of document: Option 24a  

Section of Document:  

Your View: Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 121754  

Part of document: Option 24b  

Section of Document:  

Your View: Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: 121754  

Part of document: Option 24c  

Section of Document:  

Your View: Agree  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 121754

Part of document: Option 25c
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 121754

Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 121754

Part of document: Option 25e
Section of Document: 
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 121754

Part of document: Option 25f
Section of Document: 
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Taylor
121754
Part of document: Option 25g
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor
121754
Part of document: Option 26b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor
121754
Part of document: Option 26a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Taylor
121754
Part of document: Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Other issues must be considered 1) no area should be developed that is a possible flood plain or would affect a flood plain 2) areas of outstanding natural beauty must be preserved 3) no wild life, particularly protected species such as badgers should be affected
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
121762

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
121762

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
121762

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
121762

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 4e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
121762

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
121762

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
121762

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View   ✓ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
121762

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
121762

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
121762

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
121762

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
121762

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 8d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope

Part of document: Option 15f
Part of document: Option 16a
Part of document: Option 16b
Part of document: Option 16c

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View
Your View
Your View
Your View

Agent ID:
Agent ID:
Agent ID:
Agent ID:

☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☒ Disagree
☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☒ Disagree
☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☒ Disagree
☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☒ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>no box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>no box</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>box</td>
<td>no box</td>
<td>box</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>no box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>no box</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>box</td>
<td>no box</td>
<td>box</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>no box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>no box</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>box</td>
<td>no box</td>
<td>box</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>no box</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>no box</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>box</td>
<td>no box</td>
<td>box</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18e

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18f

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18g

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18h

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18j**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 24b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
Agent ID: 121762

Part of document:  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
Agent ID: 121762

Part of document:  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
Agent ID: 121762

Part of document:  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Hope
Agent ID: 121762

Part of document:  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  
Option 27e

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  
Question Twenty Nine

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  
Question Thirty

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

However I also disagree.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**  
Question 31a

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Hope</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121762</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✔️ option

**Question 32b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**    ✔️ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Halland Expanded Village development would impede on the proposed National Park and also on flood plains. Why does this questionnaire not promote new settlements?

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121771</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✔️ option

**Option 16a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✔️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121771</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✔️ option

**Option 16b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✔️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121771</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**    □ document    □ chapter    □ section    ✔️ option

**Option 16c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✔️ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121771</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16d

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121771</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16f

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121771</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16e

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121771</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16g

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 121771

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17a

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121771

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17b

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121771

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17c

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121771

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18j

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121771</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Morley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 3d

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 4a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 4a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Option 4c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 6a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 6b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 6c**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7a**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 7b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

### Option 7c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

### Option 9c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

### Option 7d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

----------

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

----------

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

----------

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 121783  Mr Morley  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 9a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121783  Mr Morley  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Question Ten

Section of Document:  
- Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121783  Mr Morley  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121783  Mr Morley  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 11b

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 11c

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 11d

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 11e

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question 11f

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Question 11g

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 12a

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

### Option 12c

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 12d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 12e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 12f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 12h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 13a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 14a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 14b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 14c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 14d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 14e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Option 15a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 15h

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 121783
Mr Morley
Agent ID: 

Part of document: Option 16d

Section of Document: 

Your View: 
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: 121783
Mr Morley
Agent ID: 

Part of document: Option 16f

Section of Document: 

Your View: 
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: 121783
Mr Morley
Agent ID: 

Part of document: Option 16e

Section of Document: 

Your View: 
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: 121783
Mr Morley
Agent ID: 

Part of document: Option 16g

Section of Document: 

Your View: 
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: 

Consultee ID: 121783
Mr Morley
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121783
Mr Morley
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121783
Mr Morley
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121783
Mr Morley
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consuldee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consuldee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consuldee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consuldee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID:  Mr Morley
121783

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Morley
121783

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Morley
121783

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View    ✔ Agree    □ No opinion    □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Morley
121783

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>your View</td>
<td>✔️ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔️ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>your View</td>
<td>✔️ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔️ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔️ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 19c

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View:**
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

### Part of document: Option 19d

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View:**
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

### Part of document: Option 20a

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View:**
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

### Part of document: Option 23c

- **Section of Document:**

- **Your View:**
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121783</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Option 20b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121783</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Option 20c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121783</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Option 21a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121783</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td>option</td>
<td>Option 21b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Morley</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 21c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121783</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 21d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121783</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 21e</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121783</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
<td>☐ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 21f</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID:  Mr Morley  Agent ID:
121783
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 22a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Morley  Agent ID:
121783
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 22b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Morley  Agent ID:
121783
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 22c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Morley  Agent ID:
121783
Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 22d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 23a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree ✔️  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 23b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree ✔️  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 23d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree ✔️  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 23e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree ✔️  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121783</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Morley</td>
<td>Agent ID: 121783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 24b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Morley</td>
<td>Agent ID: 121783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 24d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Morley</td>
<td>Agent ID: 121783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 25a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley
121783

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Morley

Part of document: Option 26a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley

Part of document: Option 27a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley

Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley

Part of document: Option 27c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Morley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Morley

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121783</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section <strong>✓</strong> option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121783</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section <strong>✓</strong> option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Question Twenty Nine Housing Delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121783</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section <strong>✓</strong> option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121783</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section <strong>✓</strong> option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Question 31a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121783</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong></td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section <strong>✓</strong> option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Morley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121783</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 32a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☐ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Bankhead</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 2a
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Bankhead</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 2b
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Bankhead</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3a
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Bankhead</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3b
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Bankhead</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Bankhead
121788

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Bankhead
121788

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Bankhead
121788

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 4b

**Your View:**  
✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 4d

**Your View:**  
✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 5a

**Your View:**  
✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 5b

**Your View:**  
✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  

---
Consultee ID: Bankhead

Part of document: Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Bankhead

Part of document: Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Bankhead

Part of document: Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Bankhead

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 16a

**Section of Document:**

*Your View*
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 16b

**Section of Document:**

*Your View*
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 16c

**Section of Document:**

*Your View*
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 16d

**Section of Document:**

*Your View*
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Bankhead
121788
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Bankhead
121788
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Bankhead
121788
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Bankhead
121788
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 28a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 28b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] document

**Section of Document:**
- Option 28c

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Bankhead</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] document

**Section of Document:**
- Option 28c

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] document

**Section of Document:**
- Question One
- Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] document

**Section of Document:**
- Option 2b

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

**Option 3a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✔ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

**Option 3c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✔ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

**Option 3d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✔ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✔ option  

**Option 4a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- □ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- ✔ Disagree  

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 4c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ![Agree]  ![No opinion]  ![Disagree]

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 4b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ![Agree]  ![No opinion]  ![Disagree]

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 4d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ![Agree]  ![No opinion]  ![Disagree]

**Reason for comment:**

### Question 4e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ![Agree]  ![No opinion]  ![Disagree]

**Reason for comment:**

This question is a farce as WDC, EBC & ESCC have already agreed a policy
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 5a

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 5b

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [x] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 6c

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**  
Option 7a

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 8b</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Fox</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>as long as they are affordable housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td></td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15e</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Fox</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td></td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15a</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Fox</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Fox</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- Option 15b

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- Option 15c

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- Option 15d

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- Option 15f

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Fox</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Fox</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 15h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Fox
121791

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121791

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121791

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Fox

Part of document: Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox

Part of document: Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox

Part of document: Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox

Part of document: Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 121791
Part of document: Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121791
Part of document: Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121791
Part of document: Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121791
Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 121791  
Part of document:  
Option 18g  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121791  
Part of document:  
Option 18h  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121791  
Part of document:  
Option 18i  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121791  
Part of document:  
Option 18j  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 121791

Part of document: Option 23e

Section of Document: Option 24c

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 121791

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document: Option 24d

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 121791

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document: Option 24d

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View
Consultee ID: Fox
121791

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121791

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121791

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121791

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Fox
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
Agent ID:

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Fox 121791
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox 121791
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 27c
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox 121791
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 27d
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox 121791
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 27e
Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121791</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 27f
- Option 28a
- Option 28b
- Option 28c

**Section of Document:**

- Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Reason for comment:**

- Your View
  - □ Agree
  - □ No opinion
  - ☑ Disagree

- Your View
  - ☑ Agree
  - □ No opinion
  - □ Disagree

- Your View
  - □ Agree
  - □ No opinion
  - ☑ Disagree

- Your View
  - □ Agree
  - □ No opinion
  - ☑ Disagree
In the Core Strategy wildlife corridors are mentioned but nowhere in the questionnaire do we have the opportunity to comment on this. The whole concept of a new settlement at Berwick and North East of Berwick Station is flawed. You say 4700-7000 houses could be hidden in folds of the land, what folds? It is a flat area. Ecological disaster for Arlington reservoir SSSI and the Cuckmere River Several historic sites in the area including an iron age settlement A tranquil area with very low noise readings Excessive light pollution dark skies would be lost for ever and would no longer be able to see the Milky Way with the naked eye. The river floods very badly The roads in the area could not cope with extra traffic one example, alternative route to Eastbourne through Littlington.
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 121793

Part of document: Option 2a

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121793

Part of document: Option 2b

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> document  <img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> chapter  <img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> section  <img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> Agree</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> document  <img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> chapter  <img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> section  <img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> Agree</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> document  <img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> chapter  <img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> section  <img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> Agree</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> document  <img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> chapter  <img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> section  <img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> Agree</td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /> No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
<td><img src="#" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: 121793
Part of document: Option 4a
Section of Document:
Your View    ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121793
Part of document: Option 4c
Section of Document:
Your View    ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121793
Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document:
Your View    ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121793
Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View    ☑ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Page 5700 of 6217
Consultee ID: Maynard  Agent ID: 121793
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard  Agent ID: 121793
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard  Agent ID: 121793
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 5c
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard  Agent ID: 121793
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 6c
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 7d

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Question 7e

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 8a

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 8b

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 8c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 8d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 9a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 9b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Maynard  
**Consultee ID:** 121793  
**Part of document:** Option 16a

**Response:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Maynard  
**Consultee ID:** 121793  
**Part of document:** Option 16b

**Response:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:** Maynard  
**Consultee ID:** 121793  
**Part of document:** Option 16c

**Response:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Maynard  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 16d  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Maynard  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 16f  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Maynard  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 16e  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Maynard  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 16g  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Maynard
121793
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard
121793
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard
121793
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard
121793
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18b**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:**

121793

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18c**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:**

121793

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18d**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:**

121793

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18e**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Maynard
121793
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard
121793
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18g
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard
121793
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18h
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard
121793
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 121793
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25e
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121793
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25f
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121793
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25g
Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121793
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 26a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121793</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121793</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121793</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Maynard</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121793</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Maynard
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Stallwood
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mrs Stallwood  Agent ID: 121801

Part of document:  
Box: document  
Box: chapter  
Box: section  
Tick: option  

Section of Document:  Settlemnts in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  
Tick: Agree  
Box: No opinion  
Tick: Disagree  

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Stallwood  Agent ID: 121801

Part of document:  
Box: document  
Box: chapter  
Box: section  
Tick: option  

Section of Document:  Option 2a

Your View  
Tick: Agree  
Box: No opinion  
Box: Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Stallwood  Agent ID: 121801

Part of document:  
Box: document  
Box: chapter  
Box: section  
Tick: option  

Section of Document:  Option 2b

Your View  
Box: Agree  
Box: No opinion  
Tick: Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mrs Stallwood  Agent ID: 121801

Part of document:  
Box: document  
Box: chapter  
Box: section  
Tick: option  

Section of Document:  Option 3a

Your View  
Box: Agree  
Box: No opinion  
Tick: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Stallwood</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121801</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Stallwood</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121801</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Stallwood</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121801</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Stallwood</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121801</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID:  Mrs Stallwood  
121801

Part of document:  
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑  Agree   ☐  No opinion   ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID:  Mrs Stallwood  
121801

Part of document:  
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View   ☐  Agree   ☐  No opinion   ☑  Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID:  Mrs Stallwood  
121801

Part of document:  
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑  Agree   ☐  No opinion   ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID:  Mrs Stallwood  
121801

Part of document:  
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑  Agree   ☐  No opinion   ☐  Disagree

Reason for comment:
<p>| Consultee ID: | Mrs Stallwood | Agent ID: | 121801 |
| Part of document: | | option | | | | option |
| Option 16d | Section of Document: | | | | | | Reason for comment: |
| Your View | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |
| Consultee ID: | Mrs Stallwood | Agent ID: | 121801 |
| Part of document: | option | | | | | | Option 16e | Section of Document: | | | | | | Reason for comment: |
| Your View | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |
| Consultee ID: | Mrs Stallwood | Agent ID: | 121801 |
| Part of document: | option | | | | | | Option 16g | Section of Document: | | | | | | Reason for comment: |
| Your View | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |
| Consultee ID: | Mrs Stallwood | Agent ID: | 121801 |
| Part of document: | option | | | | | | Option 16g | Section of Document: | | | | | | Reason for comment: |
| Your View | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Settlemens in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Watkins</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Green belts need to be maintained and Dittons road cannot support a settlement NE of Polegate.
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Only to fill local needs and gaps between villages must be maintained.

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 6c
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Watkins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

The current percentage split of 50/50 seems appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Question 6d
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Watkins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

The current percentage split of 50/50 seems appropriate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 7a
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Watkins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 7b
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Watkins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Part of document: Option 7c
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Infrastructure will be needed to be put in place before development undertaken. Larger/Smaller Local Service Centres distinction appears to be fluid.

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Part of document: Option 9c
Your View: Agree

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Part of document: Option 7d
Your View: Agree

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Part of document: Question 7e
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Agent ID: 121805
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Agent ID: 121805
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Agent ID: 121805
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Agent ID: 121805
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8d
Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 9a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Site Allocation Thresholds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 9b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Site Allocation Thresholds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Site Allocation Thresholds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 11a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Site Allocation Thresholds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 12b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 11b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 11c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Option 11d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 11f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 12c**

**Your View**  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 12d**

**Your View**  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 12e**

**Your View**  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 12f**

**Your View**  
[ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Question 12g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Question 12h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 13a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Option 15e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 14a</th>
<th></th>
<th>Option 14b</th>
<th></th>
<th>Option 14c</th>
<th></th>
<th>Option 14d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consulatee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Watkins</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ][ ] option

**Option 14e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

[ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ][ ] option

**Option 14f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

[ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ][ ] option

**Option 14g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

[ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ][ ] option

**Option 14h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

[ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ][ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Question 14j

Section of Document:

Your View [✓] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Option 15c

Section of Document:

Your View [✓] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☒

**Option 15f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☒

**Question 15g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☒

**Option 16a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: ☐
- Chapter: ☐
- Section: ☐
- Option: ☒

**Option 16a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
Agent ID: 121805

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16b
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
Agent ID: 121805

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 16c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
Agent ID: 121805

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 17a
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
Agent ID: 121805

Part of document:  
□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 17b
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121805</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>✔️ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 17c**

**Option 17c**

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Opportunity for further development to provide railway station.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121805</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>✔️ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 17d**

**Reason for comment:**

Opportunity for further development to provide railway station.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121805</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>✔️ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 17e**

**Reason for comment:**

Also Westham.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121805</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>✔️ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18a**

**Reason for comment:**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

---
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Agent ID: 121805

Part of document: Option 19c

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Agent ID: 121805

Part of document: Option 18b

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Agent ID: 121805

Part of document: Option 18c

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
Agent ID: 121805

Part of document: Option 18d

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ✔️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18f**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ✔️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18g**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ✔️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18h**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

Your View  ✔️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Question 18k

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

New infrastructure and travel routes must be linked to development.

Option 19a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Agree
No opinion
Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 19d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 19e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Question 19f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Watkins</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 20a
- Option 23c
- Option 20b
- Option 20c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:**

**Mr Watkins**

**Agent ID:**

---

**Consultee ID:**

**Mr Watkins**

**Agent ID:**

---

**Consultee ID:**

**Mr Watkins**

**Agent ID:**

---

**Consultee ID:**

**Mr Watkins**

**Agent ID:**
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
Consultee ID: 121805  

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option  

Section of Document:  
Question 20d

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
To meet local needs only.

---

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
Consultee ID: 121805  

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option  

Section of Document:  
Option 21a

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
Consultee ID: 121805  

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option  

Section of Document:  
Option 21b

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
Consultee ID: 121805  

Part of document:  
[ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option  

Section of Document:  
Option 21c

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins  
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

To meet local demand only.
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins

Part of document: ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID:  Mr Watkins  Agent ID:  
121805

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 23a

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Watkins  Agent ID:  
121805

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 23b

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Watkins  Agent ID:  
121805

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 23d

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:  Mr Watkins  Agent ID:  
121805

Part of document:  ☑ option  
Option 23e

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Watkins
121805

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 25e

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 25f

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 25g

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**
Option 26b

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 26a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Watkins</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 27a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Watkins</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Watkins</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 27c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121805</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

Your View

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

Your View

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

**Option 27f**

**Section of Document:**

Your View

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

**Option 28a**

**Section of Document:**

Your View

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Watkins</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121805</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View: Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Thirty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It is important to protect the character of our villages and to ensure they remain separate from the urban sprawl. Southern part of Wealden will be adjacent to, and in- a dual role unique to this district- the eastern end of the proposed South Downs project. A public transport link should therefore be created through either Berwick or Polegate.
Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlemets in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Beale

Option 3a

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale

Option 3b

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale

Option 3c

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale

Option 3d

Your View: [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Mr Beale 121809
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View     ☑ Agree     □ No opinion     □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale 121809
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View      ☑ Agree     □ No opinion     □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale 121809
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View    □ Agree     □ No opinion     ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale 121809
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View      ☑ Agree     □ No opinion     □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 5a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

**Option 5a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 5a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

**Option 5b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 5b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree

**Option 6a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 6a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View
  - Agree
  - No opinion
  - Disagree
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Option 6b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Your View**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Option 6c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Your View**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Option 7a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Your View**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Option 7b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Your View**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 7c

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 9c

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 7d

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 8a

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: 121809
Part of document: Option 8b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121809
Part of document: Option 8c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121809
Part of document: Option 8d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121809
Part of document: Option 9a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 9b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question Ten**

**Section of Document:**

**Site Allocation Thresholds**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 11a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 12b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Agent ID: 121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Agent ID: 121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 12a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Agent ID: 121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 12c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Agent ID: 121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 12d

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Agent ID: 121809

Part of document: Option 12e
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Agent ID: 121809

Part of document: Option 12f
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Agent ID: 121809

Part of document: Question 12h
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Agent ID: 121809

Part of document: Option 15e
☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 14d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 14d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option
Option 14e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option
Option 14f
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option
Option 14g
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option
Option 14h
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔ option</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔ option</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔ option</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✔ option</td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☒ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 15d
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 15f
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 15h
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 121809  Mr Beale

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121809  Mr Beale

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121809  Mr Beale

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121809  Mr Beale

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID:               Mr Beale               Agent ID:
121809
Part of document:          Option 16e
Section of Document:

Your View                  Agree       No opinion       Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:               Mr Beale               Agent ID:
121809
Part of document:          Option 16g
Section of Document:

Your View                  Agree       No opinion       Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID:               Mr Beale               Agent ID:
121809
Part of document:          Option 17a
Section of Document:

Your View                  Agree       No opinion       Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Part of document: Option 17b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Part of document: Option 17c
Section of Document:
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Part of document: Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
Part of document: Option 18b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Beale</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18g
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: **✓**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [✓]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18h
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: **✓**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: **✓**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18i
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: **✓**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [✓]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18j
  - Document: [ ]
  - Chapter: [ ]
  - Section: [ ]
  - Option: **✓**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: [✓]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 19a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 19b

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 19c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 19d

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 20a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 20b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 20c
Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 21a
Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 21b
Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Option 21c
Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree
☑️ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree
☑️ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree
☑️ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑️ Agree
☑️ No opinion
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121809</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 22b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121809</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 22c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121809</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 22d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121809</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 121809

Part of document: Option 23b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121809

Part of document: Option 23d
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121809

Part of document: Option 23e
Section of Document:
Your View: No opinion
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121809

Part of document: Option 24a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Beale

Part of document: Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale

Part of document: Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale

Part of document: Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121809</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong>:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document</strong>:</td>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong>:</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121809</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong>:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document</strong>:</td>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong>:</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121809</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong>:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document</strong>:</td>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong>:</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121809</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong>:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document</strong>:</td>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong>:</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment</strong>:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Mr Beale</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25e**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25f**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 26b**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Beale</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121809</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 26a**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Beale
121809

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Beale  
121809

Part of document:  
- Option 28c

Section of Document:  
- Option 28c

Reason for comment:  
- Your View: Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Beale  
121809

Part of document:  
- Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document:  
- Housing Delivery

Reason for comment:  
- Your View: Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Beale  
121809

Part of document:  
- Question Thirty

Section of Document:  
- Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:  
- Your View: Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Consultee ID: Mr Beale  
121809

Part of document:  
- Question 31a

Section of Document:  
- Infrastructure Requirements

Reason for comment:  
- Your View: Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree
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Consultee ID: Mr Beale 121809
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question 32a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Warner 121816
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Warner 121816
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 2a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Warner 121816
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 2b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Warner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121816</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Warner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121816</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Warner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121816</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Warner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121816</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 3d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Warner
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Warner
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Warner
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Warner
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 121816

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 4e

Reason for comment:
Polegate should remain as it is. New developments should be in the North of England where there is more space

Consultee ID: 121816

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 5b

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121816

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 9c

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121816

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 8a

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Warner
Agent ID:
121816

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Warner
Agent ID:
121816

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Warner
Agent ID:
121816

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Warner
Agent ID:
121816

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Warner
121816
Part of document: Option 16a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Warner
121816
Part of document: Option 16b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Warner
121816
Part of document: Option 16c
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Warner
121816
Part of document: Option 16d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: 121816
Warner
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16d
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121816
Warner
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16f
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121816
Warner
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16e
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121816
Warner
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16g
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Warner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121816</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 16h**

**Section of Document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
We have a low crime rate in Polegate and a nice High Street. Growth will destroy all of this and it will become like a London town where you are frightened to go out at night

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Warner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121816</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Question 16i**

**Section of Document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Warner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121816</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Question 16j**

**Section of Document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Build your house where Gordon Brown lives and let him have a taste of living with people who have no respect for anything.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Warner</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121816</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Option 18h**

**Section of Document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Warner
Agent ID:

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Warner
Agent ID:

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Warner
Agent ID:

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Warner
Agent ID:

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Warner  
121816

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:  
- Question 32b

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
if all these new homes need to be built then why keep letting people into this country. Our English countryside is being ruined just because the government cannot get a control on immigration.

---

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
121819

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:  
- Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
121819

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:  
- Option 2a

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
121819

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:  
- Option 2b

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID:
121819

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID:
121819

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID:
121819

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID:
121819

Part of document: ☑️ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 4a</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Option 4a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |
|---------------------| |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 4c</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Option 4c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |
|---------------------| |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 4b</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Option 4b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |
|---------------------| |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 4b</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Option 4b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |
|---------------------| |
Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Option 6b

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Option 6c

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Option 7a

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Part of document:
- Option 7b

#### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID: 121819

Part of document: Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID: 121819

Part of document: Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID: 121819

Part of document: Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID: 121819

Part of document: Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Lynn Agent ID:
121819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn Agent ID:
121819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn Agent ID:
121819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:
Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn Agent ID:
121819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 9a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
Part of document: Option 9b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
Part of document: Question Ten
Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
Part of document: Option 11a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
Part of document: Option 12b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11d**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11e**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 12e
- Option 12f
- Option 13a
- Option 15e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

### Option 12e

**Consultee ID:** Miss Lynn  
**Agent ID:**  
**Part of document:** Option 12e
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** Agree  
**Reason for comment:**

### Option 12f

**Consultee ID:** Miss Lynn  
**Agent ID:**  
**Part of document:** Option 12f
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** Agree  
**Reason for comment:**

### Option 13a

**Consultee ID:** Miss Lynn  
**Agent ID:**  
**Part of document:** Option 13a
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** Agree  
**Reason for comment:**

### Option 15e

**Consultee ID:** Miss Lynn  
**Agent ID:**  
**Part of document:** Option 15e
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View** Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID: 121819

Part of document: Option 14e
Section of Document:
Your View: Agrees
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID: 121819

Part of document: Option 14g
Section of Document:
Your View: Agrees
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID: 121819

Part of document: Option 14h
Section of Document:
Your View: Agrees
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID: 121819

Part of document: Question 14j
Section of Document:
Your View: Agrees
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15b**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15c**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15d**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID: 121819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID: 121819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID: 121819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID: 121819

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree

Reason for comment:
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Affordable housing for key workers. More sheltered housing for ageing population. Do we need a business park? Surely it will only attract more people to make it necessary to build more houses.

Option 17a

Reason for comment:

Option 17b

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Question 17e

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: False
- Chapter: False
- Section: False
- Option: True

**Option 18b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: True
- No opinion: False
- Disagree: False

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: False
- Chapter: False
- Section: False
- Option: True

**Option 18c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: False
- No opinion: False
- Disagree: True

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: False
- Chapter: False
- Section: False
- Option: True

**Option 18d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: False
- No opinion: False
- Disagree: True

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: False
- Chapter: False
- Section: False
- Option: True

**Option 18e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree: True
- No opinion: False
- Disagree: False

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18f
- Option 18g
- Option 18h

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 18f**

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 18g**

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 18h**

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Lynn Agent ID: 121819
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn Agent ID: 121819
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18j
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn Agent ID: 121819
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn Agent ID: 121819
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
121819

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
121819

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
121819

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
121819

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document: Option 23c
Section of Document:
Your View ✅ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document: Option 20b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✅ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document: Option 20c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✅ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn
121819

Part of document: Option 21a
Section of Document:
Your View ✅ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 121819 Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 21b</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 121819 Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 21c</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 121819 Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 21d</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 121819 Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 21e</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Lynn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 22a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 22b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 22c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Option 22d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Lynn Agent ID:
121819

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn Agent ID:
121819

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn Agent ID:
121819

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn Agent ID:
121819

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 23e

Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 24a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 24b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 24c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 24d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 25a</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 25b</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 25c</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 25d</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
121819  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 25e  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
121819  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 25f  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
121819  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 25g  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn  
121819  

Part of document: ☑ option  
Option 26b  

Section of Document:  

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 26a**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27a**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Miss Lynn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27d
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27e
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27f
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 28a
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Lynn

Part of document: Option 28b
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn

Part of document: Option 28c
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View: Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Lynn

Part of document: Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View: Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Lynn</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 31a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

I feel that the Sussex Coast Policy Area is being asked to take more than its fair share of housing bearing in mind its size in relation to the rest of Wealden. Also, the fact that as incomes are considerably higher in Wealden, we will have a greater problem of low cost housing in the SCPA. Our roads in SCPA are already used to capacity particularly in the holiday season - more roads, more cars, we will soon have reached gridlock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 4a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- **[ ] option**

**Section of Document:**

**Option 4a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>[ ]</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 4c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>[ ]</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 4c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>[ ]</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 4b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[ ]</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>[ ]</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 4b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ○ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 4d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
○ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 4d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
○ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 5a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ○ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Milledge  Agent ID: 121854

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge  Agent ID: 121854

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge  Agent ID: 121854

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge  Agent ID: 121854

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 7b

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**: disagreement
- **Reason for comment**: Your View

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 7c

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**: disagreement
- **Reason for comment**: Your View

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document: Option 7d

#### Section of Document:

- **Your View**: no opinion
- **Reason for comment**: Your View
Consultee ID: Milledge  Agent ID:
121854
Part of document: ☑ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge  Agent ID:
121854
Part of document: ☑ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 8a
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge  Agent ID:
121854
Part of document: ☑ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 8b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge  Agent ID:
121854
Part of document: ☑ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 8c
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 8d

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 15a

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 15a

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 15b

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✓ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✓ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 15b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 15b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 15c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Reason for comment: |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [X] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [X] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Milledge
Consultee ID: 121854
Agent ID:

Part of document: option
Option 15f
Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge
Consultee ID: 121854
Agent ID:

Part of document: option
Option 15f
Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge
Consultee ID: 121854
Agent ID:

Part of document: option
Option 16a
Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge
Consultee ID: 121854
Agent ID:

Part of document: option
Option 16a
Section of Document:

Your View  Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✅ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✅ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✅ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✅ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section of Document:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16d

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16d

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16e

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 16e

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16f**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16g**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16g**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 16i**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Milledge
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18h**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 18i**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Milledge</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Option 25a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Option 25b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Option 25c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Option 25c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: option

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25e**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25f**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 25f**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
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Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121854</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121854</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121854</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✔ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121854</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

- Option 28b

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

- Option 28c

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

- Option 28c

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Milledge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121854</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

- Question Twenty Nine

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Milledge
121854

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin
121855

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin
121855

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin
121855

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
| Part of document: | Option 8a | Document | Chapter | Section | | Option 8b | Document | Chapter | Section | | Option 8c | Document | Chapter | Section |
|------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|
Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin
Part of document: Option 8c
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin
Part of document: Option 8d
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin
Part of document: Option 8d
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin
Part of document: Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conseree ID:</th>
<th>Mr Goodwin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121855</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18a</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conseree ID:</th>
<th>Mr Goodwin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121855</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Conseree ID:</th>
<th>Mr Goodwin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121855</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 18c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121855</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☒ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121855</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121855</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121855</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☒ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Goodwin</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121855</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Goodwin</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121855</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Goodwin</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121855</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Goodwin</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121855</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin Agent ID: 121855

Part of document: ☑ option  Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin Agent ID: 121855

Part of document: ☑ option  Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin Agent ID: 121855

Part of document: ☑ option  Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin Agent ID: 121855

Part of document: ☑ option  Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18i</th>
<th>Option 18j</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document, Chapter, Section, Option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin
Agent ID: 121855

Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |
Reason for comment: | | | |

Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin
Agent ID: 121855

Option 18j
Section of Document:
Your View | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |
Reason for comment: | | | |

Consultee ID: Mr Goodwin
Agent ID: 121855

Option 18j
Section of Document:
Your View | Agree | No opinion | Disagree |
Reason for comment: | | | |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question One

#### Option 2b

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Reason for comment:**

-赞同
-不同意

### Option 4a

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

-赞同

### Option 4c

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

-赞同
Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm
121859

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm
121859

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm
121859

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm
121859

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree    □ No opinion    ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- ✔ option

**Question 5c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Have within settlement boundaries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- ✔ option

**Question 6d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Total number a little obscured so % of how many

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- ✔ option

**Option 8a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- ✔ option

**Option 8b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 8c

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 8c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

#### Option 8d

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 8d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

#### Question Ten

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Site Allocation Thresholds

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

#### Option 15a

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm
Option 15a
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm
Option 15b
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm
Option 17b
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm
Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Option 16g</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Option 17a</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Option 17c</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Question 17d</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm Agent ID: 121859

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 18a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm Agent ID: 121859

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 18c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm Agent ID: 121859

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 18d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm Agent ID: 121859

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 18d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm  
Part of document: Option 18e  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm  
Part of document: Option 18f  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm  
Part of document: Option 18g  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm  
Part of document: Option 18h  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18i**

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [x]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 18j**

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [x]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19a**

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [x]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 19b**

**Part of document:**
- Document [ ]
- Chapter [ ]
- Section [ ]
- Option [x]

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [x]

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm
Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm
Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm
Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm

Part of document: Option 19c
Part of document: Option 19d
Part of document: Option 19e
Part of document: Option 20a

Section of Document: Option 19c
Section of Document: Option 19d
Section of Document: Option 19e
Section of Document: Option 20a

Your View: Disagree
Your View: Disagree
Your View: Disagree
Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm  Agent ID: 121859

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm  Agent ID: 121859

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm  Agent ID: 121859

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm  Agent ID: 121859

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- ✔ option

**Option 26b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- ✔ option

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- ✔ option

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121859</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- ✔ option

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
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Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm  Agent ID: 121859

Part of document: Option 28c

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm  Agent ID: 121859

Part of document: Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm  Agent ID: 121859

Part of document: Question 31a

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: Miss Chisholm  Agent ID: 121859

Part of document: Question 32a

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Miss Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121859</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 32a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121864</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121864</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121864</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith
Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith
Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith
Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith
Part of document: Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith
121864

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Village settlements should remain as they are at present.

---

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith
121864

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith
121864

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith
121864

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  
121864

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  
121864

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  
121864

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  
121864

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 121864  Mr Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Option 16e  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: 121864  Mr Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Option 16g  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---  

Consultee ID: 121864  Mr Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Question 16h  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

No land should be developed West of Polegate and the A22, north of A27  

---  

Consultee ID: 121864  Mr Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  

Option 18i  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---
Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID: 121864

Part of document:  ☑️ option

Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑️ Disagree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID: 121864

Part of document:  ☑️ option

Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Land between West A22 South Boship Roundabout and North of A27 should be left undeveloped.

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID: 121864

Part of document:  ☑️ option

Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑️ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  Agent ID: 121864

Part of document:  ☑️ option

Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑️ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 121864</th>
<th>Mr Harcourt-Smith</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27e
- Question Thirty
- Question 31a
- Question 32a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Infrastructure Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---
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The A.O.N.B and rural setting of the South Downs constrain and must prevent development West of the A22, see adopted W.D.C plan of 1998.
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document:  
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document:  
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document:  
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document:  
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  
Agree  
No opinion  
Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part of document:  
- Option 4d
- Option 5a
- Option 5b
- Question 5c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

should keep towns as towns, villages as villages
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  Agent ID: 121866

Part of document:  Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

just keep development to towns and leave countryside as it is.
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Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document:  ☑ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 8a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:**
- Mrs Lee
- Agent ID: 121866

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 8b**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:**
- Mrs Lee
- Agent ID: 121866

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 9a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:**
- Mrs Lee
- Agent ID: 121866

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question Ten**

**Site Allocation Thresholds**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 12b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 11c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  Agent ID: 121866

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 11d

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  Agent ID: 121866

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 11e

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  Agent ID: 121866

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Question 11g

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  Agent ID: 121866

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 12a

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  
Agent ID: 121866  
Part of document: Option 12c
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  
Agent ID: 121866  
Part of document: Option 12d
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  
Agent ID: 121866  
Part of document: Option 12e
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  
Agent ID: 121866  
Part of document: Option 12f
Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 12h**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [x] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 13a**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 14a**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Option 14b

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Option 14c

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Option 14d

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Option 14e

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee

Part of document:  
- Option 14f

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
Part of document: Option 15a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
Part of document: Option 15b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
Part of document: Option 15c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
Part of document: Option 15d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee 121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 15e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee 121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee 121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee 121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Mrs Lee</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Mrs Lee</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 16d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consultee ID:</strong></td>
<td>Mrs Lee</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 17b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mrs Lee</td>
<td>Agent ID: 121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121866</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121866</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121866</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 16i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  
121866

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 17a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  
121866

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 17c

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  
121866

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 18a

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  
121866

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document:

Option 18b

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [x] section  
- [ ] option  

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18c**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18d**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18e**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option  

**Section of Document:**

**Option 18f**

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee

Part of document: Option 18g

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee

Part of document: Option 18h

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:
Reason for comment:
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Consultee ID: Mrs Lee 121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee 121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee 121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee 121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 19e

Reason for comment:

Let us keep some of our Natural beauty

Option 20a

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 23c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 20b

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 20c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 21a

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option
Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option
Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option
Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option
Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
Part of document: Option 22d
Section of Document: Question 22e
Reason for comment: will ruin the countryside

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
Part of document: Option 23a
Section of Document: Option 23b
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
Part of document: Option 23c
Section of Document: Option 23d
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121866</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121866</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 23e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121866</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 23f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>will ruin the South East</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121866</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 24a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  Agent ID: 121866

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  Agent ID: 121866

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  Agent ID: 121866

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  Agent ID: 121866

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121866</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong> ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121866</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong> ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121866</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong> ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121866</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View:</strong> ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  Agent ID: 121866

Part of document:  Option 27e  
- document  - chapter  - section  - option

Section of Document:

Your View  - Agree  - No opinion  - Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  Agent ID: 121866

Part of document:  Option 27f  
- document  - chapter  - section  - option

Section of Document:

Your View  - No opinion  - Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  Agent ID: 121866

Part of document:  Option 28a  
- document  - chapter  - section  - option

Section of Document:

Your View  - Agree  - No opinion  - Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  Agent ID: 121866

Part of document:  Option 28b  
- document  - chapter  - section  - option

Section of Document:

Your View  - Agree  - No opinion  - Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 28c**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question Twenty Nine**

**Section of Document:** Housing Delivery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question Thirty**

**Section of Document:** Infrastructure Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mrs Lee</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 31a**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✗ option

Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✗ option

Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✗ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mrs Lee  
121866

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✗ option

Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View ✗ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I feel that there has been too much development over the years. Thousands of new houses have been built on the crumbles. The town is losing it's A&E Unit at the DGH the traffic situation is unbearable at peak times of the day. The drains can't cope with the extra vamount of water, we have seen more flooding. Lets keep development to within the town. There are plenty of empty buildings, old gas work stations, etc. Please don't ruin all of our lovely villages.

Consultee ID: Quin  
121868

Part of document: ✗ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Core Strategy - Issues and Options Consultation Paper

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Core Strategy - Issues and Options Consultation Paper

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Option 2a

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option

Section of Document: Option 2b

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document: 
- Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document: 
- Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document: 
- Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document: 
- Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View: ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 4d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>document</td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Quin</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 6a
- Option 6b
- Option 6c

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- Option 7a

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Quin                  Agent ID: 121868
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 7b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Consultee ID: Quin                  Agent ID: 121868
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 7c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Consultee ID: Quin                  Agent ID: 121868
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
Consultee ID: Quin                  Agent ID: 121868
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option  
Question 7e
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree  
Reason for comment: It must be subject to independent assessment of viability
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

#### Option 8b

**Your View**  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

#### Option 9b

**Your View**  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

#### Question Ten

**Your View**  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Section of Document:

#### Option 11a

**Your View**  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 11f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

The West side of the A26 to the South is the only area that provides access and screening for discrete business development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 11c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

areas at 11d open to AONB should be excluded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 11d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document:
- Chapter:
- Section:
- Option [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 11e**

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document:
- Chapter:
- Section:
- Option [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 11g**

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document:
- Chapter:
- Section:
- Option [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 11h**

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

the West side of the A26 to the South is the only area that provides access and screening for discret business development

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document:
- Chapter:
- Section:
- Option [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 12a**

**Your View**
- Agree [ ]
- No opinion [ ]
- Disagree [ ]

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 12b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 12c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 12d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 12e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 121868

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 12f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121868

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 12h

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121868

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 14a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121868

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 14g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 14i

Section of Document:

Your View   ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:

Your View   ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[x] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[ ] document</td>
<td>[ ] chapter</td>
<td>[ ] section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 15e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>[ ] Agree</td>
<td>[ ] No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Quin
121868
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✗ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
121868
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✗ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
121868
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✗ option
Question 15h

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
121868
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✗ option
Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Quin
Part of document: Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Flood risk areas to be excluded

Consultee ID: Quin
Part of document: Question 16h
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Flood risk areas to be excluded

Consultee ID: Quin
Part of document: Question 16i
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Flood risk areas to be excluded

Consultee ID: Quin
Part of document: Option 17a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Quin
Agent ID:
121868
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 17b
Section of Document:
Your View [x] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
Agent ID:
121868
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 17b
Section of Document:
Your View [x] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
Agent ID:
121868
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 17c
Section of Document:
Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
Agent ID:
121868
Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [x] option
Option 18a
Section of Document:
Your View [x] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121868</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121868</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121868</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121868</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Quin  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 18f  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Quin  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 18h  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Quin  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 18i  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: Quin  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
Option 18j  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

---
Consultee ID: 121868

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

18j North of railway only Not 18 I and J

Consultee ID: 121868

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121868

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121868

Part of document: [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 19c

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 19d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 19e

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 20a

**Your View**
- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 20b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 20c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document:  
Option 21c

document  
chapter  
section  

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document:  
Option 21d

document  
chapter  
section  

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document:  
Option 21e

document  
chapter  
section  

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin
121868

Part of document:  
Option 21f

document  
chapter  
section  

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 21g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☒ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

21e West only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 22a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☒ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 22b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☒ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 22c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  ☐ Agree | ☐ No opinion | ☒ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Quin  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

22a North only 22b East and West only 22d Draft Settlement cap must be adhered to.
### Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 23c

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- Your View:  
  - [x] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 23d

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- Your View:  
  - [x] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Option 23e

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

- Your View:  
  - [x] Agree  
  - [ ] No opinion  
  - [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Quin 121868
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 23f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
23 a South Only 23 c North West Only

Consultee ID: Quin 121868
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin 121868
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Quin 121868
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 121868

Part of document: Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121868

Part of document: Option 25a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121868

Part of document: Option 25b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121868

Part of document: Option 25c

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option [ ]

**Option 25g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option [ ]

**Option 25d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option [ ]

**Option 25e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option [ ]

**Option 25f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>❑ document</th>
<th>❑ chapter</th>
<th>❑ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Option 26a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☑ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>❑ document</th>
<th>❑ chapter</th>
<th>❑ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Option 26b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☑ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>❑ document</th>
<th>❑ chapter</th>
<th>❑ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Option 27a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☑ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>❑ document</th>
<th>❑ chapter</th>
<th>❑ section</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Option 27b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑ Agree</th>
<th>☑ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 121868  
**Part of document:** ☑ option  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:** 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 121868  
**Part of document:** ☑ option  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View**  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:** 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 121868  
**Part of document:** ☑ option  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:** 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Consultee ID:** 121868  
**Part of document:** ☑ option  
**Section of Document:**  
**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree  
**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 28b**

**Section of Document:**

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 28c**

**Section of Document:**

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question Thirty**

**Infrastructure Requirements**

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Question 31a**

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Quin</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Option 4a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Option 4c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Option 4b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [x] section
- [x] option

**Option 4d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121901</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>121901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>121901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 8d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>121901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option</td>
<td>Option 15f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 16a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 16b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 16c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 16d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 16f</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>121901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16e</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16g</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18i</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>NOT APPLICABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>NOT APPLICABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>NOT APPLICABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Rollinson</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121901</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>NOT APPLICABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 27e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Rollinson
Agent ID: 121901

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Rollinson
Agent ID: 121901

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Rollinson
Agent ID: 121901

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith
Agent ID: 121902

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Section of Document:
Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith
Part of document: Option 4a
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith
Part of document: Option 4c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith
Part of document: Option 4b
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith
Part of document: Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  
Agent ID: 121902  
Part of document: Option 5a  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  
Agent ID: 121902  
Part of document: Option 5b  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  
Agent ID: 121902  
Part of document: Option 15f  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  
Agent ID: 121902  
Part of document: Option 16a  
Section of Document:  
Your View:  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  
121902  
Part of document:  
Option 16b  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  
121902  
Part of document:  
Option 16c  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  
121902  
Part of document:  
Option 16d  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith  
121902  
Part of document:  
Option 16g  
Section of Document:  
Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  
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Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith          Agent ID: 121902

Part of document:          □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View   ✓ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
improvements should include facilities for teenagers.

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith          Agent ID: 121902

Part of document:          □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith          Agent ID: 121902

Part of document:          □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Harcourt-Smith          Agent ID: 121902

Part of document:          □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✓ option
Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View   □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✔️ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✔️ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✔️ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☐ document</th>
<th>☐ chapter</th>
<th>☐ section</th>
<th>✔️ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
<td>☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Harcourt-Smith</td>
<td>Agent ID: 121902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question 32b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>The Downland Communities West of A22 should be kept unmerged and rural. Any development West of A22 - North of A27 and South of the Boship Roundabout would damage these rural communities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121906</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121906</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121906</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✔ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:
Your View    ✔ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✔ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:
Your View    □ Agree   □ No opinion   ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✔ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:
Your View    ✔ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document   □ chapter   □ section   ✔ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:
Your View    ✔ Agree   □ No opinion   □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Fox  
121906

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox  
121906

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox  
121906

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox  
121906

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Question 4e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

re-develope Dilton Road, Polegate industrial estate - vacant units.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 121906 Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 121906 Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 121906 Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☑ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 121906 Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 6b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Fox 121906

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8b

Section of Document: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox 121906

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox 121906

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15a

Section of Document: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox 121906

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 15b

Section of Document: Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Fox
121906
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906
Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15f
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Fox  
121906  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
[ ] option  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Fox  
121906  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
[ ] option  

Option 16a  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
[x] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Fox  
121906  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
[ ] option  

Option 16b  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Fox  
121906  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
[ ] option  

Option 16c  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree  

Reason for comment:  
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Consultee ID: Fox
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 16d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 16f
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 16e
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:
Question 16i

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:
Option 18a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:
Option 18b

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:
Option 18c

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Fox
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 18e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 18f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18h

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18i

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18j

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 24a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Fox
Consultee ID: 121906
Part of document: Option 24b
Section of Document:
Your View
  ☐ Agree
  ☐ No opinion
  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
Consultee ID: 121906
Part of document: Option 24c
Section of Document:
Your View
  ☑ Agree
  ☐ No opinion
  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
Consultee ID: 121906
Part of document: Option 24d
Section of Document:
Your View
  ☐ Agree
  ☐ No opinion
  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
Consultee ID: 121906
Part of document: Option 25a
Section of Document:
Your View
  ☑ Agree
  ☐ No opinion
  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 121906
Part of document: Option 25b
Section of Document: Option 25b
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 121906
Part of document: Option 25c
Section of Document: Option 25c
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 121906
Part of document: Option 25g
Section of Document: Option 25g
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 121906
Part of document: Option 25d
Section of Document: Option 25d
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment: Your View
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Fox</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 25e
- **Part of document:**
  - ☑️ option
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:**
  - ☑️ Agree
  - ☐ No opinion
  - ☐ Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**

### Option 25f
- **Part of document:**
  - ☑️ option
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:**
  - ☐ Agree
  - ☐ No opinion
  - ☑️ Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**

### Option 26a
- **Part of document:**
  - ☑️ option
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:**
  - ☑️ Agree
  - ☐ No opinion
  - ☐ Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**

### Option 26b
- **Part of document:**
  - ☑️ option
- **Section of Document:**
- **Your View:**
  - ☑️ Agree
  - ☐ No opinion
  - ☐ Disagree
- **Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>✔ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>✔ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>✔ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Fox</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View  ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Fox
121906

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

I totally disagree with this new proposed settlement (Berwick) and it should not be allowed in this tranquil unspoilt area. Arlington residents now have a water supply problem - either non at all or brown/orange from inlined pipes. How can South East Water cope with supplying more homes in the area. It would mean chaos on the local roads with the villages clogged up with thousands more cars.
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Consultee ID: Mr Coombs  Agent ID: 121907

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs  Agent ID: 121907

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs  Agent ID: 121907

Part of document: 
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs  Agent ID: 121907

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☐ option

Section of Document: 

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Coombs Agent ID: 121907

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs Agent ID: 121907

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs Agent ID: 121907

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs Agent ID: 121907

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Coombs **Agent ID:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 8b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Coombs **Agent ID:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 8c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** Mr Coombs **Agent ID:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 8d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

Page 5982 of 6217
Mr Coombs

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Option 15e

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 15a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 15b

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Coombs
121907

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15c

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs
121907

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15d

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs
121907

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs
121907

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
**Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document  
- ☐ chapter  
- ☐ section  
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 16c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 16d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Option 16f**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 16e</th>
<th>Option 16g</th>
<th>Option 17a</th>
<th>Option 17b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Coombs  
121907  
Part of document: Option 17c  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs  
121907  
Part of document: Option 19c  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs  
121907  
Part of document: Option 18b  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs  
121907  
Part of document: Option 18c  
Section of Document:  
Your View: Agree  
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 18h</td>
<td>Mr Coombs</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td>Mr Coombs</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td>Mr Coombs</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td>Mr Coombs</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Coombs</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |
|---------------------| |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 19d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |
|---------------------| |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 19e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |
|---------------------| |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 20a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Reason for comment: | |
|---------------------| |
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Consultee ID: Mr Coombs

121907

Part of document: Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View 

 ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs

121907

Part of document: Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View

 ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs

121907

Part of document: Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View

 ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs

121907

Part of document: Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View 

 ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121907</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121907</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121907</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121907</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Coombs</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 26a
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27c
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27d
  - [x] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Coombs</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121907</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 27e
  - [ ] document
  - [ ] chapter
  - [ ] section
  - [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mr Coombs  Agent ID:
121907

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs  Agent ID:
121907

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs  Agent ID:
121907

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Coombs  Agent ID:
121907

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Question One**

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Question One**

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Option 2a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Option 2b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 121909 Voorspuy

Agent ID:

Part of document:  

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121909 Voorspuy

Agent ID:

Part of document:

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121909 Voorspuy

Agent ID:

Part of document:

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121909 Voorspuy

Agent ID:

Part of document:

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 121909
Voorspuy
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View ✔ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121909
Voorspuy
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121909
Voorspuy
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121909
Voorspuy
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✔ option
Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Page 5997 of 6217
Consultee ID: Voorspuy
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Voorspuy  
121909
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  
121909
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Question 5c
Section of Document:
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  
121909
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Question 5c
Section of Document:
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Hamlets and Villages must be kept within their settlement boundaries

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  
121909
Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Voorspuy  Agent ID:
121909

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option
Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:
No building in the villages

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  Agent ID:
121909

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  Agent ID:
121909

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  Agent ID:
121909

Part of document:  [ ] document  [ ] chapter  [ ] section  [✓] option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View  [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [✓] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 8b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 8c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 8c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 8d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 8d**

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question Ten**

**Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds

- Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question Ten**

**Section of Document:** Site Allocation Thresholds

- Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 15e**

**Section of Document:**

- Your View: [ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Voorspuy
121909
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 15e

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy
121909
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy
121909
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 15a

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy
121909
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 15b

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 15b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
-  □ Agree
-  □ No opinion
-  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 15c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
-  ✔ Agree
-  □ No opinion
-  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 15c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
-  ✔ Agree
-  □ No opinion
-  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 15d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
-  □ Agree
-  □ No opinion
-  ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Voorspuy  
121909  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 15d

Section of Document:  

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  
121909  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 15f

Section of Document:  

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  
121909  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 15f

Section of Document:  

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  
121909  

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 16a

Section of Document:  

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 16a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 16b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 16b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 16b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: 121909
Voorspuy

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121909
Voorspuy

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121909
Voorspuy

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121909
Voorspuy

Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Voorspuy
Consultee ID: Voorspuy
Consultee ID: Voorspuy
Consultee ID: Voorspuy

Part of document: Option 16g
Part of document: Option 16g
Part of document: Question 16h
Part of document: Option 17a

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View: Agree
Your View: Agree
Your View: No opinion
Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
too many houses

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Voorspuy
121909
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17a
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy
121909
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy
121909
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17b
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy
121909
Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 17c
Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Voorspuy  
121909  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- Option 17c  
- Option 18a  
- Option 19c  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree  

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 121909 Voorspuy

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121909 Voorspuy

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121909 Voorspuy

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121909 Voorspuy

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Voorspuy
Consultee ID: Voorspuy
Consultee ID: Voorspuy

Part of document: Option 18e
Part of document: Option 18f
Part of document: Option 18f

Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Voorspuy  Agent ID:
121909

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☒ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:
Your View  ☒ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  Agent ID:
121909

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☒ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  Agent ID:
121909

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☒ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  Agent ID:
121909

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☒ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18i</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: Voorspuy
121909

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy
121909

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy
121909

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy
121909

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion ✓ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 20a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 20a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion □ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Voorspuy</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 23c
- Option 20b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree (✓)
- No opinion (☐)
- Disagree (☐)

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Voorspuy  Agent ID: 121909
Part of document: option 20c
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  Agent ID: 121909
Part of document: option 20c
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  Agent ID: 121909
Part of document: option 20c
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
The Wadhurst development should be smaller.

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  Agent ID: 121909
Part of document: option 20c
Section of Document:
Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree
Reason for comment:
The Wadhurst development should be smaller.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑️ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Voorspuy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: [ ]  
- Chapter: [ ]  
- Section: [ ]  
- Option: [✓]  

**Section of Document:** Option 26b

**Your View**  
- Agree: [ ]  
- No opinion: [ ]  
- Disagree: [✓]  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: [ ]  
- Chapter: [ ]  
- Section: [ ]  
- Option: [✓]  

**Section of Document:** Option 26b

**Your View**  
- Agree: [ ]  
- No opinion: [ ]  
- Disagree: [✓]  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: [ ]  
- Chapter: [ ]  
- Section: [ ]  
- Option: [✓]  

**Section of Document:** Option 26a

**Your View**  
- Agree: [ ]  
- No opinion: [ ]  
- Disagree: [✓]  

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Document: [ ]  
- Chapter: [ ]  
- Section: [ ]  
- Option: [✓]  

**Section of Document:** Option 26a

**Your View**  
- Agree: [ ]  
- No opinion: [ ]  
- Disagree: [✓]  

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Voorspuy  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 27c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- ✔ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 28c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Option 28c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- ✔ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Voorspuy

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Voorspuy

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Voorspuy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Whibley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Whibley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Whibley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Whibley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Whibley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 15e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Whibley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Question 15g**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

I think Hailsham would benefit from the development which it is fitted to sustain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Whibley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16a**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Whibley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 15f**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Whibley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 16b**

**Section of Document:**

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Whibley</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121911</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Part of document:  
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Part of document:  
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Whibley
121911

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Whibley
121911

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Whibley
121911

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 16j

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree    ☑ No opinion    ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
There are no areas in which development could do other than be a dis-benefit to the whole of Willingdon & Polegate.

Consultee ID: Whibley
121911

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View    ☐ Agree    ☐ No opinion    ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
It is difficult to respond to this questionnaire as it appears to lead the responder, rather than be open to strong resident views and opinions. In this and in other ways it feels that a 'hollow gesture' has been made with regard to Council seeking residents wishes: that is to say it seems although our views have been solicited, these are not greatly going to influence Council decisions. If this is not the case, and council genuinely wishes to respond to residents wishes, Council needs to re-consider how it enables residents to respond. The consultation period should be extended to compensate for the way 'consultation' has been conducted.

Reason for comment:

Your View

Consultee ID: 121913

Mr Dimbleby

Part of document: Question One

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby  
121913

Part of document:  
- Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby  
121913

Part of document:  
- Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby  
121913

Part of document:  
- Option 4b

Section of Document:

Your View:  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121913</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 4d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121913</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 5a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121913</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 5b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121913</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 8a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
121913

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
121913

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
121913

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
121913

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121913</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>§ document</th>
<th>§ chapter</th>
<th>§ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 15a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121913</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>§ document</th>
<th>§ chapter</th>
<th>§ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 15b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✔ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121913</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>§ document</th>
<th>§ chapter</th>
<th>§ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 15c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121913</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>§ document</th>
<th>§ chapter</th>
<th>§ section</th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 15d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☐ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>✔ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby  
121913

Part of document:  
Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby  
121913

Part of document:  
Option 16d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby  
121913

Part of document:  
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby  
121913

Part of document:  
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 16g

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 17a

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 17b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby  
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option  
Option 17c

Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 18b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 18c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 18d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
121913

Part of document: Option 18e
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☒ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
121913

Part of document: Option 18f
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
121913

Part of document: Option 18g
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
121913

Part of document: Option 18h
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☒ option

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby Agent ID: 121913

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby Agent ID: 121913

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby Agent ID: 121913

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby Agent ID: 121913

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 19c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 19d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 19e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Option 20a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
Agent ID:
121913

Part of document:
[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
Agent ID:
121913

Part of document:
[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
Agent ID:
121913

Part of document:
[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
Agent ID:
121913

Part of document:
[ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [ ] option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View [ ] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121913</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [☑️]

**Section of Document:**
- Option 21c

**Your View:**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [☑️]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121913</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [☑️]

**Section of Document:**
- Option 21d

**Your View:**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [☑️]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121913</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [☑️]

**Section of Document:**
- Option 21e

**Your View:**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [☑️]

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121913</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [☑️]

**Section of Document:**
- Option 21f

**Your View:**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [☑️]

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
121913

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
121913

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
121913

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby
121913

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option
Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121913</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121913</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121913</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Dimbleby</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121913</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 121913

Part of document: Option 27d

Section of Document:  

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 121913

Part of document: Option 27e

Section of Document:  

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 121913

Part of document: Option 28c

Section of Document:  

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment: Your View

Consultee ID: 121913

Part of document: Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby  
Agent ID: 121913

Part of document:  
- Option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby  
Agent ID: 121913

Part of document:  
- Option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Dimbleby  
Agent ID: 121913

Part of document:  
- Option

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts  
Agent ID: 121914

Part of document:  
- Option

Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

Your View  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Roberts
Agent ID: 121914

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
Agent ID: 121914

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
Agent ID: 121914

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
Agent ID: 121914

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Roberts
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3c
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 3d
Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Roberts
121914

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
121914

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
121914

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
121914

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Roberts
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
Agent ID:

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 19b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 19c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- **Agree**
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 19d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- **Agree**
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 19e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- Agree
- No opinion
- **Disagree**

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑️ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑️ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑️ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☑️ option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>☑️ Agree</th>
<th>☐ No opinion</th>
<th>☐ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---
## Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121914</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121914</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121914</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>121914</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document</strong></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 25g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Roberts</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25f**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Roberts
121914

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
121914

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
121914

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Roberts
121914

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Roberts</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Muncey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>✔ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Muncey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question One</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Muncey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 6059 of 6217
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Muncey
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Muncey
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Muncey
Agent ID:
Agent ID:
Agent ID:
Part of document: Option 2a
Part of document: Option 5a
Part of document: Option 5a
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Section of Document:
Your View ☒ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☒ Disagree
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☒ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Reason for comment:
do not want the extension of existing settlement boundaries
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consulatee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Muncey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th><img src="Yes" alt="checkboxes" /></th>
<th>![checkboxes](No opinion)</th>
<th><img src="No" alt="checkboxes" /></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="Yes" alt="checkboxes" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parts of Forest Row were badly affected by flooding (20/07/07) and so further development will compound the problem, particularly in areas Priory Road and Swans Ghyll Estate, adjacent to the river.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121919</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 19a**

**Your View:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Muncey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121919</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 19e**

**Your View:**

- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

Parts of Forest Row were badly flooded and so further development will compound this problem.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Muncey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [✓] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question One**

**Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty**

**Your View:**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Treharne  
Agent ID: 121920

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Treharne  
Agent ID: 121920

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Treharne  
Agent ID: 121920

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Treharne  
Agent ID: 121920

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option

Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Treharne
121920

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Treharne
121920

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 3d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Treharne
121920

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Treharne
121920

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Option 6a

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Treharne
Consultee ID: 121920

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 6b

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Treharne
Consultee ID: 121920

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 6b

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Treharne
Consultee ID: 121920

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 6c

Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Treharne
Consultee ID: 121920

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Treharne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 6c

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Treharne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 7a

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Treharne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 7a

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Treharne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 7a

**Your View:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Mr &amp; Mrs Treharne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 7e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ document</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr &amp; Mrs Treharne</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Treharne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 18j</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Treharne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 19a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Treharne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 19b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>document</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chapter</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>option</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Treharne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Option 19d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Treharne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Option 19e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Treharne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Option 24a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr &amp; Mrs Treharne</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121920</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Option 24b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr & Mrs Treharne 121920
Part of document: Option 24c
Section of Document:
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lord Lloyd 121962
Part of document: Option 18c
Section of Document:
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lord Lloyd 121962
Part of document: Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Lord Lloyd 121962
Part of document: Option 18i
Section of Document:
Your View Agree No opinion Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lord Lloyd</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 18j</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Hussey</td>
<td></td>
<td>Question 32b</td>
<td>Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Hussey</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 2a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Hussey  Agent ID:
121966

Part of document:  
☑ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey  Agent ID:
121966

Part of document:  
☑ option
Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey  Agent ID:
121966

Part of document:  
☑ option
Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey  Agent ID:
121966

Part of document:  
☑ option
Option 3c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 3d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 4a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 4c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 4b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View:</td>
<td>Agree, No opinion, Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr Hussey

**Option 4d**

**Reason for comment:**
build Hailsham / Polegate up in terms of economic activity / bolster schools to increase educational levels

**Option 5a**

**Reason for comment:**

**Option 5b**

**Reason for comment:**
above all we must preserve rural environment and village life and not erode further into our beautiful countryside
Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
121966

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
121966

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
121966

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
121966

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Question 6d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Disagree ☐ No opinion ☐ Agree

Reason for comment:

100% distributed around towns
Consultee ID: Mr Hussey

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 9c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

focus should be on areas with rail links
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Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
121966

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 7d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

we must adopt a sustainability policy preserving green fields and village life both for Wealden residents and tourism

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
121966

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Question 7e

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
121966

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
121966

Part of document: ☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 8c

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

### Option 8d

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

unless by rail link

### Option 9a

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

### Option 9b

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 11a

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 12b

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 11b

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 11c

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 11d

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 11e

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question 11f

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

preserve Greenfield site
Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Question 11g

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Question 11h

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment: preserve Greenfield sites and green fields at all costs

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 12a

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document: Option 12c

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: Option 12d
Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: Option 12e
Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: Option 12f
Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: Question 12g
Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

preserve open green spaces / any development / in or around the areas of Heathfield Park should be avoided at all costs
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part of document
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

### Question 12h

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 13a

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14a

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 14b

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hussey  Agent ID: 121966
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 14c
Section of Document:
Your View    ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey  Agent ID: 121966
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 14d
Section of Document:
Your View    ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey  Agent ID: 121966
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 14e
Section of Document:
Your View    ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey  Agent ID: 121966
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 14f
Section of Document:
Your View    ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 14g**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 14h**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 14j**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 15a**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 15e

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 16c**

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Option 16d**

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Option 16f**

**Reason for comment:**

**Consultee ID:**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Option 16e**

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Part of document: Option 16g
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Part of document: Question 16i
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Part of document: Option 17a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Part of document: Option 17b
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Reason for comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 17c</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 18a</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 19c</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 18b</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td>Option 18e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agreed</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consultee ID: 121966

Mr Hussey

Agent ID:

Part of document: document chapter section option

Section of Document:

Your View

Agree
No opinion
Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID:
121966

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID:
121966

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID:
121966

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID:
121966

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121966</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 18k</td>
<td>☑️ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td>sustainability - preservation of green areas is vital and close proximity to rail links vital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Hussey</td>
<td>Agent ID: 121966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19a</td>
<td>☑️ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Hussey</td>
<td>Agent ID: 121966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19b</td>
<td>☑️ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Hussey</td>
<td>Agent ID: 121966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 19d</td>
<td>☑️ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mr Hussey

Option 19e

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Mr Hussey

Question 19f

Reason for comment:

rural / village life to be preserved at all costs

Mr Hussey

Option 20a

Reason for comment:

Mr Hussey

Option 21f

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 121966
Mr Hussey

Part of document:
- Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121966
Mr Hussey

Part of document:
- Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View
- Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121966
Mr Hussey

Part of document:
- Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121966
Mr Hussey

Part of document:
- Question 20d

Section of Document:

Your View
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

rural / village life to be preserved at all osts and proximity to rail links vital
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[x] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[ ] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>[ ] Agree</th>
<th>[ ] No opinion</th>
<th>[x] Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Hussey  Agent ID: 121966

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 21e

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey  Agent ID: 121966

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Question 21g

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
unless there is close proximity to rail links, rural life should be preserved above all else

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey  Agent ID: 121966

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 22a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey  Agent ID: 121966

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option

Section of Document: Option 22b

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 22c</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Yes (Agree)</td>
<td>unless there is close proximity to rail links rural life should be preserved above all else</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 22d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Yes (Disagree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23a</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Yes (Disagree)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Hussey  
121966

Part of document:  
- Option 23b  

Section of Document:  

Your View:  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:  
unless there is close proximity to rail links rural life should be preserved above all else
Consultee ID: 121966  Mr Hussey

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 24a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121966  Mr Hussey

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 24b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121966  Mr Hussey

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 24c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121966  Mr Hussey

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  □ option
Option 24d

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>doc</th>
<th>chap</th>
<th>sect</th>
<th>opt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>doc</th>
<th>chap</th>
<th>sect</th>
<th>opt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>doc</th>
<th>chap</th>
<th>sect</th>
<th>opt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th>doc</th>
<th>chap</th>
<th>sect</th>
<th>opt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View**  ✓ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:
Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
Agent ID: 121966

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 26b

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 27c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
121966

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
121966

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
121966

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 27f

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey
121966

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 28a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th>no opinion</th>
<th>disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th>no opinion</th>
<th>disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th>no opinion</th>
<th>disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Hussey</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121966</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th></th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question Thirty</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th>no opinion</th>
<th>disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Requirements</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Hussey

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 31b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

a main priority should be preserving what we have for future generations the charm of our villages and scenery is good for tourism

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 32a

Section of Document:

Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Hussey

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Question 32b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

green fields and rural tranquility should be preserved for future generations
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ☑ option

**Section of Document:**  
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3b**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3c**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3c**

**Your View**
- □ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- □ document
- □ chapter
- □ section
- ✔ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 3d**

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree
- □ No opinion
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Burbridge

Part of document: Option 8d

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Burbridge

Part of document: Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Burbridge

Part of document: Option 18c

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Burbridge

Part of document: Option 18d

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18e

**Your View:**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [✓]

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18e

**Your View:**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [✓]

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18f

**Your View:**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [✓]

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Document: [ ]
- Chapter: [ ]
- Section: [ ]
- Option: [✓]

**Section of Document:**
- Option 18g

**Your View:**
- Agree: [ ]
- No opinion: [ ]
- Disagree: [ ]

**Reason for comment:**
- Your View
Consultee ID: 121975

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121975

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121975

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121975

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Burbridge
121975

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Burbridge
121975

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Burbridge
121975

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25e

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Burbridge
121975

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121975</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 27a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121975</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 27b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121975</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 27d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>□ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Burbridge</th>
<th>Agent ID: 121975</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document □ chapter □ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
<td>□ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID</td>
<td>Agent ID</td>
<td>Part of document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121975</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121977</td>
<td></td>
<td>option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Consultee ID: 121977  Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 2a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121977  Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121977  Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 3a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121977  Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- Option 3b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree  
- No opinion  
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Eastmead &amp; Conroy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 3c

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

but not in green field or green belt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Eastmead &amp; Conroy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 3d

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Eastmead &amp; Conroy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 4a

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

road network totally inadequate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Eastmead &amp; Conroy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 4b

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID:
121977
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4c
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID:
121977
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4d
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID:
121977
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 4e
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
need to only use brown field sites where transport roads and rail links are adequate but retain rural nature of this area

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID:
121977
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Question 5c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

subject to overriding need to preserve the rural nature of Cuckmere Valley

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Eastmead &amp; Conroy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:** Option 6c

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Eastmead &amp; Conroy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:** Question 6d

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

essential to preserve the rural nature of the area, especially the small outlying villages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Eastmead &amp; Conroy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:** Option 7a

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Eastmead &amp; Conroy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:** Option 7b

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977

Option 7c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Agree

Reason for comment:

Agree

Reason for comment:

Agree

Reason for comment:

all efforts must retain rural nature of the area
Consultee ID:  Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID:  Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID:  Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 8c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID:  Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 8d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Eastmead &amp; Conroy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 9a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Eastmead &amp; Conroy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 9b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Eastmead &amp; Conroy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question Ten</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Site Allocation Thresholds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>subject to a minimum that is no more than 10% of the current number of dwellings in the respective town/village</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Eastmead &amp; Conroy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Question 11f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>✔️</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>all such growth to exclude use of green belt or green field land</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 121977  
Eastmead & Conroy  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- ☑ option  

Section of Document:  
Question 11h

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
essential to preserve the rural nature of most of east sussex. new towns not needed as this se corner of uk is already over populated and land is needed for food and oil production

---

Consultee ID: 121977  
Eastmead & Conroy  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- ☑ option  

Section of Document:  
Question 12g

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
again, all such regulated growth must exclude use of green belt or green field land

---

Consultee ID: 121977  
Eastmead & Conroy  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- ☑ option  

Section of Document:  
Question 12g

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

---

Consultee ID: 121977  
Eastmead & Conroy  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
- ☑ option  

Section of Document:  
Option 13a

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:  

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 13b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
subject to not using green field sites

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 14i

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
any such development cannot use green belt or green field sites and must prevent future flooding problems

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 15g

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
any such expansion / development must not use green belt or green field land

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 16h

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:  
see q11h
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Eastmead &amp; Conroy</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121977</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 18k**

**Your View**  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
see q11 h

---

**Consultee ID:**

121977

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 19f**

**Your View**  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
need to restrict growth to infill etc on non green belt or non green field land. gentle expansion fine but whole new town not wanted

---

**Consultee ID:**

121977

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Question 20d**

**Your View**  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
see previous comments

---

**Consultee ID:**

121977

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 24c**

**Your View**  [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
density levels can only be determined by the final cost of affordable homes and the local normal levels. All these seem high to me.
Consultee ID: 121977
Eastmead & Conroy
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 24d
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document: 

Your View: [x] Disagree
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121977
Eastmead & Conroy
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 25a
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document: 

Your View: [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121977
Eastmead & Conroy
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 25b
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document: 

Your View: [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121977
Eastmead & Conroy
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 25c
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document: 

Your View: [x] Disagree
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy
121977

Part of document: Option 25d

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy
121977

Part of document: Option 25f

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy
121977

Part of document: Option 25g

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy
121977

Part of document: Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 121977
Eastmead & Conroy

Part of document: Option 26a
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121977
Eastmead & Conroy

Part of document: Option 27c
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121977
Eastmead & Conroy

Part of document: Option 27d
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 121977
Eastmead & Conroy

Part of document: Option 27e
Section of Document:
Your View: ☑ Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID:
121977
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 28a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID:
121977
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 28b
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID:
121977
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 28c
Section of Document:
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID:
121977
Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Question Twenty Nine
Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977
Part of document: ☑ option  Question Thirty
Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977
Part of document: ☑ option  Question 31a
Section of Document: 
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Eastmead & Conroy  Agent ID: 121977
Part of document: ☑ option  Question 32b
Section of Document: 
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
No need for new towns in se of uk. over populated and transport under heavy strain. only allow infill development not green belt / field.

---

Consultee ID: Mr Ross  Agent ID: Broadway Malyan
Part of document: ☑ option  Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ross</th>
<th>Agent ID: Broadway Malyan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Ross</td>
<td>Agent ID: Broadway Malyan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Ross</td>
<td>Agent ID: Broadway Malyan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Ross</td>
<td>Agent ID: Broadway Malyan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Option 3b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Ross</td>
<td>Agent ID: Broadway Malyan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ross</th>
<th>Agent ID: Broadway Malyan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ross</th>
<th>Agent ID: Broadway Malyan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5a</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ross</th>
<th>Agent ID: Broadway Malyan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 5b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Ross  Agent ID: Broadway Malyan

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ross  Agent ID: Broadway Malyan

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 6a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ross  Agent ID: Broadway Malyan

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 6b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ross  Agent ID: Broadway Malyan

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 6c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Ross</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121989</td>
<td>Broadway Malyan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7a**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Ross</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121989</td>
<td>Broadway Malyan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 7b**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Ross</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121989</td>
<td>Broadway Malyan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 9a**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID</th>
<th>Mr Ross</th>
<th>Agent ID</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>121989</td>
<td>Broadway Malyan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:** □ document □ chapter □ section □ option

**Section of Document:**

**Option 9a**

**Your View** □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
| Consultee ID: | Mr Ogden | Agent ID: | | |
|--------------|----------|----------|---|
| 122664       |          |          | |

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option  

**Section of Document:**  
Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

| Consultee ID: | Mr Ogden | Agent ID: | | |
|--------------|----------|----------|---|
| 122664       |          |          | |

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option  

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

| Consultee ID: | Mr Ogden | Agent ID: | | |
|--------------|----------|----------|---|
| 122664       |          |          | |

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option  

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

| Consultee ID: | Mr Ogden | Agent ID: | | |
|--------------|----------|----------|---|
| 122664       |          |          | |

**Part of document:**  
- □ document  
- □ chapter  
- □ section  
- ✓ option  

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- ✓ Agree  
- □ No opinion  
- □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 3c</th>
<th>Option 4a</th>
<th>Option 4b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td>Option 4a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Ogden
122664

Part of document: Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden
122664

Part of document: Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View  
☐ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden
122664

Part of document: Option 5b

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden
122664

Part of document: Option 5c

Section of Document:

Your View  
☑ Agree  
☐ No opinion  
☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

All villages in WDC should have some new houses A/H
Consultee ID: Mr Ogden

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 6a

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 6b

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 6c

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Question 6d

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

we need to keep all villages up and running
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 122664</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 7b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 122664</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 7c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 122664</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 7d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: 122664</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 8d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Mr Ogden  
122664

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 9b

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden  
122664

Part of document: ☑ option
Question Ten

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden  
122664

Part of document: ☑ option
Option 11d

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden  
122664

Part of document: ☑ option
Question 11g

Section of Document:

Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 12d

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 12h

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 13a

**Your View**
- ☑ Agree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 14a

**Your View**
- ☑ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Ogden

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14b

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14c

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 14h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ogden  
122664

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 15d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden  
122664

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden  
122664

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden  
122664

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 17a

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 17c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 18g

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 19b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 19c</th>
<th>Option 19c</th>
<th>Option 19d</th>
<th>Option 19e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden
Agent ID: 122664

Part of document: [ ] document [ ] chapter [ ] section [✓] option

Section of Document:
Your View: [✓] Agree [ ] No opinion [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ogden  Agent ID: 122664

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 20a

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden  Agent ID: 122664

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden  Agent ID: 122664

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 20b

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden  Agent ID: 122664

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 20c

Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21a**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21b**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21c**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 21d**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 21e

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 21f

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 22b

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 22d

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View: [x] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23a</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>122664</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr Ogden</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23b</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>122664</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr Ogden</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23d</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>122664</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr Ogden</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23e</th>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>122664</td>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr Ogden</td>
<td></td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Mr Ogden</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 24a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 24b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 24c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 24d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25b**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25c**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 25g**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>☑ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>✓ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25e</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✓ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 25f</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✓ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>□ document</th>
<th>□ chapter</th>
<th>□ section</th>
<th>✓ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✓ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>□ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27a**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27b**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27d**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27e**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27f**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [✓] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Mr Ogden</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122664</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 28a**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>document</th>
<th>chapter</th>
<th>section</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [✓] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Mr Ogden

Part of document: Option 28b

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden

Part of document: Option 28c

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden

Part of document: Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Mr Ogden

Part of document: Question 31a

Section of Document:

Your View ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Mr Ogden  Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 32a
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question One
Section of Document: Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 2b
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 2b

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 4c

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 4c

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 4b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 4b

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 4d

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: Option 4d

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: Question 4e

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:

development should be east of A22 - the West of the A22 should be left for recreational purposes

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: Question 4e

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Development should be east of A22.

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: Option 5a

Section of Document:

Your View   ☐ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 5a
Section of Document:
Your View   No opinion   Disagree
Reason for comment:

Option 5b
Section of Document:
Your View   No opinion   Disagree
Reason for comment:

Question 5c
Section of Document:
Your View   No opinion   Disagree
Reason for comment:
Villages and hamlets should be left within their settlement boundaries
Consultee ID: 122668

Question 5c
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Villages and hamlets should be left within their settlement boundaries.

Consultee ID: 122668

Option 6a
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 122668

Question 6d
Section of Document:
Your View  ☐ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I would prefer 90% around towns

Consultee ID: 122668

Question 6d
Section of Document:
Your View  ✔ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree
Reason for comment:
I would prefer 90% of development to be situated around towns.
Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID:
122668

Part of document: Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View
Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID:
122668

Part of document: Option 8a

Section of Document:

Your View
Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID:
122668

Part of document: Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View
Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID:
122668

Part of document: Option 8b

Section of Document:

Your View
Agree No opinion Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 8c

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 8d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 8d

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 15e

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section  ✔ option

Section of Document: Option 15e

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 15b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>☑ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>□ Agree</th>
<th>□ No opinion</th>
<th>☑ Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Chisholm  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 15c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

———  

Consultee ID: Chisholm  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 15c  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

———  

Consultee ID: Chisholm  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 15d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:  

———  

Consultee ID: Chisholm  
Agent ID:  

Part of document:  
☐ document  ☐ chapter  ☐ section  ☑ option  
Option 15d  

Section of Document:  

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree  
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: ⬜️ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View ⬜️ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: ⬜️ option
Option 15f

Section of Document:

Your View ⬜️ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: ⬜️ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ⬜️ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: ⬜️ option
Option 16a

Section of Document:

Your View ⬜️ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: 

- Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View: 

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: 

- Option 16b

Section of Document:

Your View: 

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: 

- Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View: 

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: 

- Option 16c

Section of Document:

Your View: 

- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16d**

**Section of Document:**

Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16d**

**Section of Document:**

Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16f**

**Section of Document:**

Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16f**

**Section of Document:**

Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**

- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 16g**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**

- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: 122668 Chisholm  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option  

Question 16h

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

16F should be a smaller development

Consultee ID: 122668 Chisholm  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option  

Option 17a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 122668 Chisholm  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option  

Option 17a

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 122668 Chisholm  Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option  

Option 17b

Section of Document:

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 17b

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 17c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 18a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>✔ option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>✔ Agree</th>
<th></th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th></th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Page 6174 of 6217
Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18b
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18c
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18c
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18d
Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 18d
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 18e
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668
Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option
Option 18f
Section of Document:
Your View  ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- Option 18f
- Option 18g
- Option 18g
- Option 18h

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Disagree</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: Option 18f

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: Option 18g

Section of Document:
Your View ☑ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: Option 18h

Section of Document:
Your View ☐ Agree ☐ No opinion ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
No development west of A22 - south of Boship roundabout - north A27

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Question 18k

Section of Document:

Your View  ✔ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
No development west of A22.

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 19a

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 19b

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668

Part of document:  □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ☑ option
Option 19d

Section of Document:
Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ☑ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Option 19d

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Option 19e

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Option 19e

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: 
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

Section of Document:

Option 20a

Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
## Core Strategy Issue and Options Consultation 2007 - Summary of Consultation Responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Option 20a

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

### Option 21f

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

### Option 21f

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:

### Option 23c

#### Part of document:
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

#### Section of Document:

#### Your View
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

#### Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 23c

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 20b

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: ☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ☑ option
Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View   ☑ Agree   ☐ No opinion   ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Option 20c

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☐ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Wadhurst development should be smaller

Option 21a

Section of Document:

Your View  ☑ Agree  ☐ No opinion  ☑ Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21b

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID:
122668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21c

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21d

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm  Agent ID: 122668

Part of document: □ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✔ option
Option 21e

Section of Document:

Your View  □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✔ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 23d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 23d**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 23e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>122668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Option 23e**

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Chisholm</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 26a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Chisholm
Part of document: Option 27b
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Part of document: Option 27c
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
Part of document: Option 27c
Section of Document: Your View
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document:  
- Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document:  
- Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document:  
- Option 27d

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document:  
- Option 27e

Section of Document:

Your View  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 122668
Chisholm

Part of document: [] document  [] chapter  [] section  [ ] option
Option 27e

Section of Document:
[ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 122668
Chisholm

Part of document: [] document  [] chapter  [] section  [ ] option
Option 27f

Section of Document:
[ ] Agree  [x] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 122668
Chisholm

Part of document: [] document  [] chapter  [] section  [ ] option
Option 28c

Section of Document:
[ ] Agree  [x] No opinion  [ ] Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 122668
Chisholm

Part of document: [] document  [] chapter  [] section  [ ] option
Option 28c

Section of Document:
[ ] Agree  [ ] No opinion  [x] Disagree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Twenty Nine

Section of Document: Housing Delivery
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question Thirty

Section of Document: Infrastructure Requirements
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Chisholm
122668

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section □ option
Question 31a

Section of Document:
Your View □ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree
Reason for comment:
### Question One

#### Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Consultee ID:** 132064  
**Agent ID:** Balch

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** 132064  
**Agent ID:** Balch

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** 132064  
**Agent ID:** Balch

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

**Consultee ID:** 132064  
**Agent ID:** Balch

**Part of document:**  
- [ ] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

**Section of Document:** Settlements in the High Weald Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty

**Your View**  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>132064</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 3c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>132064</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 3d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>132064</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 4a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>132064</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>□ document  □ chapter  □ section  ✓ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Option 4c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Balch</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>option</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>□ section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ option</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for comment:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Consultee ID: Balch  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- option [ ] 
- section [ ] 
- chapter [ ] 
- document [ ]

Section of Document:
Option 6a

Your View: [ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- option [ ] 
- section [ ] 
- chapter [ ] 
- document [ ]

Section of Document:
Option 6c

Your View: [ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- option [ ] 
- section [ ] 
- chapter [ ] 
- document [ ]

Section of Document:
Option 7a

Your View: [ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch  
Agent ID: 

Part of document:  
- option [ ] 
- section [ ] 
- chapter [ ] 
- document [ ]

Section of Document:
Option 7b

Your View: [ ] Agree  
[ ] No opinion  
[ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Balch
Part of document: Option 7c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch
Part of document: Option 9c
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch
Part of document: Option 7d
Section of Document:
Your View: Disagree
Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch
Part of document: Option 8a
Section of Document:
Your View: Agree
Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: 132064

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Site Allocation Thresholds

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 132064

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 15b

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 132064

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 15c

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 132064

Part of document: option

Section of Document: Option 15d

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✅ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15e

**Your View**
- ✅ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✅ option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 15g

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ✅ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
- Suggest development of semi-brownfield sites such as Horse Paddock

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✅ option

**Section of Document:**
- Option 15f

**Your View**
- ✅ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ☐ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- ☐ document
- ☐ chapter
- ☐ section
- ✅ option

**Section of Document:**
- Question 15h

**Your View**
- ☐ Agree
- ☐ No opinion
- ✅ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [x] chapter
- [ ] section
- [ ] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[x]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
Consultee ID: Balch
132064
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16f

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch
132064
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16e

Section of Document:

Your View □ Agree □ No opinion ✓ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch
132064
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Option 16g

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch
132064
Agent ID:

Part of document: □ document □ chapter □ section ✓ option
Question 16i

Section of Document:

Your View ✓ Agree □ No opinion □ Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 18c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 18d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 18e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>Option 18f</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: 132064
Balch

Part of document: Option 18g

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 132064
Balch

Part of document: Option 18h

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 132064
Balch

Part of document: Option 18i

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: 132064
Balch

Part of document: Option 18j

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Balch  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [ ] document  
- [x] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document: Question 18k

Your View  
- [x] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [ ] Disagree

Reason for comment:
The area around Berwick level crossing could be developed as has main line station

Consultee ID: Balch  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [x] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document: Option 19a

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [x] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document: Option 19b

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch  
Agent ID:

Part of document:  
- [x] document  
- [ ] chapter  
- [ ] section  
- [x] option

Section of Document: Option 19c

Your View  
- [ ] Agree  
- [ ] No opinion  
- [x] Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 19d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 19e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 23c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** ✓ Agree  □ No opinion  □ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID: Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 21a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>□ document</td>
<td>□ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>□ section</td>
<td>✓ option</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section of Document:**

**Your View:** □ Agree  □ No opinion  ✓ Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 21b</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 21c</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 21d</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Part of document:</strong></td>
<td>☐ document</td>
<td>☐ chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 21e</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section of Document:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Your View</strong></td>
<td>☐ Agree</td>
<td>☐ No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reason for comment:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consultee ID: Balch
132064

Part of document: Option 21f

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch
132064

Part of document: Option 22a

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch
132064

Part of document: Option 22b

Section of Document:

Your View: Agree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch
132064

Part of document: Option 22c

Section of Document:

Your View: Disagree

Reason for comment:
Consultee ID: Balch

Part of document: Option 22d

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch

Part of document: Option 23a

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch

Part of document: Option 23b

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch

Part of document: Option 23d

Section of Document:

Your View

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 23e**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 24a**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [x] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [ ] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 24b**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 24c**

**Part of document:**
- [ ] document
- [ ] chapter
- [ ] section
- [x] option

**Section of Document:**

**Your View**
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] No opinion
- [x] Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
### Consultee ID: Balch

#### Option 24d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 24d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

### Consultee ID: Balch

#### Option 25a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 25a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

### Consultee ID: Balch

#### Option 25b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 25b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**

### Consultee ID: Balch

#### Option 25c

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part of document:</th>
<th>Option 25c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Section of Document:

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 25g

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
- Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 25d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
- Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 25e

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
- Your View

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Part of document:**  
- Option 25f

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section of Document:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Your View**  
- Agree
- No opinion
- Disagree

**Reason for comment:**  
- Your View
Consultee ID: Balch
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 26a

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 26b

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 27a

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:

Consultee ID: Balch
Agent ID:

Part of document: Option 27b

Section of Document:

Your View  Agree  No opinion  Disagree

Reason for comment:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27c**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27d**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27e**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>132064</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Option 27f**

**Section of Document:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Your View</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>No opinion</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reason for comment:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consultee ID:</th>
<th>Balch</th>
<th>Agent ID:</th>
<th>132064</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28a</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Balch</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>132064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28b</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Balch</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>132064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 28c</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consultee ID:</td>
<td>Balch</td>
<td>Agent ID:</td>
<td>132064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part of document:</td>
<td>☐ document ☐ chapter ☐ section ✔ option</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question Twenty Nine</td>
<td>Section of Document:</td>
<td>Housing Delivery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Your View</td>
<td>✔ Agree ☐ No opinion ☐ Disagree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reason for comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>